

Citation for published version:

Robinson, F & Williams, BW 1989, Systematic design of dissipative and regenerative snubbers. in Conference Record of the 1989 IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting. vol. 2, IEEE, San Diego, USA, pp. 1320- 1327, Conference Record of the 1989 IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting , San Diego, USA United States, 1/10/89. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IAS.1989.96814>

DOI: [10.1109/IAS.1989.96814](https://doi.org/10.1109/IAS.1989.96814)

Publication date: 1989

Document Version Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://researchportal.bath.ac.uk/en/publications/507075d2-feb9-4048-b7a8-a592e3e1554b)

(c) 1989 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other users, including reprinting/ republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted components of this work in other works.

University of Bath

Alternative formats If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

F.V.P. Robinson, PWM Drives Ltd., London, U.K.

ABSTRACT

Current commutation between diodes and switches is possible in hard-switching power-stages over a wide di/dt range, (10-1000+ A/µs) with modern power-devices
and hardware practice. Yet, a definitive procedure
does not exist for setting di/dt at diode reverserecovery. Diode turn-off performance is therefore examined, using IGBT's to switch diode-current, to establish if an optimal di/dt exists which minimizes energy-loss associated with diode recovery, when simple snubber-inductance reset circuits are used. Destructive parasitic-oscillation, induced in inverseparallel IGBT's across reverse recovering freewheel-diodes in IGBT modules, were obtained during experimentation. The origin and cure are presented.

INTRODUCTION

Device manufacturers and users are promoting the view that hard-switching power-stages, using the latest power devices, are best operated with virtually noo snubbers [1], ie. with little current or voltage
transient control other than 'active' snubbing or clamping through switch-drive control, or by device avalanche, or by tailoring device-response (soft-
recovery diodes) at turn-off; and devices are being
examined to quantify snubberless operating regions
[2,3]. However, while this may be desirable from a
manufacturer's sta represents the removal rather than gradual lowering of a safety-net. Device switching-ttansitions are heavily dependent upon a host of device, component and hardware characteristics, subject to production-spread and voltage, current and temperature variation. Therefore, with no snubbing or clamping, bracketing emi-emission and power-stage reliability on a sampling basis, must be compounded by loss of consistency in device-stress and magnitude and frequency of voltage and current overshoot and ringing at transitions. With modern devices, snubbers are used more to clamp turnoff voltage overshoot due to energy transfer between
series-inductance and effective device-capacitance at
turn-off [Vos=Ioffy (Lseries/Cop)], and to clamp turn-
on current due to energy transfer between freewheeldiode (reverse-recovery) capacitance and series-inductance [Ios=Edcd (CdiodeILseries)] . Conflicting requirements, therefore, exist for minimal current and voltage overshoot; and it is worth noting that transient magnitude and damping (with RC-snubber [=R/2dC/L) are set by the ratio of effective energy-storage components rather than their absolute value, resulting in such paradoxes as transient amplitude and damping may worsen, and noise amplitude coupled into control circuits may increase, when faster devices and tighter hardware-layout are used: reduced circuit-
geometry increases parasitic resonant-circuit geometry increases parasitic resonant-circuit frequency (30-500 MHz later shown) and initial dildt and dv/dt; and, so, reduced overlapping conductor
loops and plates are required for the same degree of
electromagnetic or electro-static coupling. The
advantage of smaller resonant-circuits, of course, is

B.W. Williams, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, U.K.

reduced energy-loss in their damping, but the small RC-snubbers required to realize it may be overlooked if their is a headlong rush to be snubberless, or to have snubberless devices. Small RC-snubbers, although difficult to design **[4],** attenuate switching-noise at source, reducing filtering and screening below that required by a snubberless design. Also, apart from greater noise generation, and reduced flexibility in accommodating modification, upgrading and device variation, snubberless power-stages using activesnubbing by gate or drive control, are fundamentally less thermally efficient than snubbered power-stages using the best forms of passive-component snubbing 111. However, whether active or passive snubbing is used, a procedure for optimizing freewheel-diode reverse-recovery dildt for minimal power-loss does not seem to exist. **An** examination of the energy-loss associated with diode-recovery under various switching conditions is, therefore, presented.

COMPARISON OF ACTIVE *AND* **PASSIVE SNUBBING**

Idealized waveforms for active and passive turn-on snubbing are given in fig.1. In fig.lA the gate-drive is assumed to produce the same turn-on dildt and peak diode reverse-recovery current as the series-snubber inductance of fig.lB. Instantaneous-power plots show that passive-snubber energy is transferred from Lseries to the load and the remainder dissipated in voltage clamps, whereas in activesnubbing, no energy transfer occurs and greater energy-loss is concentrated in the switch at turn-on. Wactive/Wpassive (fig.2) gives a maximum of 2 when Irm=Io. In practice, stray-inductance lowers switchvoltage in active-snubber circuits, reducing switch
energy-loss (fig.9), and dynamic-saturation-type (fig.9), and dynamic-saturation-type effects raise switch-voltage, increasing energy-loss (fig.11 &12) in passive-snubber circuits at turn-on.

Modern medium-current (10-100 A) power devices
are quite tolerant of high turn-off dv/dt, and it is
usually only necessary to clamp voltage transients to
uphold voltage or repetitive-avalanche ratings,
particularly with pa current-transient control at turn-on, voltage
transient at turn-off is controllable with active or passive snubbing or clamping. A more complete activelpassive comparison is given in [l]. Here, fig.3 gives energy-loss for true and artificial deviceavalanche, defined to constitute active snubbing or clamping, and for passive snubbing with an RC-snubber or soft voltage-clamp. With active-clamping or RCsnubbing a multiple of the trapped energy, LseriesIo²/2, is dissipated $[(1+2/X^2)]$ or $(1+Edc/Vos)]$; whereas the best passive clamp, soft voltage-clamp, dissipates trapped-energy without multiplication, and also clamps at the prevailing dc-rail value and gives relatively constant Lseries and Cclamp reset-time, irrespective of switched current or dc-rail voltage (fig.5C & 6). With idealized turn-on and turn-off waveforms and usual current or voltage modulation, it is apparent that passive-snubbing is more efficient. In practice, active and passive snubbing take place together to some extent, because, in either, Lseries

89CH2792-0/89/~13~1.00 *0* **1989 IEEE**

or switch-voltage is not zero; and it is less obvious
that passive snubbing is still fundamentally more that passive snubbing is still fundamentally more
efficient. However this can be shown.
Irm, di/dt, Vswitch and switch energy-loss,

Wswon, were measured at IGBT (TOShiba MG25H2TS1) turn-
on, with Io between 6 and 31A freewheeling in a series connected IGBT-module diode, for both active and passive snubbing. From measured Irm, di/dt, Vswitch and eqn.4 and 6 (appendix), total active and passive energy-loss is obtained (fig.17). It is evident that an energy-loss saving of up to 40% is obtained with passive snubbing over the 25A current range; and
although measured Wswon is significantly higher
(fig.15 & 16) than that calculated from measured
parameters, the true difference between active and passive snubbing energy-loss is likely to be higher than shown in fig.17, because estimation of active energy-loss is particularly low. The reason is the greater current non-linearity, varying switch-voltage and sustained current Irm-peak and switch voltage-fall obtained with active snubbing.

RESETTING SERIES-SNUBBERS

Optimization of soft voltage-clamps (fig.7A) to rapidly reset Lseries is important at high chopping-frequency. Clamp-capacitor voltage, and inductor and resistor current waveforms (fig.5C & 6) show the relatively constant Lseries and Cclamp reset times and well controlled response of optimized clamps, at **15OV,** 250V and 600v for 10 to 10OA. Fig.5B gives responses for clamp resistance either side of the optimum (2.2Ω) , to show that either Cclamp or Lseries reset times are extended. Also, slight circuit overdamping prevents the oscillation seen at clamp-diode recovery with the fastest current reset, but slowest voltage reset, when $R=4.7\Omega$. Soft voltage-clamp optimization is performed on the curves of fig.4C and 4D [5]. From the
normalized overshoot above the dc-rail, Vcpn, and
capacitor reset-time, trvn, graph (fig.4C), trvn is
seen to pass through a minimum as Vcpn decreases. Normalized Lseries reset time, trvn, increases rapidly above this optimum (fig.4D). The optimum trvn is evident from computed waveforms (fig.4A *L* B). Minimal total reset-time is obtained with trin (fig.4D) set above the minimum. At high-current a small parallelconnected RC-snubber is required across switch/diode pairs to limit fast initial voltage-transients on
clamp parasitic series-inductance (fig.5A). Waveforms
fig.5D give diode and switch voltage for a bridge-leg give diode and switch voltage for a bridge-leg (fig.7B), where switch clamps double as diode clamps. integrated switch and diode pairs (e.g. IGBT and MOSFET bridge-leg modules) fig.7B is unusable, but alternative circuits do exist (fig.7C & D). Fig.7D has significant disadvantages, Devices are clamped by three diodes in series with the clamp capacitor and observation of device-voltage is made difficult by inductor voltage. Series-diode number is reduced to two in fig.7C, which proves adequate when small RC-
snubbers are used to suppress fast initial transients on clamp inductance [4]. A more accessible equivalent circuit is given for fig.7D (fig.7E). Direct connection of clamps across inverse-parallel device pairs (fig.7B) provides the hardest initial clamping with fast switches. Having to overcome three diode forward-recovery and parasitic inductance effects (fig.7D) is avoided, and observation of device voltage is uncomplicated. The same argument applies to regenerative snubbers, fig.8A and fig.BB, and, yet, fig.8A is more commonly examined, [61 and [71, which has more disadvantages than fig.7D because stray inductance is not clamped as part of the series-snubbers. Hence greater RCD-snubber capacity is

snubbers or clamps to show that resetting Lseries, This section is mainly about turn-off passive

without trapped energy multiplication, is straight-
forward, practically. Most soft voltage-clamp circuits are reduceable to the simplest configuration (fig.7A) for design, the minutiae of which is more fully covered in [l] [4] and [5]. Generally clampdevelopment effort is related to the speed of response and capacity required, with fast high-capacity clamps being more demanding. Also, re-iterating introductory remarks: design is complicated by conflict between optimum turn-on and turn-off conditions. Minimizing Lseries facilitates switch turn-off clamping, but complicates diode clamping through potentially higher more abrupt Irm. Turn-on and turn-off influences are now considered in the optimization of Lseries to minimise total energy-loss.

NON-LINEAR SERIES SNUBBING

Good soft-voltage clamp efficiency arises from clamping and resetting to the dc-rail voltage, rather than OV. The turn-on corollary of this for minimal reset-loss, is that series snubbing is only required after switch current exceeds freewheeling load-current when diode recovery begins (fig.lF). Energy-loss associated with four current-rise types, relative to that of linear-inductor series-snubbing, is given in fig.1. For saturable-reactor type rises (fig.1D & E)
W/Wb increases from L1/L2 at K=0 (K=Irm/Io) to 1 at
higher K. Scope for reduction in energy-loss is, therefore, determinable by letting K=O; and is confirmed by W/Wb plots (fig.14). The ideal currentrise, a current dual of the soft voltage-clamp voltage response at turn-off (fig.lF), is equivalent to fig.lE with L1/L2=0 and gives the lowest curve in fig.14. For Ll/L2>0 (fig.lE) a family of higher curves is produced showing reduced energy-loss saving. A notable advantage of fig.lE and 1F type rises, over that produced by saturable reactors in bridge-legs (fig.lD), is reduced fall-off in energy-loss reduction with increasing K (fig.14). Energy-loss reduction for fig.lD falls off sharply as Irm approaches Io and the L1 region is reduced. Saturable reactors, also, by lowering load-current di/dt rather than just diode di/dt significantly reduce current-loop bandwidth when Io<=Irm(worst-case), which exacebates low-current distortion and current-loop stability. A true current dual to the soft voltage-clamp would eliminate these problems. Most current duals to voltage snubbers (fig.13) are well known. Although, with constant load current, the RL-snubber (fig.13B) gives the required current rise, after diode recovery Lseries takes up Io and no energy-loss saving results. The principle of a voltage-clamp dual is illustrated by fig.13C which is only valid for constant or slowly changing loadcurrent, Io, because duality requires that Io is kept circulating in a higher than normal snubber inductor, L2, and rapidly commutated to and from the switch at turn-on and turn-off. Diode-recovery is controlled by L2. In principle, L1 and R are only required to prevent Irm staircasing in L2. Energy-loss is related to L1, although L2 sets diode recovery dildt. In practice, Dc is as imperfect as Dfw, making realization difficult. Saturable reactors, therefore,
seem the only feasible method of synthesizing nonseem the only feasible method of synthesizing non-
linear current-rise, apart from using the current
limiting property of switches, ie. returning to
active-snubbing with its greater loss than linear inductance. Greater flexibility, more consistent turn- on stress, and ease of design and testing make a strong case for sticking with linear inductors, by maximising their utilization.

LINEAR SERIES SNUBBERS

required.
This section is mainly about turn-off passive
snubbers or clamps to shou that possition logation and reset energy-loss is given by, eqn.1, if load current,

1321

 $\frac{1}{1}$

Io, is assumed constant and switch voltage is assumed negligible.

$$
W_{\text{tp}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ Lseries } (I_{\text{rm}}^2 + I_0^2) \tag{1}
$$

At low di/dt Wtp is predominantly due to Io at turn-
off. As di/dt is increased, by reducing Lseries, Io associated loss reduction is counteracted by increasing Irm associated loss, and Wtp passes through a minimum. The minimum for Siemens BYP103 diode (fig.18) at 100°C is near 600 Alps, but faster lower voltage diodes have minima at higher di/dt. A minimum is not obtained with active snubbing (fig.18) in the examined dildt range, when Lseries=O and Wta is given by eqn.2.

$$
Wta = \frac{1}{2} E_{dc} / \frac{di}{dt} (I_{rm} + I_0)^2
$$
 (2)

In, practice, ideal active and passive snubbing conditions are virtually impossible to obtain and Lseries>O and Vswitch>O apply in both. Wta and Wtp (eqn.4 & 6) give the increased energy-loss shown in fig.19; where maximum Vswitch, VA (scale in fig.20), and Lseries-range for passive and active snubbing, respectively, are based on measurements. Pronounced minima are now obtained in both sets of curves. With active snubbing, energy-loss at turn-on is reduced, but Lseries avalanches the freewheel-diode and switch, when either turns off while conducting Irm or Io; and trapped energy undergoes high multiplication (fig.3) during reset. No further examination is made of active snubbing because of the distinct energy-loss advantage of passive snubbing.

Considering additional sources of energy-loss
imposed by high di/dt lowers optimal di/dt even further. Small RC-snubbers are essential to compensate for voltage-clamp inductance otherwise severe ringing
ensues (fig.11 & 12). In bridge-legs two are required ensues (fig.11 & 12). In bridge-legs two are required which are not significantly assistant, in practice. Therefore, RC-snubber loss (fig.3) is doubled at each switching instant, and wtp increases from eqn.6 to eqn.12. With Wpa becoming more Irm dependent minima equition arrange concentration of the set of t influence on optimum di/dt. Optimum di/dt depends more
on diode performance alone. With Vswitch=0, Wtp
(eqn.12) is plotted using BY103 Irm data (fig.18) under various operating conditions. With Tj=100°C and Lclamp=SOnH, Wtp variation with RC-snubber or overshoot is given (fig.21) . Similarly, energy-loss variation with Lclamp and junction temperature variation is obtained (fig.22 & 23). With any consistent performance is attainable at low di/dt (ie. contours are closer) and a significant energy-loss advantage results from operating near optimum di/dt $(i$ e. below 400 A/ μ s) rather than at the highest possible value. Similar graphs for 400V and 600V diodes give minima centred on higher dildt. It is not the objective here to determine optimum dildt precisely, but to show that one exists and the factors influencing it. Optimum di/dt will vary between diodes of similar rating, as seen by Wtp curves (fig.25) for
four 30A, 1000-1200V diodes, for which Irm was measured (fig.24) under the same operating conditions. Diode switching-loss was, also, measured by integrating turn-off crossover waveforms (fig.25). The relatively flat curves do not influence optimum di/dt significantly.

With slower soft-recovery diodes turn-off (fig.27A & C), as with IGBT turn-off (fig.28), RC-
snubbers seem unnecessary with voltage-clamps. snubbers seem unnecessary with voltage-clamps.
However, it is difficult to know if a good device will
have a turn-off like fig.27B, where initial di/dt
approaches that of an abrupt diode. It would, also, be useful to know how consistent is the tendency for less abrupt current-fall at higher If (fig.26A,26C & 27D), which also alleviates RC-snubber requirment.

STIFF DRIVE CIRCUITS

The characteristics of 1000 V, 25 A IXYS and Toshiba integrated IGBT-module diodes were examined. Both devices could be operated at di/dt up to $300A/µs$. However, above 300A/us IXYS device failure occurred. Common-mode parasitic oscillation [8] was found to be the cause (fig. 30B). Although Toshiba device testing was possible above 1000A/us, with only a basecollector short-circuit holding off the upper IGBT, parasitic oscillation was also found to occur, but at a much higher frequency (565MHz). Parasitic oscillation of the upper device is noticeable in the lower device current (fig.29C & **D)** when series inductance is low, but may be overlooked on a slow oscilloscope (fig.29A & B). As with MOSFET's the cure is to add or increase gate-resistance (fig.29D). IXYS device parasitic oscillation occurs at lower frequency (fig.30B) but can destroy devices. The impact of adding gate resistance is evident in the currents and voltages of the upper device (fig.30 & 31C). A negative gate-bias alone on upper devices is not a negative gate-bias alone on upper devices is not a sufficient cure (fig.31). Although gate-current resonance is modified, it is not positively damped. In most drives both gate-resistance and reverse gate-bias are used. The poorer waveforms (fig.32A ϵ B)) than with resistance alone, are likely due to common-mode transient voltage effects in the bias power supply: using Rg alone (fig30C) does not involve bias powersupply connection. Waveforms produced by inadequate drive common-mode rejection (fig.32C & **D)** on high-side switch drives are included to show the difference between this and parasitic oscillation effects. While fig.lOC shows that Rg should be minimised to reduce turn-off power-loss, it is necessary to provide good parasitic-oscillation damping, and Rg, not negative gate-bias, positively cures the problem.

CONCLUSIONS

The objective had been to dispel some commonly held beliefs about the best way of operating switching devices which appear contrary to the facts. It has been shown that snubberless power-stage operation, where active snubbing must be used to control switching transitions, is fundamentally less efficient than the best passive snubbing methods, very high dildt operation of diodes is undesirable and very stiff power-switch drive circuits may lead to device failure in snubberless power stages.

APPENDIX

Equations for energy-loss used for fig.15, 16 & 17. Lseries=snubber or stray inductance, or both added

- LS = Lseries
- IO = load-current at switch turn-off or turn-on peak reverse-recovery diode current
- Irm = Irm = va switch or diode avalanche-voltage (1000V)
	-
- vos $= Va - Edc$
- Vswitch= switch voltage at turn-on during dildt
- wswon = switch energy-loss at turn-on during dildt
- Wswoff = switch energy-loss at turn-off with avalanche
- Wdoff = diode energy-loss at peak of diode recovery during avalanche
- Wdcl = energy-loss in diode soft voltage-clamp
- WSWCl = energy-loss in switch soft voltage-clamp
- Wta total energy-loss during one switch-cycle with active snubbing
- Wt_D total energy-loss during one switch-cycle with passive snubbing

$$
Wta = [Wswon] + [Wdoff+Wswoff]
$$
 (3)

$$
Wta = \left(\frac{Edc}{d\lambda} - Ls\right) \frac{(Irm+IO)^2}{d\lambda} + \left(1 + \frac{Edc}{d\lambda}\right) \frac{(Irm^2+IO^2)}{d\lambda} Ls \quad (4)
$$

n

$$
Wtp = [Wswon]+[Wdcl+ Wswcl]
$$
\n(5)

Wta = [Wswon]+[Wdoff+Wswoff]
\nWta =
$$
(\frac{Edc}{di/dt} - Ls) \frac{(Irm+IO)^2}{2} + (1 + \frac{Edc}{Vos}) \frac{(Irm^2+IO^2)}{2} Ls
$$
 (4)
\nWtp = [Wswon]+[Wdcl+ Wswcl]
\nWtp =
$$
\frac{Vswitch}{di/dt} \frac{(Irm+IO)^2}{2} + \frac{(Irm^2+IO^2)}{2} Ls
$$
 (6)
\nEnergy-loss associated with RC-subber operation.

Energy-loss associated with RC-snubber operation.

Energy-loss at switch turn-off.

Energy-loss at switch turn-on. Won= C Edc²/2 (8) Total energy loss Wrc \approx 2 C Edc²/2 + L Io²/2 (9) If $X = (I_0/Edc) \sqrt{ (L_s/C)}$ then $Edc^2 = (I/X^2) L_s/C$ (10) Therefore $Wrc = (1+2/x^2)$ Ls $10^2/2$ (11) Woff \approx C Edc²/2+LsIo²/2 (7) $2,$ Wrc \approx (1+2/x²) Ls Io²/2

Energy-loss of any voltage clamp can be put in the
form of energy multiplier and trapped energy [1].
For RC-snubbers optimized so that minimal capacitance
is used to achieve a certain overshoot ($\zeta = R/2$ $\sqrt{(C/L)}$).

50 0.6475 0.9933 In practice, soft voltage-clamps do not conduct current from Lseries at switch or diode turn-off instantaneously because of series clamp-inductance, and RC-snubbers are required across switch-diode pairs. Total passive snubbing energy eqn.4 is therefore increased by 2Wrc to give eqn.12 below.

The BRT30P1-1000 data-sheet specifies Irm toʻvary
according to (13). Irm of the BY103 is assumed to have
a similar temperature dependency for fig.23.

 $\text{Im}(Tj) = [0.64 + 4.8 \times 10^{-3} (Tj - 25)] \text{Im}[100^{\circ}C]$ (13)

 \Rightarrow Irm(Tj)=[0.64+4.8x10⁻³(Tj-25)]Irm[25°C]/0.64 (14)

REFERENCES

- [l] F.V.P. Robinson and B.W. Williams, "Active or passive snubbing for fast switches?", Conf. Rec. .
IEEE Industrial Electronics Society Conference,
- Singapore, 1988, pp.617-622. [2] C.C. Abbas, "Snubberless high-power rectifiers", Conf. Rec. Power Electronics Specialists Conference,
- -circuit interactions for the power insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT), Conf. Rec. IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting, 1988, 1986, pp. 449-452. [3] A.R. Hefner, "Analytical modelling of device pp.606-614.
- (41 F.V.P. Robinson and B.W. Williams, "Accommodating parasitic effects in high-frequency power-stages",
Conf. Rec. 3rd European Power Electronics and
Applications Conf., Aachen, 1989.
[5] F.V.P. Robinson and B.W. Williams, "Optimising
- snubbers for high-current emitter-switched transistors", Conf. Rec. IEE Power Electronics and Variable Speed Drives Conference, London, 1988,
- pp.177-180. 200 kVA GTO Inverter", Conf. Rec., IEEE Power [6] H.G. Skuderly et al, "A regenerative snubber for a
- Electronics Specialists Conf., Japan, 1988, pp.498-505.
- [7] J.C. Bendien et al, "Recovery circuit for snubber
energy in power electronic applications with high
switching frequencies", IEEE Trans. on Power
Electronics, Vol.3, No.1, 1988, pp.26-30.
[8] J. Kassakin and D. Lau, "An
-
- experimental verification of parasitic oscillation in paralleled power MOSFET's, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, Vol. ED-31, No.7, July 1984, pp.959-963.

na an T \sim

1325

 $\label{eq:1.1} \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{P}_{\text{max}} & \mathcal{P}_{\text{max}} \\ \mathcal{P}_{\text{max}} & \mathcal{P}_{\text{max}} \end{array}$

1327

 $\overline{1}$