



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Clinical outcomes of preterm infants while using automated controllers during standard care: comparison of cohorts with different automated titration strategies**

Salverda, H.H.; Beelen, D.M.L.; Cramer, S.J.E.; Pauws, S.C.; Schalijs-Delfos, N.; Pas, A.B. te

### **Citation**

Salverda, H. H., Beelen, D. M. L., Cramer, S. J. E., Pauws, S. C., Schalijs-Delfos, N., & Pas, A. B. te. (2022). Clinical outcomes of preterm infants while using automated controllers during standard care: comparison of cohorts with different automated titration strategies. *Archives Of Disease In Childhood. Fetal And Neonatal Edition*, 108(1), F26-F30.  
doi:10.1136/archdischild-2021-323690

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3762587>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Clinical outcomes of preterm infants while using automated controllers during standard care: comparison of cohorts with different automated titration strategies

Hylke H Salverda <sup>1</sup>, Dianthe M L Beelen,<sup>1</sup> Sophie J E Cramer,<sup>2</sup> Steffen C Pauws,<sup>1,3</sup> Nicoline Schalijs-Delfos,<sup>4</sup> Arjan B te Pas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Neonatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Tilburg center for Cognition and Communication, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Ophthalmology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

## Correspondence to

Dr Hylke H Salverda, Department of Paediatrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; H.H.Salverda@lumc.nl

Received 9 December 2021

Accepted 30 April 2022

Published Online First

16 May 2022

## ABSTRACT

**Objective** To compare short-term clinical outcome after using two different automated oxygen controllers (OxyGenie and CLiO<sub>2</sub>).

**Design** Propensity score-matched retrospective observational study.

**Setting** Tertiary-level neonatal unit in the Netherlands.

**Patients** Preterm infants (OxyGenie n=121, CLiO<sub>2</sub> n=121) born between 24+0–29+6 weeks of gestation. Median (IQR) gestational age in the OxyGenie cohort was 28+3 (26+3.5–29+0) vs 27+5 (26+5–28+3) in the CLiO<sub>2</sub> cohort, respectively 42% and 46% of infants were male and mean (SD) birth weight was 1034 (266) g vs 1022 (242) g.

**Interventions** Inspired oxygen was titrated by OxyGenie (SLE6000) or CLiO<sub>2</sub> (AVEA) during respiratory support.

**Main outcome measures** Mortality, retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), bronchopulmonary dysplasia and necrotising enterocolitis.

**Results** Fewer infants in the OxyGenie group received laser coagulation for ROP (1 infant vs 10; risk ratio 0.1 (95% CI 0.0 to 0.7); p=0.008), and infants stayed shorter in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) (28 (95% CI 15 to 42) vs 40 (95% CI 25 to 61) days; median difference 13.5 days (95% CI 8.5 to 19.5); p<0.001). Infants in the OxyGenie group had fewer days on continuous positive airway pressure (8.4 (95% CI 4.8 to 19.8) days vs 16.7 (95% CI 6.3 to 31.1); p<0.001) and a significantly shorter days on invasive ventilation (0 (95% CI 0 to 4.2) days vs 2.1 (95% CI 0 to 8.4); p=0.012). There were no statistically significant differences in all other morbidities.

**Conclusions** In this propensity score-matched retrospective study, the OxyGenie epoch was associated with less morbidity when compared with the CLiO<sub>2</sub> epoch. There were significantly fewer infants that received treatment for ROP, received less intensive respiratory support and, although there were more supplemental oxygen days, the duration of stay in the NICU was shorter. A larger study will have to replicate these findings.

## INTRODUCTION

Very preterm infants undergo a long undertaking from birth to discharge from the hospital out of which few arise unscathed. Four in 10 of these

## WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

- ⇒ Automated oxygen controllers, including the ones used in this study, increase time spent within the oxygen saturation target range compared with manual control.
- ⇒ Hypoxaemia and hyperoxaemia have been linked to morbidity and mortality in preterm infants.

## WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ The period in which the OxyGenie controller was used was associated with less laser treatment for retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) and a shorter stay in the neonatal intensive care unit.
- ⇒ The period in which the OxyGenie controller was used was associated with less invasive ventilation and continuous positive airway pressure days.

## HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- ⇒ The currently used definition for bronchopulmonary dysplasia may not be suitable when automated oxygen control is used.
- ⇒ Choice of automated oxygen controller may be associated with clinical outcome, a large randomised trial is warranted.

infants experience a serious adverse outcome such as in-hospital mortality, bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) requiring treatment or severe neurological injury.<sup>1</sup> As demonstrated by a post hoc analysis of the data from NeOProm meta-analysis, these outcomes are likely to be at least partly affected by the degree of success in targeting a specific oxygen saturation range (SpO<sub>2</sub> TR).<sup>2,3</sup>

Targeting the SpO<sub>2</sub> TR is done by carefully titrating the administered supplemental oxygen during respiratory support, either manually by bedside staff or by an automated oxygen controller (AOC). There are currently multiple systems commercially available for automated titration of the supplied oxygen.<sup>4–9</sup> All current evidence



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

**To cite:** Salverda HH, Beelen DML, Cramer SJE, et al. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2023;**108**:F26–F30.

points towards more overall success for AOCs on achieved time within the SpO<sub>2</sub> TR.

However, the available controllers employ different algorithms<sup>10</sup> and it is unclear which of these algorithms lead to better long-term and short-term outcome. The one algorithm X may on average keep oxygen saturation higher and have fewer desaturations at the cost of more hyperoxaemia, whereas the other algorithm Y may adhere better to the defined oxygen saturation limits at the cost of more short but frequent desaturations. Both, the increase in hyperoxaemia with algorithm X could increase the risk for ROP, and the higher incidence of intermittent hypoxaemia with algorithm Y could yield similar effects.<sup>11 12</sup> Furthermore, the amplitude of SpO<sub>2</sub> fluctuations and the duration of the episodes will most likely also be a factor of influence. Both factors can be influenced by choice of algorithm, for example, by algorithm design, pulse-oximeter settings and choice of SpO<sub>2</sub> TR. A similar conundrum may exist for BPD, neurodevelopmental and other long-term outcomes.<sup>12 13</sup> Studies comparing these clinical outcomes for different AOCs are lacking.

Two controllers employing distinctly different algorithms, the CLiO<sub>2</sub> algorithm implemented in the AVEA ventilator (Vyair, Yorba Linda, California, USA) and the OxyGenie algorithm (VDL 1.1) embedded in the SLE6000 ventilator (SLE, South Croydon, UK) were used in the neonatal unit of Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC). Both algorithms have been described in detail in a recent publication.<sup>10</sup> We recently demonstrated that the OxyGenie algorithm was more effective in keeping SpO<sub>2</sub> within the SpO<sub>2</sub> TR, preventing hyperoxaemia and just as effective in preventing hypoxaemia. While using the CLiO<sub>2</sub> algorithm there was less time spent in the SpO<sub>2</sub> range of 80%–90%, possibly due to the lower median SpO<sub>2</sub> during OxyGenie control (93% vs 94%) on the steeper part of the oxygen-haemoglobin dissociation curve. We hypothesised that these differences in achieved performance may affect short-term clinical outcome. Both systems have been used as standard of care for over a year in our unit, which prompted us to describe short-term clinical outcomes of preterm infants in a matched cohort study.

## METHODS

### Study design

We performed a propensity score-matched observational study with electronic patient record data from the LUMC, a tertiary hospital with 25 neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) beds and around 100 admissions per annum of infants born under 30 weeks of gestation. The medical ethical research committee of Leiden Den Haag Delft provided a statement of no objection for obtaining and publishing the anonymised data. Protocol was filed under reference number G19.075.

To ensure equal distribution of patient characteristics that can confound with outcome, we matched infants that received respiratory support from the SLE6000 ventilator, born in-hospital between 1 November 2018 and 15 March 2020 (OxyGenie cohort), to infants from a cohort supported by the AVEA ventilator born between 18 October 2015 and 31 April 2018 (CLiO<sub>2</sub> cohort). We matched on sex, birth weight and gestational age using propensity score matching with a match tolerance of 0.1. All infants were born at a gestational age 24+0 until 29+6 weeks. Infants with major congenital malformations were excluded.

