

Citation for published version: Beech, J, Jones, B, King, R, Bennett, P, Young, S, Williams, S, Stokes, K & Emmonds, S 2022, 'The incidence and burden of injuries in elite English youth female soccer players', *Science and Medicine in Football*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 605-615. https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2022.2051730

DOI: 10.1080/24733938.2022.2051730

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Science and Medicine in Football on 17/03/2022, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/24733938.2022.2051730

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Title: The incidence and burden of injuries in elite English youth female soccer players
- 2
- Authors: Jake Beech^{1,2}, Ben Jones^{1,3,4,5,6}, Ryan King², Pippa Bennett², Stephanie Young, Sean
 Williams⁷ Keith Stokes^{7,8}, Stacey Emmonds¹
- 5

```
6 Affiliations:
```

- 7 ¹ Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK
- 8 ² The Football Association, Burton-Upon-Trent, UK
- 9 ³ England Performance Unit, The Rugby Football League, Leeds, UK
- 10 ⁴ Leeds Rhinos Rugby League club, Leeds, UK
- ⁵ Division of Exercise Science and Sports Medicine, Department of Human Biology, Faculty of Health
- 12 Sciences, the University of Cape Town and the Sports Science Institute of South Africa, Cape Town,
- 13 South Africa
- ⁶ School of Science and Technology, University of New England, Armidale, NSW, Australia.
- ⁷ Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK
- 16 ⁸ Rugby Football Union, Twickenham, UK
- 17
- 18
- **19** Corresponding Author:
- 20 Jake Beech
- 21 Carnegie School of Sport
- 22 Leeds Beckett University
- 23 Leeds (UK)
- 24 LS6 3QT
- 25 E: j.e.beech@leedsbeckett.ac.uk
- 26 T: +44 (0) 7581 263381
- 27
- 28 Word Count: Abstract: 200
- 29 Word Count: Main text: 3257
- **30 Table Count:** 4 (in text)
- **31** Figure Count: 1 (in text)
- 32 Keywords: Female, Soccer, Injury, Epidemiology, Burden

34 Abstract:

35 This study aimed to investigate the incidence, severity, and burden of injury in English elite youth

- 36 female soccer players. Qualified therapists at six English girls' academies prospectively recorded all
- 37 injuries that required medical attention or caused time loss for matches and training in 375 elite youth
- female soccer players (under-10 [U10], U12, U14 and U16) during the 2019/2020 season. One
- 39 hundred- and eleven time-loss injuries (52 from training, 59 from matches) were sustained, resulting
- 40 in 1,946 days absent (779 days from training injuries, 1,167 days from match injuries) from soccer
- 41 activities. The injury incidence for matches (9.3 / 1000 hours, 95% CIs: 7.2-11.9) was significantly
- 42 greater than training (1.1 / 1000 hours, 95% CIs: 0.9-1.5, p<0.001). Additionally, the injury burden
- 43 for matches (183 days lost / 1000 hours, 95% CIs: 142-237) was significantly greater than training (17
- days lost / 1000 hours, 95% CIs: 13-22, p<0.001). Injury incidence and burden were greatest in the
- 45 U16 age group, and were found to increase with age. Whilst injury incidence and burden are greater in
- 46 matches than training, a large proportion of preventable injuries, soft-tissue and non-contact in nature,
- 47 were sustained in training. Findings provide comparative data for elite youth female soccer players.
- 48
- 49 50
- 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

67 Introduction:

- 68 Women's soccer has experienced an increase in professionalism and participation numbers (1),
- 69 although epidemiological injury surveillance studies in elite youth female players are sparse (2, 3). To
- 70 date, no research has examined injuries at an academy level in England. This is concerning given that
- 71 injury surveillance forms the initial step of preventive strategies (4-6) that can reduce the impact of
- 72 injury in youth athletes, improving their health status in addition to facilitating athletic development
- 73 and performance (7, 8).
- 74 Elite youth female soccer injury incidence rates (2) have been reported to be comparable and greater
- during matches (22.4 / 1000 hours) and training (4.6 / 1000 hours) respectively, than elite senior
- female soccer players in European and North American leagues (12.6-22.6 / 1000 hours and 1.2-3.8 /
- 1000 hours, respectively) (9-12). However, previous studies in elite youth female soccer have been
- 78 conducted in single team settings (2), potentially limiting the generalisability of the findings. This can
- be overcome by the inclusion of data from multiple teams, which provides a larger sample size for
- 80 analysis and greater generalisability. Furthermore, studies to date have focused on the incidence and
- 81 severity of injury without reporting burden (number of days lost per 1000 hours of exposure) (2), an
- 82 arguably more useful metric to identify injuries presenting the greatest impact on athlete participation
- 83 (13, 14). As a result, injury burden in elite youth female soccer has not been established.
- 84 A further limitation to date is that studies investigating injuries in female soccer have mainly included 85 adolescent (13-18 years) age ranges, and studies including players below 13 years are sparse (15). 86 Thus, in addition to missing key periods of growth and maturation (16), previous studies are not 87 reflective of the age-range (8-16 years) and structure of current elite girls soccer academies. These include increased training exposure and dedicated athletic development sessions in girls as young as 8 88 years of age (17). The aim of this study was to describe the incidence, severity, and burden of injury 89 in 8 to 16-year-old (Under – 10 years [U10], U12, U14 and U16) elite youth female soccer players 90 91 within English academies.
- 92

93 Materials and methods:

94 Participants and study design:

- 95 A total of 375 players, training and playing in two-year age bandings (U10, n=62; U12, n=104; U14,
- 96 n=104; U16, n=105), representing six girls' soccer academies, were included in this observational
- 97 cohort study, tracking injury in elite youth female soccer players over the 2019/2020 soccer season
- 98 (September 2019 March 2020) which was prematurely suspended due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 99 Participants were classified as elite youth athletes based on the definition provided by Mountjoy *et al.*
- 100 (18). Players were participating regularly in soccer training and competition in accordance to

- 101 regulations set out by the English Football Association (17). The development programme consisted
- 102 of 3-4 hours of training and one match per week (40-60 minute duration) for U10-U12 age groups
- 103 (7v7 and 9v9, respectively), and 4-6 hours of training and 1-2 matches per week in U14-U16 age
- groups (11 v 11, 70-80 minute duration) (17). Consent from academy officials and parents, as well as 104
- 105 player assent was obtained prior to the start of the study. Ethics approval for this study was obtained
- from Leeds Beckett University. 106

