

Citation for published version:

Hamann, F, Zakamska, NL, Ross, N, Paris, I, Alexandroff, RM, Villforth, C, Richards, GT, Herbst, H, Brandt, WN, Cook, B, Denney, KD, Greene, JE, Schneider, DP & Strauss, MA 2016, 'Extremely red quasars in BOSS', Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, vol. 464, no. 3, pp. 3431-3463. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2387>

DOI: [10.1093/mnras/stw2387](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2387)

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](https://researchportal.bath.ac.uk/en/publications/6cd0a5dc-0423-461d-b810-eac86382112c)

Publisher Rights Unspecified

This article has been accepted for publication in the Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society ©: 2017 The Authors Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

**University of Bath**

## **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

## **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Advance Access publication 2016 September 26

# **Extremely red quasars in BOSS**

Fred Hamann,  $1.2\star$  $1.2\star$  $1.2\star$  Nadia L. Zakamska,  $3.4$  $3.4$  Nicholas Ross,  $5$  Isabelle Paris,  $6$ Rachael M. Alexandroff,<sup>3</sup> Carolin Villforth,<sup>[7](#page-1-7)</sup> Gordon T. Richards, $^8$  $^8$  Hanna Herbst,<sup>2</sup> W. Niel Brandt,  $9,10,11$  $9,10,11$  $9,10,11$  Ben Cook,  $12$  Kelly D. Denney,  $13$  Jenny E. Greene,  $14$ Donald P. Schneider $9,15$  $9,15$  and Michael A. Strauss<sup>14</sup>

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, CA 92507, USA*

- <span id="page-1-3"></span><sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*
- <span id="page-1-4"></span><sup>4</sup>*Deborah Lunder and Alan Ezekowitz Founders' Circle Member, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Dr., Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*
- <span id="page-1-5"></span><sup>5</sup>*Institute for Astronomy, SUPA, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK*
- <span id="page-1-6"></span><sup>6</sup>*INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, Via G. B. Tiepolo 11, I-34131 Trieste, Italy*
- <span id="page-1-7"></span><sup>7</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK*
- <span id="page-1-8"></span><sup>8</sup>*Department of Physics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA*
- <span id="page-1-9"></span><sup>9</sup>*Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA*
- <span id="page-1-10"></span><sup>10</sup>*Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA*
- <span id="page-1-11"></span><sup>11</sup>*Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA*
- <span id="page-1-12"></span><sup>12</sup>*Harvard–Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*
- <span id="page-1-13"></span><sup>13</sup>*Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, McPherson Laboratory, 140 West 18th Avenue Columbus, OH 43210, USA*
- <span id="page-1-14"></span><sup>14</sup>*Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*
- <span id="page-1-15"></span><sup>15</sup>*Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA*

Accepted 2016 September 15. Received 2016 September 13; in original form 2016 June 20

## **ABSTRACT**

Red quasars are candidate young objects in an early transition stage of massive galaxy evolution. Our team recently discovered a population of extremely red quasars (ERQs) in the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) that has a suite of peculiar emission-line properties including large rest equivalent widths (REWs), unusual 'wingless' line profiles, large N v/Ly $\alpha$ , N v/C Iv, Si Iv/C Iv and other flux ratios, and very broad and blueshifted [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007. Here we present a new catalogue of C<sub>IV</sub> and N v emission-line data for 216 188 BOSS quasars to characterize the ERQ line properties further. We show that they depend sharply on UV-to-mid-IR colour, secondarily on  $REW(C_1, N)$ , and not at all on luminosity or the Baldwin Effect. We identify a 'core' sample of 97 ERQs with nearly uniform peculiar properties selected via  $i$ –*W*3 > 4.6 (AB) and REW(C IV) > 100 Å at redshifts 2.0–3.4. A broader search finds 235 more red quasars with similar unusual characteristics. The core ERQs have median luminosity  $\langle \log L(\text{ergs s}^{-1}) \rangle \sim 47.1$ , sky density 0.010 deg<sup>-2</sup>, surprisingly flat/blue UV spectra given their red UV-to-mid-IR colours, and common outflow signatures including BALs or BAL-like features and large CIV emission-line blueshifts. Their SEDs and line properties are inconsistent with normal quasars behind a dust reddening screen. We argue that the core ERQs are a unique obscured quasar population with extreme physical conditions related to powerful outflows across the line-forming regions. Patchy obscuration by small dusty clouds could produce the observed UV extinctions without substantial UV reddening.

**Key words:** galaxies: active – quasars: absorption lines – quasars: emission lines – quasars: general.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Quasars are signposts of rapid accretion on to supermassive black holes (SMBHs) in the centres of galaxies. The observed present-day correlation between the masses of SMBHs and their surrounding

<span id="page-1-2"></span>E-mail: [frederick.hamann@ucr.edu](mailto:frederick.hamann@ucr.edu)

<span id="page-1-1"></span><sup>2</sup>*Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA*

galactic spheroids suggests that SMBH accretion/growth is intimately connected to star formation and mass assembly in the host galaxies (Gebhardt et al.  $2000$ ; Tremaine et al.  $2002$ ; Häring & Rix [2004;](#page-26-1) Gültekin et al. [2009;](#page-27-1) Shankar, Bernardi & Haiman 2009; Kormendy & Ho [2013\)](#page-27-2). The similar redshift peaks in the space density of quasars and the cosmic star formation rate at  $z \sim 2-3$ indicate that these phenomena occurred together, perhaps in a physically related way, at early cosmic times (Boyle & Terlevich [1998;](#page-26-3) Marconi et al. [2004;](#page-27-3) Merloni, Rudnick & Di Matteo [2004;](#page-27-4) Silverman et al. [2005;](#page-27-5) Wall et al. [2005;](#page-27-6) Richards et al. [2006;](#page-27-7) Rudnick et al. [2006\)](#page-27-8). Popular models of galaxy evolution describe major episodes of SMBHs growth occurring in obscurity, deep inside dusty starbursts that appear observationally as sub-mm galaxies (SMGs) or ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs; e.g. Sanders et al. [1988;](#page-27-9) Hopkins et al. [2005,](#page-27-10) [2008;](#page-27-11) Veilleux et al. [2009;](#page-27-12) Simpson et al. [2014\)](#page-27-13). Visibly luminous quasars are thought to appear near the end of this evolution when the SMBHs are massive enough to power quasars and a major blowout of gas and dust unveils the bright central source. 'Feedback' from quasar outflows during this evolution stage might play a role in driving the blowouts and regulating star formation in the host galaxies (see also Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist [2005;](#page-26-4) Hopkins et al. [2005;](#page-27-10) Hopkins & Elvis [2010;](#page-27-14) Rupke & Veilleux [2011,](#page-27-15) [2013;](#page-27-16) Liu et al. [2013;](#page-27-17) Wagner, Umemura & Bicknell [2013\)](#page-27-18).

Quasars that are obscured and reddened by dust can provide important tests of this evolution scheme if they appear preferentially during the brief transition phase from dusty starburst to normal blue quasar (e.g. Hopkins et al. [2005;](#page-27-10) Urrutia, Lacy & Becker [2008;](#page-27-19) Glikman et al. [2012,](#page-26-5) [2015;](#page-26-6) Wu et al. [2014;](#page-27-20) Assef et al. [2015;](#page-26-7) Banerji et al. [2015\)](#page-26-8). However, other explanations for quasar reddening and obscuration are also possible. The Unified Model of AGN attributes the observed differences between Type 1 (broad line) and Type 2 (narrow line) AGN to orientation effects associated with an axisymmetric dusty torus that resides near the central engine of all AGN (Antonucci [1993;](#page-26-9) Urry & Padovani [1995;](#page-27-21) Netzer [2015\)](#page-27-22). In this scenario, Type 1 AGN offer direct views of the central engine and broad emission line regions while in Type 2s these regions are heavily obscured due to our nearly edge-on view of the torus/accretion disc geometry. Intermediate orientations might produce intermediate amounts of obscuration such that we observe Type 1 quasars with red colours and perhaps a wavelength-dependent mix of Type 1 and Type 2 properties (Greene et al. [2014\)](#page-26-10). In this context, red quasars provide valuable tests of the geometry and physical structure of quasar environments.

Searches for red and obscured quasars have been propelled recently by wide-field surveys such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Zakamska et al. [2003;](#page-27-23) Reyes et al. [2008;](#page-27-24) Alexandroff et al. [2013\)](#page-26-11), the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Gregg et al. [2002;](#page-26-12) Glikman et al. [2007,](#page-26-13) [2012\)](#page-26-5), the United Kingdom Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Glikman et al. [2013\)](#page-26-14), *Spitzer Space Telescope* (Lacy et al. [2004,](#page-27-25) [2013;](#page-27-26) Stern et al. [2005,](#page-27-27) [2007;](#page-27-28) Hickox et al. [2007;](#page-27-29) Donley et al. [2012\)](#page-26-15), and the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer*(*WISE*; Mateos et al. [2012;](#page-27-30) Stern et al. [2012;](#page-27-31) Assef et al. [2013;](#page-26-16) Yan et al. [2013\)](#page-27-32). Most of these searches combine broad-band photometry with other data such as visible-wavelength spectra or radio or X-ray fluxes to identify regions of colour space populated by obscured AGN (see also Hao et al. [2013,](#page-26-17) and references therein). Obscured quasars also turn up serendipitously in galaxy searches. For example, 'HotDOG' satisfy the colour selection criteria of dust obscured galaxies (DOGs; Dey et al. [2008\)](#page-26-18) even though their luminosities and especially their mid-IR emissions are believed to be dominated by hot dust powered by luminous

embedded AGN (Eisenhardt et al. [2012;](#page-26-19) Wu et al. [2012b;](#page-27-33) Tsai et al. [2015;](#page-27-34) Fan et al. [2016b;](#page-26-20) Toba & Nagao [2016,](#page-27-35) and references therein).

In Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36), our team discovered a unusual population of extremely red quasars (ERQs) in Data Release 10 (DR10) of the Baryon Oscillation Sky Survey (BOSS; Ross et al. [2012;](#page-27-37) Dawson et al. [2013\)](#page-26-21) in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey-III (SDSS-III; Eisenstein et al. [2011\)](#page-26-22). Starting with spectroscopically confirmed quasars in the BOSS quasar catalogues (Pâris et al.  $2014$ ,  $2016$ ), we combined photometry from the SDSS and *WISE* to select the most extreme cases with red colours similar to DOGs, e.g. with *r* − *W*4 > 14 and *W*4 < 8.0 (in Vega magnitudes, where *W*4 measures observed-frame ∼22 µm). This search finds 65 quasars across a wide range of redshifts  $(0.28 < z_e < 4.36)$  with a variety of properties. It includes a mix of Type 1 and 2 quasars, some starburstdominated quasars, and several with broad absorption lines (BALs) that are strong and broad enough to suppress the *r* band flux and satisfy the  $r - W4$  colour criterion even though the emitted spectrum is not extremely red. However, there was also a remarkable discovery that many ERQs at  $z_e \gtrsim 2$  appear to be a unique population with an ensemble of peculiar emission-line characteristics including very large rest equivalent widths (REWs), line profiles that are lacking strong Lorentzian (or logarithmic) wings characteristic of other broad-line AGN, and unusual line flux ratios that can include N v  $\lambda$ 1240 > Ly $\alpha$ , strong Al III  $\lambda$ 1860, and large ratios of N v/C IV  $\lambda$ 1549 and Si IV  $\lambda$ 1400/C IV (see fig. 15 in Ross et al. [2015](#page-27-36) for examples). These properties were discussed earlier by Polletta et al. [\(2008\)](#page-27-40) for an individual red quasar that is clearly in the same class as ERQs.

Followup near-IR observations of ERQs have revealed even more remarkable properties, notably [O  $\text{III}$ ]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission lines with the largest FWHMs and highest blueshifted wing velocities ever reported, both reaching <sup>∼</sup>5000 km s−<sup>1</sup> (Hamann et al. in preparation; Zakamska et al.  $2016$ ). The [O III] lines identify powerful quasardriven outflows in relatively low-density environments that are inferred (from photoionization arguments) to reside at least ∼1 kpc from the quasars. The near-IR observations also reveal that these ERQs have extreme kinematics in their broad emission-line regions, including blueshifts that can exceed 2500 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup> in C IV and other high-ionization UV lines, e.g. compared to the H<sub>I</sub> Balmer lines and low-ionization permitted lines in the UV (Hamann et al. in preparation, also Section 5.8 below).

This ensemble of exotic emission-line properties is central to the physical nature of ERQs and their possible relationship to an early transition stage of quasar-galaxy evolution. We present a detailed analysis of the emission lines and line-forming regions of ERQs in Hamann et al. (in preparation). In this paper, we combine broadband photometry from SDSS and *WISE* with new measurements of the CIV and N <sup>V</sup> emission lines in the final BOSS data release (DR12) to (1) quantify the emission-line properties of ERQs compared to the overall BOSS quasar population, (2) examine the relationships of these properties to quasar colours and luminosities, and (3) revise the selection criteria to find many more ERQ with similar exotic properties. How rare are the emission-line properties of ERQs in BOSS quasars overall? Are they closely tied to reddening and obscuration? Do they correlate with outflow signatures such as blueshifted broad absorption lines (BALs)? Are ERQs with exotic properties a unique population or just outliers in trends that occur across the larger BOSS quasar population?

Section 2 describes the quasar samples and photometric data used in this study. Section 3 and Appendix A present our new catalogue of UV line and continuum measurements. Section 4 examines the

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1.** Quasar samples designated by a name, selection criteria, number of quasars, and text sections where the sample is described. Samples listed above the dotted line are subsets of all samples higher in the table. The ERQ-like sample below the dotted line is selected more broadly to have emission line properties like the core ERQs. The numbers 95+2 and 228+7 listed for the last two samples refer to 95/228 quasars in our emission-line catalogue plus 2/7 more identified by visual inspections and additional line fits for all BOSS DR12Q quasars with  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$ .

Sample name	Selection criteria	Number	Text ref.
<b>DR120</b>		297,301	Section 2
Emission-line catalogue	$1.53 \le z_e \le 5.0$	216,188	Section 3, Appendix A
<b>Full Sample</b>	$2.0 < z_{e} < 3.4$ $i$ mag in DR12O	173,636	Section 2
W <sub>3</sub> -detected	well-measured C <u>t</u> v SNR(W3) > 3 $cc$ _flags = 0000	36,854	Section 2
<b>EROs</b>	$i-W3 > 4.6$	205	Sections 2, 4.5
Core EROs	$REW(C_{IV}) > 100\text{\AA}$	$95 + 2$	Section 5.1
ERO-like	$2.0 < z_{e} < 4.0$ core ERO-like C <sub>IV</sub>	$228+7$	Section 5.7, Appendix B

relationships of ERQ emission-line properties to the quasar colours and luminosities across the BOSS quasar population. Section 5 describes the selection and important characteristics of a new large sample of ERQs with exotic properties. Section 6 discusses some of the implications of our results and Section 7 provides a summary. Appendix B tabulates a supplemental sample of 'ERQ-like' quasars. Throughout this paper, we adopt a cosmology with  $H_0 = 71$  km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Omega_M = 0.27$  and  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ . We also use magnitudes in the AB system except as noted.

#### **2 QUASAR SAMPLES AND DATA SETS**

Table [1](#page-3-0) provides a summary of the quasar samples discussed in this paper. Our starting point is the BOSS quasar catalogue for Data Release 12 (hereafter DR12Q; Pâris et al. [2014,](#page-27-38) [2016\)](#page-27-39). From this sample, we develop a new catalogue of  $C$  IV and  $N$  v emission-line data for 216 188 quasars in the redshift range  $1.53 \le z_e \le 5.0$ . This new catalogue is described in Section 3 and Appendix A. Most of our analysis focusses on quasars with measured line properties in this catalogue. However, we also examine all quasars with extreme red colours in DR12Q to ensure that our final ERQ samples are complete in the BOSS DR12Q data base.

We begin by defining a 'full sample' of 173 636 quasars in the redshift range 2.0 < *ze* < 3.4 (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). This limited redshift range encompasses most of the BOSS survey while ensuring that (1)  $Ly\alpha$ and  $N v \lambda 1240$  are within the BOSS spectral coverage, (2) C Iv  $\lambda$ 1549 does not contaminate the *i* band magnitudes, and (3) there is no significant redshift dependence in the *i*–*W*3 colour (Fig. [9](#page-9-0) in Section 4.3) that we use to select and study the ERQs below. We also require that the quasar has an *i* magnitude recorded in DR12Q and that the C IV lines are well measured in our catalogue based on signal-to-noise ratio SNR  $\geq$  4 in both REW(C IV) and FWHM(C IV), a reasonable value of  $500 < \text{FWHM}(C_{\text{IV}}) < 20,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and quality flag  $qf$ lag = 0 indicating no significant problems with the line or continuum fits (Appendix A). The median redshift of this full sample is  $\langle z_e \rangle \approx 2.5$ .

We colour select using broad-band photometry from the SDSS (York et al. [2000;](#page-27-42) Alam et al. [2015\)](#page-26-23), *WISE* (Wright et al. [2010;](#page-27-43) Yan et al. [2013\)](#page-27-32) and UKIDSS (Casali et al. [2007\)](#page-26-24), as provided in the BOSS DR12Q quasar catalogue (see Pâris et al.  $2014$ ,  $2016$ ). SDSS photometry in the filters *ugriz* is available for every quasar (e.g. Aihara et al. [2011,](#page-26-25) and references therein). We correct these magnitudes for Galactic extinction using offsets from Schlafly & Finkbeiner [\(2011\)](#page-27-44). *WISE* provides fluxes in four bands *W*1, *W*2, *W*3 and *W*4 centred at 3.4, 4.6, 12 and 22 µm, respectively. The *WISE* data are from the most recent 'AllWISE' release (Cutri et al. [2013\)](#page-26-26), which yields deeper photometry in the two shorter wavelength bands and better results overall than the earlier data release 'AllSky' (see also Ross et al. [2015,](#page-27-36) for more discussion). We convert *WISE* magnitudes in the Vega system to AB using offsets provided by Cutri et al. [\(2011\)](#page-26-27). UKIDSS provides photometry in the filters *YJHK*, which are already converted to fluxes in DR12Q (Pâris et al. [2014,](#page-27-38) [2016\)](#page-27-39).

Note that the broad-band rest-frame UV properties of quasars in our study are constrained by the target selection criteria used in BOSS (Bovy et al. [2011;](#page-26-28) Ross et al. [2012\)](#page-27-37). This is important to keep in mind because it skews our searches for ERQs towards a particular unusual SED that is relatively flat across the restframe UV while being extremely red from the UV to mid-IR (see Section 5.5, also Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36).

*WISE W*3 and the specifically the *i*–*W*3 colour are critical for the selection and analysis of ERQs at the redshifts of our study. The subset of our full sample with good *W*3 measurements based on *WISE* contamination and confusion flag  $cc_f$  flags = 0000, which indicates no significant problems, and  $SNR \geq 3$  in the *W3* flux (as listed in DR12Q) includes 36 854 quasars.

Within this *W*3-detected sample, we find 205 ERQs defined by  $i-W3 > 4.6$  and a subset of 95 'core' ERQs that have both  $i-W3$  $> 4.6$  and REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $> 100$  Å. These parameter thresholds are based on our analyses in Section 4. We also identify 228 'ERQlike' quasars that span wider ranges in redshift and colour with emission-line properties like the core ERQs (Section 5.7). We supplement these core ERQ and ERQ-like samples selected from our emission-line catalogue by performing visual inspections and additional line fits to all quasars that satisfy *i*–*W*3 > 4.6 in DR12Q. This additional search finds only two more core ERQs and seven more ERQ-like quasars in DR12Q that are not in our emission-line catalogue (see S3 and Appendix A for details). Thus the total numbers of quasars in the core ERQ and ERQ-like samples are 95+2=97 and  $228+7= 235$ , respectively.

Finally, for some of our discussions, we consider a crude division between Type 1 and Type 2 sources based on  $FWHM(C_IV) \ge$ 2000 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup> or < 2000 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup>, respectively (following previous studies by Alexandroff et al. [2013;](#page-26-11) Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36). We add to this the requirement that Type 2 quasars cannot have BALs based on the visual inspection flag in DR12Q. This distinction between Type 1 and 2 sources is just a guideline to help describe and compare the different samples. The ambiguities in Type 1 versus Type 2 classifications based on UV spectra are discussed further in Section 5.4 (also Zakamska et al. [2003,](#page-27-23) [2016;](#page-27-41) Reyes et al. [2008;](#page-27-24) Alexandroff et al. [2013;](#page-26-11) Greene et al. [2014\)](#page-26-10).

## **3 LINE AND CONTINUUM MEASUREMENTS**

Emission line measurements are an important part of our study. We developed simple robust procedures to fit the UV continuum and the C IV  $\lambda$ 1548,1551 (hereafter  $\lambda$ 1549) and N v  $\lambda$ 1238,1242 (hereafter λ1240) emission lines in 216 188 quasars in BOSS DR12Q. The quasar redshift are limited to the range  $1.53 \le z_e \le 5.0$  so that C IV and the adjacent continuum are covered by BOSS. Our measurements provide line profile information and N v/C Iv flux ratios not available in DR12Q, and they can yield better results for quasars like the ERQs that have unusual line properties and/or faint rest-frame UV continua that lead to noisy spectra in BOSS. The only BOSS quasars in this redshift range excluded from our measurements have strong C<sub>IV</sub> BALs that are reported in DR12Q to be at velocities that might interfere with our fits to the CIV emission line profiles or to the continuum beneath CIV. Appendix A describes the fitting procedures and the final catalogue of results. Here we provide a brief summary.

Throughout this paper we adopt the best available emission-line redshifts (*ze*) from DR12Q, e.g. derived from spectral fitting except in rare cases where those are not available we use the visual inspection redshifts. In either case, precise redshifts are not important for our study. We use separate power laws to fit the continuum beneath the  $C_{IV}$  and  $N_{V}$  emission lines, and then another single power law across the wavelength range  $1360-2230$  Å (rest) to measure the overall UV continuum slope,  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  (for  $f_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha_{\lambda}}$ ). The values of  $\alpha_{\lambda}$ that we use below are derived from the BOSS spectra after applying wavelength-dependent flux corrections (from Harris et al. [2016,](#page-27-45) see Appendix A).

We fit the C<sub>IV</sub> emission line profiles with two Gaussian components and then use these fits to measure basic line parameters including the REW, FWHM, and a kurtosis index we call  $kt_{80}$  that characterizes the profile shape in terms of the width of the line core relative to the wings. Specifically, this index measures the velocity width at 80 per cent of the peak height divided by the width at 20 per cent, e.g.  $kt_{80} \equiv \Delta v(80 \text{ per cent})/\Delta v(20 \text{ per cent})$ . For comparison, a single Gaussian has  $kt_{80} = 0.372$  while most quasars have substantial logarithmic or Lorentzian line wings that yield  $kt_{80}$  ∼ 0.15−0.3 (Section 4.2 below). The *kt*<sup>80</sup> kurtosis index is a variation on the index used by Marziani et al. [\(1996\)](#page-27-46) and Zamfir et al. [\(2010\)](#page-27-47) that provides a slightly greater dynamic range between different profile shapes.

The  $N_v$  emission line presents unique problems because it is usually blended with the  $Ly\alpha$  emission line, which, in turn, can be distorted by absorption in the  $Ly\alpha$  forest at rest wavelengths  $\leq$ 1216 Å. We avoid these problems by using the fitted C<sub>IV</sub> profile as a template that we shift and scale to match the data at the N <sup>V</sup> wavelengths. Visual inspections of several thousand spectra show that the  $C_V$  and  $N_V$  fits are generally excellent. We note, however, that the N v line strength can be overestimated in cases where  $Ly\alpha$ is unusually broad and strong leading to substantial flux relative to N <sup>V</sup> across the N <sup>V</sup> wavelengths. This is not a factor for any of the ERQs.

## **4 ANALYSIS**

Here we investigate the relationships of the emission-line properties of ERQs to the colours and luminosities of quasars in BOSS DR12Q. Figs [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-4-1) plot the measured  $REW(C_{IV})$  and  $FWHM(C_{IV})$  distributions for all quasars in our full sample, the *W*3-detected subsample, and two ERQ samples defined in Table [1](#page-3-0) (Section 2).

