

Evidence for ubiquitous carbon grain destruction in hot protostellar envelopes

Nazari, P.; Tabone, B.; van 't Hoff, M.L.R.; Jørgensen, J.K.; Dishoeck, E.F. van

Citation

Nazari, P., Tabone, B., Van 't Hoff, M. L. R., Jørgensen, J. K., & Dishoeck, E. F. van. (2023). Evidence for ubiquitous carbon grain destruction in hot protostellar envelopes. *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, *951*(2). doi:10.3847/2041-8213/acdde4

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3716167

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

OPEN ACCESS



Evidence for Ubiquitous Carbon Grain Destruction in Hot Protostellar Envelopes

Pooneh Nazari¹, Benoît Tabone², Merel L. R. van 't Hoff³, Jes K. Jørgensen⁴, and Ewine F. van Dishoeck^{1,5}

Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands; nazari@strw.leidenuniv.nl

² Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, F-91405 Orsay, France

³ Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 1085 S. University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

⁴ Centre for Star and Planet Formation, Niels Bohr Institute & Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5–7, DK-1350 Copenhagen K., Denmark

⁵ Max Planck Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), Giessenbachstrasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany Received 2023 April 30; revised 2023 June 13; accepted 2023 June 13; published 2023 July 11

Abstract

Earth is deficient in carbon and nitrogen by up to \sim 4 orders of magnitude compared with the Sun. Destruction of (carbon- and nitrogen-rich) refractory organics in the high-temperature planet-forming regions could explain this deficiency. Assuming a refractory cometary composition for these grains, their destruction enhances nitrogencontaining, oxygen-poor molecules in the hot gas (>300 K) after the initial formation and sublimation of these molecules from oxygen-rich ices in the warm gas (\sim 150 K). Using observations of 37 high-mass protostars with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array, we find that oxygen-containing molecules (CH₃OH and HNCO) systematically show no enhancement in their hot component. In contrast, nitrogen-containing, oxygenpoor molecules (CH₃CN and C₂H₃CN) systematically show an enhancement of a factor ~ 5 in their hot component, pointing to additional production of these molecules in the hot gas. Assuming only thermal excitation conditions, we interpret these results as a signature of destruction of refractory organics, consistent with the cometary composition. This destruction implies a higher C/O and N/O in the hot gas than the warm gas, while the exact values of these ratios depend on the fraction of grains that are effectively destroyed. This fraction can be found by future chemical models that constrain C/O and N/O from the abundances of minor carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen carriers presented here.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Astrochemistry (75); Protostars (1302); Chemical abundances (224); Interferometry (808)

1. Introduction

Molecules containing carbon are important in discussions related to the origin of life on Earth or other terrestrial planets (Öberg & Bergin 2021). However, the Earth is known to be deficient in carbon and nitrogen by at least $\sim 1-2$ and up to 4 orders of magnitude compared with the interstellar grains and many comets including 1P/Halley and 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (Geiss 1987; Allègre et al. 2001; Wooden 2008; Marty 2012; Bergin et al. 2015; Rubin et al. 2019; Fischer et al. 2020). To explain this difference, multiple works have suggested that Earth should have formed from solids that are dominated by silicates and depleted of carbon (e.g., Jura et al. 2012; Gail & Trieloff 2017; Li et al. 2021).

Assuming that all grains start with composition similar to those of the interstellar grains (Rubin et al. 2019; Roman-Duval et al. 2022), a second step of the processing of these grains should occur in the inner planet-forming regions around the young star to explain the depletion in carbon. This further processing is thought to be the destruction of solid materials that are rich in carbon. Destruction of carbon-rich solids in the innermost regions is also implicated by the deficiency of carbon in the atmospheres of polluted white dwarfs (Jura 2006; Jura et al. 2007; Gänsicke et al. 2012; Farihi et al. 2013; Farihi 2016) due to accretion of carbon-poor asteroids by the white dwarfs.



Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Most of the carbon is in the so-called refractory organic matter that in addition to carbon contains other elements such as H, N, and O, while there are smaller fractions of carbon that exist in other forms such as hydrocarbons and pure carbon grains (Kissel et al. 1986a; Fomenkova et al. 1994; Fomenkova 1997, 1999; Bardyn et al. 2017). These carbonaceous materials, depending on their form, are thought to be destroyed at different temperatures and through different mechanisms. Figure 1 summarizes these temperatures as a simple cartoon (see the figure caption for references) ignoring any nonthermal sublimation processes. However, destruction of carbon grains has not yet been directly observed due to the absence of spectral features and extinction in protostellar systems. Therefore, an indirect method to observe this phenomenon is to search for its effects on the chemical composition of the hot gas (\gtrsim 300 K) located close to the young protostar.

Given that most of carbon is thought to be in refractory organics (Kissel et al. 1986b; Fomenkova et al. 1994; Fray et al. 2016), here we consider the effect of destruction of these types of carbonaceous material on the hot gas chemistry. Destruction of refractory organics has been argued to happen within the first million years of the planetary system formation (Li et al. 2021). Furthermore, this destruction occurs at high temperatures (~400-500 K; Gail & Trieloff 2017; Li et al. 2021). These high temperatures are achieved up to larger radii in the protostellar phase, making young and embedded protostars the prime objects to search for any effect from carbon grain destruction on the gas-phase molecules. Moreover, recent Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) results have shown that planets start forming in these THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, 951:L38 (9pp), 2023 July 10



Figure 1. The approximate temperatures at which various components from dust grains enter the gas in the envelope around a young star. At temperatures below ~ 100 K (cold) all complex organic molecules (COMs) are in the ices. At temperatures above ~ 100 K (warm) many COMs including CH₃CN and CH₃OH sublimate into the gas. At temperatures of around 400 K (hot) aromatic compounds evaporate (Gail & Trieloff 2017), and at similar temperatures refractory organics are thought to enter the gas through sublimation or pyrolysis (Nakano et al. 2003; Gail & Trieloff 2017; Li et al. 2021). Finally, at temperatures of ~ 1000 K (very hot) pure amorphous carbon grains are thought to get destroyed through oxidation (Finocchi et al. 1997; Lee et al. 2010; Gail & Trieloff 2017) or UV photolysis (Alata et al. 2014; Anderson et al. 2017; Klarmann et al. 2018). The physical scale of each region is shown for a typical high-mass protostellar system.

earlier stages (Manara et al. 2018; Tychoniec et al. 2020), making the protostellar phase even more relevant for this study.

