RESEARCH ARTICLE



Single agent subcutaneous blinatumomab for advanced acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Elias Jabbour¹ Gerhard Zugmaier² | Vaibhav Agrawal³ | Pilar Martínez-Sánchez⁴ | José J. Rifón Roca⁵ | Ryan D. Cassaday^{6,7} | Boris Böll⁸ | Anita Rijneveld⁹ | Maher Abdul-Hay¹⁰ | Françoise Huguet¹¹ | Thomas Cluzeau¹² | Mar Tormo Díaz¹³ | Vladan Vucinic¹⁴ | José González-Campos¹⁵ | Alessandro Rambaldi¹⁶ | Stefan Schwartz¹⁷ | Céline Berthon¹⁸ | Jesús María Hernández-Rivas^{19,20,21} | Paul R. Gordon²² | Monika Brüggemann²³ | Ali Hamidi²² | Yuqi Chen²² | Hansen L. Wong²⁴ | Bharat Panwar²² | Yuliya Katlinskaya²² | Ana Markovic²² | Hagop Kantarjian¹

¹Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

²Amgen Research (Munich), GmbH, Munich, Germany

⁴Department of Hematology, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital 12 de Octubre (imas12), CNIO, CIBERONC, Madrid, Spain ⁵Hematology and Hemotherapy Department, Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

⁶Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁷Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁸First Department of Internal Medicine, Center for Integrated Oncology Aachen Bonn Cologne Dusseldorf, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

⁹Department of Hematology, Erasmus University Medical Center Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

¹⁰Perlmutter Cancer Center, New York University Langone Health, New York, New York, USA

¹¹Department of Hematology, Institut Universitaire du Cancer-Oncopole CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

¹²Université Nice Côte d'Azur, CHU de Nice, Nice, France

¹³Hematology Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria INCLIVA, Valencia, Spain

¹⁴Department of Hematology and Cell Therapy, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

¹⁵Hematology Department, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain

¹⁶Department of Oncology-Hematology, University of Milan, Milan and Azienda Socio Sanitaria Territoriale Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy

¹⁷Department of Hematology, Oncology and Tumor Immunology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany

¹⁸Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Lille, Lille, France

¹⁹IBSAL, IBMCC, CSIC, Cancer Research Center, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

²⁰Department of Hematology, University Hospital of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

²¹CIBERONC, Research Group CB16/12/00233, Salamanca, Spain

²²Amgen Inc., Thousand Oaks, California, USA

²³Department of Hematology, University of Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany

²⁴Clinical Pharmacology, Modeling and Simulation, Amgen Inc., South San Francisco, California, USA

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2024 The Authors. American Journal of Hematology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

³Department of Hematology and Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation, Gehr Family Center for Leukemia Research, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, California, USA

² WILEY AJH

Correspondence

Elias Jabbour, Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Unit 428, Houston, TX 77030, USA. Email: ejabbour@mdanderson.org

Funding information Amgen Astellas Biopharma

Abstract

Blinatumomab is a BiTE[®] (bispecific T-cell engager) molecule that redirects CD3⁺ T-cells to engage and lyse CD19⁺ target cells. Here we demonstrate that subcutaneous (SC) blinatumomab can provide high efficacy and greater convenience of administration. In the expansion phase of a multi-institutional phase 1b trial (ClinicalTrials. gov. NCT04521231), heavily pretreated adults with relapsed/refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (R/R B-ALL) received SC blinatumomab at two doses: (1) 250 μ g once daily (QD) for week 1 and 500 μ g three times weekly (TIW) thereafter (250 μ g/500 μ g) or (2) 500 μ g QD for week 1 and 1000 μ g TIW thereafter $(500 \ \mu g/1000 \ \mu g)$. The primary endpoint was complete remission/complete remission with partial hematologic recovery (CR/CRh) within two cycles. At the data cutoff of September 15, 2023, 29 patients were treated: 14 at the 250 μ g/500 μ g dose and 13 at 500 μ g/1000 μ g dose. Data from two ineligible patients were excluded. At the end of two cycles, 12 of 14 patients (85.7%) from the 250 μ g/500 μ g dose achieved CR/CRh of which nine patients (75.0%) were negative for measurable residual disease (MRD; $<10^{-4}$ leukemic blasts). At the 500 µg/1000 µg dose, 12 of 13 patients (92.3%) achieved CR/CRh; all 12 patients (100.0%) were MRD-negative. No treatment-related grade 4 cytokine release syndrome (CRS) or neurologic events (NEs) were reported. SC injections were well tolerated and all treatment-related grade 3 CRS and NEs responded to standard-of-care management, interruption, or discontinuation. Treatment with SC blinatumomab resulted in high efficacy, with high MRD-negativity rates and acceptable safety profile in heavily pretreated adults with R/R B-ALL.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Long-term survival for patients with B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia (B-ALL) has improved over recent decades. Novel immune therapies have contributed to this improvement.¹ However, the outcome for relapsed or refractory (R/R) B-ALL in adult patients remains poor, with randomized controlled trials evaluating targeted therapies reporting an approximate median overall survival of 7 months.^{2,3}

Many treatment regimens in adult patients with R/R B-ALL now consists of different antibody-based therapeutics and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapies. The goal of salvage therapy is to induce remission and proceed to allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Treatment-related toxicity is frequent.⁴ Thus, despite advances, better therapies are needed for R/R B-ALL.