We retain the term 'ERQ' from Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) even though we use it to indicate a less stringent colour constraint of  $i - W3 \ge 4.6$  compared to  $r - W4 > 7.5$  (corresponding to  $r - W4$ ) > 14 Vega magnitudes) in the Ross et al. study. There are 205 ERQs defined by  $i - W3 ≥ 4.6$  in our *W*3-detected sample. Note that the *i* and *W*3 filters measure the quasar fluxes at rest wavelengths of ∼0.2 µm and ∼3.4 µm, respectively, at the median redshift *ze* ∼ 2.5 of our samples. We use *i* instead of *r* to define ERQs because *r* can be severely contaminated by  $C_{IV}$  in emission or absorption at redshifts -2.7. The advantages of *W*3 over *W*4 for ERQ selection

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** REW( $C_V$ ) distributions for all quasars in our full sample (blue histogram), the *WISE W*3-detected sample (grey histogram), and extremely red quasars (ERQs) with  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$  (red histogram). The log numbers of quasars on the left axis are per 10 Å bin in  $REW(C_{IV})$ . See Section 2.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

**Figure 2.** FWHM(C IV) distributions for all quasars in our full sample (blue histogram), the *WISE W*3-detected sample (grey histogram), and ERQs in the 'core' sample with  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$  and REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq 100 \text{ Å}$  (red histogram). The numbers of quasars on the left axis are per 300 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup> bin in FWHM(C IV). The *W*3-detected and core ERQ distributions are multiplied by 2 and 200, respectively. See Section 2.

are described in Section 4.4. Also note that there is no significant redshift dependence in the observed *i*–*W*3 colours in our samples (Fig. [9](#page-9-0) below).

#### **4.1 Color versus luminosity dependence of REW(C IV)**

One important characteristic of ERQs is a tendency for large emission-line REWs (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) noted that 45 per cent of Type 1 non-BAL ERQs have  $REW(C_{IV}) > 150$ Å compared to only 1.3 per cent for BOSS quasars overall. This is a remarkable result, but there are thousands of BOSS quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0) and most of them are *not* red. This raises the question of whether the large REWs in ERQs are related to their extreme red colours or perhaps some other property of the quasars, such as their luminosities.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3. CIV Baldwin Effect for Type 1 non-BAL quasars in our full sample (top panel) and the *W*3-detected subsample (bottom). The green lines are linear fits to the log–log distributions with slopes given by  $\beta$  in the lower right. The red dots in the bottom panel mark ERQs with  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$ . The dashed blue lines at  $REW(C_{IV}) = 100$ , 150 and 200 Å are labelled by the numbers of quasars above these thresholds. In the bottom panel, the two numbers indicate all quasars plotted/-ERQs only. The contours shown here and in all subsequent figures mark the quasar point densities at 85 per cent, 55 per cent and 25 per cent of the maximum in the plot, where the maximum is measured in a box whose size is 1/50th of the full *x*- and *y*-axis dimensions.

The Baldwin Effect (Baldwin [1977\)](#page-26-29) is an empirical inverse correlation between emission-line REWs and luminosity in Type 1 quasars (see Shields [2007,](#page-27-48) for a review). The REW distributions shown in Fig. [1](#page-4-0) are strongly affected by this correlation. In particular, the *W*3-detected sample is skewed towards smaller REWs than the full sample because the limited sensitivity of *W*3 excludes many low-luminosity quasars with large REWs.

Fig. [3](#page-5-0) illustrates the C<sub>IV</sub> Baldwin Effect more directly for all non-BAL Type 1 quasars in our full and *W*3-detected samples. BAL quasars are excluded from this plot based on the visual inspection flag bal\_flag\_vi =  $0$  in DR12Q. The continuum luminosities at  $\lambda = 1450$  Å in the rest frame,  $\lambda L_{\lambda}(1450)$ , are estimated by extrapolation from the observed broad-band *r* or *i* fluxes (for redshifts  $z_e$  < 2.7 or  $\geq$  2.7, respectively) using the UV spectral slopes  $\alpha_{\lambda}$ determined from our spectral fits (Appendix A). The results shown are in good agreement with previous studies. In particular, the slope of the log–log distribution for the full sample,  $\beta \approx -0.23$ , is similar to the value  $\beta \approx -0.20$  derived by Dietrich et al. [\(2002\)](#page-26-30) for quasars with similar luminosities.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**Figure 4.** Distributions in *i*–*W*3 colour for Type 1 quasars in the *W*3 detected sample (grey histogram) and the subset with  $REW(C_{IV}) > 150 \text{ Å}$ (red histogram). The blue histogram shows the percentages of all Type 1 *W*3-detected quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å at each *i*–*W*3 colour, given on the right-hand vertical scale.

The REW thresholds marked by the dashed blue lines in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) show that there are 4397 Type 1 non-BAL quasars with  $REW(C_{IV}) > 150$  Å in our full sample. As noted above, Type 1 non-BAL quasars with *W*3 detections (bottom panel in Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0) tend to have smaller REWs because this sample favours large  $\lambda L_{\lambda}(1450)$ . The ERQs (marked by red dots) strongly favour large REWs at *apparent* low luminosities. This seems consistent with the Baldwin Effect. However, the faint *i* magnitudes of ERQs are caused by typically ∼3 mag of UV obscuration (Section 5.5, Section 6.1), their actual luminosities are typically ∼1.2 dex larger than depicted in this plot (Section 5.1) and the Baldwin Effect does *not* explain their large REWs.

Fig. [4](#page-5-1) shows that quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å strongly favour red *i*–*W*3 colours. This figure plots the *i*–*W*3 distribution for Type 1 quasars in our *W*3-detected sample (grey histogram), the fractions of these quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å (blue histogram), and the distribution of Type 1 *W*3-detected quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å (red histogram). The median<sup>1</sup> colour in the *W*3-detected sample is  $\langle i - W3 \rangle = 2.50 \pm 0.56$ . The fraction of quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å at this median colour is only about 0.4 per cent. The red histogram shows that the colour distribution of quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å is dramatically offset towards the red with a strong extension and secondary peak at *i*–*W*3  $\gtrsim$  4.6. This redward extension/peak is the ERQs defined by *i*–*W*3  $\geq$  4.6, for which the fraction with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å reaches ∼50 per cent at the red extreme.

Unfortunately, Fig. [4](#page-5-1) also includes selection effects that couple red *i*–*W*3 colours to faint *i* magnitudes and thus larger REWs via the Baldwin Effect. Fig. [5](#page-6-0) shows these effects for each of the colours *i*–*W*2 *i*–*W*3 and *i*–*W*4. In particular, quasars that are faint in *i* in our *W*3-detected sample are necessarily red in *i*–*W*3. Faint blue quasars are excluded because they are below the sensitivity limits of the *WISE* photometry (below the green curves in Fig. [5\)](#page-6-0). This makes the dependence of large REWs on red colours versus faint

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Throughout this paper, we provide sample medians with 'standard errors' that are derived by a bootstrapping technique (resampling with replacement). For our samples, these errors are equivalent to standard deviations. The uncertainties contributed by individual measurement errors are insignificant compared to the standard errors.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** SDSS *i* magnitude versus *i*–*W*4 (top panel), *i*–*W*3 (middle) and *i*–*W*2 (bottom) for all quasar in our full sample with good *W*4, *W*3 or *W*2 detections (SNR  $> 3$  and cc\_flag = 0000), respectively. The green lines show approximate sensitivity limits of the *WISE* filters. The red and orange dots indicate Type 1 and 2 quasars, respectively, with  $REW(C_{IV}) > 150$  Å. The squares indicate REW(C IV) > 150 Å and 'wingless' profiles with  $kt_{80}$ > 0.33. The dashed blue line in the middle panel marks the ERQ threshold at  $i-W3 = 4.6$ .

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Figure 6.** Distributions in *i* magnitude for blue Type 1 quasars with *i*–*W*3 < 3.5 (grey histogram) and the subset of them with  $REW(C_{IV}) > 150 \text{ Å}$  (red histogram). The blue histogram shows the percentages of blue quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å at each *i* magnitude, given on the right-hand vertical scale. See Section 4.1 for more on the samples plotted.

*i* magnitudes difficult to disentangle. However, it is clear from the upward extensions of red/orange points representing quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å in Fig. [5,](#page-6-0) and particularly from the red/orange squares representing large REWs with unusual wingless profiles  $(kt<sub>80</sub> > 0.33)$ , that red colours are important to find rare quasars with both of these peculiar emission-line properties.

Fig. [6](#page-6-1) attempts to isolate the *i* magnitude dependence by plotting the *i* distributions and the fractions of quasars with  $REW(C_1V)$ 150 Å only for blue quasars with *i* − *W*3 < 3.5. Many quasars in this plot are not detected in *W*3, e.g. below the green line in the lower right corner of Fig. [5.](#page-6-0) For these *W*3 non-detections, we still require good *WISE* measurement in the more sensitive *W*1 or *W*2 bands (with  $cc_f$  flags = 0000) to ensure that the *W3* non-detections are not due to measurement problems. We see that faint blue quasars also have a high incidence of  $REW(C_{IV}) > 150$  Å, as expected from the Baldwin Effect. However, at a magnitude equal to the median for ERQs,  $\langle i \rangle \sim 21.4$  (Fig. [5](#page-6-0) middle panel), the percentage of blue quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 150 Å is only ~5 per cent compared to 25 per cent for the ERQs overall and ∼50 per cent for the reddest ERQs with  $i$ –*W*3  $\gtrsim$  5.5 (e.g. Figs [1](#page-4-0) and [4\)](#page-5-1). This shows that extreme large REWs are more closely related to red *i*–*W*3 colours than to faint *i* magnitudes.

Moreover[,](#page-7-0) if the red colours and faint *i* magnitudes of ERQs are caused by UV obscuration (as expected, Section 6.1), then ERQs are luminous and their actual peers in the Baldwin Effect are luminous blue quasars with similar *W*3 magnitudes (not similar *i*) that strongly favour *small* REWs in the Baldwin Effect (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). The median *W*3 magnitude of ERQs with  $i - W3 > 4.6$  is  $\langle W3 \rangle \approx 16.1 \pm$ 0.7. Out of 6119 blue quasars in our emission-line catalogue with similar *W3* magnitudes (in the range  $15.3 < W3 < 16.5$  to yield a median  $\langle W3 \rangle \approx 16.2 \pm 0.3$ ), only 2 (0.03 per cent) have REW(C IV)  $> 150$  Å and 25 (0.4 per cent) have REW(C IV)  $\geq 100$  Å. The median REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) in this *W*3-matched blue sample is also only  $31.8 \pm 14.2$  Å compared to  $89.4 \pm 81.4$  Å for the ERQs (where the large standard error for the ERQs reflects the distribution reaching very large  $REW(C_{IV})$ , Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). If the Baldwin Effect is operating at all in the ERQs, it would push them towards *smaller* REWs like these blue quasars, not larger ones as observed. We conclude that the Baldwin Effect plays no role whatsoever in the extreme large REWs of ERQs.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Kurtosis index *kt*<sub>80</sub> for C<sub>IV</sub> (left-hand panels) and line flux ratio N v/C<sub>IV</sub> (right-hand panels) versus *W*3 magnitude (top), log REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) in Å (middle), and *i*–*W*3 colour (bottom) for quasars in the *W*3-detected sample. In the top two rows (panels A-D), the red and orange symbols mark Type 1 and 2 ERQs, respectively defined by *i* − *W*3 ≥ 4.6. In the bottom row (panels E-F), the red and orange symbols mark all Type 1 and 2 quasars, respectively, with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq$  100 Å. The dashed blue line marks the ERQ threshold at *i*–*W*3 = 4.6. In the right-hand panels, the square red and orange symbols additionally indicate  $k t_{80} > 0.33$ . Quasars with weak lines bunched up at  $k t_{80} = 0.37$  are artefacts of the fitting procedure, which defaults to a single Gaussian if the fit is not improved by a second component. The green curves in panels C and D are fits to the point distributions intended only to guide the eye.

## **4.2 Exotic line properties tied to** *i***–***W***3 colour**

Another important feature of ERQs is that the large REWs are accompanied by peculiar wingless line profiles and often by exotic line ratios like  $N v > C v$  and  $N v > Ly\alpha$ . Fig. [5](#page-6-0) above indicates that  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$  (middle panel) is particularly effective at separating quasars with large REWs and wingless profiles from the rest of the quasar population. Fig. [7](#page-7-0) shows more explicitly how these ERQ line properties are related to each other and strongly correlated with red *i*–*W*3 colour. The top row (panels A and B)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Top panel: normalized median BOSS spectra of Type 1 non-BAL quasars in three samples: core ERQs with *i* − *W*3 ≥ 4.6 and REW(CIV) ≥ 100 Å (black curve, Section 5.1), faint blue quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq 100$  Å matched to the core ERQs in *i* magnitude (red curve), and luminous blue quasars matched to the core ERQs in W3 magnitude as a surrogate for luminosity (green curve, Section 4.1). The spectra are shifted to the C IV frame (using wciv0) from our emission-line catalogue, Appendix A) before calculating the medians. Prominent emission lines are labelled in this frame across the top. The numbers of quasars and the median values of properties measured in the individual quasars are given for each composite in colored text matching the spectra, where EW is REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) in Å, FW is FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) in km s<sup>-1</sup>, kt80 is the C<sub>IV</sub> kurtosis index  $kt_{80}$ , and N v/C<sub>IV</sub> is the line flux ratio. Bottom panel: numbers of quasars contributing to the median spectra at each wavelength. See Section 4.2.

shows that ERQs defined by  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$  tend to be bright in W3 compared to other quasars in the *W*3-detected sample. If *W*3 is a reasonable surrogate for unobscured luminosity (Section 4.1), then these plots show that ERQs tend to be about a magnitude more luminous than other quasars in our *W*3-detected sample (see also Section 5.1 and Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36). Panels C and E show that ERQs strongly favour both wingless line profiles and large REWs. They cluster in the upper right corner of both plots exhibiting a strong preference for REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\gtrsim$  100 Å and  $kt_{80} \gtrsim$  0.33. Panels D and F show that ERQs span a wide range in  $N \sqrt{C}$  IV ratios, but they favour large  $N \sqrt{C}$  iv much more than blue quasars (panel F) and they uniquely have large N V/CIV accompanied by *large* REWs (panel D).

Fig. [8](#page-8-0) shows dramatically how the median line properties of ERQs differ from normal blue quasars matched to the ERQs in *i* or *W*3 magnitude. This figure plots median BOSS spectra of non-BAL Type 1 quasars normalized to unity in the continuum to facilitate comparisons between the line profiles and REWs. The black curve represents all 57 non-BAL Type 1s with  $REW(C_{IV})$  $\geq$  100 Å in the core ERQ sample that we define in Section 2 and discuss extensively in Section 5. The blue quasars in this plot have *i* − *W*3 < 3.5 colour. The red spectrum represents low-luminosity blue quasars that are matched to the ERQs in *i* magnitude and also required to have REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 100 Å. Faint blue quasars often have large REWs in the Baldwin Effect, but Fig. [8](#page-8-0) shows that their line profiles, FWHMs, peak heights relative to the continuum, and flux ratios such as  $N v/C_V$ ,  $N \vee / L y \alpha$ , Si  $\vee / C \vee N$ , and O  $\vee / C \vee N$ , are all very different from the core ERQs.

The green spectrum in Fig. [8](#page-8-0) represents blue quasars matched to the ERQs in *W*3 magnitude as a surrogate for luminosity (Section 4.1). This sample has no  $REW(C_IV)$  constraint, so the spectrum represents a typical luminous blue quasar with small REWs in the Baldwin Effect. It is interesting that ERQs do not have anomalously large  $N \sqrt{C}$  IV flux ratios compared to these luminous blue quasars with *small* REWs (see also Fig. [7](#page-7-0) panels D and F). Large  $N V/C$  IV and  $Si$  IV/C IV flux ratios can be attributed to higher metallicities and they are known to correlate generally with large quasar luminosities (Hamann, Korista & Morris [1993;](#page-26-31) Hamann & Ferland [1999;](#page-26-32) Dietrich et al. [2002;](#page-26-30) Warner, Hamann & Dietrich [2003;](#page-27-49) Nagao, Marconi & Maiolino [2006\)](#page-27-50). The underlying cause of this relationship might be that more luminous quasars reside in more massive host galaxies, which naturally have higher metallicities in the well-known galactic mass-metallicity relation (Ferland et al. [1996;](#page-26-33) Hamann & Ferland [1999;](#page-26-32) Hamann et al. [2002\)](#page-26-34). The ERQs are also luminous and might be particularly metal rich (Section 6.2; Polletta et al. [2008,](#page-27-40) Hamann et al. in preparation).

#### **4.3 Redshift distribution**

Fig. [9](#page-9-0) plots *i*–*W*3 colour versus redshift for all quasars in our *W*3 detected sample. There is a very weak trend for bluer *i*–*W*3 colours (by 0.1–0.2 mag) at higher redshifts in this sample. This trend is negligible for our purposes and we do not discuss it further. There are 205 ERQs above the upper dashed line that marks the threshold  $i - W3 \geq 4.6$ . We see again that a very high fraction of ERQs have large C<sub>IV</sub> REWs and unusual wingless line profiles compared to the normal blue quasar population.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 9.** *i*–*W*3 colour versus redshift for the *W*3-detected sample. The red/orange symbols mark type 1/2 quasars with REW(C IV)  $\geq$  100 Å, while the squares identify subsets of these quasars with wingless profiles, e.g.  $kt_{80} > 0.33$ . The dashed blue lines mark specific colours  $i - W3 = 1.5, 3.5$ and 4.6 used to construct composites for Fig. [11](#page-10-0) below (Section 4.5).

#### **4.4** *W***3–***W***4 and the advantages of** *i***–***W***3**

There are two reasons why *i*–*W*3 is better for selecting ERQs than *i*–*W*4. One is the greater sensitivity of *W*3 compared to *W*4 in the *WISE* survey (Yan et al. [2013\)](#page-27-32). For example, only 39.5 per cent of quasars in our *W*3-detected sample also have *W*4 detections at  $SNR > 3$ . The second reason is illustrated by Fig. [10.](#page-9-1) At the median redshift of our samples, *ze* ∼ 2.5, the filters *i*, *W*3 and *W*4 measure rest wavelengths of roughly 0.2  $\mu$ m, 3.4  $\mu$ m and 6.4  $\mu$ m, respectively. Fig. [10](#page-9-1) plots *i*–*W*3 and *i*–*W*4 versus *W*3–*W*4 colour for quasars that are securely detected in all three bands. We can see from the quasar distributions in these plots that *i*–*W*3 is better for isolating ERQs with unusual line properties. In particular, in the top panel, quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq$  100 Å and unusual wingless profiles (red/orange squares) are strongly offset towards red *i*–*W*3 colours regardless of their colour in *W*3–*W*4. Similarly, quasars with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) < 100 Å and normal profiles (black dots) are almost exclusively blue in *i*–*W*3 in spite of the range they exhibit in *W*3–*W*4. However, in the bottom panel, the quasars with weak lines and normal profiles overlap with the ERQs in *i*–*W*4 colour because of the way *i*–*W*4 depends on *W*3–*W*4.

Median BOSS spectra constructed for quasars in different regions of Fig. [10](#page-9-1) confirm that the UV emission-line properties have no relationship to *W*3–*W*4 colour but they depend strongly on *i*–*W*3. Also note that the observed range in *W*3–*W*4 colour runs orthogonal to the reddening vector in the top panel of Fig. [10,](#page-9-1) implying that redder *W*3–*W*4 colours are not related to the obscuration and reddening measured by *i*–*W*3. Thus it appears that the *W*3–*W*4 colours at these redshifts are regulated by something that is not UV obscuration and not related to the ERQ phenomenon. We speculate that if the mid-IR fluxes at ∼3.4 and ∼6.4 µm arise from hot dust near the quasars, then the observed range in *W*3–*W*4 colours might be controlled by optical depth and viewing angle effects within a warm dusty torus (Efstathiou & Rowan-Robinson [1995;](#page-26-35) Nenkova et al. [2008;](#page-27-51) Mor, Netzer & Elitzur [2009\)](#page-27-52) or by different dust spatial geometries leading to a range of dust temperatures (or temperature distributions) across the quasar sample.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

Downloaded from http://mmras.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Bath on January 12, 2017 Downloaded from <http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/> at University of Bath on January 12, 2017

**Figure 10.** *i*–*W*3 (top panel) and *i*–*W*4 (bottom) versus *W*3–*W*4 for quasars detected in all three filters. The red/orange symbols have the same meaning as in Fig. [9.](#page-9-0) The green lines are reddening vectors with dots marking *E*(*B* −  $V = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  and 0.5 from bottom to top (based on the quasar reddening curve in Hamann et al., in preparation). The dashed blue line in the top panel marks the ERQ threshold at  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$ .

#### **4.5 Specific thresholds in** *i***–***W***3 and REW(C IV)**

Panels E and F in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) above show that there is a surprisingly sharp boundary near  $i$ –*W*3  $\gtrsim$  4.6 where the exotic line properties of ERQs start to appear in the majority of quasars. Panels C and D in this figure indicate that there is also a strong dependence on  $REW(C_{IV})$ . Fig. [11](#page-10-0) defines these dependences further by plotting normalized median BOSS spectra (left-hand panels) and corresponding median spectral energy distributions (SEDs, right-hand panels) for quasars in different intervals of  $i-W3$  and  $REW(C_1V)$ . The top row plots results for Type 1 non-BAL quasars with  $REW(C_{IV}) \geq 100$  Å in three colour bins defined by the dashed blue lines in Fig. [9.](#page-9-0) We see that the median line properties of ERQs with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq 100 \text{ Å}$ differ markedly from their counterparts with blue and intermediate colours. Experiments with other *i*–*W*3 colour cuts show that these dramatic changes in the median properties occur across a narrow colour range at approximately  $i - W3 = 4.6 \pm 0.2$ . The dramatic shift to peculiar line properties across this boundary illustrates the distinct nature of the core ERQs defined by both *i*–*W*3 > 4.6 and  $REW(C_{IV}) > 100$  A (Section 5.1). In particular, they are not simply

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 11.** Top row: normalized median BOSS spectra (left) and SEDs (right) for Type 1 non-BAL *W*3-detected quasars with REW(CIV)  $\geq 100 \text{ Å}$  in the three colour bins shown in Fig. [9:](#page-9-0) ERQs with  $i-W3 \ge 4.6$  (black curves), intermediate colours  $4.6 > i-W3 \ge 3.5$  (green curves), and blue quasars with  $3.5 > i-W3$ >1.5 (red curves). The left-hand panels provide median values of some measured parameters for these quasar samples, as in Fig. [8](#page-8-0) above. The SEDs are scaled to unity at 4  $\mu$ m and plotted with the same colour scheme as the BOSS spectra. The thin faint curves are individual quasar SEDs while the bold curves are the sample medians. The dots on the bold median SEDs mark wavelengths of the broad-band filters at a typical redshift  $z_e = 2.5$ , from left to right: *griz*, *YJHK*, and *W1*, *W2*, *W3* and *W4*. The median SEDs split at short wavelengths to show results directly from the photometry (upper curves with filter dots) and for a median power law derived from fits to the UV spectra of individual quasars that are more reliable because they avoid spectral line contamination (lower curves without dots). The slopes of these median UV power laws are listed in the left-hand panels. The light blue SEDs show the Type 1 quasar template QSO1 from Polletta et al. [\(2007\)](#page-27-53) without and with reddening for *E*(*B* − *V*) = 0.3 and 0.5 using an SMC-like reddening curve (from Hamann et al. in preparation). Bottom row: same as above but for quasars with  $30 <$  REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $<$ 70 Å. See Section 4.5.

an extension of trends with *i*–*W*3 colour that exists across the BOSS quasar population.