In the protostellar envelope the temperature at which the refractory organics are sublimated could decrease from \sim 400–500 K to \sim 300 K due to the lower densities and pressures compared to those of the inner disk (see van 't Hoff et al. 2020 for detailed explanation). Therefore, for spatially unresolved hot core observations where the disk and envelope are not separated, the temperature at which the refractory organics are destroyed is likely between \sim 300 and \sim 400 K. These hot regions are the focus of this work.

It has been speculated that destruction of the refractory organics will result in a top-down formation of oxygen-poor complex organic molecules (COMs; Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009; Ceccarelli et al. 2022) in the hot gas (van 't Hoff et al. 2020). Therefore, both column densities and excitation temperatures of the oxygen-poor molecules should be affected if carbon grain destruction is effective. We note that this topdown gas-phase formation in the hot gas is an addition to the early cold-phase bottom-up formation of COMs in ices (Gibb et al. 2004; Jørgensen et al. 2020) and the thermal sublimation of these species at temperatures of around 100 K (see Figure 1). The hot gas-phase chemistry should be a natural result of destruction of refractory organics if the composition of refractory organics is similar to the refractory material of comets (Rubin et al. 2019). In particular, van 't Hoff et al. (2020) searched for differences in the excitation temperatures

between O- and N-bearing COMs (i.e., molecules with and without oxygen) as a potential sign of sublimation of carbon grains. In their literature survey, they found that the excitation temperatures for the N-bearing COMs are higher than those for the O-bearing COMs in some sources and the opposite is true for some other sources. Given the nonhomogeneous literature reports, while this study showed some interesting trends, it was inconclusive, emphasizing the need for systematic surveys and analysis.

In this work we use one such molecular survey with the ALMA to search for the signatures of destruction of carbon grains through a two-component temperature fit to oxygen-rich and oxygen-poor organics. A similar fitting method was performed by Neill et al. (2014) for their Herschel observations. We consider two of the most abundant COMs, namely methanol (CH₃OH) and methyl cyanide (CH₃CN), in addition to two other molecules with and without oxygen in a large sample of high-luminosity (and potentially high-mass) protostellar systems. These additional species are isocyanic acid (HNCO) and vinyl cyanide (C₂H₃CN). For the purposes of this Letter, when referring to oxygen-rich molecules we imply HNCO and CH₃OH, and when referring to oxygen-poor molecules we imply CH₃CN and C₂H₃CN. We use data from the large program ALMA Evolutionary Study of High Mass Protocluster Formation in the Galaxy (ALMAGAL; PIs: S. Molinari, P. Schilke, C. Battersby, P. Ho) because of its high sensitivity to detect both optically thin minor isotopologues of the most abundant molecules such as methanol to probe the warm gas and the optically thin weak lines of the major isotopologues with $E_{up} > 400-500$ K to probe the hot gas. Therefore, it is only now possible to measure the hot (\gtrsim 300 K) and warm $(200 \gtrsim T_{ex} \gtrsim 100 \text{ K})$ components of the above molecules from their high- and low- E_{up} (upper energy level) lines for a large sample of sources.

In this Letter we use data from the ALMAGAL survey to measure the hot column densities of HNCO, CH₃OH, CH₃CN, and C₂H₃CN. These molecules have similar sublimation temperatures. We use the detected lines of these molecules with $E_{\rm up} > 400-500$ K (i.e., probing $T \gtrsim 300$ K) for the hot components. We take the warm column densities for the above species from Nazari et al. (2022b), who measure them from the ¹³C or ¹⁸O isotopologues of the targeted molecules, which only have detected lines with $E_{\rm up} \lesssim 300-400$ K (i.e., probing $T \sim 150$ K). We then compare the ratios of the hot number of molecules to warm number of molecules for species with and without oxygen in a sample of 37 protostellar systems. We develop a simple analytical model to interpret the observations. Through the comparison of observations with the model we search for signs of destruction of refractory organics and find it to be common.

2. Observations and Methods

2.1. Data

In this work we use the publicly available ALMA data from the ALMAGAL large program (project ID: 2019.1.00195.L). We consider the (high-luminosity and potentially high-mass) sources that were analyzed by Nazari et al. (2022b; see van Gelder et al. 2022a and van Gelder et al. 2022b for results on methanol). The works mentioned above present the full details of the data; here we only give a summary of the observational parameters. All data used here have an angular resolution between ~ 0.75 and ~ 1.75 . The angular resolution for high-mass sources located at distances of 2–3 kpc correspond to radii between ~ 500 and ~ 2500 au. The frequency ranges covered for the selected sample are $\sim 217.00-218.87$ GHz and $\sim 219.07-220.95$ GHz. The spectral resolution is around 0.7 km s⁻¹, which results in spectrally resolved molecular lines toward the protostellar systems. The spectra are the same as those used in Nazari et al. (2022b), which were extracted from the peak pixel of the CH₃CN 12₄-11₄ moment zero map. The line rms is ~ 0.2 K for the spectra.

2.2. Spectral Fitting

In this work we consider CH₃CN, CH₃OH, HNCO, and C_2H_3CN in the data described in Section 2.1 and analyze them with a two-component temperature model. The warm column densities of CH₃CN, CH₃OH, and HNCO are measured from their ¹³C or ¹⁸O isotopologues in Nazari et al. (2022b). The isotope ratios in that work were calculated from the relations given in Wilson & Rood (1994) and Milam et al. (2005) and the distances of the objects from the Galactic center. If an object is an outlier with respect to those relations, the calculated column densities from isotope ratios could have an additional uncertainty. Using the isotopologues to calculate the column densities is desirable because it avoids the optically thick lines of the main isotopologues. However, it is limited to lower K lines and derivation of a single excitation temperature between 100 K and 200 K. In other words, the 13 C or 18 O isotopologues of CH₃CN, CH₃OH, and HNCO only have lines with $E_{\rm up} < 400$ K detected, and hence, those column densities miss the hot gas.

