

Citation for published version:

Harrington, DM, Gillison, F, Broyles, ST, Chaput, J-P, Fogelholm, M, Hu, G, Kuriyan, R, Kurpad, A, LeBlanc, AG, Maher, C, Maia, J, Matsudo, V, Olds, T, Onywera, V, Sarmiento, OL, Standage, M, Tremblay, MS, Tudor-Locke, C, Zhao, P, Katzmarzyk, PT 2016, 'Household-level correlates of children's physical activity levels in and across 12 countries', *Obesity*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 2150-2157. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21618>

DOI:

[10.1002/oby.21618](https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21618)

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Deirdre M. Harrington Fiona Gillison Stephanie T. Broyles Jean-Philippe Chaput Mikael Fogelholm Gang Hu Rebecca Kuriyan Anura Kurpad Allana G. LeBlanc Carol Maher Jose Maia Victor Matsudo Timothy Olds Vincent Onywera Olga L. Sarmiento Martyn Standage Mark S. Tremblay Catrine Tudor-Locke Pei Zhao Peter T. Katzmarzyk (2016) Household-level correlates of children's physical activity levels in and across 12 countries. *Obesity*, 24(10), which has been published in final form at [10.1002/oby.21618](https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21618). This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Household level correlates of children's physical activity levels in and across twelve countries

Deirdre M. Harrington¹, Fiona Gillison², Stephanie T. Broyles³, Jean-Philippe Chaput⁴, Mikael Fogelholm⁵, Gang Hu³, Rebecca Kuriyan⁶, Anura Kurpad⁶, Allana G. LeBlanc⁴, Carol Maher⁷, Jose Maia⁸, Victor Matsudo⁹, Timothy Olds⁷, Vincent Onywera¹⁰, Olga L. Sarmiento¹¹, Martyn Standage², Mark S. Tremblay⁴, Catrine Tudor-Locke^{12,3}, Pei Zhao¹³ and Peter T. Katzmarzyk³ for the ISCOLE Research Group

Affiliations

¹Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

²Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom

³Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA

⁴Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada

⁵ Department of Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁶St. Johns Research Institute, Bangalore, India

⁷Alliance for Research in Exercise Nutrition and Activity (ARENA), School of Health Sciences, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia

⁸CIF1²D, Faculdade de Desporto, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

⁹Centro de Estudos do Laboratório de Aptidão Física de São Caetano do Sul, Sao Paulo, Brazil

¹⁰Department of Recreation Management and Exercise Science, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya

¹¹School of Medicine, Universidad de los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

¹²Department of Kinesiology, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, United States

¹³Tianjin Women's and Children's Health Center, Tianjin, China

Key words: home, family, media, play, equipment

Running title: Household correlates of children's activity

Corresponding author contact details: Deirdre M. Harrington, Diabetes Research Centre (Origin wing), Leicester General Hospital, Gwendolen Road, LE5 4PW
dh204@le.ac.uk

Word count: 3498

Funding: ISCOLE was funded by The Coca-Cola Company. The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

Disclosure Statement: VM is a board member of the Actigraph company. Grant money has been received from Faculty of Sport, Portugal (JM), the Centre for Studies of the Physical Fitness Research Centre (VM), the Australian Research Council and the

National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia (TO) and royalties from the University of New South Wales Press (TO). The authors report no other potential conflicts of interest related to this work.

Author contributions: DMH conceived the idea for the analysis and led the writing of the manuscript and associated figures; DMH, FG, SB, AGL and PTK were involved in data analysis and interpretation; all authors were involved in study design, data collection and were involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. PTK secured the funding.

What is already known about this subject:

- Household-level characteristics can directly influence children's moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) levels.
- Few studies have explored the relationship between household-level factors and MVPA levels that include low- and middle-income countries.

What this study adds:

- Across 12 socially, economic, and environmentally diverse countries, results showed that children with electronic media in their bedroom had significantly lower MVPA than those who did not, while being active more in the home and yard was associated with more MVPA with consistent findings across a diverse group of countries.
- Across all countries, ownership of play equipment was not related to MVPA but ownership of play equipment that is more frequently used was associated with more MVPA in most sites.
- Higher social support for PA was associated with higher MVPA yet the strength of association varied across countries.

Abstract

Objective: Household factors (electronic media equipment, play equipment, physical activity in the home and social support) have been associated with childhood MVPA but little is known about how these factors differ across diverse countries. The objective was to explore household correlates of objective MVPA in children from 12 countries.

Methods: Overall, 5,859 9-11 year old children from 12 countries representing a range of human and socioeconomic development indicators wore an accelerometer for 7 days and parents reported on household factors. Multilevel general linear models explored associations among household factors and MVPA variables controlling for age, sex and parental education.

Results: Across sites, children with at least one piece of bedroom electronic media had lower MVPA (~4 minutes/day; $p < 0.001$) than those who did not. More frequent physical activity in the home and yard, ownership of more frequently used play equipment and higher social support for physical activity were associated with more MVPA (all $p < 0.001$). The association between play equipment ownership and MVPA was inconsistent across countries (interaction $p < 0.01$).