107 **Procedures:**

108 All injuries experienced during the study period were diagnosed and recorded on an online data 109 capture sheet by a qualified therapist from each academy. For each reported injury, information 110 including location, type, activity at the time of injury, onset, recurrence, mechanism and severity were recorded in accordance with previous injury consensus statements (19, 20). Injuries were defined as 111 any physical complaint sustained by a player resulting from soccer-related activities, regardless if 112 113 medical attention was required or if the complaint resulted in time-loss from soccer activities (20). 114 Time-loss injuries were defined as an incident that prevented a player from participating in soccer 115 related activities for 1 or more days following occurrence (19). Injury severity was defined as the 116 number of days lost from match-play or training due to injury (20). All injuries were followed up until 117 the end of the season and therapists estimated the return to play date for individuals that were still classified as injured after the season had ended. Injuries unrelated to soccer activities and/or were 118 sustained outside of academy training or matches were reported but disregarded for analysis. 119

120 **Exposure:**

- Team-based exposure was calculated via an end of season questionnaire that was completed by each 121 122 participating academy, based on the number of players within the squad, the training calendar and 123 matches played during the season. Training exposure was calculated as the number of training weeks 124 multiplied by the number of players exposed (squad size for each age-group), multiplied by weekly 125 training time (19). Match exposure was calculated as the number of matches played multiplied by the number of players in the team (i.e., 7, 9 or 11), multiplied by match duration (i.e., 40-80 minutes (19). 126 127 This method is consistent with previous methods to quantify exposure based on academy training 128 schedules and fixture lists (2, 21). Player absence for soccer related activities was not considered 129
- 130

131 **Data analysis:**

when calculating team-based exposure.

- All statistical analysis was conducted in R (version 3.6.2, R Foundation for Statistical computing, 132
- Vienna, Austria). Injury incidence and burden was calculated as the number of injuries and days lost 133
- 134 per 1000 hours respectively, including recurrent injuries using the following formulae:

Incidence = (total number of injuries / total exposure h)x 1000

136

Burden = (total number of days lost / total exposure h)x 1000

Injury parameter values are reported as number and percentage of total with their corresponding 95% 137 138 Poisson confidence intervals (CIs). Mean severity was calculated as the total sum of days lost divided by the total count of time-loss injuries. Median severity was calculated as the midpoint of the range of 139 140 time-loss injury severities in the dataset. Median severity and inter quartile range (IRQ) was reported due to the rightly-skewed distribution of days absence from injury (20). Overall incidence and burden 141 rates (match and training combined) were calculated for each age group to allow for comparisons to 142 previous literature. However, no subsequent analysis was performed due to the spurious rates 143 144 produced when combing match and training incidence rates (22). Differences in the incidence, mean 145 severity and burden of time-loss injury between injury activity and age group were assessed using 146 Poisson regression. False discovery rate adjusted post hoc comparisons for injury activity and age 147 group differences were conducted using the emmeans function (emmeans package). Differences in the median severity of time-loss injuries was assessed using Kruskal-Wallis H tests. Age group and injury 148 activity comparisons were conducted using Wilcoxon-Bonferroni tests. Statistical significance was 149 150 assumed if p-values were ≤ 0.05 .

151

152 **Results:**

153 **Overall results:**

During the 2019/20 season, a total of 52,834 hours (46,461 hours training and 6,373 hours match 154 play) of soccer exposure were recorded. One hundred- and forty-two injuries (69 from training, 73 155 from matches) were sustained. Of these, 111 were time-loss injuries (52 from training, 59 from 156 matches) resulting in 1,946 days absent (779 days from training injuries, 1,167 days from match 157 injuries) from soccer activities. This equated to an overall incidence of 2.1 / 1000 hours (95% CIs: 158 1.7-2.5, Table 1). The mean and median severity of time-loss injury was 18 (95% CIs: 15-21) and 10 159 (IQR: 4-22) days with the majority (41%) of injuries causing 8-28 days absence from soccer 160 activities, followed by 4-7 days (23%), >28 days (20%) and 1-3 days (15%) severity time bins. (Table 161 1). The mean burden of time-loss injury was 37 days lost / 1000 hours (95% CIs: 31-44). Time-loss 162 injuries were most frequently sustained in the lower limb body region with the ankle, knee and 163 hip/groin being the most common location sites injured (Table 2). Muscle strains followed by 164 sprain/ligament injuries and haematomas/contusions/bruises were the most sustained time-loss injury 165 166 types (Table 3).

167

********INSERT TABLE 1*******

168 Training and match injuries:

169 Time-loss injury incidence was significantly greater for matches than training (9.3 / 1000 hours, 95%

170 CIs: 7.2-11.9 vs. 1.1, 95% CIs: 0.9-1.5 / 1,000 hours, respectively, p<0.001). The mean and median

171 severity was 20 (95% CIs: 16-26) and 10 days lost (IQR: 8-13) for matches and 15 (95% CIs: 11-20)

and 9 (IQR: 7-12) days lost for training. There were no significant differences between match and

training mean severity (p=0.387) and median severity (p=0.489). The burden of time-loss injury was

significantly greater in matches than training (183 days lost / 1000 hours, 95% CIs: 142-237 vs. 17

175days lost / 1000 hours, 95% CIs: 13-22, respectively, p<0.001). Most time-loss injuries were sustained</th>

during contact situations for matches (n=37, 63%) whereas non-contact situations accounted for most injuries in training (n=36, 69%; Table 1).

