

Citation for published version: Hird, T, Gallagher, A, Evans-Reeves, K, Zatonski, M, Dance, S, Diethelm, PA, Edwards, R & Gilmore, A 2022, 'Understanding the long-term policy influence strategies of the tobacco industry: two contemporary case studies', *Tobacco Control*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 297-307. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2021-057030

DOI: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2021-057030

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY-NC

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Understanding the long-term policy influence strategies of the tobacco industry: two contemporary case studies

4 Authors

5 *Thomas R Hird¹, Allen Gallagher¹, Karen Evans-Reeves¹, Mateusz Zatoński¹, Sarah

6 Dance¹, Pascal Diethelm², Richard Edwards³, Anna B Gilmore¹⁴

- 7
- 8¹ Tobacco Control Research Group, University of Bath, UK
- 9 ² OxySuisse, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³ Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
- ⁴ SPECTRUM (Shaping Public Health Policies to Reduce Inequalities and Harm) research
 consortium
- 13
- 14 *Corresponding Author: Thomas R Hird, Tobacco Control Research Group, University of
- 15 Bath, UK, trh45@bath.ac.uk
- 16
- 17 Word Count: 3920

19 Abstract:

- 20 **Objective** This paper explores trans-national tobacco companies' (TTCs) long-term policy
- 21 influence strategies using two case studies, harm reduction and illicit tobacco, to identify
- 22 lessons for the tobacco control movement and wider efforts to address the commercial
- 23 determinants of health.
- 24 Methods Evidence from a broad combination of sources including leaked documents and
- 25 findings from over two decades of TTC monitoring were reviewed for each case study and
- 26 categorised using the Policy Dystopia Model, focusing on the primary discursive strategy and
- 27 key instrumental (action-based) strategies used.
- 28 **Results** In both case studies TTCs seek to advance their interests by engaging primarily in
- 29 reputation management, coalition management and information management strategies over
- 30 the long-term to propagate their over-riding discursive strategy –"we've changed, we are part
- 31 of the solution" despite clear evidence from both case studies that this is not the case. These
- 32 strategies are globally coordinated and attempt primarily to reshape norms towards TTC
- 33 involvement in tobacco control policy and delivery. Findings also suggest that industry
- 34 denormalisation and the advent of Article 5.3 have led to the TTCs growing use of
- 35 increasingly complex and opaque 'webs of influence'.
- 36 **Conclusions** The tobacco control community must develop its own pro-active long-term
- 37 strategies which should include industry denormalisation, new ways to fund research that
- 38 reduce industry control, and improved transparency measures for research and policy. The
- 39 findings, including TTC adaptations to Article 5.3, also indicate the need for more structural
- 40 solutions, addressing corporate power and the underlying political and economic system.
- 41 These lessons can be applied to other unhealthy commodity industries.

43 What this paper adds:

- To date most literature on tobacco industry policy influence focuses on the TTC's reactive efforts to oppose tobacco control policy, but TTCs also engage in long-term, proactive policy influence strategies to promote their corporate interests and goals.
 This paper sought to examine TTCs long-term influence strategies using two case studies.
- In both case studies we found the industry draws on its immense material and ideational power to commission and publish misleading evidence, reports, advertorials and other outputs that serve its interests (information management), to establish and fund organisations that promote the industry's messaging while often purporting to be independent or are meant to hold industry to account (coalition management), and to host events and secure media coverage that promote its messaging to key audiences (reputation management).
- These long-term strategies serve to redefine key concepts and dominant narratives,
 reframe the parameters of debate and reshape norms to the TI. Collectively they serve
 to position the tobacco industry as part of the solution to tobacco control and tobacco
 smuggling, to create confusion and division, and to undermine Article 5.3.
- These actions are globally coordinated and set the stage on which national policies are
 negotiated, thereby widely stymying progress.
- The tobacco control community needs to pay greater attention to these efforts.
 Countering them will require a global focus which must include awareness raising and
 tobacco industry denormalisation, new ways to fund research, better requirements for
 transparency in research and policy, and innovative research to identify industry third
 parties. Above all, these ongoing problems despite decades of progress in tobacco
 control should serve as a lesson for efforts to address the commercial determinants of
 health and indicate the need for structural solutions to address corporate power.
- 69
- 70

71 Introduction

- 72 Thirty years of research and analysis in *Tobacco Control* provide the opportunity to step back 73 and critically appraise the advances made in understanding and addressing the tobacco industry as the primary vector of the global tobacco epidemic.¹² This is particularly timely 74 75 given that, responding to the success of tobacco control activities, trans-national tobacco 76 companies (TTCs) are redoubling their aggression and remain a crucial barrier to further progress (Box 1).³⁻⁶ Perhaps as a result, declines in smoking prevalence appear to be stalling 77 78 and most countries have not had sufficient decreases to offset population growth,⁷ resulting in 79 all-time high of 1.1 billion smokers in 2019, over three-quarters of whom live in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).⁵⁷ 80
- 81

82 Great advances have been made in understanding the TTCs short-term *reactive* corporate

83 political activity (CPA) to prevent, delay or divert tobacco control policies,⁸ with the

84 accumulation of thousands of case studies enabling a sophisticated understanding of these

tactics.⁹⁻¹¹ These insights have been operationalised to good effect in protecting and

86 advancing diverse policies across multiple jurisdictional levels.^{9 10 12 13} There has, however,

87 been comparatively little work on the *proactive* long-term policy influence strategies, despite

88 leaked documents showing TTCs plan for the long term.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ There is, therefore, an urgent

89 need address this gap.

90

91 This paper aims to explore the TTCs long-term influence strategies using two case studies:
92 harm reduction and the illicit tobacco trade, two key policy areas in which TTCs have a long
93 history of engagement and have in recent years redoubled their influence efforts. In this way
94 we aim to identify lessons for the tobacco control movement and the commercial
95 determinants of health more broadly.

Box 1 : Background: the existential threat driving TTCs strategies

TTCs business models involve manufacturing tobacco products at a very low cost and maintaining their sale at relatively high prices, resulting in massive profit margins. In 2020, Philip Morris International's (PMI) profit margin was 40.8%, British American Tobacco's (BAT) was 38.6%, Japan Tobacco International's (JTI) was 22.4% and Imperial Brands' was 41.2%, with combined profits of just under 33 bn USD.^{17 18} These margins enable both huge reinvestment, for example in marketing or lobbying budgets, and the promise of ever increasing shareholder dividends. However, as a result of advances in tobacco control, cigarette sales have been falling since 2013.^{5 6} TTCs were initially able to maintain and even increase profits by exploiting their market power and overshifting taxes such that their price increases more than offset the decline in cigarette sales volumes.⁶ However, more recently, continued tax increases and implementation of tobacco control measures appear to have precipitated a tipping point where declining sales are no longer offset by over-shifting, and profitability is falling.^{16 19 20}

This fundamental, existential threat for TTCs has driven: aggressive responses to prevent rises in tobacco taxes around the world; involvement in tobacco smuggling as a means of tax avoidance (discussed in Case Study 2); undermining the impact of tax increases on consumption and prevalence;^{20 21} and heavy investment in 'product innovation' in heated tobacco products (HTPs) and e-cigarettes. This investment arguably provides the best opportunity to replicate the oligopoly control they benefit from in the cigarette market and, if they remain untaxed or taxed at low level, provides a new route to profits whilst also allowing them to attempt a hostile takeover of the harm reduction narrative (discussed in Case Study 1);^{16 22 23}

98

99 Methods

We selected harm reduction and illicit tobacco as our two case studies. We drew on multiple sources of evidence including previous research, recently leaked TTC documents and material obtained through established routine monitoring of TTC activities. The latter involves established Google alerts on all TTCs and on key areas of their activity and brands, regular searches of tobacco industry and retail journals and information provided from a wide network of informants.

. .

107 We analysed these sources to identify industry strategies which we categorised using the 108 Policy Dystopia Model (PDM) as our conceptual framework. The PDM is an evidence-based 109 taxonomy of tobacco industry political activity derived from systematic reviews of industry influence on policy.¹⁰ The PDM has been shown to capture key elements of TTC influence 110 111 strategies in a range of settings, dividing these into discursive (argument-based) and 112 instrumental (action-based) strategies (see online supplemental tables 1 and 2). Whilst the 113 PDM defines illicit trade as an instrumental strategy, here we focus on the TTC's narrative around illicit trade.²³⁻²⁵ We sought to identify the primary discursive and key instrumental 114 strategies that best captured the long-term influence strategies in both case studies. 115 Triangulation, prolonged engagement, persistent observation and discussion among authors 116 were used to test the validity of our findings.^{26 27} 117 118 119 CASE STUDY 1: TTCs use of harm reduction as a long-term 'pathway to profit' 120 strategy (1950s-2021)

121

Since the 1950s when the links between smoking and lung cancer became known,²⁸ TTCs
have attempted to ward off the denormalisation of the industry and threats to their cigarette

sales by professing a commitment to harm reduction, and actions such as introducing

125 cigarette filters (1950s), 'light' cigarettes (1970s), and investing in smokeless tobacco options

such as snus and nicotine pouches (2000s). These actions were supported by broader

127 communication strategies and activities (Table 1).²⁹⁻³³ Now, TTCs have once again returned

128 to harm reduction. Seen with the context of previous actions this is most likely the latest

129 manifestation of the long term strategy of seeking to rebuild credibility to secure policy

- 130 influence and boost declining sales and profits.³⁴
- 131

Whilst recognising that other TTCs have developed similar 'transformational' narratives,³⁵⁻³⁸
in this case study we focus on PMI.³⁴ PMI has led the latest iteration of this historic tactic and
recently leaked documents give a unique insight into its long term strategies around harm
reduction.^{16 34} Our analysis identified PMI's utilisation of three main and inter-linked
instrumental strategies – reputation management, information management, and coalition
management – to convince the world of its commitment to harm reduction both historically
(Table 1) and currently. Below we consider each in turn.