The B-lineage surface antigen cluster designation (CD)-19 is expressed on more than 90% of B-cell precursor ALL blasts. Blinatumomab is a bispecific T-cell engager (BiTE[®]) molecule that binds simultaneously to CD3-positive cytotoxic T-cells and CD19-positive B-cells. This unique feature of blinatumomab allows it to transiently connect malignant cells with T-cells, thereby inducing T-cell mediated lysis of malignant cells.⁵ Blinatumomab with continuous intravenous infusion (cIV) has become a standard treatment regimen utilized in R/R B-ALL.^{2,6-8} The subcutaneous (SC) delivery of blinatumomab was developed to evaluate higher doses aiming to further improve efficacy and simplify administration to enhance convenience for patients. Here, we report the results of the expansion phase of a global, multicenter phase 1b trial in heavily pretreated adults with R/R B-ALL to determine the safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetics (PK) of SC blinatumomab.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Trial design and patients

This multicenter, single-arm, open-label, phase 1b trial consisted of dose escalation and dose-expansion and evaluated the safety, tolerability, PK, and efficacy of SC blinatumomab as monotherapy in adult patients with R/R B-ALL. Investigators at 17 centers in six countries enrolled patients as follows: (1) refractory to primary induction therapy or at least one salvage therapy, (2) in untreated first or greater relapse or refractory relapse, or (3) relapse any time after HSCT. Patients with Philadelphia-chromosome positive B-ALL intolerant or refractory to prior tyrosine kinase inhibitors were also eligible. Patients had ≥5% blasts in the bone marrow (BM) and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status ≤2. Key exclusion criteria were other active malignancies, clinically relevant (or history of) central nervous system (CNS) pathology, active leukemia in the CNS, (if cerebrospinal fluid [CSF] leukemia was present, intrathecal therapy and negative CSF result were required before enrollment), isolated extramedullary disease, autoimmune disease, active acute or chronic graft-versus-host disease requiring systemic immunosuppressive therapy, HSCT within 12 weeks, chemotherapy or radiotherapy within 2 weeks, immunotherapy within 4 weeks, or ongoing investigational treatment. Prior CD19-directed immunotherapy, such as blinatumomab or CAR T-cell therapy, received more than 4 weeks before enrollment was allowed. The dose escalation and dose-expansion phases of this trial have been completed.^{9,10} Here, we report the results of the dose-expansion phase.

2.2 | Treatments

Patients in this study could receive at least one and up to five cycles of SC blinatumomab. Each cycle was 34 days and included a 26-day treatment period followed by an 8-day treatment-free interval. SC blinatumomab was administered once daily (QD) for the first week of cycle 1 and three times weekly (TIW) for rest of the cycle, and all subsequent cycles. The volume administered per injection of SC blinatumomab was 0.3-1.2 mL. Patients were hospitalized for the first 12 days of cycle 1. Subsequent doses were administered as outpatients with a minimum observation period of 1 h. Dose escalation consisted of four dose cohorts of increasing doses of SC blinatumomab (Figure S1). The expansion phase was designed to compare the two active doses from dose escalation, which is consistent with the Food and Drug Administration Project Optimus initiative to reform the dose optimization and dose selection paradigm in the development of oncologic drugs.¹¹ The two active doses were (1) 250 μ g QD/500 µg TIW (250 µg/500 µg) or (2) 500 µg QD/1000 µg TIW (500 µg/1000 µg). Prior to starting SC blinatumomab, low-dose chemotherapy, and/or dexamethasone was recommended (to reduce tumor burden and the incidence of tumor lysis syndrome), at the investigator's discretion but mandatory for patients with BM blasts percentage (determined by cytomorphology) ≥50%, or peripheral blood blast count ≥15 000/µL (see Supplementary Materials for dose and schedule). In addition, patients received premedication with 20 mg of dexamethasone within 6 h of first dose of SC blinatumomab in cycle 1. Low-dose chemotherapy was given within 5 days of start of cycle 1 and evaluation of BM was not required immediately before the start of SC blinatumomab.

2.3 | Assessments

Hematologic remission was defined as <5% BM blasts, no evidence of extramedullary disease, and peripheral blood count recovery as follows: complete remission (CR), platelets>100 000/ μ L, and absolute neutrophil count (ANC) >1000/ μ L; CR with partial hematologic recovery (CRh), platelets >50 000/ μ L, and ANC >500/ μ L. Hematologic

AJH_WILEY

remission was based on local assessment. Measurable residual disease (MRD) analysis was performed locally using either multiparameter flow cytometry (MPFC) or real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RQ-PCR) with a sensitivity of $\ge 10^{-4}$. Central MRD was performed using RQ-PCR of clonal immunoglobulin, T-cell receptor, or gene rearrangements and next-generation sequencing (NGS) using clonoSEQ assay. This assay (Adaptive Biotechnologies Co., Seattle, WA) is an in vitro diagnostic that uses multiplex PCR and NGS to analyze DNA extracted from the patient's BM.^{12,13} All patients with BM evaluation had MRD performed at treatment sites. Central MRD was used to confirm local results when available. Evaluation of BM blasts was performed on days 12 (optional) and 27 of cycle 1 and day 27 of subsequent cycles. Lumbar puncture was performed at screening and at the end of each cycle to evaluate CNS leukemic involvement. Blood samples were collected for PK at pre-dose and/or scheduled time points post-dose following QD and TIW dosing in cycle 1. Details of collection times and serum PK analysis are provided in Supplementary Materials. Serum samples were tested at specified timepoints for the presence of anti-drug antibody (ADA) formation. Lymphocyte subset analysis was performed at set time points as outlined in Supplementary Materials.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Primary endpoint for the expansion phase was CR/CRh within the first two cycles.

These results include all eligible patients. Analysis in the doseexpansion phase included all treated patients except two, both treated at the 500 μ g/1000 μ g dose, who were found to be ineligible postenrollment. No formal statistical hypotheses were tested. The protocol and statistical analysis plan are included in Supplementary Materials.

