

AIDS, Publish Ahead of Print

# DOI: 10.1097/QAD.00000000003933

# Immunogenicity of a bivalent BA.1 COVID-19 booster vaccine in people with HIV in the Netherlands

Running head: Bivalent COVID-19 vaccination in PWH

Marlou J. JONGKEES<sup>1</sup> MD, Ngoc H. TAN<sup>2</sup> MPharm, Daryl GEERS<sup>3</sup> MSc, Rory D. DE VRIES<sup>3</sup> PhD, Corine H. GEURTSVANKESSEL<sup>3</sup> MD PhD, Kathryn S. HENSLEY<sup>1</sup> MD, Roos S.G. SABLEROLLES<sup>2</sup> MD, Susanne BOGERS<sup>3</sup> MSc, Lennert GOMMERS<sup>3</sup> BSc, Blerdi BLAKAJ<sup>4</sup> BSc, Pedro MIRANDA AFONSO<sup>5</sup> MSc, Bettina E. HANSEN<sup>5,6,7</sup> PhD, Bart J.A. RIJNDERS<sup>1</sup> MD PhD, Kees BRINKMAN<sup>8</sup> MD PhD, P. Hugo M. VAN DER KUY<sup>2</sup> PharmD PhD, Anna H.E. ROUKENS<sup>9#</sup> MD PhD, Casper ROKX<sup>1#</sup> MD PhD

# These authors contributed equally

1. Department of Internal Medicine, Section Infectious Diseases, and Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

2. Department of Hospital Pharmacy, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

3. Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

4. Department of Medical Oncology, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

5. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

6. Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

7. Toronto Centre for Liver Disease, Toronto General Hospital University Health Network, Toronto, Canada

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, (CCBY),

which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is

properly cited.

8. Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, OLVG Hospital, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

9. Department of Infectious Diseases, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands

#### **Corresponding author:**

Dr. Casper Rokx, MD PhD

Erasmus University Medical Centre, Department of Internal Medicine, Section Infectious Diseases, and Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD Rotterdam, the Netherlands

c.rokx@erasmusmc.nl

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: This COVIH-BOOST-2 study was supported by the Dutch Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) [10430072010008]. The SWITCH-ON study was supported by ZonMw [10430072110001]. DG and RDdV were supported by Health~Holland [EMCLHS20017] co-funded by the PPP Allowance made available by the Health~Holland, Top Sector Life Sciences & Health, to stimulate public-private partnerships. RDdV is listed as inventor of the fusion inhibitory lipopeptide [SARSHRC-PEG4]2-chol on a provisional patent application. KSH has received support for attending meetings and travel from Gilead. BEH has received research grants from Intercept, Cymabay, Mirum, Ipsen, Albireo, Calliditas and receives honoraria for advisory boards and consultancy from additional Chemomab and Pliant. BJAR declares the receipt of research grants from Gilead and MSD and honoraria for advisory boards from AstraZeneca, Roche, Gilead, and F2G. KB has received research and educational grants from ViiV and Gilead, and consulting fees for advisory boards from ViiV, Gilead, MSD, and AstraZeneca. AR has received grants from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Leids Universitair Fonds, participated on the board of an investor-initiated clinical trial on convalescent plasma for COVID-19, and is the chief editor of the Dutch Journal of Infectious Diseases and a member of the European Medicines Agency expert group on vaccines. CR has received research grants from ViiV, Gilead, ZonMW, AIDSfonds, Erasmus MC, and Health~Holland and honoraria for advisory boards from Gilead and ViiV. For the remaining authors none were declared.

#### Abstract

**Objective:** We evaluated the immunogenicity of a bivalent BA.1 COVID-19 booster vaccine in people with HIV (PWH).

**Design:** Prospective observational cohort study.

**Methods:** PWH aged  $\geq$ 45 years received Wuhan-BA.1 mRNA-1273.214 and those <45 years Wuhan-BA.1 BNT162b2. Participants were propensity score-matched 1:2 to people without HIV (non-PWH) by age, primary vaccine platform (mRNA-based or vector-based), number of prior COVID-19 boosters and SARS-CoV-2 infections, and spike (S1)-specific antibodies on the day of booster administration.

The primary endpoint was the geometric mean ratio (GMR) of ancestral S1-specific antibodies from day 0 to 28 in PWH compared to non-PWH. Secondary endpoints included humoral responses, T-cell responses, and cytokine responses up to 180 days post-vaccination.

**Results:** Forty PWH received mRNA-1273.214 (N=35) or BNT162b2 (N=5) following mRNA-based (N=29) or vector-based (N=11) primary vaccination. PWH were predominantly male (87% vs 26% of non-PWH) and median 57 years (interquartile range [IQR] 53–59). Their median CD4+ T-cell count was 775 (IQR 511–965) and the plasma HIV-RNA load was <50 copies/mL in 39/40. The GMR of S1-specific antibodies by 28 days post-vaccination was comparable between PWH (4.48, 95% confidence interval [CI] 3.24–6.19) and non-PWH (4.07, 95% CI 3.42–4.83). S1-specific antibody responses were comparable between PWH and non-PWH up to 180 days, and T-cell responses up to 90 days post-vaccination. IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2, and IL-4 cytokine concentrations increased 28 days post-vaccination in PWH.

**Conclusion:** A bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine was immunogenic in well-treated PWH, eliciting comparable humoral responses to non-PWH. However, T-cell responses waned faster after 90 days in PWH compared to non-PWH.

Keywords: COVID-19 Serological Testing; COVID-19 Vaccines; Cytokines; HIV; Immunity, Cellular; SARS-CoV-2; Vaccines, Combined.

