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#### Abstract

Capitate hydrozoans are a morphologically and ecologically diverse hydrozoan suborder, currently including about 200 species. Being grouped in two clades, Corynida and Zancleida, these hydrozoans still show a number of taxonomic uncertainties at the species, genus and family levels. Many Capitata species established symbiotic relationships with other benthic organisms, including bryozoans, other cnidarians, molluscs and poriferans, as well as with planktonic dinoflagellates for mixotrophic relationships and with bacteria for thiotrophic ectosymbioses. Our study aimed at providing an updated and comprehensive phylogeny reconstruction of the suborder, at modelling the evolution of selected morphological and ecological characters, and at testing evolutionary relationships between the symbiotic lifestyle and the other characters, by integrating taxonomic, ecological and evolutionary data. The phylogenetic hypotheses here presented shed light on the evolutionary relationships within Capitata, with most families and genera being recovered as monophyletic. The genus Zanclea and family Zancleidae, however, were divided into four divergent clades, requiring the establishment of the new genus Apatizanclea and the new combinations for species in Zanclea and Halocoryne genera. The ancestral state reconstructions revealed that symbiosis arose multiple times in the evolutionary history of the Capitata, and that homoplasy is a common phenomenon in the group. Correlations were found between the evolution of symbiosis and morphological characters, such as the perisarc. Overall, our results highlighted that the use of genetic data and a complete knowledge of the life cycles are strongly needed to disentangle taxonomic and systematic issues in capitate hydrozoans. Finally, the colonization of tropical habitat appears to have influenced the evolution of a symbiotic lifestyle, playing important roles in the evolution of the group.


© 2023 The Authors. Cladistics published by John Wiley \& Sons Ltd on behalf of Willi Hennig Society.

## Introduction

The Hydrozoa is one of the six currently accepted classes of the phylum Cnidaria (Kayal et al., 2018;

[^0]WoRMS Editorial Board, 2023), showing an incredible diversity of taxa, morphologies, life cycles and ecological preferences (Bouillon et al., 2006). This class has been estimated to be the second most species-rich within Cnidaria, after Anthozoa (Appeltans et al., 2012), and new taxa are continually being added (e.g. Toshino
et al., 2019; Galea and Maggioni, 2020; Maggioni et al., 2021; Tödter et al., 2023). Yet, part of its diversity is still unknown (Appeltans et al., 2012), also as a consequence of the pervasive occurrence of morphologically cryptic species (Postaire et al., 2016, 2017; Maggioni et al., 2020a, 2022a). However, recent broad-scale molecular studies have started to shed light on the evolutionary affinities of genera, families, and taxa at a higher level, clarifying phylogenetic relationships that were unclear or established solely based on morphology (e.g. Maronna et al., 2016; Munro et al., 2018; Bentlage and Collins, 2021).

The evolutionary history of some hydrozoan taxa remains elusive, owing to low sampling effort compared to extant diversity or to ineffective molecular markers that are not able to disentangle deeper relationships. An example is the suborder Capitata, currently containing about 200 accepted extant species (Schuchert, 2023), and showing several uncertainties at the species, genus and family levels. This suborder was also previously thought to include the now separated group Aplanulata, which was demonstrated to diverge from Capitata s.s., constituting a different suborder also including the model organisms Hydra spp. (Collins et al., 2005). Within Capitata, two major clades were identified by Nawrocki et al. (2010), namely the Zancleida and Corynida. On the one hand, the previous phylogenetic assessments of Capitata mostly focused on Corynida (Collins et al., 2005; Nawrocki et al., 2010), which contains two families, Corynidae Johnston, 1836 and Cladonematidae Gegenbaur, 1857. Despite several taxonomic and systematic issues being clarified by Nawrocki et al. (2010), some relationships are still uncertain and many genera and species are currently lacking DNA data. Zancleida, on the other hand, shows a greater family and genus diversity, and some recent works focused on the diversity and evolution of certain families or clades (Miglietta et al., 2019; Maggioni et al., 2021, 2022b). A striking example of taxonomic and systematic uncertainties in Zancleida is represented by the family Zancleidae Russell, 1953, the most diverse of the clade, which has been demonstrated to be polyphyletic and to contain several cryptic species (Maggioni et al., 2018, 2020a, 2022a). The taxonomy of this family is challenging for several reasons, including the conserved general morphology, the presence of poor-quality descriptions, and the limited knowledge of the life cycles for several species (Maggioni et al., 2018).

Capitata shows a large variation in morphologies of polyps and medusae, habitats in which they live, and ecological relationships that they establish. For instance, regarding the morphology, different types of polyps and colonies evolved in the group, including stolonal, erect, or even completely pelagic colonies, and some species also show polymorphic polyps
(Petersen, 1990; Boero et al., 2000; Bouillon et al., 2006). Most species have a chitinous structure, the perisarc, covering at least the hydrorhiza, whereas some Zancleida species completely lost this structure (Bouillon et al., 2006). The cnidome also is variable among Capitata groups, and for some taxa it is considered an important diagnostic character (Gravili et al., 1996). The reproductive stages show variable levels of reduction, ranging from completely developed, freely swimming and feeding medusae, to reduced medusoids that can be released or not from the parental polyps, and to sporosacs (Petersen, 1990; Schuchert, 2001; Bouillon et al., 2006). The geographical distribution of Capitata as a whole is circumglobal, from polar to tropical regions and from shallow to deep waters (Peña Cantero et al., 2013; Ronowicz et al., 2013; Montano et al., 2015a; Mastrototaro et al., 2016), with some species showing wide distributional ranges, and others only known from restricted localities (e.g. Maggioni et al., 2017, 2021, 2022b; Miglietta et al., 2019).

Another source of variation in the Capitata is represented by the substrate on which polyps live. Indeed, many species establish symbiotic relationships with other benthic organisms, living on or partially embedded in their hosts, which can be algae, crustaceans, bryozoans, molluscs, polychaetes, poriferans, or other cnidarians such as scleractinian corals and octocorals (Puce et al., 2008). The host specificity of these symbiotic species is variable, with both generalist and specialist taxa (Maggioni et al., 2022a, b), and in some cases the relationships appear to be very intimate, with the hosts showing specific structural modifications (Puce et al., 2005; Manca et al., 2019; Maggioni et al., 2020b). Both mutualistic and parasitic associations are known, with some species in the genus Zanclea Gegenbaur, 1856, for example, protecting their hosts from predators (Osman and Haugsness, 1981; Montano et al., 2017) and at least one Halocoryne species feeding on the lophophores of the host bryozoans (Piraino et al., 1992). In most cases, the nature of these associations is still unclear. Additional types of mutualistic symbiotic relationships are known in capitate hydrozoans: for example, Millepora spp. and Velella velella (Linnaeus, 1758) hosting dinoflagellate symbionts in their endodermal cells (Banaszak et al., 1993; Di Camillo et al., 2017), or the thiotrophic ectosymbiosis of two sulfur-oxidizing bacteria attached to the tentacles of Cladonema sp. hydroids (Abouna et al., 2015).

The establishment of symbiotic relationships has been hypothesized to have influenced the evolution of the involved organisms, in terms of morphological and behavioural adaptations. Piraino et al. (1992) put forward the hypothesis that, at least in the symbiotic relationships between hydroids and bryozoans, mutualism originated from simple epibiosis, and parasitism from
mutualism. Accordingly, Boero et al. (2000) proposed an evolutionary trend in Zancleidae species, from nonsymbiotic, monomorphic species with a typical Zanclea morphology in both polyp and medusa, to obligate symbiotic species with modified polymorphic polyps, a medusa that is more or less reduced, and behavioural integrations with the host. Later, Puce et al. (2002) pinpointed another interesting trend in zancleid symbiotic species related to modifications of the hydrorhiza. Specifically, they hypothesized a shift from generalist species growing on a variety of substrates, and with a hydrorhiza protected by the chitinous perisare, to specialist species (e.g. associated with bryozoans) with the hydrorhiza growing inside/being surrounded by the host skeleton and without protective perisarc as a consequence of the defensive action exerted by the host (also discussed in Bogdanov et al., 2022). These possible adaptations to the symbiotic lifestyle also may have appeared convergently in other hydrozoan groups (Puce et al., 2008). For instance, polymorphic colonies, reduced polyps and absence of perisarc covering the hydrorhiza are known in symbiotic filiferan species associated with molluscs, crustaceans, polychaetes and fish (Hand and Hendrickson, 1950; Boero et al., 1991; Puce et al., 2004; Miglietta and Cunningham, 2012). Likewise, all species specifically associated with the seagrass Posidonia oceanica (Linnaeus) Delile, 1813 lack the ability to produce free-swimming medusae (Boero, 1987). Interestingly, Leclère et al. (2009) showed that the evolution of the life cycles in leptothecate hydrozoans does not follow a phyletic gradualism model, with, for instance, medusa loss preceded by medusa reduction in the form of a medusoid. Instead, the evolution of life cycles is characterized by independent events of simplification and re-acquisition of the medusa stage (Boero and Sarà, 1987; Leclère et al., 2009), as exemplified by the re-invention of the medusa in Obelia (Péron \& Lesueur, 1810), with its peculiar apomorphies including the absence of a velum, the chordal tentacles and the special orientation of striated muscle cells (Boero and Sarà, 1987). This evolutionary scenario also may apply to the evolution of other hydrozoan characters.
In order to clarify the occurrence and origin of lifehistory traits within Capitata, the present study has two main aims, namely (i) the clarification of its phylogenetic relationships, and (ii) the assessment of the evolution of different ecological and morphological features in the group. In this case, ecological traits mainly concern symbiotic relationships with other organisms, as also shown by, for example, corallivorous parasitic snails (Gittenberger and Hoeksema, 2013; Potkamp et al., 2017), symbiotic palaemonid shrimps (Horká et al., 2016), coral-dwelling barnacles (Dreyer et al., 2022) and anthozoan zoantharians (Kise et al., 2023). New genetic data were collected and
analysed together with available DNA sequences to produce the most comprehensive phylogenetic hypothesis for the suborder. The obtained phylogeny reconstruction was then used to model the evolution of selected characters, including the morphological features, the symbiotic lifestyle and the habitat type. Finally, evolutionary relationships between the symbiotic lifestyle and the other characters were tested.