- 140 **Table 1: Timeline of key political activity in harm reduction involving the company**
- 141 currently known as Philip Morris International (including when working alongside
- 142 other TTCs).

Date	Action	Instrumental strategy
1953	Philip Morris- funded Tobacco Industry Research Committee (TIRC) launched. TIRC promises to provide "aid and assistance to the research effort into all phases of tobacco use and health", ³⁹ but was in practice first of a string of organisations created for PR purposes, "to convince the public that the hazards of smoking had not been proven." ⁴⁰	IM
1958	Tobacco Institute launched as a tobacco industry tool for political lobbying following the concern among company CEOs that TIRC is not responding vigorously enough to the "attacks" on their products. ⁴¹	IM
1972	Tobacco Institute VP of Public Relations calls for proffering new explanations for ill health among smokers, including heredity and stress. ⁴²	IM
1988	Philip Morris- funded Center for Indoor Air Research launched. In 2003 the US Department of Justice states in court documents that the CIAR acted as a coordinating organization for the tobacco industry's "efforts to fraudulently mislead the American public about the health effects of environmental tobacco smoke exposure." ⁴³	IM
1994	Industry executives testify before US Congress that nicotine is not addictive despite having evidence it was since the 1960s. ⁴¹	RM, IM
1995	Philip Morris initiates Project Sunrise to form relationships with 'moderate' TC groups. ⁴⁴	СМ
By 2000	Several major tobacco companies acknowledge on their websites that smoking can be addictive and harmful, presenting their carefully worded statements to suggest higher standards of corporate responsibility. ⁴⁵	RM
2000s	PMI starts to fund diverse media groups and attempts to participate in world events, including the World Economic Forum and G20 summit. ⁴⁶	CM, RM
2010s	TTCs launch own e-cigarette brands or acquire existing producers. ^{16 47}	RM, CM
2014	PMI sets out to establish the legitimacy of tobacco companies to be a part of the regulatory debate on RRPs [reduced risk products] ['part of solution']. ⁴⁸	IM, CM
2017	PMI launches Foundation for a Smoke-Free World. ¹⁶	IM, CM
2018	PMI announces its goal is to achieve a 'smoke-free future'. ¹⁶	RM
2019	PMI offers 1 billion pounds to NHS as part of its 'tobacco transition fund' in exchange for the lifting of restrictions on advertising and marketing of IQOS and e-cigarettes. ⁴⁹	СМ

	2019-21PMI publishes 'White Papers' on public health science and trust and holds events on public trust in science in conjunction with Industry Transformation Coalition. 46 50-52IM, RM, CM
143	This timeline presents a sample of key developments and does not aim to be comprehensive. RM: Reputation
144	Management; IM: Information Management; CM: Coalition Management. VP: Vice-President; TC: Tobacco
145	Control; PMI: Philip Morris International; US: United States.
146	
147	Reputation Management
148	In 2018, PMI announced it was 'giving up cigarettes' as part of a slew of transformation
149	rhetoric around its supposed reincarnation into a disruptive technology company. ⁵³ PMI
150	pledged to 'unsmoke' the world by promoting a 'switch' to its heated tobacco product,
151	IQOS, ^{54 55} despite simultaneously claiming that HTPs are not intended to help quitting, ⁵⁶ and
152	a lack of independent evidence that they reduce health harms compared with cigarettes. ⁵⁷
153	Furthermore, the true motivation appears to be maximise sales and profits rather than to
154	improve population health. Evidence for this includes the high price (and even greater
155	profitability relative to cigarettes) of IQOS and PMI's targeting of IQOS mostly at high
156	income countries with relatively strong tobacco control policies (i.e. where smoking was
157	already declining), while continuing to invest in and market cigarettes elsewhere. ^{16 58}
158	
159	Leaked documents from 2014 reveal PMI's long-term strategy to appropriate harm reduction
160	to renormalise its image as a 'trusted and indispensable partner, leading its sector and
161	bringing solutions to the table. ^{34 59} Using the guise of transformation, PMI has harnessed th
162	media and piggybacked on global platforms such as the World Economic Forum, G20
163	summit, and UN (United Nations) General Assembly, to give the impression that it is a
164	trusted partner of the global political and public health communities. ^{16 60-63} At a national
165	level, PMI is attempting to operationalise its newly constructed reputation as a public health
166	partner and vast resource to leverage political influence. For example, it offered the NHS
167	(National Health Service) one billion pounds to help smokers switch to alternatives if the UI
168	relaxed European Union (EU) regulations on e-cigarettes and heated tobacco products post-
169	Brexit, a proposal known as the Tobacco Transition Fund (which the UK government
170	rejected). ⁶⁴
171	
172	Most recently PMI capitalised on the COVID-19 pandemic to propagate its transformation

172 Most recently, PMI capitalised on the COVID-19 pandemic to propagate its transformation

173 narrative.⁵² Efforts ranged from a global roll out of corporate social responsibility activities,

174 focused on donations to hospitals and crisis centres, to investing in COVID vaccine

development through PMI's part-ownership of biopharmaceutical company Medicago.^{65 66} 175 176 PMI's chairman described the investment as "part of our new course, based on science, technology, and innovation".⁶⁷ Medicago went on to secure a partnership with the 177 Government of Canada,⁶⁶ contravening Article 5.3 of the Framework Convention on Tobacco 178 179 Control (FCTC). PMI also used messaging around the pandemic to boost sales, through 180 offers of free HTPs, free contactless home delivery with waived ID validation, and matched 181 COVID-19 donations based on points accumulated IOOS purchases.⁶⁸ 182 183 **Information management** As part of its 2014 ten-year corporate affairs plan,³⁴ PMI set out to 'establish the concept of 184 harm reduction as legitimate public policy in tobacco regulation' and 'establish the 185 legitimacy of tobacco companies to be a part of the regulatory debate ['part of the solution']' 186 187 (Figure 1). 188 Figure 1: Philip Morris International (2014) corporate affairs objectives and strategies: 189 reduced risk products (p4)³⁴ 190 191 192 This plan has been operationalised in a multi-faceted information management campaign, part of over \$50 million spent over the past six years in marketing and research costs.¹⁸ Three 193 194 key strategies have emerged in which PMI attempts to control everything from the science on 195 HTPs, to the media discourse about harm reduction. 196 197 First, building on the industry's earlier tactical co-option of harm reduction terminology,³³ 198 PMI is now attempting to redefine the concepts 'smokefree' (away from the complete 199 absence of tobacco products) and 'quitting' (away from ending the use of tobacco and 200 nicotine products). Its presentation of IQOS as 'smokefree' may not be entirely accurate, due to the pyrolysis that occurs when the tobacco is heated.^{69 70} Similarly, PMI publishes 201 202 estimates of 'quitting' based on the number of people who have 'switched' to IQOS, even for a brief period, including dual users alongside cigarettes.¹⁶ PMI's "harm reduction equation" 203 204 suggests a broader attempt to redefine harm reduction (Figure 2). Although there is no single 205 definition of harm reduction, definitions generally acknowledge the need to reduce harm not 206 only for the individual user but within the community and society in which they live ⁷¹⁻⁷⁵. 207 PMI's equation instead focuses just on individual smokers using new products to 'switch' 208 (notably not 'quit'), positioned as equivalent to proven population-based tobacco control

209 measures. While moving smokers from a higher to a lower risk product will achieve

- 210 significant health benefit, this equation overlooks concerns and evidence that, in some
- 211 jurisdictions, wide availability and promotion of new products will lead to uptake among

212 never smokers.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸

213

214 Figure 2: Philip Morris International harm reduction equation⁷⁹

215

216 Second, PMI is increasingly controlling the science through its internally conducted and

217 directly funded science (promoted through the 'PMI Science' website) and that funded by the

218 Foundation for a Smokefree World (FSFW), created by PMI with a billion-dollar pledge in

219 2017.^{46 80} Reminiscent of the disbanded TIRC (Tobacco Industry Research Committee) and

220 CIAR (Center for Indoor Air Research), FSFW has been accused of operating as little more

than a PR arm of the tobacco company.⁸¹ PMI and FSFW, operating together are propagating

- 222 rhetoric that lies in stark contrast to reality (Table 2).
- 223

224 Third, the company is attempting to further control discourse on harm reduction and ensure

225 favourable representation of its transformation and products by influencing the media. It has

invited journalists on all-expenses paid trips to attend science tours at its labs, placed large

advertorials in broadsheets,⁸² and is funding PR and media companies to promote both the

228 company and switching to alternative products.¹⁶ While it promotes this sympathetic media

- coverage it opposes research findings and media coverage that critiques or contradicts its
- 230 messages.⁴⁶

Table 2: Transformation claims made by PMI on harm reduction. The rhetoric versus the reality.

