

Zurich Open Repository and Archive University of Zurich University Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2024

Drivers and recent trends of hospitalisation costs related to acute pulmonary embolism

Mohr, Katharina ; Hobohm, Lukas ; Kaier, Klaus ; Farmakis, Ioannis T ; Valerio, Luca ; Barco, Stefano ; Abele, Christina ; Münzel, Thomas ; Neusius, Thomas ; Konstantinides, Stavros ; Binder, Harald ; Keller, Karsten

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-024-02437-y

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-260216 Journal Article Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Mohr, Katharina; Hobohm, Lukas; Kaier, Klaus; Farmakis, Ioannis T; Valerio, Luca; Barco, Stefano; Abele, Christina; Münzel, Thomas; Neusius, Thomas; Konstantinides, Stavros; Binder, Harald; Keller, Karsten (2024). Drivers and recent trends of hospitalisation costs related to acute pulmonary embolism. Clinical Research in Cardiology:Epub ahead of print.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-024-02437-y

ORIGINAL PAPER



Drivers and recent trends of hospitalisation costs related to acute pulmonary embolism

Katharina Mohr^{1,2} · Lukas Hobohm^{1,3} · Klaus Kaier² · Ioannis T. Farmakis^{1,3} · Luca Valerio¹ · Stefano Barco^{1,4} · Christina Abele¹ · Thomas Münzel^{3,5} · Thomas Neusius⁶ · Stavros Konstantinides^{1,7} · Harald Binder² · Karsten Keller^{1,3,8}

Received: 11 January 2024 / Accepted: 11 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Background and aims The socio-economic burden imposed by acute pulmonary embolism (PE) on European healthcare systems is largely unknown. We sought to determine temporal trends and identify cost drivers of hospitalisation for PE in Germany.

Methods and results We analysed the totality of reimbursed hospitalisation costs in Germany (G-DRG system) in the years 2016–2020. Overall, 484 884 PE hospitalisations were coded in this period. Direct hospital costs amounted to a median of 3572 (IQR, 2804 to 5869) euros, resulting in average total reimbursements of 710 million euros annually. Age, PE severity, comorbidities and in-hospital (particularly bleeding) complications were identified by multivariable logistic regression as significant cost drivers. Use of catheter-directed therapy (CDT) constantly increased (annual change in the absolute proportion of hospitalisations with CDT + 0.40% [95% CI + 0.32% to + 0.47%]; P < 0.001), and it more than doubled in the group of patients with severe PE (28% of the entire population) over time. Although CDT use was overall associated with increased hospitalisation costs, this association was no longer present (adjusted OR 1.02 [0.80–1.31]) in patients with severe PE and shock; this was related, at least in part, to a reduction in the median length of hospital stay (for 14.0 to 8.0 days).

Conclusions We identified current and emerging cost drivers of hospitalisation for PE, focusing on severe disease and intermediate/high risk of an adverse early outcome. The present study may inform reimbursement decisions by policymakers and help to guide future health economic analysis of advanced treatment options for patients with PE.

Katharina Mohr and Lukas Hobohm contributed equally to this work.

Harald Binder and Karsten Keller contributed equally to this work.

Stavros Konstantinides stavros.konstantinides@unimedizin-mainz.de

- ¹ Center for Thrombosis and Hemostasis (CTH), University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- ² Institute of Medical Biometry and Statistics, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ³ Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Mainz, Germany

- ⁴ Department of Angiology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁵ German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Rhine Main, Mainz, Germany
- ⁶ Wiesbaden Business School, RheinMain University of Applied Sciences, Wiesbaden, Germany
- ⁷ Department of Cardiology, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece
- ⁸ Medical Clinic VII, Department of Sports Medicine, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

Graphical Abstract



CDT, catheter-directed treatment; CI, confidence interval; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 19; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; MACCE, major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events, defined as all-cause in-hospital death, acute myocardial infarction, or stroke; OR, odds ratio; PE, pulmonary embolism; RV, right ventricular.

Keywords Pulmonary embolism · Economic burden · Hospitalisation costs · Cost of illness · Catheter-directed treatment

Introduction

Venous thromboembolism and particularly acute pulmonary embolism (PE) are a leading cardiovascular cause of death after myocardial infarction and stroke [1] and have a substantial impact on the morbidity and mortality of the population in Europe and globally [2–4]. In recent years, significant progress was made in the advanced reperfusion treatment of intermediate-risk and high-risk PE, which presents with acute right ventricular (RV) pressure overload and is a potentially life-threatening condition [5–7]. Data available so far suggest that recently introduced catheterdirected treatment (CDT) options for PE may improve survival and reduce complications and the length of hospital stay [8, 9]. However, healthcare systems in many countries remain reluctant to reimburse the costs of these emerging treatment options. Besides the need for more robust evidence on the clinical benefits of novel approaches [5, 10], there is a lack of systematically collected large-scale data on actual hospitalisation costs and the main cost drivers. In fact, a recent comprehensive analysis that provided an update on the economic burden of cardiovascular diseases across the European Union (EU) focused on coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease but did not mention any data related to acute PE [11].

Aiming to contribute to the closure of this gap and to begin to inform decision-making, we analysed, in the present study, the entire German nationwide inpatient population hospitalised for acute PE from 2016 to 2020. We aimed to obtain actual reimbursed hospitalisation costs, comparing them to those of the other two most frequent acute cardiovascular syndromes, myocardial infarction and (ischaemic) stroke. We further aimed to identify patient characteristics, procedures and complications independently affecting the cost of hospital stay and calculate annual trends of hospital reimbursements. In this regard, we placed a focus on patients in the upper categories of clinical PE severity and early risk of death.

Methods

Data source and ethical aspects

Data, including hospital reimbursements in euros, were obtained from the Research Data Center (RDC) of the Federal Statistical Office of Germany (Wiesbaden, Germany; source: RDC of the Federal Statistical Office and the Statistical Offices of the federal states, DRG Statistics 2016–2020; own calculations) as previously described [9, 12]. The authors generated SPSS codes (IBM Corp. Released 2011; IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0. IBM Corp: Armonk, NY, USA). These codes were submitted to the RDC, which performed the calculations on behalf of the authors and provided aggregated and summarised statistics [12]. There was neither commercial support nor external influence during the planning and performing of the analyses or the preparation of the manuscript. Since the investigators and authors of the present study had no direct access to individual patient data but used aggregated data provided by the RDC, there was, in accordance with German law, no requirement for obtaining patient informed consent or for approval by ethics committees [12].

