

Effect of a 24-week concurrent exercise intervention on neck adiposity and its distribution in young adults: the ACTIBATE randomized controlled trial

Arias-Tellez, M.J.; Acosta, F.M.; Merchan-Ramirez, E.; Martínez-Téllez, B.; Delgado, G.S.; Llamas-Elvira, J.M.; Ruiz, J.R.

Citation

Arias-Tellez, M. J., Acosta, F. M., Merchan-Ramirez, E., Martínez-Téllez, B., Delgado, G. S., Llamas-Elvira, J. M., & Ruiz, J. R. (2023). Effect of a 24-week concurrent exercise intervention on neck adiposity and its distribution in young adults: the ACTIBATE randomized controlled trial. *International Journal Of Sport Nutrition And Exercise Metabolism*, *33*(5), 255-264. doi:10.1123/ijsnem.2022-0246

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3721135

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Effect of a 24-Week Concurrent Exercise Intervention on Neck Adiposity and Its Distribution in Young Adults: The ACTIBATE Randomized Controlled Trial

Maria Jose Arias-Tellez,^{1,2} Francisco M. Acosta,^{1,3,4,5,6} Elisa Merchan-Ramirez,¹ Borja Martínez-Téllez,^{1,7,8,9} Guillermo-Sánchez Delgado,^{1,9,10} Jose M. Llamas-Elvira,^{11,12} and Jonatan R. Ruiz^{1,9,12}

¹Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sports Science, Sport and Health University Research Institute (iMUDS), Granada, Spain; ²Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile; ³Turku PET Centre, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; ⁴Turku PET Centre, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; ⁵InFLAMES Research Flagship Center, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; ⁶MediCity/PET Preclinical Laboratory, University of Turku, Turku PET Centre, Turku, Finland; ⁷Department of Education, Faculty of Education Sciences and SPORT Research Group (CTS-1024), CERNEP Research Center, University of Almería, Almería, Spain; ⁸Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Einthoven Laboratory for Experimental Vascular Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁹CIBER de Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBEROBN), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Granada, Spain; ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Université de Sherbrooke, QC, Canada; ¹¹Nuclear Medicine Services, "Virgen de las Nieves" University Hospital, Granada, Spain; ¹²Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, ibs.Granada, Granada, Spain

Neck adipose tissue (NAT) accumulation and neck circumference are independent predictors of cardiometabolic risk (CMR) and low-grade chronic inflammation in young adults. The present study examines whether a 24-week concurrent exercise intervention can reduce NAT volume and neck circumference in young adults, and whether any changes in these variables are related to changes in body composition, CMR, and the inflammatory profile. Seventy-four participants (51 women, age 22 ± 2 years) were included in the main analyses, after being randomly assigned to either a (a) control (n = 34), (b) moderateintensity exercise (n = 19), or (c) vigorous-intensity exercise (n = 21) group. Participants in the exercise groups trained 3–4 days/ week (endurance + resistance exercise training). NAT volume and NAT distribution across different depots were estimated using computed tomography before and after the intervention. Anthropometric variables, body composition (determined by dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry), and CMR/inflammatory markers were also recorded. The exercise intervention did not reduce the total NAT volume, nor was NAT distribution affected (p > .05). However, it did reduce neck circumference in the vigorousintensity exercise group compared with the moderate-intensity exercise and control groups (by 0.8 and 1 cm, respectively, $p \le .05$). Changes in total NAT and neck circumference were positively, albeit weakly, related (adj. R^2 : .05–.21, all $p \le .05$) to changes in body weight and adiposity, leptin (only total NAT), and CMR (only neck circumference). Altogether 24 weeks of concurrent exercise does not appear to reduce NAT accumulation in young adults, but may slightly reduce neck circumference in those who partake in vigorous exercise.

Keywords: aerobic exercise, cardiovascular disease, neck fat, upper body fat, strength exercise, volumetric assessment

The last decade has seen attention focused on previously overlooked adipose tissue depots that might influence cardiometabolic risk (CMR). Among these depots, recent evidence has centered on the neck adipose tissue (NAT), a heterogeneous and anatomically disperse depot within the nonsplanchnic upper body fat, which is divided in different compartments, and whose volume and distribution can be accurately quantified by using computed tomography (CT; Torriani et al., 2014) or magnetic resonance imaging (Cielo et al., 2021). NAT is positively related to total and central body fat (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021; Torriani et al., 2014), to CMR independent of body mass index (BMI) or visceral

Arias-Tellez Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-6738-6196

Martínez-Téllez (Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-8783-1859) Delgado (Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-4455-2483)

Llamas-Elvira in https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4661-5030

Ruiz ibhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-7548-7138

adipose tissue (VAT) mass (Pandzic Jaksic et al., 2018; Rosenquist et al., 2014; Torriani et al., 2014), and it has been associated with all-cause mortality (Tal & Litovchik, 2019).

Physical activity appears to be one of the major factors affecting NAT accumulation and distribution. We recently showed that regular moderate intensity and/or overall physical activity are associated with lower intermuscular and total NAT in young men (Arias-Tellez et al., 2021), but the cross-sectional design of that study meant causality could not be confirmed. Exercise is, however, a cornerstone in the treatment of many diseases (Fiuza-Luces et al., 2013), and the evidence indicates that its cardiometabolic benefits are mediated by increases in muscle mass and strength as well as the reduction in whole-body adiposity and lipid deposition in ectopic depots (Hong et al., 2014; Maillard et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017). There is, therefore, a need to determine whether exercise reduces NAT accumulation, and therefore contributes toward reducing CMR and improving the inflammatory profile. Noteworthily, the inclusion of measurements such as neck circumference (a proxy of NAT accumulation) to predict CMR could be of high clinical interest, especially considering how easy it is to take (e.g., no need to remove clothing) or affected by food intake.

255

Acosta iphttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-4792-0969

Merchan-Ramirez ____https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7960-9681

Acosta (fm.acostamanzano@gmail.com) and Ruiz (ruizj@ugr.es) are corresponding authors.

The aims of the present work were (a) to examine the dose– response effect of a 24-week supervised exercise intervention on NAT volume and neck circumference in young adults and (b) to determine whether changes in NAT volume and neck circumference are related to changes in other body composition variables, CMR, and the inflammatory profile.

Methods

Study Design

The present work is an ancillary study of the Activating Brown Adipose Tissue Through Exercise in Young Adults (ACTIBATE) trial (ACTIBATE, ClinicalTrials.gov, ID: NCT02365129), a randomized controlled trial initially designed to investigate the doseresponse effect of a 24-week concurrent exercise intervention on the mass and activity of brown adipose tissue (BAT) in young adults. The study was approved by the University of Granada Ethics Committee on Human Research (n° 924) and by that of the Servicio Andaluz de Salud. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 revision). All participants gave their written, informed consent to be included. Participant recruitment, and all assessments and interventions were conducted at the Sport and Health Joint University Institute (iMUDS), and at the University Hospital "Virgen de las Nieves," both in Granada, Spain. The study was conducted over two consecutive years (from September 2015 to June 2016, and from September 2016 to June 2017). In both years, participants were enrolled in four different waves (16-24 participants in each wave) starting in September-December.

Study Participants

The study protocol, the description of procedures, and the inclusion–exclusion criteria are provided elsewhere (Sanchez-Delgado et al., 2015). Briefly, all participants examined were 18–25 years old, had a BMI of >18.5 kg/m², were sedentary (i.e., partaking in < 20 min moderate–vigorous physical activity <3 days/week at baseline), were nonsmokers, took no contraindicated medication, had a stable body weight over the previous 3 months (changes <3 kg), had no cardiometabolic disease (e.g., hypertension or diabetes), and had no first-degree relative who had suffered cancer. After holding the initial information meetings, 371 participants confirmed their interest in the project and were assessed for eligibility (see flowchart, Figure S1 in the Supplementary Material [available online]); 145 were finally enrolled.

Intervention

After baseline assessment of the primary and secondary outcome variables (see below), participants were randomly assigned to either (a) a control group (CON, no exercise, n = 54), (b) a moderate-intensity exercise group (MOD-EX, n = 48), or a (c) vigorous-intensity exercise group (VIG-EX, n = 43). The characteristics of the groups are explained later. Participants assigned to the control group were instructed to maintain their habitual lifestyle (i.e., similar physical activity levels and diet).

Supervised Concurrent Exercise Intervention

A detailed description of the exercise intervention can be found in the Supplementary Material (available online) as well as elsewhere (Sanchez-Delgado et al., 2015). Briefly, it combined both endurance and resistance training and was always supervised by a researcher specialized in the field of sports science. The design of the exercise program was based on the physical activity recommendations for adults proposed by the World Health Organization (2010).