Philip Morris International's claim	The reality
'We're trying to give up cigarettes'	PMI is still marketing cigarettes, redesigning pre-
and want to help smokers who are	existing cigarette brands, and introducing new ones,
seeking a 'better alternative'. ^{83 84}	and, in 2020, made US\$19 bn in gross profit from
	cigarette sales. ^{16 18 85-90}
'We have reduced our global	The change in PMI's mean annual absolute decline
cigarette sales by getting smokers to	in cigarette sales indicate that PMI's current claimed
switch to IQOS ^{,16}	reduction and 'aspirational targets' re-state existing
	trends, rather than accelerate them. ⁹¹
'IQOS is a smokefree product' ⁹²	Research suggests that PMI are playing on
	terminology in making such claims and that IQOS
	may in fact give off smoke and exposes users to
	higher levels of toxins than vaping products. ⁶⁹⁷⁰

'The FDA authorized our electronically heated tobacco system, IQOS, as a modified risk tobacco product.' ⁹³	FDA ruling said that PMI could say that IQOS is a reduced exposure product but not reduced risk as reduced exposure does not necessarily translate into reduced risk. ^{94 95}
[We] 'do not, and will not, market or sell our products to youth'96	Marketing expertise suggests that IQOS ads appeal to youth as does PMI's use of social media influencer marketing. ^{16 97-99}
'We support tobacco control regulations' ¹⁸	Tobacco companies including PMI are still contesting evidence-based tobacco control policies (e.g. standardised packaging, point of sale display bans, flavouring bans) that reduce smoking prevalence, particularly in LMICs. ^{16 100}
'If you don't smoke, don't start. If you smoke, quit. If you can't quit, switch.' ⁵⁴	These messages do not align with the actions of PMI – its IQOS marketing through social media influencers targets and appeals to youth. It also downplays the phenomenon of dual use when, in reality, most IQOS users continue to smoke cigarettes. ^{16 101-104}

233 234 PMI: Philip Morris International; US: United States; FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; LMIC: lowand middle-income countries.

235

236 Coalition management

In addition to FSFW and other established industry allies,⁴⁶ PMI, with other controversial 237 238 industries (oil/gas/unhealthy food), has recently formed the Industry Transformation 239 Coalition, a corporate public relations organisation positioning industry as a 'catalyst for good' and lobbying for technological solutions for the world's problems.¹⁰⁵ FSFW has also 240 241 funded International Network of Nicotine Consumer Organisations (INNCO), an umbrella 242 organisation with 40 listed members and affiliates (industry-linked and independent) which publicise many of the same harm reduction messages promoted by TTCs.^{91 106} Such networks 243 represent a mobilisation of the company's corporate affairs plan; leveraging 'third party 244 coalition building' to create an 'alliance of credible messengers'.³⁴ 245 246 247 PMI has attempted to exploit divisions in the public health community over harm reduction 248 and, along with front groups and allies, is attempting to tarnish the reputation of the global tobacco control community.^{14 16 107 108} PMI's targeting of individuals and organisations within 249

250 tobacco control has roots in project sunrise, initiated in 1995 (Table 1), where PMI

251 questioned the credibility and integrity of some in tobacco control, whilst working with

252 others to promote favourable policy options.⁴⁴ This strategy was reemphasised in their 2014

corporate affairs plan which described their aim to 'amplify and leverage the debate on harm

- reduction'.³⁴ PMI have since claimed that tobacco control research and advocacy is biased by
- charitable or philanthropic funding,^{63 109} that they are the victim of misinformation and 'sham

- science',^{110 111} and used social media to criticise people and organisations perceived as
 opposing its products and harm reduction claims.¹⁰⁸ These challenges to the tobacco control
 community aim to fragment the usually unified voice of the tobacco control movement,
 muddying the waters in policy debates and distracting attention from effective tobacco
- 260 control measures.^{33 48 112}
- 261

CASE STUDY 2: TTCs long-term 'victim and solution' strategy to define the narrative on illicit tobacco trade (1990s-2021)

264

In the late 1990s, internal industry documents demonstrated that facilitating the smuggling of their own products had been a core part of TTCs global business strategies for decades.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁷ This led to a series of investigations and legal action and within a relatively short-time TTCs had been exposed as suppliers of illicit tobacco.^{15 118-121} In response, the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products was developed, coming into force in September 2018, aiming to eliminate illicit tobacco trade through a package of measures taken by countries acting cooperatively.

272

273 Recognising the threat to profitability from loss of this avenue for sales and profits, tobacco 274 companies began to publicly position themselves as both victims of, and solutions to, the 275 illicit tobacco trade. This despite evidence that tobacco companies continue to facilitate the illicit trade of their own products.^{15 122} TTC promotion of the inadequate and inefficient 276 277 industry-developed tracking and tracing system Codentify further serves to ensure that illicit trade continues.^{15 123 124} TTCs have come to dominate the debate around illicit tobacco trade 278 279 effectively setting the agenda on the topic.¹⁵ This poses a substantial risk to accurate 280 understandings of the illicit tobacco trade and, more broadly, of tobacco industry regulatory 281 capture and neutralisation of effective measures to address tobacco smuggling. Our analysis 282 shows how TTCs have used their vast resources to reach key stakeholders at global level with 283 subsequent policy impacts at regional and national levels, utilising three main and inter-284 linked instrumental strategies over two decades (Table 3). Below we consider each in turn. 285

Table 3: Timeline of key tobacco industry activities relating to the illicit tobacco trade.

Date	Action	Instrumental
	s	strategy

2004	The EU and member states drop smuggling case against PMI in return for enforceable and legally binding agreements. ^{125 126} Despite being a result of legal action, PMI would go on to promote this agreement as an example of the industry being part of the solution rather than the problem. The EU later reaches similar agreements on illicit trade with JTI (2007) and BAT and Imperial Tobacco (2010). ¹²⁶⁻¹²⁹	RM
2010	PMI licenses its track and trace technology Codentify for free to its main competitors (BAT, JTI, Imperial). ^{15 123}	СМ
2011	The first Project Star report (which estimates illicit tobacco trade in Europe), commissioned by PMI, is published by KPMG. These annual reports would later be renamed Project SUN and then Project STELLA, with multiple TTCs commissioning such reports. ^{130 131} They were shown to serve the interests of the TTCs. ¹³²	IM
2011	The industry front group, the Digital Coding and Tracking Association (DCTA) is registered in Zurich. ^{15 133} Further, PMI donates €15 million to Interpol- this is one of several examples of PMI funding an organization involved in addressing illicit trade. ¹²³	СМ
2013	The first Asia Illicit Tobacco indicator report is launched– one of a series of reports on illicit trade in Asia commissioned by Philip Morris Asia. ^{136 137}	IM
2014	KPMG & GS1 UK release a DCTA-funded report promoting Codentify and the DCTA is the major sponsor of World Customs Organization conference on illicit tobacco. ^{15 138}	ІМ, СМ
2016	DCTA announces sale of Codentify to a company called Inexto. Despite claims that Inexto is independent, many of its senior figures are former PMI staff. ^{15 139 140}	СМ
2016	PMI launches PMI IMPACT– a \$100 million funding initiative for projects concerning illicit trade. In its first round of funding 32 projects are funded, many of which are led by organisations with previous links to TTCs. PMI IMPACT 's second and third funding rounds launched in 2017 and 2021 respectively. ^{15 141}	IM
2017	PMI, BAT and JTI-sponsored Transnational Alliance to Combat Illicit Trade (TRACIT) is launched. ^{15 142}	СМ
2018	PMI's sustainability report boasts of cooperation between the company and law enforcement officials in Colombia and Ecuador. PMI claims to have provided training on illicit trade to 735 law enforcement officials in 2018, up from 160 the previous year, demonstrating the company's growing interest in building connections with law enforcement agencies. ¹⁴³	RM
2020	The Government of Gibraltar/HM Customs Gibraltar signs a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on illicit tobacco trade with JTI. ¹⁴⁴ MOUs are voluntary agreements and are not legally-binding or enforceable. Research from 2019 identified over 100 MOUs signed between governments and tobacco companies since 1999. ¹⁴⁵	RM
2020	TTCs stronghold over data on illicit trade now extends to the Middle East with the release of a report on illicit tobacco trade in the Levant region, commissioned by BAT, JTI and Philip Morris. ¹⁴⁶	IM
2020	A company which procures its track and trace software from Inexto is picked to run Pakistan's track and trace system for tobacco. ¹⁴⁷ This decision, though later revoked, shows Inexto is still involved in track and trace bids.	СМ

- 287 288 289 290 291 Illicit Trade

Management; IM: Information Management; CM: Coalition Management; BAT: British American Tobacco; DCTA: Digital Coding & Tracking Association; EU- European Union; GS1: Global Standards 1; JTI: Japan Tobacco International; MoU: Memorandum of Understanding; TRACIT- Transnational Alliance to Combat

292

293 **Reputation management**

294 TTCs have engaged in a global PR campaign to promote their primary discursive strategy -

that the industry has changed and is a necessary part of the solution for illicit trade (Table 4).