## Material and methods

## Sampling and morphological assessment

Sampling was carried out by snorkelling and SCUBA diving (030 m deep) between October 2014 and December 2019 in various localities across the Indo-Pacific, Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea (Table S1), and mostly targeted polyp and medusa stages of the Zancleida (Fig. 1). When hydrozoan polyps were observed, fragments of the substrate and associated hydroids were collected using hammer and chisel or a diving knife. Before manipulation, hydroids were anaesthetized using menthol crystals, photographed, and detached from the substrate using forceps, syringe needles and micropipettes, and fixed in $99 \%$ ethanol for genetic analyses and in $10 \%$ formalin (= water solution of $4 \%$ formaldehyde) for morphological assessments. When possible, polyps were reared in oxygenated bowls to allow for medusae release, but in a few cases, medusae were directly collected from the environment.

Specimens were observed and photographed under a EZ4 D stereo microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) to perform species identification and to describe their general morphology, and under a Axioskop 40 compound microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) to characterize fine-scale structures of polyps and medusae and cnidocysts. Both microscopes were equipped with a PowerShot G7 X Mark II camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan). Measurements were performed using the ImageJ 1.52 p software (see Schneider et al., 2012).

When symbiotic species occurred, hosts were identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible. In particular, to identify host bryozoan species, tissues were removed by immersion in a $10 \%$ sodium hypochlorite solution for up to 12 h , skeletons were rinsed, air-dried, sputter-coated with gold, and observed under a Gemini SEM 500 scanning electron microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) (see Grischenko et al., 2022).

The present work is registered in ZooBank under: http://zoobank. org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:3D9D9A6D-183C-4397-8D60810E2B6166D2.

## DNA extraction, sequencing and dataset assembly

Genomic DNA was extracted from single ethanol-fixed polyps or medusae following the protocol described in Maggioni et al. (2022a) and six gene regions were amplified. Specifically, portions of the mitochondrial large ribosomal RNA ( 16 S rRNA ), cytochrome $c$ oxidase subunit I (COX1), cytochrome $c$ oxidase subunit III (COX3), and nuclear small ribosomal RNA ( 18 S rRNA), large ribosomal RNA (28S rRNA), internal transcribed spacer (ITS; including partial ITS1, 5.8S and partial ITS2 regions) were amplified using the primers and protocols described in Maggioni et al. (2020a). Amplification success was assessed through $1.5 \%$ agarose electrophoretic runs, PCR products were purified with Illustra ExoStar (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK) and finally sequenced with an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in both


Fig. 1. Morphological diversity of the Zancleida species. (a) Reduced and polymorphic polyps of Halocoryne epizoica associated with Schizobrachiella sanguinea (Tricase, Italy, Mediterranean Sea), and (b) Zanclea pirainoid associated with Robertsonidra sp. (Magoodhoo, Maldives, Indian Ocean). (c) Polyps of Zanclea giancarloi associated with Adeonella sp. (Corfu, Greece, Mediterranean Sea). (d) Millepora dichotoma (Yanbu, Saudi Arabia, Red Sea). (e) Solanderia gracilis (Bocas del Toro, Panama, Caribbean Sea). (f) Porpita porpita (Magoodhoo, Maldives, Indian Ocean). (g) Sphaerocoryne bedoti associated with a sponge (Magoodhoo, Maldives, Indian Ocean), and (h) Pennaria disticha (Daranboodhoo, Maldives, Indian Ocean). Medusa stages of (i) Zanclea sp. (Villefranche-sur-Mer, France, Mediterranean Sea), (j) Pseudozanclea timida (Daranboodhoo, Maldives, Indian Ocean) and (k) Apatizanclea sp. 1 (Magoodhoo, Maldives). Medusoids of (1) Halocoryne epizoica (Tricase, Italy, Mediterranean Sea), and (m) Millepora dichotoma (Thuwal, Saudi Arabia, Red Sea). Medusoids (arrowheads) attached to parental polyps of ( n ) Cladocoryne haddoni (Bileddhoo, Maldives, Indian Ocean), (o) Solanderia secunda (Thuwal, Saudi Arabia, Red Sea), and (p) Pennaria disticha (Bocas del Toro, Panama, Caribbean Sea).
directions. Geneious 7.1.9 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand) was used to check, correct and assemble the chromatograms, and to translate the protein-coding genes (COX1 and COX3) to control for the presence of stop codons. The obtained consensus sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table S1).

Additional sequences were downloaded from GenBank, including all available sequenced specimens for the family Zancleidae and one specimen for each other Capitata species (Table S1). Even though many species were represented by only one or a few sequences obtained from GenBank, they were included in the analyses in order to obtain the most comprehensive dataset possible in terms of taxonomic diversity at the species, genus and family levels.

Sequences of each DNA region were aligned using MAFFT 7.110 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with the E-INS-i option, after adding Eudendrium racemosum (Cavolini, 1785) as outgroup. The 16S, 18S, 28 S and ITS alignments were run through Gblocks (Castresana, 2000; Talavera and Castresana, 2007) using the "less stringent" settings to remove ambiguously aligned regions (Table S2). Finally, alignments were concatenated using Mesquite 3.2 (Maddison and Maddison, 2006).

Initially, all sequences were included in the downstream phylogenetic analyses to assess the phylogenetic position of the Zancleidae species within Capitata, owing to the known polyphyly of the family (Maggioni et al., 2018). Subsequently, a single specimen per species was maintained to obtain the presented phylogenetic hypotheses for the Capitata. For each Zancleidae clade, phylogenetic analyses were also run separately including all available sequenced specimens and using Porpita porpita (Linnaeus, 1758), Millepora dichotoma Forsskål, 1775 and Pseudozanclea timida (Puce, Di Camillo \& Bavestrello, 2008) as outgroups.

## Phylogenetic analyses

Substitution models and partitions were determined with PartitionFinder 1.1.1 (Lanfear et al., 2012) using the Akaike information criterion (AIC), resulting in partitioning by gene and codon (for proteincoding genes) and in the selection of the GTR $+I+G$ for all partitions. Phylogeny reconstructions were performed using maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). MP analyses were carried out using tree searches performed in TNT 1.6 (Goloboff, 1999; Nixon, 1999; Goloboff et al., 2008) with 10000 random addition sequences, each employing 100 cycles of sectorial searches, ratcheting, drifting and tree fusing. Gaps were treated as missing data. Resampling was performed with 10000 bootstrap replicates. ML analyses were run using RAxML 8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014) with 1000 nonparametric bootstrap replicates. BI analyses were conducted using BEAST 1.8.2 (Drummond et al., 2012), setting a Yule process tree prior and an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock. Three replicate analyses were run for $10^{8}$ million generations each, sampling every 10000 th tree, and were combined using LogCombiner 1.8.2 (Drummond et al., 2012) with a burn-in of $25 \%$, after checking the stationarity for effective sampling size and unimodal posterior distribution using Tracer v.1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). Maximum clade credibility trees were obtained using TreeAnnotator 1.8.2 (Drummond et al., 2012). ML and BI analyses were run on the CIPRES server (Miller et al., 2010). The nodal supports of all phylogenetic reconstructions were mapped onto the BI tree. We considered the node support as maximal when bootstrap values for MP (MP BS) and ML (ML BS) analyses were $=100$ and Bayesian posterior probabilities $(\mathrm{BPP})$ were $=1$, high when $\mathrm{BS} \geq 75$ and $\mathrm{BPP} \geq 0.9$, and low when BS $<75$ and BPP $<0.9$. Nodes with low support for all the analyses were collapsed.

Genetic distances within and between Zancleidae species were calculated with MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018) as \% uncorrected pdistances with 1000 nonparametric bootstrap replicates and were presented as density plots of intra- and interspecific genetic distances for each Zancleidae clade using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2009) in the R environment ( R Core Team, 2020).

## Phylogenetic comparative methods

The evolution of selected discrete morphological and ecological traits was assessed performing ancestral state reconstructions on the Capitata phylogeny using stochastic mapping (Huelsenbeck et al., 2003). The studied characters (Table S3) were: (i) symbiotic lifestyle (presence, absence), (ii) host (generalist, bryozoan, scleractinian coral, octocoral, sponge, mollusc), (iii) perisarc (presence, absence), (iv) polymorphism, intended as colonies with gastrozooids and dactylozooids (presence, absence), (v) heteronemes, intended as cnidocysts of the eurytele and mastigophore type (presence, absence), (vi) colony type (stolonal, erect, solitary, pelagic), (vii) reproductive stage (medusa, medusoid, sporosac) and (viii) habitat (tropical, nontropical, both). Hydrozoan species were considered as "symbiotic" when living as epibionts or partial epibionts of other organisms, and "generalist" when living associated to more than one of the host groups listed above or living on abiotic substrates. Probable realizations of the evolution of the characters were mapped onto the Capitata phylogeny using the "make.simmap" function in the phytools package (Revell, 2012) in R (R Core Team, 2020). Because the character states were unknown for some of the tips, the input character state vector was converted into a matrix of prior probabilities for tip states, and equal probabilities for each state were assigned for these unknown tip states (e.g. it is unknown whether the polyp stage of Zanclea mayeri Schuchert \& Collins, 2021 lives in symbiosis with other organisms and therefore the tip states "presence" and "absence" were assigned equal probabilities of 0.5 for the character "symbiotic lifestyle"). Reconstructions were run under the "equal rates" (ER) and "all rates different" (ARD) models, comparing the fit of the models with a likelihood ratio test using the function "pchisq" and resulting in the selection of the ARD model for all characters except habitat (ER model). For each reconstruction, 10000 stochastic mapping replicates were run and the results were summarized with pie charts representing the posterior probability of each internal node being in each state.