Coding of diagnoses and procedures

Since 2004, coding of patient data on diagnoses, coexisting conditions and surgical or other interventional procedures according to the German Diagnosis-Related Groups (G-DRG) system is required to obtain reimbursement for provided health services; for this purpose, coded data are transferred to the Institute for the Hospital Remuneration System. For this purpose, patients' diagnoses are coded according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (of the 10th revision with German modification, ICD-10-GM), and diagnostic/ interventional/surgical procedures are coded according to special OPS codes (Operationen- und Prozedurenschlüssel). We identified all patient admissions to German hospitals in the period 2016 through 2020, with PE (ICD-10 code I26) as the main or secondary diagnosis. For comparison of costs with myocardial infarction and ischaemic stroke, we used the ICD codes I21/I22 and I63, respectively. Severe PE was defined as tachycardia (I47 and R00.0), RV dysfunction (I26.0) or shock (R57). Haemodynamic instability was defined as shock (R57) or cardiopulmonary resuscitation (OPS code 8-77). The following reperfusion treatment procedures were included in the analysis: systemic thrombolysis (8-020.8), surgical embolectomy (5-380.42) and catheterdirected thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy (8-838. d0, 8-838.50, 8-838.60, 8-838.70, and 8-83b.j).

Study outcomes

The primary outcome was the reimbursed cost of PE-related hospitalisation in euros. Secondary outcomes were length of hospital stay and in-hospital death (case fatality) from any cause. Further outcomes included major in-hospital adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE), defined as all-cause in-hospital death, acute myocardial infarction [ICD-10 code I21] or ischaemic stroke [I63]. In addition, we evaluated major bleeding including at least one of the following: gastrointestinal bleeding (K92.0, K92.1, K92.2), intracranial

bleeding (I61), spinal cord haemorrhage (G95.10), haemarthrosis (M25.0), haemopericardium (I31.2) and/or necessity of transfusion of erythrocyte concentrates (OPS code 8-800).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are given as medians with interquartile range (IQR), or as means \pm standard deviation (SD); categorical values are given as percentages. PE patients were stratified by hospitalisation costs, using the overall median reimbursement as the cutoff value; they were also stratified by survival status (in-hospital death versus survival to discharge). Differences between groups were calculated with the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney *U* test for continuous variables and with chi-square or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables as appropriate. Temporal (annual) trends of hospitalisations, costs, percentage of hospitalisations in which CDT was used, length of hospital stay and in-hospital mortality were estimated using linear regression analysis. The results are presented as beta (β) estimates with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Univariate and multivariable logistic regression models were constructed for investigating associations of (i) patient characteristics, comorbidities, treatments and in-hospital adverse events, with (ii) hospitalisation costs above versus below 10,000 euros. This cutoff was chosen to discriminate between patients with a less complicated hospital course and those necessitating advanced reperfusion procedures for acute PE, based on current reimbursement rates in the G-DRG system. For the multivariable regression analysis, three progressive adjustment models were used:

- Model I: adjustment for age and sex
- Model II: in addition to age and sex, adjustment for comorbidities (obesity, diabetes mellitus, cancer, coronary artery disease, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, essential arterial hypertension, acute and chronic kidney failure, surgery, chronic anaemia, atrial fibrillation/flutter) and for severity of PE as defined above
- Model III: in addition to age, sex, comorbidities and severity of PE, adjustment for the length of in-hospital stay

The results are presented as odds ratios (OR) with the corresponding 95% CI; *P* values < 0.05 (two-sided) were considered to be statistically significant. Associations, in specific patient subgroups (such as those with severe PE or shock), of different reperfusion treatment procedures with in-hospital mortality, major bleeding, hospitalisation costs and length of stay, are presented as forest plots showing the results of univariate and multivariable (model II) regression analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0. IBM Corp: Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics and costs of hospitalisation

A total of 484,884 hospitalisations of patients with acute PE (median age 71.0 [IQR 59.0 to 80.0] years; female sex 51.0%) were coded in Germany in the years 2016 through 2020, corresponding to an annual incidence of 117 PE-related hospitalisations per 100,000 population. Actual hospital costs amounted to a median of 3572 (2804 to 5869) euros, resulting in total hospital reimbursements of 3.53 billion euros throughout the 5-year period. Put into perspective, per-patient costs for acute PE were lower than those for acute myocardial infarction (4714 [3166 to 6586] euros) and ischaemic stroke (5257 [3725 to 7258] euros). The overall annual hospitalisation costs for PE averaged 710 million euros in Germany, compared to 2.02 billion euros for myocardial infarction and 2.36 billion euros for ischaemic stroke.

The patients' demographic characteristics, medical history, clinical findings and in-hospital clinical course are presented, stratified by median hospitalisation costs, in Table 1; in Supplementary Table S1, patients are stratified by survival status. Overall, severe PE, defined by RV dysfunction, tachycardia or shock, was present in 28.3% of the cases, and 9.1% of the patients were haemodynamically unstable; treatment in an intensive care unit (ICU) was documented in 19.0% of the cases. The median length of in-hospital stay was 8.0 (IQR 4.0–14.0) days, and 62 996 (13.0%) patients died in hospital.

Variables associated with elevated hospitalisation costs for pulmonary embolism

As shown in Table 1, patients with treatment costs above the cutoff of 3600 euros (the rounded median amount of hospital reimbursement) were older and presented with an aggravated comorbidity profile. Median hospitalisation costs of patients with versus those without major risk factors and comorbidities are shown in Fig. 1. Hospitalisation costs increased in parallel to the severity of comorbidity as reflected by the Charlson comorbidity index (Fig. S1).