2.5 | Role of the funding source

Amgen conducted statistical analyses and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. Amgen designed the study in collaboration with the study investigators.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patients

Patients in the expansion phase were enrolled between October 24, 2022, and July 31, 2023. The data cutoff was September 15, 2023. Thirty-eight patients were screened: 29 enrolled and two patients were found to be ineligible after enrollment (Figure S2), due to prior history or presence of CNS pathology. Due to ineligibility, all data for these two patients were excluded from the analysis. All patients received open-label study treatment, and nine patients were continuing treatment at the time of this analysis. The treatment

groups had similar demographic and disease characteristics at baseline (Table 1). Median age was 52 years (range, 19–78); 12 patients (44.4%) were aged \geq 55 years. Median number of prior therapies was 2 (range, 1–5), including stem cell transplant (eight patients [29.6%]), anti-CD19 CAR T-cell therapy (four patients [14.8%]), and cIV blinatumomab (five patients [18.5%]). Five patients (18.5%) were refractory to first-line therapy. Median number of SC blinatumomab cycles received was 2 (range, 1–4). Median BM blast percentage at screening was 74% (5%–98%).

TABLE 1	Demographics and baseline clinical characteristics of
patients treat	ed in the dose-expansion phase who were eligible for
analysis.	

Characteristics	250 μg/500 μg dose (N = 14)	500 μg/1000 μg dose (N = 13)			
Age, years					
Median	46	56			
Range	19-78	25-74			
Sex, n (%)					
Male	8 (57.1)	8 (61.5)			
Female	6 (42.9)	5 (38.5)			
Race, n (%)					
White	12 (85.7)	7 (53.8)			
Asian	0	1 (7.7)			
Black	0	0			
Other	2 (14.3)	5 (38.5)			
Ethnicity—Hispanic, n (%)	8 (57.1)	5 (38.5)			
ECOG performance status, n (%	6)				
0	6 (42.9)	8 (61.5)			
1	6 (42.9)	5 (38.5)			
2	2 (14.3)	0			
Prior lines of therapy, n (%)					
1	4 (28.6)	6 (46.2)			
2	4 (28.6)	3 (23.1)			
3	4 (28.6)	2 (15.4)			
4	1 (7.1)	1 (7.7)			
5	1 (7.1)	1 (7.7)			
Refractory to first-line therapy, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (14.3)	3 (23.1)			
Previous allogeneic stem cell transplantation, n (%)	5 (35.7)	3 (23.1)			
Previous anti-CD19 CAR T-cell therapy, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (28.6)	0 (0.0)			
Previous cIV blinatumomab, n (%)	2 (14.3)	3 (23.1)			
Maximum bone marrow blasts,	n (%)				
5% to <10%	0 (0.0)	2 (15.4)			
10% to <50%	3 (21.4)	2 (15.4)			
≥50%	11 (78.6)	9 (69.2)			

Abbreviations: CAR T-cell, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell; cIV, continuous intravenous; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

3.2 | Efficacy

At the data cutoff, 14 patients were treated with the 250 μ g/500 μ g dose and 13 patients were treated with the 500 $\mu g/1000~\mu g$ dose. At the end of two cycles of SC blinatumomab, 12 of 14 patients (85.7%) at the 250 µg/500 µg dose achieved CR/CRh of which nine of 12 (75%) patients were MRD-negative ($<10^{-4}$ leukemic blasts; Table 2). For patients treated with the 500 μ g/1000 μ g dose, 12 of 13 patients (92.3%) achieved CR/CRh, of which 12 of 12 patients (100%) were MRD-negative. All patients who had a BM assessment at day 12 (n = 18) and day 27 (n = 24) of cycle 1 had blast-free BM (<5%). At 250 μ g/500 μ g, six of the seven patients who had central MRD RQ-PCR assessment achieved MRD negativity ($<10^{-5}$); four of the five patients who had central clonoSEQ assessment achieved MRD negativity ($<10^{-6}$). At 500 µg/1000 µg, 5 of the 6 patients who had central MRD RQ-PCR assessment achieved MRD negativity $(<10^{-5})$; five of the five patients who had central clonoSEQ assessment achieved MRD negativity ($<10^{-6}$). At data cutoff, 9 of 27 patients (33.3%) with MRD-negative CR/CRh after SC blinatumomab went on to receive allogeneic HSCT. One patient (3.7%) at the 500 µg/1000 µg dose developed CD19-negative relapse. Nine patients remained in the study.

3.3 | Safety

The median (range) number of treatment cycles was 2 (1–4) for both groups. Treatment-emergent adverse events were reported in 14 of 14 patients (100.0%) at the 250 μ g/500 μ g dose and in 12 of 13 patients (92.3%) at the 500 μ g/1000 μ g dose (Table 3). Serious treatment-related adverse events were reported in nine patients (64.3%) at the 250 μ g/500 μ g dose and in 10 patients (76.9%) at the

TABLE 2 Best hematologic response within two cycles after treatment initiation.

Response category	250 μg/500 μg dose (N = 14)	500 μg/1000 μg dose (N = 13)
CR	10 (71.4)	12 (92.3)
CRh	2 (14.3)	0
CR/CRh	12 (85.7)	12 (92.3)
MRD-negative in patients with CR/CRh	9 (75.0)	12 (100.0)
Not evaluated	2 (14.3)	1 (7.7)

Note: Data are presented as *n* (%). Complete remission was defined as 5% or less bone marrow blasts and no evidence of disease and was further characterized according to the extent of recovery of peripheral blood counts as follows: complete remission with full recovery (platelet count >100 000/ μ L and absolute neutrophil count >1000/ μ L) and complete remission with partial recovery (platelet count of >50 000/ μ L and absolute neutrophil count of >50 000/ μ L and absolute neutrophil count of >50 000/ μ L and absolute neutrophil count of >50 000/ μ L and absolute neutrophil count of >50 000/ μ L). MRD was reported based on a minimum sensitivity of <10⁻⁴.

