

Citation for published version:

Wiseman, H, Hamilton-Giachritsis, C & Hiller, RM 2021, 'The Relevance of Cognitive Behavioral Models of Post-Traumatic Stress Following Child Maltreatment: A Systematic Review', *Trauma, Violence and Abuse*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 191-206. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838019827894

DOI:

10.1177/1524838019827894

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Wiseman, Hannah; Hamilton-Giachritsis, Catherine; Hiller, Rachel. / The relevance of cognitive behavioural models of posttraumatic stress following child maltreatment: A systematic review. In: Trauma, Violence and Abuse. 2018. Copyright (C) 2019 The Authors. Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policyIf you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 15 Jul 2024

The relevance of cognitive behavioural models of post-traumatic stress following child maltreatment: A systematic review

Hannah Wiseman*, Catherine Hamilton-Giachritsis, Rachel M Hiller

Department of Psychology, University of Bath

*Corresponding Author

Hannah Wiseman

Department of Clinical Psychology

University of Bath

Claverton Down

Bath

BA2 7AY

E: hannah.wiseman@bath.edu

Author Notes.

RH is funded by an ESRC Future Research Leader grant (ES/N01782X/1) and a University of Bath Prize Research Fellowship.

Abstract

While it is known that young people exposed to maltreatment or abuse are at elevated risk for developing post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), much of our current knowledge of mechanisms that link trauma to childhood PTSD is based on single-incident, often noninterpersonal, trauma. Theoretical models highlight psychological processes of appraisals, memory and coping as important for the development of PTSD. The aim of this review was to synthesise the literature on the role of these key psychological processes in relation to PTSD in maltreated children and teens. Studies were included if they 1) identified a sample of maltreated individuals, ≤ 18 years old, 2) measured either a) trauma memory, b) appraisals, or c) post-trauma cognitive or behavioural responses and 3) measured PTSD symptoms. The systematic search of three electronic databases (APA PsychNet, PubMed and PILOTS) resulted in the inclusion of 36 papers, which described 31 studies and 33 unique samples. The review found cognitive behavioural models of PTSD appeared appropriate for understanding outcomes following maltreatment, but further research is needed on all processes, particularly trauma memory. Overall, there remains significant gaps in our knowledge of how psychological processes link maltreatment to PTSD. There is limited evidence concerning how maltreatment-related characteristics (e.g., chronicity, duration, type of abuse) influence psychological processes and in turn affect outcomes. This review recommends further research in this area, and suggests that, at the very least, comprehensive assessment should be conducted with all young people reporting maltreatment, to identify appraisals and coping strategies that will potentially impact on their ongoing adjustment.

Keywords: Child maltreatment, Appraisals, Memory, Cognitive coping, Behavioural coping, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder

The relevance of cognitive behavioural models of post-traumatic stress following child maltreatment: A systematic review

Child maltreatment is defined as the abuse or neglect of an individual under 18 years of age that occurs in the context of a relationship of power, trust or responsibility (World Health Organisation [WHO], 2016). It may include physical, sexual, and/or emotional abuse, as well as neglect and exposure to domestic violence. Whilst some instances of child maltreatment are single-incident events, it is widely recognised that the majority of maltreated children experience enduring and pervasive abuse and/or neglect, sometimes referred to as developmental trauma or complex trauma (Price-Robertson, Higgins, & Vassallo, 2013).

Maltreatment is considered a key risk factor for psychopathology across the lifespan (Fergusson, McLeod, & Horwood, 2013), with maltreated children demonstrating elevated risk for a range of psychological, emotional and behavioural difficulties in response to the trauma they experience (Éthier, Lemelin, & Lacharité, 2004). One such possible outcome is post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), a trauma-exposure specific disorder, categorised by symptoms of re-experiencing (e.g., distressing intrusive memories or "flashbacks"), avoidant coping (e.g., thought suppression), hyperarousal (e.g., difficulty concentrating), and negative alterations in mood or cognitions (e.g., thoughts like 'the world is very unsafe'; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Various large-scale studies have demonstrated that young people exposed to maltreatment or abuse are at elevated risk of PTSD (e.g., Ford, Vostanis, Meltzer, & Goodman, 2007; Saywitz, Mannarino, Berliner, & Cohen, 2000).

Key psychological process central to the development and maintenance of PTSD are often conceptualised within a cognitive-behavioural framework (e.g., Brewin, Dalgleish, & Joseph, 1996; Ehlers & Clark, 2000; Foa, Steketee, & Rothbaum, 1989; Meiser-Stedman, 2002), with diagnostic criteria and recommended psychological treatments based on these models. For example, Ehlers and Clark (2000) highlight three psychological processes in

response to trauma (maladaptive appraisals, trauma-memory, and coping strategies), and propose that these also contribute to the maintenance of PTSD (Supplementary Figure SF1).

There is good empirical support for cognitive-behavioural models of PTSD for understanding trauma-responses in youth (Mitchell, Brennan, Curran, Hanna, & Dyer, 2017; Trickey, Siddaway, Meiser-Stedman, Serpell, & Field, 2012). However, to date much of this research has been conducted in single-incident trauma samples, such as following road-traffic accidents or disaster traumas (e.g., see reviews Hiller et al., 2016; Trickey et al. 2012). This research focus on single-incident trauma is disproportionate, given that interpersonal and chronic trauma is associated with two to three times greater risk of developing PTSD compared to single-incident trauma (Copeland, Keeler, Angold, & Costello, 2007; McCloskey & Walker, 2000). Therefore, there remains ongoing debate in the academic and clinical fields about whether these same models can apply to maltreated young people, who are more likely to have experienced complex ongoing trauma.

The experience of more complex or developmental trauma certainly brings with it some unique considerations that are often not present following acute-trauma exposure, but can be important to consider within frameworks of traumatic stress. In particular, ongoing maltreatment means the young person has likely been exposed to chronic, interpersonal trauma across key development periods, possibly without the opportunity to recover between each traumatic experience (Thomson, English & White, 2016). Unlike an acute trauma-exposure, there is also often no "pre-trauma period" for maltreated young people, whose experiences potentially span much of their early development. Such experiences have the potential to have a pervasive impact on an individual's developing sense of self, their perceptions of the world, and their cognitive and emotional capabilities (van der Kolk, 2005; Thompson, English, & White, 2016). Core psychological processes, such as maladaptive appraisal (e.g., the world is unsafe; Anyone could hurt me), are potentially going to be particularly entrenched in this group.

Yet, in some cases, where the young person is no longer experiencing maltreatment, uncertainties or instability in their environment may mean such appraisals are a relatively accurate reflection of their situation. Similarly, while avoidant coping is considered a key maintainer of traumatic stress, smaller studies with young people living in high-risk communities have shown that it can also be relatively adaptive, at least in the short term (Gonzales, Tein, Sandler, & Friedman, 2001; Grant et al., 2000).

Other models of broader maltreatment-related psychopathology have attempted to address the broader complexities that often present with these trauma populations. These models generally include maladaptive cognitive processes, but consider these amongst a variety of other processes. For example, developmental models of trauma include altered schemas but also consider how early interpersonal trauma leads to disrupted neurobiological development, physiological dysregulation, affect dysregulation, disrupted attachment patterns and chronic feelings of ineffectiveness (van der Kolk, 2005). Similarly, Cook et al.'s (2005) model of complex trauma includes post-trauma processes such as under- and over-controlled behaviour patterns, an incoherent sense of self, and parental emotional functioning.

Despite the potential complexities around the processes that may link maltreatment to a broad range of psychological outcomes, it remains the case that a number of large studies have shown elevated rates of PTSD in maltreated young people. As one example, a survey of 1,000 young people in out-of-home-care, who have commonly been exposed to significant early maltreatment, were found to be 12 times more likely to meet criteria for PTSD, compared to their peers (Ford et al., 2007). It is also the case that the current predominant framework for understanding post-trauma presentations and, crucially, for informing psychological intervention, are cognitive models – this includes trauma-focussed CBT, the current first-line treatment recommended treatment for young people with PTSD (NICE, 2018).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT (2019)

Thus, it is essential to understand if current cognitive models of PTSD accurately reflect post-trauma psychological sequelae following maltreatment, in order to assist in refining treatments, if necessary, to ensure they are in the most effective formats. Therefore, this review investigated the current empirical evidence for key psychological processes linking maltreatment to PTSD, as highlighted in cognitive behavioural models of PTSD. Specifically, this review focused on synthesising empirical evidence for the role of (i) maladaptive appraisals, (ii) cognitive and behavioural coping responses, and (iii) trauma-memory qualities. We aimed to provide a clearer understanding of the relevance of these processes to post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) following maltreatment, while also drawing attention to gaps in the evidence-base.