The presence of evolutionary correlation between some of the characters was also tested using the Pagel's method (Pagel, 1994), which, by fitting different models to the data (e.g. two traits evolve independently vs. the evolution of two characters is correlated) and performing a likelihood ratio test, assesses whether the difference between models is significant. The tested relationships were between the character "symbiotic lifestyle" and all other characters but "host", to assess whether the evolution of symbiosis influenced the evolution of morphological traits and to test whether living in tropical environments favoured the shift to a symbiotic lifestyle. Tests were carried out using the "fitPagel" function in the phytools package (Revell, 2012) after re-coding character states to binary (Table S2) and after pruning the tree from species with unknown states. For each test, four models of coevolution between characters $x$ and $y$ were fitted to data (independent evolution; the evolution of $x$ depends on the evolution of $y$; the evolution of $y$ depends on the evolution of $x ; x$ and $y$ evolve interdependently) and results were compared using AIC weights (AICw) and performing a likelihood ratio test between each model assuming coevolution and the model assuming independent evolution.

Alignments, raw phylogenetic trees, and R scripts and files used for the phylogenetic comparative methods are available at the following link: https://figshare.com/s/ff00a8fe9e61b1bde4e3.

## Results

## Molecular phylogenetics

Sampling activities resulted in the collection of 60 Capitata species, with most species belonging to the
superfamily Zancleida (Fig. 1; Table S1). All specimens were identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible, but in some cases a species identification was not possible, owing to the lack of suitable morphological diagnostic characters and incomplete knowledge of the life cycle (i.e. only the medusa or polyp stage was available). These species are dealt with in the "Taxonomic account" section.

The assembled genetic dataset included 223 specimens, 115 species, 35 genera and 14 families of Capitata hydrozoans, representing the most complete dataset employed so far for phylogenetic analyses of the suborder. The MP, BI and ML reconstructions were broadly concordant and the nodal supports of each analysis were mapped onto the BI tree (Fig. 2). The Capitata were fully supported and divided into the two clades Zancleida and Corynida, both showing high support in almost all analyses, with the only exception being the Zancleida, which was not supported by the MP analysis. A clade composed of the families Pennariidae McCrady, 1859, Hydrocorynidae Rees, 1957, Halimedusidae Arai \& Brinckmann-Voss, 1980, and Moerisiidae Poche, 1914 was a sister to all other Zancleida taxa. Within this clade, Pennariidae and Hydrocorynidae were sister groups, and so were Halimedusidae and Moerisiidae. Notably, Tiaricodon orientalis Yamamoto \& Toshino, 2021 had a higher affinity with the Moerisiidae than with the Halimedusidae, to which it is currently ascribed, but further sampling is needed to clarify this issue. Zancleopsidae Bouillon, 1978 and Sphaerocorynidae Prévot, 1959 were highly supported and, together, placed as a sister group to the remaining Zancleida, followed by Cladocorynidae Allman, 1872 and Porpitidae Goldfuss, 1818. The families Milleporidae Fleming, 1828 and Solanderiidae Marshall, 1892 formed two fully supported, reciprocally monophyletic clades, even if the support of this sister-group relationship was low. Finally, Zancleidae resulted to be polyphyletic in all analyses and, overall, cryptic species were common in different Zancleida groups, namely in Apatizanclea divergens (Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000), coralassociated Zanclea spp., Solanderia secunda (Inaba, 1892), Pteroclava krempfi (Billard, 1919) and Pennaria disticha Goldfuss, 1820.

Regarding the Zancleidae, several Zanclea species formed a highly supported group corresponding to the "true" family Zancleidae and the genus Zanclea (Figs 2 and 3a), including the type species Zanclea costata Gegenbaur, 1857. The other included species were Z. tipis Puce, Cerrano, Boyer, Ferretti \& Bavestrello, 2002, Z. giancarloi Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000,
Z. sessilis (Gosse, 1853), Z. implexa (Alder, 1856), coral-associated Zanclea species, including $Z$. sango Hirose \& Hirose, 2011 and Z. gallii Montano, Maggioni \& Puce, 2015, Zanclea cf. migottoi, five unidentified species from the Mediterranean, Florida, China, Caribbean and Maldives, and Zanclea pirainoid (Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000) comb.n., previously ascribed to the genus Halocoryne Hadzi, 1917. Sequences of Z. migottoi Galea, 2008 from GenBank, and three Zanclea specimens from the Caribbean and the Maldives formed a fully supported group, with a moderate intragroup genetic distance of $1.5 \%$ (Table S4) and were named $Z$. cf. migottoi, pending further sampling to clarify if they belong to the same species or are a species complex (see "Taxonomic account" section). Sequences from China were downloaded from GenBank but no associated data were available. The other undetermined species could not be identified to the species level as a consequence of a lack of information about the polyp or medusa stage and are described in the "Taxonomic account" section. The intra- and interspecific genetic distances of Zanclea species did not overlap, showing nevertheless a very narrow gap (Fig. 3d; Table S4). The other species ascribed to the genus Zanclea formed three divergent groups. A first was composed of Zanclea prolifera Uchida and Sugiura, 1976 alone, showing a sister-group relationship with the Asyncorynidae Kramp, 1949 (Fig. 2), even if with low support. For a second clade, including the species Zanclea divergens, Zanclea mayeri, Zanclea exposita Puce, Cerrano, Boyer, Ferretti \& Bavestrello, 2002, Zanclea sp. 1 and Zanclea sp. 2 (sensu Maggioni et al., 2018), the new genus Apatizanclea gen.n. is erected, resulting in the new combinations Apatizanclea divergens, Apatizanclea mayeri, Apatizanclea exposita, Apatizanclea sp. 1 and Apatizanclea sp. 2 (Figs 2 and 3b). This clade showed uncertain intragroup phylogenetic relationships, but all species were well-defined, also according to genetic distance analysis (Fig. 3d; Table S4), with a clear separation between intra- and interspecific distances. A third, fully supported group was composed of Halocoryne protecta (Hastings, 1932) comb.n., Halocoryne eilatensis (Pica, Bastari \& Puce, 2017) comb.n. and Halocoryne epizoica Hadzi, 1917 (Fig. 3c), with the first two, previously ascribed to the genus Zanclea, being sister species (Fig. 3c) and sharing almost identical morphologies (Pica et al., 2017; Maggioni et al., 2020b). Intra- and interspecific genetic distances showed some overlapping values in this group, due to the high intraspecific divergence of $H$. eilatensis (Fig. 3d; Table S4).

Fig. 2. Bayesian phylogenetic hypothesis of the Capitata, obtained from the concatenated dataset and including one specimen per species. Numbers at nodes represent BPP, ML BS, and MP BS, respectively. Asterisks denote maximal support for all analyses, whereas dashes ( - ) indicate nodes that were not supported in the corresponding analyses. The scale bar indicates the mean number of nucleotide substitutions per site.



The superfamily Corynida encompasses the families Corynidae and Cladonematidae, which both were highly supported in the phylogenetic hypotheses presented here (Fig. 2). In the family Corynidae, the group composed of Sarsia Lesson, 1843 and Slabberia Forbes, 1846 formed a well-supported monophyletic group, but the internal relationships were not completely resolved. Despite most Sarsia species forming a cohesive clade, the position of Sarsia bella Brinckmann-Voss, 2000 was unclear, as well as the validity of the genus Slabberia. A more confusing situation occurred within Cladonematidae, where, despite the genus Cladonema Dujardin, 1843 being monophyletic and well-supported, the relationships among Staurocladia Hartlaub, 1917 and Eleutheria Quatrefages, 1842 were unclear (Fig. 2) and insufficiently resolved to understand the validity of the two genera.

## Taxonomic account

In this section the descriptions of undetermined or dubious Zanclea species are provided, together with diagnoses of the genera Zanclea, Halocoryne and Apatizanclea. The family Zancleidae was not split in different families because phylogenetic relationships were not fully resolved, especially in relation to the families Asyncorynidae, Millerporidae and Solanderiidae. Owing to the need for genetic data to solve the phylogenetic position of all zancleid species, generic diagnoses are based solely on the species included in the molecular analyses, and affinities with species not included are discussed in the "Remarks" sections.
Hydrozoa Owen, 1843
Capitata Kuhn, 1913
Zancleidae Russel, 1953
Zanclea Gegenbaur, 1856
Diagnosis: Polyps colonial, stolonal with creeping hydrorhiza, with or without perisarc, frequently associated with other organisms including bryozoans, scleractinian corals, molluscs and algae. Polyps monomorphic or polymorphic, including gastrozooids and dactylozooids. Gastrozooids cylindrical or claviform with oral and aboral capitate tentacles or without tentacles. Dactylozooids elongated and contractile, with or without capitate tentacles. Cnidome composed of stenoteles generally of two size classes, with or without macrobasic euryteles or mastigophores. Medusae with bell-shaped umbrella, four radial canals, and four exumbrellar perradial cnidocyst patches or lines with stenoteles. Manubrium bearing gonads inter-radially, ending with a mouth simple and circular. Four bulbs with no ocelli, forming two or four tentacles equipped with cnidophores containing bean-shaped macrobasic euryteles.

Species currently included in the genus: Z. costata (type species), Z. migottoi, Z. giancarloi, Z. sessilis,
Z. implexa, Z. pirainoid comb.n., Z. tipis, Z gallii, $Z$. sango, other undetermined species associated with scleractinian corals and bryozoans or known from the medusa stage only.