The bottom row in Fig. [11](#page-10-0) shows the importance of  $REW(C_{IV})$ to the overall properties of ERQs. These panels plot median spectra and SEDs for the same three colour bins as the top panels, but now with line strengths in a range more typical of BOSS quasars, namely 30 < REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) < 70 Å (see Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). There are only 22 ERQs with  $REW(C_{IV})$  in this range but many thousands of blue quasars. To simplify the plots, we randomly exclude blue quasars to limit their numbers and impose stricter  $REW(C_{IV})$  constraints to force their median  $REW(C_{IV})$  to match the ERQs. The result is that all three colour samples have remarkably similar line profiles and relative line strengths. Unlike the core ERQs in top-left panel, these ERQs with normal line strengths have median line properties that are virtually identical to normal blue quasars. This suggests that ERQs with normal REWs generally do not belong to the same unique population as the core ERQs; they appear to be just normal quasars behind a dust-reddening screen.

This conclusion is supported by the median SEDs plotted in right-hand panels of Fig. [11.](#page-10-0) First note that the blue quasars in these plots (red curves) have SEDs very similar to the unobscured Type 1 quasar template QSO1 from Polletta et al. [\(2007\)](#page-27-53). The ERQs with normal line strengths (black curve, bottom right panel) have SEDs

roughly consistent with a standard reddening curve applied to this template (Hamann et al. in preparation). In particular, these ERQs exhibit a sharp decline in the near-UV with only moderately red colours across the near-IR, similar to QSO1 reddened by selective extinction  $E(B - V) \sim 0.3$ . This behaviour again indicates that ERQs with normal REWs tend to be normal quasars reddened by dust.

In contrast, the core ERQs have SEDs (black curve, top right panel) much flatter across the rest-frame UV in spite of their red *i*–*W*3 colours. These unusual SEDs are another important characteristic of the core ERQs that helps to define them as a unique red quasar population.

## **5 A UNIQUE RED QUASAR POPULATION**

Our analysis in Section 4 shows that many ERQs defined by *i*–*W*3 > 4.6 have a suite of peculiar emission-line properties (Figs [7](#page-7-0) and [8\)](#page-8-0) accompanied by SEDs that are surprisingly flat across rest-UV given their red *i*–*W*3 colours (Fig. [11,](#page-10-0) see also Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36). This ensemble of properties starts to appear in a majority of quasars across a surprisingly abrupt colour boundary near  $i-W3 \gtrsim 4.6$ . However, ERQs defined only by *i*–*W*3 > 4.6 include interlopers that look like normal quasars reddened by dust (Section 4.5). These interlopers tend have normal  $C_{IV}$  line strengths while the ERQs with peculiar properties tend to have REW(C IV)  $\gtrsim 100$  A.

#### **5.1 The core ERQ sample**

Here we combine the selection criteria  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$  and REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq 100$  Å to define a 'core' sample of ERQs that excludes most of the interlopers and strongly favours the exotic properties that identify a unique new red quasar population. We find 95 core ERQs satisfying these criteria in our *W*3-detected sample. We also searched the entire DR12Q catalogue for more core ERQs that might be missing from emission-line catalogue, e.g. because they have BALs at wavelengths that might affect our emission-line measurements (Section 2, Appendix A). We do this by visually inspecting the BOSS spectra and performing additional line fits for all DR12Q quasars with *i*–*W*3 > 4.6. This search yields only 2 more sources for a total sample of 97 core ERQs that is complete among *W*3 detected quasars in DR12Q at the redshifts of our study.

Thirteen of these 97 core ERQs are in the original ERQ sample of Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36). The larger number here is due partly to our use of the final BOSS data release DR12 instead of DR10, but mostly to our less stringent colour constraint using a more sensitive *WISE* filter, e.g. *i*–*W*3  $\geq$  4.6 instead of *r*–*W*4 >7.5. The median colour of the core ERQs is  $\langle i - W3 \rangle \approx 5.31 \pm 0.65$  compared to  $\langle i - W3 \rangle \approx 5.31 \pm 0.65$  $W3 \approx 2.50 \pm 0.57$  for quasars in the *W*3-detected sample overall (Section 4.1, also Figs [4](#page-5-1) and [9\)](#page-9-0). The median redshift of the core ERQs is  $\langle z_e \rangle = 2.50 \pm 0.27$ .

The median line properties and SEDs of Type 1 non-BAL ERQs in the core sample were shown already in Figs [8](#page-8-0) and [11](#page-10-0) above. 74 (76 per cent) of the 97 core ERQs are Type 1s by our definition in Section 2, although closer examination suggests that some of them with FWHM(C IV) < 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup> are actually Type 1s. This is issue discussed further in Section 5.4.

Bolometric luminosities are difficult to estimate for ERQs because we have limited wavelength coverage and the amounts of obscuration in the rest-frame visible/UV are uncertain. However, if we assume that their *W*3 fluxes (rest frame ∼3.4 µm) are relatively unaffected by dust extinction and their emitted/intrinsic SEDs are like other luminous quasars (as described in Hamann et al. [2013\)](#page-26-36), then the median magnitude  $\langle W3 \rangle \sim 16.1 \pm 0.7$  of the core ERQs corresponds to a median bolometric luminosity of log *L*(ergs s<sup>-1</sup>) ~ 47.1 ± 0.3. Given the range of SEDs observed in blue/unobscured quasars (Figs [9](#page-9-0) and [11,](#page-10-0) Elvis et al. [1994;](#page-26-37) Richards et al. [2006\)](#page-27-7), the uncertainties in their bolometric correction factors (also Richards et al. [2011\)](#page-27-54), and the possibility that the core ERQs might have intrinsically peculiar SEDs in the unobserved far-UV (Hamann et al. in preparation), this median luminosity should be considered only a crude estimate uncertain by at least a factor of 2.

Fig. [12](#page-12-0) plots BOSS spectra for some individual Type 1s in the core ERQ sample to illustrate both the range and similarity of properties across the sample. This figure includes all 10 Type 1 core ERQs from Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) plus 20 new ones discovered here. All of them have unusual wingless C<sub>IV</sub> profiles and many have some type of blueward extension on  $C_{IV}$  – either BALs or BAL-like absorption (e.g. J004713+264024, J102130+214438, J103146+290324, J131047+322518, J135608+073017, plus others) or extended blue emission wings (not matched on the red side, e.g. J005233-055653, J113834+473250, J134417+445459, J150117+231730, J160431+563354) that can lead to a kurtosis index smaller than the majority of core ERQs with  $kt_{80} > 0.33$ (Fig. [7\)](#page-7-0).

We also see in Fig. 12 the unusual line flux ratios described above, most notably  $Nv > Ly\alpha$  and large  $Nv/Cv$ , but there are some dramatic exceptions with strong  $Ly\alpha$  and weak/normal N v (e.g. J083448+015921, J095823+500018, J111729+462331). It is interesting, but possibly coincidental, that these exceptions stand out for *not* having significant blue–red asymmetries or BAL-like absorption like the other core ERQs (Section 5.3). The core ERQs also tend to have unusually large  $Si$  IV/C IV ratios and in some cases strong Al  $\scriptstyle\rm III$   $\lambda1860$  compared to the intercombination lines with similar ionization, namely C  $\text{III}$ ]  $\lambda$ 1909 and Si  $\text{III}$ ]  $\lambda$ 1892. These line properties carry information about the gas metallicities, physical conditions, and the locations of the emitting regions, as well as the shape and intensity of the incident ionizing spectra. We discuss these issues further in Hamann et al. (in preparation, see also Polletta et al. [2008\)](#page-27-40).

Table [2](#page-14-0) lists some basic data for the 97 core ERQs. The selective extinctions in this table,  $E(B - V)$ , are based on *r*, *i*, *z* − *W*1 colours corrected for Galactic extinction (from Hamann et al. in preparation). They are available only for quasars at  $2.1 < z_e$  $\langle 3.4 \rangle$  with detections in both *i* and *W*1 at SNR  $> 5$ . They provide a conventional measure of the reddening for comparison to other studies. However, these  $E(B - V)$  values underestimate the true amounts of obscuration in the core ERQs because they derive from spectral slopes only across the rest-frame visible to UV (see Section 5.5 for more discussion).

#### **5.2 Radio properties**

Out of the 91 core ERQs covered by FIRST, 8 are detected at ≥ 1 mJy. None of the six objects without FIRST coverage are detected by the NVSS at >2.5 mJy. At the median redshift of the radio detections,  $z_e = 2.67$ , the 1 mJy flux limit of the FIRST survey corresponds to a *k*-corrected luminosity  $vL_v(1.4 \text{ GHz}) \sim 4 \times$  $10^{41}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup>. While radio spectral indices of this population are unknown, we assume  $\alpha = -0.7$  in our calculation. As discussed by Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36), it is unlikely that much of the radio luminosity in the FIRST-detected sources is due to star formation, as star formation rates in excess of 8000 M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> would be required to produce such luminosity, as per calibrations by Bell [\(2003\)](#page-26-38). Thus, we suspect that radio emission at this level must be due to the quasar – either from the jets or as a bi-product of radiatively driven winds (Zakamska & Greene [2014\)](#page-27-55).

The luminosity that corresponds to the flux limit of the FIRST survey is close to the traditional cutoff between radio-quiet and radioloud objects at these redshifts (Xu, Livio & Baum [1999;](#page-27-56) Richards et al. [2011\)](#page-27-54). Two of the eight ERQs with FIRST detections were targeted by BOSS exclusively due to their radio detections, and therefore the fraction of FIRST detections in a sample selected only by their optical and infrared properties is  $6/89 = 6.7$  per cent, similar to other luminous quasar populations (Zakamska et al. [2004;](#page-27-57) Jiang et al. [2007\)](#page-27-58). For a direct comparison of this detection fraction between ERQs and the overall quasar population, we take all ∼75 000 quasars at  $2.4 < z < 2.9$  in the DR12 quasar catalogue (Pâris et al. [2016\)](#page-27-39) and use their FIRST\_MATCHED flag to calculate the fraction of quasars with matches in the FIRST survey in the same way as we do for ERQs. While the overall FIRST detection fraction is only 3.4 per cent for quasars in this redshift range, it rises steadily as we consider more and more luminous objects until it reaches the same percentage as ERQs for the ∼8000 quasars brighter than  $M_{2500} = -26.6$  mag. Therefore, the FIRST detection rates of ERQs are similar to those of the brightest Type 1 quasars at the same redshift.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

**Figure 12.** BOSS spectra of some representative Type 1 core ERQs (Section 5.1) plotted at rest wavelengths using the best available redshifts from DR12Q (Section 3). Common emission lines are labelled across the top at positions marked by dashed blue lines. The orange and magenta curves show our fits to the continuum and the C IV and N V emission lines, respectively. The quasar names, redshifts and other measured properties are given in each panel (see also Table [2\)](#page-14-0). Names marked by '\*' are in the Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) sample. Vertical brown lines in some panels mark estimated systemic line wavelengths based on distinct narrow Lyα emission spikes or O<sub>I</sub> and Fe II emission lines (in J102541+245424 only). These markings can reveal large blueshifts in the observed broad C IV and N v emission lines, which are listed as v(C<sub>IV</sub>) in those panels (see Section 5.8). The flux units are  $10^{-17}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup>.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

## 3444 *F. Hamann et al.*

<span id="page-14-0"></span>



<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Table 2** – *continued.*

Quasar name	$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\ddot{i}$ (mag)	$i-W3$ (mag)	<b>REW</b> $(\AA)$	<b>FWHM</b> $(km s^{-1})$	$k t_{80}$	N v/C Iv	<b>BAL</b>	$\alpha_{\lambda}$	$E(B-V)$	<b>FIRST</b> (mJy)
J130421.12+083752.2	2.95	21.9	5.7	$132 \pm 5$	$3014 \pm 83$	0.37	1.56	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.91$	$0.34 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J130630.66+584734.7	2.30	21.7	5.0	$331 \pm 6$	$1133 \pm 18$	0.36	0.28	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.24$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
J130654.76+132704.8	2.50	21.7	5.0	$196 \pm 7$	$2511 \pm 71$	0.35	0.39	$\theta$	$-0.17$	$0.22 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J130936.14+560111.3	2.57	21.9	6.4	$161 \pm 6$	$3630 \pm 114$	0.36	1.91	$\overline{0}$	$-0.56$	$0.26 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J131047.78+322518.3	3.02	20.3	5.3	$226 \pm 4$	$2794 \pm 41$	0.37	2.15	$\mathbf{1}$	0.18	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	$0.0\,$
J131722.85+322207.5	2.40	22.1	6.0	$160 \pm 5$	$2311 \pm 65$	0.36	2.27	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.36$	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J131833.76+261746.9	2.27	21.3	4.9	$150 \pm 4$	$1280 \pm 29$	0.36	0.68	$\mathbf{0}$	0.26	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J134001.90+322155.9	2.40	21.7	4.7	$131 \pm 7$	$1823 \pm 125$	0.21	0.89	$\mathbf{0}$	0.38	$0.41 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J134026.99+083427.2 <sup>a</sup>	2.48	22.2	5.6	$358 \pm 12$	$2076 \pm 52$	0.35	1.74	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.71$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
J134417.34+445459.4	3.04	22.3	6.8	$310 \pm 9$	$2871 \pm 70$	0.36	1.61	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.31$	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J134450.51+140139.2	2.75	21.6	5.1	$132 \pm 7$	4487 ± 191	0.37	2.35	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.27	$0.32 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J134535.66+600028.4	2.94	21.6	5.1	$142 \pm 21$	$6460 \pm 742$	0.37	0.92	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.38$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J135557.60+144733.1	2.70	20.4	4.7	$118 \pm 3$	$2958 \pm 60$	0.34	0.75	$\overline{0}$	$-0.10$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J135608.32+073017.2	2.27	21.6	5.1	$110 \pm 3$	$2043 \pm 46$	0.37	2.48	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.29$	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J140506.80+543227.3	3.21	21.1	4.7	$123 \pm 3$	$2640 \pm 59$	0.36	2.62	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.64$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J141350.76+214307.7	2.44	22.1	4.9	$208 \pm 6$	$2356 \pm 57$	0.36	0.87	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.75$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J143159.76+173032.6	2.38	21.8	5.8	$177 \pm 3$	$2084 \pm 29$	0.37	1.22	$\overline{0}$	$-0.45$	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J145354.70+190343.9	2.35	19.9	4.8	$142 \pm 5$	$2046 \pm 60$	0.35	0.52	$\mathbf{1}$	1.08	$0.28 \pm 0.00$	0.0
J145623.35+214516.2	2.48	21.0	5.0	$103 \pm 5$	4422 $\pm$ 148	0.36	1.22	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.32$	$0.32 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J150117.07+231730.9	3.03	22.1	5.9	$231 \pm 10$	4035 $\pm$ 130	0.23	1.94	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.00\,$	$0.30 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J152941.01+464517.6	2.42	20.7	4.8	$159 \pm 4$	$1896 \pm 42$	0.35	2.01	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.16$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J153107.14+105825.8	2.78	22.0	5.9	$152 \pm 7$	3937 $\pm$ 146	0.37	1.43	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.13$	$0.25 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J153108.10+213725.1	2.57	22.1	5.2	$213 \pm 11$	$2767 \pm 143$	0.26	0.73	$\mathbf{0}$	1.29	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J153446.26+515933.8	2.26	21.7	4.7	$127 \pm 5$	$1156 \pm 57$	0.28	0.69	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.72$	$0.32 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J154243.87+102001.5	3.21	22.2	6.6	$114 \pm 11$	$3901 \pm 286$	0.37	2.79	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.82$	$0.48 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J154743.78+615431.1	2.87	21.7	4.9	$128 \pm 10$	$1177 \pm 111$	0.26	0.92	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.15$	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J154831.92+311951.4	2.74	21.7	4.8	$127 \pm 6$	$3050 \pm 104$	0.37	2.28	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.71$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J160431.55+563354.2	2.48	21.5	5.7	$205 \pm 5$	4221 $\pm$ 82	0.34	2.26	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.66$	$0.20\pm0.01$	$0.0\,$
J164725.72+522948.6	2.72	21.6	5.2	$124 \pm 4$	$1905 \pm 52$	0.35	1.78	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.05$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	1.2
J165202.64+172852.3	2.94	20.3	5.4	$125 \pm 2$	$2403 \pm 45$	0.33	2.37	$\overline{0}$	$-0.41$	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	1.6
J170558.64+273624.7	2.45	20.6	5.1	$157 \pm 3$	$1301 \pm 22$	0.36	0.47	$\mathbf{1}$	0.32	$0.36 \pm 0.00$	0.0
J171420.38+414815.7	2.34	21.3	4.7	$130 \pm 5$	$3816 \pm 109$	0.36	1.74	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.74$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	0.0
$J220337.79 + 121955.3a$	2.62	21.7	6.2	$266 \pm 3$	$1070 \pm 9$	0.37	0.51	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.23	$0.40 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J221524.00-005643.8 <sup>a</sup>	2.51	22.2	6.2	$153 \pm 5$	$4280 \pm 112$	0.37	0.86	$\mathbf{0}$	0.06	$0.36 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J222421.63+174041.2	2.17	21.5	4.8	$110\,\pm\,4$	$2749 \pm 102$	0.19	1.56	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.93$	$0.24 \pm 0.01$	$-$
J223754.52+065026.6	2.61	22.0	5.8	$141 \pm 5$	$1391 \pm 44$	0.36	1.03	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.20$	$0.24 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J225438.30+232714.5	3.09	22.0	5.5	$415 \pm 20$	$4412 \pm 146$	0.36	0.66	$\overline{0}$	$-0.38$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
J232326.17-010033.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.36	22.4	7.2	$256 \pm 5$	$3989 \pm 62$	0.37	2.16	$\mathbf{0}$	0.91	$0.40 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J232828.47+044346.8	2.56	21.5	5.5	$359 \pm 5$	$1584 \pm 20$	0.35	0.37	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.28$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J233636.99+065231.0	2.78	22.2	6.0	$128 \pm 6$	$1484 \pm 83$	0.26	1.10	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.19$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0

*<sup>a</sup>*These quasars are in the Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) ERQ sample.

*<sup>b</sup>*These quasars are in DR12Q but not in our emission line catalogue.

Mean and median stacks (White et al. [2007\)](#page-27-60) of the 81 nondetected core ERQs with FIRST coverage yield a measured flux of 125  $\mu$ Jy/beam in the mean and 107  $\mu$ Jy/beam in the median. These fluxes are likely underestimated because of the poorly understood CLEAN bias which is introduced during the non-linear radio image reconstruction from the incompletely sampled Fourier space (White et al. [2007\)](#page-27-60). Assuming that most of the flux is due to point sources and correcting for the CLEAN bias using estimates from White et al. [\(2007\)](#page-27-60), we estimate that the true mean flux of the radio-quiet core ERQs is  $180 \mu Jy$ . The main sources of uncertainty in this estimate are the dispersion of the radio fluxes within the population and the CLEAN bias. By resampling (with return) the images that contribute to the stacks we estimate the error in the mean flux to be  $25 \mu Jy$  and the uncertainly in the CLEAN bias is on the same order. At the median redshift of the stacked sample,  $z_e = 2.48$ , the estimated true mean flux of 180 µJy corresponds to k-corrected luminosity ν*L*<sup>ν</sup> (1.4 GHz)  $\sim$ 8 × 10<sup>40</sup> ergs s<sup>-1</sup>. Alexandroff et al. [\(2016\)](#page-26-40) discuss comparisons of the average radio properties of ERQs to high-redshift Type 2

quasar candidates and conclude that ERQs are brighter in the radio than optically selected Type 2 quasar candidates at the same redshift.

## **5.3 Broad outflow absorption lines**

Fourteen ( $\sim$ 20 per cent) of the 71 core ERQs with FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup> in our emission-line catalogue have BALs identified by visual inspection in DR12Q. This BAL fraction is nearly 1.5 times larger than the 14 per cent we find for Type 1s in our *W*3-detected sample overall. We note that BALs identified by visual inspection do not always meet the rigorous definition of 'balnicity index' BI  $> 0$  (Weymann et al. [1991;](#page-27-61) Pâris et al. [2014,](#page-27-38) [2016\)](#page-27-39). They can be narrower or at lower velocities than BALs defined by BI to have FWHM  $\geq 2000$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and v >3000 km s<sup>-1</sup>. However, visual inspection can be more effective at identifying BALs than automated BI determinations for quasars with noisy/weak continua or with absorption in the wing of very strong broad emission lines (which tends to be the case for the ERQs). Thus we proceed with

the understanding that the BALs discussed here are not always of the classic variety described by Weymann et al. [\(1991\)](#page-27-61), but they are nonetheless broad and indicative of high-speed quasar-driven outflows.

We also note that the BAL fractions in our study are underestimates because our emission-line catalogue excludes quasars with BALs at wavelengths that might affect our emission line fits (Section 3). The BAL fraction in our *W*3-detected sample is, nonetheless, similar to other BAL quasar studies based on SDSS-I/II (e.g. Trump et al. [2006;](#page-27-62) Knigge et al. [2008;](#page-27-63) Gibson et al. [2009\)](#page-26-41). For the core ERQs, our visually inspections of all BOSS spectra of ERQs in DR12Q finds only 1 more quasar with FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup>, which has strong BALs, bringing the final BAL fraction to  $15/72 =$ 21 per cent for all core ERQs with FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup> in DR12Q.

However, our visual inspections also reveal that BALs and BALlike features are missed preferentially in core ERQs by the visual inspection flag bal\_flag\_vi in DR12Q. We attribute this to two factors: (1) ERQs are typically faint in *i* (∼2 mag fainter than *W*3-detected quasars overall, Fig. [5\)](#page-6-0), which leads to noisier BOSS spectra and more difficult BAL detections, and (2) the much larger REWs in the core ERQs can mask the appearance of weak/moderate BAL features in the BOSS spectra. Some examples of core ERQs with definite BALs or BAL-like absorption not flagged in DR12Q are J123241+091209, J130421+083752, J153107+105825 and J1605252+172852 in Fig. 12. Fig. [13](#page-16-0) shows these features more clearly on an expanded vertical scale. They appear in both C<sub>IV</sub> and S<sub>IV</sub> with substantial depths below the continuum and widths ranging from FWHM  $\sim$  1900 km s<sup>-1</sup> in J130421+083752 to  $\sim$ 6800 km s<sup>-1</sup> in J153107+105825. Results like this from our own visual inspections indicate that the actual BAL fraction in the core ERQs is  $\gtrsim$  30 per cent.

## **5.4 Emission line widths and Type 1 versus 2**

Fig. [2](#page-4-1) above shows that the core ERQs have generally narrower CIV emission lines than other BOSS quasars. If we discard the Type 2s and consider only Type 1 non-BAL quasars (as in Fig. [8\)](#page-8-0), then the core ERQs have median  $\langle$ FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) $\rangle$  = 3050  $\pm$  990 km s<sup>-1</sup> compared to  $\langle$ FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) $\rangle$  = 5836 ± 1576 km s<sup>-1</sup> for blue quasars that are matched to the core ERQs in *W*3.

Many core ERQs have FWHMs near our adopted Type 1/Type 2 boundary at FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) = 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup>. In standard Unified Models (Antonucci [1993;](#page-26-9) Urry & Padovani [1995;](#page-27-21) Netzer [2015\)](#page-27-22), the Type 1 versus Type 2 classification is useful to constrain the location of the emission-line regions and determine if we have a direct view of the quasar central engines. Broad permitted lines (Type 1s) are attributed to dense sub-parsec environments moving at large virial speeds near the accretion disc, while narrow (and forbidden) lines (Type 2s) arise from a low-density environment hundreds or thousands of parsecs farther out. Unfortunately, Type 1 versus 2 classifications for the core ERQs can be ambiguous, not only because of the small FWHMs, but also because they have characteristically strong lines with wingless profiles sitting atop weak continua resembling Type 2s (Figs [8](#page-8-0) and 12).

Fig. [14](#page-17-0) shows BOSS spectra of several core ERQs with narrow lines indicative of a Type 2 classification (FWHM( $C_{IV}$ )  $<$  2000 km s<sup>-1</sup>) but other line properties that resemble Type 1s in our ERQ sample. In particular, these quasars have strong lowionization permitted lines such as O I  $\lambda$ 1304, Si II  $\lambda$ 1260, and Fe II  $\lambda$ 1787 (plus the blend Fe II 2300–2750 Å not shown), two of them have line flux ratios N v/C  $\text{IV} \geq 2$ , and J152941+464517 has weak

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

**Figure 13.** BOSS spectra of four core ERQs from Fig. 12 that have BALs or BAL-like features in C Iv and Si Iv but were not flagged as BALs in DR12Q. The BAL features are marked by green arrows at velocity shifts (relative to the best available DR12Q redshift) indicated above the arrows at C IV. See Section 5.3 and the Fig. 12 caption.