178

********INSERT TABLE 2*******

179

********INSERT TABLE 3*******

180

181 Age group differences:

182 Time-loss injury incidence systematically increased with age and was greatest in the U16 age group 183 (Table 4). The U16 age group presented significantly greater match and training time-loss injury incidence rates than the U10 (p=0.05) and significantly greater match incidence rates than the U12 184 age group (p=0.02, Table 4). No significant differences were observed between all other age groups.. 185 186 All age groups excluding the U10 (p=0.28) presented significantly greater time-loss injury incidence 187 rates for matches than training (p<0.001). There was a statistically significant difference between age 188 groups for the median severity of training time-loss injuries (p = 0.017). The only significant 189 difference in training median severity was between the U12 and U16 age groups (p=0.014; Table 4). 190 No significant differences between age groups for the median severity of matches was observed 191 (p=0.162). The U16 age group presented significantly greater mean training time-loss injury severity compared to the U12 age group (p=0.010). The U10 age group presented significantly greater mean 192 193 match time-loss injury severity than all other age groups (p<0.001). The relationship between the mean severity (consequence) and incidence (likelihood) of time-loss injures, and the resulting injury 194 195 burden for each age group is illustrated by a risk matrix (Figure 1). The greatest burden of time-loss 196 injury for matches and training was in the U16 age group (Figure 1) which was significantly greater 197 compared to all other age groups (p < 0.001). The U14 age group presented significantly greater training time-loss injury burden than U10 and U12 age groups (p<0.001). No significant differences 198 199 were observed between U10 and U12 age groups. No differences in match time-loss injury burden were observed between U10 and U14 age groups (p=0.73) but both were significantly greater than the 200 201 U12 age group (p<0.001 and p<0.001, respectively).

203

204

205 **Discussion:**

This is the first study to quantify the incidence, severity, and burden of injuries in elite youth female soccer players in England. One hundred and eleven time-loss injuries were captured over a single season. This equated to a mean of approximately 19 time-loss injuries and 324 days absent from soccer activities per academy. The incidence and burden of time-loss injury was significantly greater in matches compared to training (Table 1). Injury incidence increased with age being the greatest in the U16 age group (Table 4). Additionally, relative to other age groups, the burden of time-loss injury

*******INSERT TABLE 4*******

*******INSERT FIGURE 1*******

at U16 was high, particularly for matches (Figure 1).

213

214 A key finding of the present study is that the time-loss injury incidence of matches was markedly 215 greater than training (Table 1). Greater injury incidence rates in matches than training are common in 216 soccer injury surveillance research (2, 23-27) and can be attributed to greater physical demands (28) and number of collisions (9) present in matches compared to training. Indeed, most match injuries 217 were contact in nature whereas non-contact injuries were most prevalent in training in this study 218 219 (Table 1). Match time-loss injury incidence rates determined in this study are higher than those 220 reported in recreational female vouth players in Norway and Sweden (match = 4.6 / 1000 hours, 6-16 221 years (25), 7.6-9.1 / 1000 hours, 13-17 years (23, 24, 26)). In contrast, training time-loss injury 222 incidence rates were lower (0.4 / 1000 hours, 6-16 years (25), 0.9-1.9 / 1000 hours, 13-17 years (23, 223 24, 26)). This may be explained by greater match intensities (29) and higher skill level (30) in elite 224 female youth soccer versus recreational counterparts (23-26) whereas lower training time-loss injury 225 incidence rates may be reflective of the increased professionalism and the adoption of injury prevention strategies (31) and allocated athletic development sessions present in English elite youth 226 227 female soccer academies. The time-loss injury incidence rates of the current study are lower than 228 those reported by Le Gall *et al.* (2) in an elite French youth female soccer academy (match = 22.4 / 1000 hours and training = 4.6 / 1000 hours, 15-19 years). This may be explained by the older age 229 230 range sampled by Le Gall et al. (2) compared to the current study. Older players are subjected to greater training volumes and match demands (32, 33), and are typically bigger, stronger and faster 231 than younger players (34, 35) which increases the potential for more severe contact situations (25). 232 233 Indeed, Faude *et al.* (36) demonstrated adolescents aged \geq 13 years are at a greater risk of sustaining 234 an injury in sport compared to younger counterparts. Additionally, unlike in English academies, all age groups played and trained together in the French female soccer academy (2). The potential 235

mismatch in body size and physical qualities between players may explain why younger players had
the greatest injury incidence and likely contributed to the overall high match and training incidence
rates.

239

The overall mean severity of injuries in the present study, is similar to those reported in a French 240 soccer academy (18 days, (2)) but less than elite youth male soccer (22 days, 11-18 years, (37, 38)). 241 Another key finding of the current study is that the injury burden for matches is markedly greater than 242 243 training (Table 1). Greater time-loss injury burden in matches compared to training is consistent with trends in international youth female soccer (match = 535 and training = 117 days / 1000 hours, U15-244 245 U19) (39). The findings demonstrate that matches pose a greater risk of injury relative to training. 246 However, it is important to note that the number of injuries sustained in training was similar to 247 matches (Table 1). Moreover, the majority of training time-loss injuries were soft-tissue and non-248 contact in nature. These injuries are deemed preventable through adequate monitoring and 249 management of training loads (40) as well as the implementation of athletic development programs 250 targeting strength (41), aerobic fitness (42) and neuromuscular control deficits (43). Subsequently, 251 these strategies will also serve to better prepare players for matches. Ultimately, practitioners within 252 elite youth female soccer academies should alter the frequency, intensity, volume, and type of training 253 to balance injury risk against performance development.

254

255 A key finding of the current study is that time-loss injury incidence increased with age, being greatest 256 at U16 (Table 4). Low injury incidence rates amongst U10 and U12 age groups were observed, supporting previous findings that soccer presents low risk to children 12 years or younger (25). 257 258 Another notable finding of the current study was that injury burden in the U16 age group was greater 259 than in other age groups, particularly for matches (Figure 1). The trend of increasing incidence and 260 burden with age is consistent with studies in elite youth male soccer (37, 44, 45). Proposed underlying 261 reasons include a rise in exposure (from 3-4 hours of training and 1 match to 4-6 hours of training and 262 1-2 matches a week, (46)), increased match demands (32, 33) in addition to more advanced maturation (47). Typically, girls attain peak height and body mass at age 15 years (48). However, non-263 264 corresponding increases in relative strength with advancing age and maturation has been evidenced in 265 elite youth female soccer players(34). Given that low levels of relative strength is an injury risk factor in youth female athletes, it could be theorized that older players (U14 and U16) are more susceptible 266 to injury due to the increased external load afforded by greater body-size exceeding the tolerance of 267 soft tissues, the main principle underpinning injury (49). Additionally, significant decreases in motor 268 269 competency (50) and neuromuscular control (51) have been evidenced in post peak height velocity 270 and post-pubertal females ,respectively, potentially contributing to an increased susceptibility to