Patients with elevated treatment costs more frequently presented with severe PE and haemodynamic instability. Consequently, they more frequently needed admission to the ICU and advanced (reperfusion) treatment of PE, suffered a variety of in-hospital adverse cardiovascular events and other complications including major bleeding and had a more than twice as long (14.0 versus 6.0 days) duration of hospitalisation (Table 1). Logistic regression analysis, applying three models with escalating adjustment as described in the "Methods" section, identified several baseline parameters and complications conditionally associated with high in-hospital costs > 10,000 euros (Table 2). Among the variables tested, the highest ORs were obtained for cancer, concomitant coronavirus disease (COVID)-19 for the year 2020, surgery during the hospital stay, severe PE, need for admission to an intensive care unit (ICU) and mechanical ventilation, MACCE and bleeding complications. The cost of illness related to acute PE was higher at hospitals in urban compared to suburban and rural areas (see Fig. S2), probably due to the early transfer of severely ill patients with acute PE to tertiary urban hospitals and the availability of advanced options for thrombus removal from the lungs and mechanical circulatory support in the large referral centres. Mean and median hospitalisation costs per federal state (Bundeland) are displayed in Fig. S3.

Procedures, outcomes and hospitalisation costs in patients with severe pulmonary embolism

Severe PE, with or without haemodynamic instability, was documented in 137,186 (28.3%) of the hospitalised patients. As expected, case fatality was higher (27.2% vs. 7.4%; P < 0.001) in this patient group compared to patients without severe PE (also see Table S1). Tables S2, S3, and S4 display outcomes and hospitalisation costs of patients with severe PE, stratified by systemic thrombolysis, surgical embolectomy and CDT, respectively. Odds ratios with the corresponding 95% CI, calculated by univariate and multivariable (adjustment level II as defined in the "Methods" section) logistic regression analysis, are displayed in Fig. S4.

Systemic thrombolysis was administered to 16,050 (11.7%) of patients with severe PE. Intravenous thrombolytic treatment was associated with a shorter duration of hospitalisation and no increase in hospitalisation costs; at the same time, however, it was associated with higher case fatality and an elevated risk for major bleeding complications. Surgical embolectomy was performed in only a small minority (504; 0.4%) of the cases. CDT, used in 1381 cases (1.0%) in Germany during the study period, was associated with higher hospital costs and more frequent major bleeding complications; on the other hand, patients who underwent CDT less frequently needed hospitalisation for a period longer than 7–10 days. Use of CDT was also associated with a lower risk of early death (OR 0.82 [95% CI 0.71–0.93]), independently from age, sex and comorbidities (Fig. S3, panel A).

Patients with obstructive shock represent the highest-risk subgroup among those with severe PE [6]. In the present study, shock was documented in 25,494 (5.26%) of all hospitalised patients, corresponding to 18.6% of those with severe PE. Case fatality was considerably higher (60.6% vs. 10.3%; P < 0.001) in this patient group compared to patients without shock. Tables S5, S6, and S7 compare outcomes and hospitalisation costs of patients with acute PE and shock, stratified

Table 1Characteristics,treatment and in-hospital courseof patients with pulmonaryembolism during the years2016–2020 in Germany,stratified by hospitalisationcosts (median reimbursementamount used as cutoff)

Parameters	Costs ≤ 3600 € ($n = 258, 149; 53.2\%$)	Costs > 3600 € (<i>n</i> =226,735; 46.8%)	P value
Age, median (IQR)	70.0 (58.0-80.0)	72.0 (61.0-80.0)	< 0.001
Age \geq 70 years	133,980 (51.9%)	127,911 (56.4%)	< 0.001
Female sex	130,237 (50.5%)	117,080 (51.6%)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular risk factors	, , , ,	, , ,	
Obesity	21,163 (8.2%)	23,906 (10.5%)	< 0.001
Essential arterial hypertension	114,235 (44.3%)	111,218 (49.1%)	< 0.001
Dyslipidaemia	34,209 (13.3%)	35,526 (15.7%)	< 0.001
VTE risk factors			
Cancer	38,700 (15.0%)	63,659 (28.1%)	< 0.001
Surgery	104,713 (40.6%)	168,465 (74.3%)	< 0.001
Pregnancy	369 (0.14%)	218 (0.09%)	< 0.001
Comorbidities			
Coronary artery disease	28,366 (11.0%)	35,988 (15.9%)	< 0.001
Heart failure	48,190 (21.6%)	64,700 (28.5%)	< 0.001
Peripheral artery disease	5532 (2.1%)	9128 (4.0%)	< 0.001
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	26,956 (10.4%)	41,978 (18.5%)	< 0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	19,888 (7.7%)	25,562 (11.3%)	< 0.001
Acute or chronic renal failure	46,390 (18.0%)	70,025 (30.9%)	< 0.001
COVID-19	1681 (0.6%)	1681 (0.7%)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	40,674 (15.8%)	49,246 (21.7%)	< 0.001
Anaemia	13,691 (5.3%)	30,293 (13.4%)	< 0.001
Clinical findings			
Severe pulmonary embolism	66,009 (25.6%)	71,177 (31.4%)	< 0.001
Tachycardia	6340 (2.5%)	10,797 (4.8%)	< 0.001
RV dysfunction	60,068 (23.3%)	55,474 (24.5%)	< 0.001
Haemodynamic instability	16,162 (6.3%)	28,058 (12.4%)	< 0.001
Shock	7074 (2.7%)	18,420 (8.1%)	< 0.001
Cardiopulmonary resuscitation	12,725 (4.9%)	15,735 (6.9%)	< 0.001
Treatment			
Admission to intensive care unit	22,414 (8.7%)	69,900 (30.8%)	< 0.001
Mechanical ventilation	3348 (1.3%)	15,618 (6.9%)	< 0.001
Systemic thrombolysis	9758 (3.8%)	10,384 (4.6%)	< 0.001
Catheter-directed treatment	130 (0.1%)	1607 (0.7%)	< 0.001
Surgical embolectomy	5 (0.001%)	602 (0.3%)	< 0.001
Adverse events during hospitalisation			
MACCE	31,661 (12.3%)	47,824 (21.1%)	< 0.001
In-hospital mortality	27,851 (10.9%)	35,145 (15.4%)	< 0.001
Stroke	3690 (1.4%)	12,900 (5.7%)	< 0.001
Pneumonia	56,379 (21.8%)	73,984 (32.6%)	< 0.001
Acute renal failure	10,940 (4.2%)	35,278 (15.6%)	< 0.001
Major bleeding	8574 (3.3%)	53,311 (23.5%)	< 0.001
Intracerebral bleeding	665 (0.3%)	2794 (1.2%)	< 0.001
Gastrointestinal bleeding	2067 (0.8%)	6644 (2.9%)	< 0.001
Haemarthrosis	26 (0.01%)	11 (0.03%)	< 0.001
Transfusion of blood constituents	6336 (2.5%)	48,795 (21.5%)	< 0.001
Duration of hospitalisation			
Days in hospital, median (IQR)	6.0 (3.0-8.0)	14.0 (8.0-22.0)	< 0.001
Hospitalisation > 7 days	84,149 (32.6%)	170,211 (75.1%)	< 0.001
Hospitalisation > 10 days	36,835 (14.3%)	138,654 (61.2%)	< 0.001