Here we attempt to find the column densities of the relevant molecules in the hot regions closer to the protostars by only fitting the optically thin lines of the main isotopologues with $E_{\rm up} \gtrsim 400$ K. The measured hot column densities and excitation temperatures for all the 37 sources are given in Table 1. The line lists are taken from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS; Müller et al. 2001, 2005). Frequencies, quantum numbers, E_{up} and A_{ij} of the considered lines are given in Tables B.1 and E.7 of van Gelder et al. (2022a) and Nazari et al. (2022b). For more information on the spectroscopy, see Appendix A. We use the CASSIS⁶ spectral analysis tool (Vastel et al. 2015) to fit the spectra assuming the same emitting area that was used in Nazari et al. (2022b) for the warm component. However, we stress that the relevant quantity for our study is the total number of molecules ($\mathcal{N} = AN$, where A and N are the emitting area and column density, respectively), which does not change if a different emitting area is used, as long as the lines are optically thin. In other words, if a smaller emitting area is assumed, the derived column density will increase and vice versa, such that the total number of molecules stays the same. Therefore, after the derivation of column densities, we compare the total numbers of hot and warm molecules with each other (see Section 3). For all sources, the column density of the hot component is found using the same fit-by-eye method used in Nazari et al. (2022b; also see Chen et al. 2023). Below we explain our method in more detail for each molecule.

Methyl cyanide (CH₃CN) in the chosen sample normally has three unblended detected lines with $E_{up} > 400$ K (419 K,

526 K, and 782 K), which in addition to the column density, allow the measurement of excitation temperature for the hot gas separately from that of the warm gas. However, when the 782 K line is not detected, the temperature is fixed to 300 K. This value is chosen based on the mean of the hot CH₃CN excitation temperatures for other sources where a measurement is possible (see Appendix C). To test whether this assumption is valid, we varied the excitation temperature from 200 K to as high as 500 K for a few sources and found that the column densities could only change by a factor of ≤ 2 . Therefore, the conclusions of this work will not be affected by this assumption. For the most line-rich sources, the line with $E_{\rm up} = 931$ K is also detected. When fitting these high- $E_{\rm up}$ lines, the lines with $E_{up} < 400$ K are ignored as they are usually (marginally) optically thick, and even if they were not optically thick, their emission would be dominated by the warm component. Appendix C presents an example of the fitting for the hot column density of CH₃CN.

Methanol (CH₃OH), similar to methyl cyanide, normally has multiple relatively unblended lines with $E_{\rm up} > 400$ detected in the chosen ALMAGAL sample. These lines have $E_{\rm up}$ of 508 K, 746 K, 776 K, 802 K, and 1181 K. The line with $E_{\rm up} = 508$ K for the most line-rich sources becomes optically thick. Therefore, we ignore this line for all sources to avoid any potentially optically thick lines. When the line with ~1200 K ($\nu = 220.887$ GHz and $A_{\rm ij} = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹) is detected, the excitation temperature is measured. Otherwise, it is fixed to 300 K or the upper limit on temperature. Again the lines with $E_{\rm up} < 400$ K are ignored in the fitting for robust measurements of the hot column densities.

Isocyanic acid (HNCO) in the chosen sample normally has two detected lines with $E_{up} > 400$ K, at $E_{up} = 448$ K and $E_{up} = 750$ K. We find the excitation temperatures in addition to the column densities for those sources. However, we note that these excitation temperatures are uncertain given the lack of detected lines with a large range of E_{up} , while column densities can be measured accurately. If the HNCO line with $E_{up} =$ 750 K is not detected toward a source, the temperature is fixed to 300 K. There are also two HNCO transitions with E_{up} of 1050 K and 1450 K, which are useful for constraining the upper limit on excitation temperature. Given the tentative nature of C₂H₃CN results, a description of our methods to obtain C₂H₃CN column densities is given in Appendix B.

3. Observational Comparison of Hot and Warm Components

The total number of hot to the total number of warm molecules of methanol and methyl cyanide is presented in Figure 2. The hot to warm ratios of methanol have a weighted mean of 0.12, while that for methyl cyanide is 0.48. The spread around the mean is tight for the two molecules with only a spread of a factor 1.78 and 1.33 around the mean for methanol and methyl cyanide, respectively. Figure 2 points to an enhancement in the hot component of an oxygen-poor molecule relative to an oxygen-rich one.

To examine whether this trend holds for other molecules with and without oxygen, we also found the total number of hot molecules of HNCO (another molecule in addition to methanol with oxygen) and C_2H_3CN (another molecule in addition to methyl cyanide without oxygen) where possible for our sources (see Table 1). The left panel of Figure 3 presents the hot to warm ratios of these molecules in addition to methanol and

⁶ http://cassis.irap.omp.eu/



Figure 2. Ratios of hot to warm number of methanol (blue circles) and methyl cyanide (red squares) molecules for the sources considered in this work. The dashed red and blue lines indicate the weighted mean (by errors) of \log_{10} of methyl cyanide and methanol data points. The shaded regions show the respective weighted standard deviation (by errors) of \log_{10} of the ratios around the mean. The values for the warm components are taken from Nazari et al. (2022b) for these sources and are calculated from the ¹³C and ¹⁸O column densities of methyl cyanide and methanol, respectively. There is an enhancement of a factor ~4–5 in the hot component of methyl cyanide compared to methanol.



Figure 3. Left panel is the same as Figure 2 but with the addition of C_2H_3CN (orange squares) and HNCO (green circles). For C_2H_3CN , given the data points are only approximate with no error bars, the non-weighted mean and standard deviation are shown. For all molecules, the upper limits are eliminated before calculating the (weighted) mean and standard deviation. The warm components of HNCO and C_2H_3CN are taken from Nazari et al. (2022b). The right panel presents the weighted (by the errors) mean of \log_{10} of the ratios of hot to warm number of molecules with the weighted standard deviation of \log_{10} of the data points for each molecule as the error bars. The hot to warm ratios of molecules without oxygen are at least ~0.7 dex higher than those with oxygen.

methyl cyanide. Figure 3 shows that HNCO has a similar mean and a similar standard deviation to methanol (factor of 1.77 around the mean). Moreover, the ratio of the number of hot to the number of warm vinyl cyanide molecules are more similar to those of methyl cyanide with a mean of 1.47 and a factor of 1.44 scatter around the mean. This results in two distinct population of molecules, with at least a ~0.7 dex (factor of ~5) difference between the mean of the ratios. To conclude, we find that oxygen-poor molecules have a stronger contribution from the hot gas relative to oxygen-rich ones.

4. Envelope-only Analytical Model

Here we explain what is expected for the hot and warm number of molecules from a simple analytical model. Detailed radiative transfer models of low- and high-mass protostars and the effect of temperature structure on number of molecules are found in Nazari et al. (2023b).