- a. *Frequency*. Participants came to the research center for training for 24 weeks, 3–4 days/week. Endurance training was performed in all sessions, whereas resistance training was performed in two of these weekly sessions.
- b. Volume and intensity. Both the MOD-EX and VIG-EX groups performed 150 min/week of aerobic exercise and $\approx 80 \text{ min/}$ week of strength training.
 - For endurance exercise, the MOD-EX group trained for a total of 150 min/week of aerobic exercise at 60% HR_{res} (heart rate reserve), whereas the VIG-EX group performed 75 min/week at moderate intensity (i.e., 60% HR_{res}) and 75 min/week at vigorous intensity (i.e., 80% HR_{res}). HR_{res} was not reassessed through the intervention.
 - The resistance training was performed at 50% of the 1 repetition maximum (RM) for the MOD-EX group and at 70% RM for the VIG-EX group. RM was reassessed every 5 weeks to ensure an efficient resistance training progression.
- c. *Types of exercise*. Endurance training was performed using a treadmill, static bike, or elliptical bike (in blocks of 10 min with a short break between them), at the prespecified intensity. Resistance training mainly comprised exercises focused on the upper and lower body major muscle groups (e.g., bench press, leg press, etc.), as well as compensatory exercisers (e.g., core stability).

The intensity of the endurance training for the VIG-EX group was progressively increased (familiarization period) until the target intensity was reached (Sanchez-Delgado et al., 2015). All participants wore an RS800CX exercise heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Öy) during the exercise sessions in order to monitor exercise intensity.

A maximum of 16 people (and at least one expert supervisor) were allowed in each session. In special circumstances (holidays or absence from training sessions that could not be retaken at the research center), participants were provided with a pulsometer, an elastic band and specific instructions to carry out adapted training sessions at home. Participants could contact the research staff regarding any doubt or problem.

Primary Outcomes

¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT Acquisition and Analysis

¹⁸F-fluordeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography and CT scanning were performed using a Biograph 16 positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (PET-CT) scanner (Siemens) from the atlas vertebra to the midchest region (Martinez-Tellez et al., 2022). The original aim of the ACTIBATE study (Sanchez-Delgado et al., 2015) was to detect the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of BAT. Consequently, the participants were submitted to a 60-min personalized cooling protocol prior to the injection of a bolus of ¹⁸F-FDG with the objective of stimulating BAT glucose uptake (Martinez-Tellez et al., 2017). After 1 hr, with the participants lied in supine position and with a thin pillow below their heads, a low-dose CT (120 k) scan was performed for attenuation correction and anatomic localization. i. Neck Adipose Tissue Quantification and Neck Circumference. For the quantification of NAT, only the CT images of the PET/CT scan was analyzed (by a single researcher) using the Beth Israel plugin for FIJI software https://sourceforge.net/projects/ bifijiplugins/. Using a three-dimensional axial technique, several regions of interest (ROIs) were outlined at the level of C5 to determine the NAT volume and the distribution of fat across the different NAT compartments (see a, b, and c below). The NAT volumes in these ROIs were calculated by determining the number of voxels within the radiodensity range of -300 to -10 Hounsfield Units.

- a. Subcutaneous NAT: Adipose tissue in the posterior neck, between the skin and deep cervical fascia.
- b. Intermuscular NAT: Adipose tissue between the sternocleidomastoid, levator scapulae, semispinalis, and trapezius muscles separated from the subcutaneous fat by the deep cervical fascia. No overlapping was allowed between the subcutaneous NAT and this compartment.
- c. Perivertebral NAT: Adipose tissue interspersed between the muscles surrounding vertebra C5.

Another ROI was also drawn at the level of C5, and voxels in the radiodensity ranges -300 and -10 Hounsfield Units and 9 to 150 Hounsfield Units were used to determine the total NAT and neck lean volumes, respectively (the latter including skeletal muscle tissue, blood vessels, and some internal organs; Chung et al., 2009). A detailed description of the analytical procedures is reported elsewhere (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021). The percentage of total NAT within this ROI was also calculated (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021).

Neck circumference was measured perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the neck using an inextensible metallic tape running over the thyroid cartilage (Stewart et al., 2011). The participants were in anatomical position, standing or sitting with the head in the Frankfurt plane and the shoulders relaxed. The relative technical error of measurement (for test–retest of neck circumference measurements taken before and after the intervention) was $\leq 1\%$ (a sign of a skillful anthropometrist; Norton & Olds, 2000).

Secondary Outcomes

Anthropometry and body composition, cardiometabolic and inflammatory markers, and energy and macronutrient intake variables measurements were carried out as detailed in Appendix A (see Supplementary Material [available online]).

Sample Size

This was a secondary study. Taking this, and the exploratory nature of the work (the literature contains no other study that investigates the effects of exercise on NAT volume and distribution) into account, formal calculations of statistical power for detecting differences could not be performed.

Randomization and Blinding

Eligible participants were randomly assigned to the control or exercise groups (after completing all baseline assessments) using a simple, unrestricted randomization program (Schulz & Grimes, 2002). The principal investigator (Ruiz) was the only person aware of the participants' group assignments; this information was only

communicated to the rest of the research team once an eligible participant was ready to start the intervention phase.

Statistical Analysis

The characteristics of the study participants were recorded using descriptive statistics (Table 1). Mean and *SD* or median values and interquartile ranges (percentile 25–75) are provided for normally and nonnormally distributed variables, respectively. Differences among the groups at baseline were examined by one-way analysis of variance for normally distributed variables and Kruskal–Wallis tests for nonnormally distributed variables. The influence of the interaction *exercise group* × *participant sex* on neck measurements was checked by linear regression. Given the reduced sample size, all analyses were performed pooling women and men together. Important confounders (according to existing evidence), and/or which were statistically related to the outcomes (e.g., the baseline value of each respective outcome and sex), were included in the regression models.

To address the effect of the exercise program on NAT volume and neck circumference, and considering that most variables were not normally distributed, bootstrapping analysis of variance was used to analyze the dose–response effect with no adjustments (Model 0; Figure 1). Bootstraps for pairwise comparisons were used to detect differences among groups. Mean differences and 95% bias-corrected-and-accelerated confidence intervals (based on 1,000 bootstrap samples) were recorded. These analyses were replicated including the baseline values of the respective outcome, or the baseline value of the respective outcome plus sex, as potential confounders (Models 1 and 2).

Only those exercisers who attended more than 70% of the total training sessions and who adhered to the training intensity established were considered for the main (per-protocol) intervention analyses (Figure 1). Adherence to the set training intensity was considered as spending \geq 50% of the total training time at moderate intensity (55%–65% HR_{res}) and <20% at transition intensity (65%–75% HR_{res}) for the MOD-EX group, and as >40% of the total training time at vigorous intensity (>75% HR_{res}) for the VIG-EX group.

Interquartile regressions were performed to examine the relationship of the change in NAT volume and neck circumference (Table 2), neck lean volume (Table S1 in the Supplementary Material [available online]), and VAT mass (as a reference tissue, Table S2 in the Supplementary Material [available online]) with the change in other body composition variables, CMR, and the inflammatory profile. These analyses were adjusted for sex and intervention group. Participants attending \geq 70% of the total exercise training sessions were included in these analyses.

Nonstandardized β coefficient (*B*), standard deviation (*SE*), adjusted *R*-squared (R^2), and *p* values are reported. No intention-to-treat analyses were performed. All analyses were conducted using SPSS software (version 26.0), except for the interquartile regressions, which were performed using STATA software (StataCorp). Significance was set at $p \le .05$.