COVID-19 coronavirus disease 19, *IQR* interquartile range, *MACCE* major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events, defined as all-cause in-hospital death, acute myocardial infarction or stroke, *PE* pulmonary embolism, *RV* right ventricle, *VTE* venous thromboembolism Fig. 1 Patient characteristics as potential cost drivers during hospitalisation. For every influencing factor, median hospitalisation costs with interquartile range for presence (upper forest plot with red medians) or absence (lower forest plots with blue medians) of these factors was calculated. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 19



by systemic thrombolysis, surgical embolectomy and CDT, respectively. Treatment-related OR calculated by univariate and multivariable (adjustment level II) logistic regression analysis is displayed in Fig. 2. Systemic thrombolysis was administered to 5954 (23.4%) patients, and its association with the length of hospital stay and in-hospital mortality (Table S5) was in the same direction as in the entire group of patients with severe PE. At the same time, patients who underwent thrombolysis had lower major bleeding rates than those who did not. The length of stay was significantly shorter (median, 8.0 versus 14.0 days) in patients who underwent CDT, in line with the trend in the entire patient group with severe PE. Of note, overall hospitalisation costs were not increased among shock patients who received CDT compared to those who did not (Fig. 2C).

Temporal trends of costs, treatments and outcomes

Annual hospitalisations related to acute PE in Germany increased from 94,568 (corresponding to 114.5 hospitalisations per 100,000 population) in the year 2016 to 97,718 (117.5 per 100,000) in the year 2020 (Fig. 3A); linear regression analysis revealed a significant trend for the annual increase in PE hospitalisations (β 0.075 [95% CI 0.070 to 0.079]; P < 0.001). During the same period, in-hospital case fatality decreased from 13.3% in 2016 to its lowest level of 12.7% in the year 2019, but increased again to 13.1% in the COVID-19 pandemic year 2020 (Fig. 3B). The patients' sex distribution and comorbidity profile remained largely unchanged (not shown). The

Table 2 Parameters associated with hospitalisation costs in excess of $10,000 \in$

	Univariate regression	Multivariable regression (adjustment level I)	Multivariable regression (adjustment level II)	Multivariable regres- sion (adjustment level III)
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Age≥70 years	0.83 (0.82–0.84)	0.85 (0.84-0.86)	0.63 (0.62-0.65)	0.61 (0.59-0.62)
Female sex	0.83 (0.82-0.85)	0.85 (0.84-0.86)	0.90 (0.88-0.92)	0.85 (0.83-0.87)
CCI severity class	1.93 (1.91–1.95)	_	-	_
Cancer	2.44 (2.40-2.49)	2.44 (2.39-2.48)	2.15 (2.11-2.19)	1.97 (1.93-2.02)
Surgery	15.76 (15.27–16.27)	15.91 (15.41-16.43)	13.38 (12.96-13.82)	5.85 (5.65-6.06)
Pregnancy	0.68 (0.52-0.89)	0.64 (0.49-0.83)	0.66 (0.49-0.87)	0.54 (0.38-0.77)
Coronary artery disease	1.48 (1.45–1.51)	1.52 (1.49-1.56)	1.07 (1.04-1.09)	1.10 (1.07-1.14)
Heart failure	1.74 (1.71–1.77)	1.85 (1.82-1.89)	1.25 (1.23–1.28)	1.02 (0.99–1.05)
Peripheral artery disease	2.06 (1.98-2.14)	2.08 (2.01-2.17)	1.41 (1.35–1.47)	1.24 (1.18–1.31)
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	2.28 (2.24–2.33)	2.517 (2.47-2.57)	1.85 (1.81-1.90)	1.47 (1.43–1.51)
COPD	1.51 (1.47–1.54)	1.53 (1.49-1.56)	1.38 (1.34–1.42)	1.20 (1.16-1.24)
Acute or chronic renal failure	2.35 (2.31-2.39)	2.64 (2.60-2.69)	1.86 (1.82-1.90)	1.52 (1.48-1.56)
COVID-19	2.19 (2.03-2.36)	2.15 (1.99-2.32)	3.68 (3.34-4.06)	2.39 (2.13-2.67)
Diabetes mellitus	1.54 (1.51–1.57)	1.59 (1.56-1.62)	1.15 (1.13–1.18)	1.03 (1.00-1.06)
Anaemia	2.69 (2.63-2.75)	2.74 (2.68-2.81)	1.64 (1.60-1.68)	0.93 (0.90-0.96)
Severe pulmonary embolism	1.95 (1.92–1.98)	1.97 (1.94-2.00)	1.99 (1.95-2.03)	2.13 (2.08-2.18)
Admission to ICU	13.39 (13.15–13.64)	13.35 (13.12-13.60)	11.30 (11.06-11.54)	8.10 (7.91-8.30)
Mechanical ventilation	9.88 (9.59-10.19)	9.75 (9.45-10.04)	6.94 (6.69-7.19)	3.73 (3.58-3.90)
MACCE	3.10 (3.05-3.16)	3.28 (3.22-3.34)	2.57 (2.52-2.63)	3.64 (3.547-3.736)
Major bleeding	14.90 (14.61–15.19)	15.17 (14.87-15.46)	9.53 (9.31-9.76)	5.57 (5.42-5.72)
Intracerebral bleeding	7.44 (6.95–7.95)	7.35 (6.87–7.87)	6.48 (5.99-7.00)	3.37 (3.06-3.71)
Gastrointestinal bleeding	3.51 (3.35-3.67)	3.56 (3.41-3.72)	1.88 (1.79-1.98)	1.11 (1.04–1.18)
Transfusion of blood constituents	16.74 (16.40–17.08)	17.05 (16.71-17.40)	10.99 (10.72-11.27)	6.56 (6.37-6.75)
Length of hospital stay (days)	1.15 (1.15–1.15)	1.15 (1.15–1.15)	1.12 (1.12–1.13)	1.12 (1.12–1.12)
Hospitalisation > 10 days	19.47 (19.01–19.93)	21.92 (21.39-22.46)	12.04 (11.74–12.35)	-