# Introduction

People with HIV (PWH) showed a comparatively lower antibody response following primary coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination than people without HIV (non-PWH)<sup>[1-4]</sup>. This is particularly true for PWH with CD4+ T-cell counts <250 cells/ $\mu$ L, men, and older individuals<sup>[4]</sup>. Although various analyses reported different impacts of COVID-19 vaccination on PWH compared to non-PWH, larger-scale studies showed that reduced vaccine immunogenicity correlated with more breakthrough infections<sup>[5]</sup> and severe COVID-19 in vaccinated PWH<sup>[6, 7]</sup>.

Waning immunity, which reduces vaccine effectiveness<sup>[8]</sup>, led to the use of COVID-19 booster vaccines in the general public. Regarding the immune escape viral variant omicron (B.1.1.529), booster vaccines based on the ancestral strain continued to protect against severe COVID-19, but were largely ineffective in preventing infection<sup>[9-11]</sup>. This led the World Health Organization (WHO) to recommend the introduction of omicron-containing mRNA-based vaccines as boosters, a policy which was adopted in the Netherlands in Q4 2022<sup>[12-14]</sup>.

In PWH, a first (monovalent) booster improved neutralizing antibody responses, led to seroconversion in primary non-responders, and reduced the risk of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-related infections and mortality<sup>[15, 16]</sup>. However, seroconversion rates remained slightly lower than in non-PWH<sup>[17]</sup>. Furthermore, PWH were excluded from the registration studies showing that BA.1-adapted bivalent vaccines induced superior neutralizing responses to monovalent booster vaccines<sup>[18, 19]</sup>.

Thus far, immunogenicity of a bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine in PWH compared to non-PWH has not been studied. However, this information is pivotal for designing booster vaccination policies for PWH in terms of possible adjustments to the COVID-19 vaccination schedule recommended for the general public. We hypothesised that the SARS-CoV-2-specific bivalent BA.1 vaccine response would be comparable between well-treated PWH and non-PWH. The COVID-19 additional booster vaccination in PWH study (COVIH-BOOST-2) aimed to compare the immunogenicity of a bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine in PWH and non-PWH

# Methods

## Study design and participants

This observational cohort study was conducted in two academic hospitals in the Netherlands. Participants were recruited between October and November 2022 and followed-up for 180 days. The inclusion criteria were a minimum age of 18 years, a confirmed HIV infection, and no COVID-19 vaccination or documented SARS-CoV-2 infection in the preceding three months. There were no requirements regarding the number of prior COVID-19 vaccinations or SARS-CoV-2 infections. Non-PWH were recruited from the SWITCH-ON trial, which assessed the immunogenicity of a bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine in Dutch healthcare workers<sup>[20]</sup>. The COVIH-BOOST-2 study design and inclusion criteria were similar to those of the SWITCH-ON trial. PWH were propensity score-matched 1:2 to the nearest non-PWH neighbor by age, primary vaccination regimen (mRNA-based or vector-based), history of SARS-CoV-2 infection, number of prior COVID-19 boosters, and the level of spike (S1)-specific antibodies on day 0 before the bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine was administered.

This study adhered to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guideline to ensure comprehensive reporting of the data (Supplementary Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229).

# **Clinical procedures**

Participants aged 45 years and above received 50 µg of the bivalent Wuhan-BA1 mRNA-1273.214 vaccine while those under 45 years received 30 µg of the bivalent Wuhan-BA.1 BNT162b2 vaccine, as per national guidelines<sup>[21]</sup>. Blood samples were obtained on days 0, 7, 28, 90, and 180 for collection of serum and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). Clinical data were collected in an electronic case record file and included year of birth, sex assigned at birth, ethnicity, current use of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), most recent plasma HIV-RNA load (copies/mL), most recent CD4+ T-cell count (cells/µL), nadir CD4+ T-cell count (cells/µL), comorbidities, co-medication, prior COVID-19 vaccinations, and history of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Testing behaviour and breakthrough infections were evaluated with questionnaires and measurement of nucleocapsid (N)-specific SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Solicited reactions and medication use in the first seven days after the bivalent BA.1 vaccine administration were evaluated using printed diaries.

## Laboratory procedures

#### Humoral responses

The concentrations of IgG binding antibodies specific for the ancestral spike protein S1 subunit were measured using a validated quantitative chemiluminescence immunoassay, the LIAISON SARS-CoV-2 TrimericS IgG assay (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy), with a

lower limit of quantification of 4.81 BAU/mL, at the Erasmus University Medical Centre. SARS-CoV-2 N-specific antibodies were measured on days 0, 90, and 180 using the Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay, with a  $\geq$ 1.4 signal-to-cut-off ratio (S/CO) for positivity, to identify unreported SARS-CoV-2 infections.

#### PBMC isolations

PBMCs were isolated by density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Hypaque, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and collected in RPMI-1640 (Life Technologies) supplemented with 3% foetal bovine serum (FBS). PBMCs were washed three times before counting. Cells were frozen in freezing media (90% FBS supplemented with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide) and stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

## T-cell responses

SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell responses were assessed by measuring IFN- $\gamma$  concentrations after stimulating whole blood using the commercially available IFN- $\gamma$  release assay (IGRA; QuantiFERON SARS-CoV-2 kit containing three antigenic stimulation pools (certified for IVD use), QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Heparinized whole blood was incubated with the SARS-CoV-2 antigens (antigens 1, 2, and 3) for 20–24 hours at 37 °C. As positive and negative controls, mitogen- and carrier (NIL)-coated tubes were used, respectively. After incubation, plasma was obtained by centrifugation, and IFN- $\gamma$  production in response to antigen stimulation was measured by ELISA (QIAGEN). Results were expressed in international units (IU) IFN- $\gamma$ /mL after subtracting the NIL control values as interpolated from a standard calibration curve. The lower limit of detection was 0.01 IU/mL, and the cut-off level for positivity was 0.15 IU/mL.