Abbreviations: CR, complete remission with full hematologic recovery; CRh, complete remission with partial hematologic recovery; MRD, measurable residual disease.

TABLE 3	Incidence of adverse events for patients treated with a
dose of 250	дg/500 µg or 500 µg/1000 µg.

	250 μg/500 μg (N = 14)	$\begin{array}{l} 500 \; \mu g / 1000 \; \mu g \\ (N = 13) \end{array}$	
Adverse event	No. of patients (%)		
Any grade adverse events	14 (100.0)	12 (92.3)	
Adverse events related to SC bl	inatumomab		
Serious adverse event	9 (64.3)	10 (76.9)	
Fatal adverse event	0	0	
Grade ≥3 adverse events	12 (85.7)	8 (61.5)	
Leading to discontinuation of treatment	2 (14.3)	1 (7.7)	
Due to cytokine release syndrome	1 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	
Due to neurologic event	1 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	
Leading to interruption of treatment	11 (78.6)	9 (69.2)	
Due to cytokine release syndrome	7 (50.0)	5 (38.5)	
Due to neurologic event	6 (42.9)	4 (30.8)	
Grade ≥3 adverse events of i	nterest		
Cytokine release syndrome	3 (21.4)	3 (23.1)	
Neurologic event	6 (42.9)	3 (23.1)	
Aphasia	0	1 (7.7)	
Delirium	0	1 (7.7)	
Headache	1 (7.1)	0	
Immune effector cell- associated neurotoxicity syndrome	3 (21.4)	2 (15.4)	
Neurotoxicity	1 (7.1)	1 (7.7)	
Somnolence	1 (7.1)	0	
Any decrease in platelet count	3 (21.4)	3 (23.1)	
Any decrease in white-cell count	0	1 (7.7)	

Abbreviation: SC, subcutaneous.

500 µg/1000 µg dose (Table 3). Grade ≥3 treatment-related events were reported in 12 patients (85.7%) at the 250 µg/500 µg dose and in eight patients (61.5%) at the 500 µg/1000 µg dose. Cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and neurologic events (NE) including immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) were events of interest. While treatment-related grade ≥3 CRS events occurred equally in three (21.4%) patients at 250 µg/500 µg and three patients (23.1%) at 500 µg/1000 µg, treatment-related grade ≥3 NE including ICANS occurred less frequently at 500 µg/1000 µg (three patients [23.1%]) versus (six patients [42.9%]) at 250 µg/500 µg (Table 3). Of note, of the six patients at 250 µg/500 µg who had NEs, one had a grade 3 headache, associated with lumbar puncture. For both doses combined, median time to onset for grade ≥3 CRS events was 2 days

AJH_WILEY

(range 1–7 days) and for grade \geq 3 NE, it was 7 days (range 2–50 days). One grade 3 CRS event at 250 µg/500 µg occurred on day 7, 1 day after restarting SC blinatumomab following 5 days of interruption due to a grade 1 CRS. Two grade 3 NEs occurred in cycle 2, one at 250 μ g/500 μ g (cycle 2 day 8) and one at 500 μ g/1000 μ g (cycle 2 day 3). No grade 4 treatment-related events CRS or NE including ICANS were reported. Treatment interruption and discontinuation were required by the protocol for the management of certain adverse events. At 250 μ g/500 μ g, SC blinatumomab-related treatment discontinuation was reported in two patients (14.3%), and treatment interruption in 11 patients (78.6%). At this dose, the treatment was interrupted in six patients with NE and in seven patients with CRS events (two patients had both NE and CRS requiring treatment interruption) and was discontinued in one patient for NE and one patient for CRS. At 500 µg/1000 µg, the treatment was interrupted in nine patients (69.2%) for treatment-related adverse events, of which therapy was interrupted due to CRS in five patients, NE in four patients, and discontinued due to cholestasis unrelated to SC blinatumomab in a single patient with prior liver injury. All patients for whom treatment was interrupted resumed at the same dose prior to the interruption. Overall, the drug interruption or discontinuation rates were lower at 500 µg/1000 µg (nine patients [69.2%]) than at 250 µg/500 µg (11 patients [78.6%]). Treatment-emergent fatal adverse events were reported in two patients up to 30 days from the last dose of administration. One patient at 250 µg/500 µg developed cerebral edema; the patient relapsed after multiple rounds of therapy, including (chemotherapy, cIV blinatumomab, CD19 CART [the latter with discontinuation because of toxicity], and allogeneic HSCT [all within 6 months of receiving SC blinatumomab]). No serious adverse events had been reported with prior cIV blinatumomab. The patient's history showed ongoing coagulopathy with history of thrombosis and embolism, the patient had an indwelling Ommaya shunt, had developed chemical meningitis possibly related to intrathecal therapy (4 weeks prior to receiving SC blinatumomab). Due to multiple confounding comorbidities and unsuccessful prior treatment attempts and with the lack of CNS symptoms commonly observed with blinatumomab, the event was not assessed as attributed to the use of SC blinatumomab. The other patient at $500 \,\mu\text{g}/1000 \,\mu\text{g}$ developed disease progression with hepatic failure; the patient had preexistent liver injury prior to blinatumomab treatment. The event was not attributed to the use of SC blinatumomab.