- injury through inefficient movement mechanics. Although associations between growth and
- 272 maturation have been documented in male youth soccer (52-54), using these findings to inform
- 273 practice in female youth soccer would be erroneous considering sex differences in growth and
- 274 maturation (16) ,and physical qualities (55). Therefore, future research concerning female team sport
- athletes should explore the interaction between injury and growth and maturation.
- 276
- 277

278 Limitations

279 While this study provides valuable insights into the incidence, severity, and burden of injury in elite 280 youth female soccer, it is not without limitations. Firstly, exposure time was collected based on each 281 academy's training calendar and fixtures played during the season, and not on an individual basis, as 282 recommended by consensus statements (19, 20). While this is consistent with previous studies (2, 21), 283 not accounting for player absence and basing training exposure off regular squad sizes may have 284 resulted in an over-estimation of training exposure and subsequently, an under-estimation of injury 285 incidence although match exposure is unaffected due to fixed match team sizes for each age group. 286 However, collecting individual player exposure data was deemed impractical in this context when working with 6 different academies who have limited resources and therefore, the team-based method 287 288 was chosen. Secondly, the low proportion of non-time-loss and gradual onset injuries (Table 1) 289 reported in the current study may be indicative of the limitations of collecting injury data via medical 290 staff reports, which have been shown to under-estimate the number of gradual onset injuries sustained 291 when compared with direct player report methods (56, 57). However, low compliance rates for direct player self-report methods have been evidenced in previous research (58, 59) and medical 292 293 practitioners are the most qualified personnel to diagnose and report injuries (60) ensuring accurate 294 diagnoses. Thirdly, injury surveillance was limited to a single season. While this is consistent with 295 previous injury surveillance studies in youth female soccer (23-26), the inherently smaller sample size 296 relative to multiple-season surveillance make it difficult to come to conclusions on the individual 297 types of injuries sustained. For example, only one concussion injury was recorded in the present study whereas surveillance studies over multiple seasons have reported female adolescent soccer players to 298 299 be at a high risk of concussion (61). Additionally, generalised linear mixed models failed to converge 300 due to the smaller sample size and therefore the effect of team on injury could not be controlled for in 301 our analysis. The current study however is the first to include multiple clubs to delineate injury and 302 establish injury burden in elite youth female soccer players, providing a platform for future 303 surveillance to build on. Additionally, the present study describes previously unexplored injury trends 304 in elite youth female soccer players below the age of 13 years and provides a needed update on the 305 current injury trends in elite youth female soccer across the full academy talent pathway.

306	Conclusion
307	This study found injury incidence and injury burden to be the greatest in the U16 age group.
308	Additionally, although the incidence and burden of injury was markedly greater in matches compared
309	to training, a large proportion of injuries were sustained in training. Therefore, whilst injury
310	prevention and athletic development strategies targeting deficits in physical performance are
311	warranted to decrease the injury risk of match-play, efforts should also be directed towards
312	monitoring training load and subsequently altering the frequency, intensity, volume and type of
313	training to balance injury risk against performance development. Based on the findings, these
314	strategies are particularly important for U16 players. Findings from this study can be used as
315	comparative reference data for youth female soccer players and to inform injury risk management
316	strategies.
317	
318	
319	Acknowledgements:
320	The authors would like to thank the medical staff at each of the Regional Talent Clubs who
321	participated in the study.
322	
323	Twitter:
324	Jake Beech @Jake_Beech_, Ben Jones @23Benjones, Ryan King @Blended_Team, Pippa Bennet
325	@pbennett67, Keith Stokes @drkeithstokes, Sean Williams @statman_sean and Stacey Emmonds
326	@S_Emmonds.
327	ORCID iDs:
328	Jake Beech <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9878-9705</u>
329	Ben Jones <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4274-6236</u>
330	Keith Stokes <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5049-2838</u>
331	Sean Williams <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1460-0085</u>
332	Stacey Emmonds <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2167-0113</u>

- **333 Disclosure statement:**
- JB is funded by Leeds Beckett University and the Football Association. The remaining authors have
- 335 no competing interests to declare.

336 Contributorship:

- 337 JB, SE, SY were involved in the original concept of the study. All data collection was
- achieved/supervised by JB, SY and PB. The data analysis was completed by JB with supervision from
- 339 SW and KS. All tables and figures were designed by JB. JB drafted the original manuscript and KS,
- 340 BJ, RK, PB, SW and SE provided critical feedback and comments in refining the final submission
- 341 version.

342 Funding:

343 This project was match funded by Leeds Beckett University and the Football Association.

344 Ethical Approval Information:

345 Ethics approval was granted from Leeds Beckett University.

346 Data Sharing Statement:

- 347 The data used to produce the current manuscript is private medical data and therefore it cannot be
- shared.

349 Patient and Public Involvement Statement:

- 350 Individual informed consent from academy officials and parents as well as player assent was obtained
- 351 prior to the start of the study
- 352

353

354 References:

- 1. FIFA. Federation Internationale de Football Association. Women's football, development
- programmes and guidelines 2015–2018. [Available from: Available at:
- 357 <u>http://www</u>.fifa.com/mm/document/ footballdevelopment/women/01/51/51/64/presentation_
 358 increaseparticipation_e.pdf.
- Le Gall F, Carling C, Reilly T. Injuries in young elite female soccer players: an 8-season
 prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36(2):276-84.
- 3. Steffen K, Engebretsen L. More data needed on injury risk among young elite athletes. Br J
 362 Sports Med. 2010;44(7):485-9.
- 363 4. Hall ECR, Larruskain J, Gil SM, Lekue JA, Baumert P, Rienzi E, et al. An injury audit in high-
- level male youth soccer players from English, Spanish, Uruguayan and Brazilian academies. Phys TherSport. 2020;44:53-60.
- Finch C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. J Sci Med Sport.
 2006;9(1-2):3-9; discussion 10.
- 368 6. Roe M, Malone S, Blake C, Collins K, Gissane C, Buttner F, et al. A six stage operational
- 369 framework for individualising injury risk management in sport. Inj Epidemiol. 2017;4(1):26.

Jones S, Almousa S, Gibb A, Allamby N, Mullen R, Andersen TE, et al. Injury Incidence,
 Prevalence and Severity in High-Level Male Youth Football: A Systematic Review. Sports Med.
 2019;49(12):1879-99.

Lloyd RS, Oliver JL, Faigenbaum AD, Howard R, Croix MBDS, Williams CA, et al. Long-term
 athletic development-part 1: a pathway for all youth. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning
 Research. 2015;29(5):1439-50.