Results

As shown in Figure S1 (flowchart) (see Supplementary Material [available online]), of the 194 participants initially enrolled in the exercise intervention, 145 were randomized to the CON (n = 54), MOD-EX (n = 48), or VIG-EX (n = 43) groups. However, only 120 of these participants underwent the ¹⁸F-FDG-PET-CT scan to

articipants
the Study F
naracteristics of
Descriptive CI
Table 1

			Preinterventio	Ę					Postinterventi	uo			
	CON		MOD-EX		VIG-EX		CON		MOD-EX		VIG-EX		
		2		2		2		2		2		2	d
Age (years)	22 (18–26)	34	22 (19–26)	I9	23 (19–27)	21							
Sex, female, n (%)	21 (61.8)	34	13 (68.4)	l g	17 (81)	21	21 (61.8)	34	13 (68.4)	6I	17 (81)	21	
Anthropometry and body composition													
Weight (kg)	67.2 (56.2–77)	34	69.1 (15.8)	19	69.9 (13.4)	21	69.5 (16.8)	34	68.8 (15.4)	19	69 (11.6)	21	.87
Height (m)	1.68 (0.09)	34	1.67 (0.08)	6I	1.67 (0.08)	21	1.67 (1.6–1.75)	34	1.68 (0.08)	61	1.68(0.08)	21	.94
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.3 (21–26.5)	34	23.1 (20.5–27.3)	6I	24.9 (3.6)	21	24.3 (4.4)	34	23.1 (20.7–26.9)	61	24.5 (3)	21	.50
Waist circumference (cm)	79.4 (69–90.5)	34	80.3 (14.4)	6I	79.9 (11.8)	20	82.5 (13.9)	34	76.2 (68.0-84.8)	61	80.5 (10.1)	21	98.
Lean mass (kg)	38.4 (31.9–52.1)	34	41.3 (8.6)	19	37.1 (33.7-47.5)	21	39.2 (32.6-54)	34	43 (9)	61	39.6 (36.2–49.7)	21	.88
Fat mass (kg)	23.3 (16.7–30.9)	34	19.5 (16.2–31)	19	25.6 (6.6)	21	20.9 (17.5–26.6)	34	22.2 (8.8)	19	22.8 (5.3)	21	4.
Fat mass (%)	34.4 (7.5)	34	34.9 (8.3)	I9	37.5 (6.1)	21	33.6 (7.3)	34	32.3 (7.4)	6I	33.9 (6.2)	21	.30
VAT mass (g)	310 (162–453)	34	327 (195)	l g	315 (236–502)	21	292.3 (160–383)	34	228.2 (174-365)	6I	287 (137)	21	.66
Neck measures													
Subcutaneous NAT volume (ml)	13.2 (6.7–27.1)	23	12.4 (8.2–31.0)	16	16.6 (10.7)	16	12.7 (6.1–25)	23	11.1 (6.1–18.9)	16	16.5 (13)	16	.74
Intermuscular NAT volume (ml)	0.7 (0.3–1.3)	23	1.1 (0.6–2.2)	16	0.9 (0.8)	16	0.7 (0.25–1.2)	23	0.7 (0.3–1.8)	16	1.2(0.3)	16	.28
Perivertebral NAT volume (ml)	$0.2 \ (0.1 - 0.3)$	23	0.2 (0.19–0.48)	16	0.3 (0.3)	16	0.3 (0.2)	23	$0.2 \ (0.1 - 0.3)$	16	0.3 (0.1)	16	.46
Total NAT volume (ml)	9.5 (5.0–19.6)	26	8.6 (5.1–20.9)	16	11.8 (5.1–20.5)	17	9.8 (4.8–19.2)	26	7.3 (4.9–19.5)	16	10.7 (5.6–26.0)	17	76.
Neck lean volume (ml)	105.3 (35.2)	26	111.7 (31.3)	16	100.4 (28.7)	17	111.8 (39.7)	26	113.0 (33.6)	16	99.4 (25.5)	17	.61
Neck circumference (cm)	34.3 (4.2)	21	33 (30.6–35.7)	13	33.4 (3.2)	11	34.6 (3.8)	21	33.7 (3.5)	13	32.6 (3)	11	.83
<i>Note.</i> Continuous variables are presented as r One-way ANOVA for normally distributed intervention groups at baseline. The <i>p</i> value VAT = visceral adipose tissue: VIG-EX = vi	means (SDs) when norr d variables and the Kr ie is provided for these <i>i</i> gorous-intensity exerv	nally c iskal- comp ise gi	distributed, or medians -Wallis test for nonnc parisons. ANOVA = a roup.	s (inte rmall malys	rquartile range) when ly distributed variable is of variance; CON -	not, u s wer = cont	nless otherwise indica e used to compare a rol group; NAT = nec	ted. T nthrop k adi	he sample size is prov ometry, body compo oose tissue; MOD-EX	ided o sition, K = mo	n the right side (letters and neck measures a derate-intensity exerc	s in ital among sise gr	ics). t the oup;
	,	3	1										



Figure 1 — Effect of the 24-week concurrent exercise intervention on the compartmental (subcutaneous, intermuscular, and perivertebral) and total NAT volumes and neck circumference. Only the exercisers who attended more than 70% of total training sessions and adhered to the training intensity established for each group (explained in statistical analysis section) were included. The presented model was not adjusted for any confounder (raw model, Model 0). The left panels (a, c, e, g, and i) show the mean value ± SD of the neck measurements before and after the intervention. The right panels (b, d, f, h, and j) show the mean and SE (as well as the scatterplot) of the change in neck measurements after the intervention (Postintervention – Preintervention values). Bootstrapping ANOVA was used to analyze the dose-response effect with no adjustments (Model 0). Bootstraps for pairwise comparisons were used to detect differences among groups. Mean differences and 95% bias-corrected-and-accelerated confidence intervals (based on 1,000 bootstrap samples) are provided. Significant differences ($p \le .05$) are highlighted in bold. ANOVA = analysis of variance; CON = control group; MOD-EX = moderate-intensity exercise group; NAT = neck adipose tissue; VIG-EX = vigorous-intensity exercise group.