- 296 Despite data indicating that approximately two thirds of the global illicit tobacco market
- between 2007-2016 consisted of product smuggled from the supply chains of tobacco
- 298 companies, tobacco companies now emphasise the presence of other products (counterfeits
- and illicit white cigarettes) on the illicit market to portray the illicit tobacco trade as
- 300 detrimental to their profits.^{15 148} Tobacco companies appear to have been successful in
- 301 presenting themselves as victims, largely through extensive efforts to ingratiate themselves
- 302 with authorities tasked with addressing illicit trade, including through large donations and
- 303 providing anti-illicit trade training events for law enforcement officials in various countries
- 304 (Table 4).^{15 143}

TTC claims	The reality
Counterfeits and cheap/illicit whites make up much of the illicit cigarette market. ¹⁴⁹ ¹⁵⁰	Approximately two thirds of the global illicit tobacco market between 2007-2016 consisted of product smuggled from the supply chains of tobacco companies, while only 2-7% were counterfeits. ¹⁵
Tax and other tobacco control policies are key drivers of illicit trade. ¹⁵¹	Taxes only play a minor role in illicit tobacco trade, with evidence indicating that illicit trade tends to be larger in countries with lower cigarette prices than those with higher prices and that strength of monitoring, enforcement, and governance having greater influence. ¹⁵²
Governments should form partnerships with the TTCs to stop illicit trade. ¹⁵⁴	Partnerships between government bodies and tobacco companies most often take the form of memorandums of understanding which are neither enforceable nor legally-binding. Nor have they been shown to reduce illicit trade. ¹⁴⁵

305 **Table 4: Claims made by TTCs on illicit trade. The rhetoric versus the reality.**

306

307 Information management

- 308 Such efforts are supported by a well-funded information management strategy where TTCs
- 309 have positioned themselves as a major provider of data on illicit trade. Over the past two
- 310 decades TTC-commissioned reports have become the primary source of data on illicit
- 311 tobacco throughout most of the world.¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁷ These reports have often criticised for lacking
- 312 transparency and inadequate methodologies, producing inflated estimates of illicit tobacco

trade and downplaying or concealing the presence of tobacco companies' product on the

- 314 illicit market.¹⁵⁶ These reports often regional and well-publicised allow TTCs to define
- 315 the problem of illicit tobacco trade (e.g. its nature, scale, and drivers) and to garner media
- 316 interest, ensuring the industry's 'victim and solution' messaging dominates media coverage
- 317 of illicit from global to local levels (Table 4). Similarly, by funding international conferences
- and reports on track and trace technology, TTCs have promoted their own track and trace
- 319 system, Codentify, seeking to have this implemented over other systems without industry
- 320 links ^{15 158 159} These efforts ultimately increase TTCs access to regulators and policy makers.
- 321

322 **Coalition management**

323 Tobacco companies use of front groups to perpetuate arguments that tobacco control policies drive illicit trade is well-documented.¹⁶⁰ However, over the past decade we have seen an 324 325 increasingly covert and deceptive strategies to try and undermine measures to address illicit trade.^{15 140} This includes TTCs collaborative, long-term strategy to promote their industry-326 controlled and ineffective Codentify system to governments.¹⁵ (Table 3) While coordinated 327 328 efforts to influence tracking and tracing implementation at national level utilised global TTC 329 messaging around illicit trade, often through a complex system of third parties, front groups and media spokespeople (including ex-law enforcement).^{15 140 147 161} Such groups also present 330 331 TTCs positions in policy consultations and elsewhere, often without disclosing their links to these companies.^{15 161} 332

333

334 **Discussion**

335 This paper set out to examine TTCs long-term policy influence strategies which the literature 336 hitherto has rarely examined in detail. It shows that in addition to their reactive efforts to 337 oppose almost every effective tobacco control policy country by country, TTCs engage in long-term, proactive strategies to promote their corporate interests and goals. Using two case 338 339 studies, we show that three mutually reinforcing instrumental strategies dominate in the 340 longer-term – reputation management, coalition management and information management. 341 These instrumental strategies work synergistically to propagate one over-riding discursive 342 strategy -"we've changed, we are part of the solution" - despite clear evidence in both case 343 studies that this is not the case. In this way tobacco companies attempt to redefine the 344 dominant narrative away from a 'pariah' industry which should be systematically excluded 345 from decision making (as per FCTC Article 5.3) towards one central to solving the tobacco 346 epidemic.

347

348 We also note that in redefining the dominant narrative, TTCs are also attempting to re-define 349 key concepts and shape the language of the debate and responsibilities for action. This 350 includes redefining harm reduction, 'smokefree', and 'quitting'. Similarly, the illicit case 351 study suggests that TTCs have attempted to redefine illicit tobacco as largely a problem of 352 created by other actors - counterfeit or illicit whites produced by criminal enterprises, rather 353 than the TTCs own product.¹⁵ In both cases the TTCs' resource advantage enables them to do 354 this -to monopolise data, to publish extensive advertorials and 'white papers' and host 355 events- all of which secure extensive media coverage, enabling TTCs to dominate debates 356 and reach key audiences. In short, these longer-term strategies exert covert power by framing 357 the parameters of debate, reshaping norms and beliefs around the tobacco industry and 358 tobacco control, legitimising TTC positions, and ultimately seeking to make TTCs' agendas 359 appear desirable to policymakers and the tobacco control community seem misguided. 360

361 It is notable that the dominant long-term instrumental strategies identified are indirect (and 362 covert) rather than direct (and overt). This likely reflects the success of tobacco control and of 363 Article 5.3 in 'demonizing' the industry and explains TTCs significant focus on reputation 364 management and coalition management in both case studies. Coalition management strategies 365 have always been a means to exert hidden power, but these webs of influence have become 366 increasingly complex and opaque. For example, through the umbrella organisation INNCO, 367 TTC-funded third parties and independents are emmeshed, serving both to camouflage TTC 368 influence where it occurs and increase exposure to their messaging. Similarly, Codentify, 369 initially being promoted through one front group, has now been sold to another company 370 (with multiple ex-PMI employees) which licenses software to other companies, which in turn apply for national track-and-trace tenders, making it hard to trace industry links.^{140 162} Our 371 372 findings also suggest that these long-term strategies primarily operate at global level and are 373 then leveraged at the national level, with the TTCs global efforts setting the stage on which 374 national policies are negotiated. This may prove particularly problematic in countries where 375 the tobacco industry is not yet delegitimised, and civil society is less well-resourced and 376 hence less able to counter the TTCs and their narrative. Overall, our findings demonstrate the 377 need to critically review TTCs current actions and claims in the context of evidence for 378 extensive engagement in strategies aimed to build credibility, secure policy influence, and re-379 boost declining sales and profits, generally at the expense of population health.

381 Limitations

activity.

382 This paper has several limitations. First, TTCs activities are multiple and are often hidden.

383 Extensive searches were conducted, but we were restricted to publicly available data and,

384 whilst this includes detailed leaked industry documents, it likely provides a limited view of

activities in each case study, particularly at national level, in countries without English as an
official language, and across all TTCs. Further case studies are needed to see if the strategies
identified in harm reduction and illicit trade are generalisable across the spectrum of industry

388 389

390 Challenges and recommendations

391 Our findings have implications for tobacco control. First, they demonstrate the importance of identifying and addressing the tobacco TTCs long-term influence strategies which are 392 393 coordinated at a global level yet which, by reshaping norms and beliefs, can have wider 394 reaching impacts. The FCTC amply demonstrates the global ambition and reach of tobacco 395 control. Yet the FCTC, and tobacco control more generally, are largely operationalised at the 396 national level where, in part due to resource constraints, advocacy efforts to address tobacco 397 industry interference often focus on short-term policy opportunities. Whilst national and 398 regional work remain an essential entry point to understand and counter TTC strategies, a 399 global focus could allow tracking and identification of new patterns of TTC activity or 400 "norms" that need countering and provide a means of bringing public health messages to, and 401 countering TTC messages in, specific global settings such as the World Economic Forum. 402 Such efforts would be closely coordinated with and support regional and national work, 403 enabling efficiencies to be realised. Second, and closely linked to this, TTCs' overwhelming 404 focus on renormalisation through reputation management shows that tobacco 405 industry denormalisation, for which there is substantial evidence, should be considered an essential tobacco control measure.¹⁶³ The implementation of a global denormalisation 406 407 campaign could be one such effort, which could then be leveraged at regional and national 408 levels. Third, the dominant use of coalition management strategies and the increasing use of 409 third parties and what can be described as "dark influence webs" suggests we must become 410 more adept at exposing TTC third parties. This requires new research methods, including 411 novel digital methods to identify likely front groups. This is, however, complex and time 412 consuming and we therefore need to shift the onus to others: participation in policy events 413 and consultations should require full disclosure of funding for participants and submitted 414 evidence - simply declaring "no COI" or no funding is insufficient. The importance of

415 Article 5.3 cannot be overstated, and effective transparency and lobbying registers remain essential. Finally, growing TTC control of data and evidence, which enables it to shape 416 417 norms, will hinder progress in all areas unless addressed. For example, the potential for novel 418 products to contribute to tobacco control is hindered by the fact that research on such 419 products is dominated by TTCs with an appalling history of research misconduct which emerging evidence suggests may be being repeated, and a vested interest in showing its 420 products are safe.¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁶⁶ Ways to address this include through a database of authors' and 421 422 editors' conflicts of interest which can help overcome the documented failure to declare 423 interests within specific papers and alternative means of raising research funds from 424 corporations while protecting that research from vested interests, issues explored elsewhere.^{164 167} Open discussion and trust among the public health community will enable 425 information on TTC conduct to be considered alongside emerging science on new products 426 427 and their potential benefits and harms – only with this combination of evidence can the most 428 effective policy decisions be made.