Hagglund M, Walden M, Ekstrand J. Injuries among male and female elite football players.
 Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2009;19(6):819-27.

378 10. Jacobson I, Tegner Y. Injuries among Swedish female elite football players: a prospective
379 population study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2007;17(1):84-91.

Larruskain J, Lekue JA, Diaz N, Odriozola A, Gil SM. A comparison of injuries in elite male and
 female football players: A five-season prospective study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2018;28(1):237-45.
 Giza E, Mithofer K, Farrell L, Zarins B, Gill T. Injuries in women's professional soccer. Br J

383 Sports Med. 2005;39(4):212-6; discussion -6.

Bahr R, Clarsen B, Ekstrand J. Why we should focus on the burden of injuries and illnesses,
not just their incidence. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and British Association of Sport and Exercise
Medicine; 2018.

387 14. Drawer S, Fuller C. Evaluating the level of injury in English professional football using a risk
388 based assessment process. British journal of sports medicine. 2002;36(6):446-51.

Rossler R, Junge A, Chomiak J, Dvorak J, Faude O. Soccer Injuries in Players Aged 7 to 12
Years: A Descriptive Epidemiological Study Over 2 Seasons. Am J Sports Med. 2016;44(2):309-17.

16. Iuliano-Burns S, Mirwald RL, Bailey DA. Timing and magnitude of peak height velocity and
 peak tissue velocities for early, average, and late maturing boys and girls. American Journal of
 Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Association. 2001;13(1):1-8.

Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Association. 2001;13(1):1-8.
FA England Women's Talent Pathway. London, United Kingdom: The Football Association.
2016–17.

Mountjoy M, Armstrong N, Bizzini L, Blimkie C, Evans J, Gerrard D, et al. IOC consensus
statement: "training the elite child athlete". Br J Sports Med. 2008;42(3):163-4.

Fuller CW, Ekstrand J, Junge A, Andersen TE, Bahr R, Dvorak J, et al. Consensus statement on
injury definitions and data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. Scandinavian
journal of medicine & science in sports. 2006;16(2):83-92.

Bahr R, Clarsen B, Derman W, Dvorak J, Emery CA, Finch CF, et al. International Olympic
Committee consensus statement: methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on
injury and illness in sport 2020 (including STROBE Extension for Sport Injury and Illness Surveillance
(STROBE-SIIS)). Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(7):372-89.

Rommers N, Rossler R, Goossens L, Vaeyens R, Lenoir M, Witvrouw E, et al. Risk of acute and
overuse injuries in youth elite soccer players: Body size and growth matter. J Sci Med Sport.
2020;23(3):246-51.

Brooks JH, Fuller CW. The influence of methodological issues on the results and conclusions
from epidemiological studies of sports injuries. Sports medicine. 2006;36(6):459-72.

Soligard T, Myklebust G, Steffen K, Holme I, Silvers H, Bizzini M, et al. Comprehensive warmup programme to prevent injuries in young female footballers: cluster randomised controlled trial.
BMJ. 2008;337:a2469.

Söderman K, Adolphson J, Lorentzon R, Alfredson H. Injuries in adolescent female players in
European football: a prospective study over one outdoor soccer season. Scandinavian journal of
medicine & science in sports. 2001;11(5):299-304.

Froholdt A, Olsen OE, Bahr R. Low risk of injuries among children playing organized soccer: a
prospective cohort study. Am J Sports Med. 2009;37(6):1155-60.

418 26. Steffen K, Myklebust G, Olsen OE, Holme I, Bahr R. Preventing injuries in female youth 419 football--a cluster-randomized controlled trial. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2008;18(5):605-14.

- 420 27. Robles-Palazon FJ, Lopez-Valenciano A, Croix MS, Oliver JL, Garcia-Gomez A, de Baranda PS,
 421 et al. Epidemiology of injuries in male and female youth football players: A systematic review and
 422 meta-analysis. J Sport Health Sci. 2021.
- 423 28. Bangsbo J, Mohr M, Krustrup P. Physical and metabolic demands of training and match-play
 424 in the elite football player. J Sports Sci. 2006;24(7):665-74.
- 425 29. Emery CA, Meeuwisse WH, Hartmann SE. Evaluation of risk factors for injury in adolescent
 426 soccer: implementation and validation of an injury surveillance system. Am J Sports Med.
 427 2005;33(12):1882-91.
- 428 30. Soligard T, Grindem H, Bahr R, Andersen TE. Are skilled players at greater risk of injury in 429 female youth football? Br J Sports Med. 2010;44(15):1118-23.
- Bizzini M, Dvorak J. FIFA 11+: an effective programme to prevent football injuries in various
 player groups worldwide-a narrative review. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(9):577-9.
- 432 32. Harkness-Armstrong A, Till K, Datson N, Emmonds S. Whole and peak physical characteristics
 433 of elite youth female soccer match-play. J Sports Sci. 2020:1-10.
- 434 33. Vescovi JD. Motion characteristics of youth women soccer matches: female athletes in
 435 motion (FAiM) study. Int J Sports Med. 2014;35(2):110-7.
- 436 34. Emmonds S, Morris R, Murray E, Robinson C, Turner L, Jones B. The influence of age and
 437 maturity status on the maximum and explosive strength characteristics of elite youth female soccer
 438 players. Science and Medicine in Football. 2017;1(3):209-15.
- 439 35. Emmonds S, Scantlebury S, Murray E, Turner L, Robinson C, Jones B. Physical characteristics
 440 of elite youth female soccer players characterised by maturity status. Journal of strength and
 441 conditioning research. 2018.
- 442 36. Faude O, Rossler R, Junge A. Football injuries in children and adolescent players: are there 443 clues for prevention? Sports Med. 2013;43(9):819-37.
- 444 37. Read PJ, Oliver JL, De Ste Croix MBA, Myer GD, Lloyd RS. An audit of injuries in six english 445 professional soccer academies. J Sports Sci. 2018;36(13):1542-8.
- 446 38. Price RJ, Hawkins RD, Hulse MA, Hodson A. The Football Association medical research
 447 programme: an audit of injuries in academy youth football. Br J Sports Med. 2004;38(4):466-71.
- 39. Sprouse B, Alty J, Kemp S, Cowie C, Mehta R, Tang A, et al. The Football Association Injury
 and Illness Surveillance Study: The Incidence, Burden and Severity of Injuries and Illness in Men's and
 Women's International Football. Sports Med. 2020.
- 40. Salter J, De Ste Croix MBA, Hughes JD, Weston M, Towlson C. Monitoring Practices of
 Training Load and Biological Maturity in UK Soccer Academies. Int J Sports Physiol Perform.
 2021;16(3):395-406.
- 45441.Ryman Augustsson S, Ageberg E. Weaker lower extremity muscle strength predicts traumatic455knee injury in youth female but not male athletes. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2017;3(1):e000222.
- 456 42. Watson A, Brickson S, Brooks MA, Dunn W. Preseason Aerobic Fitness Predicts In-Season 457 Injury and Illness in Female Youth Athletes. Orthop J Sports Med. 2017;5(9):2325967117726976.
- 458 43. Willadsen EM, Zahn AB, Durall CJ. What Is the Most Effective Training Approach for
- 459 Preventing Noncontact ACL Injuries in High School-Aged Female Athletes? J Sport Rehabil.460 2019;28(1):94-8.
- 461 44. Wik EH, Lolli L, Chamari K, Materne O, Di Salvo V, Gregson W, et al. Injury patterns differ
 462 with age in male youth football: a four-season prospective study of 1111 time-loss injuries in an elite
 463 national academy. Br J Sports Med. 2020.
- 464 45. Materne O, Chamari K, Farooq A, Weir A, Holmich P, Bahr R, et al. Injury incidence and
 465 burden in a youth elite football academy: a four-season prospective study of 551 players aged from
 466 under 9 to under 19 years. Br J Sports Med. 2020.
- 467 46. Rose MS, Emery CA, Meeuwisse WH. Sociodemographic predictors of sport injury in
 468 adolescents. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2008;40(3):444-50.