Conclusions: With the exception of play equipment ownership, modifiable household factors showed largely consistent and important associations with MVPA across high, mid, and low-income countries.

ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01722500

Introduction

Higher levels of moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) are positively associated with cardiorespiratory and metabolic health¹ and a more favorable body weight² yet, globally, childhood MVPA falls below optimal levels.^{3,4} Inactivity⁵ accompanies elevated obesity levels⁶ in Europe, Canada and the US, and evidence that physical activity (PA) is declining in low income countries is concerning.⁷ An understanding of factors influencing children's MVPA is a necessary precursor to designing effective global PA promotion⁸ and obesity prevention strategies as PA remains a cornerstone of obesity prevention⁹.

Children's PA is influenced at different levels by a range of individual and social factors, as well as community, environmental and policy-level factors.¹⁰ The home is one setting in which these factors may directly impact children's PA as parents provide the opportunity, means and support for their children to be active through providing, for example, equipment, transport and encouragement for PA. The existing evidence base does not provide a comprehensive account of the association between household factors and children's PA globally and differences between countries may exist. Associations between the home environment characteristics and children's PA are not consistent^{8,11-14} potentially as a result of both contextual differences and methodological variation which are hard to separate. Few studies report on findings from middle or low income countries;^{8,11} countries where some household correlates may not be as important, or may not be related at all, to children's PA levels.

This paper aims to address current limitations in the literature by exploring household level correlates of objectively measured MVPA in a large sample of children from 12 socially, economic, and environmentally diverse countries spanning five world regions (Europe, Africa, the Americas, South Asia, and the Western Pacific). To facilitate direct comparisons between sites a common, standardized protocol was used. Understanding the correlates of children's PA in diverse sites can aid in the tailoring of PA promotion efforts locally and worldwide.

Methods

ISCOLE

The aim of the International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE) was to determine relationships between lifestyle behaviours and obesity in school-aged children in 12 countries representing a range of socioeconomic indicators (World Bank classification and Human Development Index).¹⁵ The Institutional Review Board at Pennington Biomedical Research Center (coordinating center) approved the central protocol, and the Institutional/Ethical Review Boards at participating institutions approved local protocols. Between 2011 and 2013 children who were in the grade level of 10-year olds from schools that agreed to be in the study were provided with information packets to be brought home. Each parent/guardian provided informed consent and completed questionnaires on behalf of their household. Participating children provided assent and completed self-report questionnaires at school. Technicians administering the questionnaires were trained to provide standardized answers to queries within and across sites. Detailed descriptions of ISCOLE methods are available elsewhere¹⁵ including accelerometer preparation, distribution and data handling details.¹⁶ Within the ISCOLE sample, MVPA has been confirmed as a significant predictor of obesity.¹⁷

Participants

Overall, 13,015 consent forms were distributed to children in eligible schools. A total of 7806 signed consent forms were returned to the research staff, and the total ISCOLE sample was 7,372 nine to 11 year-olds.¹⁷ The analytical sample in the present analysis was 5,859 due to exclusion of participants not providing valid accelerometry data (n=819) and those missing potential correlates (n=399) or highest parental education (n=295). There was a significant difference in the amount of missing data attributed to each site ($\chi^2 < 0.001$). Children with missing data were 0.06 years older ($p < 0.001$) and had BMI 0.4 kg/m² higher ($p < 0.001$) than those in the analytical sample.

Outcome measures

Participants were given an Actigraph GT3X+ (ActiGraph LLC, Pensacola, FL, USA) accelerometer along with wear instructions.¹⁶ The device was attached to an elastic waist belt worn over the right mid-axillary line. Participants wore the device 24 hours/day for up to 7 consecutive days, removing only for water-based activities. Data were collected at an 80Hz sampling rate, downloaded in 1 second epochs and aggregated to 15 second epochs. An MVPA cut-point of ≥ 574 counts/15 seconds was applied.¹⁸ After exclusion of nocturnal sleep episodes,^{19,20} participants providing ≥ 4 days (including ≥ 1 weekend day) of valid data (≥ 10 hours/day of waking wear time) were included in the analysis.^{21,22} Mean MVPA (min/day) was calculated for the overall sampling period and for weekdays, weekend days and the after-school period separately, using school finishing times for each participating school.

Self-reported correlates

Availability of household electronic media equipment: Parents reported the number of household TVs (' ≤ 1 '; '2' and ' ≥ 3 '), whether their child had electronic media equipment (TV, computer or non-hand held video game system) in their bedroom, and whether their child had access to personal electronics (mobile phone or 2-way radio, hand-held videogame player or music system) for their own use (yes/no). Each child also reported whether they had a bedroom TV (yes/no).