Remarks: Zancleidae is a species-rich family composed of taxa in many cases hardly distinguishable or with partially unknown life cycles, making it difficult to assess their systematic affinities without genetic data. The many species described based on the polyp or medusa alone and without DNA data contributed to fuelling the taxonomic confusion of this family. For this reason, it is challenging to assess whether the many Zanclea species not included in the phylogenetic analyses belong to this genus. The family has been previously subdivided into the three genera Zanclea, Halocoryne and Zanclella Boero \& Hewitt, 1992, even if the validity of the latter two has been questioned, owing to the overlap of the morphological characters used in their diagnoses (Schuchert, 1996, 2010). On the one hand, the type species of Zanclea, Z. costata, belongs to a well-supported clade that we defined as the "true" Zancleidae and Zanclea, together with many other species. On the other hand, the type species of Halocoryne, H. epizoica, is divergent from this group and the genus should therefore not be considered as part of the Zancleidae anymore. Further sampling is needed to address this issue. Nevertheless, a species previously ascribed to Halocoryne has been demonstrated to belong to the genus Zanclea, and, likewise, species previously ascribed to Zanclea were moved to the genus Halocoryne, hampering clear morphological diagnoses for both genera. The situation remains even more uncertain for Zanclella, with Zanclella diabolica Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000 sharing strong similarities with Apatizanclea species. However, no molecular data are available for the type species Zanclella bryozoophila Boero \& Hewitt, 1992. For this reason, for the moment being, we consider Zancleidae as a polyphyletic family.

## Zanclea $c f$. migottoi. Figure 4

Material examined. BT001: Panama, Bocas del Toro ( $9.3509^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 82.2548^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), August 2015, attached to a floating Sargassum sp.-MA0117152 (DNA code: MA334): Maldives, Faafu Atoll, Adangau Island ( $3.1429^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 73.0121^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 18.II.2017, 10 m deep, on Parasmittina egyptiaca (Waters, 1909).-MA0117155 (DNA code: MA335): Maldives, Faafu Atoll, Adangau Island $\left(3.1429^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 73.0121^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$, 18.II.2017, 10 m deep, on a coralline alga.

Description. Colony stolonal, growing on different substrates including Sargassum algae (Fig. 4a), bryozoans (Fig. 4b) and coralline algae (Fig. 4c). Hydrorhiza with a thick perisarc, reticular, crawling on the substrate, and often with epiphytes (Fig. 4d-f). Colonies monomorphic, gastrozooids tubular to clavate, up

stenoteles are $10-13 \times 9-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample MA0117152, $8-11 \times 9-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample MA0117155 and $9-10 \times 7-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample BT001. Small stenoteles are $6-7 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample MA0117152, $5-6 \times 3-$ $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample MA0117155 and $5-6 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample BT001. Euryteles are $21-22 \times 8-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample MA0117152, $21-22 \times 8-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample MA0117152 and $16 \times 9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in sample BT001.

Distribution. Samples analysed here came from Caribbean Panama and from Faafu Atoll, Central Maldives. Records of Z. migottoi are from Guadeloupe (Galea, 2008), Yucatán, Mexico (Mendoza-Becerril et al., 2018), and Sergipe, Brasil (Castro Mendonça et al., 2022).

Remarks. Zanclea migottoi was described by Galea (2008) to accommodate Zanclea polyps previously attributed to $Z$. cf. alba and $Z$. costata (Migotto, 1996; Vervoort, 2006) but differing from these two species by the cnidome composition. Our Caribbean specimen comes very close to $Z$. alba (Meyen, 1834) in general morphology and in its association with the alga Sargassum sp. However, the presence of euryteles in the hydrorhiza, even if rare, points against its assignment to $Z$. alba and makes it more similar to Z. migottoi. Indeed, euryteles were never found in Z. alba (Calder, 1988; Galea, 2008) and Z. migottoi shows euryteles of a size comparable to our Caribbean material, even if in the present material euryteles were not found at the base of tentacles, as reported in the Z. migottoi description (Galea, 2008). Maldivian specimens showed a similar morphology, which also is similar to other monomorphic Zanclea species with euryteles, and were associated with other substrates, namely a red alga, similar to the colony described in Migotto (1996), and a bryozoan. Genetically, these specimens were closely related to our Caribbean material and to a sequence obtained from GenBank (Accession number: MF538731) formerly identified as Z. migottoi (Mendoza-Becerril et al., 2018). Recent reanalysis of this latter specimen revealed the absence of euryteles in the polyp (Mendoza-Becerril, pers. comm.), fitting therefore the scope of Z. alba. Given the presence of euryteles in our specimens, we provisionally identified them as $Z$. cf. migottoi, but it might be possible that $Z$. migottoi and $Z$. alba are the same species, being the presence of euryteles variable and not diagnostic for this species. A focused morphomolecular assessment is needed to disentangle this issue. Whatever the species identity of this lineage, it shows a wide distribution, spanning the Atlantic and Indian oceans, and is generalist in terms of substrate on which the polyps grow.

Zanclea sp. (Maldives). Figure 5
Material examined. MA0318071 (DNA code: MA464): Maldives, Faafu Atoll, Adangau Island (3.1429º N, 73.0121 E), 19.III.2018, 25 m deep.

Description. Colony stolonal, growing in association with the cheilostome bryozoan Plesiocleidochasma laterale (Harmer, 1957) (Fig. 5a-c). Hydrorhiza with a thin perisarc, reticular, crawling on (and possibly under) the host surface. Colonies monomorphic, gastrozooids tubular, up to 1 mm long, with a distal and circular mouth, surrounded by 5 oral capitate tentacles, and with up to 25 aboral capitate tentacles scattered over all the length of the polyp column (Fig. 5ae), with 2-4 short tentacles often found at the base of the polyp (Fig. 5f). Capitula larger in oral tentacles (up to $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) and smaller in aboral tentacles (up to $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) (Fig. 5e). Living polyps transparent with a whitish mouth (Fig. 5a-d). Medusa buds originating in the proximal portion of the gastrozooid. Medusa not observed. Cnidome composed of stenoteles of two size classes (Fig. 5g) and macrobasic apotrichous euryteles (Fig. 5h,i). Large (9-12 $\times 8-$ $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and small stenoteles ( $5-6 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) abundant in capitula and hydrorhiza, euryteles (21-24 $\times 8$ $12 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ present around the hypostome and scattered in the hydranth.

Distribution. Known only from Faafu Atoll, Central Maldives.

Remarks. The only analysed colony can hardly be distinguished from other monomorphic Zanclea species with macrobasic apotrichous euryteles. Among these species, some show different host preferences, such as Z. fanella Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000 growing on mollusc shells in the Western Pacific and coralassociated Zanclea. Other similar species are Z. giancarloi, $Z$. implexa and $Z$. migottoi, which nevertheless clearly differ from a genetic point of view and are generalists in the substrate on which they settle. Several Zanclea species were described from the IndoPacific based on their medusa stage only (Maggioni et al., 2018) and, given also the unknown medusa stage for the Maldivian specimen, we were unable to assign it to any known or new species.

Zanclea sp. (Curaçao). Figure 6
Material examined. CU024: Curaçao, St. Michiel's Bay ( $12.1481^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 69.0003^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 10.VI.2017, 22 m deep.-CU041: Curaçao, Playa Marie Pampoen (12.0901 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 68.9052^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 12.VI.2017, 27 m deep.CU043: Curaçao, Substation (12.0844 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $68.8983^{\circ}$ W), 12.VI.2017, 22 m deep.-CU055: Curaçao, Caracas Bay, Tugboat Beach, (12.0681$N$, $68.8622^{\circ}$ W), 13.VI.2017, 15 m deep.-CU061: Curaçao, Playa Kalki (12.3750 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $\left.69.1578^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$, 14.VI.2017, 15 m deep.-CU082: Curaçao: Coral Estate $\quad\left(12.1958^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, \quad 69.0795^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$, 17.VI.2017, 30 m deep.

Description. Colony stolonal, exclusively growing in association with the cheilostome bryozoan Trematooecia aviculifera (Canu \& Bassler, 1923) (Fig. 6a-f).


Fig. 5. Zanclea sp. from Maldives associated with Plesiocleidochasma laterale. (a-d) Polyps of living colonies on the host bryozoan. (e) Gastrozooid and (f) detail of two tentacles at the base of the polyp. (g) Capitulum with small and large size stenotele. (h) Undischarged and (i) discharged macrobasic apotrichous eurytele capsules. Scale bars: (a-d) 0.2 mm , (e, f) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, (g-i) $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Hydrorhiza devoid of perisarc, reticular, crawling under the host skeleton or among the grooves of the autozooids and projecting out for some of its length. Colonies polymorphic, composed of gastrozooids (Fig. 6a-d) and dactylozooids (Fig. 6e,f). Gastrozooids tubular, $\leq 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, with a distal and circular mouth, surrounded by 5 or 6 oral capitate tentacles, and with up to 40 aboral capitate tentacles scattered over all the length of the polyp column (Fig. 6g).

Capitula larger in oral tentacles (up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) and smaller in aboral tentacles (up to $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) (Fig. 6g). Dactylozooid thin and very extensible, up to 2.5 mm long, without a mouth, and with 4 distal capitate tentacles (capitula up to $90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) (Fig. 6h,i). Living gastrozooids transparent with a whitish mouth, dactylozooids transparent (Fig. 6ad). Medusa buds originating directly from the hydrorhiza. Medusa not observed. Cnidome composed of


Fig. 6. Zanclea sp. from Curaçao associated with Trematooecia aviculifera. (a-d) Gastrozooids and (e, f) dactylozooids showing over the host bryozoan colony. (g) Gastrozooid and (h) dactylozooid, with (i) detail of the four dactylozooid tentacles. (j) Capitulum with small and large size stenoteles and (k) euryteles. Scale bars: (a-f) 0.2 mm , (g, h) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, (i, j) $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
stenoteles of two size classes (Fig. 6j) and macrobasic apotrichous euryteles (Fig. 6k). Large ( $10-14 \times 8-$ $11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and small stenoteles ( $5-7 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) abundant in capitula, euryteles ( $15-20 \times 7-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the hydrorhiza, at the base of gastrozooids, and in the distal portion of the dactylozooids.
Distribution. Known only from Curaçao, Caribbean Sea.
Remarks. A few Zanclea species are reported to have tentaculated dactylozooids, namely $Z$. sessilis from the

Mediterranean and Atlantic, Z. polymorpha Schuchert, 1996 from New Zealand, Z. bomala Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000 from California and Z. hirohitoi Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000 from Papua New Guinea (Schuchert, 1996; Boero et al., 2000; Altuna, 2016). The specimens analysed herein differ genetically from $Z$. sessilis and also show some differences compared to the other species. Zanclea bomala lacks euryteles and Z. hirohitoi has tentaculozooids that are absent in the present specimens.