469 47. Costa ESL, Fragoso MI, Teles J. Physical Activity-Related Injury Profile in Children and 470 Adolescents According to Their Age, Maturation, and Level of Sports Participation. Sports Health. 471 2017;9(2):118-25. 472 48. Malija R, Bouchard C, Bar-Or O. Growth maturation and physical activity Champaign. IL: 473 Human Kinetics. 1991. 474 49. Kalkhoven JT, Watsford ML, Impellizzeri FM. A conceptual model and detailed framework for 475 stress-related, strain-related, and overuse athletic injury. Journal of science and medicine in sport. 476 2020. 50. 477 Sheehan DP, Lienhard K. Gross Motor Competence and Peak Height Velocity in 10- to 14-478 Year-Old Canadian Youth: A Longitudinal Study. Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise 479 Science. 2018;23(1):89-98. 480 Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR. Decrease in neuromuscular control about the knee with 51. 481 maturation in female athletes. JBJS. 2004;86(8):1601-8. 482 52. Johnson DM, Williams S, Bradley B, Sayer S, Murray Fisher J, Cumming S. Growing pains: 483 Maturity associated variation in injury risk in academy football. Eur J Sport Sci. 2019:1-9. 484 53. Kemper GL, van der Sluis A, Brink MS, Visscher C, Frencken WG, Elferink-Gemser MT. 485 Anthropometric Injury Risk Factors in Elite-standard Youth Soccer. Int J Sports Med. 486 2015;36(13):1112-7. 487 54. van der Sluis A, Elferink-Gemser MT, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Nijboer JA, Brink MS, Visscher C. 488 Sport injuries aligned to peak height velocity in talented pubertal soccer players. Int J Sports Med. 489 2014;35(4):351-5. 490 55. O'Brien-Smith J, Bennett KJM, Fransen J, Smith MR. Same or different? A comparison of 491 anthropometry, physical fitness and perceptual motor characteristics in male and female youth 492 soccer players. Science and Medicine in Football. 2019;4(1):37-44. 493 Nilstad A--B, R.-//-Andersen, T. E. Text messaging as a new method for injury registration in 56. 494 sports: a methodological study in elite female football. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2014;24(1):243-9. 495 57. Roos KG, Marshall SW, Kerr ZY, Dompier TP. Perception of Athletic Trainers Regarding the 496 Clinical Burden of, and Reporting Practices for, Overuse Injuries. Athletic Training & Sports Health 497 Care. 2016;8(3):122-6. 498 58. Whalan M, Lovell R, Sampson JA. Do Niggles Matter? - Increased injury risk following 499 physical complaints in football (soccer). Science and Medicine in Football. 2019;4(3):216-24. 500 Møller M--W, N.-//-Myklebust, G.-//-Lind, M.-//-Sørensen, H.-//-Hebert, J. J.-//-Emery, C. A.-59. 501 //-Attermann, J. Validity of the SMS, Phone, and medical staff Examination sports injury surveillance 502 system for time-loss and medical attention injuries in sports. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2018;28(1):252-503 9. 504 60. McCunn R, Sampson JA, Whalan M, Meyer T. Data collection procedures for football injuries 505 in lower leagues: Is there a need for an updated consensus statement? Science and Medicine in 506 Football. 2016;1(1):86-8. 507 61. Bretzin AC--C, T.-//-Wiebe, D. J.-//-Stewart, W. Association of Sex With Adolescent Soccer 508 Concussion Incidence and Characteristics. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(4):e218191. 509 510 511 512 513 514 515

525 Figures:



Figure 1: Risk matrix illustrating the burden of time-loss injuries (days lost per 1000 exposure hours) sustained in matches and training for all age groups. The y-axis represents mean severity (days lost to injury, log scale) while the x-axis represents mean incidence (number of injuries per 1000 hours, log scale). The vertical and horizontal error bars represent 95% Poisson CIs. The dashed line represents mean burden for training whilst the two-dashed line represents the mean burden for match-play. The grey area surrounding the average lines for training and matches represent 95% Poisson CIs.. N.B. One U16 ACL injury sustained in match-play resulting in 419 days absence was removed when calculating burden in this matrix due to its disproportionate influence on severity (12.4 standard deviations away from mean severity in U16) and burden estimates.

538 Tables:

.