BAL-like absorption in C Iv that was not flagged by visual inspection in DR12Q. Low-ionization permitted lines are suggestive of Type 1 origin, perhaps like narrow-line Type 1 sources (Laor et al. [1997;](#page-27-64) Constantin & Shields [2003\)](#page-26-42), but they have also been measured in Type 2s including the prototypical Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 1068 (Kraemer & Crenshaw [2000\)](#page-27-65). We are left without definitive guidelines, but a visual inspection of all 24 core ERQs with FWHM(C IV)  $\sim$  2000 km s<sup>-1</sup> suggests that up to half of them have ambiguous Type 2 versus 1 classifications based on BAL-like features or strong low-ionization emission lines.

This ambiguity raises a more general question about the meaning of 'broad' versus 'narrow' line regions in the core ERQs. We have shown here that the permitted C<sub>IV</sub> lines tend to be narrow unusually narrow in the core ERQs, with half of the nominal Type 1s having FWHM(C IV) < 3050 km s<sup>-1</sup> (also Figs [2](#page-4-1) and [8\)](#page-8-0), while other observations show that the forbidden [OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007 lines can be exceptionally broad, with FWHM  $\sim$ 2600–5000 km s<sup>-1</sup> (in four core ERQs measured so far, Zakamska et al. [2016\)](#page-27-41). We speculate in Section 6.2 that these unusual line kinematics in the core ERQs are caused by outflows and spatially extended broad line regions that

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Figure 14.** BOSS spectra of core ERQs that resemble Type 1s in spite of narrow line widths FWHM(C IV) < 2000 km s<sup>−1</sup>. All of these quasars have strong emission in O<sub>1</sub>  $\lambda$ 1304, Si II  $\lambda$ 1260, and Fe II  $\lambda$ 1787, two of them have N v/C IV  $\geq$  2, and J152941+464517 has BAL-like absorption in C<sub>IV</sub>. See Section 5.4 and the Fig. 12 caption.

might connect to their low-density forbidden line regions farther out.

#### **5.5 SEDs inconsistent with simple reddening**

The median SEDs in the right-hand panels of Fig. [11](#page-10-0) reveal another important characteristic of the core ERQs. We have already noted (Section 4.5) that ERQs with normal line strengths,  $30 \leq REW(C_V)$ ≤ 70 Å (black curve, bottom right panel) have a median SED consistent with normal quasars reddened by a standard reddening curve. In contrast to this, the top-right panel in Fig. [11](#page-10-0) shows the core ERQs have a median SED that is *not* consistent with a simple reddening picture. Their SEDs are characteristically much redder than the reddened QSO1 template across the mid-to-near-IR, but much bluer (flatter) than the reddened template across the UV.

Fig. [15](#page-18-0) shows these SED behaviours in another way for individual quasars. It plots  $i-W3$  versus  $r - z$  (top panel) and the UV power law index from our continuum fits  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  (bottom panel, see Appendix A). The  $r - z$  plot omits quasars with redshifts  $z_e > 2.7$  to avoid contamination by C<sub>IV</sub> emission in the *r* band. Most of the core ERQs reside above the reddening vector shown by the light green line. The ERQs are slightly redder across the rest UV than the typical/blue BOSS quasars, but they are not nearly as red as expected from a simple reddening law. The bottom panel in Fig. [15](#page-18-0) shows similarly that the core ERQs, in spite of their extreme red *i*–*W*3 colour, have only slightly redder UV continuum slopes than the overall quasar population.

The peculiar SED shapes in the core ERQs make it difficult to characterize the amount of reddening and obscuration. The median *i*–*W*3 colour of the core ERQs compared to the rest of the *W*3 detected sample (Fig. [11,](#page-10-0) Section 5.1) indicates that the core ERQs have nominally almost 3 mag of extinction in the rest-frame UV near 0.2  $\mu$ m. The  $E(B - V)$  values listed in Table [1](#page-3-0) describe the reddening across rest wavelengths from roughly 0.2–1.0 µm (for *ze*  $\sim$  2.5). This works well for the vast majority of BOSS quasars at these redshifts (Hamann et al. in preparation). The core ERQs are clearly red across this wavelength range with median  $\langle E(B-V) \rangle$  = 0.27. However, this amount of reddening is less than expected from the red *i*–*W*3 colours. If we use the same reddening curve and colour standard for  $E(B - V) = 0$  as Hamann et al. (in preparation), we find that the flux ratio between 0.2  $\mu$ m and 4.0  $\mu$ m in the median SED of the core ERQs is better represented by  $\langle E(B-V) \rangle = 0.48$ . However, this characterization of the obscuration is misleading and probably incorrect because large  $E(B - V)$  values should be accompanied by steep slopes across the rest UV. Something more complex than a reddening curve is needed to explain the extreme red *i*–*W*3 colours with relatively flat UV slopes in the core ERQs. We discuss possible physical explanations in Section 6.1 (see also Alexandroff et al. [2013;](#page-26-11) Zakamska et al. [2016\)](#page-27-41).

It is important to remember here that selection biases are at work. The rest-frame UV colours of all of the quasars in our study are defined ultimately by BOSS target selection (Bovy et al. [2011;](#page-26-28) Ross et al. [2012\)](#page-27-37). This can include quasars with atypical UV colours if they were targeted in an ancillary programme or they were observed early in the BOSS program when radio fluxes where used to help identify quasars (see Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36). However, overall, BOSS quasars cannot deviate greatly from the colours of 'normal' quasars and, in particular, they cannot be very red across the rest-frame UV. Our study, therefore, favours ERQ SEDs that are relatively flat across the UV in spite of extremely red *i*–*W*3 colours. However, this selection bias does not deny the reality of these unusual SEDs and it cannot explain why the core ERQs have flatter UV slopes than the other ERQs we call interlopers with normal line strengths (Fig. [11\)](#page-10-0). These other ERQs not in the core sample demonstrate that redder UV slopes than we find typically in the core ERQs *are* allowed by BOSS quasar selection but the core ERQs prefer flatter/bluer UV

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

**Figure 15.** Top panel:  $i-W3$  versus  $r - z$  colour for *W3*-detected quasars at redshifts  $z_e \leq 2.7$ . The symbols and line markings are the same as in Figs [9](#page-9-0) and [10.](#page-9-1) The light green reddening vector extends from the sample centroid to the upper right with dots marking  $E(B - V) = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  and 0.5. Bottom panel:  $i-W3$  versus UV spectral index  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  for the entire *W3*-detected sample.

slopes. Thus these unusual SEDs appear to be a real property of the core ERQs and not just an artefact of selection biases.

#### **5.6 Comparisons to HotDOGs and highly reddened quasars**

Fig. [16](#page-18-1) compares the median SEDs of Type 1 non-BAL quasars in the core ERQ sample (as in the upper right panel of Fig. [11\)](#page-10-0) to other obscured quasar samples from the literature, including a typical Type 2 quasar (QSO2, from Mateos et al. [2013\)](#page-27-66), a typical HotDOG (from Tsai et al. [2015\)](#page-27-34), and a typical luminous highly reddened Type 1 quasar (HR1, from Banerji et al. [2013\)](#page-26-43). These comparison SEDs were constructed by us using tracing software to draw approximate average SEDs in the figures shown by Tsai et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-34), Banerji et al. [\(2013\)](#page-26-43) and Mateos et al. [\(2013\)](#page-27-66).

The Type 2s and HR1s both exhibit steep declines across the restframe UV and visible. This is very different from the core ERQs but consistent with normal quasars viewed behind a dust reddening screen. HR1s are a heavily obscured high-redshift quasar population with luminosities similar to the ERQs. They have typical redshifts

<span id="page-18-1"></span>

**Figure 16.** Normalized median SEDs for Type 1 non-BALs in the core ERQ sample (black curve) plus blue quasars matched to the core ERQs in *W*3 magnitude (green curve) as in Fig. [8,](#page-8-0) the Type 1 quasar template QSO1 with and without reddening equal to  $E(B - V) = 0.5$  (light blue, from Polletta et al. [2007\)](#page-27-53), a typical Type 2 quasar (QSO2) from Mateos et al. [\(2013,](#page-27-66) purple), a typical heavily reddened Type 1 quasar (HR1) from Banerji et al. [\(2013\)](#page-26-43), and a typical HotDOG from Tsai et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-34). The light grey curves are SEDs of individual core ERQs. See Sections 5.5, 5.6 and the Fig. [11](#page-10-0) caption for additional notes.

*ze* <sup>∼</sup> 2–3 and a median bolometric luminosity of log *<sup>L</sup>*(ergs s−1) <sup>∼</sup> 47.1 (Banerji et al. [2013,](#page-26-43) [2015\)](#page-26-8). However, unlike the ERQs in our study (also Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36), the HR1s are selected to be very red across the observed-frame visible through near-IR (Banerji et al. [2013,](#page-26-43) [2015\)](#page-26-8). Their SEDs, emission-line properties and estimated black hole masses lead Banerji et al. [\(2015\)](#page-26-8) to conclude that, apart from their large dust extinctions, HR1s 'appear to be similar to luminous unobscured quasars'. This is the same conclusion we reached for ERQs with normal line strengths (Sections 4.5 and 5.5), which are quite different from the core ERQs.

The core ERQs have SED shapes more similar to HotDOGs. The main difference is that our modest requirement for  $i - W3 > 4.6$ leads to a median colour of only  $\langle i - W_3 \rangle \sim 5.6$  for the core ERQs compared to ∼7.6 for HotDOGs (measured by us from the SED in Fig. [16\)](#page-18-1). HotDOGs are an obscured quasar-dominated population with typical redshifts in the range 1.8–3.4 (Assef et al. [2015;](#page-26-7) Tsai et al. [2015;](#page-27-34) Fan et al. [2016b,](#page-26-20) and references therein). Recent studies using *WISE* photometry select HotDOGs to be bright in the longwavelength bands *W*3 and/or *W*4 but faint or undetected in *W*1 and *W*2 (Eisenhardt et al. [2012;](#page-26-19) Wu et al. [2012b;](#page-27-33) Bridge et al. [2013;](#page-26-44) Wu et al. [2014\)](#page-27-20). They have extremely red  $r - W4$  colours like dust obscured galaxies (DOGs, e.g. Dey et al. [2008](#page-26-18) and references therein) but their large mid-IR fluxes in *W*3 and *W*4 identify hot dust emissions powered by obscured AGN. The AGN contributions to HotDOG luminosities are estimated in the range log *L*(ergs s−1) ∼ 47–48 (Assef et al. [2015;](#page-26-7) Tsai et al. [2015;](#page-27-34) Fan et al. [2016b\)](#page-26-20). The core ERQs appear to be typically near the bottom of this range (Section 5.1).

The relationship of ERQ and HotDOG colours is illustrated further by Fig. [17,](#page-19-0) which mimics fig. 2 in Tsai et al. [\(2015,](#page-27-34) also Eisenhardt et al. [2012;](#page-26-19) Yan et al. [2013;](#page-27-32) Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36). This figure plots  $W1 - W2$  versus  $W2 - W3$  for all 205 ERQs in our study plus the luminous blue quasars matched to the ERQs in *W*3 magnitude (as in Figs [8](#page-8-0) and [16\)](#page-18-1). The dashed vertical line at

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

**Figure 17.** Colour–colour diagram for red and blue quasars in the *W*3 detected sample. The black, red and orange symbols mark ERQs with *i* −  $W3 \geq 4.6$ . The black dots indicate REW(C IV) < 100 Å, while the red/orange symbols mark Type 1/Type 2 ERQs with  $REW(C_{IV}) > 100$  Å as in Figs [9](#page-9-0) and [10.](#page-9-1) The small blue dots are luminous blue quasars matched to the ERQs in *W*3 magnitude (as in Figs [8](#page-8-0) and [16\)](#page-18-1). The dashed vertical line at *W*2 − *W*3 = 3.08 (5.0 Vega) is an approximate minimum colour for HotDOGs (e.g. fig. 2 in Tsai et al. [2015\)](#page-27-34). The two large green dots mark ERQs in the HotDOG sample of Tsai et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-34).

*W*2 − *W*3 = 3.08 marks a minimum colour used to define HotDOGs (Wu et al. [2012b;](#page-27-33) Bridge et al. [2013;](#page-26-44) Tsai et al. [2015\)](#page-27-34).

As expected from the SEDs, Fig. [17](#page-19-0) shows that ERQs are not generally as red as HotDOGs in *W*2 − *W*3 and almost half of them fall below the threshold  $W1 - W2 \gtrsim 0.8$  used to identify obscured quasars in other *WISE*-based studies (Assef et al. [2010,](#page-26-45) [2013;](#page-26-16) Stern et al. [2012;](#page-27-31) Banerji et al. [2012,](#page-26-46) [2013\)](#page-26-43). The large *W*2 − *W*3 threshold used for HotDOGs, in particular, finds a more heavily obscured population than ERQs. However, neither of these *WISE* colour cuts is as effective as *i*–*W*3 for finding obscured quasars with exotic emission-line properties like the core ERQs. The small number of ERQs we find that are red like HotDOGs in *W*2 − *W*3, including two that are in the HotDOG sample of (Tsai et al. [2015,](#page-27-34) large green dots), exhibit a wide mix of REWs and other emission-line properties. The diverse emission-line properties of HotDOGs are also evident from the UV spectra presented by Wu et al. [\(2012b\)](#page-27-33). This is an important difference from the core ERQs. The core ERQs, with the added  $REW(C_{IV}) > 100$  Å constraint, are a much more homogeneous red quasar population with a particular set of exotic line properties that point to exotic physical conditions in their line-forming regions (Section 5.1, Section 6.2, Hamann et al. in preparation).

Another recent search for HotDOGs using *WISE* and SDSS photometry (Toba & Nagao [2016\)](#page-27-35) places strong emphasis on *i* − *W*4  $>$  7.5 colour. This is the same constraint used by Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) and, indeed, it appears to find a quasar population overlapping with the Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) study. Toba & Nagao [\(2016\)](#page-27-35) do not list individual sources, but of the four BOSS spectra shown in that paper (their fig. 8) all are quasars with no apparent stellar features in the rest UV. One is J000610+121501 in our core ERQ sample (shown above in Fig. 12, also fig. 15 in Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36) and another is J101362+611219 in our expanded sample (shown in Fig. [18](#page-20-0) below; note that the broad N <sup>V</sup> and O VI emission lines are mislabelled as Lyα and Ly  $β$ , respectively, in the Toba & Nagao plots). Toba & Nagao [\(2016\)](#page-27-35) refer to their sample as Hyper-Lumininous Infrared Galaxies (HyLIRGs), but it is not clear that starlight makes any significant contributions to the observed SDSS or *WISE* fluxes. The fits they show to the quasar SEDs attribute the flattening in the UV to hot stars; however, that seems unlikely due to the quasar-dominated BOSS spectra in the rest-frame UV (see our discussion of the SEDs in Sections 5.5 and 6 for an alternative interpretation). The HyLIRG and ERQ populations probably do overlap, but, for sources discovered to have Type 1 quasar spectra in the UV, measuring the starlight to classify them as HyLIRGs or make comparisons to galaxy populations probably requires a detailed analysis of specific starlight spectral features or additional photometry in the far-IR (e.g. Tsai et al. [2015;](#page-27-34) Netzer et al. [2016\)](#page-27-67).

#### **5.7 Expanding the sample**

The constraints we use to define the core ERQs, *i* − *W*3 ≥ 4.6 and REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq 100$  Å in the redshift range 2.0–3.4, successfully find a nearly homogeneous sample of quasars with peculiar SEDs and emission-line properties (Figs [7–](#page-7-0)12, Section 5.1). However, the strict selection thresholds also exclude quasars that appear closely related to the core ERQs. Here we perform additional searches to find more quasars with emission-line properties like the core ERQs. These searches are necessarily subjective as we seek to define 'ERQ-like' properties using measured quantities in our emissionline catalogue. However, they are helpful to understand the numbers of ERQ-like quasars in the BOSS catalogue and the relationships of these exotic sources to the rest of the BOSS quasar population.

One particular search in the redshift range 2.0–4.0 based on wingless C<sub>IV</sub> emission lines with  $kt_{80} > 0.33$  and large peak heights relative to the continuum  $> 4.0$  (but with exceptions primarily to the peak height if  $N V/C_V > 1.5$ , C<sub>IV</sub> has an even larger kurtosis, or one of the core ERQ constraints is satisfied, e.g. *i* − *W*3  $\geq$  4.6 or REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\geq$  100 Å) yields an additional 228 quasars not in the core ERQ sample. This automated search uses numerous conditional statements developed from many trials and visual inspection. We also perform visual inspections and additional line fits to all quasars with  $i-W3 > 4.6$  in DR12Q to find seven more ERQ-like sources not in our emission-line catalogue (as described for the core ERQs in Section 5.1). Thus we define a total ERQ-like sample of  $228+7 = 235$  quasars (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). These quasars are listed in Table [B1.](#page-30-0) We note that other searches using different parameter thresholds or different criteria (such as  $Nv > Ly\alpha$  line strengths) can find more ERQ-like quasars in DR12Q (also Alice Eltvedt, private communication).

Fig. [18](#page-20-0) shows BOSS spectra of some Type 1s in the ERQ-like sample for comparison to the Type 1 core ERQs in Fig. 12. In addition to the wingless line profiles, large REWs, and large  $N \sqrt{C}$  iv favoured by the search, many of the ERQ-like sources also have unusually strong Al  $III$   $\lambda$ 1860 like the core ERQs, although we do not quantify the strength of this line. A surprisingly large fraction of the ERQ-like quasars also have BALs based on the visual inspection flag in DR12Q (see Table  $B1$ ). It is important to note that BALs and other absorption lines are not considered in the ERQ-like quasar selection. We also confirm from inspection of the line fits that the BALs discovered in our search do not truncate the blue-side emission profiles in ways that produce spuriously large kurtosis values to favour their inclusion in the sample. Nonetheless, 107 (63 per cent) out of 169 ERQ-like quasars with FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 2000 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup> have C<sub>IV</sub> BALs according to the visual inspection flag in DR12Q. It is even more remarkable that 26 (39 per cent) of the 66 quasars with FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) < 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup> (nominally Type 2s) also have C IV BALs flagged in DR12Q. Our own visual inspections support these numbers. In a few cases, the emission

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

**Figure 18.** BOSS spectra of select quasars in the ERQ-like sample, showing emission-line properties similar to the core ERQs. The brown vertical lines mark estimated systemic redshifts for some quasars that can reveal large C IV blueshifts listed as v(CIV). See Sections 5.7, 5.8, and Fig. 12 for additional notes.

line widths might be artificially reduced by the broad absorption line eating into the blue side of the emission-line profiles. However, most of these quasars appear to have intrinsically narrow emission lines.

These occurrences of BALs and BAL-like features in narrow-line quasars indicate that the Type 1/Type 2 boundary in this sample is even more blurry than the core ERQs (Section 5.4). If we adopt the Type 1 definition from Section 2, which requires  $FWHM(C_{IV})$  $> 2000$  km s<sup>-1</sup> *or* a BAL by visual inspection, then this ERQ-like sample has 195 Type 1 quasars and 133 of them (68 per cent) have BALs. The high BAL fractions in this sample also indicate that ERQ-like emission-line properties are related somehow to the BAL outflow phenomenon (Section 6.2). The common presence of BALs and BAL-like absorption might explain the observed weakness of Ly $\alpha$  and the N  $v >$  Ly $\alpha$  fluxes in many of core EROs and ERO-like quasars (Figs 12 and [18\)](#page-20-0), e.g. if blueshifted N <sup>V</sup> BALs cover the broad Lyα emission lines (see Hamann et al. in preparation, for more discussion).

Perhaps the most important result from our additional searches is that quasars with ERQ-like emission-line properties strongly favour red *i*–*W*3 colours. For example, in a search through the *W*3-detected sample using only emission-line criteria as described above but no colour constraints, the quasars selected have a median colour, *i* − *W*3)  $\approx$  4.66 ± 0.95, that is ∼2 mag redder than the median for all *W*3-detected quasars,  $\langle i - W3 \rangle \approx 2.50 \pm 0.57$  (Section 4.1, Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1). This search finds many of the core ERQs. If we exclude the core ERQs as well as Type 2s based on FWHM < 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup>, the median colour of the remaining 91 quasars is still  $\langle i - W3 \rangle \approx 4.18$  $\pm$  0.74. This reinforces our conclusion in Section 4 that the peculiar emission-line properties of ERQs are closely related to red *i*–*W*3 colour at these redshifts.

#### **5.8 Extreme C IV blueshifts**

Here we describe a surprising tendency for the core ERQs and ERQ-like quasars to have large blueshifts in their  $C_{IV}$  and other high-ionization broad emission lines. Measuring these shifts from rest-frame UV spectra is a challenge because the strongest lines that dominate the redshift determinations are the same ones likely to be shifted. Low-ionization permitted lines such as  $Mg \text{II} \lambda 2800$ , O I  $\lambda$ 1304 and Si II  $\lambda$ 1260 are generally regarded as good indicators of quasar systemic velocities because their shifts in well-studied sources are typically  $\leq 30$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (Tytler & Fan [1992;](#page-27-68) Richards et al. [2002;](#page-27-69) Hewett & Wild [2010;](#page-27-70) Shen et al. [2016\)](#page-27-71).

Another way to estimate the systemic redshifts is with narrow emission lines that are likely to form in the extended host galaxies or haloes. Figs 12 and [18](#page-20-0) include several core ERQs and ERQ-like sources with narrow emission spikes that appear to be  $Ly\alpha$ . See, for example, J000610+121501 and J104754+621300 in Fig. 12 and J101326+611219, J114508+574258, and J145113+013234 in Fig. [18.](#page-20-0) Our claim that these spikes are near the systemic redshifts is supported in most cases by good matches to the low-ionization lines mentioned above. In J145113+013234 and J145148+233845, the Ly $\alpha$  spike identifications are also confirmed by narrow lines of He  $\text{II}$   $\lambda$ 1640 and Fe II  $\lambda$ 1787, respectively, at the same redshift. In J114508+574258, there appears to be narrow associated absorption in  $C_{IV}$  that is far to the red of the  $C_{IV}$  broad emission line but consistent with a small blueshift ( $\sim$ 1100 km s<sup>-1</sup>) relative to the Ly $\alpha$  spike. There is also corresponding weak absorption in N v.

Brown vertical lines drawn for some quasars in Figs 12 and [18](#page-20-0) mark redshift systems defined by well-measured  $Ly\alpha$  spikes or by approximate centres of the O  $\frac{1}{10}$  and Fe  $\frac{1}{10}$  and Fe II  $\frac{1}{20}$  emission lines (as available) that provides predicted systemic positions of  $Nv$  and  $C_{IV}$ . The actual observed broad  $N_{V}$  and  $C_{IV}$  emission lines can be very blueshifted from these positions. We measure these blueshifts relative to our preferred indicator of the C IV emission wavelength, which is the midpoint of the fitted profiles at their half-maximum heights (Appendix A). The derived C IV velocity shifts relative to the Ly $\alpha$  spikes are listed directly in Figs 12 and [18](#page-20-0) when available.  $J102541+245424$  is a BAL quasar where blueshifted C<sub>IV</sub> emission at  $v(C_{\text{IV}}) = 3215 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is accompanied by C<sub>IV</sub> absorption reaching almost 20,000 km s<sup>-1</sup>. In J144742+565209 (Fig. [18\)](#page-20-0), the moderate blueshift of  $v(C_1v) = 1254$  km s<sup>-1</sup> is accompanied by a highly extended blue wing reaching velocities >9000 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup>. In the most extreme case,  $J114508+574258$  (Fig. [18\)](#page-20-0), the blueshift is so large,  $v(C_{IV}) = 8739$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, that the broad N v line appears on the *blue* side of the Lyα spike. This quasar has unusually weak and broad lines compared to the core ERQs (cf., Figs 12 and [18\)](#page-20-0); however, it is 'ERQ-like' based on ERQ colours,  $i-W3 = 4.8$ , as well as  $N v > Ly\alpha$ ,  $N v/C v = 1.4$ ,  $kt_{80} = 0.37$  and unusually strong  $Al$  III  $\lambda$ 1870 like many other core ERQs and ERQ-like sources.