#### Cytokine responses

The SARS-CoV-2-specific cytokine response was measured using a human T helper cytokine panel (LEGENDplex, Biolegend, CA, USA), which profiled 12 different cytokines (interleukin (IL)-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, IL-13, IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-22, IFN- $\gamma$ , and TNF- $\alpha$ ) in plasma that was obtained from whole blood stimulated with peptides of the SARS-CoV-2 spike-protein using the IGRA as mentioned and stored at -80 °C until use. After thawing on ice, plasma samples were centrifuged and two-fold dilutions were prepared and incubated for 2 hours with monoclonal capture antibody-coated beads. Following the first incubation, the beads were washed twice and incubated for 1 hour with biotin-labelled detection antibodies and afterwards with streptavidin-PE for 30 minutes. Then, samples were washed twice and stained. After staining, beads were acquired by flow cytometry on a BD FACSLyric<sup>TM</sup> with FlowJo<sup>TM</sup> software (BD Bioscience, NJ, USA). The data obtained were

analyzed with LEGENDplex V8.0 software (BioLegend). The quantity of each cytokine was calculated based on the intensity of the streptavidin-PE signal and a freshly prepared standard curve. Results were expressed in picogram (pg) cytokine/mL after subtraction of the NIL control value.

## Outcomes

The primary outcome was the geometric mean ratio (GMR) of S1-specific antibodies between day 0 and 28 after a bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine in PWH compared to non-PWH. The secondary outcomes included the humoral and T-cell responses in PWH and non-PWH on days 0, 7, 28, 90, and 180, and the cytokine responses in PWH on days 0, 28, and 180. The exploratory outcomes were humoral and T-cell responses stratified by type of primary vaccination regimen (mRNA-based vs vector-based), reported history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (yes vs no), and by the found cytokine cluster types, as well as the association between S1-specific antibodies and CD4+ T-cell counts in PWH. Lastly, vaccine-solicited reactions, scored as mild (symptoms present, no functional impairment or need for medication), moderate (need for medication, no functional impairment), or severe (impaired daily functioning), were evaluated.

## Sample size and statistical analysis plan

To detect a difference of 0.25 in the GMR of S1-specific antibodies, assuming a 0.372 standard deviation, including 29 PWH matched 1:2 to 58 non-PWH resulted in 80% power at a two-sided alpha of 5%. The sample size calculation was performed using the R package pwr (v.1.3-0) [13]. A 1:2 matching ratio was chosen to increase precision of the estimated effect without a commensurate increase in bias<sup>[22]</sup>. Propensity score-matching between PWH and non-PWH was performed using the R package MatchIt (v.4.5.5).

Data were described using count (percentage) or median (interquartile range (IQR)). Geometric mean titres (GMTs) or geometric means (GMs) and GMRs of S1-specific antibodies and IFN-y levels were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and compared between PWH and non-PWH using independent t-tests. GMTs and GMs were calculated as the mean of logarithmically transformed results and GMRs as the mean of the difference in logarithmically transformed results, and all means were exponentiated back to express results on the original scale. Humoral and T-cell responses were compared between mRNA-based and vector-based primary vaccinations, and between participants with hybrid immunity (vaccination and a documented SARS-CoV-2 infection) and those with vaccineinduced immunity alone by independent *t*-tests. To investigate the association of CD4+ T-cell count with S1-specific antibodies, a Spearman rank correlation was performed. Comparisons of cytokine concentrations from day 0-28, 0-180, and 28-180 were performed by Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests. An unsupervised clustering of the centred and scaled SARS-CoV-2-specific cytokine concentrations IFN-y, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 was performed, using the Ward's method, and a heatmap of the cluster analysis was created using the R package pheatmap (1.0.12). Humoral and Tcell responses were compared between the found clusters by independent *t*-tests. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Undetectable S1-specific antibodies (<4.81 BAU/mL) were reported as 4.81 in the statistical analyses, IFN-y levels (<0.01 IU/mL) as 0.01, and cytokine concentrations (<0.001 pg/mL) as 0.001. Participants with a SARS-CoV-2 infection were censored from further analysis at time points after the date of the infection. Data were analysed using GraphPad Prism version 10.2.1 and RStudio version 4.2.1.

## **Ethics committee approval**

The trial was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and the Dutch Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (WMO). The trial was approved by the Medical Ethics Committees United Nieuwegein (MEC-U, reference 20.125) and registered on the International Clinical Trials Platform (EUCTR2021-001054-57-N). All participants signed an informed consent form.

## Results

### **Baseline characteristics**

Between October 13th and November 29th, 2022, 41 PWH were enrolled. One participant was excluded due to a SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infection before the primary endpoint evaluation. Of the 40 included PWH, five participants were younger than 45 years, receiving BNT162b2, and 35 participants were at least 45 years, receiving mRNA-1273.214. The baseline characteristics of PWH and non-PWH are described in Table 1. PWH had a median age of 57 years (IQR 52-63), with a most recent and nadir median CD4+ T-cell count of 775 (IQR 511-965) and 270 (IQR 180-348), respectively. All participants received cART and all but one had a suppressed plasma HIV-RNA load of <50 copies/mL. Eleven (28%) PWH had received two doses of ChAdOx1-S, 25 (62%) two doses of BNT162b2, and 4 (10%) two doses of mRNA-1273 as primary vaccination regimen. After matching, the frequency of mRNA-based or vector-based primary vaccine platform use was similar in PWH and non-PWH. Of those with an mRNA-based primary regime, BNT162b2 was more often used in PWH. Of those with a vector-based primary regime, PWH exclusively received ChAdOx1.S, while all non-PWH received Ad26.COV2.S. The number of COVID-19 booster vaccines and S1-specific antibodies at baseline (day 0) were comparable among both groups. PWH were more often male (87% vs 26% of non-PWH), slightly older (57 vs 54 years in non-PWH), had a lower incidence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, and had less frequently detectable N-specific antibodies (10% vs 16% in non-PWH). Seven PWH seroconverted to N-specific antibodies during the 180 days follow-up (of whom one reported a positive COVID-19 antigen test), and 19 non-PWH seroconverted (of whom 13 reported a positive COVID-19 antigen test).