3.4 | Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic profiles of SC blinatumomab are shown in Figure 1. To present a full characterization of blinatumomab PK, results from dose escalation (discussed in Supplementary Materials) and expansion were presented. Median time to maximum concentration ranged from approximately 6-12 h. Dose-related increase in exposure was observed over the dose range. Mean apparent elimination half-life was approximately 8-12 h after repeat dosing. Observed exposures (mean steady-state average concentration [C_{avg,ss}]) following QD and

⁶ WILEY AJH



FIGURE 1 Pharmacokinetics of blinatumomab following subcutaneous administration. Mean serum concentration-time profiles of once daily (QD) and three times weekly (TIW) dosing over the first 14 days of cycle 1 are presented.

TIW dosing for the expansion doses generally exceeded exposures (mean steady-state concentration [C_{ss}]) of 28 μ g/day cIV (efficacious cIV dose for R/R B-ALL).^{14}

3.5 | Immunogenicity and pharmacodynamics

Aggregate data across dose escalation show no evidence for immunogenicity for SC blinatumomab. SC blinatumomab resulted in profound CD19-positive B-cell depletion observed with both 250 μ g/500 μ g and 500 μ g/1000 μ g doses in patients with quantitative baseline data. Further pharmacodynamic analysis is presented in Supplementary Materials (Figures S3–S5A,B, and S6A,B).

4 | DISCUSSION

This study has demonstrated for the first time that T-cell engagement as treatment for B-ALL is possible by the SC administration of a single agent. In the expansion phase of this multi-institutional phase 1b trial in adults with R/R B-ALL, treatment with SC blinatumomab as monotherapy resulted in higher CR and MRD response rates than that reported with cIV, and with comparable toxicity.² CR/CRh rates after two cycles of SC blinatumomab were 85.7% with 75.0% MRD response rate and 92.3% with 100.0% MRD response rate at the 250 µg/500 µg dose and the 500 µg/1000 µg dose, respectively. All patients received the efficacious dose from day 1 (no lower initial dose as with cIV formulation) and all 18 patients assessed on day 12 achieved CR/CRh.

SC blinatumomab dosing exhibited a favorable PK profile that included a gradual increase in exposure compared with cIV, allowing

for higher doses and earlier exposures and an apparent increase in half-life for SC blinatumomab (~8–12 h vs. ~2 h for cIV) allowing for convenient TIW dosing after the first 7 days.¹³ Both expansion dosing regimens resulted in observed exposures (mean $C_{avg,ss}$) from QD and TIW doses that generally exceeded the exposures (mean C_{ss}) of the cIV dose (28 µg/day).^{10,15} This favorable SC PK profile and higher first week exposures than in cIV may have contributed to the high efficacy with faster and deeper responses compared with the cIV regimen.

While the rate of treatment-related grade 3 CRS events at dose levels 250 μ g/500 μ g and 500 μ g/1000 μ g were 21.4% and 23.1%, respectively, the rates of treatment-related grade 3 NE including ICANS were 42.9% and 23.1%, respectively. The reason for the higher rate of NE in the lower dose level is unclear. A larger sample size of patients may be needed for further evaluation. All grade 3 CRS and NE responded to standard-of-care treatment and dose interruption and were completely reversible. Treatment could be resumed without dose reduction. In patients with NE, no change in imaging was observed after the event and all resolved without sequelae (data not shown). There were no grade 4 or 5 CRS or NE related to SC blinatumomab. In contrast, grade 4 NE is associated with cIV blinatumomab.^{8,16,17} There was no hepatic toxicity related to SC blinatumomab. No ADA was identified during SC blinatumomab treatment. The PK profile of SC blinatumomab with slow gradual increases in exposure may contribute to the observed acceptable safety profile.

The safety and efficacy data of SC blinatumomab compare favorably with other targeted therapies used in R/R B-ALL.^{1,18} In the Ino-Vate trial, when adult patients were treated with inotuzumab ozogamicin (INO), a CR/CRi rate of 80.7% and an MRD-negativity rate of 78.4% among responders was observed.³ The rate of grade ≥3 treatment-emergent sinusoid obstruction syndrome (SOS) was 11%. In the multicenter ZUMA-3 study evaluating KTE-X19 CAR T-cell therapy for adults with R/R ALL, 71% of patients had CR/CRi, with 97% of responders having MRD-negative disease.¹⁹ Grade ≥3 CRS rate was 24% including 11% grade 4 CRS. The NE rate was 25% and included a grade 4 encephalopathy and a grade 5 brain herniation. This modality of treatment with the production of the CAR T-cells is laborious and may only be available for patients at selective centers. Fourteen of the enrolled patients (20%) did not receive KTE-X19 for reasons including unsuccessful manufacturing of the product. SC blinatumomab is available off-the-shelf and overcomes this limitation.

Even in combination therapies used in R/R B-ALL, SC blinatumomab data also compare favorably. In a recent phase 1 trial of doseadjusted EPOCH chemotherapy plus INO, the CR/CRi rate was 85%, and 70% achieved MRD negativity. The rate of SOS was 4%.²⁰ In the study by Kantarjian et al., salvage therapy with mini-hyper-CVD and INO with or without cIV blinatumomab in R/R B-ALL resulted in a CR of 63%, CRp (CR without platelet recovery) of 19%, and CRi of 3%, with MRD negativity in 82% of the responders.²¹

Intravenous blinatumomab is beneficial for patients with R/R B-ALL,^{2,7,8,16,22} but early data from SC blinatumomab suggest improved efficacy with a more convenient delivery profile.

TABLE 4 Treatment response with MRD status within first two cycles in patients treated with 250 µg/500 µg and 500 µg/1000 µg dose of SC blin.