Method

This review followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman [The PRISMA Group], 2009). Following a scoping exercise to understand the broad extent of the literature, inclusion criteria were finalised and the review registered as a protocol (PROSPERO 2016: CRD42016051199).

Defining 'Maltreatment'

Harm to children can present differently in various contexts. For the purpose of this review, a framework of maltreatment presented by the National Society of Prevention of Cruelty to Children (NSPCC) was adopted (Cawson, Wattam, Brooker, & Kelly, 2000):

Either a specific action towards a child by one or more adults or in some circumstances by an older child or children, or the omission of care which an adult had a responsibility to provide. It does not include general harmful conditions, such as environmental conditions, which apply to all children in a community, neighbourhood or other social group (Cawson et al., 2000, p. 3).

Search strategy

The literature search was conducted across three databases: PubMed, American Psychological Association (APA) PsychNet, and the Published International Literature on Traumatic Stress (PILOTS) database. Grey literature was searched using APA Psych extra. Search terms were developed with guidance from a subject-specific expert, and appropriate synonyms were identified using keyword searches in each database to determine additional descriptors of a phrase or concept. The final search strategy included words related to maltreatment (e.g., abuse OR maltreatment OR neglect), children and adolescents (including synonyms e.g., youth), appraisals (e.g., appraisals OR attribution), memory, coping (e.g., coping skills OR coping strategies) and PTSD (complex PTSD OR posttraumatic stress disorder). [Full search strategy: supplementary Table S1.] Searches were conducted in August 2016 and September 2017. Reference lists were hand-searched and relevant titles screened.

Selection Criteria. Eligible studies had to (1) be an original study (e.g., excluding reviews, case studies and unpublished theses); (2) be written in English; (3) identify a sample or subsample of maltreated individuals, \leq 18 years old; (4) measure at least one of the following: a) appraisals, b) post-trauma cognitive or behavioural responses, or c) trauma memory qualities; and (5) measure PTSS, either via a diagnostic measure or symptom checklist. Since the focus was on complex trauma from child maltreatment specifically, papers were excluded if the sample: 1) focused on wider civil/community conflict (e.g., war/refugee), or 2) *all* experienced isolated, single-incident interpersonal trauma (e.g., a one-off assault).

Inter-rater reliability. The lead author reviewed titles and abstracts of all studies identified in the electronic database search (N = 2227; Figure 1). In line with PRISMA guidelines (McDonagh, Peterson, Raina, Chang, & Shekelle, 2008; The PRISMA group, 2009), a second reviewer screened a random 10% of abstracts. Inter-rater agreement was assessed by absolute agreement and Gwet's first order agreement coefficient (AC1, Gwet, 2002). Gwet's

AC1 was preferred to Cohen's Kappa, as Kappa has been shown to be sensitive to trait prevalence in the subject population and can produce low estimates of chance-corrected agreement even when absolute agreement is high (Feinstein & Cicchetti, 1990; Gwet, 2002). The prevalence of paper inclusion for abstract screening was low (12% included), so low Kappa coefficients would be expected. Absolute agreement among screeners was 89.7%, with a Gwet's AC1 value of 0.87 (95% CI = 0.81, 0.93).

Abstracts where there was disagreement were taken to a consensus meeting. In all cases of disagreement, the second reviewer had excluded articles that the primary reviewer had included (i.e., there was no evidence of over-exclusion). The first author then reviewed the included articles full texts (N=306), and the second reviewer a randomly selected 10%. Absolute agreement was 100% (Gwet's AC1 = 1.00).

Data Collection and Quality Assessment

Data extraction forms were developed to retrieve information regarding publication details, recruitment processes, key sample characteristics, and assessment and outcome measures used (Supplementary Table S2). Quality was assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP, 2017) cohort study checklist adapted for observational studies. This assessed: recruitment, sample characteristics, ascertainment of maltreatment history, measurement of psychological processes and PTSS, statistical analyses and treatment of confounding variables, and consistency of results with existing evidence. Additional items were added to assess the quality of participant follow-up for the five longitudinal studies included in this review. Each item was scored according to the extent to which methodological quality indicated it would be likely to introduce bias: 0 points 'likely', 1 point 'indicated unlikely but requires more information'; and 2 points 'unlikely'. A percentage score was calculated for each paper. Of note, many included studies had different stated aims than ones

investigated in the current review. Therefore, low ratings in relation to this review do not necessarily reflect overall quality of each individual paper.

The lead researcher completed data extraction and quality assessment checks for all eligible papers. The second reviewer completed data extraction and quality assessment checks on 25% of papers. Absolute agreement was 98.9% (Gwet's AC1=0.985, 95% CI = 0.956, 1.00).

Results

Description of the selected studies

Across the two electronic database searches 42 papers were eligible. Of these, 26 papers were included. With the 16 papers that were eligible but ultimately not included, the main reason for exclusion was that information was not provided about the relationship between the psychological process and PTSS. An additional 10 papers were identified by hand-searching reference lists. In total, 36 articles presenting findings from 31 unique studies with 33 samples were included in this review (Figure 1). Wolfe, Gentile, Michienzi and Sas (1991) analysed data from two different recruitment samples (victim-witness preparation sample and family services sample), and Pittenger et al. (2016) separated children and adolescents. To avoid introducing bias by separately reviewing different publications based on the same study, this review will primarily discuss the 31 unique studies conducted.

Overview of included studies. Individual study details are presented in Supplementary Table S2. Included studies were published between 1989 and 2017, with 17 of the 31 studies published in the last five years. Twenty of 31 studies were derived from the US, eight in Canada, one in Hong Kong and two European. Five papers investigated more than one psychological process. Twenty-eight papers explored appraisals and PTSS, 11 examined coping and PTSS, and two focused on memory and PTSS.

Design of studies. Twenty-six of the 31 studies presented cross-sectional data and five were longitudinal. The majority of studies were observational. Three studies included a non-

maltreated control group, one study recruited a sample who were all maltreated but compared two groups of individuals with and without PTSD, and one further study recruited three comparison groups – maltreated individuals with PTSD, maltreated individuals without PTSD and a non-maltreated control group.

Nature of the sample. Included studies covered young people aged 4 to 20 years of age. Most studies (21 of 31) had a minimum mean age of 12 years old. The two studies where the age range went up to 20 years old, predominantly included young people under 18 and were thus retained in the review (Shenk, Putnam, Rausch, Peugh, & Noll, 2014; Srinivas, DePrince & Chu, 2015). Eight studies recruited only female participants; a further 16 studies had predominantly female samples (≥ 60%). Ten studies reported a majority of participants who self-identified as Caucasian, five reported a majority of participants who self-identified as multiracial, two reported a majority of participants who self-identified as Hispanic, and one study reported an equal proportion of Caucasian and African American participants. Twelve studies did not describe the ethnicity of the sample.

In 30 of 31 studies, participants had come into contact with services. Recruitment settings included treatment facilities, therapeutic or counselling services, child protective services, victim advocacy programs, child welfare services, residential services, child sexual abuse medical clinics, family services, primary-care services and court settings. One study recruited from secondary schools (Lam, 2015).

Nature of maltreatment. The majority of studies recruited youth with a substantiated maltreatment history. Eleven studies included children whose exposure to maltreatment had been ascertained in one of the following ways: abuse had been officially substantiated by protective services; perpetrator admission had been obtained; there was physical evidence strongly consistent with abuse; trained interviewers or staff had concluded that abuse was

probable; or there was a police charge in relation to abuse. Two studies recruited at least some participants where maltreatment had not been confirmed (Freeman & Beck, 2000; Kaplow, Dodge, Amaya-Jackson, & Saxe, 2005; Shapiro, Kaplow, Amaya-Jackson, & Dodge, 2012). Eight studies predominantly relied on the young person self-reporting their maltreatment history, of which two had been recruited from residential or protective services, so maltreatment was highly probable (Elzy, Clark, Dollard, & Hummer, 2013; Kaur & Kearney, 2015). Ten studies did not clearly describe how maltreatment history was ascertained; however, of these another two had recruited from protective custody, so again maltreatment was probable (Kaur & Kearney, 2013; Ross & Kearney, 2017).

The majority of studies did not use standardised measures to screen for trauma history. The few studies that did, screened for trauma using measures such as the Traumatic Events Screening Inventory for Children (TESI-C; Ford et al., 2002), the History of Victimization Form (HVF; Wolfe, Gentile, & Bourdeau, 1987), and the Abuse Dimensions Inventory (ADI; Chaffin, Wherry, Newlin, Crutchfield, & Dykman, 1997).