More work is needed to quantify the line shifts across the ERQ sample. However, two important results are evident already. First, the incidence of large blueshifts is much higher in ERQs than normal quasars. For example, the fraction of Type 1 quasars with blueshifts <sup>≥</sup> 2500 km s−<sup>1</sup> in our combined core ERQ and ERQ-like samples is ∼8 per cent based on 7 out of 88 with systemic redshifts available from well-measured Lyα spikes or low-ionization emission lines. In contrast to this, Richards et al. [\(2011\)](#page-27-54) find only 21 (0.13 per cent) out of 15,779 quasars in a well-measured SDSS sample have CIV blueshifts in this range.<sup>2</sup> Interestingly, we find very large blueshifts only in ERQs with large FWHMs compared to the average shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-1)

It is also very interesting that the ERQs with large blueshifts have large C IV REWs. They to do not fit the strong trend in Richards et al.  $(2011)$  for quasars with large C<sub>IV</sub> blueshifts to have exclusively *small* REWs. For example, in the Richards et al. [\(2011\)](#page-27-54) SDSS sample, all 21 quasars with v(C<sub>IV</sub>) ≥ 2500 km s<sup>-1</sup> have REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\leq$  25 Å and the 3 most extreme cases with blueshifts >2800 km s<sup>-1</sup> have REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) <12 Å (see also Espey et al. [1989;](#page-26-47) Corbin [1990\)](#page-26-48). Other well-studied quasars with large C IV blueshifts, e.g. PHL 1811 and its high-redshift analogs, have exclusively weak  $C<sub>IV</sub>$  lines with REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $\leq 10$  Å (Leighly et al. [2007;](#page-27-72) Wu et al. [2010,](#page-27-73) [2012b;](#page-27-33) Luo et al. [2015\)](#page-27-74). In contrast to this, all but one of the core ERQs or ERQ-like sources with blueshifts >2500 km s−<sup>1</sup> has REW(CIV)  $> 80$  Å and three have REW(C<sub>IV</sub>)  $> 200$  Å. The lone exception is J114508+574258, which still has a moderate REW(C IV) = 38 Å in spite of its unprecedented large blueshift v(C<sub>IV</sub>) = 8739 km s<sup>-1</sup>. We conclude that something different or more extreme is controlling the line strengths in large-blueshift ERQs compared to other largeblueshift quasar samples (see also Section 6.2 below).

#### **5.9 Sky densities**

The core ERQ sample described in Section 5.1, which is drawn from our *W*3-detected sample (Section 2), contains 97 quasars in the redshift range 2.0–3.4. Expanded searches to find more quasars with similar unusual emission-line properties indicate that the total

 $2$  These numbers from Richards et al. [\(2011\)](#page-27-54) might be slightly underestimated because they are derived from plots showing blueshifts up to only 3000 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup> (but see also Denney et al. [2016,](#page-26-49) for more discussion about these shifts).

number of ERQ-like quasars in BOSS is a few hundred. The particular search described in Section 5.7 yields a total of 332 core ERQs plus ERQ-like quasars. The densities of these sources on the sky are just their numbers divided by the total effective area of the BOSS spectroscopic survey, 9376 deg<sup>2</sup> (Alam et al. [2015\)](#page-26-23). Thus we find observed sky densities of 0.010 deg−<sup>2</sup> for the core ERQs and 0.035 deg−<sup>2</sup> for the expanded sample that includes ERQ-like quasars.

These results are similar to other luminous red quasar studies. For example, Banerji et al. [\(2015\)](#page-26-8) find 21 luminous highly reddened Type 1 quasars in a search area of  $1115 \text{ deg}^2$ , indicating a sky density of 0.02 deg<sup>-2</sup>, while Assef et al.  $(2015)$  find an estimated 186 quasar-powered HotDOGs at redshifts  $2 \le z_e \le 4$  in a *WISE* search area of 32 000 deg<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to a sky density of 0.0058 deg−2. ERQs and HotDOGs should have similar selection functions and completeness fractions based on *WISE W*3 detections alone (see also Eisenhardt et al. [2012\)](#page-26-19). However, the ERQ detections are additionally limited by the rest-frame UV flux and UV colour selection constraints of BOSS (Ross et al. [2012\)](#page-27-37). A thorough discussion of the selection effects is beyond the scope of this paper. We note simply that, owing to the additional BOSS constraints, the true source density of core ERQs plus ERQ-like quasars at redshifts  $2 \le z_e \le 4$  should be at least similar to and probably greater than the source density of HotDOGs.

The space density of obscured quasars relative to blue/normal quasars can help to constrain models that connect these populations by evolution or orientation effects. Assef et al. [\(2015\)](#page-26-7) and Banerji et al. [\(2015\)](#page-26-8) claim that the completeness-corrected space densities of HotDOGs and highly reddened Type 1 quasars, respectively, are both similar to blue/normal quasars at the same redshifts and luminosities. However, that result requires large correction factors and seems highly uncertain. Here we note simply that the raw numbers of ERQs are small compared to blue quasars at similar luminosities in our study. In particular, the numbers of core ERQ and total core ERQs plus ERQ-like quasars listed above are 1.6 per cent and 5.1 per cent, respectively, compared to the 6119 luminous blue quasars with redshifts and *W*3 magnitudes similar to the ERQs (Section 4.1). If these ERQs are part of an evolution sequence or a duty cycle with luminous blue quasars (Sections 1, 6.2), then these results suggest that the core ERQ/ERQ-like phase lasts crudely a few per cent of quasar lifetimes.

## **6 DISCUSSION**

Our analysis in Sections 4 and 5 shows that high-redshift ERQs defined by  $i-W3 \geq 4.6$  often have a suite of peculiar emission-line properties, a high incidence of broad outflow absorption lines, and unusual SEDs that are not consistent with simple reddening(see also Polletta et al. [2008\)](#page-27-40). This ensemble of exotic properties identifies the ERQs as a unique new quasar population with unique physical characteristics. The additional requirement for  $REW(C_{IV}) > 100 \text{ Å}$ in our core ERQ sample (Section 5.1) helps to weed out interlopers that are just normal quasars reddened by dust to focus the sample more narrowly on quasars in this exotic new population. We present analyses of the physical conditions in the line-forming regions of the core ERQs in Hamann et al. (in preparation). Below we discuss possible causes for their red colours (Section 6.1) and physical models that might explain the overall ERQ phenomenon (Section 6.2).

#### **6.1 On the origins of red** *i***–***W***3 colours**

The median colour of the core ERQs is almost 3 mag redder than the median overall in the *W*3-detected quasar sample (Section 5.1).

This could be caused by UV obscuration, enhanced emission in the mid-IR, or a combination of these factors. Given the extreme and peculiar nature of ERQs, we consider a number of possibilities.

## *6.1.1 UV suppression*

Red *i*–*W*3 colours can be caused by dust obscuration in the restframe UV. This interpretation is not straightforward for the core ERQs because their relatively flat UV spectra are inconsistent with simple reddening (Section 5.5, Figs [11](#page-10-0) and [16\)](#page-18-1). Most of the core ERQs have Type 1 emission lines, so we do appear to have direct views of the central engines in the UV. However, the flat UV spectral slopes resemble the high-redshift candidate Type 2 quasars described by Alexandroff et al. [\(2013\)](#page-26-11). These authors attribute the Type 2 SEDs to moderate dust reddenings with typically *E*(*B* − *V*) ~ 0.5 plus scattered UV light or patchy obscuration that allows some direct UV/blue quasar emission to be viewed relatively unreddened (see also Greene et al. [2014\)](#page-26-10). Patchy obscuration was also invoked by Veilleux et al. [\(2013,](#page-27-75) [2016\)](#page-27-76) to explain the spectrum of the low-redshift BAL quasar Mrk 231. This quasar's SED is very red across the visible but remarkably flat at rest wavelengths  $\leq$  2400 Å. Patchy obscuration is strongly favoured over scattered light in Mrk 231 because the flat UV spectrum has negligible polarization (Smith et al. [1995\)](#page-27-77).

Patchy obscuration could explain the unusual UV to mid-IR SEDs of the core ERQs, although scattered light contributions in the UV cannot be ruled out with existing data (see Alexandroff et al., in preparation) One important implication of patchy obscuration is that the dust patches/clouds cannot be much larger than the UV emission source in the accretion disc, which is only ∼0.01 pc across in luminous quasars (e.g. Hamann et al. [2011,](#page-26-50) and in preparation).

Patchy obscuration seems much more plausible than the explanations favoured for HotDOG SEDs. In particular, Assef et al. [\(2015\)](#page-26-7) and Toba & Nagao [\(2016\)](#page-27-35) attribute HotDOG SEDs to very large dust extinctions in front of the quasars, typically with  $E(B - V)$  $\sim$  7.8 to explain the very red colours across the rest-frame visible to mid-IR, plus hot stars from high star formation rates that create the flat UV spectral slopes (but cf. Assef et al. [2016\)](#page-26-51). This picture seems unlikely for the core ERQs because their UV spectra are quasar-dominated down to at least the wavelengths where we measure very strong and broad emission lines of  $O$  vi  $\lambda$ 1034 and N v  $\lambda$ 1240 (Figs [8](#page-8-0) and 12, also Ross et al. [2015\)](#page-27-36). It is not clear this picture can even apply generally to HotDOGs because many of them also have Type 1 quasar-dominated spectra in the rest-frame UV (Section 5.6 Wu et al. [2012b;](#page-27-33) Toba & Nagao [2016\)](#page-27-35).

Another way to suppress the UV flux might be viewing angle effects in a flattened accretion disc geometry. This could produce red *i*–*W*3 colours if the mid-IR emission is roughly isotropic while the observed UV flux is diminished by a  $\sim$ cos  $\theta$  factor that derives from the projected area of the UV-emitting disc (with negligible limb darkening effects, Nemmen & Brotherton  $2010$ , and  $\theta$  measured from the disc axis). The problem with this picture is that <sup>∼</sup>3*<sup>m</sup>* of UV suppression in the core ERQs would require viewing angles very close to edge-on, with disc axis angles  $\theta \sim 86°$ from the line of sight. This seems highly implausible given that a dusty torus/wind must be present to intercept UV light and reprocess it into the observed strong mid-IR emission. The expected covering fractions of this dusty material (∼50 per cent, see Section 6.1.2 below) correspond to angular elevations ∼30◦ above and below the disc plane (ignoring clumpiness, which would lead to even higher elevations). Lines of sight that skim the edge of this torus/wind material, with  $\theta \sim 60^{\circ}$ , would produce only a factor of ∼2 UV flux suppression from projection effects, not the requisite <sup>∼</sup>3*<sup>m</sup>*. We do note however that, if the dusty torus/wind is clumpy, it might be possible to have some nearly equatorial sight lines pass through the torus without being blocked by dusty clumps.

A more exotic way to suppress the UV flux without UV reddening might be by electron scattering in a thick layer of highly ionized gas above the UV-emitting accretion disc. Large amounts of this material are expected to develop in BAL winds due to the intense ionizating radiation from the inner accretion disc (Murray et al. [1995\)](#page-27-79). This gas fails to accelerate and can hover above the disc because it is too ionized and too transparent for radiative driving (see also Proga [2007;](#page-27-80) Sim et al. [2010,](#page-27-81) and references therein). This highly-ionized failed wind material is believed to cause the X-ray weakness of BAL quasars and, in some cases, it might be Compton thick in front of the X-ray source even though the quasars are bright and visible to us in the UV (Gallagher et al. [2007\)](#page-26-52). The core ERQs might be extreme cases where a failed wind is spatially extended to cover larger portions of the UV-emitting disc. The amount of UV flux suppression and the resulting observed colours would depend on the geometry and optical depths of the obscuring material above different portions of the disc. However, a potential serious problem is that strong UV continuum fluxes are still needed to power the observed strong emission lines (Hamann et al. in preparation). The failed wind material would need to be elevated above the disc enough to give the emission-line regions a clear view of the UV continuum source while our view is still substantially attenuated.

Another exotic possibility is structural disruptions in the inner accretion disc. This should be a transient phenomenon with <sup>∼</sup>3*<sup>m</sup>* drops in the UV emission followed by similar drops in the broad emission-line fluxes after time delays of <1 yr corresponding to the light travel time out to the broad emission-line regions (Kaspi et al. [2007\)](#page-27-82). This scenario appears to be ruled out by 12 ERQs in our sample with repeat spectroscopic observations in BOSS, SDSS-I/II or the VLT obtained  $>0.5$  yr apart in the rest frame (including 9 with spectra >1 yr apart, Hamann et al. 2016a, in preparation). We do not find any instances of dramatic variability in the line shapes or the line strengths relative to the continuum.

#### *6.1.2 Mid-IR flux enhancements*

Red *i*–*W*3 colours might also be caused by enhanced mid-IR emission. Hot dust in the inner torus is believed to dominate the SEDs of luminous Type 1 quasars from ∼2 µm to >10 µm (Efstathiou & Rowan-Robinson [1995;](#page-26-35) Netzer et al. [2007;](#page-27-83) Mor et al. [2009;](#page-27-52) Deo et al. [2011;](#page-26-53) Mor & Trakhtenbrot [2011\)](#page-27-84). It overwhelms contributions from starlight and possible PAH emission at these wavelengths. The amount of mid-IR flux relative to the UV depends on our viewing angle and the dust covering factor as seen from the central source.

Hot dust covering fractions in quasars are believed to be in the range 30–70 per cent (Gaskell, Klimek & Nazarova [2007;](#page-26-54) Mor et al. [2009;](#page-27-52) Netzer et al. [2016\)](#page-27-67) with a nominal value around 50 per cent Lawrence & Elvis [\(2010\)](#page-27-85). Larger covering factors might occur in extreme cases if the dusty 'torus' is a dusty wind (Konigl & Kartje [1994;](#page-27-86) Gallagher et al. [2015;](#page-26-55) Netzer [2015\)](#page-27-22) extending farther than normal vertically above the accretion disc. This would allow the dust to reprocess more UV luminosity into mid-IR emission (also Wang et al. [2011,](#page-27-87) [2013\)](#page-27-88). Similarly, high-speed BAL winds might ablate dusty clumps off of a traditional torus to increase the dust covering fractions and enhance the mid-IR flux (Wagner et al. [2013;](#page-27-18) Wang et al. [2013\)](#page-27-88). However, this scenario cannot explain the red

colours of ERQs because, even with dust covering factors increased to unity (which is not realistic), the maximum mid-IR enhancement would be only <sup>∼</sup>0.8*<sup>m</sup>* instead of the requisite <sup>∼</sup>3*<sup>m</sup>*.

Dust heated by star formation is another unlikely contributor to the mid-IR flux because the radiation temperatures needed for emission in *W*3 (rest-frame ∼3.4 µm) are very high, nominally ∼850 K. This is a natural temperature for dust near quasars (Efstathiou & Rowan-Robinson [1995;](#page-26-35) Rowan-Robinson [1995;](#page-27-89) Nenkova et al. [2008;](#page-27-51) Mor et al. [2009\)](#page-27-52), but in star-forming galaxies the dust temperatures are observed to be  $\leq 60$  K with the dust emissions peaking at wavelengths  $\gtrsim$  50  $\mu$ m (Chapman et al. [2005;](#page-26-56) Kirkpatrick et al. [2012;](#page-27-90) Magnelli et al. [2012;](#page-27-91) Melbourne et al. [2012\)](#page-27-92). If dusty starbursts were somehow important to the mid-IR fluxes of ERQs, they should produce very red colours across the mid-IR (e.g. in *W*3–*W*4), which are not observed (Fig. [10\)](#page-9-1).

Another possibility for mid-IR enhancements is strong PAH emissions in the bands at 3.2, 6.2 and 7.7 µm. However, this can also be ruled out because (i) PAHs are nominally destroyed by the hard UV radiation in quasar environments, and (ii) the  $3.2 \mu$ m feature is weak compared to 6.2 and especially 7.7 µm such that a PAH-dominated spectrum would produce red *W*3–*W*4 colours at the ERQ redshifts, which are not observed (fig. 10, see Sales, Pastoriza & Riffel [2010;](#page-27-93) Draine [2011,](#page-26-57) and references therein).

#### **6.2 Toward a physical model**

We conclude from Section 6.1 that the red *i*–*W*3 colours of the core ERQs are caused by dust obscuration, probably by a patchy medium that suppresses the observed UV fluxes without substantial UV reddening. A critical point for models of the core ERQs is that this obscuration is closely related to their peculiar line properties. Is this relationship defined by geometry and orientation effects or by unique physical conditions that are perhaps tied to a particular phase of quasar evolution?

A good starting point is to ask where the obscuring dust is located. Banerji et al. [\(2012,](#page-26-46) [2013\)](#page-26-43) argue that the obscuration in highly reddened Type 1 quasars (HR1s) occurs on galactic scales. Recall that these quasars have SEDs consistent with a simple dust reddening screen (Section 5.5, Fig. [16\)](#page-18-1). This is not the case for the core ERQs. If patchy obscuration is involved, then dust extinction on galactic scales might be ruled out by the requirement for dust patch/cloud sizes  $\leq 0.01$  pc across (Section 6.1.1), because clouds with these sizes are not expected in a galactic interstellar medium. Another problem is the close relationship between red *i*–*W*3 colours and the specific emission-line properties of ERQs. This seems to favour obscuration on small scales where it can be readily coupled to the orientation or physical conditions in quasar environments.

It might be possible to couple the line properties of the core ERQs to obscuration on galactic scales if the quasars drive highspeed outflows that ablate and disperse dusty molecular clouds in the host galaxies. This is expected to occur when quasars provide 'feedback' to their galactic environments (Hopkins & Elvis [2010;](#page-27-14) Faucher-Giguère & Quataert [2012;](#page-26-58) Wagner et al. [2013\)](#page-27-18). It could, in principle, connect the physical conditions on small scales in quasar line-forming regions to the amounts of obscuration occurring on large scales in the host galaxies. Cloud shredding might also produce small dusty clumps capable of patchy obscuration far from the quasars.

Alternatively, the obscuration might occur on small scales in a dusty torus/wind just outside the traditional BLR. Recent studies suggest that this material is clumpy (e.g. Hönig  $&$  Beckert [2007;](#page-27-94) Nenkova et al. [2008;](#page-27-51) Thompson et al. [2009\)](#page-27-95), so it might also produce the purported patchy obscuration in the core ERQs. In clumpy torus models that posit self-gravitating clumps stable against tidal forces from the central black hole (Hönig  $&$  Beckert [2007\)](#page-27-94), the predicted clump sizes satisfy a prerequisite for patchy obscuration in that they are smaller than the UV continuum source. For example, equation 5 in Hönig & Beckert ( $2007$ ) predicts that dusty clumps 1 pc away from a black hole of mass  $10^9$  M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> should have maximum diameters of ∼0.001 pc.

One consequence of small-scale obscuration by a dusty torus/wind could be strong orientation effects in an axisymmetric geometry. However, it seems very difficult to explain the unique emission-line properties of the core ERQs if there are only orientation effects, e.g. if the core ERQs are like other quasars except for a particular viewing perspective that intersects <sup>∼</sup>3*<sup>m</sup>* of dusty torus/wind material, Most problematic for an orientationonly model is the very broad and blueshifted [O  $\text{III}$ ]  $\lambda$ 5007 lines that identify powerful outflows on galactic (∼1 kpc) scales in the four core ERQs tested so far (Hamann et al. in preparation; Zakamska et al. [2016\)](#page-27-41). It does not seem feasible to hide these features by orientation effects in a majority of quasars while revealing them only for specific viewing angles in ERQs. Given that the core ERQs are only about 2 per cent of the similarly-luminous quasar population in our *W*3-detected sample (Section 5.9), the range of viewing angles that produces core ERQ properties would have to be only ∼2 per cent. Other aspects of the core ERQ emission lines are also not readily explained by orientation effects, including the peculiar flux ratios such as large  $N \sqrt{C}$  IV. We conclude that while orientation might play an important role in the ERQ phenomenon, their unique line properties overall appear to require unique physical conditions.

These physical conditions might be unusually powerful outflows that encompass large portions of line forming regions as well as the dusty 'torus.' The [O III] data mentioned above for a small subset of the core ERQs, along with high fractions of BALs and large CIV emission-line blueshifts (Sections 5.3 and 5.8), indicate that outflows are pervasive in ERQs across a wide range of spatial scales. CIV blueshifts are often interpreted in terms of a two-component broad line region (BLR), with one component near the disc plane dominated by virial motions and another that is outflowing and vertically extended above the disc (Gaskell [1982;](#page-26-59) Collin-Souffrin et al. [1988;](#page-26-60) Marziani et al. [1996;](#page-27-46) Leighly [2004;](#page-27-96) Leighly et al. [2007;](#page-27-72) Richards et al. [2011,](#page-27-54) and references therein). Higher ionization lines like  $C_V$  and  $N_V$  are more likely to participate in the outflow while lower ions favour the denser and more radiatively shielded disc component. The outflow lines are blueshifted because the accretion disc bisects the flow and obscures receding material from our view. The ERQs might be extreme examples of outflow-dominated BLRs.

The puzzle for ERQs in this BLR outflow picture is that they have dramatically larger REWs than other quasars with large C IV blueshifts (Section 5.8). Well-studied quasars with large blueshifts (e.g. PHL 1811 and its analogues, Leighly [2004;](#page-27-96) Leighly & Moore [2004;](#page-27-97) Leighly et al. [2007;](#page-27-72) Wu et al. [2011,](#page-27-98) [2012a;](#page-27-99) Luo et al. [2015\)](#page-27-74) are also X-ray weak. This is consistent with large-blueshift quasars having soft far-UV spectra favourable for radiative acceleration. The reasoning here is that soft far-UV spectra lead to moderate degrees of ionization in the outflow gas where ions like C IV and O VI are then available for line driving in the near-UV (see Murray et al. [1995,](#page-27-79) and the Leighly [2004;](#page-27-96) Leighly & Moore [2007;](#page-27-72) Leighly et al. [2007\)](#page-27-72). Small C IV REWs are a natural consequence of this outflow picture because BLRs photoionized by a soft spectrum should produce less CIV emission relative to the near-UV continuum (also Korista et al. [1997\)](#page-27-100). In contrast to this, all but one of the ERQs and ERQ-like quasars with blueshifts >2500 km s<sup>-1</sup> have REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 87 Å up to 281 Å (in J101326+611219, Fig. [18\)](#page-20-0).

ERQs might have unprecedented large REWs in this outflow picture if their BLRs are more vertically extended above the accretion disc than other quasars. This would lead to the line-forming regions intercepting more of the quasar continuum luminosity for reprocessing into line radiation (Hamann et al. in preparation). Extended BLRs in an outflow might also blend smoothly with the high-speed low-density [O III] gas much farther out. The idea of BLR outflows connecting to large-scale [OIII] outflows would be consistent with studies of less extreme lower-redshift quasars that show C<sub>IV</sub> blueshifts correlated with the blueshifts and outflow kine-matics measured in [O III] (Zamanov et al. [2002;](#page-27-101) Aoki, Kawaguchi & Ohta  $2005$ ). In the core ERQs, where the C<sub>IV</sub> and [O III] lines can have similar widths, the distinction between 'broad' and 'narrow' line regions is particularly ambiguous (Section 5.4; Hamann et al. 2016a, in preparation; Zakamska et al. [2016\)](#page-27-41).