The number of molecules of species *i* in the gas phase is the abundance of that molecule (X_i) multiplied by the total number of hydrogen atoms in the gas phase. Here we assume that X_i is solely found from the sublimation of ices at temperature T_{sub} . Hence, the total number of molecules for species *i* in the gas phase is

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \int_0^{R_{T_i, \text{sub}}} 4\pi X_i n_{\text{H}}(R) R^2 dR, \qquad (1)$$

where *R* is the radius, $n_{\rm H}$ is the number density of hydrogen nucleus, and $R_{T_{i,{\rm sub}}}$ is the radius at which the molecule *i* is sublimated. Once a molecule is thermally sublimated into the gas phase, it can trace the hot regions close to the protostar or warm regions farther from the protostar at $R < R_{T_{{\rm sub}}}$.

Therefore, to measure the number of molecules in the hot and warm gas, Equation (1) can be rewritten with the integral limit to be either $R_{T_{i,bot}}$ or $R_{T_{i,warm}}$ instead of $R_{T_{i,sub}}$. Assuming a spherical model with a power-law density and temperature as a function of radius with powers p = -1.5 and q = -0.4 based on observations and radiative transfer models (Adams & Shu 1985; van der Tak et al. 2000; Nazari et al. 2022a), the number of molecules for species *i* in the hot (or warm) gas can be written as (see Appendix B of Nazari et al. 2021)

$$\mathcal{N}_{i,\text{hot}} \propto X_{i,\text{hot}} T_{i,\text{hot}}^{-3.75}.$$
 (2)

The total number of molecules of species i in the hot gas and in the warm gas can then be compared as

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{i,\text{hot}}}{\mathcal{N}_{i,\text{warm}}} = \frac{X_{i,\text{hot}}}{X_{i,\text{warm}}} \left(\frac{T_{i,\text{hot}}}{T_{i,\text{warm}}}\right)^{-3.75}.$$
(3)

Assuming $T_{\text{hot}} = 300$ K and $T_{\text{warm}} = 150$ K, which are consistent with the average excitation temperatures of the hot and warm gas for the studied molecules (see Appendix C), $(T_{i,\text{hot}}/T_{i,\text{warm}})^{-3.75} = 0.07$. Therefore, if there is no additional gas-phase chemistry in the hot gas (i.e., $X_{i,\text{hot}}/X_{i,\text{warm}} = 1$),

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{i,\text{hot}}}{\mathcal{N}_{i,\text{warm}}} \sim 0.1. \tag{4}$$

It is worth noting that this result is valid for molecules with sublimation temperatures of $\leq 100-150$ K so that there exists a warm component in the gas. For example, if a molecule has a sublimation temperature of 200–300 K (e.g., NH₂CHO), the molecule will not be present in the gas-phase at 150 K, and this result will not be valid for that molecule. The molecules

considered in this work (CH₃OH, CH₃CN, HNCO, and C_2H_3CN) have similar binding energies and hence similar sublimation temperatures at around 100–150 K in the interstellar conditions (Collings et al. 2004; Song & Kästner 2016; Wakelam et al. 2017; Bertin et al. 2017; Penteado et al. 2017; Das et al. 2018; Ferrero et al. 2020; Busch et al. 2022; Minissale et al. 2022).

There are some caveats in the above calculations, such as the assumed power of -0.4 for the temperature structure as a function of radius. This value is based on the regions where the envelope is optically thin to the reprocessed emission. To check how this assumption changes the calculations, we take a steeper temperature profile with q = -0.5 for the optically thick regime (see Adams & Shu 1985 for low-mass and Nazari et al. 2023a for high-mass sources). This will change the power of -3.75 to -3.0 and the value of $N_{i,hot}/N_{i,warm}$ from 0.07 to 0.13. Therefore, our assumption of q for high-mass sources such as those from the ALMAGAL sample is only expected to produce a small scatter in the value of 0.1. Moreover, the larger the difference between the assumed T_{hot} and T_{warm} , the smaller the ratio of $\mathcal{N}_{i,hot}/\mathcal{N}_{i,warm}$ will be. The conclusion is that without any gas-phase chemistry, the number of molecules in the hot gas should be around 1 order of magnitude smaller than that in the warm gas with some variations (less than a factor 2) based on the exact thermal and density structure of the protostellar system.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

Our findings in Section 3 show that the mean of hot number of molecules to warm number of molecules for oxygen-rich species agrees well with the expected ratio of ~ 0.1 from the simple analytical model in Section 4. However, this ratio for oxygen-poor species deviates from the analytical model by a factor of about 5 (right panel of Figure 3). Therefore, the main assumption made in that model, that there is no additional gasphase chemistry in the hot gas, does not hold for oxygen-poor molecules. This means there is an enhancement of oxygen-poor species in the hot gas and $X_{i,hot}/X_{i,warm}$ of $\gtrsim 5$ for these molecules (see Equation (3)). In other words, there should be a gas-phase formation route for methyl cyanide (or vinyl cyanide) in the hot gas that does not exist for methanol (or isocyanic acid). This additional route could be the top-down formation mechanism suggested by van 't Hoff et al. (2020) for nitrogen-bearing, oxygen-poor COMs as a result of destruction of refractory organics.

When refractory organics are destroyed, nitrogen- and carbon-bearing compounds should increase in the hot gas more than the oxygen-bearing compounds. This is because of the higher percentage of nitrogen and carbon in cometary dust grains compared with cometary ices, while the percentage of oxygen in cometary dust grains is lower than in ices (see Rubin et al. 2019, Table 5). Moreover, the fraction of nitrogen to carbon in pre-solar organic nano-globules is ~ 0.1 , and thus nitrogen exists in dust grains (Jones 2016). The nitrogen- and carbon-bearing compounds could be in the form of NH₃, CN, CH₄, and other forms of hydrocarbons (Nakano et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2013; Gail & Trieloff 2017; van 't Hoff et al. 2020). This agrees well with chemical models of protoplanetary disks where they find an increase of nitrogen-bearing species such as HCN and depletion of oxygen-rich ones as a result of carbon grain destruction (Wei et al. 2019). This is also in line with the results from the Infrared Space Observatory, which

showed enhanced abundances of C_2H_2 and HCN with increasing temperature in the gas around massive, young stellar objects (Lahuis & van Dishoeck 2000).