Fig. 7. Zanclea sp. medusa with four tentacles from western Mediterranean. (a) Female (left) and male (right) medusae and higher magnification of the same (b) male and (c) female specimens. (d) Another male individual. Scale bars: 0.5 mm .

Zanclea polymorpha is the most similar species, nevertheless showing a lower number of aboral tentacles and larger euyteles, and being associated with a different bryozoan species, namely Rhynchozoon larreyi (Audouin, 1826). A few Zanclea-like medusae, whose polyp stage is still unknown, have been reported from the Atlantic Ocean, such as Apatizanclea mayeri and Zanclea sp. from Florida (Schuchert and Collins, 2021) -but these two species clearly differ genetically from this species (Figs 2 and 3), Z. sagittaria (Haeckel, 1879) from Cuba (Haeckel, 1879), and Z. medusopolypata Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000 from Venezuela and Brazil (Boero et al., 2000). However, no genetic data are available for the latter two species. Given the more or less distinct morphological differences, the genetic differences, and the disjunct
geographical distributions, we refer to our specimens as Zanclea sp. pending further sampling and analyses.

Zanclea $s p$. (Mediterranean). Figure 7
Material examined. Vfr14-01 (DNA code: DNA0977), male: France, Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer (43.6856 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $7.3178^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 28.IV.2014, $0-70 \mathrm{~m}$ deep.--Vfr14-02 (DNA code: DNA0978), female: France, Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer (43.6856 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, \quad 7.3178^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$, 28.IV.2014, $0-70 \mathrm{~m}$ deep.-Vfr16-11 (DNA code: DNA1152), male: France, Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer (43.6856${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 7.3178^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 28.IV.2016, 0-20 m deep.-Vfr16-15 (DNA code: DNA1154), male: France, Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer (43.6856 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, \quad 7.3178^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$, 29.IV.2016, 0-75 m deep. All specimens were collected with plankton net by PS.

Description. Mature medusa $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ high and 4 mm wide, umbrella bell-shaped, apex slightly pointed. Exumbrella with 4 perradial nematocyst bands, extending from circular canal to apex. Manubrium large, extending for $3 / 4$ of subumbrellar cavity, with a larger upper part and a thinner, cylindrical mouth region. Upper part of manubrium cruciform in crosssection, with gonads separated perradially. Four radial canals ending in 4 tentaculated bulbs. Four thick tentacles, armed with spherical cnidophores. No ocelli present. Living medusae transparent, with orange manubrium and bulbs and radial canals showing green fluorescence. Cnidome composed of stenoteles and bean-shaped euryteles.

Distribution. Only known from the western Mediterranean Sea.

Remarks. Contrary to most zancleid species, all of the specimens belonging to this undetermined species have four tentacles. Zanclea costata is known to produce two-tentacled newly liberated medusae that can develop two more tentacles at maturity (Schuchert, 2010), and its four-tentacled medusa is hardly distinguishable from our specimens. Zanclea costata is currently thought to be a Mediterranean endemic and was described based on the four-tentacled medusa (Gegenbaur, 1857). In this species, all medusae observed after release from polyps and then cultivated showed two tentacles only (Cerrano et al., 1997; Schuchert, 2010), including the specimen described by Schuchert (2010) that we have analysed too. The latter showed a high genetic divergence from Zanclea sp., with a 16 S genetic distance of $7 \%$. Two- and fourtentacled medusae were also found together in plankton samples, and it was hypothesized that the latter was a more developed stage of the same species (Browne, 1906). However, because no direct transition from two- to four-tentacle stages have been observed so far, the issue remains unsolved. Zanclea bomala is another species with four tentacles in the adult medusa stage after being reared in laboratory (Boero et al., 2000). It shows nevertheless differences in the general morphology, especially in the perradial oval nematocyst pouches, that were absent in the present species. Finally, Altuna (2016) observed Z. sessilis medusae with a variable number of tentacles, spanning zero to three at liberation, and two to five at maturity. Adult Z. sessilis medusae also show slight differences with the present species, such as the median swellings of the radial canals, and the two species are genetically different, with a 16 S genetic distance of $3.6 \%$. Because the polyp stage of Zanclea sp. is unknown, we cannot assign it to any known or new Zanclea species.

Halocoryne Hadzi, 1917. Diagnosis: Polyps colonial, stolonal with creeping hydrorhiza, without perisarc, exclusively associated with bryozoans. Polyps
monomorphic or polymorphic, including gastrozooids and gono-dactylozooids. Gastrozooids cylindrical or claviform with oral and aboral capitate tentacles, or reduced, without tentacles. Gono-dactylozooids elongated, without tentacles. Cnidome composed of stenoteles of two size classes. Reproduction by medusae or medusoids. Medusae with bell-shaped umbrella, 4 radial canals, and 4 exumbrellar perradial cnidocyst patches with stenoteles. Manubrium bearing gonads interradially, ending with a mouth simple and circular. Four bulbs with no ocelli, originating 2 tentacles equipped with cnidophores containing beanshaped macrobasic euryteles. Medusoids with bellshaped umbrella, manubrium without mouth and with gonads in a single mass, 4 radial canals, 4 perradial bulbs without ocelli and without tentacles.

Species currently included in the genus: H. epizoica (type species), H. eilatensis comb.n., H. protecta comb.n.

Remarks: The family Halocorynidae Picard, 1957 was erected by Picard (1957) to accommodate the species H. epizoica, later transferred to the family Zancleidae. The family Halocorynidae may need to be resurrected as a result of the clear divergence of H. epizoica from the Zancleidae, but owing to the phylogenetic uncertainties in our phylogenetic hypothesis we prefer to conservatively avoid this to prevent further taxonomic confusion. Three other species were described as belonging to the genus Halocoryne, namely H. pirainoid, H. frasca Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili 2000 and H. orientalis (Browne, 1916). The first species was demonstrated in the present work to belong to the genus Zanclea, despite having a polyp morphology similar to H. epizoica and H. frasca. Regarding the two other species, it is currently not possible to ascertain their belonging to the genus Halocoryne owing to lack of DNA data. On the one hand, the polyp stage of H. frasca has an overall morphology similar to H. epizoica but possesses macrobasic holotrichous euryteles, a feature absent in the type species and other Zanclea-like species now ascribed to the genus. The presence of these euryteles makes $H$. frasca similar to species in the newly erected genus Apatizanclea, even if none of these species is polymorphic. Also $Z$. costata is known to possess this type of cnidocyst. On the other hand, $H$. orientalis is known only from the medusa stage and its systematic affinities remain even more doubtful. Finally, in our phylogeny reconstructions (Figs 2 and 3c), we found that the former Z. eilatensis and Z. protecta were closely related to Halocoryne epizoica, and the two species are thus here transferred to the genus Halocoryne.

Apatizanclea Maggioni gen.n.. http://zoobank. org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F80CFD68-B3DC-4A89-80F5-F53C254AEA26

Diagnosis: Polyps colonial, stolonal with creeping hydrorhiza, without perisarc, exclusively associated with bryozoans. Polyps monomorphic. Gastrozooids cylindrical or claviform with oral and aboral capitate tentacles. Cnidome composed of stenoteles of two size classes and macrobasic holotrichous euryteles. Medusae with umbrella bell-shaped, 0 or 4 radial canals, and 0 or 4 exumbrellar perradial cnidocyst patches with stenoteles. Manubrium bearing gonads interradially, ending with a mouth simple and circular with or without oral arms. Two tentaculated bulbs with no ocelli, originating 2 tentacles equipped with cnidophores containing bean-shaped macrobasic euryteles. No atentaculate bulbs present.

Etymology: Apatizanclea derives from the combination of " $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ", meaning "to deceive, mislead" in ancient Greek, and -zanclea, referring to the fact that species in this genus can be easily confused with Zanclea species.

Species currently included in the genus: A. divergens comb.n. (type species, here designated), A. mayeri comb.n., A. exposita comb.n., and the two undetermined species Apatizanclea sp. 1 and Apatizanclea sp. 2.