Adjustment level I: adjusted for age and sex

Adjustment level II: in addition to age and sex, adjusted for comorbidities (obesity, diabetes mellitus, cancer, coronary artery disease, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, essential arterial hypertension, acute and chronic kidney failure, surgery, chronic anaemia, atrial fibrillation/flutter) and for severity of PE as defined above

Adjustment level III: in addition to age, sex, comorbidities and severity of PE, adjusted for the length of in-hospital stay

CCI Charlson comorbidity index, *CI* confidence interval, *COPD* chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, *COVID-19* coronavirus disease 19, *ICU* intensive care unit, *MACCE* major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events, defined as all-cause in-hospital death, acute myocardial infarction or stroke, *OR* odds ratio

proportion of hospitalisations longer 10 days decreased over time (β for the annual change in absolute proportion, -6.7% [-7.5 to -5.9%]). Median hospital costs in euros changed only minimally, from 3563 (3557–5572) in 2016 to 3572 (3564–6438) in 2019, and dropped to 2725 (2721–5134) in the year 2020 (Fig. 3C).

Analysis of annual trends revealed a progressive decline of reperfusion treatment with systemic thrombolysis and a constantly very low rate of surgical embolectomy in the entire population of hospitalised PE patients (Fig. 4A). Throughout the study period, the frequency of systemic thrombolysis and surgical embolectomy was relatively high only among very young patients and fell rapidly with growing age (Fig. 4B). These findings support the need for alternative options of reperfusion treatment in adult, mainly older patients. Indeed, after the sixth decade of life case fatality rates rose dramatically (Fig. 4C), while the annual numbers of admissions with severe PE remained consistently high (Fig. 4D). As shown in Fig. 4E, there was a constant increase in CDT use between 2017 and 2020 (annual change in the absolute proportion of hospitalisations during which CDT was performed: +0.40% [95% CI +0.32to +0.47%]). In parallel to this trend, our analyses revealed an overall decrease in case fatality of severe PE, with a (presumably temporary) rebound in the pandemic year 2020 (Fig. 4F).



Fig. 2 Association, in patients with shock, of different reperfusion treatment procedures with in-hospital mortality (A), major bleeding (B), reimbursed hospitalisation costs (C) and length of hospital stay (D). Results of univariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis are presented as odds ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), representing the use versus non-use of the respective

treatment. The multivariable model adjusted for the following variables: age, sex, obesity, diabetes mellitus, cancer, coronary artery disease, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, essential arterial hypertension, acute/chronic kidney failure, surgery, chronic anaemia and atrial fibrillation/flutter

Discussion

The present study investigated the economic burden of acute pulmonary embolism, including all patients hospitalised with PE in in Germany, the country with the largest population in Europe (currently 84 million), over 5 years. Our results can be summarised as follows: (1) actual median hospitalisation costs in Germany were higher than those estimated from European registry data, albeit lower than the costs related to myocardial infarction and (ischaemic) stroke; (2) age, PE severity and comorbidity, as well as in-hospital (particularly bleeding) complications, were identified by multivariate logistic regression as significant cost drivers in the study population; (3) use of CDT doubled over time in the elevated-risk group of patients with "severe" PE (28% of the entire population) and (4) catheter-directed procedures, although costly by themselves as reflected by current reimbursements, were not associated with an overall increase in hospitalisation costs among patients with severe PE and shock. A reduced length of hospital stay paralleled this latter finding.



Fig.3 Annual trends of hospitalisations (**A**), in-hospital case fatality (**B**) and reimbursed costs (**C**), in euros, of patients with pulmonary embolism in Germany during the study period. In **C**, the continuous

black line denotes median costs; the dashed lines, the corresponding upper and lower quartile values. Note, the line of the lower quartile is almost superimposed on the solid line of the median costs



Fig. 4 The changing landscape of pulmonary embolism management in Germany. Annual trends revealed progressive decline of the proportion of reperfusion treatment with systemic thrombolysis (β for annual absolute change, -0.04% [95%CI-0.06 to -0.02%]) and a constantly very low rate of surgical embolectomy in the entire population of hospitalised PE patients (**A**). Frequency of use of systemic thrombolysis and surgical embolectomy was relatively high (only) among very young patients, but it fell with growing age (**B**). It is however in older patients, after the sixth decade of life, that absolute

Very little is known about the actual hospitalisation costs imposed by acute PE on national health systems. A recent cost-of-illness analysis, based on data from 1349 patients diagnosed with PE and included in a prospective European registry, provided a low-end cost estimate of 2328 euros and a high-end estimate of 3533 euros for the hospitalisation related to the index acute event [13]. Earlier models analysing cost sources from several European studies had yielded higher median estimates, between 3891 and 4197 euros [14]. Such approximations may have limitations given the relatively small, heterogeneous patient populations analysed; moreover, several adjustments and assumptions must be made to account for the differences in healthcare systems across Europe [13, 14]. By comparison, in the present study, we analysed actual documented and reimbursed hospitalisation costs in the entire population of a single country. Our results in a population of almost half a million patients in

numbers of hospitalisations and case fatality rates of PE increased dramatically (C). Annual trends further showed consistently large numbers of hospitalisations with severe pulmonary embolism (D). The proportion of use of catheter-directed treatment hospitalisations of patients with severe pulmonary embolism increased constantly since 2017 (E). Finally, linear regression analysis showed a decrease in case fatality of severe pulmonary embolism, with a (possibly temporary) rebound in the pandemic year 2020 (F)

Germany revealed median hospitalisation costs of 3572 euros during the study period, lying at the upper end of the most recent European estimate mentioned above [13]. On the other hand, the cost of illness of acute PE in Germany remained, at least over the years studied, substantially lower than the costs reported for PE-related hospitalisations in the US which reached a median of 10,032 (IQR 4467 to 20,330) US dollars in the years 2016–2018 [15].