		C1D12 ^a	C1D27	C2D27	
Patient no.	BM%	Treatment response (CF	R/CRh ^c): BM-MRD (I/c/ı	n) ^d	Patient disposition ^b
Dose of SC blinat	umomab: 250	μg/500 μg			
1	93	CRh: I-/c-/n(-)	CR: I-/c-	CR: I-/c-/n-	HSCT after C2
2	74	CR	CR: I+	CR	HSCT after C2
3	15	CR	CR	CR	Ended SC blin after C2: patient request, no SAE, no FU
4	58	CR: $I - /c + /n +$	CR: I-/c-/n(-)	CR: I-/c-/n-	HSCT after C3
5	41	CR: I-/c-/n-	CR: I-/c-/n-	CR: I-/c-	HSCT after C2
6	66	CR: I-	CR: I-	CR: I-	HSCT after C2
7	57	NP	CR: I-	CR: I-	HSCT after C2
8	95	CRh: c-	IE: c-/n(-)	CRh: I-/c-/n(-)	HSCT after C4
9	83	IE: c-	CR: I-/c-	-	Ended SC blin in C1: SAE
10	74	NP	-	-	Death in C1 ^e
11	29	NP	CRh: I-	NP	Ended SC blin after C1D12: patient request, death from PD in FU
12	58	NP	CR: I-/c+/n+	-	Ended SC blin in C1: SAE , received HSCT
13	90	NP	NP	NP	Ended SC blin in C1W1: patient decision AMA, lost to FU
14	90	CR: I-/c-	CR: I-/c-	-	HSCT after C1
Dose of SC blinat	umomab: 500	μg/1000 μg			
15	5	CR	CRh	CR: I-	Completed C5
16	94	CRh	CR: I-	-	End in C1: SAE ^e
17	85	CR: I-	CR: I-	ON	HSCT after C2
18	10	CRh: c-/n(-)	CR: c-/n-	ON	HSCT after C2
19	81	CRh: I-	CR: I-	CR: I-	C5 Ongoing
20	6	CR: $I - /c - /n +$	CR: I-/c+/n+	CR: I-/c-/n(-) ^f	C5 Ongoing
21	97	CRh: I-	CR: I-	CR: I-	HSCT after C2
22	97	NP	-	-	Death in C1 ^e
23	70	CR: c+	CRh: I-	CRh: I-/c+/n-	CART after C4
24	98	NP	CR: I-	CR: I-	HSCT after C2
25	80	CR: I-/c+/n+	CR: I-/c-/n-	CR: I-/c-/n-	HSCT after C3
26	30	CR: I-/c-	CR: I-/c-/n-	Relapse	Relapse after C2
27	72	NP	CR: I-/c-/n-	CR: I-/c-/n-	C4 ongoing

Abbreviations: AE, adverse events; AMA, against medical advice; BM, bone marrow; BM% percentage of BM blasts at enrollment, blin, blinatumomab; c, central measurable residual disease analysis performed by RQ-PCR at central laboratory (sensitivity $<10^{-5}$); c+, central measurable residual disease-negative; C, cycle; CART, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy; CR, complete remission; CRh, CR with partial count recovery; D, day; FU, follow up; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant; I, local measurable residual disease; I=, negative local measurable residual disease; IE, cytomorphology reported as inevaluable; MRD, measurable residual disease; n, measurable residual disease analysis done by next-generation sequencing measurable residual disease-negative; n(–), next-generation sequencing measurable residual disease indeterminate-a copy of the dominant sequence was detected in the sample, but the rearrangement was present below the limit of detection for the given sequence; NGS, next-generation sequencing; NP, bone marrow evaluation was not performed; ON, cycle ongoing at data cut; SAE, serious adverse event; SC, subcutaneous.

^aAll patients with C1D12 BM evaluation achieved a blast percentage of <5% at data cut. Some treatment responses results (CR/CRh) were entered after data cut.

^bPatient disposition: patient status as of October 30, 2023. Most patients proceeded to HSCT after MRD-negative CR/CRh or completed the protocol maximum of 5 cycles. Patient data on post SC blinatumomab HSCT or post C5 blinatumomab outcome not available at data cut. ^cCR and CRh were reported by investigators.

^dMRD assessment done locally (I), centrally by RQ-PCR (c), centrally by NGS (n).

^eUnrelated to SC blinatumomab.

^fC2D27 NGS data point n (–) added after data cut.

aJh_Wiley⊥

7

Subcutaneous blinatumomab is delivered in a small-volume injection (0.3-1.2 mL per dose), is easy to prepare and administer, and has been tolerated by patients without any local reaction or pain.^{10,23} The observed high efficacy with SC blinatumomab as monotherapy supports further exploration in earlier lines of therapy which may spare the need for intensive chemotherapy and/or transplantation. It has been demonstrated that the efficacy of blinatumomab is higher in earlier than in later treatment lines.^{24,25} Approximately one third of patients proceeded to allogeneic HSCT after achieving MRD negativity with SC blinatumomab; however, four patients at the 500 μ g/1000 μ g dose and one patient at the 250 μ g/500 μ g dose completed four cycles with persistent MRD-negative CR suggesting durability of the deep response achieved with SC blinatumomab (Table 4). The combination of convenience, ease of delivery, and high efficacy could have tremendous global impact making this effective treatment modality available to more patients in different healthcare eco-systems.

Limitations of this trial include the small sample size. However, the corroborating PK evidence of dose-dependent increase in exposure validates the clinical findings. The early large reduction of tumor load and the combination of CR with deep MRD responses further provide evidence of efficacy. Another limitation is that only 50% of the patients had MRD evaluation performed centrally. Local MRD testing however was performed using MPFC or RQ-PCR with a sensitivity of at least $<10^{-4}$.