Remarks: Our phylogeny reconstructions (Figs 2 and 3b) demonstrated that the lineage here described as Apatizanclea diverged from the genus Zanclea, forming a cohesive group and requiring the establishment of the new genus and new combinations. This genus is mostly based on genetic data. but similarities among the species are present in both the polyp and medusa stages, when known. Specifically, all known polyps possess macrobasic holotrichous euryteles, are devoid of perisarc, and are exclusively associated with bryozoans mostly belonging to Celleporaria species. The adult medusa is known only for A. mayeri, whereas newly released medusae are known for A. divergens, Apatizanclea sp. 1, and Apatizanclea sp. 2, but not for A. exposita. However, all of them share the presence of only two tentaculate bulbs and the absence of atentaculate bulbs. Adult medusae of $A$. mayeri and newly released medusae of $A$. divergens are typical Zanclealike medusae. Newly released medusae of Apatizanclea sp. 1 and Apatizanclea sp. 2 show instead striking similarities with Zanclella diabolica, the latter also having polyps very similar to Apatizanclea sp. 1. As pointed out by Maggioni et al. (2018), Apatizanclea sp. 1 may actually correspond to $Z$. diabolica. Also Zanclella glomboides Boero, Bouillon \& Gravili, 2000 possesses similar newly released medusae, and both young and adult medusae have only two bulbs, equipped with tentacles. The polyp stage of the latter species is reduced and polymorphic, contrarily to the known polyps of Apatizanclea. At the same time, it is associated with bryozoans, has no perisarc and shows macrobasic euryteles (even if it is still unknown
whether they are holotrichous), thus possibly matching the diagnosis for the Apatizanclea genus. Zanclella is a problematic genus, and its validity has been questioned previously (Schuchert, 1996, 2010). The type species is Z. bryozoophila, showing reduced, polymorphic polyps, no perisarc, macrobasic holotrichous euryteles and reproduction via medusoids. Unfortunately, no genetic data are available for this species, and therefore the validity of the genus Zanclella remains uncertain. Four other species have known medusae with two bulbs only, namely Halocoryne frasca, which also possesses polyps with macrobasic holotrichous euryteles, Zanclea hirohitoi, Z. medusopolypata and Z. tipis.

## Range expansions and morphological modifications associated with the symbiotic lifestyle

In this work we report the expansion of geographical and host ranges for some species. In particular, the distribution of Zanclea pirainoid was extended westward, being reported for the first time in the Maldives, after its description from Papua New Guinea (Boero et al., 2000). Apatizanclea exposita was reported from Eastern Australia, after its description in North Sulawesi, Indonesia (Puce et al., 2002) and the distribution of Halocoryne protecta was extended to the Central Red Sea, being previously only known from the Indo-Pacific (Boero et al., 2000; Maggioni et al., 2020b). Finally, specimens identified as Zanclea cf. migottoi were reported from the Indian Ocean, whereas this species was previously known only from the Western Atlantic Ocean (Galea, 2008; Mendoza-Becerril et al., 2018; Mendonça et al., 2022). Regarding the extension of host ranges, $Z$. pirainoid is here reported associated with the bryozoan Robertsonidra sp., while the host bryozoan was not identified in the original description. Two Zanclea species appeared to be more generalist than previously thought, namely $Z$. sessilis, which was found growing on bare rock, red algae and bryozoans, and the Zanclea cf. migottoi group, found on Sargassum sp. in the Caribbean and on a red alga and the bryozoan Parasmittina egyptiaca in the Maldives. Halocoryne eilatensis was reported growing in association with the bryozoan Adeonella sp. in the Central Red Sea, whereas it was previously only known in association with Parasmittina species in the Northern Red Sea. Finally, H. protecta was found for the first time on the bryozoans Parasmittina raigii (Audouin, 1826), P. egyptiaca, Calyptotheca sp. and Steginoporella sp.

Morphological modifications of the skeleton of the hosts were observed in several symbiotic species, namely coral-associated Zanclea species (with the exception of the Acropora-associated ones), as already shown in Manca et al. (2019), and in Maggioni et al. (2020b, 2022a) and in bryozoan-associated


Fig. 8. Skeletal modifications in bryozoans hosting symbiotic hydrozoans. (a) Schizobrachiella sanguinea hosting Halocoryne epizoica. (b) Robertsonidra sp. hosting Zanclea pirainoid. (c) Triphyllozoon inornatum hosting Zanclea tipis. (d) Trematooecia aviculifera hosting Zanclea sp. (Curaçao). (e) Celleporaria pigmentaria hosting Apatizanclea sp. 1. (f) Celleporaria sp. hosting Apatizanclea sp. 2. (g) Parasmittina cf. spondylicola hosting Zanclea protecta. (h) Celleporaria cf. pigmentaria hosting Apatizanclea divergens (lineage IIb). Arrowheads indicate examples of skeletal modification in each image. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
species. Specifically, modifications of the bryozoan skeleton were observed in colonies hosting H. epizoica, H. protecta, Z. pirainoid, Z. tipis, Zanclea sp. (Curaçao), the $A$. divergens species complex, Apatizanclea sp. 1 and Apatizanclea sp. 2 (Fig. 8). Skeletal modifications were mostly observed as a secondary calcification that covered or partially covered the hydrorhiza and base of the symbiotic hydroids (Fig. 8a,d-h). However, in Z. tipis only holes from
where hydrozoan polyps protruded were observed (Fig. 8c), and in Z. pirainoid modifications were represented by the formation of a smooth and open canal between the bryozoan zooids, where the hydrorhiza was accommodated (Fig. 8b).
In other symbiotic associations involving host sponges, octocorals, bivalves and algae, no obvious modifications either of the hydrozoans or the hosts were observed.



Fig. 10. Ancestral state reconstructions of the characters (a) heteronemes, (b) colony type, (c) reproduction and (d) habitat. Pie charts represent the posterior probability of each node being in each state. Grey circles at tips represent unknown states.

Table 1
Results of the Pagel's tests for correlated evolution of selected characters

| Correlation tested | Metrics | Independent | Dependent $x$ | Dependent $y$ | Dependent $x \& y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbiosis ( $x$ )—Perisarc ( $y$ ) | log-L | -63.2738 | -58.2949 | -55.8664 | -54.8848 |
|  | AICw | 0.0031 | 0.0606 | 0.6878 | 0.2484 |
|  | L-ratio |  | 9.9577 | 14.8147 | 16.7779 |
|  | $p$-value |  | 0.0069 | 0.0006 | 0.0021 |
| Symbiosis ( $x$ ) -Heteronemes ( $y$ ) | log-L | -75.2465 | -74.0568 | -74.0559 | -72.5561 |
|  | AICw | 0.4630 | 0.2059 | 0.2061 | 0.1250 |
|  | L-ratio |  | 2.3796 | 2.3812 | 5.3808 |
|  | $p$-value |  | 0.3043 | 0.3040 | 0.2504 |
| Symbiosis ( $x$ - Polymorphism (y) | log-L | -62.0238 | -61.1327 | -61.5771 | -60.2644 |
|  | AICw | 0.6069 | 0.2002 | 0.1284 | 0.0646 |
|  | L-ratio |  | 1.7821 | 0.8934 | 3.5188 |
|  | $p$-value |  | 0.4102 | 0.6397 | 0.4750 |
| Symbiosis (x)-Reproduction (y) | log-L | -57.7026 | -54.8692 | -54.5473 | -53.9137 |
|  | AICw | 0.1373 | 0.3158 | 0.4358 | 0.1111 |
|  | L-ratio |  | 5.6667 | 6.3106 | 7.5777 |
|  | $p$-value |  | 0.0588 | 0.0426 | 0.1083 |
| Symbiosis ( $x$ - Colony ( $y$ ) | log-L | -53.2162 | -45.6010 | -42.9474 | -42.9035 |
|  | AICw | 0.0002 | 0.0581 | 0.8250 | 0.1167 |
|  | L-ratio |  | 15.2304 | 20.5375 | 20.6253 |
|  | $p$-value |  | 0.0005 | $3.5 \mathrm{e}^{-5}$ | 0.0004 |
| Symbiosis ( $x$ - Habitat ( $y$ ) | log-L | -80.1354 | -76.3706 | -79.4014 | -76.1916 |
|  | AICw | 0.1240 | 0.7239 | 0.0350 | 0.1171 |
|  | L-ratio |  | 7.5296 | 1.4681 | 7.8876 |
|  | $p$-value |  | 0.0232 | 0.4750 | 0.0958 |

The highest AICw for each analysis is in bold, as well as all statistically significant models. Independent, the two characters evolve independently; Dependent $x$, evolution of $x$ depends on evolution of $y$; Dependent $y$, evolution of $y$ depends on evolution of $x$; Dependent $x \& y$, $x$ and $y$ evolve interdependently; log-L, log-likelihood; AICw, Akaike information criterion weights; L-ratio, likelihood ratio

## Phylogenetic comparative methods

The ancestral state reconstructions and the tests for evolutionary correlation between characters shed light on the evolutionary patterns of the analysed ecological and morphological characters (Figs 9 and 10; Tables 1 and S5), although some of the reconstructed ancestral states were supported by low Bayesian posterior probability (BPP) values and must therefore be considered with caution. Additionally, it must be taken into account that the inclusion of other taxa, genetic data and information in this type of analysis may reshape the observed patterns. The symbiotic lifestyle is likely to have been absent in the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of the Capitata (node number referring to Fig. S1, $\mathrm{nn}=1, \mathrm{BPP}=0.69$ ) and Corynida ( $\mathrm{nn}=76$, 0.62 ) (Fig. 9a) and in the latter group it appeared at least three times. Contrarily, in Zancleida it may already have been present in the MRCA of all taxa but Pennariidae, Hydrocorynidae, Halimedusidae and Moerisiidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=3$, BPP $=0.73$ ), and was then lost several times, for instance in the MRCA of Cladocoryne Rotch, 1871 $(\mathrm{nn}=60, \quad \mathrm{BPP}=0.8), \quad$ Porpitidae $\quad(\mathrm{nn}=53$, $\mathrm{BPP}=0.94)$, Milleporidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=10, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ), Solanderiidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=19$, $\mathrm{BPP}=1$ ), Asyncoryne ryniensis Warren, 1908, and some Zanclea species. Regarding the host (Fig. 9b), the only reported associations in the

Corynida are with sponges and molluscs, and the association with Porifera also convergently evolved in the Sphaerocorynidae + Zancleopsidae clade ( $\mathrm{nn}=61$, $\mathrm{BPP}=0.65$ ). Also the association with molluscs appeared independently twice, in Zanclea costata and in Coryne epizoica Stechow, 1921. Both the association with octocorals and scleractinian corals appeared only once, in the MRCA of Pteroclava + Pseudozanclea $(\mathrm{nn}=55, \mathrm{BPP}=0.83)$ and in the MRCA of coralassociated Zanclea ( $\mathrm{nn}=39, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ), respectively. The evolution of the association with bryozoans is made uncertain by the low resolution of some phylogenetic relationships among bryozoan-associated species. This association is common in Zancleidae but it is not clear whether it was present in the MRCA of the family and the associations with other organisms represented secondary host shifts, or whether it evolved convergently in different taxa. The association with Bryozoa was already present in the MRCA of Halocoryne ( $\mathrm{nn}=30$, $\mathrm{BPP}=0.97$ ) and in the MRCA of Apatizanclea $(\mathrm{nn}=23, \mathrm{BPP}=0.99)$.

Regarding the evolution of morphological characters, the perisarc was present in the MRCA of the Capitata ( $\mathrm{nn}=1, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ) and was subsequently lost multiple times in several Zancleida symbiotic lineages (Fig. 9c), especially in species associated with bryozoans and other cnidarians. The appearance of polymorphic polyps
only occurred among Zancleida (Fig. 9d), possibly in the MRCA of Milleporidae + Solanderiidae + Zancleidae + Asyncorynidae + Porpitidae $\quad(\mathrm{nn}=5$, BPP $=0.71$ ), but the evolutionary patterns are obscured by phylogenetic uncertainties and by the fact that the character state is still unknown for several species. The heteronemes, here including eurytele and mastigophore capsules only, were present in the MRCA of the Zancleida ( $\mathrm{nn}=2, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ) and were then lost a few times in both symbiotic and nonsymbiotic species (Fig. 10a). Contrarily, heteronemes were more probably absent in the MRCA of Corynida ( $\mathrm{nn}=76, \mathrm{BPP}=0.69$ ) and then evolved again a few times. The type of colony seems to be already stolonal in the MRCA of Capitata ( $\mathrm{nn}=1$, $\mathrm{BPP}=0.75$ ) and the erect state convergently evolved in both Corynida and Zancleida, namely in some Coryne species, in Stauridiosarsia cliffordi (Brinckmann-Voss, 1989), and in Pennariidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=75, \mathrm{BPP}=0.9$ ), Milleporidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=10, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ) and Solanderiidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=19$, $\mathrm{BPP}=1)($ Fig. 10b). Two peculiar colony types, which are solitary and pelagic, evolved singly in the MRCA of Moerisia + Odessia $(\mathrm{nn}=71, \mathrm{BPP}=0.84)$ and in the MRCA of Porpitidae $(\mathrm{nn}=53, \mathrm{BPP}=0.95)$, respectively. The reproductive stage, here coded as freeswimming medusa, medusoid and sporosac, was a completely developed medusa in the MRCA of the Capitata ( $\mathrm{nn}=1, \quad \mathrm{BPP}=0.94$ ), Zancleida $\quad(\mathrm{nn}=2$, $\mathrm{BPP}=0.94) \quad$ and $\quad$ Corynida $\quad(\mathrm{nn}=76, \quad \mathrm{BPP}=1)$ (Fig. 10c). A reduction was observed convergently in the MRCA of a monophyletic Coryne clade (as sporosac) ( $\mathrm{nn}=82, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ) and in different Zancleida lineages (as medusoid), namely in the MRCA of Pennariidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=75, \quad \mathrm{BPP}=0.9$ ), Cladocoryne $\quad(\mathrm{nn}=60, \quad \mathrm{BPP}=$ 0.83 ), Solanderiidae ( $\mathrm{nn}=19, \mathrm{BPP}=1$ ) and Milleporidae $(\mathrm{nn}=10, \mathrm{BPP}=1)$, and also in the species Heterocoryne caribbensis Wedler \& Larson, 1986.

Finally, we reconstructed the evolution of colonization of tropical habitats and the MRCA of Corynida appeared to live in nontropical (mostly temperate) environments ( $\mathrm{nn}=76, \mathrm{BPP}=0.98$ ) and only a few Corynida species currently live in tropical waters (Fig. 10d). However, the MRCA of all symbiotic Zancleida species is likely to have been tropical ( $\mathrm{nn}=3$, BPP $=0.94$ ), and most of the extant species included in the analyses currently live in tropical or subtropical environments.

The tests for correlation between the evolution of a symbiotic lifestyle and the other investigated characters revealed significant relationships (Table 1). Specifically, the correlations between the evolution of symbiosis and perisarc were all statistically significant with the bestsupported model being that the evolution of perisarc depended on the evolution of symbiosis (AICw $=$ $0.6878, p=0.0006$ ). In a similar manner, also the evolution of the reproductive stage and the colony type seems to have been influenced by the evolution of
symbiosis. In the first case, the only supported model, even if with quite high $p$-value, was that the evolution of the reproductive stage depended on the evolution of symbiosis $(\mathrm{AICw}=0.4358, p=0.0426)$, whereas in the second case all correlations were statistically significant, with the best-supported model being that the evolution of colony type depended on the evolution of symbiosis ( $\mathrm{AICw}=0.8250, p=3.5 \mathrm{e}^{-5}$ ). The evolution of symbiosis depended on the habitat type $(\mathrm{AICw}=0.7239$, $p=0.0232$ ), whereas the models of independent evolution of symbiosis in regards to heteronemes and polymorphism were preferred.

## Discussion

## Phylogenetic relationships within Capitata

The dataset assembled for this study is the most complete employed so far for phylogenetic analyses of the Capitata. Nevertheless, many taxa still remain unsampled from a genetic point of view, leaving their phylogenetic position and validity unresolved, including 110 species, 13 genera and four families (Schuchert, 2023). The Capitata was recovered as a monophyletic group composed of the two clades Zancleida and Corynida, in agreement with previous work (Nawrocki et al., 2010). Internal relationships within Corynida were also in agreement with Nawrocki et al. (2010), with the two monophyletic families Corynidae and Cladonematidae. However, our sampling mostly focused on Zancleida species, in order to disentangle the remaining uncertainties in the phylogenetic relationships within this group. By including previously unsampled species and genera, and by employing a wider set of DNA regions compared to previous studies, we were able to shed light on the phylogeny of Zancleida and on the relationships among different families.

Most of the analysed families resulted to be monophyletic. Exceptions are represented by the Halimedusidae + Moerisiidae clade and by the Zancleidae. In the first group, Tiaricodon orientalis, currently included in Halimedusidae, showed higher affinities with Moerisiidae. It must be taken into account, however, that the two other Halimedusidae species included (i.e. Urashimea globosa Kishinouye, 1910 and Octorhopalona saltatrix Toshino, Yamamoto \& Saito, 2022) were sequenced only in their 16 S rRNA gene and that the other species and genera (e.g. Halimedusa typus Bigelow, 1916, the type species of Halimedusidae) should be sequenced to obtain a comprehensive overview of these two families.
The family Zancleidae is already known to be a problematic group (Nawrocki et al., 2010; Maggioni et al., 2018). The polyphyletic nature of this family
was further supported by our new data, with the recovery of four distinct clades. Indeed, the general morphology of the genus Zanclea, as seen in Z. costata, is likely to represent an ancestral plesiomorphic condition that was retained in many species, but from which much more derived groups such as Milleporidae and Solanderiidae also evolved. The "true" Zanclea genus and, by consequence, the "true" Zancleidae family formed a cohesive group with high nodal support in all analyses. This clade contained both well-established species and undeterminable or cryptic species, such as the coral-associated Zanclea complex (Maggioni et al., 2022a), that could not be properly described owing to the lack of suitable morphological characters or to incomplete knowledge of the life cycles. Many Zanclea species were previously described rather superficially and incompletely, and without DNA data. These issues exacerbated an already problematic taxon, complicating the description of new species. It is now clear that new species descriptions must always include genetic data, to assess the divergence with already sequenced taxa and to make possible future comparisons and identifications (Tautz et al., 2003). Concomitantly, flexible nomenclature systems have also been proposed when species descriptions are not possible, for instance when dealing with cryptic species, making possible the transfer of DNA-based taxonomic and biodiversity knowledge across disciplines (Morard et al., 2016). Given these reasons, we stress the importance of avoiding new species descriptions without complete morphological information and/or DNA data.

The position of the remaining zancleid species clustered with members of the families Asyncorynidae, Milleporidae and Solanderiidae in a clade whose internal phylogenetic relationships were not fully resolved. Zanclea prolifera showed a sister-group relationship with Asyncoryne ryniensis, although with low statistical support. Nawrocki et al. (2010) suggested that $Z$. prolifera may indeed need to be transferred to Asyncorynidae, also because this species is known only from its medusa stage (Uchida and Sugiura, 1976) and Zanclea and Asyncoryne species have almost identical medusae (Migotto, 1996). This issue currently could not be resolved, and only the discovery of the $Z$. prolifera polyps together with a wider sampling could clarify the systematic affinities of this species.

Two other zancleid clades were recovered from our analyses, but their phylogenetic relationships could not be resolved. For this reason, and because of the intergrading morphologies shared by all zancleid species, it was impossible to split the Zancleidae into different families, and taxonomic actions were undertaken only at the genus level. Specifically, a first group included Halocoryne epizoica and two species previously assigned to Zanclea (Hastings, 1932; Pica et al., 2017) and now transferred to Halocoryne. These three species
showed some common traits that also are found in some other zancleid species; however, they lack perisarc and euryteles and are exclusively associated with bryozoans, whose skeleton is modified by the presence of the symbionts.

The last zancleid group comprised species previously ascribed to the genus Zanclea (Puce et al., 2002; Maggioni et al., 2018; Schuchert and Collins, 2021) and showing some peculiarities. Given their genetic affinities and divergence from other species, they were included in the newly erected genus Apatizanclea. Interestingly, all known polyps have macrobasic holotrichous euryteles, no perisarc, and are associated with bryozoans, whereas all known medusae have two bulbs and two tentacles. These features are shared with a few other species (Boero et al., 2000), but never together, and constitute diagnostic characters for the genus.

Finally, Milleporidae and Solanderiidae were recovered as sister groups, even if with moderate nodal support. These two families have modified erect colonies, either with production of a calcium carbonate skeleton or with the perisarc forming an internal chitinous skeleton, respectively. Other taxa not sampled here may be related to Milleporidae and Solanderiidae species, showing specific structures in their colonies, such as internal (Pseudosolanderia Bouillon \& Gravier-Bonnet, 1988) or external (Rosalinda Totton, 1949, Teissiera Bouillon, 1974) chitinous skeletons. In a similar way to the Solanderiidae, the genus Pseudosolanderia has erect flabellate colonies with an internal chitinous skeleton, which nevertheless shows differences compared to Solanderia skeletons. Also, their cnidomes are different (Bouillon and Gravier-Bonnet, 1987; Bouillon et al., 1992). Teissiera and Rosalinda are characterized by stolonal colonies, often associated with other organisms, both genera have chitinous basal plates, and, in a similar way to Pseudosolanderia, have heteronemes in their cnidome (Bouillon, 1974; Bouillon and Gravier-Bonnet, 1987; Petersen, 1990; Gil et al., 2021). As noted by Petersen (1990), it is not always clear whether these heteronemes are euryteles or mastigophores, which also has been observed in the genus Millepora (Arrigoni et al., 2018). Teissiera is further differentiated by having polymorphic polyps and medusae with ocelli (Bouillon, 1974, 1978). The inclusion of these three genera in future molecular analyses will hopefully help clarifying their phylogenetic position, and the relationships among the Asyncorynidae, Milleporidae, Solanderiidae and Zancleidae, and will shed light on the evolution of colony modifications.

## Character evolution in Capitata

Cnidarians, and especially hydrozoans, are known for their large morphological diversity and variety of life cycles (Bouillon et al., 2006; Cartwright and

Nawrocki, 2010). All three life stages (i.e. larva, polyp and medusa) are extremely diversified in the Hydrozoa, and morphological simplification or even reduction is a widespread phenomenon in the class (Bouillon et al., 2006). This large variability, together with the common intergrading morphologies between species, genera and even families, hamper the understanding of both taxonomy and evolution of several hydrozoan taxa. However, the use of molecular data to test the evolution of morphological and ecological traits has proven very useful in different taxa, highlighting the frequent presence of convergent and parallel evolutionary patterns (Leclère et al., 2007, 2009; Cartwright and Nawrocki, 2010; Maggioni et al., 2022a, b). Indeed, despite the presence of synapomorphies characterizing many taxa, homoplasy is quite a common phenomenon in hydrozoans, as demonstrated by, among others, the reduction of the medusa stage, the presence of polymorphic polyps and the colony type (Cartwright and Nawrocki, 2010).

Our results are in line with these previous findings, because all of the examined characters showed some degree of convergent or parallel evolution. Indeed, regarding morphological characters, our ancestral state reconstructions showed that the perisarc, polymorphic polyps, heteronemes, stolonal colonies and reduced medusa were frequently and independently lost among the Capitata. Likewise, the establishment of a symbiotic lifestyle was acquired multiple times and then lost again in certain taxa. The MRCA of Capitata seemingly had nonsymbiotic stolonal polyps with perisarc and heteronemes, and a free-swimming medusa, whereas the presence of polymorphic polyps remained unclear. Symbiosis, when present, is in most cases obligate for the capitate hydrozoans (exceptions are, for instance, Z. giancarloi, Z. sessilis, Zanclea cf. migottoi). Interestingly, coral-associated Zanclea species represent the only known case of hydrozoans associated with scleractinian corals, whereas associations with octocorals, sponges, molluscs, bryozoans and other organisms are found not only in other noncapitate hydrozoans, but also in other cnidarian taxa (e.g. Puce et al., 2008; Kise et al., 2023).

Our analyses proved that the establishment of a symbiotic lifestyle may have influenced the evolution of other morphological characters, as already hypothesized by previous authors (Boero et al., 2000; Puce et al., 2002). Specifically, on the one hand, the bestsupported models of correlated evolution of the characters were that the evolution of perisarc, reproductive stage and colony type depended on the evolution of the symbiotic lifestyle. On the other hand, the independent evolution of heteronemes and polymorphism related to the evolution of symbiosis were most supported. In our view, the most obvious result relates to the evolution of perisarc, with the loss of this structure
being associated with the symbiotic lifestyle. The loss of perisarc convergently occurred in some zancleid species associated with bryozoans and scleractinian corals, and in a cladocorynid species associated with octocorals. All of these associations are likely to be obligate for the hydrozoan, and the loss of a protective perisare may have been promoted by a higher integration with the hosts, which often cover the hydrorhiza with skeleton or tissue, and may be indicative of a high specificity of the association (Boero et al., 2000; Puce et al., 2002; Montano et al., 2015a, b; Maggioni et al., 2020c). The loss of the perisarc, for instance, allows the species Zanclea margaritae Panthos \& Bythell, 2010 to firmly, but dynamically, attach to the host coral skeleton using desmocytes, in a similar fashion to how the coral tissue attaches to its skeleton (Pantos and Hoegh-Guldberg, 2011). Previous works, focusing on other hydrozoan species, also found a correlated evolution of certain characters in relation to the symbiotic lifestyle. For instance, in hydractiniid species, Miglietta and Cunningham (2012) detected a relationship between the medusa loss and the specialization of polyps to a single type of host and a relationship between the colony type (e.g. encrusting or reticulate) and the ability to live on specific hosts, thus highlighting the importance of symbiosis in the evolution of phenotypic and ecological traits and vice versa. However, the same authors did not find a clear relationship between the evolution of the medusa stage and the colony type, opposite to what was found by Leclère et al. (2009) for leptothecate hydrozoans. Indeed, the medusa stage has been repeatedly lost during hydrozoan evolution, and a class-wide analysis is needed to possibly clarify the drivers of medusa loss or reduction. In this sense, important steps forward have recently been made, with the discovery that the loss of the homeobox gene $T l x$ is correlated with medusa suppression in multiple clades (Travert et al., 2023).

Finally, we found a correlation between the evolution of the symbiotic lifestyle and the colonization of tropical habitats, with most symbiotic species inhabiting the latter environments. Tropical coral reefs are biodiversity hotspots, showing an incredible diversity of species and exploitable habitats (Fisher et al., 2015; Hoeksema, 2017), and several symbiotic associations are known to involve coral reef benthic organisms as hosts (Montano, 2020), one of which is unique by involving one Capitata species as the host and a species of the hydrozoan suborder Filifera as an epibiont (Montano et al., 2020). The richness of symbiotic relationships in these environments may be promoted by the vast biodiversity, the three-dimensional habitats provided by corals and other organisms, and the competition for space and resources (Gates and Ainsworth, 2011). Therefore, the colonization of tropical habitats may have spurred the establishment of
symbiotic relationships between capitate hydrozoans and other benthic organisms, and this, in turn, also may have resulted in adaptive radiations in symbiotic species, as already known for different coral-associated organisms (e.g. Gittenberger and Gittenberger, 2005, 2011; Tsang et al., 2014; van der Meij et al., 2015; Kunihiro et al., 2019; Fritts-Penniman et al., 2020; Mehrotra et al., 2020), driven for instance by host shifts. However, a more comprehensive sampling and a time-calibrated phylogeny of the Capitata, at the moment still not possible because of the lack of reliable fossil records, are needed to test this hypothesis.

## Conclusions

The molecular phylogenetic hypothesis obtained here represents the most comprehensive scenario produced so far for the Capitata, shedding light on the systematic affinities and evolution of the group. Most of the families were recovered as monophyletic, with a striking exception represented by the polyphyletic family Zancleidae, making necessary the transfer of species in different genera and the establishment of a new genus. The taxonomic and systematic uncertainties in this family are exacerbated by the paucity of diagnostic morphological characters and the partially unknown life cycles, and future integrative research targeting both already sampled and unsampled taxa is strongly needed to unravel the complex diversity and evolution of this group.

The analyses of the evolution of morphological and ecological characters revealed high levels of convergent or parallel evolution in divergent taxa, with independent losses of several traits. In particular, symbiosis appeared multiple times in the evolutionary history of the Capitata, especially in the Zancleida, which is likely to have been influenced by the colonization of tropical habitats, and likely to have influenced the evolution of other morphological characters, highlighting the importance of symbiosis in animal evolution.
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Table S1. List of the samples included in the analysis with associated data on the sampled life stage, substrate, sampling locality and coordinates, GenBank accession numbers, and whether they were included in
the Capitata analyses. Newly deposited sequences are in bold.

Table S2. Length of each single-locus and concatenated dataset used for the Capitata phylogenetic reconstructions, before and after the Gblocks treatment.

Table S3. Morphological and ecological character state for all species. States in brackets refer to the recoding to make character states binary for correlation analyses. 0 , absence; 1, presence; g, generalist; b, bryozoan; c, scleractinian coral; o, octocoral; s, sponge; m, mollusc; ma, medusa; md, medusoid; sp, sporosac; st, stolonal; er, erect; so, solitary (vs. colonial); pe, pelagic; tr, tropical; nt, nontropical; wd, both tropical and nontropical; ol?, unknown.

Table S4. Pairwise average genetic distances within and between species belonging to Zanclea, Apatizanclea and Halocoryne calculated as \% uncorrected $p$ distances. Interspecific distances are reported in the lower left portions, whereas standard deviations in the upper right portions; intraspecific distances and relative standard deviations are reported along the diagonal.

Table S5. Posterior probabilities for ancestral character states reconstructed at each node, for all examined characters. Node numbers refer to Fig. S1.

Figure S1. Bayesian phylogenetic hypothesis of the Capitata showing the node numbers to which Table S1 refers.
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