429

430 More broadly, there are lessons for efforts to address the commercial determinants of health, 431 given the clear commonalities in underlying drivers and strategies already demonstrated across unhealthy commodity industries.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰ Those working in alcohol and food 432 433 policy should seek from the outset to address these global long-term influence strategies and 434 not just focus on the immediate national level challenges. More broadly, we need to recognise that until we address underlying system drivers, progress will remain limited.^{170 171} The TTCs 435 436 growing use of increasingly opaque 'webs of influence' indicates this problem – TTCs have 437 used their resource advantage to adapt to and counter attempts to increase transparency in 438 policymaking. The public health community must therefore work collectively to push for 439 more radical structural and systems change to address the commercial determinants of health. 440 This might include accountability mechanisms such as ensuring corporations pay for the costs 441 of the harm caused by the sale of harmful products, amending corporate regulation to reduce 442 the dominant focus on profit and/or change the rules on limited liability, and wider changes to 443 the global political and economic system that have increased corporate power and influence.

444 Contributors: ABG and TRH conceived the idea for the paper. RE provided critical
445 feedback on the idea. TRH, AG, KER, MZ, SD & PD collected data for, analysed and
446 drafted the case studies. TRH and AG drafted the overall paper. All authors contributed to
447 editing of the paper.

448 **Competing interests:** None.

- 449 **Funding:** Thomas R Hird, Allen Gallagher, Karen Evans-Reeves, Mateusz Zatoński, Sarah
- 450 Dance and Anna Gilmore acknowledge the support of Bloomberg Philanthropies' Stopping
- 451 Tobacco Organizations and Products funding (<u>www.bloomberg.org</u>). The opinions expressed
- 452 are those of the authors' alone. The funder had no role in study design, data collection,
- 453 analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
- 454 **Twitter**: @ThomasRHird @BathTR

455	References
456	
457	1. Davis RM, Smith R. Addressing the most important preventable cause of death. BMJ
458	1991;303(6805):732-33. doi: 10.1136/bmj.303.6805.732.
459	2. Callard C, Collishaw NE. Exploring vector space: overcoming resistance to direct control of the
460	tobacco industry. <i>Tob Control</i> 2012;21(2):291. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050198.
461	3. World Health Organization. Impact assessment of the WHO FCTC: Report by the expert group.
462	Conference of the parties to the WHO framework convention on tobacco control: WHO
463	Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, 2016. FCTC/COP/7/6.
464	4. Fooks GJ, Smith J, Lee K, et al. Controlling corporate influence in health policy making? An
465	assessment of the implementation of article 5.3 of the World Health Organization
466	framework convention on tobacco control. <i>Glob Health</i> 2017;13(1):12. doi: 10.1186/s12992-
467	017-0234-8.
468	
	5. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic 2021: addressing new
469	and emerging products. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2021.
470	6. Sheikh ZD, Branston JR, Gilmore AB. Tobacco industry pricing strategies in response to excise tax
471	policies: a systematic review. <i>Tob Control</i> 2021:tobaccocontrol-2021-056630. doi:
472	10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2021-056630.
473	7. Reitsma MB, Flor LS, Mullany EC, et al. Spatial, temporal, and demographic patterns in prevalence
474	of smoking tobacco use and initiation among young people in 204 countries and territories,
475	1990–2019. Lancet Public Health 2021 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00102-X</u> .
476	8. Kingdon JW, Stano E. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies: Little, Brown Boston 1984.
477	9. Gilmore AB, Fooks G, Drope J, et al. Exposing and addressing tobacco industry conduct in low-
478	income and middle-income countries. Lancet 2015;385(9972):1029-43. doi: 10.1016/s0140-
479	6736(15)60312-9.
480	10. Ulucanlar S, Fooks GJ, Gilmore AB. The policy dystopia model: An interpretive analysis of tobacco
481	industry political activity. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2016;13(9):e1002125. doi:
482	10.1371/journal.pmed.1002125.
483	11. Malone RE. The tobacco industry, 2020: a snapshot. <i>Tob Control</i> 2020;29(e1):e1-e3. doi:
484	10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-056358.
485	12. Savell E, Gilmore AB, Fooks G. How does the tobacco industry attempt to influence marketing
486	regulations? A systematic review. <i>PLoS One</i> 2014;9(2):e87389.
487	13. Smith KE, Savell E, Gilmore AB. What is known about tobacco industry efforts to influence
488	tobacco tax? A systematic review of empirical studies. Tob Control 2013;22(2):e1.
489	14. Kalra A, Bansal P, Wilson D, et al. Inside Philip Morris' campaign to subvert the global anti-
490	smoking treaty. <i>Reuters</i> 2017. Available from:
491	https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/pmi-who-fctc/.
492	15. Gilmore AB, Gallagher AWA, Rowell A. Tobacco industry's elaborate attempts to control a global
493	track and trace system and fundamentally undermine the Illicit Trade Protocol. Tob Control
494	2019;28(2):127-40. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2017-054191.
495	16. Evans-Reeves K, Gilmore A. Addiction at any cost. Philip Morris International uncovered, 2020.
496	Available from: https://exposetobacco.org/pmi-uncovered/
497	17. Branston JR. Industry profits continue to drive the tobacco epidemic: A new endgame for
498	tobacco control? Tob Prev Cessation 2021;7(June):1-3. doi: 10.18332/tpc/138232.
499	18. Philip Morris International. 2020 annual report: Together. Forward, 2020. Available from:
500	https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-
501	source/investor relation/pmi 2020 annualreport.pdf?sfvrsn=402b8eb4 2
502	19. Hiscock R, Branston JR, Partos TR, et al. UK tobacco price increases: driven by industry or public
502	health? <i>Tob Control</i> 2019;28(e2):e148-e50. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2019-054969.
200	

504	20.	World Bank. Taxing tobacco: A win-win for public health outcomes and mobilizing domestic
505		resources. 2018. Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/tobacco/brief/taxing-
506		tobacco-a-win-win-for-public-health-outcomes-mobilizing-domestic-resources.
507	21.	Vermeulen S, Dillen M, Branston J. Big tobacco, big avoidance. The investigative desk, 2020.
508		Available from: https://www.bath.ac.uk/publications/big-tobacco-big-
509		avoidance/attachments/Big_Tobacco_Big_Avoidance.pdf.
510	22.	Tobacco Tactics. Next generation products. 2020. Available from:
511		https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/next-generation-products.
512	23.	Evans-Reeves KA, Hatchard JL, Gilmore AB. 'It will harm business and increase illicit trade': an
513		evaluation of the relevance, quality and transparency of evidence submitted by
514		transnational tobacco companies to the UK consultation on standardised packaging 2012.
515		<i>Tob Control</i> 2015;24(e2):e168. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051930.
516	24.	Matthes BK, Lauber K, Zatoński M, et al. Developing more detailed taxonomies of tobacco
517		industry political activity in low-income and middle-income countries: qualitative evidence
518		from eight countries. <i>BMJ Glob Health</i> 2021;6(3):e004096. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-
519		004096.
520	25	Nagelhout G, van Straaten B, Poole N. Factsheet: literature review of tobacco industry responses
521		to tobacco control measures: Stichting Instituut voor Onderzoek naar Leefwijzen &
522		Verslaving (IVO), 2020. Available from: <u>https://ivo.nl/publicaties/facstheet-literature-review-</u>
523		of-tobacco-industry-responses-to-tobacco-control-measures/.
524	26	Forster N. The analysis of company documentation. In: Cassell C, Symon G, eds. Qualitative
525	20.	Methods in Organizational Research: A Practical Guide. California: Sage Publications 1997.
526	27	Lincoln Y, Guba E. Naturalistic Inquiry. California: Sage Publications 1985.
527		Doll RH, AB Smoking and carcinoma of the lung; preliminary report. <i>BMJ</i> 1950;2:739-48.
528		Benowitz N. Compensatory smoking of low-yield cigarettes. In: National Cancer Institute, ed.
529		Risks associated with smoking cigarettes with low machine-measured yields of tar and
530		nicotine: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2001.
531	30.	Pollay R, Dewhirst T. The dark side of marketing seemingly "light" cigarettes: successful images
532		and failed fact. <i>Tob Control</i> 2002;11:i18-i31.
533	31.	Fairchild A, Colgrove J. Out of the ashes: the life, death, and rebirth of the 'safer' cigarette in the
534	-	United States. Am J Public Health 2004;94(2):192-204.
535	32.	Peeters S, Gilmore A. Transnational tobacco company interests in smokeless tobacco in Europe:
536		analysis of internal industry documents and contemporary industry materials. PLoS Med
537		2013;10:e1001506.
538	33.	Peeters S, Gilmore A. Understanding the emergence of the tobacco industry's use of the term
539		tobacco harm reduction in order to inform public health policy. <i>Tob Control</i> 2015;24(182).
540	34.	Philip Morris International. 10 year corporate affairs objectives and strategies. <i>Reuters</i> 2014.
541		British American Tobacco. Building the enterprise of the future" performance summary 2020.
542		London: British American Tobacco 2021. Available from: https://www.bat.com/ar/2020/.
543	36.	Altria. Our 10-year vision: Altria, 2021. Available from: https://www.altria.com/en/about-
544		altria/our-10-year-vision.
545	37.	Imperial Brands. Our strategy London: Imperial Brands, 2021. Available from:
546	••••	https://www.imperialbrandsplc.com/about-us/Our-strategy.html.
547	38.	British American Tobacco. Our purpose and strategy. London: British American Tobacco, 2021.
548		Available from: https://www.bat.com/strategy.
549	39.	Brandt AM. Inventing conflicts of interest: a history of tobacco industry tactics. Am J Public
550		<i>Health</i> 2012;102(1):63-71. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2011.300292.
551	40.	Bero LA. Tobacco industry manipulation of research. Late lessons from early warnings: science,
552		precaution, innovation. Copenhagen: European Environment Agency, 2013:p.151-78.
553	41.	Brandt A. The cigarette century: the rise, fall and deadly persistence of the product that defined
554		America. New York: Basic Books 2007.

- 555 42. Gundle KR, Dingel MJ, Koenig BA. 'To prove this is the industry's best hope': big tobacco's 556 support of research on the genetics of nicotine addiction. Addiction 2010;105(6):974-83. doi: 557 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.02940.x.
- 558 43. United States District Court for the District of Columbia. United States v. Philip Morris Civil Action No. 99-CV-02496 (GK): United States' final proposed findings of fact., 2004. Available from: 559 560 https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/civil/legacy/2014/09/11/U.S.%20Final%20PFOF% 561 20Exec%20Summary 0.pdf accessed ES-13.
- 562 44. McDaniel PA, Smith EA, Malone RE. Philip Morris's Project Sunrise: weakening tobacco control by 563 working with it. Tob Control 2006;15(3):215-23. doi: 10.1136/tc.2005.014977.
- 45. Henningfield JE, Rose CA, Zeller M. Tobacco industry litigation position on addiction: continued 564 565 dependence on past views. Tob Control 2006;15 Suppl 4(Suppl 4):iv27-iv36. doi: 566 10.1136/tc.2005.013789.
- 567 46. Tobacco Tactics. Philip Morris International. 2021. Available from: 568 https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/philip-morris-international/.
- 569 47. Agaku IT, Adisa AO, Akinyamoju AO, et al. A cross-country comparison of the prevalence of 570 exposure to tobacco advertisements among adolescents aged 13-15 years in 20 low and 571 middle income countries. Tob Induc Dis 2013;11(1):11. doi: 10.1186/1617-9625-11-11.
- 572 48. Bialous SA, Glantz SA. Heated tobacco products: another tobacco industry global strategy to slow 573 progress in tobacco control. Tob Control 2018;27(Suppl 1):s111. doi: 574 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2018-054340.
- 575 49. Tobacco Tactics. PMI's IQOS: use, "switching" and "quitting". 2021. Available from: 576 https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/iqos-use/.
- 577 50. Philip Morris International. PMI explores global public health issues in new white paperr. 2019. 578 Available from: https://www.pmi.com/media-center/press-releases/press-release-579 details/?newsId=15496.
- 580 51. Philip Morris International. "In support of the primacy of science": PMI releases its white paper 581 calling for a future based on scientific evidence. 2020. Available from: 582
 - https://www.pmi.com/media-center/news/in-support-of-the-primacy-of-science.
- 583 52. Philip Morris International. From upset to reset: Trust in a COVID world. PMI White paper Winter 584 2020/2021. New York: Philip Morris International, 2021. Available from: 585 https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-source/default-document-library/pmi-586 whitepaper-trust-covid-world.pdf?sfvrsn=76edddb4 0.
- 587 53. Hodgson C. The maker of Marlboro took out a full-page ad saying its New Year's resolution is to 588 'give up cigarettes'. Business Insider 2018 3 January 2018. Available from: 589 https://www.businessinsider.com/philip-morris-international-give-up-cigarettes-advert-590 2018-1?r=US&IR=T.
- 591 54. Philip Morris International. It's time to unsmoke: Why now is the time to act 2019. Available 592 from: https://www.pmi.com/our-initiatives/itstime/its-time-to-unsmoke---why-now.
- 593 55. Philip Morris International. Unsmoke your mind. 2019. Available from: 594 https://www.unsmokeyourmind.com/en/.
- 595 56. Philip Morris International. Heat not burn technology - FAQs, 2021. Available from: 596 https://uk.igos.com/myigos/get-support/faqs/heat-not-burn-technology.
- 597 57. Fried ND, Gardner JD. Heat-not-burn tobacco products: an emerging threat to cardiovascular 598 health. Am J Physiol Heart Circ 2020;319(6):H1234-H39. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00708.2020.
- 599 58. Dewhirst T. Co-optation of harm reduction by big tobacco. Tob Control 2020:tobaccocontrol-600 2020-056059. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-056059.
- 601 59. Rowell A. Big tobacco is funding the anti-smoking lobby - but leaked documents reveal the real 602 reason why. 2018. Available from: https://theconversation.com/big-tobacco-is-funding-the-603 anti-smokinglobby-but-leaked-documents-reveal-the-realreason-why-93087.
- 604 60. Malone R. Tobacco industry shoving its snout under the UN tent flap. Tob Control 2019;28:479-605 80.

606 61. Jovičić J. PMI presents new white paper during this year's world economic forum in Davos. 607 Diplomacy & Commerce 2019 25 January 2019. Available from: 608 http://www.diplomacyandcommerce.rs/pmi-presents-new-white-paper-during-this-yearss-609 world-economic-forum-in-davos/. 610 62. Hickman A. Cannes Lions slammed for placing Philip Morris on good track Campaign Live 2019 611 21 June 2019 Available from: https://www.campaignlive.co.uk/article/cannes-lions-612 slammedplacing-philip-morris-good-track/1588443. 613 63. Philip Morris International. World Health Organization report on tobacco fails people who 614 currently smoke 2019. Available from: https://www.pmi.com/media-center/press-615 releases/press-release-details/?newsId=21441. 616 64. Chapman B. World's biggest cigarette company offered tobacco products to doctors and nurses 617 to mark NHS 70th birthday. *The Independent* 2018. Available from: 618 https://www.independent.co.uk/news/business/news/philip-morris-tobacco-products-nhs-619 anniversary-smoking-smoke-free-cigarettes-a8455141.html. 620 65. Zatoński M, Gilmore A, Hird T. The two faces of the tobacco industry during the COVID-19 621 pandemic. Tob Control, 2020. Available from: https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2020/05/10/the-622 two-faces-of-the-tobacco-industry-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/. 623 66. Cohen J, Chapman S. Philip Morris and the Government of Canada collaborate on COVID-19 624 vaccine development. Tob Control, 2020. Available from: 625 https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2020/11/21/philip-morris-and-the-government-of-canada-626 collaborate-on-covid-19-vaccine-development/. 627 67. Philip Morris International. Medicago develops a plant-based vaccine for Coronavirus. . 2020. 628 Available from: https://www.pmi.com/media-center/news/medicago-develops-a-plant-629 based-vaccine-for-coronavirus. 630 68. Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project (OCCRP). Pandering to a pandemic, 2020. 631 Available from: https://www.occrp.org/en/loosetobacco/blowing-unsmoke/pandering-to-632 pandemic. 633 69. Auer R, Concha-Lozano N, Jacot-Sadowski I, et al. Heat-Not-Burn tobacco cigarettes: smoke by 634 any other name. JAMA Internal Medicine 2017;177(7):1050-52. doi: 635 10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.1419. 636 70. Vivarelli F, Canistro D, Cirillo S, et al. Unburned tobacco cigarette smoke alters rat ultrastructural 637 lung airways and dna. Nicotine Tob Res 2021 doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntab108. 638 71. Marlatt GA. Harm reduction: Come as you are. Addict Behav 1996;21(6):779-88. doi: 639 https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603(96)00042-1. 640 72. Newcombe R, O'Hare P, Matthews A, et al. The reduction of drug related harm: Routledge 641 London 1992. 642 73. Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction. Harm reduction: What's in a name? Ottawa, 643 ON 2008:10. Available from: https://ccsa.ca/sites/default/files/2019-644 05/ccsa0115302008e.pdf. 645 74. Lenton S, Single E. The definition of harm reduction. Drug and Alcohol Review 1998;17(2):213-19. 646 75. Newcombe R. High time for harm reduction. Druglink 1987;10-11. Available from: 647 https://www.drugwise.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/High-time-for-harm-reduction.pdf. 648 76. Bandi P, Cahn Z, Goding Sauer A, et al. Trends in e-cigarette use by age group and combustible 649 cigarette smoking histories, U.S. Adults. Am J Prev Med 2021;60(2):151-58. doi: 650 10.1016/j.amepre.2020.07.026. 651 77. Dutra LM, Glantz SA. High international electronic cigarette use among never smoker 652 adolescents. J Adolesc Health 2014;55(5):595-97. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.08.010. 653 78. McMillen R, Klein JD, Wilson K, et al. E-Cigarette Use and future cigarette initiation among never 654 smokers and relapse among former smokers in the PATH study. Public Health Rep 655 2019;134(5):528-36. doi: 10.1177/0033354919864369.

656 79. Philip Morris International. Glossary section: Harm reduction equation. 2021. Available from: 657 https://www.pmi.com/glossary-section/glossary/harm-reduction-equation. 658 80. Philip Morris International. PMI science. 2021. Available from: https://www.pmiscience.com/. 659 81. Legg T, Peeters S, Chamberlain P, et al. The Philip Morris-funded Foundation for a smoke-free 660 world: Tax return sheds light on funding activities. Lancet 2019;393:2487-88. 661 82. Moodie C, Hammond D, Bauld L. Philip Morris International: a new year's resolution. Tob Control 662 2018;27(e1):e79. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2018-054250. 663 83. Philip Morris International. Philip Morris gives new year's resolution: "We're trying to give up 664 cigarettes". 2018. Available from: https://www.pmi.com/markets/united-665 kingdom/en/news/detail/philip-morris-gives-new-year's-resolution-we're-trying-to-give-up-666 cigarettes. 667 84. Philip Morris International. Inventing better alternatives for the greatest number of smokers. 668 2021. Available from: https://www.pmi.com/markets/united-kingdom/en/science-and-669 innovation/inventing-better-alternatives. 670 85. Evans-Reeves KA, Hiscock R, Lauber K, et al. Prospective longitudinal study of tobacco company 671 adaptation to standardised packaging in the UK: identifying circumventions and closing 672 loopholes. BMJ Open 2019;9(9):e028506. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028506. 673 86. Fundación InterAmericana del Corazón. Evidence of PMI marketing of combustible cigarettes in 674 Argentina. Personal communication. , 2019. 675 87. Dorado D AJ. Monitoring report Philip Morris International. Promotional activities in Colombia, 676 past 12 months.: Corporate Accountability International, 2019. 677 88. Tobacco Tactics. Be Marlboro: Targeting the World's Biggest Brand at Youth. 2020. Available 678 from: https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/be-marlboro-targeting-the-worlds-biggest-brand-at-679 youth/. 680 89. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Philip Morris Bold: Marketing images. 2019. Available from: 681 https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/media/2019/2019 04 10 pmbold 682 90. Evans-Reeves K, Lauber K, Hiscock R. The 'filter fraud' persists: the tobacco industry is still using 683 filters to suggest lower health risks while destroying the environment. Tob Control 684 2021:tobaccocontrol-2020-056245. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-056245. 685 91. Edwards R, Hoek J, Karreman N, et al. Tobacco industry transformation: reality or fantasy? Tob 686 Control; In press. 687 92. Philip Morris International. Our smoke-free products: igos. 2021. Available from: 688 https://www.pmi.com/smoke-free-products accessed 12 December 2021. 689 93. Philip Morris International. 10 facts about the FDA's modified risk tobacco product authorization 690 of iqos. 2020. Available from: https://www.pmi.com/our-science/FDA-modified-risk-691 tobacco-product-authorization-of-IQOS-10-facts. 692 94. United States Food and Drug Administration. FDA authorizes marketing of igos tobacco heating 693 system with 'reduced exposure' information. 2020. Available from: 694 https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-authorizes-marketing-igos-695 tobacco-heating-system-reduced-exposure-information 696 95. Tobacco Tactics. PMI Promotion of iqos using FDA mrtp order. 2021. Available from: 697 https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/pmi-igos-fda-mrtp-order/. 698 96. Calantzopoulos A. André Calantzopoulos speech - Boston college chief executives club. Philip 699 Morris International; 2019. Available from: https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-700 source/newsroom/gallery-other-documents-2/andr%C3%A9-calantzopoulos-boston-ceo-701 club-speech-03-05-2019.pdf?sfvrsn=700a91b5_2. 702 97. Kirkham C. Exclusive: Philip Morris suspends social media campaign after Reuters exposes young 703 'influencers'. Reuters 2019. 704 98. Dibert C. 10 tips to master influencer marketing on instagram. 2019. Available from: 705 https://www.topdrawer.co.uk/Blog/10-tips-to-master-influencer-marketing-on-instagram#/.

706	99. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. IQOS Social media examples. 2019. Available from:
707	https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/media/2019/iqos-marketing.
708	100. Thrasher JF, Islam F, Barnoya J, et al. Market share for flavour capsule cigarettes is quickly
709	growing, especially in Latin America. <i>Tob Control</i> 2017;26(4):468-70. doi:
710	10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2016-053030.
711	101. Czoli CD, White CM, Reid JL, et al. Awareness and interest in IQOS heated tobacco products
712	among youth in Canada, England and the USA. <i>Tob Control</i> 2020;29(1):89. doi:
713	10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2018-054654.
714	102. Sutanto E, Miller C, Smith DM, et al. Prevalence, use behaviors, and preferences among users of
715 716	heated tobacco products: Findings from the 2018 ITC japan survey. Int J Environ Res Public
717	Health 2019;16(23) doi: 10.3390/ijerph16234630.
	103. Kang H, Cho S-i. Heated tobacco product use among Korean adolescents. <i>Tob Control</i>
718	2020;29(4):466. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2019-054949.
719	104. Hwang JH, Ryu DH, Park S-W. Heated tobacco products: Cigarette complements, not
720	substitutes. Drug Alcohol Depend 2019;204:107576. doi:
721	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107576
722	105. Tobacco Tactics. The industry transformation coalition. 2021. Available from:
723	https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/the-industry-transformation-coalition/.
724	106. Tobacco Tactics. International Network of Nicotine Consumer Organisations (INNCO). 2021.
725	Available from: <u>https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/international-network-of-nicotine-</u>
726	consumer-organisations-innco/.
727	107. Philip Morris International. World Health Organization fails to put science at the core of public
728	policy. 2019. Available from: <u>https://www.pmi.com/media-center/press-releases/press-</u>
729	<u>release-details/?newsId=21441</u> .
730	108. Robertson L, Joshi A, Legg T, et al. Exploring the Twitter activity around the eighth meeting of
731	the Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Tob
732	Control 2020:tobaccocontrol-2020-055889. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055889.
733	109. Philip Morris International. Prohibition at any cost? 2020. Available from:
734	https://www.pmi.com/our-initiatives/prohibition-at-any-cost.
735	110. Philip Morris International. We cannot let misinformation get in the way of progress. 2021.
736	Available from: <u>https://www.pmi.com/media-center/news/we-cannot-let-misinformation-</u>
737	get-in-the-way-of-progress.
738	111. Philip Morris International. Unsmoke your mind: Pragmatic answers to tough questions for a
739	smoke-free future. 2020. Available from: <u>https://pmidotcom3-</u>
740	prd.s3.amazonaws.com/docs/default-source/initiatives/unsmoke-your-mind-
741	whitepaper.pdf?sfvrsn=7b455cb4_2.
742	112. Mathers A, Hawkins B, Lee K. Transnational Tobacco Companies and New Nicotine Delivery
743	Systems. Am J Public Health 2019;109(2):227-35. doi: 10.2105/ajph.2018.304813.
744	113. Joossens L, Raw M. Cigarette smuggling in Europe: who really benefits? Tob Control
745	1998;7(1):66-71. doi: 10.1136/tc.7.1.66.
746	114. Joossens L, Raw M. How can cigarette smuggling be reduced? <i>BMJ</i> 2000;321(7266):947-50. doi:
747	10.1136/bmj.321.7266.947.
748	115. Nakkash R, Lee K. Smuggling as the "key to a combined market": British American Tobacco in
749	Lebanon. Tob Control 2008;17:324-31.
750	116. Collin J, LeGresley E, MacKenzie R, et al. Complicity in contraband: British American Tobacco
751	and cigarette smuggling in Asia. <i>Tobacco Control</i> 2004;13(suppl 2):ii104-ii11. doi:
752	10.1136/tc.2004.009357.
753	117. Gilmore AB, McKee M. Moving East: How the transnational tobacco industry gained entry to
754	the emerging markets of the former Soviet Union - Part I: Establishing cigarette imports. Tob
755	Control 2004;13(2):143-50.

756 118. Home Affairs Committee. First report - tobacco smuggling, 2014. Available from: 757 https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmhaff/200/20002.htm 758 accessed 25 Jul 2017. 759 119. Beltrame J, Fairclough G. Canada sues R.J. Reynolds Tobacco for \$1 billion, Alleges smuggling 760 plot. Wall Street Journal 1999 22 December 1999. Available from: 761 https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB94579377624800941. 762 120. United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY, 763 Plaintiff, v. RJR NABISCO, INC., et al., Defendants. DEPARTMENT OF AMAZONAS, et al., 764 Plaintiffs, v. PHILIP MORRIS COMPANIES, INC., et al., Defendants. New York: United States District Court, Eastern District of New York16 Jul 2001. Available from: 765 766 http://uniset.ca/other/cs6/150FSupp2d456.html accessed 06 Nov 2017. 767 121. Hache T. Tobacco-related litigation in Canada. 2010. Available from: http://nsra-adnf.ca/wp-768 content/uploads/2016/07/Tobacco-related Litigation in Canada 2010.pdf accessed 25 Jul 769 2017. 770 122. KPMG. Illicit cigarette consumption in the EU, UK, Norway and Switzerland, 2021. Available 771 from: https://conveniencestores.se/images/kpmg-report---illicit-cigarette-consumption-in-772 the-eu-uk-norway-and-switzerland---2020-results.pdf. 773 123. Joossens L, Gilmore AB. The transnational tobacco companies' strategy to promote Codentify, 774 their inadequate tracking and tracing standard. Tob Control 2014;23(e1):e3. doi: 775 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2012-050796. 776 124. Ross H, Eads M, Yates M. Why governments cannot afford codentify to support their track and 777 trace solutions. Tob Control 2018;27(6):706-08. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2017-053970. 778 125. European Commission. European Commission and Philip Morris International sign 12-year 779 Agreement to combat contraband and counterfeit cigarettes. 2004. Available from: 780 https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/IP 04 882. 781 126. European Commission. European Commission and British American Tobacco sign agreement to 782 combat illicit trade in tobacco. 2010. Available from: 783 https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/IP_10_951. 784 127. European Commision. JT International S.A. JT International Holding BV and the European 785 Community and the Participating Member States - Cooperation Agreement, 2007. Available 786 from: https://ec.europa.eu/anti-fraud/system/files/2021-07/cooperation agreement.pdf. 787 128. European Commision. Co-operation agreement dated as of 27 September 2010 among Imperial 788 Tobacco Limited and the European Union represented by the European Commission and 789 each Member State listed on the signature pages hereto, 2010. Available from: 790 https://ec.europa.eu/anti-fraud/system/files/2021-07/agreement sept 2010.pdf. 791 129. Joossens L, Gilmore AB, Stoklosa M, et al. Assessment of the European Union's illicit trade 792 agreements with the four major Transnational Tobacco Companies. Tob Control 793 2016;25(3):254. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-052218. 794 130. KPMG. Project star 2011 results, 2012. Available from: 795 https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-source/pmi-sustainability/star-report-796 2011.pdf?sfvrsn=3f02b0b5 0. 797 131. Tobacco Tactics. KPMG. 2017. Available from: https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/kpmg/. 798 132. Gilmore AB, Rowell A, Gallus S, et al. Towards a greater understanding of the illicit tobacco 799 trade in Europe: a review of the PMI funded 'Project Star' report. Tob Control 800 2014;23(e1):e51-61. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051240. 801 133. Tobacco Tactics. Digital Coding & Tracking Association (DCTA). 2020. Available from: 802 https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/digital-coding-tracking-association-dcta/. 803 134. Philip Morris International. Philip Morris International provides 15 Million euro contribution to 804 INTERPOL to fight trafficking in illicit goods, 2012. Available from: https://web.archive.org/web/20161112140956/http://www.pmi.com/eng/media_center/pr 805 806 ess releases/pages/201206210200.aspx.