Availability and use of household play equipment: Parents reported how often their child used play equipment (active video games; basketball hoop; bike; fixed play equipment; jump rope; roller skates/skateboard/scooter; sports balls/racquets/bats/sticks; swimming pool) at or around the home during the last year. Options included 'not available (don't have)'; 'available but never use'; 'once a month or less', 'once every other week' and 'once a week or more'. The sum of household play items (regardless of usage) and sum of regularly used (i.e. 'once a week or more') play items were used herein.

Household physical activity: Parents reported items from the Neighborhood Impact on Kids survey²³ 'how often during the past year has your child been physically active inside your home?' and 'how often during the past year has your child been physically active in your yard or common

area or in your driveway?' Responses were categorized as 'never'; 'less frequent (once a week or less)' and 'frequently (more than once per week)' for analysis.

Household support for physical activity: Parents responded on four items²³ asking how often they do sports or PA with their child, and how often they provide transport for, watch or encourage their child to play sports in a typical week. Response options ranged from 'never' to 'every day', and the mean score of the 4 items was split into tertiles representing 'low', 'medium' and 'high' social support for analysis.

Covariates

Child sex and age (months), parent-reported highest education (from 'less than high school' to 'postgraduate degree'; to represent socioeconomic status) and accelerometer wear time were included as covariates.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were undertaken using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and results presented for the pooled sample and per site. Accelerometer variables (overall, weekday, weekend day and after-school MVPA) were non-normally distributed so were square-root transformed. Given the hierarchical nature of the data, a series of single predictor multilevel general linear models (GLM), adjusted for covariates and a site interaction were used to explore the relationships between the independent household-level variables and the dependent MVPA variables. Sites were considered to have fixed effects and schools nested within sites were considered to have random effects. Site-by-variable interactions were included in all models and were retained even if not significant. The denominator degrees of freedom for statistical tests pertaining to fixed effects were calculated using the Kenward-Roger approximation. GLM results are presented as unstandardized coefficients, standard errors and p-values. Least squared means were calculated for each level of the dependent variables within sites and were then back transformed. Differences in the back transformed least squared means are used for presentation.

Bonferroni corrections were applied for main effects ($p < 0.005$) and interaction ($p < 0.01$) significance levels.

Results

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1 and household level variable values are presented in Table 2. For the sample as a whole mean MVPA was 60 min/day and 6 of the 12 sites met global PA recommendations.

<Table 1 here>

<Table 2 here>

Children's physical activity and electronic media equipment

Significant (negative) main effects were found for the presence of electronic media equipment in the child's bedroom (parent report) for overall, weekday, weekend (all $p < 0.001$) and after-school ($p = 0.001$) MVPA. Although effect sizes were small, children with at least one piece of bedroom electronic media equipment had significantly lower MVPA than those who did not across all ISCOLE sites (Table 3). This equated to 3 (61 vs. 58), 3 (63 vs. 60), 4 (55 vs. 51) and 1 (29 vs. 28) fewer min/day of overall, weekday, weekend day and after-school MVPA respectively for those with bedroom electronic media. This effect was not seen for children specifically reporting a bedroom TV (all $p > 0.05$), nor was there a significant effect of children reporting ownership of personal electronic devices not restricted to the bedroom ($p > 0.03$ for all MVPA variables). Those with more TVs in the household had higher MVPA on the weekend only ($p = 0.002$).

<Table 3 here>

Children's physical activity and the physical environment (play equipment and location)

More frequent PA in the yard ($p < 0.001$) was associated with higher weekend MVPA while in the home ($p = 0.031$), and both yard and home-based PA frequency were associated with higher

overall, weekday and after-school MVPA across all sites (all $p < 0.001$). Regardless of whether or not they were used, the total number of play items in the household was positively associated with after-school MVPA only ($p = 0.001$). While there was no main effect for MVPA at other times, a significant site-by-variable interaction was found for overall and weekday MVPA (both $p < 0.01$) with ownership of 8 or more play equipment items in Australia, Canada and Finland being associated with children undertaking more MVPA (8, 7 and 10 min/day, respectively). Conversely, in Portugal, Kenya and Brazil similar equipment ownership was associated with less MVPA (-8, -8 and -7 min/day, respectively) compared to those with no items. A significant positive main effect was observed for regularly used play equipment for all MVPA variables (all $p < 0.001$). Ownership of 8 or more frequently used play equipment items in Australia, Finland and Colombia was associated with children undertaking 23, 22 and 22 min/day, respectively, more of MVPA, while in Kenya and South Africa similar equipment ownership was associated with children undertaking 1 min/day less of MVPA compared to those with no items.

Children's physical activity and the social environment

Social support for PA from adults in the household was associated with significantly greater MVPA (all variables $p < 0.001$). A site-by-variable interaction for overall, weekday and after-school MVPA indicated that social support had a stronger influence in some sites (Figure 1), namely Australia and Finland, although all sites, except Kenya, demonstrated a positive trend.

<Figure 1>

Discussion

This study provides insight into consistencies and differences in the associations between the home environment and objectively measured MVPA using a standardised protocol across economically and culturally diverse sites. Such information could be useful in targeted public health messages (e.g. "take electronic media out of the bedroom") that have local and global relevance.