Time trend analysis revealed that annual hospitalisation costs for acute PE remained relatively stable during the study period, during which no new major diagnostic or therapeutic procedures for this disease (demanding substantial changes in reimbursement) entered broad clinical practice. Interestingly, a remarkable drop in reimbursed costs occurred in the year 2020. This may be related, at least in part, to the observed increase of in-hospital case fatality of PE associated with COVID-19, particularly in the first year of the pandemic [16–18], in some cases reducing the duration of hospitalisation. In parallel to a change in the natural history of PE itself, the lockdown measures imposed in Germany and other countries significantly impacted hospitalisations for a broad spectrum of potentially life-threatening diseases, including severe oncological and cardiovascular cases and also emergencies such as acute myocardial infarction [19-25]. This was due to the combination of reduced hospital capacities in view of the large number of beds being reserved for COVID-19 cases and the patients' fear of contracting the infection by seeking medical help and being admitted to a hospital. It is thus likely that an undetermined number of patients with severe PE never reached the hospital during that period. Moreover, some cases of severe PE may have gone unnoticed in hospitalised patients, their critical condition being attributed to COVID-19 if the virus test was positive. Finally, the reduction of elective surgical procedures during the pandemic, and consequently of PE cases associated with them, may have contributed to this temporary reduction in reimbursements.

A contemporary topic of debate is to what extent new catheter-directed interventions for dissolving or fragmenting/aspirating pulmonary emboli may impact healthcare costs in Europe and other parts of the world in the coming years. CDT systems are undergoing continuous technical evolution and are increasingly popular among physicians in the US [26], particularly at institutions that have established multidisciplinary pulmonary embolism teams (PERT) [27, 28]. In Europe, the introduction of CDT was initially more hesitant [9], but its use has now begun to increase as well as confirmed by the results of our study. For example, modelling recent CDT trends in the US, we could calculate the expected increase of CDT use in Germany for the future period 2025–2030. Our models predict a CDT penetration ranging from 3.1 to 8.7% by 2030, resulting in an increase of annual costs for PE-related hospitalisations between 15.3 and 49.8 million euros [29].

Observational data suggest that CDT, when used in patients with intermediate-risk or high-risk PE, may reduce the risk of bleeding complications, and it may also be associated with lower in-hospital mortality [8, 9, 30]. Nevertheless, current guidelines demand convincing high-quality data from randomised controlled trials before endorsing CDT as first-line therapy in patients without haemodynamic collapse [5, 6, 10]. In addition, direct costs of catheter systems and procedures for advanced PE therapy need to be determined separately for each country's hospital reimbursement system and, importantly, be weighed against their benefits in terms of reduced early complications, length of hospital and ICU stay, return to work and productivity and prevention of late sequelae of PE [31]. In agreement with previous reports [8, 9, 30], we observed a significant association between CDT and a reduction of in-hospital mortality and the length of hospital stay. Although CDT use was, as expected, associated with higher hospital costs in the entire group of patients with severe PE, this was no longer the case when the subgroup of high-risk patients with shock was analysed. These findings generate the hypothesis that the cost-benefit ratio of CDT might become increasingly favourable with increasing severity of PE.

Some limitations exist and caution is warranted when attempting to translate associations found in an analysis of nationwide data into possible causal relationships between treatment modalities and hospital outcomes, complications and/or costs. Firstly, neither the physicians' rationale regarding patient selection for individual therapeutic measures nor the exact timing of complications during the hospital stay, particularly in relation to systemic thrombolysis or advanced interventional procedures, can be retrieved from this type of aggregated observational data. A typical example of this limitation is our finding that patients with PE and shock who underwent thrombolysis appeared to have major bleeding less frequently than those who did not, seemingly contradicting the established bleeding risk of this treatment form [32]. This may be due to the fact that patients with an excessive bleeding risk (which is often the case in this risk category) do not receive this type of treatment in clinical practice [6] but bleed nevertheless, even on heparin anticoagulation alone; besides, patients with shock have a high in-hospital case fatality, and major bleeding occurring immediately before death may have been underreported.

Secondly, due to the nature of an ICD- and OPS-codebased analysis, classification of the severity of PE in the present study may not exactly correspond to the definition proposed by European guidelines [6]. Besides, underreporting of adverse events and/or undercoding of procedures cannot be excluded. However, it is very unlikely that costly complications and treatments were 'forgotten', considering the reimbursement efforts of all involved hospitals. Thirdly, we cannot exclude an interdependence of patients' comorbidities which were included in the progressive adjustment models of our multivariable regression analysis. Finally, no follow-up evaluation after hospital discharge was available. Ongoing randomised trials will help to determine not only the efficacy and safety of CDT techniques compared to medical treatment but also if their implementation on a larger scale and across different healthcare reimbursement systems is cost-effective [33].

In conclusion, the present study provided actual cost-ofillness data for the entire population hospitalised for acute PE in a large European country over a 5-year period. Our results help to identify current and emerging cost drivers. They may inform reimbursement decisions by policymakers and help to guide future health economic analysis of advanced treatment options for patients with intermediate-and high-risk PE. Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-024-02437-y.

Acknowledgements We thank the Federal Statistical Office of Germany (Statistisches Bundesamt, DEStatis) for providing the data/results and the kind permission to publish these results (source: RDC of the Federal Statistical Office and the Statistical Offices of the federal states, DRG Statistics 2016–2020, own calculations).

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data availability The statistical analysis for this study was carried out on the authors' behalf by the Research Data Center (RDC) of the Federal Bureau of Statistics, Wiesbaden, Germany, including the entire nationwide inpatient population of Germany for the years studied (source: RDC of the Federal Statistical Office and the Statistical Offices of the federal states, DRG Statistics 2020, own calculations). All codes used in this study are publicly available online. The data are aggregated analysis results provided by the RDC; this means that the authors had access to summarised results provided by the RDC, but no access to individual patient-level data, which are not publicly available.