## **Humoral responses**

S1-specific antibody responses up to 180 days after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination were comparable between PWH and non-PWH (**Figure 1a**). The GMTs of S1-specific antibodies increased from 3,431 (95% CI 2,459–4,787) in PWH and 3,349 (95% CI 2,620–4,282) in non-PWH on day 0 to 15,368 (95% CI 11,684–20,213) in PWH and 13,773 (95% CI 11,544–16,434) in non-PWH on day 28 after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination. The GMRs of S1-specific antibodies in PWH versus non-PWH compared to day 0 were 4.48 (95% CI 3.24–6.19) versus 4.07 (95% CI 3.42–4.83) on day 28, and 1.18 (95% CI 0.85–1.63) versus 1.38 (95% CI 1.14–1.66) on day 180 (**Figure 1d**). Within the

subgroups with an mRNA-based or vector-based primary vaccination, GMTs and GMRs of S1-specific antibodies were not statistically different between PWH and non-PWH (**Figure 1b, c, e, f**).

Within PWH, the GMRs of S1-specific antibodies compared to day 0 were comparable until day 180 between those who received an mRNA-based or vector-based primary vaccination. However, the S1-specific antibodies were numerically lower in PWH with vector-based compared to mRNA-based primary vaccination at all five study visits, p=0.007 on day 7 and p=0.06 on day 180 (Supplementary Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229). No association was found between the most recent CD4+ T-cell count and the S1-specific antibodies on day 28 (Spearman r=0.12, p=0.46)

## **T-cell responses**

The GM of the IFN- $\gamma$  levels after the stimulation of whole blood was comparable on day 28 between PWH (0.46, 95% CI 0.63–1.27) and non-PWH (0.79, 95% CI 1.01–1.70); see **Figure 2a**. The GM of the IFN- $\gamma$  levels and GMRs of the IFN- $\gamma$  levels compared to day 0 were comparable between PWH and non-PWH up to day 90 (**Figure 2b**). On day 180, the GM of IFN- $\gamma$  was lower in PWH (0.13, 95% CI 0.08–0.21) than in non-PWH (0.41, 95% CI 0.27–0.61). This observation of a lower GM on day 180 was similar across the matched groups with mRNA-based or vector-based primary vaccination (Supplementary Figure 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229).

Within the group of PWH, the GMs and GMRs of IFN- $\gamma$  were comparable between PWH with an mRNA-based or vector-based primary vaccination. IFN- $\gamma$  levels after stimulation with antigen 2 correlated well with the levels after stimulation with antigen 1 (r=0.73, p<0.0001) or antigen 3 (r=0.83, p<0.0001); see Supplementary Figure 3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229.

## Hybrid immunity

PWH with hybrid immunity showed higher levels of S1-specific antibodies on day 0 than PWH with vaccine-induced immunity alone (p=0.004). This difference resolved after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination (**Figure 3a**). No significant differences in IFN- $\gamma$  levels were found between PWH with hybrid immunity or vaccine-induced immunity alone (**Figure 3b**).

## Cytokine responses

An increase in the SARS-CoV-2-specific cytokine concentrations of IFN-y, IL-2, and IL-4 was observed 28 days after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination in PWH, while the cytokine concentrations of IL-5 and IL-13 did not differ between day 0 and day 28 (Figure 4). An overview of the seven additional measured cytokines is shown in Supplementary Figure 4, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229, revealing no differences over time. The clustering analysis of the cytokine concentrations distinguished three different cluster types in PWH (Supplementary Figure 5, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229). Cluster 1 was characterized by the highest concentrations of Thitype and Th<sub>2</sub>-type cytokines, while cluster 2 and cluster 3 were characterized by lower cytokine concentrations of both the Th1-type and Th2-type. SARS-CoV-2-specific production of plasma cytokines was very limitedly detected in cluster 3 participants. Apart from a lower proportion of mRNA-primed individuals in cluster 3 (61%) compared to cluster 1 (88%), participants' characteristics were comparable between the clusters. S1-specific antibody responses and T-cell responses on day 28 were not different among participants across the three clusters (Supplementary Figure 6, http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229)

## **Solicited reactions**

The bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine was well tolerated, and no serious adverse events were reported. Solicited reactions were generally mild in severity, and the most frequently reported reaction was pain at the injection site (58%; http://links.lww.com/QAD/D229).

#### Discussion

Here, we show that bivalent BA.1 COVID-19 booster vaccination in PWH on cART and with a generally normal CD4+ T-cell count had an immunogenicity comparable to control participants without HIV. As expected, no vaccine-induced serious adverse events were found in this small group of participants.