In summary, this multi-institutional phase 1b trial, in heavily pretreated adults with R/R B-ALL, treatment with SC blinatumomab demonstrated high efficacy with an acceptable safety profile. These encouraging results could be practice-changing and warrant further exploration for patients with B-ALL. Randomized controlled trials are warranted to test these hypotheses.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EJ, VA, PM-S, JJRR, RDC, BB, AR, MA-H, FH, TC, MTD, VV, JG-C, AR, SS, CB, JMH-R, MB, and HK contributed toward data collection, data analysis, and data interpretation. GZ, PRG, AH, YC, HLW, BP, YK, and AM contributed toward study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, preparation of figures, and writing of the manuscript. EJ, PRG, GZ, and AM directly accessed and verified the underlying data reported in the manuscript. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and accept responsibility to submit for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the patients, investigators, and study staff who contributed to this study. We thank Sofia Ganzha, MD, (Amgen Inc.) for critical assistance with the safety analyses. We thank Faraz Zaman, MD (Amgen Inc.), for assistance with the development of the manuscript. Medical writing and editorial support were provided by Swapnil Kher, PhD, CMPP (Cactus Life Sciences, part of Cactus Communications) funded by Amgen Inc., and Kevin Doty, PhD (Amgen Inc.). Graphics support was provided by Robert Dawson of Cactus Communications and funded by Amgen Inc.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was funded by Amgen.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

EJ reports research grants from Pfizer, Takeda, Amgen, AbbVie, Novartis, Astex, Adaptive Biotechnologies, and Ascent AG and consultancy to Pfizer, Takeda, Amgen, AbbvVie, BMS, Novartis, Genentech, Adaptive Biotechnologies, and Ascentage. GZ is employed by Amgen and holds stock and reports issue of patents (20190300609, 20130323247, and 20110262440), and has patents pending (10696744, 10662243, 20190142846, 20190142846, 20170327581, 10130638, 9688760, 20170122947, 9486475, 20160208001, 9192665, 20150071928, 8840888, 20140228316, 20140227272, 20130287778, and 20130287774). PM reports honoraria from Pfizer, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and Incyte and support for attending meetings from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, AbbVie, Pfizer, and BMS. JJRR reports honoraria from Amgen for an online lecture at "Escuela de Leucemia" in Mexico: support from Amgen to attend ALL Assembly (Madrid 2023); RDC reports grant funding to his institution and honoraria for the current study from Amgen, grants from Kite/Gilead, Incyte, Pfizer, Merck, Servier, and Vanda Pharmaceuticals; consulting fees from Pfizer, Kite/Gilead, and Jazz; honoraria from Pfizer and Kite/Gilead; support for attending meetings from Pfizer; participation on advisory board for Autolus and Pepro-Mene Bio: and stock options from Seagen. BB reports consulting fees from Pfizer and Amgen; honoraria from Gilead, Pfizer, and Amgen; and support for attending meetings from Gilead, Amgen, and Novartis. AR reports honoraria from BMS and Servier. MA-H reports honoraria from Jazz, Servier, and Takeda and participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Kite, Rigel, Daiichi, Incvte, and Takeda, FH reports honoraria from Amgen, Incyte, Novartis, Pfizer, Clinigen, Servier, and Amgen and support for attending meetings from Amgen, Novartis, and Incyte. TC reports consulting fees from Celgene/BMS, AbbVie, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Servier, and Novartis; honoraria from Novartis, Syros, Celgene/BMS, Takeda, Servier, and Keros; support for attending meetings from Pfizer, Celgene/BMS, Novartis, AbbVie, and Gilead; and participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Novartis and Celgene/BMS. MTD reports honoraria from AbbVie, Gilead, Pfizer, Astellas, and BMS, support for attending meetings from Jannsen, AbbVie, and Jazz, and participation on Data Safety Monitoring Board for SOBI. AR reports honoraria from Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, Astellas, Jazz, Jannsen, Incyte, Kite-Gilead, Roche, and Omeros; support for attending meetings from Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, Astellas, Jazz, Janssen, Incyte, Kite-Gilead, Roche, and Omeros; and participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, Astellas, Jazz, Janssen, Incyte, Kite-Gilead, Roche, and Omeros. SS reports honoraria from CSi Hamburg and Amgen; support for attending meetings from Amgen; and participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Amgen and Pfizer. JMH-R reports grants from Celgene, Novartis, and Pfizer; consulting fees from GSK; honoraria from Amgen, Novartis, Pfizer, and BMS; payment for expert testimony from Novartis, Pfizer, Amgen, and BMS; and support for attending meetings from Pfizer and BeiGene. MB reports support for this article

from Amgen as part of contract for Reference Diagnostics; grants from Affirmed, Regeneron, and Amgen; consulting fees from Amgen, honoraria from Amgen, Becton Dickinson, Janssen, and Pfizer; support for attending meetings from Janssen, participation on Data Safety Monitoring Board for Incyte and Amgen, and leadership or fiduciary role for EuroMRD and EuroFlow. HK reports grants from AbbVie, Amgen, Ascentage, BMS, Daiichi-Sankyo, Immunogen, Jazz, and Novartis; and honoraria from AbbVie, Amgen, Amphista, Ascentage, Astellas, Biologix, Curis, Ipsen Biopharmaceuticals, KAHR Medical, LabCorp, Novartis, Pfizer, Shenzhen TargetRx, Stemline, and Takeda. PRG, AH, YC, HLW, BP, YK, and AM are employed by Amgen and own Amgen stock. VA, VV, JG-C, and CB have nothing to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Qualified researchers may request data from Amgen clinical studies. Complete details are available at https://www.amgen.com/ datasharing. The study protocol and statistical analysis plan are included as Supplementary Materials.

PATIENT CONSENT

All patients provided written informed consent.