Dusty winds (e.g. Keating et al. [2012;](#page-27-102) Gallagher et al. [2015\)](#page-26-55) in the ERQs might also be more vertically extended and participating in the same general outflow as the ionized gas. If the inner edge of the dusty wind is at the dust sublimation radius overlapping with the outer BLR (as expected, Gaskell [2009;](#page-26-62) Mor & Trakhtenbrot [2011;](#page-27-84) Goad, Korista & Ruff [2012\)](#page-26-63), then portions of the BLR outflow would be dusty (also Wang et al. [2013\)](#page-27-88). This outflow should be clumpy based on the evidence for a clumpy torus (Nenkova et al. [2008;](#page-27-51) Thompson et al. [2009\)](#page-27-95) and clumpy BLRs and BAL outflows (e.g. Arav et al. [1997;](#page-26-64) de Kool [1997;](#page-26-65) Hamann et al. [2013\)](#page-26-36). It could therefore produce patchy obscuration across the UV continuum source like we infer for the ERQs (Section 6.1). Extended dusty outflows could also distribute small dusty clumps across larger fractions of sky as seen from the central quasar, thus avoiding the problem mentioned above that small-scale obscuration in a torus/wind would produce strong orientation effects.

If the obscuration in the core ERQs does occur in dusty outflows, then all core ERQs should have considerable outflow gas accompanying the dust along our lines of sight and we might wonder why they do not all have strong outflow absorption lines in their spectra. The reason could be that the dusty clumps are opaque to UV radiation, so they suppress the observed UV flux without producing UV absorption lines (Veilleux et al. [2013,](#page-27-75) [2016\)](#page-27-76). BALs and BALlike outflow features would form mainly on the periphery of these clumps, or in the spaces between them, where there is less dust and we do still see the UV continuum source. This could lead to a situation where BALs and BAL-like features are usually weak and sometimes absent from the observed spectra of ERQs even though powerful dusty outflows are present along our lines of sight.

If outflows are the key ingredient to understanding the ERQ phenomenon, then we must explain why the outflows are more powerful or more spatially extended in ERQs compared to other quasars. Outflows driven by magneto-centrifugal forces (Proga [2003;](#page-27-103) Everett [2005;](#page-26-66) Fukumura et al. [2010;](#page-26-67) Keating et al. [2012\)](#page-27-102) might be enhanced in ERQs if the quasars have unusually strong magnetic fields threaded vertically through their accretion discs. Flows driven by radiation pressure might be enhanced by higher accretion rates (relative to Eddington), higher metallicities (that can increase the opacities for radiative acceleration), or softer far-UV spectra (that favour line driving in the near-UV without over-ionization). There is some evidence for high metallicities in the ERQs based on the strong N v  $\lambda$ 1240 emission lines and large Si IV  $\lambda$ 1400/C IV flux ratios (Polletta et al. [2008,](#page-27-40) Hamann et al. in preparation). Other studies have reported an observational link between large C<sub>IV</sub> blueshifts and high accretion rates (Baskin & Laor [2005;](#page-26-68) Wang et al. [2011,](#page-27-87)

The last critical question is whether the core ERQs are tied to a particular stage of quasar-galaxy evolution (Section 1). Observations of ERQ host galaxies and extended environments are needed to address this question. The outflow scenario that we favour above does not directly connect the quasars to galaxy evolution because it emphasizes small-scale phenomena controlled by accretion physics. However, that connection could be established by ERQ outflows shredding dusty clouds in the galaxies to create patchy obscuration on galactic scales (Section 6.1.1). The relationship of ERQs to galaxy evolution might also be more holistic, e.g. powerful outflows and high accretion rates are expected to occur generally in obscured quasars during the aftermath of a triggering event that funnels matter towards the central black hole in young gas-and-dust-rich galaxies (Sanders et al. [1988;](#page-27-9) Hopkins et al. [2005,](#page-27-10) [2008;](#page-27-11) Veilleux et al. [2009;](#page-27-12) Rupke & Veilleux [2011,](#page-27-15) [2013;](#page-27-16) Liu et al. [2013\)](#page-27-17). ERQs might be interpreted within this paradigm like other red quasar populations – caught in the transition between the initial triggering event and a more quiescent phase of galaxies hosting normal blue (unobscured) quasars (see references in Section 1). If we want to place ERQs in a simple monotonic evolution sequence like this with HotDOGs (see Wu et al. [2012b;](#page-27-33) Fan et al. [2016a,](#page-26-69) and references therein), then the lesser obscurations in ERQs (Fig. [16\)](#page-18-1) suggest that they are in a slightly more advanced stage than HotDOGs, farther in time from the triggering event and closer to the blue quasar phase. We could also infer from the numbers of core ERQs and ERQ-like quasars compared to luminous blue quasars (Section 5.9) that the lifetime of the core ERQ/ERQ-like phase is a few per cent of total quasar lifetimes.

## **7 SUMMARY**

This study follows up on the discovery by Ross et al. [\(2015\)](#page-27-36) of a population high-redshift extremely red quasars (ERQs) with rest-frame UV to mid-IR colours similar to dust obscured galaxies (DOGs) in the SDSS-III/BOSS and *WISE* surveys. We show that ERQs often have unusual SEDs that are surprisingly flat across the rest-frame UV given their red UV to mid-IR colours (Figs [11](#page-10-0) and [16\)](#page-18-1) as well as peculiar UV emission-line properties that include very large REWs, unusual wingless line profiles, and in many cases exotic line flux ratios such as  $N v > Ly\alpha$ , large N v/C Iv, and large Si Iv/C Iv (Figs [7,](#page-7-0) [8,](#page-8-0) and 12, also Polletta et al. [2008\)](#page-27-40). This ensemble of peculiar properties is fundamental to the nature of ERQs.

We present a catalogue of new measurements of the UV continua and C<sub>IV</sub> and N<sub>V</sub> emission lines for 216,188 BOSS quasars in the redshift range  $1.53 < z_e < 5.0$  (Section 3 and Appendix A). We then focus on a subsample of 173 636 quasars at redshifts 2.0  $\langle z \rangle \langle z \rangle$  < 3.4 to (i) characterize the exotic line properties of ERQs compared to the overall BOSS quasar population, (ii) understand the relationships of these line properties to red quasar colours, and (iii) revise the selection criteria for ERQs to find more of them with similar properties in the BOSS data base. Our main results are the following.

(1) *No Baldwin Effect.* The peculiar emission-line properties of ERQs have no relationship to luminosity in the Baldwin Effect. In fact, the ERQs behave opposite to this trend by favouring extremely large emission-line REWs (and smaller FWHMs) at high quasar luminosities (Section 4.1).

(2)) *i–*W*3 colour dependence.* The peculiar line properties of ERQs depend strongly on *i*–*W*3 colour at the redshifts of our study

(Figs [7](#page-7-0)[–11\)](#page-10-0). This dependence is stronger than *i*–*W*4 and *r*–*W*4 due to scatter in *W*3–*W*4 that has no relationship to the ERQ phenomenon or to the UV line properties of quasars generally (Section 4.4). ERQ line properties start to appear in a majority of quasars across a surprisingly sharp colour boundary  $i-W3 > 4.6 \pm 0.2$  (Section 4.5). In addition, quasars selected to have these peculiar line properties regardless of colour are found to be red, with median *i*–*W*3 more than 2 mag redder than *W*3-detected quasars overall (Section 5.7).

(3) *Core ERQs.* The peculiar line properties and SEDs of ERQs are much more common in sources with large  $REW(C_1V)$ , while ERQs with weak/normal line strengths are more often just normal blue quasars behind a dust reddening screen (Sections 4.2, 4.5, Figs [7](#page-7-0) and [11\)](#page-10-0). Thus we combine our ERQ colour selection, *i*–  $W3 > 4.6$ , with the constraint REW(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 100 Å to define a more homogeneous 'core' sample of 97 ERQs with median redshift  $\langle z_e \rangle = 2.50 \pm 0.27$  and median luminosity  $\langle \log L(\text{ergs s}^{-1}) \rangle \sim 47.1$  $\pm$  0.3 (Section 5.1, Figs [8–](#page-8-0)12). 76 per cent of these core ERQs are Type 1 quasars based on FWHM(C<sub>IV</sub>) > 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup> or BALs identified by visual inspection in DR12Q. However, the median line width of these Type 1 core ERQs,  $\langle$ FWHM(CIV) $\rangle \sim 3050 \pm 990$  $km s<sup>-1</sup>$ , is only half that of blue quasars with similar luminosities (Section 5.4).

(4) *Inconsistent with simple reddening.* The core ERQs have surprisingly flat rest-frame UV spectra given their extreme red *i*–*W*3 colours. This spectral shape and the peculiar emission-line properties are not consistent with normal quasars behind a simple dust reddening screen (Section 5.5, Figs [15](#page-18-0) and [16\)](#page-18-1).

(5) *Radio properties.* The fraction of radio-loud sources in the core ERQ sample, 6.7 per cent, is similar to other luminous quasar populations at these redshifts (Section 5.2).

(6) *Sky densities.* The 97 core ERQs in our study have an observed sky density of  $0.010 \text{ deg}^{-2}$  (Section 5.9). An expanded search for more BOSS quasars with ERQ-like emission-line properties (Section 5.7) increases the sample to 332 quasars with sky density  $0.035 \text{ deg}^{-2}$ .

(7) *Outflow signatures.* Outflow features are common in the core ERQs and the expanded sample of red ERQ-like quasars. In particular, they have (i) high BAL fractions of 30–68 per cent compared to 14 per cent in our entire *W*3-detected sample (Sections 5.3, 5.7),  $(ii)$  a high incidence of large C  $\alpha$  emission-line blueshifts, e.g. with shifts >2500 km s<sup> $-1$ </sup> roughly fifty times more common in ERQs than normal quasars and reaching 8739 km s−<sup>1</sup> in one quasar (Sec-tion 5.8, Fig. [18\)](#page-20-0), and (iii) high-velocity [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 lines with FWHMs and blueshifts up to  $\sim$ 5000 km s<sup>-1</sup> that identify powerful outflows on galactic scales  $\gtrsim$  1 kpc (in four out of four core ERQs measured so far, Hamann et al. in preparation; Zakamska et al. [2016\)](#page-27-41).

(8) *A unique red quasar population.* The core ERQs have luminosities and sky densities similar to other high-redshift obscured quasar samples (Sections 5.8, 5.9). They are less red than HotDOGs and the highly reddened Type 1 quasars found in other studies (Figs [16](#page-18-1) and [17\)](#page-19-0). However, the specific exotic characteristics of the core ERQs, involving both their line properties and SEDs, identify a unique new red quasar population.

(9) *Physical models.* We argue that the red colours of ERQs are caused by dust obscuration, and that the exotic line properties in the core ERQs and other ERQ-like quasars are related to unusually powerful/extended outflows that encompass most of the lineforming regions and, perhaps, the dusty torus (Sections 6.1, 6.2). The very large emission-line REWs, which are unprecedented in luminous quasars, might arise from outflow-dominated broad line regions that are vertically extended above the accretion disc. Patchy obscuration by small dusty clouds in the outflows (or in material ablated from dusty structures by these outflows) could produce the typical observed ∼3 mag of UV extinction without substantial UV reddening and without strong BALs or other outflow absorption lines appearing in every spectrum.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to A. Baskin, D. M. Crenshaw, G. J. Ferland, S. B. Kraemer, and A. Laor for helpful conversations. FH acknowledges support from the USA National Science Foundation grant AST-1009628. KDD is supported by an NSF Astronomy & Astrophysics Postdoctoral Fellowship awarded under NSF grant AST-1302093. Funding for SDSS-III was provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III website is [http://www.sdss3.org/.](http://www.sdss3.org/) SDSS-III is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS-III Collaboration, including the University of Arizona, the Brazilian Participation Group, Brookhaven National Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Carnegie Mellon University, University of Florida, the French Participation Group, the German Participation Group, Harvard University, the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias, the Michigan State/Notre Dame/JINA Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics, Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, New Mexico State University, New York University, Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the Spanish Participation Group, University of Tokyo, University of Utah, Vanderbilt University, University of Virginia, University of Washington, and Yale University.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-26-25"></span>Aihara H. et al., 2011, ApJS, 193, 29
- <span id="page-26-23"></span>Alam S. et al., 2015, ApJS, 219, 12
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>Alexandroff R. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 3306
- <span id="page-26-40"></span>Alexandroff R. M., Zakamska N. L., van Velzen S., Greene J. E., Strauss M. A., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 3056
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>Antonucci R., 1993, ARA&A, 31, 473
- <span id="page-26-61"></span>Aoki K., Kawaguchi T., Ohta K., 2005, ApJ, 618, 601
- <span id="page-26-64"></span>Arav N., Barlow T. A., Laor A., Blandford R. D., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 1015
- <span id="page-26-45"></span>Assef R. J. et al., 2010, ApJ, 713, 970
- <span id="page-26-16"></span>Assef R. J. et al., 2013, ApJ, 772, 26
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>Assef R. J. et al., 2015, ApJ, 804, 27
- <span id="page-26-51"></span>Assef R. J. et al., 2016, ApJ, 819, 111
- <span id="page-26-29"></span>Baldwin J. A., 1977, ApJ, 214, 679
- <span id="page-26-46"></span>Banerji M., McMahon R. G., Hewett P. C., Alaghband-Zadeh S., Gonzalez-Solares E., Venemans B. P., Hawthorn M. J., 2012, MNRAS, 427, 2275
- <span id="page-26-43"></span>Banerji M., McMahon R. G., Hewett P. C., Gonzalez-Solares E., Koposov S. E., 2013, MNRAS, 429, L55
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>Banerji M., Alaghband-Zadeh S., Hewett P. C., McMahon R. G., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 3368
- <span id="page-26-68"></span>Baskin A., Laor A., 2005, MNRAS, 358, 1043
- <span id="page-26-39"></span>Becker R. H., White R. L., Helfand D. J., 1995, ApJ, 450, 559
- <span id="page-26-38"></span>Bell E. F., 2003, ApJ, 586, 794
- <span id="page-26-28"></span>Bovy J. et al., 2011, ApJ, 729, 141
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>Boyle B. J., Terlevich R. J., 1998, MNRAS, 293, L49
- <span id="page-26-44"></span>Bridge C. R. et al., 2013, ApJ, 769, 91
- <span id="page-26-24"></span>Casali M. et al., 2007, A&A, 467, 777
- <span id="page-26-56"></span>Chapman S. C., Blain A. W., Smail I., Ivison R. J., 2005, ApJ, 622, 772
- <span id="page-26-60"></span>Collin-Souffrin S., Dyson J. E., McDowell J. C., Perry J. J., 1988, MNRAS, 232, 539
- <span id="page-26-42"></span>Constantin A., Shields J. C., 2003, PASP, 115, 592
- <span id="page-26-48"></span>Corbin M. R., 1990, ApJ, 357, 346
- <span id="page-26-27"></span>Cutri R. M. et al., 2011, Technical report, Explanatory Supplement to the *WISE* Preliminary Data Release Products.
- <span id="page-26-26"></span>Cutri R. M. et al., 2013, Technical report, Explanatory Supplement to the AllWISE Data Release Products
- <span id="page-26-21"></span>Dawson K. S. et al., 2013, AJ, 145, 10
- <span id="page-26-65"></span>de Kool M., 1997, in Arav N., Shlosman I., Weymann R. J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 128, Mass Ejection from Active Galactic Nuclei. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 233
- <span id="page-26-49"></span>Denney K. D. et al., 2016, ApJS, 224, 14
- <span id="page-26-53"></span>Deo R. P., Richards G. T., Nikutta R., Elitzur M., Gallagher S. C., Ivezić Ž., Hines D., 2011, ApJ, 729, 108
- <span id="page-26-18"></span>Dey A. et al., 2008, ApJ, 677, 943
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, Nature, 433, 604
- <span id="page-26-30"></span>Dietrich M., Hamann F., Shields J. C., Constantin A., Vestergaard M., Chaffee F., Foltz C. B., Junkkarinen V. T., 2002, ApJ, 581, 912
- <span id="page-26-15"></span>Donley J. L. et al., 2012, ApJ, 748, 142
- <span id="page-26-57"></span>Draine B. T., 2011, in Joblin C., Tielens A. G. G. M., eds, EAS Publ. Ser. Vol. 46, Astronomical Models of PAHs and Dust, p. 29
- <span id="page-26-35"></span>Efstathiou A., Rowan-Robinson M., 1995, MNRAS, 273, 649
- <span id="page-26-19"></span>Eisenhardt P. R. M. et al., 2012, ApJ, 755, 173
- <span id="page-26-22"></span>Eisenstein D. J. et al., 2011, AJ, 142, 72
- <span id="page-26-37"></span>Elvis M. et al., 1994, ApJS, 95, 1
- <span id="page-26-47"></span>Espey B. R., Carswell R. F., Bailey J. A., Smith M. G., Ward M. J., 1989, ApJ, 342, 666
- <span id="page-26-66"></span>Everett J. E., 2005, ApJ, 631, 689
- <span id="page-26-69"></span>Fan L. et al., 2016a, ApJ, 822, L32
- <span id="page-26-20"></span>Fan L., Han Y., Nikutta R., Drouart G., Knudsen K. K., 2016b, ApJ, 823, 107
- <span id="page-26-58"></span>Faucher-Giguère C.-A., Quataert E., 2012, MNRAS, 425, 605
- <span id="page-26-33"></span>Ferland G. J., Baldwin J. A., Korista K. T., Hamann F., Carswell R. F., Phillips M., Wilkes B., Williams R. E., 1996, ApJ, 461, 683
- <span id="page-26-67"></span>Fukumura K., Kazanas D., Contopoulos I., Behar E., 2010, ApJ, 723, L228
- <span id="page-26-52"></span>Gallagher S. C., Hines D. C., Blaylock M., Priddey R. S., Brandt W. N., Egami E. E., 2007, ApJ, 665, 157
- <span id="page-26-55"></span>Gallagher S. C., Everett J. E., Abado M. M., Keating S. K., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2991
- <span id="page-26-59"></span>Gaskell C. M., 1982, ApJ, 263, 79
- <span id="page-26-62"></span>Gaskell C. M., 2009, New Astron. Rev., 53, 140
- <span id="page-26-54"></span>Gaskell C. M., Klimek E. S., Nazarova L. S., 2007, preprint [\(arXiv:0711.1025\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.1025)
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>Gebhardt K. et al., 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
- <span id="page-26-41"></span>Gibson R. R. et al., 2009, ApJ, 692, 758
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>Glikman E., Helfand D. J., White R. L., Becker R. H., Gregg M. D., Lacy M., 2007, ApJ, 667, 673
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>Glikman E. et al., 2012, ApJ, 757, 51
- <span id="page-26-14"></span>Glikman E. et al., 2013, ApJ, 778, 127
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>Glikman E., Simmons B., Mailly M., Schawinski K., Urry C. M., Lacy M., 2015, ApJ, 806, 218
- <span id="page-26-63"></span>Goad M. R., Korista K. T., Ruff A. J., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 3086
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>Greene J. E. et al., 2014, ApJ, 788, 91
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>Gregg M. D., Lacy M., White R. L., Glikman E., Helfand D., Becker R. H., Brotherton M. S., 2002, ApJ, 564, 133
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>Gültekin K. et al., 2009, ApJ, 698, 198
- <span id="page-26-32"></span>Hamann F., Ferland G., 1999, ARA&A, 37, 487
- <span id="page-26-31"></span>Hamann F., Korista K. T., Morris S. L., 1993, ApJ, 415, 541
- <span id="page-26-34"></span>Hamann F., Korista K. T., Ferland G. J., Warner C., Baldwin J., 2002, ApJ, 564, 592
- <span id="page-26-50"></span>Hamann F., Kanekar N., Prochaska J. X., Murphy M. T., Ellison S., Malec A. L., Milutinovic N., Ubachs W., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1957
- <span id="page-26-36"></span>Hamann F., Chartas G., McGraw S., Rodriguez Hidalgo P., Shields J., Capellupo D., Charlton J., Eracleous M., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 133
- <span id="page-26-17"></span>Hao H. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 3104
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>Häring N., Rix H., 2004, ApJ, 604, L89

Downloaded from http://mmras.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Bath on January 12, Downloaded from <http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/> at University of Bath on January 12, 2017