After this additional release of nitrogen- and carbon-bearing species due to the destruction of refractory organics, species without oxygen such as CH_3CN could form in the gas phase. One possible formation route is the reaction of CH_3^+ and HCN to first form CH_3CNH^+ , which can then produce CH_3CN through electronic dissociative recombination (Garrod et al. 2022). At higher temperatures above 300 K, atomic nitrogen is expected to be released from NH_3 , NH_2 , or NH that can then react with CH_3 to produce HCN, which could again turn into CH_3CN through the same mechanism explained in the previous sentence (Garrod et al. 2022). For C_2H_3CN , a plausible high-temperature gas-phase formation route could be the reaction of C_2H_4 with CN (Garrod et al. 2022). Both of these minor species are expected to be released from destruction of refractory organics.

We attribute the enhancement of oxygen-poor molecules, CH_3CN and C_2H_3CN , in the hot gas to destruction of refractory organics and find it to be common in high-mass protostellar systems. It is yet to be confirmed whether the trend seen in Figure 3 holds for other oxygen-poor (e.g., C_2H_5CN) and oxygen-rich species (e.g., CH_3OCH_3). If this conclusion can be extended to low-mass protostellar systems (pending further observations), the carbon deficiency on Earth could be generalized to other terrestrial planets.

The grains that are destroyed at high temperatures most likely have similar composition to cometary refractory material, which have a higher percentage of carbon and nitrogen than oxygen (Rubin et al. 2019). Therefore, destruction of these grains in the hot gas will inevitably increase the C/O and N/O ratios in the hot gas close to the protostars compared to the warm gas farther away. In other words, Figure 3 shows a systematic increase in the C/O and N/O ratios of the hot gas. However, the exact values of these ratios depend on the fraction of refractory organics that are destroyed in these hightemperature regions, which is not known. Future chemical models to constrain these elemental ratios based on the abundances of minor carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen carriers discussed in this work are needed to constrain the fraction of refractory organics that are destroyed in the hot gas. Assuming a few caveats, the measured fraction from these chemical models can then be used to estimate the amount of carbon depletion in rocky planets forming in the inner regions of protostellar systems. These caveats include a similar destruction of grains in low-mass protostars, particularly in the inner parts of the disk, and a weak dependence of the inner disk gas and dust elemental abundances on dust traps.

Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for the helpful and constructive comments. We thank A. P. Jones and P. Caselli for the helpful discussions. Astrochemistry in Leiden is supported by EU A-ERC grant 101019751 MOLDISK, NOVA, and by the NWO grant 618.000.001. Support by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center of Excellence "InterCat" (Grant agreement no.: DNRF150) is also acknowl-edged. B.T. acknowledges support from the Programme National "Physique et Chimie du Milieu Interstellair" (PCMI) of CNRS/INSU with INC/INP and co-funded by CNES. M.L. R.H. acknowledges support from the Michigan Society of

Fellows. J.K.J. acknowledges support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark (grant number 0135-00123B). This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO. ALMA#2019.1.00195.L. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Appendix A Spectroscopic Information

The line lists and spectroscopic information for CH₃CN are taken from the CDMS (Kukolich et al. 1973; Boucher et al. 1977; Kukolich 1982; Cazzoli & Puzzarini 2006; Müller et al. 2015). The partition function has been calculated for temperatures below 500 K in the CDMS, and contribution from lower vibrational states has been included. The higher vibrational states each contribute to less than 1% at 300 K and hence are ignored here. If these were included, the difference between methyl cyanide and methanol results presented here would be larger.

The spectroscopic data for CH₃OH are taken from the CDMS (Lees & Baker 1968; Pickett et al. 1981; Herbst et al. 1984; Xu & Hougen 1995; Xu et al. 2008). The vibrational levels included in the partition function are sufficient for temperatures up to 300 K. The line data for HNCO and C₂H₃CN are also taken from the CDMS (Kukolich et al. 1971; Hocking et al. 1975; Stolze & Sutter 1985; Demaison et al. 1994; Colmont et al. 1997; Müller et al. 2008). Low-lying vibrational modes are included in the calculation of partition function in the CDMS for C₂H₃CN.

Appendix B Measurement of Hot Column Densities of C₂H₃CN

There are not enough lines with $E_{up} > 400$ K detected in the spectra for robust measurement of hot column densities of C_2H_3CN . Usually there is only one relatively unblended line with $E_{up} \sim 400$ K in the spectra. Hence, we fix the temperature to 300 K and only fit the line with $E_{up} \sim 435.0$ K to find an approximation for the hot column densities of C_2H_3CN . We do not measure the error bars as it is not possible to firmly measure them. These values are approximate and not as accurate as what we find for CH₃CN, CH₃OH, and HNCO. Therefore, deeper observations are needed for robust measurement of the column densities of the hot component and those of the warm component from the ¹³C isotopologues of vinyl cyanide to avoid the potentially optically thick lines of C_2H_3CN with $E_{up} < 400$ K.

Appendix C Additional Tables and Plots

Table 1 presents the column densities and excitation temperatures of the hot component for the molecules and sources considered here. In this table a few column densities are not reported for various sources and molecules. For all our molecules, if the upper limit on the excitation temperature of the hot gas is ≤ 200 K, the hot column density is not measured