n s Add N			Subcuta	ineous h	JAT (m			∆ Interm	uscular I	VAT (m) =		△ Periver	tebral NA	(Iml)			∆ To	tal NAT (Ē		Þ	Neck cire	cumferen	ce (cm	
		-	8	SE	Adj. R ² j.	٩		8	SE	Adj. R ² j.	۹ م	2	B	SE	Adj. R ²	٩		6	SE	Adj.	٩	2	8	SE	Adj. R ²	۹ م
Alone weige, is a constrained weige weige. Along weige weige weige weige weige weige weige. Along weige weige weige weige weige weige weige weige weige weige. Along weige weige. Along weige we	Body composition																									
Alternative Total Gold	Δ Weight (kg)	70	0.074	0.034	90.	<u>5</u>	70	0.676	0.638	.02	.29	70	0.800	3.103	0	.80	LL	0.217	0.096	.11	.03	61	1.371	0.561	60.	.02
Alterment(p) 0 </td <td>Δ Lean mass (kg)</td> <td>70</td> <td>6.261</td> <td>19.957</td> <td>60.</td> <td>.75</td> <td>70</td> <td>110.0</td> <td>467.4</td> <td>60.</td> <td>.81</td> <td>70</td> <td>895.3</td> <td>1479.1</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>.54</td> <td>LL</td> <td>67.129</td> <td>59.344</td> <td>.08</td> <td>.26</td> <td>61</td> <td>-31.78</td> <td>351.4</td> <td>.04</td> <td>.93</td>	Δ Lean mass (kg)	70	6.261	19.957	60.	.75	70	110.0	467.4	60.	.81	70	895.3	1479.1	0.10	.54	LL	67.129	59.344	.08	.26	61	-31.78	351.4	.04	.93
Affix Affix Bit	∆ Fat mass (kg)	70	48.062	38.530	90.	.22	70	481.6	556.8	.04	.39	70	-1231.4	2283.5	0.04	.59	LL	226.43	90.421	.12	.01	61	1196.0	503.2	.15	.02
AVT mander, portage 30 (16) (16) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12	Δ Fat percentage (%)	70	0.469	0.034	.08	.18	70	0.151	0.400	.07	.71	70	0.726	1.806	0.07	69.	LL	0.097	0.092	.08	.29	61	1.130	0.353	.21	.002
Controlmediate from the control of the contro	A VAT mass (g)	70	1.046	0.626	.08	.10	70	8.651	8.571	.07	.32	70	-34.02	41.220	0.07	.41	LL	4.387	2.526	.05	60.	61	19.54	14.92	60.	.20
Montene (mg/l) Montene	Cardiometabolic profile																									
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	A Glucose (mg/dl)	69	0.063	0.115	.05	.59	69	1.724	1.538	.05	.27	69	6.756	5.229	0.06	.20	75	0.340	0.178	.07	.06	60	0.488	1.088	.01	.66
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	∆ Insulin (µIU/ml)	69	0.087	0.058	.07	.14	69	-0.121	0.618	.04	.84	69	2.220	2.539	0.04	.38	75	0.161	0.101	.05	Ξ.	60	0.165	0.414	.02	69.
ATC (mg01) 69 - 0409 013 04 04 69 - 3033 104 04 69 - 3033 103 05 49 03 2133 043 033 06 33 043 336 04 233 043 336 04 233 467 343 348 043 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248	Δ HOMA-IR	69	0.010	0.015	.06	.53	69	-0.027	0.141	.03	.85	69	0.473	0.651	0.04	.47	75	0.040	0.026	<u>.</u>	.13	60	0.057	0.112	.01	.61
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	A TC (mg/dl)	69	-0.660	0.321	.06	9	69	-3.053	4.049	.02	.45	69	3.704	14.042	0.02	<i>91</i> .	75	-0.285	0.633	00.	.65	60	2.380	4.050	.03	.56
The Company is a regression of the constant of the constand of the constant of the constant of the constant of the const	A LDL-C (mg/dl)	69	-0.493	0.250	.08	.05	69	-0.862	3.973	.05	.86	69	14.925	12.132	0.07	.22	75	-0.415	0.684	.01	.55	60	4.063	3.536	.06	.26
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A HDL-C (mg/dl)	69	-0.099	0.138	.04	.47	69	-0.555	1.198	.03	.64	69	0	3.542	0.03	1.00	75	-0.182	0.152	.07	.23	60	-0.333	1.467	.04	.82
ALDL-CTHDL-C 49 (0)	A TC/HDL-C	69	0.006	0.005	.05	.22	69	0.028	0.010	.04	0.78	69	0.370	0.296	0.07	.22	75	0.023	0.009	H.	.01	60	0.048	0.070	.04	.49
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	A LDL-C/HDL-C	69	0.005	0.005	.07	.31	69	0.013	0.070	.05	.85	69	0.316	0.244	0.08	.20	75	0.016	0.011	.10	.16	60	0.044	0.061	.04	.48
StBP (mmHg) 64 -0123 011 04 045 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 011 04 -0123 013 043	∆ Triglycerides (mg/dl)	69	0	0.418	.03	1.00	69	-2.242	6.672	.04	0.74	69	15.625	25.057	0.04	.53	75	0 .396	0.732	.05	.59	60	2.782	3.430	.02	.42
	A SBP (mmHg)	64	-0.082	0.118	.01	.49	64	-0.255	1.752	.01	88.	64	3.000	6.731	0.01	99.	71	-0.219	0.267	.02	.41	53	1.504	1.556	.06	.34
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	A DBP (mmHg)	64	-0.129	0.101	.04	.21	64	-2.530	1.072	.05	.02	64	2.040	6.066	0.02	.74	71	-0.086	0.267	.01	.75	53	1.25	1.372	.03	.37
CRF (arlmin)* 51 - 0.58 0.434 09 067 12.035 91.8 09 0577.55.03 25.08 010 78 73 55.08 010 78 73 55.0 012 05 75 05 54.83 16 124 010 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Δ Muscular strength (kg)*	61	-0.000	0.001	90.	.45	61	-0.007	0.008	.08	.36	61	-0.025	0.029	0.08	.38	99	-0.011	0.000	660.	.17	61	-0.006	0.064	.15	.36
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Δ CRF (ml/min)*	67	-0.58	0.434	60.	6.	67	12.035	91.83	60.	6.	67	-75.563	275.08	0.09	.78	73	3.596	8.360	.139	.67	59	60.655	44.853	.16	.18
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	A CMR-score	63	0.001	0.027	.03	96:	63	-0.061	0.324	.03	.85	63	1.174	1.994	0.03	.56	68	0.037	0.042	.05	.37	52	0.556	0.245	60.	.03
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Inflammatory profile																									
$ \Delta IL^{2} (rgvm) = 690029 0018 02 87 690020 0.222 01 93 69 -3.58 4.202 0.01 007 02 57 60 0.53 0.20 01 57 60 0.53 0.20 013 0.2 57 60 0.53 0.20 013 0.2 57 60 0.13 0.13 0.2 57 0.01 0.07 0.13 0.14 0.01 0.07 0.045 0.01 0.07 0.045 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.$	Δ C-reactive protein (mg/L)	69	0.009	0.018	.01	.61	69	0.162	0.086	.03	.07	69	0.408	0.600	0.02	.50	75	0.408	0.596	.02	.50	60	0	0.209	.04	1.00
$ \Delta IL 4 \ (gpm) \\ 0 = 0.041 \ (0.13) $	∆ IL-2 (pg/ml)	69	0029	0.018	.02	.87	69	-0.020	0.222	.01	.93	69	0.534	0.927	0.02	.57	76	0.534	0.930	.02	.57	60	0.075	0.211	.03	.72
$ \Delta IL-6 \ (rg/m) \qquad 69 -0075 0.011 0.3 48 69 -0.039 0.133 0.3 .77 69 -0.018 0.550 0.09 97 76 -0.018 0.546 0.2 0.14 0 0.143 0.13 0.7 0 0.23 0.13 0.7 0.13 0.13 0.7 0.13 0.13 0.7 0.13 0.14 0.11 0.05 0.03 0.$	Δ IL-4 (pg/ml)	69	-0.041	0.103	.04	69.	69	-0.530	1.552	.04	.73	69	-3.538	4.202	0.04	.40	76	-3.538	4.202	<u>.</u>	.40	60	0.630	769.0	.03	.37
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	∆ IL-6 (pg/ml)	69	0075	0.011	.03	.48	69	-0.039	0.133	.03	LL:	69	-0.018	0.550	0.09	76.	76	-0.018	0.546	.02	.97	60	0.145	0.120	.06	.22
$ \Delta IL-8 (gym) $ 69 -0002 009 01 87 69 -0045 012 01 71 69 -0072 0460 01 87 76 -0072 0459 01 87 76 -0018 0093 01 87 76 -0018 093 01 87 30 -0018 073 30 -011 100 69 0 33 30 -0114 010 100 69 0 33 30 -0114 010 100 69 0 33 30 -0114 010 100 69 0 30 30 -0018 01 100 76 0 -0114 010 10 0 60 0352 0909 03 30 -0114 0870 01 100 69 0 000 71 60 0140 01 100 76 0 0 1440 01 100 60 039 01 30 -0114 0870 01 011 02 95 69 -0379 1031 03 71 69 -0473 383 000 76 -0473 383 000 77 60 0 2117 0747 000 77 60 0 2112 0124 1080 03 71 -0112 0123 0120 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0018 00 -0014 0200 00 -001 020 00 -001 020 00 -011 00 -011 00 -011 00 -0114 0800 -0114 0800 -0114 000 -011 02 99 69 -0001 0016 00 -012 0130 00 -012 0130 00 -012 0130 00 -012 0130 00 -012 010 00 -0112 0130 -000 -0114 0800 -0001 0010 000 -011 00 -012 0130 00 -012 0130 00 -012 010 00 -012 010 00 -012 0130 -000 -012 010 -000 -000 -0000 -000 -00	∆ IL-7 (pg/ml)	69	-0.002	0.028	00.	.94	69	-0.174	0.293	.01	.55	69	-1.055	0.842	0.01	.21	76	-1.055	0.842	.01	.21	60	-0.113	0.138	.07	.41
$ \Delta II 10 \ (pz/ml) $ $ 69 \ 0 \ 0.028 \ 01 \ 1.00 \ 69 \ 0 \ 0.375 \ 01 \ 1.00 \ 69 \ 0 \ 1.410 \ 001 \ 1.00 \ 70 \ 70 \ 0 \ 1.410 \ 0.1 \ 1.00 \ 60 \ 0.352 \ 0.999 \ 0.3 \ 3.0 $ $ \Delta II 17a \ (pz/ml) $ $ 69 \ -0.020 \ 0.043 \ 0.2 \ 50 \ 0.375 \ 0.1 \ 1.00 \ 50 \ 0.371 \ 60 \ -0.322 \ 1.794 \ 0.04 \ 20 \ 75 \ -0.322 \ 1.794 \ 0.01 \ 20 \ 60 \ 0.344 \ 0.473 \ 3.0 $ $ \Delta II 17a \ (pz/ml) $ $ 69 \ -0.007 \ 0.111 \ 0.2 \ 3.73 \ 1.03 \ 1.03 \ 71 \ 60 \ 0.344 \ 0.473 \ 3.0 $ $ \Delta II 17a \ (pz/ml) $ $ 69 \ -0.007 \ 0.111 \ 0.2 \ 3.74 \ 0.02 \ 0.377 \ 60 \ 0.344 \ 0.2 \ 75 \ 0.374 \ 0.0 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.344 \ 0.37 \ 70 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 77 \ 60 \ 0.384 \ 0.3 \ 0$	∆ IL-8 (pg/ml)	69	-0.002	0.00	.01	.87	69	-0.045	0.122	.01	.71	69	-0.072	0.460	0.01	.87	76	-0.072	0 .459	.01	.87	60	-0.018	0.093	.01	.84
$ \Delta II. 17a \ (pg/ml) = 69 - 0.020 0.043 0.2 64 69 - 0.109 0.401 01 79 69 - 0.332 1.794 0.04 20 76 - 2.322 1.794 0.04 20 76 - 2.322 1.794 0.01 20 60 0.304 0.473 0.02 30 10 20 20 20 20 20 0.012 0.013 0.00 20 0.012 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0.0100 0.011 0$	Δ IL-10 (pg/ml)	69	0	0.028	.01	1.00	69	0	0.375	.01	1.00	69	0	1.410	0.01	1.00	76	0	1.410	.01	1.00	60	0.952	0.909	.03	.30
$ \Delta \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	∆ IL-17a (pg/ml)	69	-0.020	0.043	.02	.6	69	-0.109	0.404	.01	<i>6L</i> .	69	-2.322	1.794	0.04	.20	76	-2.322	1.794	<u>4</u> .	.20	60	0.304	0.473	.02	.52
$ \Delta TNF\alpha (pg/m) = 69 -0.001 0.016 0.00 97 69 -0.004 0.200 0.0 98 69 0.217 0.747 0.00 77 76 0.217 0.747 0.00 77 60 0.066 0.178 0.3 773 70 0.00 77 76 0.217 0.00 77 60 0.058 1.739 1.1 98 \Delta Complement 3 (mg/d1) 69 0.112 0.173 0.2 50 69 -0.037 2.709 0.2 99 69 4.328 8.789 0.02 62 75 4.328 8.789 0.2 62 60 0.038 1.739 1.1 98 \Delta Complement 4 (mg/d1) 69 0.122 0.72 0.06 0.1 73 69 1.435 0.763 0.4 0.6 9.373 3.696 0.02 32 75 3.733 3.696 0.2 32 69 0.02 70 0.038 1.739 1.1 98 \Delta Riporceilo (mg/L) 70 0.002 0.4 37 70 0.027 0.024 0.4 25 70 -0.111 0.092 0.04 23 77 -0.111 0.092 0.4 23 61 -0.038 0.024 0.6 2.2 0.780 0.8 75 \Delta Riporceilo (mg/L) 69 -0.047 0.057 0.2 48 69 -0.550 0.716 0.2 1.3 69 -0.041 0.00 7.1 6.0 0.057 0.2 48 69 -0.550 0.716 0.2 1.3 70 0.01 2.4 71 0.101 0.092 0.4 23 77 -0.111 0.092 0.4 23 61 -0.038 0.024 0.5 20 0.746 0.5 1.2 \Delta Riporceilo (mg/L) 69 -0.047 0.02 0.2 20 0.216 0.2 1.3 70 0.01 2.4 71 0.101 0.092 0.4 23 77 -0.111 0.092 0.4 23 77 -0.111 0.092 0.4 23 77 -0.111 0.092 0.4 23 77 -0.111 0.050 0.5 1.5 75 -4.818 0.571 0.4 76 0.5 20 0.706 0.716 affect $	Δ IFN γ (pg/ml)	69	0.007	0.111	.02	.95	69	-0.379	1.031	.03	I <i>L</i> .	69	-0.473	3.834	0.02	.90	76	-0.473	3.834	.02	.90	60	-0.124	1.080	.03	.91
$ \Delta \text{ Complement 3 } (mydl) 69 0.112 0.173 .02 .50 69 -0.037 2.709 .02 .57 8.789 0.02 .62 75 4.328 8.789 0.02 .62 60 0.038 1.739 1.1 98 3.5 0.700 models and the total target of the tot$	Δ TNF α (pg/ml)	69	-0.001	0.016	00.	.97	69	-0.004	0.200	00.	96.	69	0.217	0.747	0.00	LL.	76	0.217	0.747	0.00	LL.	60	0.066	0.178	.03	.71