 $.2017$ 

- <span id="page-27-45"></span>Harris D. W. et al., 2016, AJ, 151, 155
- <span id="page-27-59"></span>Helfand D. J., White R. L., Becker R. H., 2015, ApJ, 801, 26
- <span id="page-27-70"></span>Hewett P. C., Wild V., 2010, MNRAS, 405, 2302
- <span id="page-27-29"></span>Hickox R. C. et al., 2007, ApJ, 671, 1365
- <span id="page-27-94"></span>Hönig S. F., Beckert T., 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1172
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>Hopkins P. F., Elvis M., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 7
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Martini P., Robertson B., Springel V., 2005, ApJ, 630, 705
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Kereš D., 2008, ApJS, 175, 356
- <span id="page-27-58"></span>Jiang L., Fan X., Ivezić Ž., Richards G. T., Schneider D. P., Strauss M. A., Kelly B. C., 2007, ApJ, 656, 680
- <span id="page-27-82"></span>Kaspi S., Brandt W. N., Maoz D., Netzer H., Schneider D. P., Shemmer O., 2007, ApJ, 659, 997
- <span id="page-27-102"></span>Keating S. K., Everett J. E., Gallagher S. C., Deo R. P., 2012, ApJ, 749, 32
- <span id="page-27-90"></span>Kirkpatrick A. et al., 2012, ApJ, 759, 139
- <span id="page-27-63"></span>Knigge C., Scaringi S., Goad M. R., Cottis C. E., 2008, MNRAS, 386, 1426
- <span id="page-27-86"></span>Konigl A., Kartje J. F., 1994, ApJ, 434, 446 Korista K., Baldwin J., Ferland G., Verner D., 1997, ApJS, 108, 401
- <span id="page-27-100"></span><span id="page-27-2"></span>Kormendy J., Ho L. C., 2013, ARA&A, 51, 511
- <span id="page-27-65"></span>Kraemer S. B., Crenshaw D. M., 2000, ApJ, 532, 256
- <span id="page-27-25"></span>Lacy M. et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 166
- <span id="page-27-26"></span>Lacy M. et al., 2013, ApJS, 208, 24
- <span id="page-27-64"></span>Laor A., Jannuzi B. T., Green R. F., Boroson T. A., 1997, ApJ, 489, 656
- <span id="page-27-85"></span>Lawrence A., Elvis M., 2010, ApJ, 714, 561
- <span id="page-27-96"></span>Leighly K. M., 2004, ApJ, 611, 125
- <span id="page-27-97"></span>Leighly K. M., Moore J. R., 2004, ApJ, 611, 107
- <span id="page-27-72"></span>Leighly K. M., Halpern J. P., Jenkins E. B., Casebeer D., 2007, ApJS, 173, 1
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>Liu G., Zakamska N. L., Greene J. E., Nesvadba N. P. H., Liu X., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 2576
- <span id="page-27-74"></span>Luo B. et al., 2015, ApJ, 805, 122
- <span id="page-27-91"></span>Magnelli B. et al., 2012, A&A, 548, A22
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>Marconi A., Risaliti G., Gilli R., Hunt L. K., Maiolino R., Salvati M., 2004, MNR AS 351, 169
- <span id="page-27-104"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., 2012, New Astron. Rev., 56, 49
- <span id="page-27-46"></span>Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Calvani M., Moles M., 1996, ApJS, 104, 37
- <span id="page-27-30"></span>Mateos S. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 3271
- <span id="page-27-66"></span>Mateos S., Alonso-Herrero A., Carrera F. J., Blain A., Severgnini P., Caccianiga A., Ruiz A., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 941
- <span id="page-27-92"></span>Melbourne J. et al., 2012, AJ, 143, 125
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>Merloni A., Rudnick G., Di Matteo T., 2004, MNRAS, 354, L37
- <span id="page-27-84"></span>Mor R., Trakhtenbrot B., 2011, ApJ, 737, L36
- <span id="page-27-52"></span>Mor R., Netzer H., Elitzur M., 2009, ApJ, 705, 298
- <span id="page-27-79"></span>Murray N., Chiang J., Grossman S. A., Voit G. M., 1995, ApJ, 451, 498
- <span id="page-27-50"></span>Nagao T., Marconi A., Maiolino R., 2006, A&A, 447, 157
- <span id="page-27-78"></span>Nemmen R. S., Brotherton M. S., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 1598
- <span id="page-27-51"></span>Nenkova M., Sirocky M. M., Nikutta R., Ivezić Ž., Elitzur M., 2008, ApJ, 685, 160
- <span id="page-27-22"></span>Netzer H., 2015, ARA&A, 53, 365
- <span id="page-27-83"></span>Netzer H. et al., 2007, ApJ, 666, 806
- <span id="page-27-67"></span>Netzer H., Lani C., Nordon R., Trakhtenbrot B., Lira P., Shemmer O., 2016, ApJ, 819, 123
- <span id="page-27-38"></span>Paris I. et al., 2014, A&A, 563, A54 ˆ
- <span id="page-27-39"></span>Pâris I. et al., 2016, preprint  $(\arXiv:1608.06483)$  $(\arXiv:1608.06483)$
- <span id="page-27-105"></span>Peterson B. M. et al., 2004, ApJ, 613, 682
- <span id="page-27-53"></span>Polletta M. et al., 2007, ApJ, 663, 81
- <span id="page-27-40"></span>Polletta M. et al., 2008, A&A, 492, 81
- <span id="page-27-103"></span>Proga D., 2003, ApJ, 585, 406
- <span id="page-27-80"></span>Proga D., 2007, in Ho L. C., Wang J.-W., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 373, The Central Engine of Active Galactic Nuclei. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 267
- <span id="page-27-24"></span>Reyes R. et al., 2008, AJ, 136, 2373
- <span id="page-27-69"></span>Richards G. T., Vanden Berk D. E., Reichard T. A., Hall P. B., Schneider D. P., SubbaRao M., Thakar A. R., York D. G., 2002, AJ, 124, 1
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>Richards G. T. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 2766
- <span id="page-27-54"></span>Richards G. T. et al., 2011, AJ, 141, 167
- <span id="page-27-37"></span>Ross N. P. et al., 2012, ApJS, 199, 3
- <span id="page-27-36"></span>Ross N. P. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 453, 3932
- <span id="page-27-89"></span>Rowan-Robinson M., 1995, MNRAS, 272, 737
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>Rudnick G. et al., 2006, ApJ, 650, 624
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>Rupke D. S. N., Veilleux S., 2011, ApJ, 729, L27
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>Rupke D. S. N., Veilleux S., 2013, ApJ, 768, 75 Sales D. A., Pastoriza M. G., Riffel R., 2010, ApJ, 725, 605
- <span id="page-27-93"></span><span id="page-27-9"></span>Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Madore B. F., Matthews K., Neugebauer G., Scoville N. Z., 1988, ApJ, 325, 74
- <span id="page-27-44"></span>Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>Shankar F., Bernardi M., Haiman Z., 2009, ApJ, 694, 867
- <span id="page-27-71"></span>Shen Y. et al., 2016, preprint [\(arXiv:1602.03894\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03894)
- <span id="page-27-48"></span>Shields J. C., 2007, in Ho L. C., Wang J.-W., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 373, The Central Engine of Active Galactic Nuclei. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 355
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>Silverman J. D. et al., 2005, ApJ, 624, 630
- <span id="page-27-81"></span>Sim S. A., Proga D., Miller L., Long K. S., Turner T. J., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 1396
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>Simpson J. M. et al., 2014, ApJ, 788, 125
- <span id="page-27-77"></span>Smith P. S., Schmidt G. D., Allen R. G., Angel J. R. P., 1995, ApJ, 444, 146
- <span id="page-27-27"></span>Stern D. et al., 2005, ApJ, 631, 163
- <span id="page-27-28"></span>Stern D. et al., 2007, ApJ, 663, 677
- <span id="page-27-31"></span>Stern D. et al., 2012, ApJ, 753, 30
- <span id="page-27-95"></span>Thompson G. D., Levenson N. A., Uddin S. A., Sirocky M. M., 2009, ApJ, 697, 182
- <span id="page-27-35"></span>Toba Y., Nagao T., 2016, ApJ, 820, 46
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>Tremaine S. et al., 2002, ApJ, 574, 740
- <span id="page-27-62"></span>Trump J. R. et al., 2006, ApJS, 165, 1
- <span id="page-27-34"></span>Tsai C.-W. et al., 2015, ApJ, 805, 90
- <span id="page-27-68"></span>Tytler D., Fan X.-M., 1992, ApJS, 79, 1
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>Urrutia T., Lacy M., Becker R. H., 2008, ApJ, 674, 80
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>Veilleux S. et al., 2009, ApJS, 182, 628
- <span id="page-27-75"></span>Veilleux S. et al., 2013, ApJ, 764, 15
- <span id="page-27-76"></span>Veilleux S., Melendez M., Tripp T. M., Hamann F., Rupke D. S. N., 2016, ApJ, 825, 42
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>Wagner A. Y., Umemura M., Bicknell G. V., 2013, ApJ, 763, L18
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>Wall J. V., Jackson C. A., Shaver P. A., Hook I. M., Kellermann K. I., 2005, A&A, 434, 133
- <span id="page-27-87"></span>Wang H., Wang T., Zhou H., Liu B., Wang J., Yuan W., Dong X., 2011, ApJ, 738, 85
- <span id="page-27-88"></span>Wang H., Xing F., Zhang K., Wang T., Zhou H., Zhang S., 2013, ApJ, 776, L15
- <span id="page-27-49"></span>Warner C., Hamann F., Dietrich M., 2003, ApJ, 596, 72
- <span id="page-27-61"></span>Weymann R. J., Morris S. L., Foltz C. B., Hewett P. C., 1991, ApJ, 373, 23
- <span id="page-27-60"></span>White R. L., Helfand D. J., Becker R. H., Glikman E., de Vries W., 2007, ApJ, 654, 99
- <span id="page-27-43"></span>Wright E. L. et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 1868
- <span id="page-27-73"></span>Wu J., Brandt W. N., Comins M. L., Gibson R. R., Shemmer O., Garmire G. P., Schneider D. P., 2010, ApJ, 724, 762
- <span id="page-27-98"></span>Wu J. et al., 2011, ApJ, 736, 28
- <span id="page-27-99"></span>Wu J., Brandt W. N., Anderson S. F., Diamond-Stanic A. M., Hall P. B., Plotkin R. M., Schneider D. P., Shemmer O., 2012a, ApJ, 747, 10

<span id="page-27-57"></span>Zakamska N. L., Strauss M. A., Heckman T. M., Ivezić Ž., Krolik J. H.,

<span id="page-27-101"></span>Zamanov R., Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D.,

<span id="page-27-47"></span>Zamfir S., Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Dultzin D., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1759

MNRAS **464,** 3431–3463 (2017)

- <span id="page-27-33"></span>Wu J. et al., 2012b, ApJ, 756, 96
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>Wu J. et al., 2014, ApJ, 793, 8

2004, AJ, 128, 1002

<span id="page-27-56"></span>Xu C., Livio M., Baum S., 1999, AJ, 118, 1169

<span id="page-27-23"></span>Zakamska N. L. et al., 2003, AJ, 126, 2125

Bachev R., 2002, ApJ, 576, L9

<span id="page-27-41"></span>Zakamska N. L. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 459, 3144

- <span id="page-27-32"></span>Yan L. et al., 2013, AJ, 145, 55
- <span id="page-27-55"></span><span id="page-27-42"></span>York D. G. et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579 Zakamska N. L., Greene J. E., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 784

## **APPENDIX A: LINE AND CONTINUUM FITS**

We fit the UV continuum and the  $C_V$  and  $N_V$  emission lines in the BOSS spectra of DR12 quasars at redshifts  $1.53 < z_e < 5.0$ . The redshift range is selected to ensure that the spectra cover the continuum on both sides of the C IV emission line. The general fitting procedure is outlined in Section 3. The results are available in a FITS table described at the end of this appendix. All of the wavelengths mentioned here and listed in the catalogue are in the quasar rest frames relative the best available DR12Q redshift (Section 3).

We begin with 226,984 quasars listed in DR12Q with signal-tonoise ratios per pixel >0.3 at 1700 Å in the BOSS spectra. The signal-to-noise threshold excludes only a few quasars with very bad data. From this we reject 9963 more quasars that are reported in DR12Q to have strong C IV BALs at velocities  $v > 15000$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (where they can interfere with a critical wavelength window used to define the continuum) or at  $v < 2000$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (where they can distort the emission-line profiles). These exclusion criteria are based on trial and error tests with different values of the balnicity index, the absorption index, and the BAL velocity limits listed in DR12Q. We reject fairly generously to have a problem-free catalogue.

We then fit the remaining quasars using an iterative procedure as follows. First the fitting code searches the spectrum for narrow spikes above or below the spectra caused by cosmic rays or noise anomalies. These spikes are removed by interpolation from adjacent pixels. Then it fits a power law to the continuum locally beneath the CIV emission line. This fit is based on the median fluxes in several wavelength intervals between 1420 Å and 1810 Å that are free of emission lines and of broad absorption features (according to the data listed in DR12Q). Quasars are rejected if the fitted continuum is too steep to be realistic (indicating a problem with the data), strong broad absorption is detected at the C IV emission line wavelengths, or there is no significant emission above the fitted continuum leading to failure in the fits. This rejects another 833 quasars.

To fit the C IV emission lines, we fix the continuum calculated above, ignore the C<sub>IV</sub> doublet separation, and use either one or two Gaussian components depending on the results. We experimented with more Gaussian components and with Gauss–Hermite polynomials (e.g. Denney et al. [2016,](#page-26-49) and references therein), but they yield poor results in many cases because the data are not of sufficient quality to constrain the additional free parameters. We found that an iterative procedure that begins with a single Gaussian and adds a second component as needed provides the most robust and reliable fits across the full range of line properties and SNRs in the BOSS data base. We also use the iterations to identify and mask from subsequent fits wavelength regions that have significant broad absorption lines.

The initial fit to C<sub>IV</sub> using a single Gaussian provides parameter guesses for subsequent iterations. It is sometimes adopted as the final best result in rare quasars with very noisy spectra or lines with little contrast above the continuum. Subsequent fits involving two Gaussian components introduce degrees of freedom (such as the relative widths and wavelength offsets of the Gaussian components) one at a time to obtain useful results even in bad data. The two Gaussian components are constrained in all cases so that one represents the peak/core of the line while the second broader component accounts for the wings and/or asymmetries in the base of the profiles. Specifically, the wing component must be broader than the core up to a maximum of several times broader (following a formulaic prescription based on visual inspections of many results), and the central wavelength of the core component cannot be outside of the FWHM range of the broader wing component. These constraints

slightly limit the range of profiles that can be fitted accurately but they are necessary to avoid bad results in poor data.

Visual inspections of several thousand spectra indicate that the continuum and  $C_{IV}$  line fits are generally excellent. We specifically examined results at the extremes of broad/narrow FWHMs, large/small REWs, strong BALs or other broad absorption that might overlap with the C IV emission-line profiles, and spectra with low SNRs in the continuum. The most common problem is fits that underestimate the line peak height and thus overestimate the FWHMs for observed lines that have a strong narrow core on top of much broader wings. However, this situation is extremely rare.

Successful fits to the continuum and C<sub>IV</sub> line are obtained for 216 188 quasars in the redshift range  $1.53 < z_e < 5.0$ . The fitting software also measures the C<sub>IV</sub> REWs by direct integration above the fitted continuum and FWHMs by stepping across the line profiles in moderately smoothed spectra. These measurements interpolate across wavelengths identified by the fitting procedure to have significant absorption. The resulting REWs and FWHMs are generally in excellent agreement with values derived from the Gaussian fits. Occasional large disagreements can identify poor measurements or data that should be checked. We also include a quality flag,  $qflag$ , in the catalogue to identify possible problems.

The continuum beneath the  $Nv$  line is fit by a second power law constrained initially by the same flux points used for the C<sub>IV</sub> continuum plus several shorter-wavelength intervals between 1134 and 1365 Å. These fits are checked and flux points are discarded if significant absorption is detected (e.g. in the  $Ly\alpha$  forest) or if the flux points depart significantly from the fit defined by the other wavelengths.

The final best fit to the  $C_{IV}$  line profile is then used as a template that we shift and scale to measure the  $Nv$  emission line above this new  $Nv$  continuum. The profile width at  $Nv$  is increased to account for the different doublet separations between C IV (498 km s<sup>-1</sup>) and N v (964 km s<sup>-1</sup>). The template scaling near N v does not include contributions from the nearby  $Ly\alpha$  emission line. Instead, it avoids  $Ly\alpha$  by using the observed flux in a narrow wavelength window that encompasses the N v line peak and most of the red side profile. This shift and scale technique also assumes implicitly that the  $Nv$  and  $Cv$  emitting regions have the same kinematics, which is reasonable given their similar ionizations and similar atomic physics in the lithium iso-electronic sequence. It is also supported by the generally excellent results revealed by inspection of the fits. Data entries of '−1' listed for N <sup>V</sup> in the catalogue indicate that a fit was not achieved or N <sup>V</sup> is not within the spectral coverage. A quality flag, nvflag, is also provided to help identify potential problems with the line and continuum fits at N V.

Finally, we fit a power law to the continuum across the entire range ∼1350 Å to ∼2200 Å, constrained by median fluxes in the wavelength intervals described above. At redshifts below  $z_e \approx 1.86$ , the shortest wavelength used to constrain this power law is 1420 Å. Values of  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  are not provided for quasars at  $z_e > 3.4$  because the long-wavelength flux point is not available (or not reliable) in the BOSS spectra. This fit is performed and results are tabulated for the original BOSS spectra and for flux corrected versions using the prescription based on airmass in Harris et al. [\(2016\)](#page-27-45). This continuum is not used for the line fitting.

The final catalogue of 216,188 quasars is available as a binary FITS table from the University of California Digital Libraries with the digital object identifier DOI:10.6086/D1H59V at the URL [https://dx.doi.org/10.6086/D1H59V.](https://dx.doi.org/10.6086/D1H59V) This archive also includes FITS tables that list data separately for the core ERQs and the ERQ-like quasar samples, as well as pdf plots that expand upon Figs 12 and [18](#page-20-0) to show BOSS spectra for all quasars in these samples. All of the catalogueed line data are measured from the Gaussian fits, except for rew\_di, rewe\_di, and fwhm\_di, which are direct measurements of the observed lines above the fitted continuum. The  $1\sigma$  uncertainties quoted for the fit parameters are based on pixel-to-pixel noise in the spectra returned by the fitting software. The uncertainties in the line parameters do not include uncertainties in the continuum fits. The catalogue contents are summarized below.

 $SDSS$  Name = from DR12Q.

Plate, MJD, FiberID = from DR12Q.

 $ThirdID = from DR12Q$ .

 $z_{\text{at}}$  = best available DR12Q redshift (PCA, or visual inspection of PCA is not available).

 $i = i$  magnitude from DR12Q corrected for Galactic extinction using offsets from Schlafly & Finkbeiner [\(2011\)](#page-27-44)

 $i$ <sub>-err</sub> = error in above as listed in DR12Q.

W3 = *W*3 magnitude from DR12Q converted to AB using *W*3(AB)  $-W3(Vega) = 5.24$ .

W3  $\text{snr} = \text{signal-to-noise ratio in above as listed in DR12O.}$ 

cc\_flags = *WISE* contamination and confusion flag as listed in DR12Q.

 $bal_flag_vi = BAL$  visual inspection flag from DR12Q (1 indicates that a BAL is present).

 $f1450 = flux$  in the uncorrected BOSS spectrum at 1450 Å rest  $(10^{-17} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Å}^{-1})$  used to anchor the power-law continuum fits beneath C<sub>IV</sub> and N<sub>V</sub>, e.g.  $f_{\lambda} = f_{1450} (\lambda/1450 \text{\AA})^{\alpha}$ .

alpha\_civ = power-law continuum slope  $(f_\lambda \propto \lambda^\alpha)$  measured from the BOSS spectrum on either side of CIV.

alpha  $nv =$  as above but for N v.

alpha\_all = power-law continuum slope  $(f_\lambda \propto \lambda^\alpha)$  between 1350 Å and 2200 Å in the uncorrected BOSS spectrum.

alphae\_all =  $1\sigma$  uncertainty in above.

alpha allc = power-law continuum slope  $(f_\lambda \propto \lambda^\alpha)$  between 1350 Å and 2200 Å in the flux corrected BOSS spectrum, listed only for quasars with  $z_e \leq 3.4$ .

alphae\_allc =  $1\sigma$  uncertainty in above.

 $rew = C_V REW(\text{A})$  from the line profile fit.

 $r$ ewe =  $1\sigma$  uncertainty in above.

 $r$ ewc = C<sub>IV</sub> REW ( $\AA$ ) for the core Gaussian component.

 $r$ eww = C<sub>IV</sub> REW ( $\AA$ ) for the wing Gaussian component.

fhwm = C<sub>IV</sub> FWHM (km s<sup>-1</sup>) from the line profile fit.

 $fwhme = 1\sigma$  uncertainty in above.

 $fwhmc = C_V FWHM (km s<sup>-1</sup>)$  for the core Gaussian only.

 $fwhmw = C_V FWHM (km s<sup>-1</sup>)$  for the wing Gaussian only.

sigma =  $C$  IV velocity dispersion (km s<sup>-1</sup>) measured from the profile fit (Peterson et al. [2004\)](#page-27-105).

 $peak = peak height of the CV line profile fit relative to the fitted$ continuum at the C IV wavelength.

 $peaksnr = SNR$  in above.

 $rat = ratio of peak heights of the core/wing Gaussian components.$ wcent  $=$  wavelength of  $C_{IV}$  line profile centroid.

 $wciv0 =$  wavelength of the C<sub>IV</sub> profile measured as the midpoint of the fitted profile at half the profile peak height.

 $wcore = central$  wavelength of core Gaussian in C IV fit.

wcoree  $= 1\sigma$  uncertainty in above.

shift = wavelength shift  $(A)$  of the wing Gaussian centre from the core Gaussian centre.

 $\text{asy} = C$  IV profile asymmetry index (Marziani et al. [1996\)](#page-27-46).

 $kz = C$  IV profile kurtosis 75 index (Marziani et al. [1996\)](#page-27-46).

 $k \texttt{t}80 = C$  IV profile kurtosis 80 index ( $kt_{80}$ , modified from Marziani et al. [1996,](#page-27-46) see Section 3).

rew\_di = C<sub>IV</sub> REW (Å) measured by direct integration of the data above the fitted continuum.

rewe  $di = 1\sigma$  uncertainty in above.

fwhm\_di = C IV FWHM (km s<sup>-1</sup>) measured by stepping across the line in a smoothed spectrum.

 $q$ flag = quality flag for the C IV fit: 0 = no problems, 1 = line peak height  $< 80$  per cent of the SNR per pixel at the C<sub>IV</sub> wavelength OR derived FHWM(C<sub>IV</sub>) < 400 km s<sup>-1</sup>, 2 = failure in an intermediate step of the profile fitting that might indicate bad data or strong absorption on top of the C IV emission line OR a median flux point that constrains the power law continuum has  $SNR <$ 0.5 OR derived continuum slope is very steep with  $|\alpha_{\lambda}| > 9$  suggesting a problem in the BOSS data or contamination by a BAL,  $3 =$  significant mismatch between the data and the continuum fit on the red side of the C IV emission line usually indicating a BAL or a data anomaly corrupting the continuum fit (e.g. a difference of  $>$  20 per cent measured at SNR  $>$  4 significance in the median fluxes in select wavelength intervals 35–60 Å wide between 1620 and 1730 Å).

rew\_nv = N v REW ( $\AA$ ) from the scaled C <sub>IV</sub> template fit.

 $frat_nv\_civ =$  line flux ratio N v/C Iv.

nvflag = quality flag for the Nv fit:  $0 =$  no problems,  $1 =$  failed or unreliable fit to the line or the local continuum.

## **APPENDIX B: ERQ-LIKE QUASARS**

Table B1 lists 235 quasars with ERQ-like emission-line properties from the expanded search described i[n](#page-30-0) Section 5.7. Some of these quasars have ERQ colours, e.g. *i*–*W*3 > 4.6, but none are in the core ERQ sample because they do not satisfy both *i*–*W*3 > 4.6 and REW(C IV) > 100 Å.

## 3460 *F. Hamann et al.*

<span id="page-30-0"></span>**Table B1.** ERQ-like quasars. See Section 5.1 and Table [2](#page-14-0) for descriptions of the table contents.