Household physical factors conducive to physical activity

Across all sites, children reporting regular PA within the home and yard had higher objectively measured MVPA across the week (including after-school), confirming the importance of studying PA in the home. Similar to previous review findings,^{11,12} we detected no overall association between the availability of play equipment and overall MVPA for the whole sample except for the after-school period. However, this overall finding masked an interaction effect indicating an association in some countries; Australia, Canada and Finland showed play equipment to be associated with 7-10 min/day more MVPA, while Portugal, Kenya and Brazil showed 7-9 min/day less. Such differences do not appear to relate to systematic wealth differences as a mix of low to high income sites display both directions of effect. Differences may relate to regional (e.g., weather, safety), or cultural (e.g., freedom to play outdoors, free time) differences, or the need for more specificity in describing play equipment (e.g., possible to use alone, condition). The difference in impact may also reflect the alternatives open to children when bespoke play equipment is not available.

Despite the absence of an overall effect of play equipment ownership, regularly used play equipment was associated with greater MVPA for the whole sample. This finding supports past research^{24,25} which suggests that differences in findings may depend on the nuances of measurement, for example in studies that specify the number of items 'in plain view' vs. 'put away and difficult to get to.'²⁵ Given the cross-sectional nature of these studies it is difficult to ascertain whether children are more active due to the presence of the equipment or those who are more active tend to accumulate more equipment.²⁴ However, findings that the provision of play equipment alone is not associated with greater overall MVPA suggests that the role of play equipment is complex, and that social support or other factors in combination with provision²⁶ may be required to promote overall PA.

Household social influences

Our finding that higher social support is related to higher PA across all sites is consistent with much literature.^{11,13,26-29} Past work reported parents' direct involvement (i.e., instrumental support like providing transport) and encouragement (i.e., motivational support) are linked to children's overall and leisure-time PA.²⁸ Parent social support has been found to directly and indirectly (via self-efficacy) relate to PA in a sample of older US children²⁷, with the potential to increase MVPA by up to 12 min/day.²⁶ The impact of social support in the ISCOLE sample ranged from an additional 0.4 to 18.6 min/day of MVPA, and its impact was strongest in the Australia and Finland sites. Children in these countries may be more dependent on, or responsive to, facilitated PA (e.g., reliant on parents as drivers to access team sport venues) rather than unassisted active play. Although inconsistencies in the association between social support and PA in review papers¹¹ may stem from variation in the indicators and measures used, this was not the case in the ISCOLE sample. Instead, the variation in the strength, or lack, of association suggests that practices such as verbal encouragement, the provision of instrumental support and direct engagement with a child may have differential effects in different cultures and contexts.

Home physical factors less conducive to physical activity

The presence of electronic media in the bedroom has been associated with higher sedentary time in the ISCOLE sample³⁰ while, herein, the presence of at least one bedroom electronic media equipment item was associated with lower MVPA across all sites. This adds to cross-sectional evidence on the negative effects of bedroom electronic media on health indicators.³¹ A previous review reported an association between media equipment (owned, but not confined to the bedroom) and PA¹¹ which was not found in our sample. The inconsistencies may reflect differences in who is reporting or differences in terminology used. Even herein, the presence of a bedroom TV reported by children themselves was not associated with MVPA, whereas the parent question on bedroom electronic media capturing all devices showed a significant negative association. It remains to be established whether this results from differences in the reliability of parent and child reports, different effects for TVs compared with other electronic media,³² or whether this is a proxy indication of socio-economic status. By querying bedroom electronic media

two different ways the present study demonstrates the impact that alternative measurement approaches can have on findings.

Implications

Overall, there is homogeneity in the association between a child's home environment and their PA across ISCOLE sites: children who are active at home, who have less access to bedroom electronic media, who have more parental social support, and who frequently use available play equipment undertake more MVPA. While the strength of these effects differed between countries in some cases (e.g., a stronger association of social support in Finland and Australia), the only difference in direction was observed for play equipment ownership. There was no clear differentiation between sites grouped according to positive or negative associations as a factor of national wealth, geographic region, or other *a priori* attributes of the study sites. While the ISCOLE sample was not representative of whole nations,³³ the identification of differences in associations between multiple sites rather than single outliers, suggests more work is needed to fully understand correlates of childhood PA globally. Only then can obesity prevention strategies that have global relevance be designed and implemented.