539

		То	otal			Match Exposure = 6,373 hours				Training Exposure = 46,461 hours				
		Exposure =	52,834 hours											
	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)		
All injuries	142 (100)	2.7 (2.3-3.2)	-	-	73 (100)	11.5 (9.1-14.4)	-	-	69 (100)	1.5 (1.2-1.9)	-	-		
Non time loss	31 (21.8)	0.6 (0.4-0.8)	-	-	14 (19.2)	2.2 (1.3-3.7)	-	-	17 (24.6)	0.4 (0.2-0.6)	-	-		
Time loss	111 (78.2)	2.1 (1.7-2.5)	10 (4-22)	37 (31-44)	59 (80.8)	9.3 (7.2-11.9)	10 (4-24)	183 (142-237)	52 (75.4)	1.1 (0.9-1.5)	9 (4-20)	17 (13-22)		
Injury onset														
Acute	106 (74.6)	2.0 (1.7-2.4)	9 (4-21)	35 (29-43)	56 (76.6)	8.8 (6.9-1.4)	28 (14-32)	173 (133-225)	50 (72.5)	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	9 (4-20)	16 (12-21)		
Gradual	5 (3.5)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	20 (3-28)	2 (1-4)	3 (4.1)	0.5 (0.2-1.5)	10 (4-22)	10 (3-31)	2 (2.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	12 (7-16)	0 (0-2)		
Injury mechanism														
Contact	53 (37.3)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	8 (4-19)	13 (10-27)	37 (50.7)	5.8 (4.2-8.0)	10 (4-22)	82 (59-113)	16 (23.2)	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	7 (4-19)	4 (2-6)		
Non-contact	58 (40.9)	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	10 (5-26)	24 (18-31)	22 (30.1)	3.5 (2.3-5.2)	10 (6-33)	102 (67-154)	36 (52.2)	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	11 (5-22)	13 (10-18)		
Injury severity time bir	15													
1-3 days	17 (12)	0.3 (0.2-0.5)	1 (1-3)	1 (0-1)	10 (13.7)	1.6 (0.8-2.9)	2 (1-3)	3 (2-5)	7 (10.1)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	1 (1-2)	0 (0-0)		
4-7 days	26 (18.3)	0.5 (0.3-0.7)	4 (4-6)	2 (2-4)	10 (13.7)	1.6 (0.8-2.9)	4 (4-6)	8 (4-14)	16 (23.2)	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	4 (4-6)	2 (1-3)		
8-28 days	46 (32.4)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	14 (9-20)	13 (10-17)	25 (34.2)	3.9 (2.7-5.8)	13 (9-17)	55 (37-81)	21 (30.4)	0.5 (0.3-0.7)	19 (11-20)	7 (5-11)		
>28 days	22 (15.5)	0.4 (0.3-0.6)	42 (34-59)	21 (14-32)	14 (19.2)	2.2 (1.3-3.7)	42 (35-66)	118 (69-203)	8 (11.6)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	38 (33-52)	7 (4-15)		

Table 1. Number (%) and incidence of all injuries, and the severity and burden for injury onset, mechanism and severity category of time-loss injuries.

540 Injury incidence, the number of injuries per 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). Severity, days lost to injury (IQR). Injury burden, the number of days lost per

541 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). – indicates variable cannot be calculated for this category. N.B. One ACL injury sustained in match-play resulting in 419 days

542 absence was removed when calculating due to its disproportionate influence on severity and burden estimates.

543

544

able 2. Overall, match and training injury location number, incidence, median severity, and burden for time loss injuries												
	Tot	tal			М	latch		Training				
Region Location site	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)
Head & neck	6 (5.4)	0.1 (0.1-0.3)	4 (2-6)	1 (0-2)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	4 (2-10)	5 (2-14)	2 (3.8)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	4 (4-4)	0 (0-1)
Head & face	5 (4.5)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	4 (2-4)	1 (0-2)	3 (5.1)	0.5 (0.2-1.5)	2 (2-13)	4 (1-14)	2 (3.8)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	4 (4-4)	0 (0-1)
Neck & cervical spine	1 (0.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.1)	6 (6-6)	0 (0-1)	1 (1.7)	0.2 (0.0-1.1)	6 (6-6)	1 (0-7)	-	-	-	-
Upper limb	11 (10.0)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	17 (11-36)	5 (3-8)	8 (13.6)	1.3 (0.6-2.5)	25 (12-39)	31 (15-62)	3 (5.8)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	15 (8-22)	1 (0-3)
Shoulder/clavicle	2 (1.8)	0.0 (0.0-0.1)	34 (31-36)	1 (0-5)	1 (1.7)	0.2 (0.0-1.1)	38 (38-38)	6 (1-42)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	29 (29-29)	1 (0-4)
Wrist	4 (3.6)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	17 (1-36)	2 (1-4)	3 (5.1)	0.5 (0.2-1.5)	33 (17-39)	12 (4-38)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	1 (1-1)	0 (0-0)
Hand/finger/thumb	5 (4.5)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	15 (14-17)	2 (1-4)	4 (6.9)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	16 (12-23)	13 (5-33)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	15 (15-15)	0 (0-2)
Trunk	6 (5.5)	0.1 (0.1-0.3)	8 (8-10)	4 (2-8)	5 (8.5)	0.8 (0.3-1.9)	8 (8-10)	29 (12-70)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	8 (8-8)	0 (0-1)
Sternum/ribs/upper back	1 (0.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.1)	8 (8-8)	8 (1-57)	1 (1.7)	0.2 (0.0-1.1)	8 (8-8)	1 (0-9)	-	-	-	-
Lower back / sacrum	5 (4.5)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	8 (8-10)	4 (1-8)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	9 (7-46)	28 (10-74)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	9 (9-9)	0 (0-1)
Lower limb	87 (79.1)	1.6 (1.3-2.0)	10 (4-21)	28 (23-34)	42 (71.2)	6.6 (4.9-8.9)	10 (4-21)	118 (87-160)	46 (88.5)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	10 (4-20)	15 (12-21)
Hip/groin	15 (13.5)	0.3 (0.2-0.5)	11 (6-20)	5 (3-9)	7 (11.9)	1.1 (0.5-2.3)	10 (6-17)	19 (9-41)	8 (15.4)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	12 (6-26)	4 (2-7)
Anterior thigh	9 (8.1)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	4 (4-12)	1 (1-2)	2 (3.4)	0.3 (0.1-1.3)	6 (5-8)	2 (1-8)	7 (13.5)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	4 (2-12)	1 (1-2)
Posterior thigh	14 (12.6)	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	19 (6-32)	6 (3-10)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	23 (14-30)	13 (5-35)	10 (19.2)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	16 (6-32)	5 (2-9)
Knee	18 (16.2)	0.3 (0.2-0.5)	10 (4-20)	7 (4-11)	11 (18.6)	1.7 (1.0-3.1)	12 (4-25)	40 (22-75)	7 (13.5)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	6 (5-20)	2 (1-5)
Lower leg/Achilles tendon	5 (4.5)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	8 (6-9)	1 (0-3)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	7 (5-15)	8 (3-21)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	9 (9-9)	0 (0-1)
Ankle	20 (18.0)	0.4 (0.2-0.6)	10 (6-21)	6 (4-9)	10 (16.9)	1.6 (0.8-2.9)	10 (6-19)	24 (13-45)	10 (19.2)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	14 (6-22)	3 (2-6)
Foot/toe	7 (6.3)	0.1 (0.1-0.3)	8 (5-20)	2 (1-4)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	14 (5-26)	11 (4-29)	3 (5.8)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	8 (6-14)	1 (0-2)