Quasar name	$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\ddot{i}$ (mag)	$i-W3$ (mag)	<b>REW</b> $(\AA)$	<b>FWHM</b> $(km s^{-1})$	$k t_{80}$	N v/C Iv	<b>BAL</b>	$\alpha_{\lambda}$	$E(B-V)$	<b>FIRST</b> (mJy)
J000315.85+061331.5	2.25	20.6	4.0	$59 \pm 2$	3978 ± 109	0.35	1.98	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.02$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
$J001120.22+260109.2$	2.29	20.2	4.9	$98 \pm 2$	$3804 \pm 56$	0.34	2.26	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.72$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$\equiv$
J001809.42+042527.7	2.55	20.4	3.3	$48 \pm 3$	$4095 \pm 185$	0.35	2.47	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.11$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J001911.87+263515.3	2.24	20.9	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$123 \pm 7$	$1654 \pm 92$	0.33	0.86	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2.02$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J002044.88+241024.9	2.58	20.9	4.4	$52 \pm 3$	4289 $\pm$ 195	0.37	2.22	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.45$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$-1.0$
$J003747.98 + 170504.0$	3.66	20.9	4.5	$122 \pm 4$	$5609 \pm 164$	0.33	2.38	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
J004015.89+263902.3	2.02	21.1	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$72 \pm 3$	$4317 \pm 136$	0.36	1.72	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.47$		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
J004106.71+035201.4	3.19	21.7	$\qquad \qquad -$	147 $\pm$ 9	$4210 \pm 181$	0.37	0.86	$\theta$	0.62	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J004536.47-000018.1	3.17	21.1	$\qquad \qquad -$	$58 \pm 4$	$5297 \pm 263$	0.36	2.83	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.21$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J005503.19+025135.1	3.55	20.5	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$73 \pm 2$	$2731 \pm 66$	0.34	1.42	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
J010129.16+331349.8	2.63	20.7	4.0	$50\,\pm\,2$	$2769 \pm 122$	0.34	2.64	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.87$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J010312.59+252657.8	2.11	20.7	4.1	$51\,\pm\,2$	$2716 \pm 105$	0.35	2.40	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.88$	$0.29 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J010725.36-003602.1	2.16	20.0	4.1	$94 \pm 2$	$4396 \pm 104$	0.34	2.16	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2.09$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	0.0
$J010928.11+045759.5$	2.19	21.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$98 \pm 6$	4721 $\pm$ 227	0.35	1.50	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.96$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J011557.25-015842.6	2.21	20.7	4.2	$63 \pm 2$	$5637 \pm 140$	0.35	2.25	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.58$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J011601.43-050503.9	3.18	21.8	6.2	$94 \pm 10$	$2291 \pm 253$	0.19	1.56	$\mathbf{0}$	$-2.01$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J012552.08-015218.3	3.18	22.2	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$127 \pm 6$	$1720 \pm 68$	0.35	0.74	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.63$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J013343.24+153015.7	2.54	20.9	4.0	$65 \pm 3$	$4418 \pm 158$	0.35	2.08	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.02$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	$-1.0$
J013413.22-023409.7	2.39	19.4	3.3	$57 \pm 2$	$4534 \pm 114$	0.35	2.77	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.25$	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J013459.91+152027.8	2.06	22.2	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$150\,\pm\,6$	$3097 \pm 115$	0.33	1.26	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.49$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
J014145.76-010135.4	2.82	21.3	$\qquad \qquad -$	$51 \pm 4$	$2983 \pm 195$	0.37	2.25	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.34$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
J014415.19+052603.0	3.32	21.0	$\qquad \qquad -$	$61 \pm 5$	$3264 \pm 182$	0.35	1.71	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.35$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J014632.04+154309.5	3.17	20.9	4.1	$61 \pm 5$	$3375 \pm 202$	0.37	1.39	$\mathbf{1}$	0.75	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
$J014818.11+070952.6a$	2.69	21.2	4.7	$87 \pm 3$	$3469 \pm 92$	0.37	1.86	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.03$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J015341.97+094551.5	2.49	21.6	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$165 \pm 5$	$3452 \pm 111$	0.33	0.72	$\theta$	$-1.17$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J015556.70+174943.0	3.34	20.8	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$86 \pm 3$	$1187 \pm 48$	0.33	1.07	$\mathbf{1}$	0.45	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J020005.87-053052.9	2.32	21.1	$\qquad \qquad -$	$60 \pm 3$	$3107 \pm 136$	0.35	1.51	$\mathbf{1}$	0.24	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
$J020006.77 - 031126.8a$	2.80	20.0	5.1	$58 \pm 2$	$2797 \pm 84$	0.36	1.40	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.48$	$0.22 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J020728.19+033833.5	2.75	21.6	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$138 \pm 5$	$1647 \pm 49$	0.37	0.94	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.90$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J020822.78+250639.8	2.58	20.5	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$63 \pm 3$	$4102 \pm 150$	0.37	1.67	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.34$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J022702.10-065829.1	2.73	20.4	4.5	$76 \pm 4$	$3435 \pm 135$	0.37	3.07	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2.12$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	0.0
$J023728.11+002702.1$	2.87	21.7	4.1	$76\,\pm\,5$	$1906 \pm 87$	0.37	1.19	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.38$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J024002.49+000711.7	3.02	23.3	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$80\,\pm\,5$	$2231 \pm 115$	0.37	1.08	$\mathbf{0}$	0.00	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J025020.87-032610.4	2.74	21.2	4.7	$75\,\pm\,3$	$1785 \pm 74$	0.34	1.96	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.23$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J025422.35-020652.5	2.27 2.62	20.4 20.5	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$52 \pm 2$ $55 \pm 4$	$2396 \pm 89$ $3719 \pm 185$	0.36 0.37	2.27 1.75	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{0}$	0.82 0.32	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ $0.00 \pm 0.02$	0.0 0.0
J074614.86+421526.2 J075252.99+095513.1	2.28	21.8	$\qquad \qquad -$	$85 \pm 7$	$2256 \pm 162$	0.33	1.08	$\theta$	$-1.81$		
J075948.24+381639.7	3.05	21.5	$\qquad \qquad -$ $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$40 \pm 3$	$2288 \pm 147$	0.37	1.36	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.61$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 0.0
J080420.36+302546.8	2.27	21.7		$153 \pm 5$	$2273 \pm 58$	0.36	0.88	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.53$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
	2.79	21.0	$\qquad \qquad -$	$105 \pm 10$	$1651 \pm 317$	0.14	1.90	$\theta$	$-0.84$	$0.26 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J080926.63+234534.6 J081011.82+064020.6	2.09	21.7	$\qquad \qquad -$ 5.9	$62 \pm 5$	$6798 \pm 465$	0.33	2.25	1	$-0.83$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
$J082224.01 + 583932.8$	2.55	20.2	4.8	$65 \pm 2$	$5474 \pm 167$	0.36	1.85	$\theta$	1.07	$0.32 \pm 0.00$	0.0
$J082349.75 + 100439.1$	2.52	22.2	5.0	$68 \pm 4$	$2908 \pm 140$	0.37	1.97	1	$-0.75$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J082418.56+395423.6	2.50	20.9	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$114 \pm 3$	$1880 \pm 40$	0.35	0.76	$\mathbf{1}$	0.51	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J082508.77+355822.9	2.89	20.4	5.1	$75 \pm 5$	$4738 \pm 238$	0.37	1.55	$\boldsymbol{0}$	2.07	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J082618.04+565345.9	2.32	21.5	4.6	$82 \pm 4$	$3509 \pm 135$	0.37	2.52	1	$-1.16$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J083306.26+273845.2	3.25	22.0	$\qquad \qquad -$	$107 \pm 5$	$1843 \pm 67$	0.36	1.34	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.40$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J083402.67+252421.5	2.63	21.8	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$176 \pm 8$	$2906 \pm 123$	0.33	1.48	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.34$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
J083534.58+090717.2	2.60	20.4	4.3	$91 \pm 2$	$3723 \pm 93$	0.35	1.67	1	$-0.66$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J084151.84+313821.0	2.56	20.9	4.9	$99 \pm 4$	$6126 \pm 213$	0.36	1.41	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.46$	$0.24 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J084424.50+545234.2	2.70	21.7	$\qquad \qquad -$	$77 \pm 4$	$4028 \pm 164$	0.37	1.69	1	0.35	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
$J084600.05 + 151031.2$	2.44	21.7	$\qquad \qquad -$	$137 \pm 7$	$1940 \pm 100$	0.34	1.23	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-4.64$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J084808.48+080223.7	2.34	21.9	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$60 \pm 3$	$1648 \pm 67$	0.37	1.10	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.27$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	0.0
J085229.65+524730.8	2.27	21.2	4.7	$64 \pm 4$	$1291 \pm 70$	0.25	1.71	$\boldsymbol{0}$	2.49	$0.34 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J085825.63+262540.2	3.47	21.1	4.5	$71 \pm 2$	$1156 \pm 42$	0.34	1.08	1	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	4.0
J090053.50+293819.2	2.53	21.2	4.7	$59 \pm 4$	$2271 \pm 142$	0.36	1.67	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.44$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J090152.11+272344.9	2.57	20.9	$\qquad \qquad -$	$51 \pm 3$	$3128 \pm 163$	0.35	1.66	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-3.29$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
$J090257.60 + 192701.2$	3.71	20.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$54 \pm 5$	$3162 \pm 200$	0.35	1.36	1	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J090502.28+401239.5	3.10	21.5	$\qquad \qquad -$	$83 \pm 3$	$1970 \pm 93$	0.23	1.83	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.08$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	1.1
J091025.50+042944.3	3.78	21.6	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$186\,\pm\,6$	$1327 \pm 37$	0.37	1.00	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J091159.79+240938.3	3.04	20.6	3.6	$65 \pm 4$	$3865 \pm 206$	0.35	1.50	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.55	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J091500.76+103619.8	2.12	21.1	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$90 \pm 4$	$3749 \pm 153$	0.34	1.79	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.47$	$0.24 \pm 0.01$	0.0

<span id="page-31-0"></span>**Table B1** – *continued*

Quasar name	$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\ddot{i}$ (mag)	$i-W3$ (mag)	<b>REW</b> $(\AA)$	<b>FWHM</b> $(km s^{-1})$	$kt_{80}$	N v/C v	<b>BAL</b>	$\alpha_{\lambda}$	$E(B - V)$	<b>FIRST</b> (mJy)
J091541.56+541234.2	3.17	20.9	4.9	$77 \pm 5$	4743 $\pm$ 217	0.37	3.30	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2.02$	$0.26 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J091751.98+431233.1	2.86	20.0	2.6	$51\,\pm\,2$	3308 ± 140	0.35	1.44	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.69$	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J092419.57+314338.1	2.20	20.8	4.1	$79 \pm 3$	$1788 \pm 75$	0.29	1.77	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.19$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J092600.13+271322.6	2.02	20.1	4.0	$112 \pm 2$	$3135 \pm 70$	0.33	1.74	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.60$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J092604.08+524652.9	2.35	21.8	4.7	$86 \pm 5$	$3053 \pm 156$	0.36	3.03	$\mathbf{0}$	$-2.05$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J092910.47+044033.5	2.45	21.4	4.6	$54 \pm 3$	$1979 \pm 100$	0.36	1.18	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.59$	$0.33 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J093138.12+263945.2	2.31	21.4	4.8	$62 \pm 4$	3330 ± 182	0.37	1.84	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.82$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
$J093141.25 + 300300.0$	2.92	21.8	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$76~\pm~7$	$1207 \pm 87$	0.37	1.21	$\mathbf{0}$	$-2.08$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J093659.08+484048.5	2.37	21.4	$\qquad \qquad -$	59 $\pm$ 2	$1764 \pm 72$	0.34	1.23	$\mathbf{0}$	$-2.46$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J093926.67+591311.1 J094054.29+302316.0	3.74 2.57	20.9 20.8	3.9	$85 \pm 3$ $72 \pm 3$	5378 $\pm$ 162 $2371 \pm 90$	0.36 0.35	2.72 1.69	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 0.97	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ $0.33 \pm 0.01$	0.0 0.0
J094405.66+211200.8	3.39	20.9	5.1 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$126 \pm 4$	3875 $\pm$ 89	0.36	0.67	$\mathbf{0}$	0.58	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J094613.19+315521.6	2.67	21.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$366 \pm 23$	$1967 \pm 111$	0.34	1.16	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.81$	$\overline{a}$	0.0
J094728.10+363033.1	3.05	21.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$149 \pm 5$	$1451 \pm 47$	0.35	0.90	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.50$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J094831.90+480111.6	2.00	21.3	4.5	$41 \pm 3$	$1488 \pm 78$	0.37	1.28	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.16$		0.0
J095259.90+094916.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.76	20.6	5.2	$56 \pm 3$	$1244 \pm 55$	0.35	1.78	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1.33	$0.39 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J095652.51+390234.7	3.16	22.2	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$195 \pm 13$	$3421 \pm 212$	0.33	1.93	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.80$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
$J095710.31 + 572902.2$	2.63	21.2	5.3	$50\,\pm\,2$	$2423 \pm 78$	0.35	1.52	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.62$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J100113.40+120951.3	2.81	21.7	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$148 \pm 6$	$1289 \pm 45$	0.36	1.10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.05$	$0.18 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J100901.15+345937.6	2.40	22.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$311 \pm 23$	$2315 \pm 145$	0.35	1.87	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.21$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J100916.92+031128.8	2.68	21.9	$\qquad \qquad -$	$155 \pm 5$	$1324 \pm 42$	0.34	0.89	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.46$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J100917.93+532500.5	2.26	20.7	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$50 \pm 2$	$3351 \pm 126$	0.36	1.40	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.48$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J101204.96+220802.3	2.70	20.5	3.1	$48 \pm 2$	$2599 \pm 92$	0.35	1.64	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.16$	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J101254.73+033548.6	3.19	21.3	4.5	$127 \pm 9$	$3224 \pm 173$	0.37	1.05	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.19$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$	1.4
J101326.23+611219.9	3.70	21.1	5.9	$281 \pm 10$	$5133 \pm 157$	0.35	1.56	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J101909.57+074600.6	3.00	20.0	4.2	$55~\pm~2$	$3107 \pm 115$	0.35	2.17	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.77$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J102049.71+305956.7	2.61	21.0	4.5	$64 \pm 4$	$3164 \pm 155$	0.36	2.20	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.01$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J102250.16+112500.8	2.19 3.63	20.3 19.4	3.5 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	48 $\pm$ 3 $80~\pm~1$	$3068 \pm 150$ $4042 \pm 58$	0.36 0.35	1.55 1.54	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$	0.06	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	0.0 0.0
J102315.66+070452.7 J102322.10+083337.0	2.75	20.5	3.5	$70 \pm 3$	$4063 \pm 130$	0.36	1.45	$\mathbf{1}$	$\qquad \qquad -$ 0.67	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ $0.14 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J102919.89+183053.9	3.58	20.2	3.7	$68 \pm 4$	$1924 \pm 116$	0.30	2.02	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J102928.52+352352.0	3.96	20.9	4.6	$45 \pm 3$	$3802 \pm 185$	0.36	2.23	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J103558.15-023321.1	2.27	21.0	$\qquad \qquad -$	$68 \pm 3$	$1545 \pm 67$	0.34	1.51	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.40$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J103748.63+371407.4	2.85	21.0	$\qquad \qquad -$	$76 \pm 4$	$1859 \pm 113$	0.27	1.64	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.52$	$0.14\pm0.02$	0.0
J103807.71+325515.9	2.41	20.9	4.6	$67~\pm~2$	4295 $\pm$ 79	0.37	1.46	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.51$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J103919.17+040130.3	3.03	21.2	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$89 \pm 4$	$2085 \pm 85$	0.20	1.36	$\mathbf{0}$	$-0.03$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J104617.99+060952.7	2.73	19.2	4.0	$73 \pm 1$	$4306 \pm 61$	0.36	1.59	$\mathbf{1}$	0.13	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J105018.31+230505.3	2.58	20.7	4.2	$68 \pm 3$	$3697 \pm 111$	0.37	1.47	$\mathbf{0}$	0.56	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J105057.90+545432.1	2.33	20.8	3.2	$114 \pm 8$	$2814 \pm 244$	0.23	1.43	$\mathbf{0}$	$-1.76$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	0.0
$J110442.94 + 650451.7a$	2.24	20.6	4.6	$70\,\pm\,2$	$2347 \pm 58$	0.34	1.94	$\mathbf{1}$	0.06	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
J110455.29+603004.0	2.80	20.4	$\qquad \qquad -$	$86 \pm 5$	3397 ± 178	0.36	2.17	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2.17$	$0.03\pm0.02$	0.0
J110735.97+072155.5	2.17	21.2	$\qquad \qquad -$	$100 \pm 5$	$2174 \pm 126$	0.29	1.38	1	$-0.97$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J111922.98+422311.6	3.01	20.3	4.2 5.1	$84 \pm 4$	$5235 \pm 187$	0.36	1.95	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.55	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J112124.55+570529.6 $J112444.11+623303.8$	2.38 2.44	20.0 21.1	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$28~\pm~1$ $112 \pm 5$	$1780 \pm 74$ $1027 \pm 44$	0.36 0.33	1.35 0.78	$\boldsymbol{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$	2.05 $-1.42$	$0.42 \pm 0.01$ $0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0 0.0
J112827.10+131406.4	3.26	21.0	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$56 \pm 2$	$3004 \pm 77$	0.37	1.37	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.06$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J113707.89+311127.7	2.98	19.5	3.9	$61 \pm 2$	4883 $\pm$ 110	0.36	2.52	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.73$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J113721.46+142728.8 <sup>a</sup>	2.30	20.0	4.9	$98 \pm 2$	4734 $\pm$ 99	0.36	2.01	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.27$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J114208.45+131706.7	2.29	21.2	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$75 \pm 3$	$3503 \pm 131$	0.36	1.75	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-2.32$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J114325.84+465901.8	2.27	22.4	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$129 \pm 4$	$1208 \pm 34$	0.36	0.78	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.87$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J114508.00+574258.6	2.79	19.1	4.8	$38 \pm 2$	$9103 \pm 446$	0.37	1.41	$\boldsymbol{0}$	2.35	$0.28 \pm 0.00$	0.0
J114542.07+401318.3	3.30	21.9	$\bar{ }$	$209 \pm 4$	$1275 \pm 19$	0.37	0.74	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.71$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J114855.47+572159.8	3.05	21.1	3.8	$90 \pm 5$	$3216 \pm 131$	0.37	2.62	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.26$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J120025.75+102314.1	2.64	21.1	4.3	$121 \pm 4$	$2502 \pm 72$	0.36	0.89	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.85$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J120150.13+191632.0	2.90	22.3	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$156 \pm 6$	$1768 \pm 63$	0.35	1.40	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.67$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	0.0
J120346.36+220846.9	2.34	20.5	4.6	$89 \pm 3$	$3460 \pm 84$	0.37	1.94	$\mathbf{1}$	1.04	$0.34 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J121429.97+641125.6	3.01	20.3	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$47 \pm 2$	$3333 \pm 95$	0.36	2.05	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.63$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J121634.84+025714.3	3.11	20.4	4.8	$70 \pm 4$	$6147 \pm 280$	0.33	1.71	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1.47	$0.24 \pm 0.01$	3.1
J122232.46-014435.4	3.90	21.7	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$92 \pm 5$	$4060 \pm 156$	0.37	1.66	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J122519.31+565733.0 J122835.79+105949.4	2.24 2.82	20.4 21.5	$\qquad \qquad -$ $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$80 \pm 3$ $82 \pm 3$	$1328 \pm 42$ $1523 \pm 55$	0.34 0.36	1.14 1.42	$\mathbf{1}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$	0.65 $-0.58$	- $\qquad \qquad -$	1.2 0.0
J122900.89+374934.3	3.15	21.8	5.2	$82 \pm 4$	$2202 \pm 98$	0.34	1.08	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.80$	$0.26\pm0.02$	0.0

## 3462 *F. Hamann et al.*

## <span id="page-32-0"></span>**Table B1** – *continued*



<span id="page-33-0"></span>**Table B1** – *continued.*

Quasar name	$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\ddot{i}$	$i-W3$	<b>REW</b>	<b>FWHM</b>	$k t_{80}$	N v/C IV	<b>BAL</b>	$\alpha_{\lambda}$	$E(B-V)$	<b>FIRST</b>
		(mag)	(mag)	$(\AA)$	$(km s^{-1})$						(mJy)
J155057.71+080652.1 J155459.46+555330.9	2.51 2.57	20.1 19.9	3.8 3.9	$149 \pm 2$ $57 \pm 2$	4446 $\pm$ 60 $3823 \pm 98$	0.37 0.37	0.91 2.53	$\mathbf{0}$ $\overline{0}$	0.59 0.74	$0.12 \pm 0.01$ $0.12 \pm 0.00$	1.3 0.0
J155520.62+551906.6	2.69	20.4	3.6	$44 \pm 2$	$3743 \pm 151$	0.37	2.04	$\overline{0}$	$-0.03$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	0.0
	2.82	21.8	4.9	$56 \pm 4$	$1182 \pm 79$	0.34	1.08	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.36$	$0.32 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J155725.27+260252.7	3.02	20.5		$53 \pm 3$	$2425 \pm 99$	0.36		$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.38$		0.0
J160733.82+192817.3			$\bar{ }$	$98 \pm 4$			1.37	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$0.08 \pm 0.01$	
J161033.30+352204.7	2.56	20.8	3.8	$62 \pm 3$	4499 $\pm$ 159	0.34	1.63 2.49		0.66 $-0.63$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	0.0 0.0
J161305.49+104055.2	3.24	20.7 20.4	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		$4098 \pm 124$	0.36		$\mathbf{1}$		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
J161622.14+384559.2	2.92		$\equiv$	$75 \pm 3$ $76 \pm 3$	$4063 \pm 143$	0.37	2.22	$\mathbf{1}$	0.24	$\equiv$	0.0
J161800.49+435538.6	2.51	20.6	3.6		$3907 \pm 124$	0.35	1.37	$\mathbf{0}$	0.38	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J162244.14+284044.0	2.33	21.6	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$74 \pm 3$	$3862 \pm 149$	0.35	1.61	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.45$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J162327.66+312204.2	2.34	21.4	4.5	$164 \pm 7$	$1572 \pm 68$	0.34	1.54	$\overline{0}$	$-1.24$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J162518.66+144509.9	2.39	20.4	4.7	$79 \pm 2$	$4209 \pm 73$	0.35	2.51	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.56$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J162650.28+371344.9	2.45	19.7	$\qquad \qquad -$	$50 \pm 1$	$2572 \pm 78$	0.34	1.90	$\,1$	$-1.72$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J162920.36+495705.3	2.76	20.9	$\equiv$	$74 \pm 3$	$2575 \pm 72$	0.37	1.85	$\overline{0}$	0.27	$\equiv$	0.0
J162943.03+395844.4	2.20	20.4	4.5	$63 \pm 4$	$1707 \pm 128$	0.21	2.02	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.51	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J164325.87+401119.8	2.29	20.9	3.6	$100 \pm 3$	$2247 \pm 75$	0.33	0.73	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.58$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J164745.64+161443.8	2.62	21.7	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$105 \pm 5$	$2010 \pm 95$	0.34	0.86	$\mathbf{0}$	$-3.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J165053.78+250755.4	3.32	18.5	3.6	$56 \pm 1$	$3348 \pm 55$	0.36	2.16	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.71$	$0.13 \pm 0.00$	0.0
J170047.07+400238.7	2.90	21.6	4.4	$135 \pm 5$	$1336 \pm 50$	0.34	0.89	$\,1$	0.54	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	0.0
J170110.12+301502.8	3.27	20.7	3.6	$93 \pm 3$	$1572 \pm 48$	0.36	1.37	$\overline{0}$	0.20	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J170327.66+305148.9	2.70	20.9	3.8	$55 \pm 4$	$3464 \pm 188$	0.36	1.99	$\mathbf{1}$	0.78	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J171728.91+394102.5	2.80	19.7	4.2	$94 \pm 3$	$4308 \pm 88$	0.36	1.38	$\mathbf{1}$	0.10	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	2.2
J211329.61+001841.7	2.00	23.1	7.1	$171 \pm 6$	$1565 \pm 46$	0.35	0.66	$\overline{0}$	$-1.77$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J212951.40-001804.3	3.21	21.8	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$125 \pm 6$	$1904 \pm 71$	0.37	1.99	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.22$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J214236.60+000534.4	2.51	21.7	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$64 \pm 3$	$1864 \pm 87$	0.34	1.66	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.27$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J214437.72-001553.9	3.32	20.8	4.6	$41 \pm 2$	$1137 \pm 80$	0.26	2.08	$\overline{0}$	0.46	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J214621.58+022528.1	2.04	22.2	5.4	$79 \pm 3$	$1456 \pm 46$	0.36	1.21	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.78$		0.0
J215855.10-014717.9	2.31	19.8	4.1	$73 \pm 1$	$4735 \pm 66$	0.35	2.23	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.45$	$0.20 \pm 0.00$	0.0
J221225.14+220122.7	2.42	21.9	4.3	$234 \pm 7$	$1723 \pm 53$	0.35	0.71	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.13$		$\equiv$
J221322.84+091611.9	2.23	21.0	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$101 \pm 4$	$4102 \pm 134$	0.35	1.58	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.61$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J222128.44+001322.4	3.29	20.9	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$90 \pm 2$	$2937 \pm 49$	0.35	1.91	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.03$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J222307.12+085701.7	2.29	21.3	5.6	$77 \pm 2$	$3661 \pm 78$	0.37	2.52	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.57$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J223348.09+024932.8	2.58	22.1	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$94 \pm 4$	$1089 \pm 53$	0.33	1.46	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.47	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J223808.50+192541.6	2.75	20.8	4.6	$50 \pm 10$	$3586 \pm 360$	0.37	1.78	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.22$	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J224010.95+183818.2	2.13	21.3	4.3	$67 \pm 2$	$2422 \pm 73$	0.34	1.76	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2.09$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J224014.48+084518.0	2.30	21.2	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$43 \pm 2$	$1781 \pm 81$	0.34	1.38	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-0.10$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J224758.69+212826.4	3.93	19.0	2.9	$49 \pm 1$	$2435 \pm 62$	0.36	1.92	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\equiv$
J224926.63-024703.5	2.20	21.2	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$81 \pm 4$	$2022 \pm 95$	0.35	1.16	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.42$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J230114.14+040421.7 <sup>a</sup>	2.19	20.7	4.6	$80 \pm 2$	$3266 \pm 72$	0.36	1.31	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.53$	$0.24 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J231804.15+111632.4	2.90	21.1	4.0	$157 \pm 6$	$1858 \pm 72$	0.34	0.77	$\mathbf{0}$	1.04	$\equiv$	0.0
J232007.21+084847.4	2.09	20.4	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$41 \pm 3$	$3827 \pm 233$	0.37	2.41	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.95$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.0
J232231.64+010813.5	2.56	21.6	$\qquad \qquad -$	$48 \pm 5$	$2704 \pm 284$	0.33	1.63	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.16$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.0
J232348.64+013528.1	3.12	21.1	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$71 \pm 5$	$1423 \pm 89$	0.33	1.40	$\mathbf{1}$	0.02	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J232611.97+244905.7	2.37	21.2	4.5	$131 \pm 4$	$2402 \pm 52$	0.36	2.40	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.61$	$0.25 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J233507.23+074335.5	2.76	20.8	4.7	$75 \pm 2$	$2074 \pm 52$	0.35	1.91	$\overline{0}$	$-0.63$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	0.0
J233720.66-015438.9	3.16	21.2	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$61 \pm 3$	$2029 \pm 80$	0.34	1.02	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.88$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	0.0
J234933.29+322628.9	2.33	22.1	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$112 \pm 6$	$2366 \pm 113$	0.35	1.86	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-1.33$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
J235010.00+185021.0	2.50	20.7	3.6	$46 \pm 3$	$3641 \pm 184$	0.36	2.18	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1.30$	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	$-1.0$
J235556.69+014747.7	2.25	20.2	3.1	$49 \pm 2$	$3295 \pm 104$	0.37	2.07	$\overline{0}$	$-0.10$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	0.0

*<sup>a</sup>*These quasars are in DR12Q but not in our emission line catalogue.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.