To our knowledge, only two other studies reported on the immunogenicity of a bivalent booster vaccine in PWH<sup>[23, 24]</sup>, but they lacked non-PWH as controls and had a limited follow-up period not exceeding one month. One study showed that GMTs of neutralizing antibodies against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 increased 4.8-fold from day 0 to 15 after bivalent BA.4/5 booster vaccination<sup>[23]</sup>. The other study reported that a fourth dose enhanced ancestral and omicron-BA.5-specific neutralization modestly over three-dose levels<sup>[24]</sup>.

In line with the antibody responses measured in other populations <sup>[20]</sup>, antibody levels observed in our study were substantially lower in participants with vector-based versus mRNA-based primary vaccination. Although the functionality of antibodies might differ between participants with different types of primary vaccination regimens, and neutralizing antibodies were not measured in this study, we assumed that the similar GMRs of S1-specific antibodies in PWH with vector-based versus mRNA-based primary vaccination indicated that they probably derived equal immunological benefit from bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination. Similar to the general population<sup>[25]</sup>, PWH who reported prior COVID-19 had higher antibody levels at baseline, but not after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination.

The kinetics of the SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell responses were characterized by a peak on day 7, followed by a rapid decline until day 28 and a more gradual decline until day 180. T-cell responses seemed to diverge between PWH and non-PWH over time, with lower T-cell responses observed in PWH on day 180, coinciding with more frequent intercurrent COVID-19 in non-PWH as possible explanation. In PWH, the median IFN- $\gamma$  levels on day 180 were just under the cut-off for test positivity. Another study in PWH found a numerical but non-significant increase in the T-cell response from baseline to 15 days after bivalent vaccination<sup>[23]</sup>. These observations support a more rapid waning of the cellular response compared to the antibody response following bivalent BA.1 vaccination.

Regarding SARS-CoV-2-specific cytokine responses, we found an increase in both the Th<sub>1</sub>-type cytokines IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-2 and in the Th<sub>2</sub>-type cytokine IL-4 from day 0 to 28 after bivalent BA.1 vaccination. Clustering of the Th<sub>1</sub>-type and Th<sub>2</sub>-type cytokines distinguished three clusters within

PWH, without apparent Th<sub>1</sub>/Th<sub>2</sub> cytokine imbalances. An imbalanced cytokine response has been associated with lower antibody levels and neutralizing antibodies after a second COVID-19 vaccination in patients with renal diseases<sup>[26]</sup>. In contrast with the study in patients with renal diseases, we found no association between our cluster subgroups and antibody levels. While a substantial proportion of the patients with renal diseases did not show seroconversion at that time, all our participants had positive antibody levels. These results suggest that a Th<sub>1</sub>/Th<sub>2</sub> cytokine balance, more than absolute cytokine concentrations, relates to antibody development against SARS-CoV-2. However, it should be noted that the three cluster subgroups were small, with only eight participants in cluster 1, and that these conclusions might not be applicable to more immunocompromised PWH.

Our study had some other limitations. Our participants had mostly normal CD4 counts (>500 cells/ $\mu$ l), which prevents the extrapolation of the results to PWH with CD4 counts <250 cells/µl. Indeed, nine participants had CD4 counts <500 cells/µl, of whom one <250 cells/µl, but none of them showed clinical signs of cellular immunodeficiency. Lower vaccine responses in PWH with poor immune recovery can therefore not be excluded. We did not perform functional antibody tests. Antibody levels have been shown to correlate well with neutralization. This correlation has been described for the ancestral SARS-CoV-2<sup>[27, 28]</sup>, but was also demonstrated for more recent variants like BA.1, BA.5, and XBB.1.5, even after bivalent BA.1 vaccination<sup>[28]</sup>. Furthermore, cytokine concentrations were not measured in non-PWH. We anticipated that the probability of observing differences in cytokine profiles between PWH and non-PWH was low, because we did not observe a Th<sub>1</sub>/Th<sub>2</sub> cytokine imbalance, as was seen in kidney transplant patients after vaccination<sup>[26]</sup>. Additionally, PWH and non-PWH with a vector-based prime received different primary vaccine types, ChAdOx1-S versus Ad26.COV2. S. Although research comparing immunogenicity after booster vaccination in participants with a ChAdOx1-S-based versus Ad26.COV2. S-based primary vaccination is lacking, the largely comparable antibody responses suggested no major influence of the vector priming platform used. Sex distribution was also unbalanced, but so far, differences in immunogenicity after COVID-19 vaccination between men and women have not been reported. Finally, our study did not assess immune responses beyond a half-year horizon. However, the consistently similar humoral responses between PWH and non-PWH provide confidence that responses will not diverge during longer follow-up.

In conclusion, antibody responses were comparable between PWH and non-PWH up to 180 days after a bivalent BA.1 booster vaccine. This suggests that well-treated PWH receive a comparable immunological benefit from the COVID-19 booster vaccination schedule as the general public, although the faster waning of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell responses needs correlation to clinical outcomes in order to determine whether COVID-19 booster vaccines should be prioritized in PWH.

