



Citation for published version:

Osorio, BM, McCullen, N, Walker, I & Coley, D 2016, 'Energy use of urban transport and buildings: A new combined metric', wholeSEM 3rd Annual Conference, Cambridge, UK United Kingdom, 4/07/16 - 5/07/16.

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights
Unspecified

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ENERGY USE OF URBAN TRANSPORT AND BUILDINGS:



A New Combined Metric

Bruno Osório^{*} ‡, Nick McCullen^{*}, Ian Walker[†] and David Coley^{*}

^{*} Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering; [†] Department of Psychology — University of Bath
email: ‡ b.osorio@bath.ac.uk



Introduction

To implement actions to reduce the negative effects of carbon-based energy consumption calls for a good method of measuring that energy. Prior research has always considered urban buildings and transport energy costs separately. A combined energy use metric is developed at a large scale to provide better understanding of energy consumption patterns. Because commuting plays such a substantial role in energy demand, the results show a direct relationship between lower per capita energy consumption and urbanised areas, demonstrating how energy efficient urban living is.

Background

- Urban areas have been growing continuously [1] leading to an increasing carbon-related energy consumption [2]
- The rise of CO₂ and other GHG emissions results in negative consequences: climate change, air pollution, and others
- Priority: implementing strategies to mitigate the effects of the negative outcomes
- Measuring energy consumption is essential to outline strategies
- An energy use metric enables us to identify consumption patterns

Methodology

- There is an advantage to combine the energy consumption of buildings and transport due to their interdependency [3], given that people move from homes to workplaces
- Energy metric: estimate of the buildings operational energy and the commuting transport carbon footprint
- Use of freely available and reliable data published by official governing bodies [4, 5]
- Use of Lower layer Super Output Area (LSOA) geographic level
- Applied a common unit of measurement: kgCO₂e

Combined metric




Figure 1: Energy consumption by LSOA per capita: (a) Total and (b) Buildings

- Lower per capita consumption is found in major urban areas
- Larger LSOA units generally show more energy use
- Observed a similarity between total energy and buildings alone
- Rural areas have significantly higher energy consumption

Transport analysis




Figure 2: (a) Commuting transport carbon footprint per capita; (b) Population density > 4500 pers/km²

- Relation: low transport footprint ⇔ high density areas
- Greater London: its better public transport system denotes lower per capita commuting transport energy
- Predominantly, urban areas are more energy efficient

Conclusions and future work

- Estimating energy consumption is important to provide information to design better mitigation policies
- Significant benefit from a combined energy use metric
- The simplicity of the new metric enables it to be reproduced for other regions
- Consumption patterns show that more densely populated areas have better energy efficiency [6]
- Future development: understand the relationship between energy consumption and urban characteristics

References

- [1] Makido, Y., Dhakal, S., Yamagata, Y. (2012) Relationship between urban form and CO₂ emissions: evidence from fifty Japanese cities. *Urban Climate*, 2:55–67.
- [2] Reinhart, C., Davila, C. C. (2016). Urban building energy modeling – A review of a nascent field. *Building and Environment*, 97:196–202.
- [3] Stephan, A., Crawford, R. H., De Myttenaere, K. (2012). Towards a comprehensive life cycle energy analysis framework for residential buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 55:592–600.
- [4] DECC (2015). Statistics at Department of Energy & Climate Change. Online; accessed 06-2016.
- [5] O'Brien, O., UCL CASA (2014). DataShine: Travel to work flows. Online; accessed 06-2016.
- [6] Næss, P. (2012). Urban form and travel behavior: Experience from a Nordic context. *Journal of Transport and Land Use*, 5:21–45.