 Table 1

 Column Densities and Excitation Temperatures for the Hot Component

Source	CH ₃ CN		CH ₃ OH		HNCO		C ₂ H ₃ CN	
	$\frac{N}{(\text{cm}^{-2})}$	<i>T</i> _{ex} (K)	$\frac{N}{(\mathrm{cm}^{-2})}$	T _{ex} (K)	$N (cm^{-2})$	T _{ex} (K)	$\frac{N}{(\text{cm}^{-2})}$	<i>T</i> _{ex} (K)
101899	$1.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{16}$	230_{-40}^{+40}	$3.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \times 10^{17}$	250^{+20}_{-20}	$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{16}$	320^{+100}_{-90}	$<\!\!2.5 imes 10^{15}$	[300]
126348	$2.3^{+1.1}_{-0.7} \times 10^{15}$	350^{+150}_{-170}	$7.5^{+2.5}_{-2.0} \times 10^{16}$	250^{+50}_{-50}	$9.7^{+2.3}_{-1.2} \times 10^{14}$	[300]	$< 1.2 \times 10^{15}$	[300]
615590	$1.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{16}$	250^{+80}_{-80}	$6.5^{+5.5}_{-2.3} \times 10^{17}$	190^{+30}_{-30}	$2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{16}$	310^{+50}_{-50}		
644284A	$3.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]		<190	$6.5^{+1.5}_{-0.8} \times 10^{15}$	300^{+140}_{-110}		
693050		<160		<150	$7.0^{+2.0}_{-1.5} \times 10^{15}$	210^{+60}_{-50}	$<\!\!8.5 imes\!10^{14}$	[300]
705768	$2.5^{+1.0}_{-0.4} \times 10^{15}$	350^{+150}_{-190}	$9.0^{+9.0}_{-3.0} \times 10^{16}$	200^{+50}_{-40}	$9.0^{+2.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{14}$	[300]		
707948	•••	>500	$1.4^{+0.4}_{-0.2} \times 10^{18}$	250^{+20}_{-30}			${\sim}2.0$ ${\times}10^{16}$	[300]
717461A	$2.4^{+0.2}_{-0.3} \times 10^{15}$	[300]		<200	$1.8^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$< 9.0 \times 10^{14}$	[300]
721992	$5.0^{+7.0}_{-2.7} \times 10^{15}$	120_{-30}^{+60}			$6.0^{+1.2}_{-1.0} \times 10^{14}$	[300]	$< 1.3 \times 10^{15}$	[300]
724566	$8.0^{+3.0}_{-0.8} \times 10^{15}$	340^{+110}_{-150}	${\sim}1.2~{\times}10^{17}$	[300]	$3.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$<\!\!2.0 imes 10^{15}$	[300]
732038	$3.0^{+0.2}_{-0.3} \times 10^{15}$	[300]		<190				
744757A	$4.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	250^{+80}_{-50}	$1.5^{+1.3}_{-0.5} \times 10^{17}$	210^{+30}_{-40}	$4.8^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$< 9.0 \times 10^{14}$	[300]
767784	•••	<170		<180	$1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \times 10^{15}$	[300]		
778802	$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$3.0^{+4.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{16}$	250^{+90}_{-90}	<6.0 ×10 ¹⁴	[300]	$< 1.0 \times 10^{15}$	[300]
779523	$1.2^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$1.0^{+1.5}_{-0.4} \times 10^{17}$	200^{+50}_{-60}	$6.5^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{14}$	[300]		
779984	$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	•••		$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{15}$	430_{-80}^{+70}	$<7.5 \times 10^{14}$	[300]
783350	$2.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$8.0^{+11.0}_{-3.2} \times 10^{16}$	200^{+60}_{-50}	$1.2^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$<\!\!8.0 imes\!10^{14}$	[300]
787212	$1.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{16}$	230_{-30}^{+40}	$4.5^{+3.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{17}$	190^{+20}_{-30}	$9.9^{+0.1}_{-0.4} \times 10^{15}$	350^{+60}_{-80}	${\sim}2.7~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]
792355	$1.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$3.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \times 10^{16}$	[250]	$7.5^{+1.5}_{-1.5} \times 10^{14}$	[300]		
800287	$1.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{16}$	[300]	$2.1^{+1.0}_{-0.7} \times 10^{17}$	240^{+50}_{-50}	$8.0^{+1.0}_{-0.8} \times 10^{15}$	340^{+160}_{-120}	${\sim}2.9~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]
800751	$3.4^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{15}$	230_{-40}^{+40}	$2.0^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \times 10^{17}$	180^{+30}_{-20}	•••		$<7.5 \times 10^{14}$	[300]
865468A	$8.2^{+1.3}_{-1.2} \times 10^{16}$	430^{+50}_{-50}	$1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{18}$	250^{+30}_{-30}			${\sim}7.0~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]
876288	$2.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$1.0^{+1.1}_{-0.3} \times 10^{17}$	190_{-40}^{+30}				
881427C	$2.6^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{16}$	300_{-40}^{+40}	$5.5^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{17}$	250^{+40}_{-30}	$1.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{16}$	450^{+50}_{-50}	${\sim}6.0$ ${\times}10^{15}$	[300]
G023.3891+00.1851	$3.5^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \times 10^{15}$	450^{+50}_{-50}	$8.0^{+4.0}_{-2.5} imes 10^{16}$	250^{+50}_{-50}	$1.8^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \times 10^{15}$	450^{+50}_{-50}	${\sim}5.5~{\times}10^{14}$	[300]
G025.6498+01.0491	$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1} imes 10^{16}$	300^{+50}_{-60}	$6.5^{+3.5}_{-2.5} \times 10^{17}$	190_{-30}^{+30}	$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1} imes 10^{16}$	350^{+100}_{-110}	${\sim}2.4~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]
G305.2017+00.2072A1	$6.0^{+1.8}_{-1.5} \times 10^{15}$	190_{-40}^{+40}		<200	$4.7^{+1.3}_{-0.9} \times 10^{15}$	210^{+50}_{-50}	$< 1.2 \times 10^{15}$	[300]
G314.3197+00.1125	$5.5^{+1.3}_{-1.2} imes 10^{15}$	430_{-90}^{+70}		<200	$2.3^{+0.3}_{-0.3} imes 10^{15}$	[300]	$< 1.7 \times 10^{15}$	[300]
G316.6412-00.0867	$1.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1} imes 10^{16}$	270_{-40}^{+50}	$3.0^{+1.3}_{-0.9} \times 10^{17}$	230_{-30}^{+40}	$6.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5} imes 10^{15}$	400^{+100}_{-100}	${\sim}1.2~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]
G318.0489+00.0854B	$3.9^{+0.3}_{-0.4} imes 10^{15}$	[250]		<170		<200	$< 1.1 \times 10^{15}$	[300]
G318.9480-00.1969A1	$1.8^{+0.2}_{-0.1} imes 10^{16}$	240_{-40}^{+40}	$8.0^{+4.0}_{-2.5} imes 10^{17}$	210^{+30}_{-30}	$1.7^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \times 10^{16}$	320_{-70}^{+80}	${\sim}1.2~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]
G323.7399-00.2617B2	$1.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{16}$	180_{-30}^{+40}	•••	<190	$1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \times 10^{16}$	230_{-40}^{+30}	${\sim}9.5~{\times}10^{14}$	[300]
G326.4755+00.6947	$1.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1} imes 10^{15}$	[300]	${\sim}6.0 \times 10^{16}$	[220]	$1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2} imes 10^{15}$	[300]	$<\!\!8.0 imes\!10^{14}$	[300]
G326.6618+00.5207	$2.8^{+28.2}_{-0.4}$ $ imes 10^{15}$	230_{-50}^{+60}	$1.0^{+0.6}_{-0.3} \times 10^{17}$	200^{+30}_{-30}	$3.1^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \times 10^{15}$	[300]	$<\!\!6.5 imes 10^{14}$	[300]
G327.1192+00.5103	$1.5^{+0.2}_{-0.1} imes 10^{16}$	[220]	•••	<200	$2.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1} imes 10^{16}$	350^{+100}_{-110}	$<\!\!2.9 \times \! 10^{15}$	[300]
G343.1261-00.0623	$2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} imes 10^{16}$	310^{+50}_{-40}	$1.8^{+0.7}_{-0.7} \ { imes}10^{17}$	220^{+30}_{-30}	$2.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{16}$	330^{+90}_{-90}	${\sim}5.0$ ${\times}10^{15}$	[300]
G345.5043+00.3480	$4.2^{+0.8}_{-0.7}\ \times 10^{16}$	$400\substack{+60\\-70}$	${\sim}7.5~{\times}10^{17}$	[250]			${\sim}7.0~{\times}10^{15}$	[300]

