Masthead Logo

University of Iowa Iowa Research Online

Proceedings of the Third American Conference on Human Vibration

6-4-2010

Examination of Vibration Characteristics For Workers Exposed to Vibration Via the Feet

Mallorie Leduc Laurentian University, Sudbury

Tammy Eger *Laurentian University, Sudbury*

Alison Godwin Laurentian University, Sudbury

Please see article for additional authors.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.17077/achv2010.1045

Recommended Citation

Leduc, Mallorie; Eger, Tammy; Godwin, Alison; Dickey, Jim; and House, Ron. Examination of Vibration Characteristics For Workers Exposed to Vibration Via the Feet. In: Wilder D, Rahmatalla S, and Fethke N, editors. Proceedings of the Third American Conference on Human Vibration, June 1-4, 2010, Iowa City, IA: University of Iowa (2016): 115-116. https://doi.org/10.17077/achv2010.1045

Rights Copyright © 2010 the authors

Hosted by Iowa Research Online. For more information please contact: lib-ir@uiowa.edu.

EXAMINATION OF VIBRATION CHARACTERISTICS FOR WORKERS EXPOSED TO VIBRATION VIA THE FEET

Mallorie Leduc,¹ Tammy Eger, ¹Alison Godwin,¹ Jim Dickey,² Ron House³

Laurentian University, Sudbury, Ontario, Canada University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada University of Toronto and St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Introduction

Prolonged exposure to hand-transmitted vibration has been shown to cause debilitating vascular, neurological, and musculoskeletal problems to the hand-arm¹. Workers who are exposed to vibration via the feet could also be at risk for similar health problems²; however, limited research has examined the characteristics (frequency content, acceleration, amplitude) of vibration (from occupational sources) entering the body via the feet. In mining applications, vibration that enters the body via the feet has often been initiated with vibration from a hand-tool that has caused a working platform (that a worker is standing on) to vibrate. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to document the characteristics of vibration experienced at the feet under typical mining equipment operation.

Methods

Four types of underground mining equipment (locomotive; jumbo drill; raise platform wood; raise platform metal) that expose workers to vibration through the feet were tested. Equipment types were split into two categories, primary and secondary, depending on the origin of vibration. Transmitted vibration from a primary source originated from a motor responsible for moving a vehicle (locomotive). The vibration exposure at the feet was classified as a secondary source exposure if the vibration was originally generated by a "powered-tool" that was attached or supported on the surface the worker stood on (jumbo drill; raise platform wood; raise platform metal). Vibration measurements were collected at the location where the worker stood to complete the required job task. Background information and a musculoskeletal disorder questionnaire were also collected for each equipment operator.

Results

Vibration exposure resulting from a primary source exposure had a dominant frequency below 6.3 Hz. However, the dominant frequency recorded from secondary source exposures were predominantly in the 31.5 and 40 Hz range (Table 1). Two workers indicated they have been diagnosed with white feet and all other workers reported discomfort in their lower limbs. The wooden raise platform and the metal raise platform exposed the workers to vibration levels at the feet that placed them above the ISO 2631-1 health guidance caution zone, when the 8-hour frequency-weighted r.m.s acceleration exposure levels were considered (ISO, 1997).

Table 1: Vibration characteristics recorded at the feet during the operation of underground mining equipment. Musculoskeletal discomfort reported by the workers is also summarized.

Machine	Source	DFz	a _{wz}	Reported Musculoskeletal Discomfort
Machine	Source	(Hz)	(m/s/s)	1=mild discomfort; 4 = severe discomfort
Locomotive-1	Primary	6.3	0.43	Neck:1, Lower Back:2, R.Wrist:1, L.Wrist:1, L.Knee:1, R.Knee:1
Locomotive-2	Primary	3.15	0.36	L.Knee:1, R.Knee:1, L.Ankle:3, R.Ankle:1
Jumbo Drill (1 drill boom)	Secondary	31.5	0.16	R.Shoulder, R.Wrist 2, L.Wrist:2, L.Feet:1, R.Feet:1 Diagnosed with white hands and feet
Wooden Raise Platform (1 drill operating)	Secondary	40	1.1	L.Shoulder:2, R.Shoulder:2, L.Elbow:2, R.Elbow:2, Upper Back:2, Lower Back:2, L.Wrist:2, R.Wrist:2, Hips&Thighs:2, L.Knee:2, R.Knee:2, L.Ankle:2, R.Ankle:2 Diagnosed with white hands and feet
Metal Raise Platform (1 drill operating)	Secondary	40	1.08	<i>Worker 1:</i> L.Ankle:1, R.Ankle:1, L.Knee:3, R.Knee:3, Hips&Thighs:1, L.Wrist/Hand:2, R.Wrist/Hand:3, Lower back:3, L.Elbow:1,
Metal Raise Platform (1-drill with "anti- vibration" leg)	Secondary	40	0.8	R.Elbow:1, Upper back:1, L.Shoulder:2, R.Shoulder:2, Neck:3 <i>Worker 2:</i> L.Ankle:2, R.Ankle:2, L.Knee:2, R.Knee:2, L.Wrist:3, R.Wrist:3, Lower back:1, Upper back:1

Discussion

Workers standing on the jumbo drill and raise platforms experienced dominant frequency vibration known to be associated with hand-arm vibration syndrome. The jumbo drill operator and one of the raise workers confirmed they have already been diagnosed with white feet. The dominant frequency recorded at the feet of the locomotive operators was in the range associated with "whole-body" health effects. Interestingly, one of the locomotive workers reported greater discomfort in the neck and lower back. Further investigation is warranted to determine long-term health effects resulting from vibration exposure via the feet.

References

- 1. Bovenzi, M. (2005). Health effects of mechanical vibration. *Giornale Italiano di Medicina del Lavoro ed Ergonomia*. 27(1): 58-64.
- Cooke, J.P. & Marshall, J.M. (2005). Mechanisms of Raynaud's disease. *Vascular Medicine*. 10: 293-307.
- International Organization for Standardization (1997). ISO 2631-1 Mechanical Vibration and Shock - Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole-Body Vibration – Part 1: General Requirements. Geneva, Switzerland. Reference Number ISO 2631-1:1997(E)

Acknowledgements

Funding provided by the Centre for Research Expertise for the Prevention of Musculoskeletal Disorders and the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board of Ontario, Canada.