Strengths and limitations

Reviews of the home environment and children's PA demonstrate how few studies have been conducted outside high-income, westernized nations.^{8,11} Even studies conducted within the same country are difficult to compare due to the lack of consistency in the variables measured. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate differences in association between factors within the home environment and children's PA levels in economically and culturally diverse contexts using standardized methods. Further, the use of objectively measured PA provides greater confidence in the accuracy and reliability of these findings than studies reliant on self-reported PA.¹³ Future work could enhance the insight gained from objective assessments by differentiating between the purposes of home-based PA; it is likely that understanding the different types of household PA such as active play, active video gaming and household chores could help explain the observed differences between countries.¹¹

Although this is standard in similar studies,^{11,13} limitation of the present study was the self- and parental-report of household contextual factors and the absence of parental PA levels. The study was also cross-sectional, so no causality of the direction of effects between factors can be inferred. Further research to confirm the longitudinal effects of key correlates identified would be valuable. A number of associations may be as likely to stem from reverse causality (i.e., children's activity shaping their environment) rather than vice versa; for example, parents of inactive children would have no need to drive them to sports facilities so social support would be lower, and active children may choose play equipment over other sedentary alternatives offered by parents. Although some of the relationships may seem obvious (i.e., children with more frequently used play equipment do more MVPA at home) we are interested in factors that are open to change and whether this relationship holds true in non-Western countries. Finally, while we included data from 12 sites from 5 geographic regions of the world, we did not include countries representative of all regions, and the data obtained within each country was from a narrow age range within a single site which may not represent that whole country;³³ notably most sites did not include participants from rural communities. As such, while our findings provide a considerable extension of knowledge, they cannot be generalized beyond the settings in which the data were obtained.