In 18 of the 31 studies, sexual abuse was the primary form of maltreatment; however, many participants would likely have experienced additional types of abuse given the high incidence of co-occurring maltreatment. A further nine studies recruited samples with mixed maltreatment histories. Three studies recruited samples with physical and/or sexual abuse histories (Kolko, Brown, & Berliner, 2002; Runyon & Kenny, 2002; Sharma-Patel et al., 2014) and one recruited participants exposed to physical abuse or neglect (Bertó et al., 2017).

Measurement of PTSS. In most cases PTSS data was derived from self-report symptom checklists. Four studies included caregiver report of PTSS, and nine included an additional diagnostic interview. All studies except one used standardised measures for PTSS, although 14 different measures were used overall. Most commonly, studies used the PTSD subscale of the Children's Impact of Traumatic Events Scale-Revised (CITES-R, Wolfe et

al., 1991; n=8); the Trauma Symptom Checklist for Children (TSCC; Briere, 1996; n=6), the PTSD subscale of the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach & Edelbrock, 1983; n=3) and the PTSD Reaction Index (PTSD-RI; Steinberg, Brymer, Decker, & Pynoos, 2004; n=3). The one study that did not use a standardised measure combined items from two validated measures of PTSS, although the resulting scale did not accurately reflect diagnostic criteria for PTSD (Spaccarelli, 1995).

Measurement of psychological processes. A range of measures were used to assess trauma memory, appraisals and coping, but the vast majority were self-report. The most commonly used were appraisal subscales from CITES-R (Wolfe et al., 1991; n=7), the Child Post-Traumatic Cognitions Inventory (CPTCI; Meiser-Stedman et al., 2009; n=4) and the Children's attributions and perceptions scale (CAPS; Mannarino et al., 1994; n=3).

Most studies referenced at least one standardised measure but did not always report the psychometric information for the current study. Two studies used measurement tools developed specifically for the purposes of the study and thus psychometric information for these measures were limited at the time of publication. Only information regarding Chronbach's alpha for the use of the scale in the current sample was provided (the three papers by Feiring and colleagues on one sample; Wolfe, Sas, & Wekerle, 1994).

One study measured 'avoidance' by coding forensic interviews, with the result that coded responses may reflect avoidance of the interview rather than cognitive avoidance of abuse (Kaplow et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2012).

Inclusion of abuse variables. To fully understand how maltreatment links to psychological outcomes, it is important to consider the context of the abuse and of factors that might relate to adjustment. Factors that might influence ongoing adjustment include sociodemographic variables, additional mental-health co-morbidities, and abuse variables such as duration and frequency of abuse, age of onset, relationship to perpetrator, type of abuse,

removal from home, contact with perpetrator, and involvement in court processes. Twenty-two of the 36 papers considered socio-demographic factors in their analyses, whilst 13 controlled for at least two abuse severity variables when analysing the relationship between the psychological process and PTSS. For many papers, the relationship between the psychological process and PTSS was not the focus of the study, therefore exploration of confounding variables was not relevant to the study aims.

Post-trauma appraisals

Blame.

Abuse-specific self-blame (ASSB). Eleven studies explored how abuse-specific self-blame (ASSB) was associated with PTSS. Five studies found a significant association, five did not and one (longitudinal) study had mixed findings at different time points. Specifically, five studies identified a significant association ranging from small to medium effect (Alix, Cossette, Hébert, Cyr, & Frappier, 2017; Crouch, Smith, Ezzell, & Saunders, 1999; Kletter, Weems & Carrion, 2009; Pittenger et al., 2016; Wolfe et al., 1991). The standard deviation (SD) change in PTSS for a one SD change in ASSB ranged from 0.20 to 0.38, which are small effects by conventional standards (Cohen, 1988). Studies that reported correlation coefficients found correlations in the range of 0.37 to 0.53, representing small to medium effect. Thus, analytical differences might account for small versus small-medium effect sizes.

As noted, five studies did not find a significant association (Daigneault, Hébert, & Tourigny, 2006; Daigneault, Tourigny, & Hébert, 2006; Sharma-Patel & Brown, 2016; Srinivas et al., 2015; Wolfe et al., 1994; Wolfe et al., 1989). Quality pattern varied across the eleven studies which investigated the relationship between ASSB and PTSS, but generally the studies which did not find an association had slightly higher quality scores. Notably, none of the studies in which ASSB was significantly associated with PTSS controlled for abuse variables (such as duration or severity) in their analyses. In contrast, four of the five studies

where non-significant associations were shown conducted multivariate analyses that accounted for abuse variables (Daigneault, Tourigny, & Hébert, 2006; Srinivas et al., 2015; Wolfe et al., 1989, 1994) or other psychological processes (Daigneault, Hébert, & Tourigny, 2006). Thus, conflicting findings might be explained by analytical differences, and it is possible that when abuse factors (e.g., duration of abuse, n=3) or other psychological processes (e.g., coping, n=1) are considered, the association between self-blame and PTSS does not persist.

One longitudinal study had mixed findings (Feiring, Taska, & Lewis, 2002). Only one other study was longitudinal (Sharma-Patel & Brown, 2016), and was one of the five studies that did not find a significant association, at any time point. Feiring et al. showed that ASSB at the time of abuse discovery did not significantly predict levels of PTSS one year later, but that reductions in ASSB across the course of a year were significantly associated with improvements (i.e., reductions) in PTSS. In contrast, Sharma-Patel and Brown found that ASSB was not significantly associated with change in PTSS over the course of several months. However, participants in this latter study (but not the former) received TF-CBT during this time-frame, so treatment potentially confounded the relationship between ASSB and PTSS. Of note, some caution is warranted when interpreting longitudinal findings from Sharma-Patel et al. as their attrition rate was high and findings were not consistent with other treatment literature. For the purpose of this review, the Sharma-Patel et al. study was also considered to be lower quality (36%) than the Feiring et al. study (69%).

Guilt. Whilst much of the maltreatment literature uses the terms 'guilt' and 'self-blame' interchangeably, three studies explored 'guilt' as a unique construct in relation to PTSS (Gauthier-Duchesne, Hébert, & Daspe, 2017; Kletter et al., 2009; Wolfe et al., 1994). However, across these three studies, guilt was operationalised differently, which may account for differences in findings. For example, Wolfe et al. defined guilt as an 'emotional reaction', Kletter et al. explored 'survivor guilt' (guilt that the event was worse for other people than for

the individual), and Gauthier-Duchesne et al. did not describe how 'guilt' was operationalised. Two of these studies found that guilt was significantly associated with PTSS (Gauthier-Duchesne et al.; Wolfe et al.). These were both high-quality studies (>70%), but their findings should be interpeted with caution because both studies measured guilt using only a subset of items within the self-blame/guilt subscale of the CITES/CITES-R and no information is available as to the validity and reliability of this subscale. Furthermore, in these two studies, both the measure for guilt and PTSS came from sub-scales of the same measure.

Finally, Kletter et al. (2009), a high-quality study (89%) which explored a slightly different concept of guilt ('survivor guilt') did not identify a significant association with PTSS. Given that maltreatment can occur on an individual basis (in comparison to other trauma types that might affect a large group of individuals, such as a natural disaster) it is perhaps unsurprising that this appraisal was not relevant to PTSS outcomes in this population.

Abuse-specific external blame. Only two studies investigated whether blame attributions to others were associated with PTSS (Feiring, Taska, & Chen, 2002; Kolko et al., 2002; quality scores >60%). One study looked specifically at perpetrator blame and one study looked at caregiver blame (using two items 'This happened to me because my mother wasn't there' and 'This happened because my mother didn't know what was happening to me'). Both studies showed perpetrator blame was not significantly associated with PTSS. Feiring et al. did find caregiver blame was associated with PTSS immediately following abuse disclosure, though this association did not persist after one year.

General attributions of self-blame (GASB). Six studies explored whether positive and negative attributions in relation to general events (i.e., not abuse specific) were associated with PTSS. For example, a general attribution would be 'I am to blame for things that go wrong', whereas an abuse-specific attribution would be 'the abuse happened because of the way I acted'. Two studies found a significant association between GASB and PTSS (Cohen &

Mannarino, 2000; Daigneault, Hébert & Tourigny, 2006; Daigneault, Tourigny, & Hébert, 2006), two did not (Wolfe et al., 1989, 1991), and two were mixed. Among the mixed findings, Runyon and Kenny (2002) found a significant association between GASB and PTSS in a sexually abused, but not a physically abused, subsample. Feiring, Taska, and Lewis (2002) found GASB was not associated with PTSS at time of disclosure, but was significantly associated with PTSS one year later. Across studies that found a significant association between GASB and PTSS, the SD change in PTSS for a one SD change in GASB ranged from 0.16 to 0.53, representing a small to medium effect. Overall, there was no clear pattern of differences in quality score between those that found a difference and those that did not. However, the two studies that found a significant association used a different measure of GASB than the two studies that did not find a significant association, which could explain the disparity in findings. Two studies used the Children's Attributions and Perceptions Scale (CAPS, Mannarino, Cohen, & Berman, 1994), whilst the other two studies used the KASTAN-R (Kaslow, Tannenbaum, & Seligman, 1978). The CAPS is a brief measure that asks direct questions about blaming oneself for bad things, whilst the KASTAN uses hypothetical scenarios to infer whether an individual has a self-blaming style. The two different tools may measure slightly different concepts, which could account for differences in findings. Furthermore, psychometric information for the original KASTAN is not available, so it is difficult to assess which measure is more psychometrically sound.

Shame. Five studies explored shame. Three studies found a significant positive association between shame and PTSS following maltreatment (Alix et al., 2017; Feiring Taska & Lewis, 1998; Feiring, Taska & Lewis, 2002; Srinivas et al., 2015), with effect sizes in the small to moderate range (SD change = 0.20 to 0.60). Two studies found shame was not significantly associated with PTSS (Kletter et al., 2009; Mannarino, Cohen, Deblinger, Runyon, & Steer, 2012). The different aged study samples might explain these discrepant

findings. Across studies that found an association between shame and PTSS, the mean age of the child was 15.8 years (excluding Feiring, Taska, & Lewis, 1998, 2002 which did not provide a mean sample age). In the two studies that did not find an association, the mean age was 10.5 years. Shame might be more relevant for individuals at certain developmental phases than at others; however more research is needed.

Disparate findings with respect to shame could also be due to methodological differences. All but one study were considered to be of reasonable quality (>60%), however two of the three studies that found an association between shame and PTSS had significant methodological limitations. Alix et al. (2017; quality assessment [QA] = 41%) recruited a high proportion of participants with single-incident sexual abuse limiting the generalisability of the findings to a wider maltreatment population, and did not consider possible confounders or effect modifiers (such as demographic variables or abuse characteristics) in their analyses. Feiring, Taska and Lewis (1998, 2002) used a self-developed measure of shame, consisting of four items with no validation reported.

Vulnerability or victim appraisals. Five studies explored victim or vulnerability appraisals, looking variably at personal vulnerability, victim appraisals, powerlessness and/or dangerous world. These appraisals were conceptualised differently by studies in this review, which limited the extent to which conclusions could be drawn about their relevance to PTSS.

Personal vulnerability. Two studies found appraisals of personal vulnerability were significantly positively associated with some PTSD symptom clusters (Crouch et al., 1999; Wolfe et al., 1991). Crouch et al. (QA=65%) found a higher sense of personal vulnerability was significantly associated with higher overall PTSS (r = .65), whilst Wolfe et al. (QA=40%) found it was significantly associated with intrusive symptoms (r = .50) and avoidant symptoms (r = .42), but not overall symptom severity.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT (2019)

Victim appraisals. Kolko et al. (2002; QA=61%) explored perceptions of being a victim and found that perceiving that one was 'treated unfairly' was associated with a PTSD diagnosis. Individuals diagnosed with PTSD had perceived victimisation scores that were 0.84 SDs higher than individuals without PTSD, reflecting a large effect (Cohen, 1988).

Powerlessness. Three studies explored perceptions of 'powerlessness' (Crouch et al., 1999; Pittenger et al., 2016; Wolfe et al., 1991). Crouch et al. found feeling disempowered was associated with PTSS (QA: 65%), whereas Pittenger et al. and Wolfe et al. found powerlessness was not associated with PSTD (QA=40% for both). These two studies also had a high rate of single-incidence sexual abuse, and may not reflect typical maltreatment samples.

Dangerous world. Three studies investigated appraisals about the world being dangerous (Crouch et al., 1999, QA=60%; Kaur & Kearney, 2015, QA=55%; Wolfe et al., 1991, QA=40%). Only Kaur and Kearney (2015) found a significant association with PTSS; this study was unique in that all individuals were in protective custody, all had varied maltreatment backgrounds (rather than sexual abuse histories), and the sample consisted of almost equal numbers of males and females. Abuse severity or being removed from home might influence how individuals perceive the world, which in turn could influence adjustment. Interpersonal appraisals.

Interpersonal trust. One study explored appraisals of 'interpersonal trust'. Cohen and Mannarino (2000; QA=78%) found small but significant associations between perceptions that individuals were disbelieved about their experiences and PTSS, and between beliefs that trusting others was 'risky' and PTSS.

Negative reactions of others. Two studies explored perceived negative perceptions by others (i.e., 'being viewed negatively by others following maltreatment') and PTSS (Crouch et al., 1999; Wolfe et al., 1991). Crouch et al. found a significant association between perceived negative perceptions by others and PTSS, whereas Wolfe et al. found an association between

perceived negative perceptions and intrusive symptoms but not PTSS overall. Due to a high incidence of single-incident sexual abuse in the study by Wolfe et al., the findings in Crouch et al. are potentially more generalisable to populations exposed to chronic maltreatment. The study by Crouch et al. was also deemed to be of higher quality (65% vs. 40%).

Self in relation to others. Two high quality studies examined how maltreated youth view themselves in relation to others (Cohen & Mannarino 2000; Srinivas et al., 2015). Srinivas et al. found alienation – a perception of being disconnected and detached from others – was significantly associated with PTSS (SD change = 0.42) and Cohen and Mannarino found feeling 'different to peers' was significantly associated with PTSS (r = .32).

Perceived impact of maltreatment. Two studies explored appraisals of the impact of the abuse (Kolko et al., 2002, QA=61%; Pittenger et al., 2016, QA=40%). Both studies found that individuals who believed the abuse would impact their resources, relationships, emotional adjustment and need for help had significantly greater PTSS. However, both studies created self-report measures to assess 'impact of the abuse', so they had not been previously validated.

Combined appraisals. Ten studies explored how combinations of maladaptive appraisals (e.g. self-evaluation, evaluation of others, and perceptions of harm) jointly influenced PTSS, rather than individually. The number of appraisals considered in combination ranged from two to five. Nine of ten studies found combinations of appraisals were significantly associated with PTSS in maltreated children (see Table 1). One study did not find a significant association between combined appraisals and PTSS, but this study recruited exclusively from secondary schools. These individuals may not have come into contact with services, and possibly experienced less severe maltreatment or were better able to adjust to their experiences (Lam, 2015). As a result, this sample may not be representative of chronic maltreatment populations. Studies that considered joint effects of appraisals on PTSS reported

effect sizes (correlations) in the range of .30 to .72. One study did not report information necessary to derive an effect size (Ross & Kearney, 2017).

Post-trauma maladaptive coping

Behavioural coping.

Avoidant coping. Six studies explored avoidant coping and PTSS. Three studies found that avoidant coping was significantly associated with PTSS, with effect sizes (correlation or partial correlation coefficients) in the small or moderate range ([0.26 – 0.66], Alix et al., 2017; Kaplow et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2012; Shenk et al., 2014). Of note, one study (Kaplow et al., QA=67%; Shapiro et al., QA=64%) used a measure of 'avoidance' that may not accurately reflect avoidance of the trauma itself, and two studies (Alix et al., QA=41%; Shenk et al., QA=82%) did not account for any abuse characteristics (e.g., severity, duration) in their analyses.

Two high quality studies did not find an association between avoidant coping and PTSS (Chaffin, Wherry, & Dykman, 1997, QA=94%; Daigneault, Hébert, & Tourigny, 2006, QA=77%). In contrast, one (lower quality) study showed avoidant coping might actually be adaptive for children with high levels of trauma (Elzy et al., 2013, QA=56%). All three studies considered demographic variables in their analyses, and two considered abuse characteristics. Overall, these studies suggest when controlling for demographic and abuse characteristics, avoidant coping does not uniquely predict PTSS, although further research is needed.

Approach coping. Three studies explored 'approach' coping (Chaffin et al., 1997; Daigneault, Hébert & Tourigny, 2006; Elzy et al., 2013), though this concept was operationalised differently across studies. Generally, approach coping includes seeking social support, positive reappraisal and problem-solving. Chaffin et al. did not include positive reappraisal in their measure of coping, and Daigneault et al. did not include problem-solving. Of the three studies, only Elzy et al. found a significant association between approach coping and

PTSS. This study was deemed lower quality (56%) than studies by Chaffin et al. (94%) and Daigneault, Hébert & Tourigny (77%) and this finding is not in line with research in single-incident trauma populations which suggests approach coping is adaptive (Thompson, Fiorillo, Rothbaum, Ressler, & Michopoulos, 2018).

Findings reported by Daigneault, Hébert and Tourigny (2006) and Chaffin et al. (1997) are more consistent with research in other trauma areas. These studies accounted for abuse characteristics in their analyses, whilst Elzy et al. (2013) did not. However, discrepancies might also be explained by differences in the study samples. Elzy et al. recruited individuals from residential care with complex and varied maltreatment histories, whilst Daigneault, Hébert and Tourigny and Chaffin et al. recruited victims of sexual abuse from treatment centres.

In a further study, Daigneault et al. (2004) explored a coping style called 'meaning making', which considered how individuals evaluated their experiences and how this then guided social, creative or political actions. The study found no relationship between 'meaning making' and PTSS, but the measure used was not validated for use in this population and the quality of this study was low (28%).

Additional coping styles. Chaffin et al. (1997, QA=94%) found 'angry' coping was not associated with PTSS but that internalised maladaptive coping strategies (e.g., social withdrawal, self-criticism and resignation) were associated with hyperarousal symptoms. In contrast, Shapiro et al. (2012, QA=64%) showed that the adaptive coping strategies 'positive affective' and 'emotional expressive' coping were not associated with PTSS.

Cognitive coping.

Dissociation. Five studies investigated dissociation and PTSS, and all studies found that increased dissociative responses were significantly associated with increased PTSS (Crouch et al., 1999; Kaplow et al., 2005; Kaur & Kearney, 2013; Ogle et al., 2013; Ross & Kearney, 2017). Effects sizes were in the moderate range (correlation or partial correlation

coefficient from .38 to .59). Quality scores ranged from 39 to 67%, but there was no obvious pattern between QA score and level of correlation.

Depersonalisation and Derealisation. Depersonalisation (feeling detached from one self) and derealisation (being unsure whether events or people are real) are also considered to be dissociative mechanisms, however these processes were not widely studied. One study found that depersonalisation and derealisation (combined) were associated with avoidance symptoms (Ross & Kearney, 2017, QA=65%), whilst another study (Kletter et al, 2009, QA=89%) that only investigated these concepts separately found only derealisation was significantly associated with PTSS.

Attention bias. Two studies explored cognitive interference for threat-related stimuli and how this might contribute to PTSS. One study found attentional bias towards threat (which could be indicative of selective attention for threatening information) did not differ between maltreated youth with or without PTSD (Freeman & Beck, 2000). Another study found maltreated individuals with PTSD displayed an attentional bias away from threat (which could be indicative of cognitive avoidance) but toward sad stimuli, compared to healthy controls (Bertó et al., 2017). These two studies differed in the way they measured attentional bias (words or facial expression) and in the type of maltreatment that was present (sexual abuse vs physical abuse/negligence), which could have contributed to the heterogeneity in these findings. For the purpose of this review, the study by Freeman and Beck was considered lower quality than the study by Bertó et al. (28% vs. 50%).

Trauma memory

Only two studies explored trauma memory and PTSS (Daigneault, Tourigny, & Cyr, 2004; Ogle et al., 2013). Daigneault et al. found neither the ability to integrate memory and affect, nor the ability to cohesively recall memories, were associated with PTSS. The measure used to assess these memory constructs had not previously been used in an adolescent

population. Ogle et al. found maltreated adolescents reported less autobiographical memory specificity than non-maltreated peers, but specificity was not associated with PTSS. This suggests maltreatment might disrupt typical memory processes but that PTSS is not maintained by a memory bias for threat-related information. Findings from both studies must be interpreted with caution as quality was deemed low (28% and 39% respectively) and sample sizes were small, which may have limited the ability to detect effects.

Discussion

Despite a known increased risk of PTSD following interpersonal and chronic trauma, within the academic and clinical field, there remains ongoing debate about the relevance of cognitive frameworks for PTSD for young people exposed to chronic maltreatment or developmental trauma. This review aimed to synthesise existing literature on psychological processes implicated in PTSD in maltreated children and identify avenues for future research. Thirty-one studies were identified that measured how post-trauma appraisals, coping and trauma memory were associated with PTSD in maltreated youth. In line with research in single-incident trauma populations, this review showed general support for the cognitive behavioural model of PTSD following maltreatment (Mitchell, Brennan, Curran, Hanna, & Dyer, 2017; Trickey, Siddaway, Meiser-Stedman, Serpell, & Field, 2012).

Post-trauma appraisals

Appraisals were the most widely studied psychological process in relation to PTSD, and a range of different appraisals were explored. Findings suggested that features of maltreatment such as abuse duration, severity, relationship to perpetrator, and age of onset may influence how appraisals result in psychological maladjustment. For example, some studies found a significant association between self-blame and PTSS, but this finding did not persist when abuse characteristics (e.g., perpetrator relationship, severity, duration) were accounted for. Similarly, there was some evidence to suggest the relationship between perceptions of

vulnerability and PTSS varied according to chronicity and type of maltreatment, as well as whether maltreatment warranted removal from the home. Furthermore, the relationship between shame and PTSS may depend on the age and development of the child, such that older children are more affected by feelings of shame than younger children.

These findings support Ehlers and Clark's (2000) cognitive model, which proposes that trauma characteristics contribute to the development and maintenance of PTSD through their effects on coping strategies and appraisals. Similarly, a meta-analysis of risk factors for PTSD following primarily single-incident trauma showed that both objective trauma characteristics and post-trauma individual factors influence PTSD development (Trickey et al., 2012). There is a need for additional research on relationships between abuse features, psychological processes, and PTSS identified in our review.

A key finding of this review was that combinations of appraisals might be more relevant to the development and maintenance of PTSS than individual appraisals alone. Indeed, studies showed that specific combinations of appraisals interact to give rise to on-going difficulties, and that appraisals considered jointly explained more variance in PTSS. For example, individuals with multiple unhelpful cognitions about their own vulnerability and that the world is a dangerous place might have a compounded sense of threat, which is central to the development of PTSD. Similarly, individuals who both blame themselves for the abuse and who also have a general sense of self-blame about negative events have been shown to have increased risk of PTSD (Daigneault, Tourigny, & Hébert, 2006). Thus, future research may benefit from further exploration of whether specific types of appraisals are more relevant to PTSD, while clinical assessments should gather an overview of an individual's set of appraisals in order to understand how these appraisals might interact to affect wellbeing and which appraisals are driving distress. Finally, some individual appraisals have received relatively little attention to date, and warrant further investigation. A small number of studies showed that

guilt, interpersonally-based appraisals and perceived 'impact of abuse' were significantly associated with PTSS, but further research of these concepts is indicated.

Coping

The review found less robust evidence that avoidance might drive the development of PTSS, once demographic and abuse characteristics are accounted for. Indeed, two studies unexpectedly found that avoidant coping could be protective in the immediate aftermath of abuse (Chaffin et al., 1997; Elzy et al., 2013). This is not in line with much of the adult literature (Ullman & Filipas, 2005; Walsh, Fortier, & DiLillo, 2010). However, there is similar evidence from studies with high-risk groups of adolescents, which showed avoidant coping to be adaptive at higher levels of stress (Gonzales, Tein, Sandler & Friedman, 2001; Grant et al., 2000). It is possible that youth find avoidant coping increasingly helpful due to perceiving more circumstances to be beyond their control, and it is possible that avoidance might be a protective strategy in the shorter term. However, it is not yet clear what the longer-term implications of avoidant coping may be. These findings suggest treatment strategies should be tentative about targeting coping strategies that adolescents appear to be employing adaptively, but should be aware that they might lead to negative outcomes in the long-term. Longitudinal research is needed to better understand these mechanisms.

In contrast, an extremely avoidant response in the form of dissociation was significantly associated with PTSS in all relevant studies. This is in line with literature that shows persistent dissociation is a risk factor for PTSD following a multitude of trauma types (Briere, Scott, & Weathers, 2005). It is suggested that dissociation might contribute to PTSD via acting as an avoidance mechanism and/or preventing effective processing of trauma memories. One possible alternative explanation for these findings is that children do not always have the same capacity to engage in behaviourally avoidant coping strategies (since they have less control over their lives), and hence may engage in 'psychologically' avoidant

coping (such as dissociation). Overall, however, findings from this review suggest that dissociation should be routinely assessed in maltreated youth, and that it is likely to be an important treatment target where it exists.

Trauma memory

The review found a paucity of research (only two studies) exploring the role of trauma memory qualities in relation to PTSS in maltreated youth. This is despite the potentially central role of trauma-related memories in maintaining this disorder. The two existing studies showed that although maltreatment might disrupt typical encoding of memory (Ogle et al. 2013), an over-focus on trauma memories, difficulties integrating trauma memories and affect, and problems recalling trauma memories were not significantly related to PTSS (Daigneault et al., 2004; Ogle et al., 2013). These findings are not consistent with research from single-incident trauma populations, which reports that trauma memories with strong perceptual elements and that are not contextualised, contribute to the maintenance of PTSD (e.g., Halligan, Michael, Clark, & Ehlers, 2003). However, both studies that explored memory following maltreatment recruited small samples, which might relate to the lack of significant findings. There is a clear need for further research in this area, given memory processes are considered central to PTSD in other child and adult trauma-exposed samples, including in intervention approaches.

Limitations and other considerations

Limitations of this review largely reflect general limitations in the literature. The majority of the research is cross-sectional, limiting the ability to infer conclusions about causal processes contributing to PTSS. There was a noticeable lack of research related to certain maltreatment types, and some of the tentative conclusions drawn in this review might not be relevant to populations exposed to neglect, emotional abuse or intimate partner violence. Furthermore, co-occurrence of abuse is common, but the majority of studies focused on one trauma type to determine participant eligibility (e.g., sexual abuse). An overall lack of

information about trauma-related factors (e.g., chronicity or pervasiveness of abuse) makes it difficult to determine how generalisable findings are to wider maltreatment populations. Similarly, the average age in most studies was 12 years old and findings are not generalisable to pre-school populations, where there are clearly different developmental considerations. We were also unable to comprehensively explore how different processes (e.g., different types of appraisals) may interact to influence PTSS, but this may improve knowledge of how applicable the cognitive model of PTSD is to understanding outcomes of maltreated children. Finally, many papers used different measures (sometimes not validated) and had slightly different conceptualisations of terms, making comparisons between studies difficult.

This review focussed on synthesising the available literature on specific cognitive and behaviour mechanisms and PTSD in maltreated young people, to explore the current evidence base for cognitive models of PTSD. These models are core for the development of psychological interventions, and indeed form the framework for the current first-line psychological intervention for PTSD – trauma-focussed CBT, which has growing evidence of efficacy with more complex populations, including young people who have experienced maltreatment (e.g., Cohen, Deblinger, Mannarino, & Steer, 2004; Sachser et al., 2017). Nevertheless, it is important to note that this does not imply that other frameworks for understanding the complex traumatic stress presentations and comorbidities with maltreated young people are incorrect. Clinical, anecdotal, and empirical evidence have all highlighted the significant affect dysregulation and interpersonal difficulties (including difficulties forming relationships) that chronically maltreated young people can develop, with the newly proposed ICD-11 complex PTSD diagnosis attempting to address this. It remains important for research to continue to explore the role of malleable psychological processes, particularly those that are transdiagnostic, that may maintain broader maltreatment-related distress in this group.

Clinical implications and future research

Overall, there was general support for the relevance of cognitive behavioural models of PTSD for maltreated children, however several avenues for further research were identified. There was a broad consensus that both maladaptive appraisals and coping strategies can contribute to increased rates of PTSS in maltreated young people, and a cognitive behavioural framework could be used to guide assessment and identify any potentially maladaptive psychological processes. TF-CBT components that modify maladaptive appraisals could be particularly useful in this population, but clinicians should be tentative about targeting coping strategies used by young people without first facilitating learning of coping skills that might be more adaptive in the long-term. There is not enough evidence to support or refute the role of memory qualities in PTSD development. Given the particular distress that intrusive and sensory-laden trauma-memories may have on a young person's wellbeing, further elucidating the role of trauma or maltreatment memory-qualities for these young people remain an important area of investigation.

While it was outside the scope of this review to provide an overview of the evidence for psychological interventions for PTSD for this group, and it remains that trauma-focussed CBT is the first-line recommended approach based on current available evidence (e.g., see NICE, 2018), there also remains a need for the development of interventions that can successfully target these symptoms within ever resource-stretched services. This review synthesises the evidence of what mechanisms could be targeted to enact symptom change, although further research on specific processes is clearly needed.

Conclusion

In sum, findings from this systematic review suggest that a cognitive behavioural framework is generally appropriate for understanding the development and maintenance of child or youth PTSD following maltreatment, but more research is needed to elucidate other

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT (2019)

potential mechanisms that may also be involved. Cognitive appraisals, particularly in conjunction with one another, are significantly associated with an increased risk of PTSS following maltreatment and studies generally demonstrated small to moderate effect sizes. Maladaptive cognitive and behavioural coping responses were also shown to be significantly associated with PTSS with studies again demonstrating small to moderate effect sizes. There was insufficient research to support or refute the role of memory qualities in maintaining PTSD symptom severity following maltreatment, and this relationship in particular, needs further exploration. Better understanding is needed of the interplay between psychological processes, demographic factors, abuse-specific characteristics and PTSD, to enable clinicians to effectively identify young people most at risk for poor outcomes. However, earlier screening of potentially maladaptive appraisals and coping responses in chronically maltreatment children, before they develop into more entrenched clinical-level difficulties, may be one avenue for reducing the well-documented poor psychological outcomes that are often identified in this group.

References

- [1] Alix, S., Cossette, L., Hébert, M., Cyr, M., & Frappier, J. Y.(2017). Posttraumatic Stress

 Disorder and Suicidal Ideation Among Sexually Abused Adolescent Girls: The

 Mediating Role of Shame. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 26(2), 158–174.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- [2] Bertó, C., Ferrin, M., Barberá, M., Livianosa, L., Rojo, L., & García-Blanco, A. (2017).
 Abnormal emotional processing in maltreated children diagnosed of Complex
 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 73(September), 42–50.
- Brewin, C. R., Dalgleish, T., & Joseph, S. (1996). A dual representation theory of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Review*, *103*(4), 670–86. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8888651
- Briere, J., Scott, C., & Weathers, F. (2005). Peritraumatic and persistent dissociation in the presumed etiology of PTSD. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*(12), 2295–2301.
- Cawson, P., Wattam, C., Brooker, S., & Kelly, G. (2000). Child Maltreatment in the United Kingdom: a Study of the Prevalence of Abuse and Neglect. Executive Summary November 2000. *NSPCC Research Findings*, (November), 1–20.
- [3] Chaffin, M., Wherry, J. N., & Dykman, R. (1997). School age children's coping with sexual abuse: Abuse stresses and symptoms associated with four coping strategies. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. Netherlands: Elsevier Science.
- [4] Cohen, J. A., & Mannarino, A. P. (2000). Predictors of treatment outcome in sexually abused children. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, *24*(7), 983–994.
- Cohen, J. W. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences (2nd ed.).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT (2019)

- Hillsdale, NJ.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, J. A., Deblinger, E., Mannarino, A. P., & Steer, R. A. (2004). A multisite, randomized controlled trial for children with sexual abuse–related PTSD symptoms. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 43(4), 393-402.
- Cook, A., Spinazzola, J., Ford, J., Lanktree, C., Blaustein, M., Cloitre, M., ... & Mallah, K. (2017). Complex trauma in children and adolescents. *Psychiatric annals*, *35*(5), 390-398.
- Copeland, W. E., Keeler, G., Angold, A., & Costello, E. J. (2007). Traumatic events and posttraumatic stress in childhood. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 64(5), 577–584.
- Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. (2017). Retrieved January 8, 2018, from http://www.casp-uk.net/checklists
- [5] Crouch, J. L., Smith, D. W., Ezzell, C. E., & Saunders, B. E. (1999). Measuring reactions to sexual trauma among children: Comparing the Children's Impact of Traumatic Events Scale and the Trauma Symptom Checklist for Children. *Child Maltreatment*. US: Sage Publications.
- [7a] Daigneault, I., Hébert, M., & Tourigny, M. (2006). Attributions and Coping in Sexually Abused Adolescents Referred for Group Treatment. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse* 15(3).
- [6] Daigneault, I., Tourigny, M., & Cyr, M. (2004). Description of Trauma and Resilience in Sexually Abused Adolescents. *Journal of Trauma Practice*, 3(2), 23–47.
- [7b] Daigneault, I., Tourigny, M., & Hebert, M. (2006). Self-Attributions of Blame in Sexually Abused Adolescents: A Mediational Model. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 19(1), 153–157.

- [8a] de Haan, A., Ganser, H. G., Münzer, A., Witt, A., & Goldbeck, L. (2017). Dysfunctional maltreatment-related cognitions in children and adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 11(1), 31.
- Ehlers, A., & Clark, D. M. (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 38(4), 319-345.
- [9] Elzy, M., Clark, C., Dollard, N., & Hummer, V. (2013). Adolescent girls' use of avoidant and approach coping as moderators between trauma exposure and trauma symptoms.

 Journal of Family Violence, 28(8), 763–770.
- Éthier, L. S., Lemelin, J.-P., & Lacharité, C. (2004). A longitudinal study of the effects of chronic maltreatment on children's behavioral and emotional problems. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 28(12), 1265–1278.
- Feinstein, A. R., & Cicchetti, D. V. (1990). High agreement but low kappa: I. The problems of two paradoxes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 43(6), 543–549.
- [10b] Feiring, C., Taska, L., & Chen, K. (2002). Trying to understand why horrible things happen: Attribution, shame, and symptom development following sexual abuse. *Child Maltreatment*, 7(1), 26–41.
- [10a] Feiring, C., Taska, L., & Lewis, M. (1998). The role of shame and attributional style in children's and adolescent's adaptation to sexual abuse. *Child Maltreatment*, *3*(2), 129–142.
- [10c] Feiring, C., Taska, L., & Lewis, M. (2002). Adjustment following sexual abuse discovery: the role of shame and attributional style. *Developmental Psychology*, *38*(1), 79–92.
- Fergusson, D. M., McLeod, G. F., & Horwood, L. J. (2013). Childhood sexual abuse and adult developmental outcomes: Findings from a 30-year longitudinal study in New

- Zealand. Child abuse & neglect, 37(9), 664-674.
- Foa, E. B., Steketee, G., & Rothbaum, B. O. (1989). Behavioral/cognitive conceptualizations of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Behavior Therapy*, 20(2), 155–176.
- Ford, J. (2002). Traumatic Events Screening Inventory–Parent report revised (TESI).

 Unpublished manuscript. Storrs, CT: University of Connecticut.
- Ford, T., Vostanis, P., Meltzer, H., & Goodman, R. (2007). Psychiatric disorder among British children looked after by local authorities: comparison with children living in private households. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 190(4), 319-325.
- [11] Freeman, J. B., & Beck, J. G. (2000). Cognitive interference for trauma cues in sexually abused adolescent girls with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 29(2), 245–256.
- [12] Gauthier-Duchesne, A., Hébert, M., & Daspe, M.-È. (2017). Gender as a predictor of posttraumatic stress symptoms and externalizing behavior problems in sexually abused children. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *64*, 79–88.
- Gonzales, N. A., Tein, J. Y., Sandler, I. N., & Friedman, R. J. (2001). On the limits of coping:

 Interaction between stress and coping for inner-city adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, *16*(4), 372-395.
- Grant, K. E., O'koon, J. H., Davis, T. H., Roache, N. A., Poindexter, L. M., Armstrong, M. L., ...

 & McIntosh, J. M. (2000). Protective factors affecting low-income urban African

 American youth exposed to stress. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, *20*(4), 388-417.
- Gwet, K. (2002). Inter-Rater Reliability: Dependency on Trait Prevalence and Marginal Homogeneity. *Statistical Methods for Inter-Reliability Assessment*, 2, 1–9.
- Halligan, S. L., Michael, T., Clark, D. M., & Ehlers, A. (2003). Posttraumatic stress disorder

- following assault: The role of cognitive processing, trauma memory, and appraisals. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 71(3), 419–431.
- Hiller, R. M., Meiser-Stedman, R., Fearon, P., Lobo, S., McKinnon, A., Fraser, A., &
 Halligan, S. L. (2016). Research Review: Changes in the prevalence and symptom severity of child post-traumatic stress disorder in the year following trauma a meta-analytic study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 57(8) 884–898.
- [13a] Kaplow, J. B., Dodge, K. A., Amaya-Jackson, L., & Saxe, G. N. (2005). Pathways to PTSD, Part II: Sexually Abused Children. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*(7), 1305–1310.
- Kaslow, N. J., Tannenbaum, R. L., & Seligman, M. E. P. (1978). *The KASTAN-R: A Children's Attributional Style Questionnaire (KASTAN-R- CASQ)*. University of Pennsylvania: Unpublished manuscript.
- [14] Kaur, H., & Kearney, C. A. (2013). Ethnic identity, family cohesion, and symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder in maltreated youth. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 22(10).
- [15] Kaur, H., & Kearney, C. A. (2015). Healing from childhood and adolescent maltreatment and PTSD: An Examination of Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms among Maltreated Multiracial Youth. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 24(2014), 487–500.
- [16] Kletter, H., Weems, C. F., & Carrion, V. G. (2009). Guilt and posttraumatic stress symptoms in child victims of interpersonal violence. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *14*(1), 71–83.
- [17] Kolko, D. J., Brown, E. J., & Berliner, L. (2002). Children's perceptions of their abusive experience: measurement and preliminary findings. *Child Maltreatment*, 7(1), 42–55.

- [18] Lam, K. Y.-I. (2015). Disclosure and psychological well-being of sexually abused adolescents in Hong Kong. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 24(7), 731-752.
- Mannarino, A. P., Cohen, J. A., & Berman, S. R. (1994). The children's attributions and perceptions scale: A new measure of sexual abuse-related factors. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 23(2), 204–211.
- [19] Mannarino, A. P., Cohen, J. A., Deblinger, E., Runyon, M. K., & Steer, R. A. (2012).
 Trauma-Focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for Children Sustained Impact of
 Treatment 6 and 12 Months Later. *Child Maltreatment*, 17(3), 231–241.
- McCloskey, L. A., & Walker, M. (2000). Posttraumatic Stress in Children Exposed to Family Violence and Single-Event Trauma. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39(1), 108–115.
- McDonagh, M., Peterson, K., Raina, P., Chang, S., & Shekelle, P. (2008). Avoiding Bias in Selecting Studies. In Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews AHRQ Publication No. 10(14)-EHC063-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
- Meiser-Stedman, R. (2002). Towards a Cognitive–Behavioral Model of PTSD in Children and Adolescents. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, *5*(4), 217–232.
- Mitchell, R., Brennan, K., Curran, D., Hanna, D., & Dyer, K. F. W. (2017). A Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Appraisals of Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress in Children and Adolescents. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *30*(1), 88–93.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D., & Group., T. P. (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med*, 6(7), e1000097.
- [8b] Münzer, A., Ganser, H. G., & Goldbeck, L. (2017). Child Abuse & Neglect Social

- support, negative maltreatment-related cognitions and posttraumatic stress symptoms in children and adolescents. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *63*, 183–191.
- National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2018). Post-traumatic stress disorder.

 Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng116/chapter/

 Recommendations#management-of-ptsd-in-children-young-people-and-adults
- National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2017). Child abuse and neglect: management. Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng76
- [20] Ogle, C. M., Block, S. D., Harris, L. S., Goodman, G. S., Pineda, A., Timmer, S., ... Saywitz, K. J. (2013). Autobiographical memory specificity in child sexual abuse victims. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(2), 321-332.
- [21] Pittenger, S. L., Schreier, A., Meidlinger, K., Pogue, J. K., Theimer, K., Flood, M. F., & Hansen, D. J. (2016). Psychological Distress and Revictimization Risk in Youth Victims of Sexual Abuse. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
- Price-Robertson, R., Higgins, D., & Vassallo, S. (2013). Multi-type maltreatment and polyvictimisation: A comparison of two research frameworks. *Family Matters*, (93), 84.
- [22] Ross, E. H., & Kearney, C. A. (2017). Posttraumatic symptoms among maltreated youth using classification and regression tree analysis. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 69, 177-187.
- [23] Runyon, M. K., & Kenny, M. C. (2002). Relationship of attributional style, depression, and posttrauma distress among children who suffered physical or sexual abuse. *Child Maltreatment*, 7, 254–264.
- Sachser, C., Keller, F., & Goldbeck, L. (2017). Complex PTSD as proposed for ICD-11: validation of a new disorder in children and adolescents and their response to Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 58(2), 160-168.