Injury incidence, the number of injuries per 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). Severity, days lost to injury (IQR). Injury burden, the number of days lost per

1000 exposure hours (95% CI). - indicates that no time-loss injuries occurred within this category. N.B. One ACL injury sustained in match-play resulting in

419 days absence was removed when calculating due to its disproportionate influence on severity and burden estimates.

Table 3. Overall, match and training injury type number, incidence, median severity, and burden for time loss injuries												
			М	latch		Training						
Injury Type	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)	Number (% of total)	Incidence (95% CIs)	Median Severity (IRQ)	Burden (95% CIs)
Muscle strain	37 (33.3)	0.7 (0.5-1.0)	10 (5-20)	11 (8-15)	13 (22.0)	2.0 (1.2-3.5)	10 (4-18)	35 (21-61)	23 (46.2)	0.5 (0.3-0.8)	10 (5-20)	7 (5-11)
Spain/ligament injury	30 (27.0)	0.6 (0.4-0.8)	10 (6-22)	11 (8-16)	19 (32.2)	3.0 (1.9-4.7)	10 (6-19)	60 (38-96)	11 (21.2)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	20 (6-26)	4 (2-8)
Haematoma/contusion/bruise	19 (17.1)	0.4 (0.2-0.6)	6 (4-10)	3 (2-4)	9 (15.3)	1.4 (0.7-2.7)	6 (3-8)	8 (4-16)	10 (19.2)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	6 (4-14)	2 (1-3)
Other injuries	10 (9.0)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	5 (2-24)	3 (2-6)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	5 (2-8)	8 (4-17)	4 (7.7)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	26 (3-54)	3 (1-7)
Fracture	6 (5.4)	0.1 (0.1-0.3)	36 (24-40)	4 (2-8)	6 (10.2)	0.9 (0.4-2.1)	36 (24-40)	31 (14-68)	-	-	-	-
Other bone injury	5 (4.5)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	20 (8-42)	4 (2-10)	4 (6.8)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	25 (6-70)	32 (12-87)	1 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	25 (6-70)	0 (0-3)
Tendinosis	2 (1.8)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	12 (7-16)	0 (0-2)	-	-	-	-	2 (3.8)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	20 (20-20)	1 (0-2)
Apophysitis	1 (0.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.1)	28 (28-28)	1 (0-4)	1 (1.7)	0.2 (0.0-1.1)	28 (28-28)	4 (1-31)	-	-	-	-
Concussion	1 (0.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.1)	24 (24-24)	0 (0-3)	1 (1.7)	0.2 (0.0-1.1)	24 (24-24)	4 (1-27)	-	-	-	-

Injury incidence, the number of injuries per 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). Severity, days lost to injury (IQR). Injury burden, the number of days lost per 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). - indicates that no time-loss injuries occurred within this category. N.B. One U16 ACL injury sustained in match-play

resulting in 419 days absence was removed when calculating due to its disproportionate influence on severity (12.4 standard deviations away from mean severity in U16) and burden estimates.

Fable 4. Total, match and training injury number (%), incidence, severity, and burden for all age groups for time loss injuries													
		То	otal			М	latch		Training				
	U10	U12	U14	U16	U10	U12	U14	U16	U10	U12	U14	U16	
Exposure (hours)	7347	12813	16076	16598	706	1563	1919	2185	6641	11250	14158	14413	
Number (% of total)	3 (2.7)	15 (13.5)	40 (36)	53 (47.7)	1 (1.7)	7 (11.9)	21 (35.6)	30 (50.8)	2 (3.8)	6 (11.5)	19 (36.5)	23 (44.2)	
Incidence (95% CIs)	0.4 (0.1-1.3)	1.2 (0.7-1.9)	2.5 (1.8-3.4)	3.2 (2.4-4.2)	1.4 (0.2-10.1)	4.5 (2.1-9.4)	10.9 (7.1-16.8)	13.7 (9.6-19.6)	0.3 (0.1-1.2)	0.7 (0.4-1.4)	1.3 (0.9-2.1)	1.6 (1.1-2.4)	
Mean Severity (95% CIs)	39 (13-121)	12 (7-20)	13 (9-18)	22 (17-29)	96 (14-682)	21 (10-43)	12 (8-18)	23 (16-34)	11 (3-42)	4 (2-8)	14 (9-22)	20 (13-30)	
Median Severity (IQR)	20 (10-58)	5 (3-12)	8 (4-19)	12 (6-28)	96 (96-96)	14 (3-37)	10 (3-14)	9 (6-29)	10 (6-15)	4 (2-5)	8 (4-20)	13 (8-26)	
Burden (95% CIs)	16 (5-49)	14 (8-23)	32 (24-44)	68 (52-90)	136 (19-965)	92 (44-193)	130 (85-199)	310 (216-446)	3 (1-13)	3 (1-6)	19 (12-30)	32 (21-48)	

569 Injury incidence, the number of injuries per 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). Severity, days lost to injury (IQR). Injury burden, the number of days lost per

570 1000 exposure hours (95% CI). – indicates that no time-loss injuries occurred within this category. N.B. One U16 ACL injury sustained in match-play

571 resulting in 419 days absence was removed when calculating injury burden due to its disproportionate influence on severity (12.4 standard deviations away

572 from mean severity in U16) and burden estimates.