Note. The excitation temperatures that are either fixed to 300 K or to the upper limit on temperature are shown within square brackets.

to avoid potential contribution from the warm gas in the calculations.

Methanol in sources 721992 and 779984 does not have a column density because no line with $E_{up} > 600$ K is detected and the minor isotopologue of methanol is also an upper limit in Nazari et al. (2022b). For sources 707948, 865468A, and G345.5043+00.3480, the high- E_{up} lines of HNCO are too blended, and hence it is not possible to measure the hot column density. Lines of HN¹³CO for source 800751 are too blended (Nazari et al. 2022b), and hence the column density for HNCO is not given here. For sources 732038 and 876288, only an upper limit for the hot component is possible while the ¹³C

isotopologue is also an upper limit; therefore, those values are not useful for our analysis. For C_2H_3CN , the sources with no measurement are those with no detection of the warm component in Nazari et al. (2022b).

Figure 4 presents an example source with the CASSIS fits (in red) on top of the data (in black) for measurement of the warm (top panels) and hot (bottom panels) column densities of methyl cyanide. Figure 5 presents the excitation temperatures of warm and hot methanol and methyl cyanide when the measurement was possible. Given the errors bars, the temperatures of hot methanol and methyl cyanide are similar; the same is true for the warm methanol and methyl cyanide.



Figure 4. Example of spectral fitting for the warm and hot gas for the relevant lines of $CH_3^{13}CN$ and CH_3CN . Red shows the model, and black shows the data. The top panels show the fit of $CH_3^{13}CN$ for the source G316.6412-00.0867 (the best-fit model is taken from Nazari et al. 2022b). The bottom panels show the fit of high- E_{up} lines of CH_3CN for the same source. The molecule names and measured excitation temperatures are printed on the right, next to the panels. The upper energy levels (E_{up}) , A_{ij} coefficients and quantum numbers are printed in green on the top left of each panel. The green dashed lines show the 3σ , level and the green vertical dotted lines highlight the transition frequency for each line.



Figure 5. The excitation temperatures of CH_3CN (red stars), $CH_3^{13}CN$ (blue circles), CH_3OH (pink stars), and $CH_3^{18}OH$ (cyan circles), where the measurement of excitation temperature was possible. The dashed lines show the mean for warm and hot methanol and methyl cyanide. The values for the ¹³C and ¹⁸O isotopologues of methyl cyanide and methanol are taken from Nazari et al. (2022b). Given the large error bars, the hot excitation temperatures of methanol and methyl cyanide are similar. The same can be said for their warm components.

ORCID iDs

Pooneh Nazari b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4448-3871 Benoît Tabone b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1103-3225 Merel L. R. van 't Hoff b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2555-9869

Jes K. Jørgensen [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9133-8047 Ewine F. van Dishoeck [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7591-1907

References

- Adams, F. C., & Shu, F. H. 1985, ApJ, 296, 655
- Alata, I., Cruz-Diaz, G. A., Muñoz Caro, G. M., & Dartois, E. 2014, A&A, 569, A119
- Allègre, C., Manhès, G., & Lewin, É. 2001, E&PSL, 185, 49
- Anderson, D. E., Bergin, E. A., Blake, G. A., et al. 2017, ApJ, 845, 13
- Bardyn, A., Baklouti, D., Cottin, H., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 469, 8712
- Bergin, E. A., Blake, G. A., Ciesla, F., Hirschmann, M. M., & Li, J. 2015, PNAS, 112, 8965
- Bertin, M., Doronin, M., Fillion, J. H., et al. 2017, A&A, 598, A18
- Boucher, D., Burie, J., Demaison, J., et al. 1977, JMoSp, 64, 290
- Busch, L. A., Belloche, A., Garrod, R. T., Müller, H. S. P., & Menten, K. M. 2022, A&A, 665, A96
- Cazzoli, G., & Puzzarini, C. 2006, JMoSp, 240, 153
- Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., Balucani, N., et al. 2022, arXiv:2206.13270
- Chen, Y., van Gelder, M. L., Nazari, P., et al. 2023, A&A, submitted
- Collings, M. P., Anderson, M. A., Chen, R., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 354, 1133
- Colmont, J. M., Wlodarczak, G., Priem, D., et al. 1997, JMoSp, 181, 330
- Das, A., Sil, M., Gorai, P., Chakrabarti, S. K., & Loison, J. C. 2018, ApJS, 237, 9
- Demaison, J., Cosleou, J., Bocquet, R., & Lesarri, A. G. 1994, JMoSp, 167, 400
- Farihi, J. 2016, NewAR, 71, 9
- Farihi, J., Gänsicke, B. T., & Koester, D. 2013, Sci, 342, 218
- Ferrero, S., Zamirri, L., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2020, ApJ, 904, 11
- Finocchi, F., Gail, H. P., & Duschl, W. J. 1997, A&A, 325, 1264
- Fischer, R. A., Cottrell, E., Hauri, E., Lee, K. K. M., & Le Voyer, M. 2020, PNAS, 117, 8743
- Fomenkova, M. N. 1997, in ASP Conf. Ser. 122, From Stardust to Planetesimals, ed. Y. J. Pendleton (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 415
- Fomenkova, M. N. 1999, SSRv, 90, 109
- Fomenkova, M. N., Chang, S., & Mukhin, L. M. 1994, GeCoA, 58, 4503
- Fray, N., Bardyn, A., Cottin, H., et al. 2016, Natur, 538, 72
- Gail, H.-P., & Trieloff, M. 2017, A&A, 606, A16
- Gänsicke, B. T., Koester, D., Farihi, J., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 333
- Garrod, R. T., Jin, M., Matis, K. A., et al. 2022, ApJS, 259, 1
- Geiss, J. 1987, A&A, 187, 859
- Gibb, E. L., Whittet, D. C. B., Boogert, A. C. A., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2004, ApJS, 151, 35
- Herbst, E., Messer, J. K., De Lucia, F. C., & Helminger, P. 1984, JMoSp, 108, 42
- Herbst, E., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 427
- Hocking, W. H., Gerry, M. C. L., & Winnewisser, G. 1975, CaJPh, 53, 1869
- Jones, A. P. 2016, RSOS, 3, 160224
- Jørgensen, J. K., Belloche, A., & Garrod, R. T. 2020, ARA&A, 58, 727
- Jura, M. 2006, ApJ, 653, 613
- Jura, M., Farihi, J., & Zuckerman, B. 2007, ApJ, 663, 1285
- Jura, M., Xu, S., Klein, B., Koester, D., & Zuckerman, B. 2012, ApJ, 750, 69
- Kissel, J., Brownlee, D. E., Buchler, K., et al. 1986a, Natur, 321, 336