Conclusion

The between-site comparisons facilitated by this study suggest that most associations between the home environment and PA are consistent across 12 sites regardless of Human Development Index, although the strength of association differ. Such information could be useful in targeted obesity prevention initiatives, for example in setting priorities and ascertaining in which countries or contexts public health messages (e.g., "take the electronic media out of the bedroom") may have more impact. The identification of factors which may have opposing effects in different countries serves to emphasise the importance of ensuring that local research is conducted, and that we do not rely on assumptions that all household correlates of children's PA will have the same effect in different contexts.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the ISCOLE External Advisory Board and the ISCOLE participants and their families who made this study possible. The ISCOLE Research Group includes: **Coordinating Center, Pennington Biomedical Research Center:** Peter T. Katzmarzyk, PhD (Co-PI), Timothy S. Church, MD, PhD (Co-PI), Denise G. Lambert, RN (Project Manager), Tiago Barreira, PhD, Stephanie Broyles, PhD, Ben Butitta, BS, Catherine Champagne, PhD, RD, Shannon Cocreham, MBA, Kara D. Denstel, MPH, Katy Drazba, MPH, Deirdre Harrington, PhD, William Johnson, PhD, Dione Milauskas, MS, Emily Mire, MS, Allison Tohme, MPH, Ruben Rodarte MS, MBA; **Data Management Center, Wake Forest University:** Bobby Amoroso, BS, John Luopa, BS, Rebecca Neiberg, MS, Scott Rushing, BS; **Australia, University of South Australia:** Timothy Olds, PhD (Site Co-PI), Carol Maher, PhD (Site Co-PI), Lucy Lewis, PhD, Katia Ferrar, B Physio (Hon), Effie Georgiadis, BPsych, Rebecca Stanley, BAppSc (OT) Hon; **Brazil, Centro de Estudos do Laboratório de Aptidão Física de São Caetano do Sul (CELAFISCS):** Victor Keihan Rodrigues Matsudo, MD, PhD (Site PI), Sandra Matsudo, MD, PhD, Timoteo Araujo, MSc, Luis Carlos de Oliveira, MSc, Luis Fabiano, BSc, Diogo Bezerra, BSc, Gerson Ferrari, MSc; **Canada, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute:** Mark S. Tremblay, PhD (Site Co-PI), Jean-Philippe Chaput, PhD (Site Co-PI), Priscilla Bélanger, MA, Mike Borghese, MSc, Charles Boyer, MA, Allana LeBlanc, PhD, Claire Francis, M.Sc., Geneviève Leduc, PhD; **China, Tianjin Women's and Children's Health Center:** Pei Zhao, MD (Site Co-PI), Gang Hu, MD, PhD (Site Co-PI), Chengming Diao, MD, Wei Li, MD, Weiqin Li, MSc, Enqing Liu, MD, Gongshu Liu, MD, Hongyan Liu, MD, Jian Ma, MD, Yijuan Qiao, MD, Huiguang Tian, PhD, Yue Wang, MD, Tao Zhang, MSc, Fuxia Zhang, MD; **Colombia, Universidad de los Andes:** Olga Sarmiento, MD, PhD (Site PI), Julio Acosta, Yalta Alvira, BS, Maria Paula Diaz, Rocio Gamez, BS, Maria Paula Garcia, Luis Guillermo Gómez, Lisseth Gonzalez, Silvia Gonzalez, RD, Carlos Grijalba, MD, Leidys Gutierrez, David Leal, Nicolas Lemus, Etelvina Mahecha, BS, Maria Paula Mahecha, Rosalba Mahecha, BS, Andrea Ramirez, MD, Paola Rios, MD, Andres Suarez, Camilo Triana; **Finland, University of Helsinki:** Mikael Fogelholm, ScD (Site-PI), Elli Hovi, BS, Jemina Kivelä, Sari Räsänen, BS, Sanna Roito, BS, Taru Saloheimo, MS, Leena Valta; **India, St. Johns Research Institute:** Anura Kurpad, MD, PhD (Site Co-PI), Rebecca Kuriyan, PhD (Site Co-PI), Deepa P. Lokesh, BSc, Michelle Stephanie D'Almeida, BSc, Annie Mattilda R, MSc, Lygia Correa, BSc, Vijay D, BSc; **Kenya, Kenyatta University:** Vincent Onywera, PhD (Site Co-PI), Mark S. Tremblay, PhD (Site Co-PI), Lucy-Joy Wachira, PhD, Stella Muthuri, PhD; **Portugal, University of Porto:** Jose Maia, PhD (Site PI), Alessandra da Silva Borges, BA, Sofia Oliveira Sá Cachada, Msc, Raquel Nichele de Chaves, MSc, Thayse Natacha Queiroz Ferreira Gomes, PhD, MSc, Sara Isabel Sampaio Pereira, BA, Daniel Monteiro de Vilhena e Santos, PhD, Fernanda Karina dos Santos, MSc, Pedro Gil Rodrigues da Silva, BA, Michele Caroline de Souza, MSc; **South Africa, University of Cape Town:** Vicki Lambert, PhD (Site PI), Matthew April, BSc (Hons), Monika Uys, BSc (Hons), Nirmala Naidoo, MSc, Nandi Synyanya, Madelaine Carstens, BSc(Hons); **United Kingdom, University of Bath:** Martyn Standage, PhD (Site PI), Sean Cumming, PhD, Clemens Drenowatz, PhD, Lydia Emm, MSc, Fiona Gillison, PhD, Julia Zakrzewski, PhD; **United States, Pennington Biomedical Research Center:** Catrine Tudor-Locke, PhD (Site-PI), Ashley Braud, Sheletta Donatto, MS, LDN, RD, Corbin Lemon, BS, Ana Jackson, BA, Ashunti Pearson, MS, Gina Pennington, BS, LDN, RD, Daniel Ragus, BS, Ryan Roubion, John Schuna, Jr., PhD; Derek Wiltz. **The ISCOLE External Advisory Board includes** Alan Batterham, PhD, Teesside University, Jacqueline Kerr, PhD, University of California, San Diego; Michael Pratt, MD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Angelo Pietrobelli, MD, Verona University Medical School.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*. World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.
2. Carlson JA, Crespo NC, Sallis JF, Patterson RE, Elder JP. Dietary-related and physical activity-related predictors of obesity in children: A 2-year prospective study. *Childhood Obes* 2012; 8: 110-115.
3. Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull FC, Guthold R, Haskell W, Ekelund U *et al*. Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *The Lancet* 2012; 380: 247-257.
4. Tremblay MS, Gray CE, Akinroye KK, Harrington DM, Katzmarzyk PT, Lambert EV *et al*. Physical activity of children: A global matrix of grades comparing 15 countries. *J Phys Act Health* 2014; 11: S113-S125.
5. Kalman M, Inchley J, Sigmundova D, Iannotti RJ, Tynjala JA, Hamrik Z *et al*. Secular trends in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in 32 countries from 2002 to 2010: a cross-national perspective. *Eur J Public Health* 2015; 25: 37-40.
6. Ahluwalia N, Dalmaso P, Rasmussen M, Lipsky L, Currie C, Haug E *et al*. Trends in overweight prevalence among 11-, 13-and 15-year-olds in 25 countries in Europe, Canada and USA from 2002 to 2010. *Eur J Pub Health* 2015; 25: 28-32.
7. dos Santos FK, Maia JA, Gomes TNQ, Daca T, Madeira A, Damasceno A *et al*. Secular trends in habitual physical activities of Mozambican children and adolescents from Maputo City. *Int J Environ Res Pub Health* 2014; 11: 10940-10950.
8. Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, Wells JC, Loos RJF, Martin BW. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *The Lancet* 2012; 380: 258-271.
9. World Health Organization. Population-based approaches to childhood obesity prevention. In. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2012.
10. McLeroy K, Bibeau D, Steckler A, Glanz K. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. *Health Educ Behav* 1988; 15: 351-377.
11. Maitland C, Stratton G, Foster S, Braham R, Rosenberg M. A place for play? The influence of the home physical environment on children's physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013; 10: 99.
12. De Vet E, De Ridder D, De Wit J. Environmental correlates of physical activity and dietary behaviours among young people: a systematic review of reviews. *Obesity Reviews* 2011; 12: e130-e142.
13. Van der Horst K, Paw M, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W. A brief review on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007; 39: 1241.
14. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000; 32: 963-975.
15. Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, Broyles ST, Champagne CM, Chaput J-P, Fogelholm M *et al*. The International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE): design and methods. *BMC Public Health* 2013; 13: 900.
16. Tudor-Locke C, Mire EF, Dentre KN, Barreira TV, Schuna JM, Zhao P *et al*. A model for presenting accelerometer paradata in large studies: ISCOLE. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2015; 12: 52.
17. Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, Broyles ST, Champagne CM, Chaput JP, Fogelholm M *et al*. Relationship between lifestyle behaviors and obesity in children ages 9-11: Results from a 12-country study. *Obesity* 2015; 23: 1696-1702.
18. Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, Ondrak KS, McMurray RG. Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for children. *J Sports Sci* 2008; 26: 1557-1565.
19. Tudor-Locke C, Barreira TV, Schuna Jr JM, Mire EF, Katzmarzyk PT. Fully automated waist-worn accelerometer algorithm for detecting children's sleep-period time separate from 24-h physical activity or sedentary behaviors. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2013; 39: 53-57.