807	135. Tobacco Tactics. Interpol. 2018. Available from: <u>https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/interpol/</u> .
808	136. Tobacco Tactics. Oxford Economics. 2020. Available from:
809	https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/oxford-economics/.
810	137. International Tax and Investment Center, Oxford Economics. Asia-11 illicit tobacco indicator
811	2012, 2013. Available from: https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/recent-releases/asia-11-
812	illicit-tobacco-indicator-2012.
813	138. KPMG G. Track and trace approaches in tobacco. Available from:
814	https://www.gs1uk.org/sites/default/files/GS1_UK_and_KPMG_Track_and_Trace_in_Tobac
815	<u>co_May_2014.pdf</u> .
816	139. Digital Coding and Tracking Association. DCTA technology ownership transferred to Inexto, an
817	affiliate of Impala Group. 2016. Available from:
818	https://content.tobaccotactics.org/uploads/2020/02/DCTA_Press-Release_1June2016.pdf.
819	140. Gallagher A, Gilmore A, Eads M. Tracking and tracing the tobacco industry: potential tobacco
820	industry influence over the EU's system for tobacco traceability and security features. Tob
821	Control 2020;29(e1):e56-e62. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2019-055094.
822	141. Tobacco Tactics. PMI impact. 2017. Available from: https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/pmi-
823	impact/.
824	142. Tobacco Tactics. Transnational Alliance to Combat Illicit Trade (TRACIT). 2021. Available from:
825	https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/tracit/#:~:text=The%20Transnational%20Alliance%20to%20C
826	ombat,%2Dfoods%2C%20petroleum%20and%20pharmaceuticals.
827	143. Philip Morris International. Sustainability report 2018. 2018. Available from:
828	https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-source/pmi-sustainability/pmi-sustainability-
829	report-2018-low-res.pdf?sfvrsn=cada91b5_4 accessed 02 September 2019.
830	144. HM Government of Gibraltar. Gibraltar and JTI take a step forward against the illegal trade of
831	tobacco products - 86/2020 2020. Available from:
832	https://web.archive.org/web/20211115100639/https://www.gibraltar.gov.gi/press-
833	releases/gibraltar-and-jti-take-a-step-forward-against-the-illegal-trade-of-tobacco-products-
834	<u>862020-5591</u> .
835	145. Crosbie E, Bialous S, Glantz SA. Memoranda of understanding: a tobacco industry strategy to
836	undermine illicit tobacco trade policies. <i>Tob Control</i> 2019;28(e2):e110-e18. doi:
837	10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2018-054668.
838	146. Oxford Economics. Levant illicit tobacco 2019, 2020. Available from:
839	https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/recent-releases/levant-illicit-tobacco-2019.
840	147. Down A, Cheema U. Pakistan's big tobacco problem. Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting
841	Project, 2020. Available from: <u>https://www.occrp.org/en/loosetobacco/without-a-</u>
842	trace/pakistans-big-tobacco-problem accessed 09 April 2020.
843	148. Ross H, Vellios N, Clegg Smith K, et al. A closer look at 'cheap qhite' cigarettes. Tob Control
844	2016;25(5):527. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2015-052540.
845	149. Philip Morris International. Fighting illicit tobacco trade, 2020. Available from:
846	https://www.stopillegal.com/docs/default-source/position-papers/fighting-illicit-tobacco-
847	tradepmi-position-paper-june-2020.pdf.
848	150. Philip Morris International. Combatting illicit whites. 2019. Available from:
849	https://www.pmi.com/sustainability/fighting-illicit-tobacco-trade/combatting-illicit-whites.
850	151. Japan Tobacco International. What we're foing to fight the illegal trade. 2021. Available from:
851	https://www.jti.com/news-views/what-were-doing-to-fight-illegal-trade.
852	152. World Bank. Confronting illicit tobacco trade: A global review of country experiences: Technical
853	report of the world bank group global tobacco control program, 2019. Available from:
854	https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/677451548260528135/pdf/133959-REPL-
855	PUBLIC-6-2-2019-19-59-24-WBGTobaccolllicitTradeFINALvweb.pdf.

- 856 153. Filippidis FT, Chang KKC, Blackmore I, et al. Prices and illicit trade of cigarettes in the european
 857 union: A cross-sectional analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2020;22(12):2271-75. doi:
 858 10.1093/ntr/ntaa004.
- 859 154. British American Tobacco. Fighting the black market: A united response to a global problem.
 860 2021. Available from:
- 861https://www.bat.com/group/sites/uk9d9kcy.nsf/vwPagesWebLive/DO933J8E#86214/12/2021.
- Solve and the second sec
- 868 156. Gallagher AWA, Evans-Reeves KA, Hatchard JL, et al. Tobacco industry data on illicit tobacco
 869 trade: a systematic review of existing assessments. *Tob Control* 2019;28(3):334-45. doi:
 870 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2018-054295.
- 157. Sandberg E, Gallagher AWA, Alebshehy R. Tobacco industry commissioned reports on illicit
 tobacco trade in the eastern Mediterranean region: How accurate are they? Eastern
 Mediterranean Health Journal, 2020:1320-22. accessed 11 26.
- 874 158. Tobacco Tactics. Tobacco smuggling. 2020. Available from:
- 875 <u>https://tobaccotactics.org/wiki/tobacco-smuggling/</u>.
- 876 159. Framework Convention Alliance, Sovereign Border Solutions. FCTC protocol to eliminate illicit 877 trade in tobacco products: Guidebook on implementing article 8: Tracking & tracing:
 878 Framework Convention Alliance, 2019. Available from: <u>https://fctc.org/wp-</u> 879 <u>content/uploads/2019/11/ITP-Guidebook-.pdf</u>.
- 160. Bialous S, Silva V. The tobacco industry and the illicit trade in tobacco products. Geneva: World
 Health Organization, 2016.
- 161. Gallagher A, Evans-Reeves K, Joshi A, et al. Analysis of submissions to the EU's public
 consultation on tobacco traceability and security features. *Tob Control* 2020;Published
 Online First doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-043875.
- 885 162. Stopping Tobacco Organizations & Products. How the tobacco industry is successfully
 886 undermining tobacco tracking and tracing. Case study pakistan. 2020. Available from:
 887 <u>https://exposetobacco.org/wp-content/uploads/STOP_TrackandTrace_Pakistan-1.pdf.</u>
- 888 163. Malone RE, Grundy Q, Bero LA. Tobacco industry denormalisation as a tobacco control
 889 intervention: a review. *Tobacco Control* 2012;21(2):162-70. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol 890 2011-050200.
- 164. Legg T, Hatchard J, Gilmore A. The science for profit model—how and why corporations
 influence science and the use of science in policy and practice. *PLoS One*2021;16(6):e0253272. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0253272.
- 165. White J, Bero LA. Corporate manipulation of research: strategies are similar across five
 industries. *Stan L & Pol'y Rev* 2010;21:105.
- 896 166. Daube M. Shining a light on industry research funding. *Am J Public Health* 2018;108(11):1441 897 42. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304715.
- 898 167. Legg T, Legendre M, Gilmore AB. Paying lip service to publication ethics: scientific publishing
 899 practices and the Foundation for a Smoke-Free World. *Tob Control* 2021;30(e1):e65. doi:
 900 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-056003.
- 901168. Knai C, Petticrew M, Capewell S, et al. The case for developing a cohesive systems approach to902research across unhealthy commodity industries. BMJ Glob Health 2021;6(2):e003543. doi:90310.1136/bmjgh-2020-003543.
- 904169. Stuckler D, McKee M, Ebrahim S, et al. Manufacturing epidemics: the role of global producers in905increased consumption of unhealthy commodities including processed foods, alcohol, and906tobacco. *PLoS Med* 2012;9(6):e1001235. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001235.

- 907 170. Maani N, Collin J, Friel S, et al. Bringing the commercial determinants of health out of the
 908 shadows: a review of how the commercial determinants are represented in conceptual
 909 frameworks. *Eur J Public Health* 2020;30(4):660-64. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckz197.
- 910 171. McKee M, Stuckler D. Revisiting the corporate and commercial determinants of health. *Am J*
- 911 *Public Health* 2018;108(9):1167-70. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304510.