Declarations

Competing interests KM reports no conflicts of interest. LH reports lecture/consultant fees from MSD and Janssen, outside the submitted work. K. Kaier, IF and LV report no conflict of interest. SB reports institutional research support by Bard, Boston Scientific, Medtronic, Bayer and Sanofi; and personal fee/honoraria from Boston Scientific, Penumbra and Viatris. CA, TM and TN report no conflict of interest; TM is principal investigator of the DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research). SK reports lecture and advisory fees from Bayer AG, Boston Scientific, Daiichi-Sankyo, LumiraDx, MSD, Penumbra and Pfizer—Bristol-Myers Squibb; and research grants via his institution from Bayer AG, Boston Scientific, Daiichi-Sankyo, LumiraDx and Penumbra, all unrelated to the present work. HB and K. Keller report no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Wendelboe AM, Raskob GE (2016) Global burden of thrombosis: epidemiologic aspects. Circ Res 118:1340–1347. https://doi.org/ 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306841
- Barco S, Mahmoudpour SH, Valerio L, Klok FA, Munzel T, Middeldorp S, Ageno W, Cohen AT, Hunt BJ, Konstantinides SV (2020) Trends in mortality related to pulmonary embolism in the European Region, 2000–15: analysis of vital registration data from the WHO Mortality Database. Lancet Respir Med 8:277–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30354-6

- Martin KA, Molsberry R, Cuttica MJ, Desai KR, Schimmel DR, Khan SS (2020) Time trends in pulmonary embolism mortality rates in the United States, 1999 to 2018. J Am Heart Assoc 9:e016784. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.120.016784
- Barco S, Valerio L, Ageno W, Cohen AT, Goldhaber SZ, Hunt BJ, Iorio A, Jimenez D, Klok FA, Kucher N, Mahmoudpour SH, Middeldorp S, Munzel T, Tagalakis V, Wendelboe AM, Konstantinides SV (2021) Age-sex specific pulmonary embolism-related mortality in the USA and Canada, 2000–18: an analysis of the WHO Mortality Database and of the CDC Multiple Cause of Death database. Lancet Respir Med 9:33–42. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S2213-2600(20)30417-3
- Giri J, Sista AK, Weinberg I, Kearon C, Kumbhani DJ, Desai ND, Piazza G, Gladwin MT, Chatterjee S, Kobayashi T, Kabrhel C, Barnes GD (2019) Interventional therapies for acute pulmonary embolism: current status and principles for the development of novel evidence: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 140:e774–e801. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIR.0000000000000707
- 6. Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing GJ, Harjola VP, Huisman MV, Humbert M, Jennings CS, Jimenez D, Kucher N, Lang IM, Lankeit M, Lorusso R, Mazzolai L, Meneveau N, Ni Ainle F, Prandoni P, Pruszczyk P, Righini M, Torbicki A, Van Belle E, Zamorano JL, Group ESCSD (2020) 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J 41:543–603. https://doi.org/10. 1093/eurheartj/ehz405
- Porres-Aguilar M, Rosovsky RP, Rivera-Lebron BN, Kaatz S, Mukherjee D, Anaya-Ayala JE, Jimenez D, Jerjes-Sanchez C (2022) Pulmonary embolism response teams: changing the paradigm in the care for acute pulmonary embolism. J Thromb Haemost 20:2457–2464. https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.15832
- Pasha AK, Siddiqui MU, Siddiqui MD, Ahmed A, Abdullah A, Riaz I, Murad MH, Bjarnason H, Wysokinski WE, McBane RD 2nd (2022) Catheter directed compared to systemically delivered thrombolysis for pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Thrombolysis 53:454–466. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11239-021-02556-7
- Hobohm L, Schmidt FP, Gori T, Schmidtmann I, Barco S, Munzel T, Lankeit M, Konstantinides SV, Keller K (2021) In-hospital outcomes of catheter-directed thrombolysis in patients with pulmonary embolism. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care 10:258–264. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjacc/zuaa026
- Pruszczyk P, Klok FA, Kucher N, Roik M, Meneveau N, Sharp ASP, Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Obradovic S, Barco S, Giannini F, Stefanini G, Tarantini G, Konstantinides S, Dudek D (2022) Percutaneous treatment options for acute pulmonary embolism: a clinical consensus statement by the ESC Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function and the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions. EuroIntervention 18:e623–e638. https://doi.org/10.4244/EIJ-D-22-00246
- Luengo-Fernandez R, Walli-Attaei M, Gray A, Torbica A, Maggioni AP, Huculeci R, Bairami F, Aboyans V, Timmis AD, Vardas P, Leal J (2023) Economic burden of cardiovascular diseases in the European Union: a population-based cost study. Eur Heart J 44:4752–4767. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehad583
- Keller K, Hobohm L, Ebner M, Kresoja KP, Munzel T, Konstantinides SV, Lankeit M (2020) Trends in thrombolytic treatment and outcomes of acute pulmonary embolism in Germany. Eur Heart J 41:522–529. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz236
- 13. Farmakis IT, Barco S, Mavromanoli AC, Agnelli G, Cohen AT, Giannakoulas G, Mahan CE, Konstantinides SV, Valerio L (2022) Cost-of-illness analysis of long-term health care resource use and disease burden in patients with pulmonary embolism:

insights from the PREFER in VTE Registry. J Am Heart Assoc 11:e027514. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.122.027514