- Kissel, J., Sagdeev, R. Z., Bertaux, J. L., et al. 1986b, Natur, 321, 280 Klarmann, L., Ormel, C. W., & Dominik, C. 2018, A&A, 618, L1
- Kiaimanni, L., Offici, C. W., & Dominik, C. 2016, A@A, C
- Kukolich, S. G. 1982, JChPh, 76, 97
- Kukolich, S. G., Nelson, A. C., & Yamanashi, B. S. 1971, JAChS, 93, 6769Kukolich, S. G., Ruben, D. J., Wang, J. H. S., & Williams, J. R. 1973, JChPh, 58, 3155
- Lahuis, F., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2000, A&A, 355, 699
- Lee, J.-E., Bergin, E. A., & Nomura, H. 2010, ApJL, 710, L21
- Lees, R. M., & Baker, J. G. 1968, JChPh, 48, 5299
- Li, J., Bergin, E. A., Blake, G. A., Ciesla, F. J., & Hirschmann, M. M. 2021, SciA, 7, eabd3632
- Manara, C. F., Morbidelli, A., & Guillot, T. 2018, A&A, 618, L3
- Marty, B. 2012, E&PSL, 313, 56
- Milam, S. N., Savage, C., Brewster, M. A., Ziurys, L. M., & Wyckoff, S. 2005, ApJ, 634, 1126
- Minissale, M., Aikawa, Y., Bergin, E., et al. 2022, ESC, 6, 597
- Müller, H. S. P., Belloche, A., Menten, K. M., Comito, C., & Schilke, P. 2008, JMoSp, 251, 319
- Müller, H. S. P., Brown, L. R., Drouin, B. J., et al. 2015, JMoSp, 312, 22
- Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, JMoSt, 742, 215
- Müller, H. S. P., Thorwirth, S., Roth, D. A., & Winnewisser, G. 2001, A&A, 370, L49
- Nakano, H., Kouchi, A., Tachibana, S., & Tsuchiyama, A. 2003, ApJ, 592, 1252
- Nazari, P., Meijerhof, J. D., van Gelder, M. L., et al. 2022b, A&A, 668, A109
- Nazari, P., Tabone, B., Rosotti, G. P., et al. 2022a, A&A, 663, A58
- Nazari, P., Tabone, B., & Rosotti, G. P. 2023a, A&A, 671, A107
- Nazari, P., Tabone, B., Rosotti, G. P., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2023b, A&A, submitted
- Nazari, P., van Gelder, M. L., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2021, A&A, 650, A150
- Neill, J. L., Bergin, E. A., Lis, D. C., et al. 2014, ApJ, 789, 8
- Öberg, K. I., & Bergin, E. A. 2021, PhR, 893, 1
- Penteado, E. M., Walsh, C., & Cuppen, H. M. 2017, ApJ, 844, 71
- Pickett, H. M., Cohen, E. A., Brinza, D. E., & Schaefer, M. M. 1981, JMoSp, 89, 542
- Roman-Duval, J., Jenkins, E. B., Tchernyshyov, K., et al. 2022, ApJ, 928, 90
- Rubin, M., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 489, 594
- Song, L., & Kästner, J. 2016, PCCP, 18, 29278
- Stolze, M., & Sutter, D. H. 1985, ZNatA, 40, 998
- Tychoniec, Ł., Manara, C. F., Rosotti, G. P., et al. 2020, A&A, 640, A19
- van der Tak, F. F. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., Evans, N. J. I., & Blake, G. A. 2000, ApJ, 537, 283
- van Gelder, M. L., Jaspers, J., Nazari, P., et al. 2022b, A&A, 667, A136
- van Gelder, M. L., Nazari, P., Tabone, B., et al. 2022a, A&A, 662, A67
- van 't Hoff, M. L. R., Bergin, E. A., Jørgensen, J. K., & Blake, G. A. 2020, ApJL, 897, L38
- Vastel, C., Bottinelli, S., Caux, E., Glorian, J. M., & Boiziot, M. 2015, in SF2A-2015: Proc. of the Annual meeting of the French Society of Astronomy and Astrophysics, ed. F. Martins et al. (Paris: French Society of Astronomy and Astrophysics), 313
- Wakelam, V., Loison, J. C., Mereau, R., & Ruaud, M. 2017, MolAs, 6, 22
- Wang, S., Liu, J., Jiang, X., Han, X., & Tong, J. 2013, Oil Shale, 30, 27
- Wei, C.-E., Nomura, H., Lee, J.-E., et al. 2019, ApJ, 870, 129
- Wilson, T. L., & Rood, R. 1994, ARA&A, 32, 191
- Wooden, D. H. 2008, SSRv, 138, 75
- Xu, L.-H., Fisher, J., Lees, R. M., et al. 2008, JMoSp, 251, 305
- Xu, L. H., & Hougen, J. T. 1995, JMoSp, 173, 540

9