$ \Delta \text{ Complement 4 } (\text{mg/l}) 69 0.024 0.068 .01 .73 69 1.435 0.763 .04 .06 .09 .37 3.696 0.02 .32 75 3.733 3.696 .02 .32 60 -0.732 0.780 .08 .35 \\ \Delta \text{ Adiponectin } (\text{mg/L}) 70 0.002 0.002 .002 .024 .04 .27 70 -0.111 0.092 0.04 .23 77 -0.111 0.092 .04 .23 61 -0.038 0.024 .06 .12 \\ \Delta \text{ Adiponectin } (\text{mg/L}) 69 -0.047 0.067 .02 .48 69 -0.550 0.716 .02 .45 69 -4.818 3.352 0.05 .15 75 .4818 3.351 .05 .15 59 0.735 0.476 .05 .20 \\ \Delta \text{ Leptin } (\text{\mug/L}) 69 -0.037 0.029 .02 .22 69 0.241 .01 .37 69 1.35 1.16 0.01 .24 101 0.050 .05 .05 .58 6 0.216 0.327 .04 .51 \\ \hline Note: Intervatine the exercision of the changes in the neck measurements with changes in other body composition variables, cardiometabolic risk, and the inflammatory profile, after adjusting for the interventing areas (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 77 614 101 0.50 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .04 .21 .04 .51 \\ \hline \text{ Note: Intervating areas (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 77\% of the total training sessions were included in the sand ses (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 77\% of the total training sessions were included in the and ses (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants in other body composition variables, cardiometabolic risk, and the inflammatory profile, after adjusting for the intervention for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 77\% of the total training sessions were included in the analyses. The nonstandardized b association before the endication by the change in percentage fat (p = 0.5) CMR = cardiometabolic risk, CRF = cardiorespirato$	Δ Complement 3 (mg/dl)	69	0.112	0.173	.02	.50	69	-0.037	2.709	.02	66.	69	4.328	8.789	0.02	.62	75	4.328	8.789	.02	.62	60	0 .038	1.739	.11	98.
$\Delta\beta$ -Microglobulin 2 (mg/L) 70 0.002 0.002 0.01 3.7 70 0.027 0.024 0.04 2.7 70 -0.111 0.092 0.04 2.3 77 -0.111 0.092 0.4 2.3 61 -0.038 0.024 0.6 1.2 $\Delta A diponectin (mg/L) = 69 -0.047 0.067 0.2 4.8 69 -0.550 0.716 0.2 4.5 69 -4.818 3.352 0.05 1.5 75 -4.818 3.351 0.5 1.5 59 0.755 0.476 0.5 20 \Delta L e pin (\mug/L) = 69 -0.037 0.029 0.2 2.2 69 0.219 0.241 0.1 37 69 1.358 1.160 0.01 24 74 0.101 0.050 0.5 58 0.216 0.327 0.4 51 $	Δ Complement 4 (mg/dl)	69	0 .024	0.068	.01	.73	69	1.435	0.763	.04	90.	69	3.733	3.696	0.02	.32	75	3.733	3.696	.02	.32	60	-0.732	0.780	.08	.35
$\Delta \operatorname{Adiponectin}(\operatorname{mg}(L) = 69 - 0.047 - 0.067 - 0.248 - 69 - 0.550 - 0.716 - 0.245 - 694.818 - 3.352 - 0.0515 - 754.818 - 3.3510515 - 59 - 0.755 - 0.4760520 \Delta \operatorname{Leptin}(\operatorname{ug}(L) = 69 - 0.037 - 0.0290222 - 69219 - 0.2410137 - 69 - 1.360 - 0.0124 - 74 - 0.101 - 0 .0500585 - 8 - 0 .2163270451 Note. Interquartile regressions were performed to examine the association of the changes in the neck measurements with changes in other body composition variables, cardiometabolic risk, and the inflammatory profile, after adjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \betaadjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \betaadjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \betaadjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \betaas the intervention for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \betaas the intervention for the association between the change in peck circumference with the change in percentage fat (p = .05). CMR = cardiorespiratory fines;DNR - finethenkin; linethenkin; linethenkin; linethenkin; linethenkin; linethenkin; linethenkin; linethenkin; linethe$	Δβ-Microglobulin 2 (mg/L)	70	0.002	0.002	.04	.37	70	0.027	0.024	.04	.27	70	-0.111	0.092	0.04	.23	LL	-0.111	0.092	.6	.23	61	-0.038	0.024	.06	.12
$\Delta \text{Leptin} (\text{ug/L}) = 69 - 0.037 - 0.029 - 0.2 - 69 - 0.219 - 0.241 - 0137 - 69 - 1.358 - 1.160 - 0.0124 - 74 - 0.101 - 0.5005050505050451$ $Note: Interquartile regressions were performed to examine the association of the changes in the neck measurements with changes in other body composition variables, cardiometabolic risk, and the inflammatory profile, after adjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \beta coefficient (B). SE, adjusted for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70\% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized \beta coefficient (B). SE, adjusted for some area (R^2), and p value are provided. Significant sesscitations (p \leq .05) are highlighted in bold. After adjusting for the multiple comparisons error (familywise error rate: Hochberg procedure), all associations (p \geq .05). Carle change in bold. After adjusting for the multiple comparisons error familywise error rate: Hochberg procedure), all associations become the change in percentage fat (p = .05). CMR = cardiorespiratory finess; DRP = distribution for hore reveive: HOL = hich-directive in chole stereor in chole stereor in chole stereor in chole stereor in the change in percentage fat (p = .05). CMR = cardiorespiratory finess; DRP = distribution constration in chole stereor in the change in mode of insulin resistance: IFNV = interference.$	A Adiponectin (mg/L)	69	-0.047	0.067	.02	.48	69	-0.550	0.716	.02	.45	69	-4.818	3.352	0.05	.15	75	-4.818	3.351	.05	.15	59	0.755	0.476	.05	.20
<i>Note</i> . Interquartile regressions were performed to examine the association of the changes in the neck measurements with changes in other body composition variables, cardiometabolic risk, and the inflammatory profile, after adjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended $\geq 70\%$ of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized β coefficient (<i>B</i>), <i>SE</i> , adjusted <i>R</i> -squared (<i>R</i> ²), and <i>p</i> value are provided. Significant associations ($p \leq .05$) are highlighted in bold. After adjusting for the multiple comparisons error (familywise error rate: Hochberg procedure), all associations became nonsignificant association between the change in neck circumference with the change in percentage fat ($p = .05$). CMR = cardiometabolic risk, CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness; DRP = distoric became r101 = hioh-density linomotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNV = interfeurkin: LDL-C = low-density linomotein cholesterol:	Δ Leptin (μg/L)	69	0.037	0.029	.02	.22	69	0 .219	0.241	.01	.37	69	1.358	1.160	0.01	.24	74	0.101	0.050	.05	.05	58	0.216	0.327	.04	.51
adjusting for the intervention group and sex (also for the baseline lean mass when indicated by the symbol *). All participants who attended \geq 70% of the total training sessions were included in these analyses. The nonstandardized β coefficient (<i>B</i>), <i>SE</i> , adjusted <i>R</i> -squared (<i>R</i> ²), and <i>p</i> value are provided. Significant associations ($p \leq .05$) are highlighted in bold. After adjusting for the multiple comparisons error (familywise error rate: Hochberg procedure), all associations became nonsignificant (all $p > .05$), except for the association between the change in neck circumference with the change in percentage fat ($p = .05$). CMR = cardiometabolic risk; CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness; DRP = distolic block mercure HD_1 = hieh-density linomotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IFNv = interferon-x II = hieh-density linomotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNv = interferon-x II = hieh-density linomotein cholesterol:	Note. Interquartile regressions	were 1	erformed	1 to exan	nine the	associ	iation (of the ch	unges in t	he necl	k measu	Iremen	its with ch	anges in c	other by	ody col	npositi	on variał	oles, card	ometał	olic ris	sk, and	the inflan	nmatory p	profile,	after
coefficient (<i>b</i>), ΔE , adjusted <i>K</i> - <i>J</i> , and <i>p</i> value are provided. Significant associations ($p > .05$) are ingrigated in bold. After adjusting for the multiple compansons error fate: Hochoerg procedure), all association between the change in neck circumference with the change in percentage fat ($p = .05$). CMR = cardiometabolic risk; CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness; DRP = distribute from the change in percentage fat ($p = .05$). CMR = cardiometabolic risk; CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness; DRP = distribute hoch mercure. HDI = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HoMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HoMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HoMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance: IFNy = interferon is the interferon-Y. II = high-density linomrotein cholesterol: HoMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance. IFNy = interferon is the interferon in the interferon in the interferon interfe	adjusting for the intervention g	roup ai	nd sex (al:	so for the	baselir	ie lean	mass v	vhen india	sated by t	he sym	bol *). /	All par	ticipants w	ho attende	ot≤b¢	% of the	total t	raining se	ssions we	re inclu	ided in	these a	nalyses. T	he nonsta	ndardiz	$\operatorname{sed} \beta$
DRP = distribution reasoner HDI = hish-density linearedien transmission and assessment of insult resistance. FNY = interference, the second control of the	coefficient (B), SE, adjusted R- associations became nonsionifi	-square	(K^{-}) , and $n > 0^{4}$	nd <i>p</i> valu N excen	e are pi t for the	ovided	l. Sign iation	theant as: hetween	cociations the chance	. (<i>p</i> ≤ . ve in ne	l are (c) Sok circ	ughlig	nted in bol ance with t	d. After a he chanor	djustin - in ne	g tor th rcentao	e mult e fat (j	ple comp a = 05	oarisons e CMR = c	rror (ta rdiome	milywi tabolic	se error risk C	r rate: Hoc "RF=carr	chberg pro	cedure	i), all ness:
	DBP = diastolic blood pressure		= hioh-d	ensity lir		loda di	esterol	HOMA	-IR = hon	se un ur renstati	ic mode	lasse k	ssment of is	any vitures nsulin res	istance	IFN	= inter	Feron-v. I	I.= interla	aukin-]	DI LO	= low-c	density lir	nonrotein	cholest	eml.

Table 2 Association of the Changes in Compartmental and Total NAT and Neck Circumference With Changes in Other Body Composition Variables, Cardiometabolic Risk, and the Inflammatory Profile in Young Adults

quantify their upper body adipose tissue (and had at least one valid NAT measure) before the intervention. Among these, 105 participants finished the 24-week trial (CON: n = 33, MOD-EX: n = 36, and VIG-EX: n = 36) and underwent positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (PET-CT) scanning again to have their NAT volume and neck circumference quantified. After excluding those participants who did not meet the training attendance or exercise intensity criteria, or who did not have at least one valid neck measurement, a total of 74 participants were included in the main intervention analyses (CON: n = 34, MOD-EX: n = 19, and VIG-EX: n = 21). Of note, average training attendance was 87%.

Table 1 shows the descriptive characteristics of the study subjects before and after the intervention. Age and values for anthropometry and body composition variables (including NAT measurements) were similar across the groups at baseline (all p > .05), although there was a larger proportion of women than men in all groups.

The 24-Week Concurrent Exercise Training Program Did Not Reduce Total or Compartmental NAT Volumes, but Slightly Reduced Neck Circumference in the VIG-EX Group

The exercise program did not reduce the compartmental or total NAT volumes (Figure 1a–1h), however, it significantly reduced neck circumference (Figure 1i–1j) in the VIG-EX group compared with the CON (mean change: 1.018, 95% bias-corrected-and-accelerated confidence intervals, 0.350 to 1.873 cm) and MOD-EX groups (0.772, 0.111 to 1.600 cm), when no adjustments were made. These differences remained (data not shown) after adjusting the analyses for the baseline values of the respective outcome (Model 1) or the baseline values plus sex (Model 2). When these analyses were repeated using NAT% instead of total NAT, no effect of the exercise program was seen. Daily energy and macronutrient intake did not influence these results (data not shown).

Overall, the exercise intervention had no effect on neck lean volume (p > .05; see Figure S2 in the Supplementary Material [available online]). The members of the VIG-EX group experienced a greater reduction in VAT mass compared with the CON group (as previously observed for neck circumference; see Figure S3 in the Supplementary Material [available online]). However, the latter effects were not robust and disappeared after adjusting for sex plus baseline values (Model 2; see Figures S2 and S3 in the Supplementary Material [available online]).

Relationship Between Changes in NAT Volume and Neck Circumference and Changes in Body Weight and Composition, and Cardiometabolic and Inflammatory Profiles

The change in total NAT volume was positively associated with the change in body weight (nonstandardized β coefficient: B = 0.217, adjusted R^2 : $R^2 = .11$, p = .03) and in fat mass, TC/HDL-C, and leptin (B = 226.43, $R^2 = .12$, p = .01; B = 0.023, $R^2 = .11$, p = .01; B = 0.101, $R^2 = .05$, p = .05, respectively; Table 2); independently of the exercise intervention and sex. Similarly, the change in neck circumference was positively related to changes in body weight and fat mass (B = 1.371, $R^2 = .09$, p = .02; B = 1.196.0, $R^2 = .15$, p = .02, respectively), but also in percentage fat and CMR score

(*B* = 1.130, $R^2 = .21$, p = .002; B = 0.556, $R^2 = .09$, p = .03, respectively). When NAT analyses were additionally adjusted by VAT mass, results remain overall unaltered, except for the relationship of the changes in total NAT with those in fat mass and leptin, which became nonsignificant (p > .21). When the relationships between changes in NAT volume and neck circumference with body composition and CMR/inflammatory profile were adjusted for multiplicity, all associations (except for that of neck circumference change with percentage fat change) became nonsignificant (p > .05, see legend to Table 2). Changes in neck lean volume were positively associated with changes in total cholesterol, LDL-C, and diastolic blood pressure (B = 0.273, $R^2 = .042$, p = .03; B = 0.251, $R^2 = .072$, p = .03; B = 0.105, $R^2 = .055$, p = .02, respectively; see Table S1 in the Supplementary Material [available online]).

Changes in VAT mass were positively related to changes in body weight (B = 0.023, $R^2 = .16$, p < .001), fat mass (B = 32.32, $R^2 = .04$, p < .001), percentage fat (B = 0.029, $R^2 = .38$, p < .001), CMR score (B = 0.009, $R^2 = .10$, p = .001), and the leptin concentration (B = 0.006, $R^2 = .04$, p = .006).

The predictive value of baseline neck measurements with respect to changes in other body composition variables, CMR and the inflammatory profile, was examined after adjusting for gender and intervention group (see Tables S3 and S4 in the Supplementary Material [available online]). Baseline subcutaneous and total NAT volumes were inversely related to changes in leptin concentration (p < .05, Table S3 in the Supplementary Material [available online]). However, the baseline neck lean volume seemed not to have any predictive value (all relationships p > .05, Table S4 in the Supplementary Material [available online]).

Discussion

In contrast to what was expected, the 24-week concurrent exercise intervention did not reduce the compartmental nor total NAT volumes in the present young adults. However, it did slightly reduce neck circumference (0.8–1 cm) in the VIG-EX group. In addition, changes in total NAT and neck circumference were positively, albeit weakly, related to changes in body weight and adiposity (and with CMR in the case of neck circumference), independently of the exercise group and participants' sex. These associations were similar (although less robust) to those observed for VAT mass, but most of the former associations became nonsignificant when adjusted for the multiple comparison error. The present results therefore need to be interpreted with caution.

Exercise is a cornerstone in the treatment of many diseases due to its wide variety of benefits (Fiuza-Luces et al., 2013). Previous evidence has shown that exercise benefits are not only mediated by increases in muscle mass and strength, but also by a reduction of whole-body adiposity and lipid deposition in ectopic depots, such as the VAT or hepatic fat, the amounts of which are directly related to CMR, and the inflammatory profile (Hong et al., 2014; Houghton et al., 2017; Mendelson et al., 2015; O'Leary et al., 2006; Taniguchi et al., 2016). NAT is a nonsplanchnic upper body ectopic fat depot that might increase CMR and promote a proinflammatory status in healthy people as well as in those with different diseases (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021; Torriani et al., 2014). Interestingly, previous in vivo studies have shown that upper body fat is a major contributor to available systemic free fatty acids (Nielsen et al., 2004), and in vitro studies have shown that upper body adipocytes isolated from lean and obese participants are more responsive to lipolytic adrenergic stimulation than are lower

body adipocytes (Engfeldt & Arner, 1988). Altogether, this suggests that upper body fat depots have a greater propensity to mobilize fatty acids under lipolytic stimulus, such as exercise. Accordingly, we recently showed moderate intensity and/or overall PA to be related to lower intermuscular and total NAT in young men (Arias-Tellez et al., 2021), but the cross-sectional design of that study precluded conclusions on causality being drawn. In the present study, it was hypothesized that exercise would reduce the compartmental and total NAT volumes in a dose-dependent fashion, and that changes would be related to a lower CMR and better inflammation status. However, exercise appeared to lack any effect on NAT and its distribution, a finding that remained after adjustment for confounders. It is noteworthy that when these analyses were repeated (a) using a stricter criterion regarding attendance to the training sessions (80% of all sessions instead of 70%) or (b) a less strict criterion in which only attendance to the training sessions (70% of all sessions) was considered without taking into account adherence to the training intensity (see Figures S4 and S5 in the Supplementary Material [available online], respectively), similar results were obtained.

The absence of any previous evidence regarding the influence of exercise on NAT accumulation precluded any comparison with other studies being made. The lack of effect seen in the present work might be explained in the following ways. (a) The members of the studied population, who were young and relatively healthy, are likely to show less NAT accumulation than older or more obese participants, hampering the detection of any small, exerciseinduced changes in this population. This is supported by the fact that exercise reduced the VAT mass (a well-known exercise effect) only in the VIG-EX group, and even then only slightly and with a wide variation in the results obtained. (b) There appears to be wide variation in NAT accumulation across different depots (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021), and the results are also likely subject to "technical" variations (i.e., with respect to the time or manner the analysis was performed, etc., see Appendix B in the Supplementary Material [available online]). These technical variations probably introduce noise that could be reduced by developing standardized and validated protocols for analyzing NAT accumulation and its distribution.

Exercise reduced neck circumference only in the VIG-EX group (by approximately 1 cm compared with the CON group). Neck circumference is strongly correlated to compartmental and total NAT (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021) and would appear to be a practical and valid tool—especially in the clinical context—for measuring obesity, CMR, and (potentially) inflammation across different ages and populations (Arias-Tellez & Acosta, 2021; Arias-Tellez et al., 2021; Wan et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021). The incorporation of neck circumference into clinical trials might also facilitate information on the above variable in an easy, effective, and inexpensive way. However, evidence in this topic is controversial, since several studies have shown that exercise reduces neck circumference, whereas other have shown no effect (Ackel-D'Elia et al., 2012; de Oliveira Silva et al., 2018; Desplan et al., 2014; Kline et al., 2011; Zanetti et al., 2016).

Most of the studies carried out in this area have been of short duration, have involved fixed intensity exercise interventions, have mainly targeted the endurance or resistance components of exercise (instead of both together), and have focused on unhealthy populations (i.e., participants with obesity, metabolic syndrome, obstructive sleep apnea, etc.). The present results provide novel evidence that a long-term concurrent exercise intervention can slightly reduce neck circumference in young adults who partake in vigorous-intensity exercise, which may be related, together with other changes in body composition, to health benefits. This is supported in that changes in neck circumference were here seen to be related to changes in whole-body weight and adiposity, along with a reduction in CMR. While the exercise intervention did not reduce NAT accumulation, changes in total NAT were related to changes in body weight and adiposity and leptin concentrations, although these associations were not consistent. Future studies should investigate whether NAT accumulation is involved in CMR and metabolic dysfunction.

The present results cannot be generalized to people with excess upper body fat given the difficulties of accurately outlining the ROIs for distinguishing specific NAT compartments. In fact, since a thin pillow was placed under the head, which was therefore slightly inclined, ROIs for estimating the NAT volumes could only be drawn for the posterior part of the neck around the level of C5. Neither did the small number of participants in each group allow for separate analyses based on sex, which prevented full account being taken of the potential effect of sex on the variables of interest. Future studies with different types of exercise intervention and target populations (especially with older or less healthy populations where exercise effects may be larger), larger samples sizes, and with NAT accumulation as a primary outcome (this was an exploratory study), are warranted. Such studies should aim to examine the molecular signature of NAT (e.g., gene expression related to lipid metabolism and regulation) in an attempt to understand by which mechanisms exercise affects NAT accumulation, and how this NAT accumulation might be related to CMR and inflammation.

In conclusion, the present 24-week concurrent exercise intervention did not reduce NAT in young, relatively healthy adults. However, it did slightly reduced neck circumference in the VIG-EX group. In addition, changes in total NAT volume and neck circumference were associated with changes in body weight and whole-body adiposity, as well as in CMR (for neck circumference), although these associations were not consistent.

Acknowledgments

Author Contributions: Arias-Tellez and Acosta contributed equally to this work and share the first co-authorship. Conceptualization: Arias-Tellez, Acosta, Martínez-Téllez, Delgado, Llamas-Elvira, and Ruiz. Investigation and methodology: Arias-Tellez, Acosta, Martínez-Téllez, Delgado, and Merchan-Ramirez. Funding acquisition: Llamas-Elvira and Ruiz. Data curation and formal analysis: Arias-Tellez and Acosta. Writing-original draft: Arias-Tellez and Acosta. Writing-review and editing: Arias-Tellez, Acosta, Martínez-Téllez, Merchan-Ramirez, Delgado, and Ruiz. Had primary responsibility for the final content: Ruiz. All authors approved the final version of the paper. This study was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness via the Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria del Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI13/01393) and PTA 12264-I, Retos de la Sociedad (DEP2016-79512-R) and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Spanish Ministry of Education (FPU 13/03410), the Fundación Iberoamericana de Nutrición (FINUT), the Redes Temáticas de Investigación Cooperativa RETIC (Red SAMID RD16/0022), the AstraZeneca HealthCare Foundation, the University of Granada Plan Propio de Investigación 2016 (Excellence actions: Unit of Excellence on Exercise and Health [UCEES])-and Plan Propio de Investigación 2018 (Programa Contratos-Puente, Junta de Andalucía, Consejería de Conocimiento, Investigación y Universidades (ERDF, SOMM17/6107/UGR), the Fundación Carolina (C.2016-574961), the Fundación Alfonso Martín Escudero and A Marie Slodwoska Curie

Actions-Individual Fellowship grant (101028941). This study is part of a PhD thesis presented within the framework of the Biomedicine Doctoral Studies Program at the University of Granada, Spain. No potential competing interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Ackel-D'Elia, C., da Silva, A.C., Silva, R.S., Truksinas, E., Sousa, B.S., Tufik, S., ... Bittencourt, L.R. (2012). Effects of exercise training associated with continuous positive airway pressure treatment in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep and Breathing*, *16*(3), 723–735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-011-0567-0
- Arias-Tellez, M.J., & Acosta, F.M. (2021). Neck adipose tissue accumulation is associated with higher overall and central adiposity, a higher cardiometabolic risk, and a pro-inflammatory profile in young adults. *International Journal of Obesity*, 45(4), 733–745. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41366-020-00701-5
- Arias-Tellez, M.J., Acosta, F.M., Migueles, J.H., Pascual-Gamarra, J.M., Merchan-Ramirez, E., de Lucena Martins, C.M., ... Ruiz, J.R. (2021). Higher physical activity is related to lower neck adiposity in young men, but to higher neck adiposity in young women: An exploratory study. *International Journal Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 31(3), 250–258. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2020-0193
- Chung, H., Cobzas, D., Birdsell, L., Lieffers, J., & Baracos, V. (2009). Automated segmentation of muscle and adipose tissue on CT images for human body composition analysis[726104]. In M.I. Miga & K.H. Wong (Eds.), Proc. SPIE 7261, Medical imaging 2009: Visualization, image-guided procedures, and modeling. SPIE. https://doi.org/ 10.1117/12.811702
- Cielo, C.M., Keenan, B.T., Wiemken, A., Tapia, I.E., Kelly, A., & Schwab, R.J. (2021). Neck fat and obstructive sleep apnea in obese adolescents. *Sleep*, 44(11), Article 158. https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/ zsab158
- de Oliveira Silva, A., Dutra, M.T., de Moraes, W., Funghetto, S.S., Lopes de Farias, D., Dos Santos, P.H.F., ... Prestes, J. (2018). Resistance training-induced gains in muscle strength, body composition, and functional capacity are attenuated in elderly women with sarcopenic obesity. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 13, 411–417. https://doi.org/ 10.2147/cia.s156174
- Desplan, M., Mercier, J., Sabate, M., Ninot, G., Prefaut, C., & Dauvilliers, Y. (2014). A comprehensive rehabilitation program improves disease severity in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Sleep Medicine*, 15(8), 906–912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2013.09.023
- Engfeldt, P., & Arner, P. (1988). Lipolysis in human adipocytes, effects of cell size, age and of regional differences. *Hormone and Metabolic Research Supplement Series*, 19, 26–29.
- Fiuza-Luces, C., Garatachea, N., Berger, N.A., & Lucia, A. (2013). Exercise is the real polypill. *Physiology*, 28(5), 330–358. https:// doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00019.2013
- Hong, H.R., Jeong, J.O., Kong, J.Y., Lee, S.H., Yang, S.H., Ha, C.D., & Kang, H.S. (2014). Effect of walking exercise on abdominal fat, insulin resistance and serum cytokines in obese women. *Journal of Exercise Nutrition & Biochemistry*, 18(3), 277–285. https://doi.org/ 10.5717/jenb.2014.18.3.277
- Houghton, D., Thoma, C., Hallsworth, K., Cassidy, S., Hardy, T., Burt, A.D., ... Trenell, M.I. (2017). Exercise reduces liver lipids and visceral adiposity in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 15(1), 96–102.e103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh. 2016.07.031

- Kline, C.E., Crowley, E.P., Ewing, G.B., Burch, J.B., Blair, S.N., Durstine, J.L., ... Youngstedt, S.D. (2011). The effect of exercise training on obstructive sleep apnea and sleep quality: a randomized controlled trial. *Sleep*, 34(12), 1631–1640. https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.1422
- Maillard, F., Pereira, B., & Boisseau, N. (2018). Effect of high-intensity interval training on total, abdominal and visceral fat mass: A metaanalysis. *Sports Medicine*, 48(2), 269–288. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40279-017-0807-y
- Martinez-Tellez, B., Sanchez-Delgado, G., Acosta, F.M., Alcantara, J.M.A., Amaro-Gahete, F.J., Martinez-Avila, W.D., ... Ruiz, J.R. (2022). No evidence of brown adipose tissue activation after 24 weeks of supervised exercise training in young sedentary adults in the ACTIBATE randomized controlled trial. *Nature Communications*, 13(1), Article 5259. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32502-x
- Martinez-Tellez, B., Sanchez-Delgado, G., Garcia-Rivero, Y., Alcantara, J.M.A., Martinez-Avila, W.D., Munoz-Hernandez, M.V., ... Ruiz, J.R. (2017). A new personalized cooling protocol to activate brown adipose tissue in young adults. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8, Article 863. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00863
- Mendelson, M., Michallet, A.S., Monneret, D., Perrin, C., Estève, F., Lombard, P.R., ... Flore, P. (2015). Impact of exercise training without caloric restriction on inflammation, insulin resistance and visceral fat mass in obese adolescents. *Pediatric Obesity*, 10(4), 311–319. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.255
- Nielsen, S., Guo, Z., Johnson, C.M., Hensrud, D.D., & Jensen, M.D. (2004). Splanchnic lipolysis in human obesity. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 113(11), 1582–1588. https://doi.org/10. 1172/jci21047
- Norton, K., & Olds, T. (2000). Antropometrica. Biosystem.
- O'Leary, V.B., Marchetti, C.M., Krishnan, R.K., Stetzer, B.P., Gonzalez, F., & Kirwan, J.P. (2006). Exercise-induced reversal of insulin resistance in obese elderly is associated with reduced visceral fat. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 100(5), 1584–1589. https://doi.org/ 10.1152/japplphysiol.01336.2005
- Pandzic Jaksic, V., Grizelj, D., Livun, A., Boscic, D., Ajduk, M., Kusec, R., & Jaksic, O. (2018). Neck adipose tissue—Tying ties in metabolic disorders. *Hormone Molecular Biology and Clinical Investigation*, 33(2), Article 75. https://doi.org/10.1515/hmbci-2017-0075
- Rosenquist, K., Therkelsen, K., Massaro, J., Hoffmann, U., & Fox, C. (2014). Development and reproducibility of a computed tomographybased measurement for upper body subcutaneous neck fat. *Journal of the American Heart Association: Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease*, 3(6), Article 979. https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.114.000979
- Sanchez-Delgado, G., Martinez-Tellez, B., Olza, J., Aguilera, C.M., Labayen, I., Ortega, F.B., ... Ruiz, J.R. (2015). Activating brown adipose tissue through exercise (ACTIBATE) in young adults: Rationale, design and methodology. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 45, 416–425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2015.11.004
- Schulz, K.F., & Grimes, D.A. (2002). Generation of allocation sequences in randomised trials: Chance, not choice. *The Lancet*, 359(9305), 515–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(02)07683-3
- Stewart, A., Marfell-Jones, M., Olds, T., & Ridder, D.H. (2011). International standards for anthropometric assessment (pp. 50–53). International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry.
- Tal, S., & Litovchik, I. (2019). The association between neck adiposity and long-term outcome. *PLoS One*, 14(4), Article 0215538. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215538
- Taniguchi, H., Tanisawa, K., Sun, X., Kubo, T., & Higuchi, M. (2016). Endurance exercise reduces hepatic fat content and serum fibroblast growth factor 21 levels in elderly men. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 101(1), 191–198. https://doi.org/ 10.1210/jc.2015-3308

- Torriani, M., Gill, C.M., Daley, S., et al. (2014). Compartmental neck fat accumulation and its relation to cardiovascular risk and metabolic syndrome. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 100(5), 1244–1251. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.114.088450
- Wan, H., Wang, Y., Xiang, Q., Fang, S., Chen, Y., Chen, C., ... Lu, Y. (2020). Associations between abdominal obesity indices and diabetic complications: Chinese visceral adiposity index and neck circumference. *Cardiovascular Diabetology*, 19(1), Article 118. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12933-020-01095-4
- World Health Organization. (2010). Global recommendations on physical activity for health.
- Yang, G.R., Yuan, M.X., Wan, G., Zhang, X.L., Fu, H.J., Yuan, S.Y., ... Holman, R.R. (2021). Neck circumference and waist circumference

associated with cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes (Beijing Community Diabetes Study 23). *Scientific Reports, 11*(1), Article 9491. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88927-9

- Zanetti, H.R., Cruz, L.G., Lourenco, C.L., Neves Fde, F., Silva-Vergara, M.L., & Mendes, E.L. (2016). Non-linear resistance training reduces inflammatory biomarkers in persons living with HIV: A randomized controlled trial. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 16(8), 1232– 1239. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2016.1167962
- Zhang, H., Tong, T.K., Qiu, W., Zhang, X., Zhou, S., & Liu, Y. (2017). Comparable effects of high-intensity interval training and prolonged continuous exercise training on abdominal visceral fat reduction in obese young women. *Journal of Diabetes Research*, 2017, Article 5071740. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5071740