ORCID

Elias Jabbour ⁽¹⁾ https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4465-6119 Vaibhav Agrawal ⁽¹⁾ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6383-3777 Ryan D. Cassaday ⁽¹⁾ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3424-2425 Jesús María Hernández-Rivas ⁽¹⁾ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9661-9371

Hagop Kantarjian D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1908-3307

REFERENCES

- Jabbour E, Short NJ, Jain N, et al. The evolution of acute lymphoblastic leukemia research and therapy at MD Anderson over four decades. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2023;16:22.
- Kantarjian H, Stein A, Gökbuget N, et al. Blinatumomab versus chemotherapy for advanced acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2017;376:836-847.
- Kantarjian HM, DeAngelo DJ, Stelljes M, et al. Inotuzumab ozogamicin versus standard therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:740-753.
- Gökbuget N, Hoelzer D. Salvage therapy of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. In: Faderl S, Kantarjian H, eds. *Leukemias: Principles and Practice of Therapy*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2010:217-227.
- Bargou R, Leo E, Zugmaier G, et al. Tumor regression in cancer patients by very low doses of a T cell-engaging antibody. *Science*. 2008;321:974-977.
- Brown PA, Shah B, Advani A, et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, version 2.2021, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2021;19:1079-1109.
- Topp MS, Gökbuget N, Zugmaier G, et al. Phase II trial of the anti-CD19 bispecific T cell-engager blinatumomab shows hematologic and molecular remissions in patients with relapsed or refractory B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32: 4134-4140.
- Topp MS, Gökbuget N, Stein AS, et al. Safety and activity of blinatumomab for adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16:57-66.

 Martínez Sánchez P, Gordon P, Schwartz S, et al. Safety and efficacy of subcutaneous (SC) blinatumomab for the treatment of adults with relapsed or refractory B cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (R/R B-ALL). *Blood*. 2021;138:2303.

AJH_WILEY

- Martínez Sánchez P, Zugmaier G, Gordon P, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of subcutaneous blinatumomab (SC blinatumomab) for the treatment of adults with relapsed or refractory B cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (R/R B-ALL); results from a phase 1b study. *Blood.* 2022;140(suppl 1):6122-6124.
- Food and Drug Administration. Project Optimus: reforming the dose optimization and dose selection paradigm in oncology. Accessed October 30, 2023. https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/oncology-centerexcellence/project-optimus
- Kotrova M, Darzentas N, Pott C, Brüggemann M. Next-generation sequencing technology to identify minimal residual disease in lymphoid malignancies. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2021;2185:95-111.
- Brüggemann M, Schrauder A, Raff T, et al. Standardized MRD quantification in European ALL trials: proceedings of the Second International Symposium on MRD assessment in Kiel, Germany, 18–20 September 2008. *Leukemia*. 2010;24:521-535.
- Amgen Inc. Prescribing information. BLINCYTO[®] (blinatumomab) for injection, for intravenous use. Accessed October 30, 2023. https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/125557s023s026lbl.pdf
- 15. Wong H, Chandra F, Zugmaier G, et al. Encouraging Pharmacokinetic (PK)/Pharmacodynamic (PD) Results of Subcutaneous (SC) Blinatumomab in Relapsed or Refractory (R/R) Indolent Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma (I-NHL) and R/R Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) Patients (Pts) Indicate that Patient Convenient SC Dosing Is a Viable Alternative to Continuous Intravenous Infusion (cIV) Dosing, Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 2022. WileyHoboken, NJ; 2022:S79.
- Gökbuget N, Dombret H, Bonifacio M, et al. Blinatumomab for minimal residual disease in adults with B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood.* 2018;131:1522-1531.
- von Stackelberg A, Locatelli F, Zugmaier G, et al. Phase I/phase II study of blinatumomab in pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34:4381-4389.
- DuVall AS, Sheade J, Anderson D, Yates SJ, Stock W. Updates in the management of relapsed and refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an urgent plea for new treatments is being answered! JCO Oncol Pract. 2022;18:479-487.
- Shah BD, Ghobadi A, Oluwole OO, et al. KTE-X19 for relapsed or refractory adult B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: phase 2 results of the single-arm, open-label, multicentre ZUMA-3 study. *Lancet*. 2021;398:491-502.
- Kopmar NE, Quach K, Gooley T, et al. A phase I trial of dose-adjusted EPOCH plus inotuzumab ozogamicin (InO) in adults with relapsed/refractory (R/R) B lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (B-ALL). J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(16_suppl):7007.
- 21. Kantarjian H, Haddad FG, Jain N, et al. Results of salvage therapy with mini-hyper-CVD and inotuzumab ozogamicin with or without blinatumomab in pre-B acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2023;16:44.
- 22. Badar T, Szabo A, Advani A, et al. Real-world outcomes of adult B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia patients treated with blinatumomab. *Blood Adv.* 2020;4:2308-2316.
- Rossi G, Prince HM, Tam CS, et al. A phase 1b study of blinatumomab including subcutaneous administration in relapsed/refractory (R/R) indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL). *Blood.* 2021;138(suppl 1): 2436.
- 24. Dombret H, Topp MS, Schuh AC, et al. Blinatumomab versus chemotherapy in first salvage or in later salvage for B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2019;60:2214-2222.
- 25. Topp MS, Stein AS, Gökbuget N, et al. Blinatumomab as first salvage versus second or later salvage in adults with relapsed/refractory

¹⁰ WILEY AJH

B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of a pooled analysis. *Cancer Med.* 2021;10:2601-2610.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article. How to cite this article: Jabbour E, Zugmaier G, Agrawal V, et al. Single agent subcutaneous blinatumomab for advanced acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Am J Hematol*. 2024;1-10. doi:10.1002/ajh.27227