20. Barreira T, Schuna JJ, Mire E, PT K, Chaput J-P, Leduc G *et al.* Identifying children's nocturnal sleep using 24-h waist accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2015; 47: 937-943.
21. Trost SG, Loprinzi PD, Moore R, Pfeiffer KA. Comparison of accelerometer cut points for predicting activity intensity in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011; 43: 1360-1368.
22. Colley R, Gorber SC, Tremblay MS. Quality control and data reduction procedures for accelerometry-derived measures of physical activity. *Health Rep* 2010; 21: 63-69.
23. Tappe KA, Glanz K, Sallis JF, Zhou C, Saelens BE. Children's physical activity and parents' perception of the neighborhood environment: neighborhood impact on kids study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013; 10: 1479-5868.
24. Maddison R, Hoorn SV, Jiang Y, Mhurchu CN, Exeter D, Dorey E *et al.* The environment and physical activity: The influence of psychosocial, perceived and built environmental factors. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2009; 6: 19.
25. Sirard JR, Laska MN, Patnode CD, Farbaksh K, Lytle LA. Adolescent physical activity and screen time: associations with the physical home environment. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2010; 7: 10-1186.
26. Tandon P, Grow HM, Couch S, Glanz K, Sallis JF, Frank LD *et al.* Physical and social home environment in relation to children's overall and home-based physical activity and sedentary time. *Prev Med* 2014; 66: 39-44.
27. Trost SG, Sallis JF, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Taylor WC, Dowda M. Evaluating a model of parental influence on youth physical activity. *Am J Prev Med* 2003; 25: 277-282.
28. Edwardson C, Gorely T. Parental influences on different types and intensities of physical activity in youth: A systematic review. *Psych of Sport Sci* 2010; 11: 522-535.
29. McMinn AM, Griffin SJ, Jones AP, van Sluijs EMF. Family and home influences on children's after-school and weekend physical activity. *Eur J Public Health* 2012; 23: 805-810.
30. LeBlanc AG, Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, S.T. Broyles, J-P. Chaput, T.S. Church *et al.* Correlates of total sedentary time and screen time in 9-11 year-old children around the world: The International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment. *PloS One* 2015; 10: e0129622.
31. Staiano A, Harrington D, Broyles S, Gupta A, Katzmarzyk P. Television and cardiometabolic risk in children and adolescents. *J Sci Med Sport* 2012; 15: S74.
32. Rey-López JP, Vicente-Rodríguez G, Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Martínez-Gómez D, De Henauw S *et al.* Sedentary patterns and media availability in European adolescents: The HELENA study. *Prev Med* 2010; 51: 50-55.
33. LeBlanc AG, Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, Broyles ST, Chaput J-P, Church TS *et al.* Are participant characteristics from ISCOLE study sites comparable to the rest of their country? *Int J Obes Suppl* 2015; 5: S9-S16.

Table headings

Table 1. ISCOLE participant characteristics by study site.

Table 2. Proportion of participants with household level characteristics across ISCOLE sites.

Table 3. Associations between household level characteristics and physical activity outcomes.

Figure headings

Figure 1. Overall MVPA of children receiving lower (bottom tertile) versus higher (top tertile) levels of physical activity social support for each ISCOLE site. Note: a higher value indicates that study children with higher physical activity social support have higher MVPA.

Table 1. ISCOLE participant characteristics by study site

ISCOLE site (city)	World Bank ranking	Participants (n, % male)	Age (years)	Parent educational attainment (%) ^a	MVPA (min/day) ^b	Weekday MVPA (min/day) ^b	Weekend MVPA (min/day) ^b	After school MVPA (min/day) ^b
Australia (Adelaide)	High	459, 45.5	10.7	79.5	65.5	69.4	55.5	28.0
Brazil (Sao Caetano do Sul)	Upper-middle	433, 49.0	10.4	41.3	59.3	61.5	53.8	26.2
Canada (Ottawa)	High	508, 41.7	10.5	91.1	58.8	62.3	49.7	26.5
China (Tianjin)	Upper-middle	488, 52.2	9.9	49.6	45.2	46.5	41.9	16.2
Colombia (Bogotá)	Upper-middle	854, 49.4	10.5	33.9	68.1	70.7	62.0	40.5
Finland (Helsinki, Espoo, Vantaa)	High	460, 46.5	10.5	72.8	71.1	75.6	61.7	45.3
India (Bangalore)	Lower-middle	538, 45.7	10.4	83.1	45.5	49.3	46.7	23.0
Kenya (Nairobi)	Low	467, 46.5	10.2	63.8	71.7	70.4	74.6	26.9
Portugal (Porto)	High	526, 42.8	10.4	21.7	55.7	60.0	44.9	24.9
South Africa (Cape Town)	Upper-middle	270, 38.9	10.1	31.1	62.9	63.2	62.0	41.0
UK (Bath, North East Somerset)	High	405, 44.2	10.9	71.9	64.7	67.3	58.2	31.0
US (Baton Rouge)	High	451, 41.0	9.9	73.8	49.9	47.5	56.1	26.2
All sites		5859, 45.8	10.4	58.7	60.3	62.2	55.5	29.8