# References

- 1. Yin J, Chen Y, Li Y, Wang C, Zhang X. Immunogenicity and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis* 2022; **124**:212-223.
- 2. Griffin DWJ, Pai Mangalore R, Hoy JF, McMahon JH. Immunogenicity, effectiveness, and safety of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in people with HIV. Aids 2023; 37(9):1345-1360.
- Zhao T, Yang Z, Wu Y, Yang J. Immunogenicity and safety of COVID-19 vaccines among people living with HIV: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiol Infect 2023; 151:e176.
- 4. Hensley KS, Jongkees MJ, Geers D, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Mueller YM, Dalm V, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in people living with HIV in the Netherlands: A nationwide prospective cohort study. PLoS Med 2022; 19(10):e1003979.
- Coburn SB, Humes E, Lang R, Stewart C, Hogan BC, Gebo KA, et al. Analysis of Postvaccination Breakthrough COVID-19 Infections Among Adults With HIV in the United States. JAMA Netw Open 2022; 5(6):e2215934.
- Bekker LG, Garrett N, Goga A, Fairall L, Reddy T, Yende-Zuma N, et al. Effectiveness of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine in health-care workers in South Africa (the Sisonke study): results from a single-arm, open-label, phase 3B, implementation study. Lancet 2022; 399(10330):1141-1153.
- Wang Y, Xie Y, Hu S, Ai W, Tao Y, Tang H, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses of The Interaction Between HIV Infection And COVID-19: Two Years' Evidence Summary. Front Immunol 2022; 13:864838.
- 8. Qassim SH, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, Coyle P, Tang P, Yassine HM, et al. Population immunity of natural infection, primary-series vaccination, and booster vaccination in Qatar during the COVID-19 pandemic: an observational study. EClinicalMedicine 2023; 62:102102.
- Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, Tang P, Coyle P, Yassine HM, Al Thani AA, et al. Long-term COVID-19 booster effectiveness by infection history and clinical vulnerability and immune imprinting: a retrospective population-based cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2023; 23(7):816-827.
- Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Rickeard T, Gallagher E, et al. Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant. N Engl J Med 2022; 386(16):1532-1546.

- Lauring AS, Tenforde MW, Chappell JD, Gaglani M, Ginde AA, McNeal T, et al. Clinical severity of, and effectiveness of mRNA vaccines against, covid-19 from omicron, delta, and alpha SARS-CoV-2 variants in the United States: prospective observational study. Bmj 2022; 376:e069761.
- 12. Interim statement on the composition of current COVID-19 vaccines. In.
- Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: FDA authorizes Moderna, Pfizer-BioNTech bivalent COVID-19 vaccines for use as a booster dose. In.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Stay up to date with COVID-19 vaccines including boosters [Web page, updated June 17, 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/17-06-2022-interim-statement-on--the-composition-of-current-COVID-19-vaccines.
- Cheng MQ, Li R, Weng ZY, Song G. Immunogenicity and effectiveness of COVID-19 booster vaccination among people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 2023; 10:1275843.
- Jongkees MJ, Geers D, Hensley KS, Huisman W, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Bogers S, et al. Immunogenicity of an Additional mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination in People With HIV With Hyporesponse After Primary Vaccination. J Infect Dis 2023; 227(5):651-662.
- Zhou Q, Zeng F, Meng Y, Liu Y, Liu H, Deng G. Serological response following COVID-19 vaccines in patients living with HIV: a dose-response meta-analysis. Sci Rep 2023; 13(1):9893.
- Chalkias S, Harper C, Vrbicky K, Walsh SR, Essink B, Brosz A, et al. A Bivalent Omicron-Containing Booster Vaccine against Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2022; 387(14):1279-1291.
- Winokur P, Gayed J, Fitz-Patrick D, Thomas SJ, Diya O, Lockhart S, et al. Bivalent Omicron BA.1-Adapted BNT162b2 Booster in Adults Older than 55 Years. N Engl J Med 2023; 388(3):214-227.
- 20. Tan NH, Geers D, Sablerolles RSG, Rietdijk WJR, Goorhuis A, Postma DF, et al. Immunogenicity of bivalent omicron (BA.1) booster vaccination after different priming regimens in health-care workers in the Netherlands (SWITCH ON): results from the direct boost group of an open-label, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2023; 23(8):901-13.
- 21. Environment DNIfPHat. COVID-19 vaccinatie uitvoeringsrichtlijn. In; 2022.
- 22. Austin PC. Statistical criteria for selecting the optimal number of untreated subjects matched to each treated subject when using many-to-one matching on the propensity score. Am J Epidemiol 2010; 172(9):1092-1097.

- 23. Vergori A, Matusali G, Lepri AC, Cimini E, Fusto M, Colavita F, et al. Neutralizing activity and T-cell response after bivalent fifth dose of messenger RNA vaccine in people living with HIV. Int J Infect Dis 2023; 134:195-199.
- 24. Cheung PK, Lapointe HR, Sang Y, Ennis S, Mwimanzi F, Speckmaier S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 live virus neutralization after four COVID-19 vaccine doses in people with HIV receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy. Aids 2023; 37(5):F11-F18.
- Baerends EAM, Reekie J, Andreasen SR, Stærke NB, Raben D, Nielsen H, et al. Omicron Variant-Specific Serological Imprinting Following BA.1 or BA.4/5 Bivalent Vaccination and Previous SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis 2023; 77(11):1511-1520.
- 26. den Hartog Y, Malahe SRK, Rietdijk WJR, Dieterich M, Gommers L, Geers D, et al. Th(1)dominant cytokine responses in kidney patients after COVID-19 vaccination are associated with poor humoral responses. NPJ Vaccines 2023; 8(1):70.
- GeurtsvanKessel CH, Geers D, Schmitz KS, Mykytyn AZ, Lamers MM, Bogers S, et al. Divergent SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-reactive T and B cell responses in COVID-19 vaccine recipients. Sci Immunol 2022; 7(69):eabo2202.
- Zaeck LM, Tan NH, Rietdijk WJR, Geers D, Sablerolles RSG, Bogers S, et al. Distinct COVID-19 vaccine combinations result in divergent immune responses. medRxiv 2023:2023.2008.2025.23294606 [preprint].

# Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 spike (S1)-specific antibody response after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination

(a) Detection of ancestral SI-specific antibodies at baseline before bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination (day 0, indicated by circles), and on days 7 (squares), 28 (diamonds), 90 (triangles), and 180 (hexagons) after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination in propensity score-matched PWH (N=40) and non-PWH (N=80), and in participants with an mRNA-based prime (N=29 PWH and N=58 non-PWH; panel b) or vector-based prime (N=11 PWH and N=22 non-PWH; panel c). The numbers above the plots and the middle whiskers in the plot indicate the geometric mean titres per time point, while the lower and upper whiskers in the plot indicate the corresponding 95% confidence intervals. The lower limit of detection was set at 4.81 binding antibody units per millilitre (BAU/mL), and the cut-off responder value was set at 33.8 BAU/mL (horizontal dashed line). Comparisons between groups were performed using the independent *t*-test. (d) GMRs of S1-specific antibodies compared to day 0 on days 7, 28, 90, and 180 in all participants, and in participants with an mRNA-based prime (panel e) or vector-based prime (panel f). The horizontal dashed line indicates a Log<sub>10</sub> GMR of 0, corresponding

to no difference in S1-specific antibodies. Comparisons between groups were performed using the independent *t*-test. Data from four participants on day 90 and two participants on day 180 are missing. Abbreviations: BAU, binding antibody units; GMR, geometric mean ratio; LLoD, lower limit of detection; non-PWH, people without human immunodeficiency virus; PWH, people with human immunodeficiency virus; S, spike.



Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell response after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination

(a) Detection of IFN- $\gamma$  (IU/mL) after the stimulation of whole blood with antigen 2 in coated QuantiFERON tubes at baseline before bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination (0 days, circles) and on days 7 (squares), 28 (diamonds), 90 (triangles), and 180 (hexagons) after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination in propensity score-matched PWH (N=40) and non-PWH (N=80). The numbers above the plots and the middle whiskers in the plot indicate the geometric means per time point, while the lower and upper whiskers in the plot indicate the corresponding 95% confidence intervals. The lower limit of detection was set at 0.01 IU/mL, as per the manufacturer's instructions, and the cut-off responder value was set

at 0.15 IU/mL (horizontal dashed line). Comparisons between groups were performed using the independent *t*-test. (b) GMRs of IFN- $\gamma$  compared to day 0 on days 7, 28, 90, and 180. The horizontal dashed line indicates a Log<sub>10</sub> GMR of 0, corresponding to no difference in IFN- $\gamma$ . Comparisons between groups were performed using the independent *t*-test. Data from two participants on all time points, 14 participants on day 90 and six participants on day 180 are missing. Abbreviations: GMR, geometric mean ratio; IU, international units; LLoD, lower limit of detection; non-PWH, people without human immunodeficiency virus; PWH, people with human immunodeficiency virus.



Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 spike (S1)-specific antibody response and SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell response in PWH with hybrid immunity versus vaccine-induced immunity alone

(a) Detection of ancestral S1-specific antibodies at baseline before bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination (day 0, indicated by circles) and on days 7 (squares), 28 (diamonds), 90 (triangles), and 180 (hexagons) after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination in PWH with vs without a documented history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (N=19 with hybrid immunity and N=21 with vaccine-induced immunity alone). The lower limit of detection was set at 4.81 binding antibody units per millilitre (BAU/mL) and the cut-off responder value was set at 33.8 BAU/mL (horizontal dashed line). The numbers above the plots and the middle whiskers in the plot indicate the geometric mean titres per time point, while the lower and upper whiskers in the plot indicate the corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Comparisons of time points were performed using independent *t*-tests. (b) Detection of IFN- $\gamma$  (IU/mL) after the stimulation of whole blood with overlapping spike protein peptide pools (antigen 2) in coated QuantiFERON tubes

at baseline before bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination (day 0, indicated by circles) and on days 7 (squares), 28 (diamonds), 90 (triangles), and 180 (hexagons) after bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination in PWH with vs without a documented history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (N=19 with hybrid immunity and N=21 with vaccine-induced immunity alone). The lower limit of detection of IFN- $\gamma$  (IU/mL) was set at 0.01 IU/mL, as per the manufacturer's instructions, and the cut-off responder value was set at 0.15 IU/mL (horizontal dashed line). The numbers above the plots and the middle whiskers in the plot indicate the geometric means per time point, while the lower and upper whiskers in the plot indicate the corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Comparisons of time points were performed using independent *t*-tests. Abbreviations: BAU, binding antibody units; IU, international units; LLoD, lower limit of detection; non-PWH, people without human immunodeficiency virus; S, spike.



#### Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2-specific cytokine response in PWH after bivalent BA.1 vaccination

Cytokine concentrations (pg/mL) for five different cytokines measured after stimulation of whole blood with antigen 2 in coated QuantiFERON tubes at baseline before bivalent BA.1 booster vaccination, and on day 28 and 180 after bivalent BA.1 vaccination in all PWH (N=40). The first row depicts the levels of the Th<sub>1</sub>-type cytokines IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-2 and the second row depicts the levels of the Th<sub>2</sub>-type cytokines IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13. The horizontal lines within the whiskers and numbers indicate the medians, and the tops and bottoms indicate the interquartile ranges. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests were applied to the comparisons. Data from two participants on all three time points, and two participants on day 180 are missing. Abbreviations: pg, picogram; PWH, people with human immunodeficiency virus.



Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants stratified based on the primary vaccina	tion
regimen	

	People with HIV			People without HIV		
	Overall	mRNA-	Vector-	Overall	mRNA-	Vector-
	<i>N</i> =40	based	based	N=80	based	based
		prime	prime		prime	prime
		<i>N</i> =29	<i>N</i> =11		<i>N</i> =58	<i>N</i> =22
		(73%)	(27%)		(73%)	(27%)
Sex assigned at h	birth					I
Male	35 (87%)	24 (83%)	11 (100%)	21 (26%)	11 (19%)	10 (45%)
Female	5 (13%)	5 (17%)	0	59 (74%)	47 (81%)	12 (55%)
Age, years	57 (52–63)	55 (49–59)	63 (62–65)	54 (50-57)	53 (42–56)	55 (54–57)
On cART						
Yes	40 (100%)	29 (100%)	11 (100%)	NA	NA	NA
Most recent plas	ma HIV vira	lload		1		I
<50 copies/mL	39 (98%)	28 (97%)	11 (100%)	NA	NA	NA
≥50 copies/mL	1 (2%)	1 (3%)	0	NA	NA	NA
Most recent CD4	+ T-cell cour	it .				
<250 cells/µL	1 (2%)	1 (3%)	0	NA	NA	NA
250-500	8 (20%)	7 (24%)	1 (9%)	NA	NA	NA
cells/µL						
>500 cells/µL	31 (78%)	21 (73%)	10 (91%)	NA	NA	NA
Nadir CD4+ T-cell count						
<250 cells/µL	17 (44%)	12 (42%)	5 (46%)	NA	NA	NA
	1	1	1	1		1

250–500	13 (32%)	11 (38%)	2 (18%)	NA	NA	NA
cells/µL						
>500 cells/µL	6 (15%)	3 (10%)	3 (27%)	NA	NA	NA
Unknown	4 (9%)	3 (10%)	1 (9%)	NA	NA	NA
Ethnicity						•
African	2 (5%)	2 (7%)	0	0	0	0
Asian	2 (5%)	2 (7%)	0	3 (4%)	3 (5%)	0
European	34 (85%)	24 (83%)	10 (91%)	74 (92%)	52 (90%)	22 (100%)
North	0	0	0	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0
American						
South	2 (5%)	1 (3%)	1 (9%)	0	0	0
American						
Other	0	0	0	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	0
<b>Comorbidities</b> <sup>1</sup>						
Cardiovascular	3 (7%)	1 (3%)	2 (18%)	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0
diseases	(					
Pulmonary	3 (7%)	2 (7%)	1 (9%)	4 (5%)	3 (5%)	1 (5%)
diseases						
Diabetes	1 (2%)	1 (3%)	0	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0
Liver diseases	8 (20%)	5 (17%)	3 (27%)	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0
Kidney	3 (7%)	3 (10%)	0	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0
diseases						
None	26 (65%)	21 (72%)	5 (45%)	72 (91%)	51 (87%)	21 (95%)
Primary vaccination regimen						

BNT162b2	25 (62%)	25 (86%)	0	29 (36%)	29 (50%)	0
mRNA-1273	4 (10%)	4 (14%)	0	29 (36%)	29 (50%)	0
ChAdOx1-S	11 (28%)	0	11 (100%)	0	0	0
Ad26.COV2.S	0	0	0	22 (28%)	0	22 (100%)
Number of boost	ter doses <sup>2</sup>	1	1			
0	2 (5%)	1 (3%)	1 (9%)	0	0	0
1	20 (50%)	19 (66%)	1 (9%)	59 (74%)	55 (95%)	4 (18%)
2	17 (43%)	8 (28%)	9 (82%)	21 (26%)	3 (5%)	18 (82%)
3	1 (2%)	1 (3%)	0	0	0	0
History of SARS	-CoV-2 infec	tion	I		$\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}$	1
No	21 (52%)	15 (52%)	6 (55%)	23 (29%)	16 (28%)	7 (32%)
Yes, once	19 (48%)	14 (48%)	5 (45%)	56 (70%)	41 (70%)	15 (68%)
Yes, twice	0	0	0	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0
Combined numb	er of booster	doses and SA	ARS-CoV-2 i	nfections		1
1	14 (35%)	12 (41%)	2 (18%)	15 (19%)	14 (24%)	1 (5%)
2	17 (43%)	12 (41%)	5 (45%)	51 (64%)	42 (73%)	9 (40%)
3	8 (20%)	4 (15%)	4 (37%)	14 (17%)	2 (3%)	12 (55%)
4	1 (2%)	1 (3%)	0	0	0	0
Geometric	3431	4180	2039	3349	4222	1819
mean of S1-	(2459–	(2775–	(1229–	(2620–	(3177–	(1203–
specific	4787)	6298)	3382)	4282)	5612)	2750)
antibodies on						
day 0, BAU/mL						
Nucleocapsid on day 0						

Negative	36 (90%)	26 (90%)	10 (91%)	67 (84%)	46 (79%)	21 (95%)
Positive	4 (10%)	3 (10%)	1 (9%)	13 (16%)	12 (21%)	1 (5%)
Time between	275 (168–	287 (178–	195 (151–	303 (266–	305 (298–	266 (263–
last booster	298)	299)	236)	310)	310)	268)
and bivalent						
BA.1 booster						
vaccination,						
days						

Values are count (%), median (IQR), or geometric mean (95% CI). <sup>1</sup>Percentages may not sum to 100 due to variations in the number of comorbidities per participant. <sup>2</sup>Booster doses are the number of vaccines administered after a completed primary vaccination regimen with two doses of BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, or ChAdOx1-S or one dose of Ad26.COV2.S. Abbreviations: BAU, binding antibody units; cART, combination antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not applicable.

S

Downloaded from http://journals.lww.com/aidsonline by BhDMf5ePHKav1zEoum1tQfN4a+kJLhEZgbsIHo4XMi0hCy wCX1AWnYQp/IIQrHD3i3D00dRyi7TvSFI4Cf3VC1y0abggQZXdtwnfKZBYtws= on 05/30/2024