### Automated oxygen controllers

In August 2015, automated oxygen titration by the CLiO<sub>2</sub> algorithm was introduced as standard of care. The CLiO<sub>2</sub> algorithm was set to target an SpO<sub>2</sub> TR of 90%–95%. In November 2018,

the AVEA ventilators were replaced with SLE6000 ventilators with the OxyGenie option for automated oxygen control. The OxyGenie uses a narrower SpO<sub>2</sub> TR of 91%–95%. The CLiO<sub>2</sub> algorithm is of a rule-based design. To determine a fraction of inspiratory oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) adjustment CLiO<sub>2</sub> incorporates the difference between the SpO<sub>2</sub> TR and the measured SpO<sub>2</sub>, the severity of lung disease and the SpO<sub>2</sub> trend. The OxyGenie algorithm is an adaptive proportional-integral-derivative controller—also employed in automotive cruise-control and rockets—with several enhancements to account for the physiology of a neonate and account for the limitations of pulse oximetry.

### Data collection and outcome measures

Patient records are kept digitally in our patient data management system (Metavision; IMDsoft, Tel Aviv, Israel). The following outcomes were noted from either these records or from the discharge papers from the regional hospital where the infant resided before being discharged home: mortality until 1 month after corrected term age, ROP, BPD, necrotising enterocolitis (NEC), culture-proven sepsis, intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH), periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and length of NICU stay. The duration of respiratory therapy and supplemental oxygen (measured FiO<sub>2</sub> above 0.21) and the mean of inspiratory oxygen during the first week and entire admission were calculated from our patient data management system which routinely samples clinical parameters and ventilator settings once per minute. High flow nasal cannula (HFNC) was available in SLE6000, not in AVEA. The device we used for HFNC during the CLiO<sub>2</sub> period did not allow for automatic data storage or for automated oxygen titration. Therefore to minimise biased results, the duration of supplemental oxygen therapy was analysed both with and without periods of HFNC. The ophthalmologists in our hospital implemented the early treatment of retinopathy of prematurity study (ETROP) classification for findings of retinal examination in 2013 and ROP was defined according to this classification.<sup>14 15</sup> Laser coagulation for treatment of severe ROP is first choice, antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) injections are not standard of care. When retinal findings were described otherwise, researcher HHS classified according to the ETROP criteria retrospectively, assisted by an ophthalmologist where necessary. An assessment for BPD was made at 36 weeks postmenstrual age classified as either none, mild, moderate-to-severe according to adapted criteria from the 2000-National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) consensus.<sup>16</sup> We chose to combine the moderate and severe classifications as discharge papers from regional hospitals sometimes did not indicate which respiratory therapy was applied at what time. NEC was defined according to the modified Bell staging criteria,<sup>17</sup> IVH was classified according to the classification adapted by Papile *et al*,<sup>18 19</sup> PVL according to the classification by de Vries *et al*.<sup>20</sup>

### Analysis

Data are presented as mean (SD), median (IQR) or (range) or number (percentage) as appropriate. Standard tests for normality (visual assessment, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Shapiro-Wilk) were done. Statistical comparison was performed using a related-samples Wilcoxon signed rank test with Hodges-Lehman median difference CI or related-samples McNemar test as appropriate. Outcomes with more than two categories were analysed using a test by Bhapkar.<sup>21</sup> Risk ratios (RRs) and CIs were calculated according to the method described by Algresti and Min<sup>22</sup> to retain the matching of the data. Statistical analyses were

**Table 1** Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics N=242	OxyGenie cohort N=121	CLiO <sub>2</sub> cohort N=121	P value*
Gestational age in weeks <sup>days</sup> , median (IQR)	28 <sup>3</sup> (26 <sup>3.5</sup> –29)	27 <sup>5</sup> (26 <sup>5</sup> –28 <sup>3</sup> )	0.09
Birth weight in g, mean (SD)	1034 (266)	1022 (242)	0.60
Small for gestational age, n (%)	12 (10.1)	8 (6.6)	0.30
Males, n (%)	51 (42.1)	55 (45.5)	0.60
Antenatal corticosteroids, n (%)	107 (92.2)	106 (89.1)	0.50
Caesarean delivery, n (%)	64 (52.9)	66 (54.5)	0.90
Multiple pregnancy, n (%)	45 (37.2)	41 (33.9)	0.70
Of which monozygotic twins, n (%)	22 (48.9)	26 (63.4)	
Perinatal asphyxia, n (%)	5 (4.1)	1 (0.8)	0.22
Apgar score at 5 min, median (range)	8 (0–10)	8 (2–10)	0.79

\*Statistical analysis with related-samples Wilcoxon signed rank test or related-samples McNemar test as appropriate.

performed by IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, V.25 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) and R V.4.1.0 (R Core Team (2021). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>). Two-tailed p values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

One hundred fifty-eight infants were born between November 2018 and March 2020, of which 121 were eligible for inclusion in the OxyGenie cohort. These were then matched with infants born in the period October 2015–April 2018 to form the control, or CLiO<sub>2</sub>, cohort (n=121) (table 1). In the OxyGenie cohort 51 infants were male (42%), there was a median gestational age at birth of 28 weeks and 3 days (26 weeks and 3.5 days–29 weeks) and a birth weight of 1034 (266) g. In the CLiO<sub>2</sub> cohort there were 55 males (46%), there was a median gestational age of 27 weeks and 5 days (26 weeks and 5 days–28 weeks and 3 days) and a birth weight of 1022 (242) g. As our centre is a referral centre for fetal treatment, we have a relatively large number of multiple pregnancy (45 in the OxyGenie cohort vs 41 in the CLiO<sub>2</sub> cohort). There were no statistically significant differences between groups in beforementioned patient characteristics.

**Table 2** Respiratory therapies

	OxyGenie cohort	CLiO <sub>2</sub> cohort	P value*
Invasive ventilation days, median (IQR)	0 (0–4.2)	2.1 (0–8.4)	0.012
CPAP days, median (IQR)	8.4 (4.8–19.8)	16.7 (6.3–31.1)	<0.001
HFNC days, median (IQR)	10.0 (4.2–17.2)	8.2 (2.7–14.6)	0.20
Supplemental oxygen days, median (IQR)	17.3 (6.0–31.1)	7.0 (1.1–26.1)	0.045
Excluding nasal high flow, median (IQR)	8.5 (2.8–22.6)	7.0 (1.1–26.1)	0.52
Mean inspired oxygen			
During first week, median (IQR)	22.8 (21.8–25.0)	22.6 (21.4–24.8)	0.33
During entire admittance, median (IQR)	22.9 (21.8–25.3)	23.4 (21.6–27.0)	0.21
High frequency oscillation, n (%)	23 (19.0)	26 (21.5)	0.76
Inhaled nitric oxide, n (%)	13 (10.7)	15 (12.4)	0.85
Dexamethasone, n (%)	15 (12.4)	15 (12.4)	1.00
Surfactant, n (%)	67 (55.7)	69 (57.0)	0.89
via intubation, n (%)	28 (41.8)	38 (55.1)	0.32
via minimally invasive technique, n (%)	39 (58.2)	31 (44.9)	

Average inspired oxygen while on respiratory support.

\*Statistical analysis with related-samples Wilcoxon signed rank, Bhapkar or related-samples McNemar test as appropriate.

CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; HFNC, high flow nasal cannula.

## Respiratory therapies

The OxyGenie cohort had significantly more time during which they received supplemental oxygen (table 2; 17.3 (95% CI 6.0 to 31.1) days vs 7.0 (95% CI 1.1 to 26.1); p=0.045). High flow was not available in the AVEA ventilator, when excluding periods where infants were supported using high flow from the analysis there was a non-statistically significant difference (8.5 (95% CI 2.8 to 22.6) days OxyGenie group vs 7.0 (95% CI 1.1 to 26.1) CLiO<sub>2</sub> group, p=0.52). The mean inspired oxygen in the first week of life was similar in both groups (22.8 (95% CI 21.8 to 25.0)% OxyGenie vs 22.6 (95% CI 21.4 to 24.8); p=0.33), as was mean inspired oxygen during the entire stay (22.9 (95% CI 21.8 to 25.3)% vs 23.4 (95% CI 21.6 to 27.0); p=0.21). Infants in the OxyGenie cohort had fewer days of continuous positive airway pressure (8.4 (95% CI 4.8 to 19.8) days vs 16.7 (95% CI 6.3 to 31.1) days; p<0.001) and fewer days of invasive ventilation (0 (95% CI 0 to 4.2) vs 2.1 (95% CI 0 to 8.4); p=0.012) while the duration of nasal high flow was similar. There were no significant differences in number of infants who received inhaled nitric oxide, dexamethasone or surfactant.

## Clinical outcomes

Fewer infants received laser coagulation for ROP in the OxyGenie cohort (table 3; 1 vs 10; relative RR 0.1, 95% CI 0.0 to 0.7; p=0.008), no infants were treated with anti-VEGF injections. There were no statistically significant differences in mortality (RR 2.3, 95% CI 0.6 to 9.0), culture-proven sepsis, BPD (RR 1.0 95% CI 0.7 to 1.3), NEC (>stage 2A, RR 1.1 95% CI 0.5 to 2.6), IVH (≥stage 2, RR 1.5 95% CI 0.8 to 2.7) and PVL (≥stage 2, RR 0.3 95% CI 0.0 to 2.2). Infants had a significantly shorter duration of stay in the NICU in the OxyGenie cohort (28 (95% CI 15 to 42) vs 40 (95% CI 25 to 61) days; median difference 13.5 days (95% CI 8.5 to 19.5); p<0.001).

## DISCUSSION

In this observational study, infants in the OxyGenie group had less morbidity. Fewer infants needed laser coagulation for ROP in the OxyGenie cohort when compared with the CLiO<sub>2</sub> cohort. On average, administered supplemental oxygen was of a modest proportion in both groups and with the exception of a shorter duration of continuous positive airway pressure and invasive mechanical ventilation, the durations on other modes of respiratory support were not significantly different. Infants in the OxyGenie cohort had a significantly shorter duration of stay in

Table 3 Clinical outcomes

	OxyGenie cohort	CLiO <sub>2</sub> cohort		P value*
Died, n (%)	7 (5.8)	3 (2.5)	RR 2.3 (95% CI 0.6 to 9.0)	0.34
Culture-proven sepsis, n (%)	45 (37.2)	56 (46.3)	RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.9 to 1.7)	0.18
Necrotising enterocolitis (>stage 2A), n (%)	9 (7.4)	8 (6.6)	RR 1.1 (95% CI 0.5 to 2.6)	1.00
Retinopathy of prematurity				
ETROP 1 (treatment indication), n (%)	5 (4.5)	13 (11.2)	RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 1.1)	0.09
ETROP 2 (watchful waiting indication), n (%)	4 (3.6)	1 (0.9)	RR 4.0 (95% CI 0.4 to 35.8)	
Received laser coagulation, n (%)	1 (0.9)	10 (8.6)	RR 0.1 (95% CI 0.0 to 0.7)	0.008
Intraventricular haemorrhage (≥stage 2), n (%)	27 (22.5)	18 (14.9)	RR 1.5 (95% CI 0.8 to 2.7)	0.21
Periventricular leukomalacia (≥stage 2), n (%)	1 (0.8)	4 (3.3)	RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.0 to 2.2)	0.38
Days in NICU, median (IQR)	28(15 – 42)	40(25 – 61)	MD 13.5 (95% CI 8.5 to 19.5)	<0.001
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia				
Moderate/Severe, n (%)	18 (34.6)	31 (53.4)	RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.4 to 1.1)	0.18
Mild, n (%)	34 (65.4)	27 (46.6)	RR 1.3 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.9)	

Intraventricular haemorrhage according to classification by Papile *et al*; days on NICU until transfer to regional hospital or discharge; bronchopulmonary dysplasia classification according to Dutch paediatric guidelines.  
Necrotising enterocolitis according to modified Bell staging criteria.  
\*Statistical analysis with related-samples Wilcoxon signed rank, Bhapkar test or related-samples McNemar test as appropriate.  
ETROP, early treatment of retinopathy of prematurity; MD, median difference; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; RR, relative risk.

the NICU. Other short-term clinical outcomes were not significantly different between groups.

In a prior study, we reported on the effect of AOC on clinical outcome during standard of care for preterm infants in which the AOC cohort consisted almost entirely of infants treated with the CLiO<sub>2</sub> algorithm.<sup>23</sup> In this larger cohort around 6% of infants needed laser coagulation for ROP, similar to what is previously reported (5.9%) in a cohort of over 154 000 very preterm infants. Contrary to our results, a recent study in the Netherlands reported an increase in treatment for ROP in the more recent epoch.<sup>24</sup> The prevalence was 2.3% in the most recent epoch, however the upper gestational age criterion was higher (ie, 32 weeks).<sup>1</sup> These figures of prevalence, combined with the relatively modest cohort size, may be indicative that the prevalence of laser coagulation for ROP in the CLiO<sub>2</sub> group may be an overestimation. Nevertheless, the occurrence of laser coagulation in the OxyGenie group was much lower than our prevalence during manual treatment.

A reduction in ROP when using OxyGenie could be plausible. In a randomised crossover trial comparing OxyGenie with CLiO<sub>2</sub>, we reported significantly less time above target range, tighter target range adherence (ie, less fluctuation of oxygenation) and less frequent and shorter episodes of both hypoxaemia and hyperoxaemia while using OxyGenie.<sup>25</sup> Hypoxaemia, hyperoxaemia and fluctuation of oxygenation have all been associated with an increased rate of ROP.<sup>11 12 26</sup> Early after preterm birth, a varying oxygenation of the retina might lead to decreased retinal vascular growth and blood vessel loss, leaving the retina more susceptible to damage due to hypoxia. In a later phase, this increases the risk of uncontrolled neovascularisation and retinal detachment.<sup>27</sup> Although our randomised trial was limited to 48 hours per studied infant, there is no reason why those results cannot be generalised largely to the rest of the admission, as the postnatal age at study was variable. We did not have data on cardiotoxic medication, the other risk factors (postnatal steroids, sepsis, NEC and mechanical ventilation >3 days) were not different between cohorts.

The applied definition of BPD<sup>16</sup> may prove unsuitable for infants receiving automated oxygen titration. The general consensus is that during a day supplemental oxygen should be

given for at least 12 hours to be counted towards the 28 days required for the diagnosis of BPD. During automated oxygen control, the administered proportion oxygen may be only intermittently be above 0.21 in a 24-hour period, and this may not be predictive of BPD, for example, when these brief moments are linked to apnoeic events. Depending on what criteria are used to define BPD, significantly more infants would be classified as having BPD.

Several measures were taken to minimise the risk of bias associated with retrospective chart studies, such as missing data from patients in regional hospitals. Given that the data are relatively recent and thanks to the modest cohort size, we were able to have two independent researchers check all electronic patient records and discharge papers from regional hospitals for the outcomes. Furthermore, respiratory support data were based on automatically stored data in our patient data management system, precluding human error. In 2017, our unit changed to single room care,<sup>28</sup> and although there have been no other major changes in standard care besides type of ventilator, we cannot rule out that there are unmeasured changes that can influence results in either direction.

Importantly, some outcomes were rare (eg, mortality and PVL) and it should be noted that the power of the study may not be sufficient to observe a difference in these outcomes between the groups. This is reflected in the broad relative risk CIs, indicative that the true effect may be markedly different. Ultimately, the retrospective nature of this study precludes us from drawing definite conclusions on the causal effect of choice of algorithm on short-term clinical outcome. Further research is warranted to replicate these findings, preferably in a large multicentre randomised trial.

## CONCLUSION

In this observational study, the OxyGenie epoch was associated with less morbidity when compared with the CLiO<sub>2</sub> epoch. There were significantly fewer infants that received treatment for ROP, received less intensive respiratory support and although there were more supplemental oxygen days, the duration of stay in the NICU was shorter. A larger study will have to replicate these findings.

**Twitter** Arjan B te Pas @None

**Contributors** HHS: co-conceived the study (with ATP) conducted the study, compiled, analysed and interpreted the data (with SCP), co-wrote the first draft of the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript. DLMB: collected and analysed data, reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript. SJE: reviewed and edited the manuscript, and approved the final version of the manuscript. SCP: reviewed and edited the manuscript, performed the analysis and interpretation of the data and approved the final version of the manuscript. NS-D: interpreted and performed eye examinations, reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript. ABtP: is guarantor of the study, co-conceived the study, oversaw the study conduct, interpreted the data, co-wrote the first draft of the manuscript and approved the final version.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** ABtP has received an unrestricted research grant from SLE; they had no role in study design nor in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report and decision to submit the paper for publication.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request.

#### ORCID iD

Hylke H Salverda <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9355-5993>

#### REFERENCES

- Lui K, Lee SK, Kusuda S, *et al.* Trends in outcomes for neonates born very preterm and very low birth weight in 11 high-income countries. *J Pediatr* 2019;215:32–40.
- Askie LM, Darlow BA, Finer N, *et al.* Association between oxygen saturation targeting and death or disability in extremely preterm infants in the neonatal oxygenation prospective meta-analysis collaboration. *JAMA* 2018;319:2190–201.
- Stenson BJ. Achieved oxygenation saturations and outcome in extremely preterm infants. *Clin Perinatol* 2019;46:601–10.
- Urschitz MS, Horn W, Seyfang A, *et al.* Automatic control of the inspired oxygen fraction in preterm infants: a randomized crossover trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:1095–100.
- Claire N, Gerhardt T, Everett R, *et al.* Closed-loop controlled inspired oxygen concentration for mechanically ventilated very low birth weight infants with frequent episodes of hypoxemia. *Pediatrics* 2001;107:1120–4.
- Hütten MC, Goos TG, Ophelders D, *et al.* Fully automated predictive intelligent control of oxygenation (PRICO) in resuscitation and ventilation of preterm lambs. *Pediatr Res* 2015;78:657–63.
- Dargaville PA, Sadeghi Fathabadi O, Plottier GK, *et al.* Development and preclinical testing of an adaptive algorithm for automated control of inspired oxygen in the preterm infant. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2017;102:F31–6.
- Gajdos M, Waitz M, Mendler MR, *et al.* Effects of a new device for automated closed loop control of inspired oxygen concentration on fluctuations of arterial and different regional organ tissue oxygen saturations in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2019;104:F360–5.
- Reynolds PR, Miller TL, Volakis LI, *et al.* Randomised cross-over study of automated oxygen control for preterm infants receiving nasal high flow. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2019;104:F366–71.
- Salverda HH, Cramer SJE, Witlox RSGM, *et al.* Automated oxygen control in preterm infants, how does it work and what to expect: a narrative review. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2021;106:215–21.
- Di Fiore JM, Bloom JN, Orge F, *et al.* A higher incidence of intermittent hypoxic episodes is associated with severe retinopathy of prematurity. *J Pediatr* 2010;157:69–73.
- Martin RJ, Wang K, Köroğlu O, *et al.* Intermittent hypoxic episodes in preterm infants: do they matter? *Neonatology* 2011;100:303–10.
- Poets CF, Roberts RS, Schmidt B, *et al.* Association between intermittent hypoxemia or bradycardia and late death or disability in extremely preterm infants. *JAMA* 2015;314:595–603.
- Early Treatment For Retinopathy Of Prematurity Cooperative Group. Revised indications for the treatment of retinopathy of prematurity: results of the early treatment for retinopathy of prematurity randomized trial. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2003;121:1684–94.
- Good WV, Early Treatment for Retinopathy of Prematurity Cooperative Group. The early treatment for retinopathy of prematurity study: structural findings at age 2 years. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2006;90:1378–82.
- Jobe AH, Bancalari E. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;163:1723–9.
- Kliegman RM, Walsh MC. Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis: pathogenesis, classification, and spectrum of illness. *Curr Probl Pediatr* 1987;17:219–88.
- Papile LA, Burstein J, Burstein R, *et al.* Incidence and evolution of subependymal and intraventricular hemorrhage: a study of infants with birth weights less than 1,500 GM. *J Pediatr* 1978;92:529–34.
- Volpe JJ. Intraventricular hemorrhage and brain injury in the premature infant. diagnosis, prognosis, and prevention. *Clin Perinatol* 1989;16:387–411.
- de Vries LS, Eken P, Dubowitz LM. The spectrum of leukomalacia using cranial ultrasound. *Behav Brain Res* 1992;49:1–6.
- Bhapkar VP. A note on the equivalence of two test criteria for hypotheses in categorical data. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1966;61:228–35.
- Agresti A, Min Y. Effects and non-effects of paired identical observations in comparing proportions with binary matched-pairs data. *Stat Med* 2004;23:65–75.
- Salverda HH, Oldenburger NJ, Rijken M, *et al.* The effect of automated oxygen control on clinical outcomes in preterm infants: a pre- and post-implementation cohort study. *Eur J Pediatr* 2021;180:2107–13.
- Trzcionkowska K, Vehmeijer WBHJ, Kerkhoff FT, *et al.* Increase in treatment of retinopathy of prematurity in the Netherlands from 2010 to 2017. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2021;99:97–103.
- Salverda HH, Cramer SJE, Witlox RSGM, *et al.* Comparison of two devices for automated oxygen control in preterm infants: a randomised crossover trial. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2022;107:20–5.
- Imanishi Y, Hirata K, Nozaki M, *et al.* Effect of fluctuation of oxygenation on the development of severe retinopathy of prematurity in extremely preterm infants. *J Perinatol* 2020;40:515–21.
- Hellström A, Hård A-L. Screening and novel therapies for retinopathy of prematurity - A review. *Early Hum Dev* 2019;138:104846.
- Jansen S, Berkhout RJM, Te Pas AB, *et al.* Comparison of neonatal morbidity and mortality between single-room and open-bay care: a retrospective cohort study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2022;107:611–6.