- Barco S, Woersching AL, Spyropoulos AC, Piovella F, Mahan CE (2016) European Union-28: an annualised cost-of-illness model for venous thromboembolism. Thromb Haemost 115:800–808. https://doi.org/10.1160/TH15-08-0670
- Bunte MC, Gosch K, Elkaryoni A, Noman A, Johnson E, Jones P, Indaram MB, Vupputuri S (2021) Bleeding, death, and costs of care during hospitalization for acute pulmonary embolism: insights from the Saint Luke's Outcomes of Pulmonary Embolism (SLOPE) study. Vasc Med 26:28–37. https://doi.org/10.1177/1358863X20967415
- Hobohm L, Sagoschen I, Barco S, Farmakis IT, Fedeli U, Koelmel S, Gori T, Espinola-Klein C, Munzel T, Konstantinides S, Keller K (2023) COVID-19 infection and its impact on case fatality in patients with pulmonary embolism. Eur Respir J 61. https://doi. org/10.1183/13993003.00619-2022
- Farmakis IT, Valerio L, Bikdeli B, Connors JM, Giannakoulas G, Goldhaber SZ, Hobohm L, Hunt BJ, Keller K, Spyropoulos AC, Barco S (2022) Annual mortality related to pulmonary embolism in the U.S. before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Am Coll Cardiol. 80:1579–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2022.08.721
- Hobohm L, Sagoschen I, Barco S, Schmidtmann I, Espinola-Klein C, Konstantinides S, Munzel T, Keller K. Trends and risk factors of in-hospital mortality of patients with COVID-19 in Germany: results of a large nationwide inpatient sample. Viruses. 2022;14. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14020275
- De Rosa S, Spaccarotella C, Basso C, Calabro MP, Curcio A, Filardi PP, Mancone M, Mercuro G, Muscoli S, Nodari S, Pedrinelli R, Sinagra G, Indolfi C, Indolfi C, SocietaItaliana di C, the CCUAig (2020) Reduction of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction in Italy in the COVID-19 era. Eur Heart J 41:2083–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa409
- 20. Mesnier J, Cottin Y, Coste P, Ferrari E, Schiele F, Lemesle G, Thuaire C, Angoulvant D, Cayla G, Bouleti C, de Saint Aurin RG, Goube P, Lhermusier T, Dillinger JG, Paganelli F, Saib A, Prunier F, Vanzetto G, Dubreuil O, Puymirat E, Boccara F, Eltchaninoff H, Cachanado M, Rousseau A, Drouet E, Steg PG, Simon T, Danchin N (2020) Hospital admissions for acute myocardial infarction before and after lockdown according to regional prevalence of COVID-19 and patient profile in France: a registry study. Lancet Public Health. 5:536–42
- Toscano O, Cosentino N, Campodonico J, Bartorelli AL, Marenzi G (2021) Acute myocardial infarction during the COVID-19 pandemic: an update on clinical characteristics and outcomes. Front Cardiovasc Med 8:648290. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fcvm.2021.648290
- Reichardt P, Bollmann A, Hohenstein S, Glass B, Untch M, Reichardt A, Amrein D, Kuhlen R (2021) Decreased incidence of oncology admissions in 75 Helios hospitals in Germany during the COVID-19 pandemic. Oncol Res Treat 44:71–75. https://doi.org/10.1159/000512935
- 23. Gurney JK, Millar E, Dunn A, Pirie R, Mako M, Manderson J, Hardie C, Jackson C, North R, Ruka M, Scott N, Sarfati D (2021) The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer diagnosis and service access in New Zealand-a country pursuing COVID-19 elimination. Lancet Reg Health West Pac 10:100127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100127
- Kapsner LA, Kampf MO, Seuchter SA, Gruendner J, Gulden C, Mate S, Mang JM, Schuttler C, Deppenwiese N, Krause L, Zoller D, Balig J, Fuchs T, Fischer P, Haverkamp C, Holderried M, Mayer G, Stenzhorn H, Stolnicu A, Storck M, Storf H,

Zohner J, Kohlbacher O, Strzelczyk A, Schuttler J, Acker T, Boeker M, Kaisers UX, Kestler HA, Prokosch HU (2020) Reduced rate of inpatient hospital admissions in 18 German university hospitals during the COVID-19 lockdown. Front Public Health 8:594117. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020. 594117

- Keller K, Schmitt VH, Hobohm LMA, Brochhausen C, Munzel T, Espinola-Klein C. Temporal trends in patients with peripheral artery disease influenced by COVID-19 pandemic. J Clin Med. 2022;11. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11216433
- 26. Raghupathy S, Barigidad AP, Doorgen R, Adak S, Malik RR, Parulekar G, Patel JJ, Lanka SP, Varghese GM, Rashid M, Patel U, Patel A, Hsieh YC (2022) Prevalence, trends, and outcomes of pulmonary embolism treated with mechanical and surgical thrombectomy from a nationwide inpatient sample. Clin Pract 12:204–214. https://doi.org/10.3390/clinpract12020024
- 27. Schultz J, Giordano N, Zheng H, Parry BA, Barnes GD, Heresi GA, Jaber W, Wood T, Todoran T, Courtney DM, Naydenov S, Khandhar S, Green P, Kabrhel C (2019) EXPRESS: a multidisciplinary pulmonary embolism response team (PERT) - experience from a national multicenter consortium. Pulm Circ 9:2045894018824563. https://doi.org/10.1177/2045894018824563
- Rosovsky R, Chang Y, Rosenfield K, Channick R, Jaff MR, Weinberg I, Sundt T, Witkin A, Rodriguez-Lopez J, Parry BA, Harshbarger S, Hariharan P, Kabrhel C (2019) Changes in treatment and outcomes after creation of a pulmonary embolism response team (PERT), a 10-year analysis. J Thromb Thrombolysis 47:31–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11239-018-1737-8
- 29. Mohr K, Keeling B, Kaier K, Neusius T, Rosovsky RP, Moriarty JM, Rosenfield K, Abele C, Farmakis IT, Keller K, Barco S, Channick RN, Giri JS, Lookstein RA, Todoran TM, Christodoulou KC, Hobohm L, Lanno M, Reed J, Binder H, Konstantinides SV, Valerio L, Secemsky EA (2024) Modelling costs of interventional pulmonary embolism treatment: implications of US trends for a European healthcare system. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjacc/zuae019
- Sullivan AE, Holder T, Truong T, Green CL, Sofela O, Dahhan T, Granger CB, Jones WS, Patel MR. Use of hospital resources in the care of patients with intermediate risk pulmonary embolism. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2020:2048872620921601. https://doi.org/10.1177/2048872620921601
- 31. Johnson K, VandenHull A, Remund T, Pohlson K, Bares V, Wacker J, Kelly P. Short-term cost comparison of systemic heparin therapy vs. catheter directed thrombolysis for the treatment of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism with longterm chronic pulmonary hypertension cost model. S D Med. 2021;74:70–4
- Marti C, John G, Konstantinides S, Combescure C, Sanchez O, Lankeit M, Meyer G, Perrier A (2015) Systemic thrombolytic therapy for acute pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J 36:605–614. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurheartj/ehu218
- 33. Klok FA, Piazza G, Sharp ASP, Ni Ainle F, Jaff MR, Chauhan N, Patel B, Barco S, Goldhaber SZ, Kucher N, Lang IM, Schmidtmann I, Sterling KM, Becker D, Martin N, Rosenfield K, Konstantinides SV (2022) Ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed thrombolysis vs anticoagulation alone for acute intermediate-high-risk pulmonary embolism: rationale and design of the HI-PEITHO study. Am Heart J 251:43–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2022.05.011