- Saywitz, K. J., Mannarino, A. P., Berliner, L., & Cohen, J. A. (2000). Treatment of sexually abused children and adolescents. *American Psychologist*, 55(9), 1040–1049.
- [13b] Shapiro, D. N., Kaplow, J. B., Amaya-Jackson, L., & Dodge, K. A. (2012). Behavioral markers of coping and psychiatric symptoms among sexually abused children. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *25*, 157–163.
- [25] Sharma-Patel, K., & Brown, E. J. (2016). Emotion regulation and self blame as mediators and moderators of trauma-specific treatment. *Psychology of Violence*, *6*(3), 400–409.
- [24] Sharma-Patel, K., Filton, B., Tebbett, A., Tahilani, K., Swiecicki, C. L., & Brown, E. J. (2014). Patterns in Blame Attributions in Maltreated Youth: Association with Psychopathology and Interpersonal Functioning. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment* {&} Trauma, 23(6), 567–588.
- [26] Shenk, C. E., Putnam, F. W., Rausch, J. R., Peugh, J. L., & Noll, J. G. (2014). A longitudinal study of several potential mediators of the relationship between child maltreatment and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms. *Development and Psychopathology*.
- [27] Spaccarelli, S. (1995). Measuring abuse stress and negative cognitive appraisals in child sexual abuse: validity data on two new scales. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 23(6), 703–727.
- [28] Srinivas, T., DePrince, A. P., & Chu, A. T. (2015). Links between posttrauma appraisals and trauma-related distress in adolescent females from the child welfare system. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 47, 14-23.
- Thompson, N. J., Fiorillo, D., Rothbaum, B. O., Ressler, K. J., & Michopoulos, V. (2018).

 Coping strategies as mediators in relation to resilience and posttraumatic stress disorder.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT (2019)

- Journal of Affective Disorders, 225, 153–159.
- Thompson, R., English, D. J., & White, C. R. (2016). Maltreatment history as persistent risk:

 An extension of Li &Godinet (2014). *Children and Youth Services Review*, 64, 117–121.
- Trickey, D., Siddaway, A. P., Meiser-Stedman, R., Serpell, L., & Field, A. P. (2012). A metaanalysis of risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 32, 122–138.
- Ullman, S. E., & Filipas, H. H. (2005). Gender differences in social reactions to abuse disclosures, post-abuse coping, and PTSD of child sexual abuse survivors. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 29(7), 767–782.
- Van der Kolk, B. A. (2005). Developmental Trauma Disorder. *Psychiatric Annals*, *35*(5), 401-408.
- Walsh, K., Fortier, M. A., & DiLillo, D. (2010, January). Adult coping with childhood sexual abuse: A theoretical and empirical review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 15(1), 1-13
- [31] Wolfe, D. A., Sas, L., & Wekerle, C. (1994). Factors associated with the development of posttraumatic stress disorder among child victims of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 18(1), 37–50.
- [30] Wolfe, V., Gentile, C., Michienzi, T., & Sas, L. (1991). The Children's Impact of Traumatic Events Scale: A measure of post-sexual-abuse PTSD symptoms. *Behavioral Assessment*, 13(4), 359–383.
- [29] Wolfe, V., Gentile, C., & Wolfe, D. A. (1989). The impact of sexual abuse on children: A PTSD formulation. *Behavior Therapy*, 20(2), 215-228.
- World Health Organisation (WHO). (2016). Child maltreatment. Retrieved July 8, 2017, from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs150/en/

Table 1 Overview of study findings and effect size

	G' 'C' :1	NT : 100 - 11
	Significantly	Not significantly
D	associated with PTSS	associated with
Psychological Process	(p≤.05)	$\frac{\text{PTSS } (p \ge .05)}{\text{CD 1}}$
Abuse-specific self-blame ^{1, 5, 7a, 7b, 10c, 16, 21, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31}	r = .37 .42 .47.52	SD change = $.07.14$ -
Guilt ^{12, 16, 31}	SD change = 20.30	.1417
Guilt	SD change = $.39$ R ² change = $.10$	SD change = .10
Perpetrator blame 10b, 17	K change – .10	SD change = .0001
1 especiator bianic		.06 .15
Mother blame 10b	SD change = .17 .27	SD change = $.05.13$
General attributions of self-blame ^{4, 7a, 7b,}	r = .43	r = .2
10a, 10c, 23, 29, 30	SD change =16 .42	SD change = .0106
	.53	.1010
Shame ^{10a, 10c, 16, 19, 28}	SD change = .2031	Odds ratio = 1.09
	.45 .50 .55	SD change = $.09$
Reduced perceived credibility ⁴	r = .27	3
Reduced interpersonal trust 4	r = .27	
Perceived negative reactions of others ^{5, 30}	r = .50.54	
C		
Feeling different to others ⁴	r=.32	
Alienation ²⁸	SD change = .42	
Personal vulnerability ^{5, 30}	r = .42.5.65	
Perceived victimisation ¹⁷	<i>d</i> = .84	
Powerlessness 5, 21, 30	r =53	r = .01.02.16
Negative cognitions about the world ^{5, 15, 30}	SD change = .37	r =08.30
Perceived impact of abuse ²¹	r = .43.44	
Perceived victim consequences ¹⁷	d = 1.4	
Combined appraisals 4, 8a, 8b, 10c, 14, 24, 27	r = .55.70.72	
	R^2 change = .09 .19 .25	
	d = .23 .31 .50	
Autobiographical memory specificity ²⁰		r = .31
Integration of memory and affect ⁶		r = .00
Avoidant coping 1, 3, 7a, 9, 13a, 13b, 26	r = .26 .34 .36 .66	SD change = $.15.27$
72.0	SD change $=$ 26	GD 1 06
Approach coping ^{7a 9}	r = .36	SD change = 06
Meaning making ⁶	CD -1 26	r =27
Internalised coping ³	SD change = .36	
Dissociation 5, 13a, 14, 20	<i>r</i> = .38 .54 .55 .59	CD aleases 02
Depersonalisation ¹⁶	CD alson as 21	SD change $=03$
Derealisation ¹⁶ Attentional bias ^{2, 11}	SD change = $.21$	n – × 17
¹ Papers included in this review are numbered in the referen	nce list. The numbers reported nex	r = <.17

¹ Papers included in this review are numbered in the reference list. The numbers reported next to each psychological process refer to the papers which examine this process and PTSS.

² SD change = The unit of change in the PTSS outcome measure that is associated with a one standard deviation change in the

psychological process measure. 3 The effect sizes reported in bold font are from studies with quality assessment scores \geq 60%.

Table 2
Implications of the review for practice, policy, and research

Implications of the review for practice, policy, and research	Dalian	Dagagah
Practice	Policy	Research
Assessment The constitution below in the second to secon	NICE guidelines for managing child	Future research avenues in
The cognitive behavioural model of PTSS can be used to guide	abuse and neglect (NG76, 2017):	relation to the
assessment of maltreated youth. A comprehensive assessment	• Children who have experienced abuse	development/maintenance of
should gather an overview of:	and neglect: NICE recommends multi	PTSS in maltreated youth: • The role of all
Demographic factors	systemic intervention for families or	
• Abuse characteristics	parenting interventions.	psychological processes,
• Specific constellation of appraisals held	• Young people who have been sexually	particularly the role of
Coping strategies employed	abused and display symptoms of	trauma memory
• Any evidence of disrupted memory processing	PTSS: NICE recommends group or individual TF-CBT.	• The interplay of abuse features and psychological
• Any evidence of dissociative responses	NICE guidelines for PTSD (CG26,	processes
Intervention	2005):	• Specific combinations of
• TF-CBT components which focus on modifying maladaptive	• TF-CBT for young people who	appraisals
appraisals might be useful for maltreated youth	experience chronic PTSS symptoms	• The role of guilt,
• Clinicians should be tentative about targeting coping strategies	This review suggests that all maltreated	interpersonally-based
that youth are employing adaptively but should try to facilitate	youth should be screened for potentially	appraisals and perceived
the learning of adaptive coping skills for long-term adjustment.	maladaptive appraisals and coping	'impact of abuse'
• Persistent dissociative coping responses are likely to be	responses before these develop into	• The role of cognitive
unhelpful to adjustment and should be targeted in intervention.	clinical level difficulties.	coping and particularly
• There is not enough evidence for the role of memory in PTSS	This review suggests that more evidence	attentional bias
amongst maltreated youth to say whether or not this process is	is needed to support the application of a	• Longitudinal research,
important as an intervention target. However, targeting trauma	cognitive behavioural model of PTSS	especially into how coping
memories is considered a central component of TF-CBT and	following maltreatment. In turn	strategies influence ongoing
clinicians should be mindful that skipping this component	empirical findings need to be used to	adjustment
could unknowingly reinforce avoidant coping or reinforce the	inform intervention, policy and service	• The role of psychological
idea that young people should not talk about their trauma.	provision for maltreated children.	processes in maltreatment
		populations other than
X ×		sexual abuse.
		1