All are means unless otherwise stated; ^aValues refers to % of participants having at least one parent attaining some college/associates degree or higher;

^bBased on Evenson cut-point of 574 counts/15 seconds¹⁸; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity

Table 2. Proportion of participants with household level characteristics across ISCOLE sites

ISCOLE site (city)	TV in bedroom ^a	≥ 2 household TVs	Electronics in bedroom ^b	Personal electronics ^b	Sports equipment ^c	Frequently used sports equipment ^c	Active at home ^d	Active in the yard ^d	Family Social support ^e
Australia (Adelaide)	32.9	88.4	57.3	7.6	6	2	65.8	79.1	35.5
Brazil (Sao Caetano do Sul)	73.7	76.9	17.3	20.3	4	1	49.2	49.0	14.8
Canada (Ottawa)	15.2	73.0	77.4	11.0	6	2	66.5	70.1	34.4
China (Tianjin)	33.8	37.3	37.7	52.2	5	1	42.0	36.7	23.2
Colombia (Bogotá)	76.5	65.0	30.4	48.1	5	2	64.5	44.3	13.3
Finland (Helsinki, Espoo, Vantaa)	28.9	67.4	52.4	0.4	6	2	59.8	76.5	20.2
India (Bangalore)	21.4	34.8	58.0	53.5	4	1	68.2	54.5	42.0
Kenya (Nairobi)	17.1	28.3	78.8	65.3	3	1	75.4	63.0	24.2
Portugal (Porto)	70.0	91.6	18.1	5.1	5	2	36.5	41.1	21.9
South Africa (Cape Town)	57.8	52.2	48.5	44.8	3	1	70.0	77.0	31.5
UK (Bath, North East Somerset)	44.4	78.8	52.1	3.5	6	2	71.8	76.5	23.5
US (Baton Rouge)	70.1	95.3	28.6	12.9	6	2	78.9	78.3	39.7
All sites	46.3	65.7	45.4	28.3	5	2	62.0	60.0	26.0

All values are percentages unless otherwise stated; ^a from child report; ^b percentage of children with at least one item; ^c mean number of items ^d refers to parents who reported their child being active in the home/yard (as appropriate) more than once per week; ^e percentage of participants in the top tertile of parental support based on the mean of three questions;

Table 3. Associations between household level characteristics and physical activity outcomes

	Mean MVPA (min/day)			Weekday MVPA (min/day)			Weekend MVPA (min/day)			After school MVPA (min/day)		
	B coefficient	SE	p	B coefficient	SE	p	B coefficient	SE	p	B coefficient	SE	p
Less conducive to physical activity												
Child has a TV in bedroom	-0.08	0.14	0.313	-0.04	0.14	0.744	-0.15	0.20	0.024	0.04	0.13	0.917
Child has electronics in bedroom	-0.12	0.14	<0.001	-0.08	0.14	<0.001	-0.18	0.20	<0.001	-0.007	0.13	0.001
Child has personal electronics	-0.19	0.18	0.218	-0.04	0.19	0.609	-0.57	0.27	0.031	-0.06	0.18	0.917
Number of TVs	0.23	0.11	0.050	0.21	0.11	0.195	0.25	0.16	0.003	0.21	0.11	0.390
Supportive of physical activity												
Availability of play equipment	0.04	0.03	0.179 ^b	0.05	0.03	0.406 ^b	0.03	0.05	0.128	0.08	0.03	0.001
Frequently used play equipment	0.08	0.03	<0.001	0.07	0.03	<0.001	0.12	0.05	<0.001	0.10	0.03	<0.001
Physical activity in the home	0.17	0.12	<0.001	0.24	0.12	<0.001	-0.04	0.17	0.029	0.19	0.11	<0.001
Physical activity in the yard	0.34	0.12	<0.001	0.24	0.12	<0.001	0.53	0.17	<0.001	0.32	0.11	<0.001
Social support												
Physical activity social support	0.05	0.08	<0.001^a	0.05	0.08	<0.001^b	0.09	0.11	<0.001	0.07	0.07	<0.001^a

Note: Type 3 test of fixed effects, presenting unstandardized coefficients for the square root transformed MVPA variables. Significance for main effects for all sites pooled set at P <0.005 (Bonferroni correction) and bolded when significant. Significant site-by-variable interactions ^ap <0.001, ^bp <0.01; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity.