Some differential properties of GL_n(R) with the trace metric

Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, Vol. **6** (2015), 267-286

ALBERTO DOLCETTI and DONATO PERTICI

Some differential properties of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ with the trace metric

Abstract. In this note we consider some properties of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ with the Semi-Riemannian structure induced by the trace metric g. In particular we study geodesics and curvature tensors. Moreover we prove that GL_n has a suitable foliation, whose leaves are isometric to $(SL_n(\mathbb{R}), g)$, while its component of matrices with positive determinant is isometric to the Semi-Riemannian product manifold $SL_n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Keywords. Trace metric, Semi-Riemannian manifolds, geodesics, curvature tensors, nonsingular (special) matrices, exponential and logarithm of real matrices.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 53C50, 53C22, 15A16.

Introduction

The so-called trace metric g: $g_A(V,W) = tr(A^{-1}VA^{-1}W)$ for any $A \in GL_n$ and any $V, W \in T_A(GL_n)$ (tr indicates the trace of a matrix) induces a Semi-Riemannian structure on $GL_n = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. The metric g is often studied in the context of positive definite real matrices on which it defines a structure of Riemannian manifold. The geometry of the Riemannian manifold of positive definite real matrices has recently been object of interest in different frameworks. We refer the reader for instance to [**9**] Ch.XII, [**10**], [**1**], [**3**] §2, [**2**] Ch.6, [**11**] for more details and further information on this subject. In particular geodesic arcs between two positive definite matrices have

Received: October 24, 2014; accepted in revised form: February 3, 2015.

This research was partially supported by MIUR-PRIN: "Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica" and by GNSAGA-INdAM.

been studied in details, because their middle point is their expected geometric mean. Of course existence, uniqueness and explicit descriptions of geodesics have a fundamental role in this setting and are reached in many ways: for instance as consequences of an exponential increasing metric property (see for instance [**3**], [**2**] Ch. 6) or as solutions of the second-order differential equation $\ddot{P} - \dot{P}P^{-1}\dot{P} = 0$ with certain initial data (see [**11**] Sec. 3.5).

In this note we generalize some arguments used in the second approach and prove that (GL_n, g) is Semi-Riemannian with signature $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$ (Proposition 1.1), whose connected components, (GL_n^+, g) and (GL_n^-, g), are symmetric manifolds (Proposition 1.2).

The characterization of geodesics of (GL_n, g) is in Theorem 2.1, where we also describe the Levi-Civita connection. As in the case of positive definite matrices of [**11**], the geodesics are solutions of the previous differential equation. Moreover geodesic arcs between two points K_0 and K_1 of GL_n correspond to real solutions of the exponential matricial equation $exp(X) = K_0^{-1}K_1$ (Corollary 2.2) and so we are able to translate the existence of these geodesic arcs in Theorem 2.2 in terms of Jordan form of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ by means of [**4**]. In particular any two points of GL_n can be always joined by a geodesic arc or by a singly broken geodesic arc (Proposition 2.2). When the geodesic arc is unique, we give its explicit expression (Proposition 2.1). By the way we observe that the Levi-Civita connection of (GL_n, g) is the Cartan-Schouten (0)-connection of GL_n (Corollary 2.1).

Afterwards we compute the Riemann curvature tensors of type $(1, 3)$ and of type $(0, 4)$ and the sectional curvature (Proposition 3.1) and also the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature of (GL_n, g) (Proposition 3.2).

Finally we focus our attention on $SL_n(\mathbb{R}) = SL_n$, where the metric g sets up a structure of Einstein, symmetric, totally geodesic, Semi-Riemannian submanifold of (GL_n, g) (Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3) and we show that GL_n has a foliation, whose leaves are Einstein, symmetric, geodesically complete, totally geodesic, Semi-Riemannian submanifolds and isometric to (SL_n, g) (Theorem 4.1) and furthermore we show that its component of matrices with positive determinant is isometric to the Semi-Riemannian product manifold $(SL_n \times \mathbb{R}, g \times h)$ where h is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}$ (Theorem 4.2).

Similar situations seem to appear even in case of some particular submanifolds of (GL_n, g). These are the subject of further works currently in preparation.

We refer to [**12**] for all standard facts on Semi-Riemannian manifolds and in particular for notions and notations not explicitally recalled here and also to [**6**] for Riemannian symmetric spaces, while we refer to [**7**] and to [**8**] for standard facts about matrices and exponential function.

1 - The Semi-Riemannian manifold $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$

Definition 1.1. A Semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a smooth real manifold M endowed with a metric tensor g, i.e. a symmetric nondegenerate $(0,2)$ tensor field g of constant signature.

Remark 1.1. If g is supposed to be only a symmetric C^∞-tensor of type $(0,2)$ on M and M is supposed to be homogeneous, i.e. for every $p_1, p_2 \in M$ there is a diffeomorphism $F : M \to M$ with $F(p_1) = p_2$ and preserving g, then g is nondegenerate (i.e. it is a Semi-Riemannian metric) if and only if it is so at one point.

Notations 1.1. We denote by $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $GL_n = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ respectively the vector space of real square matrices of order n and the multiplicative group of nondegenerate matrices in M_n. GL_n is a Lie group of dimension n^2 with two connected components, depending on their determinant: GL_n^+ and GL_n^-. M_n is the Lie algebra of GL_n and the tangent space of GL_n at $A \in GL_n$ is $T_A(GL_n) = M_n$. SL_n is the connected Lie subgroup of GL_n of matrices with determinant 1 and we put $SL_n(c) = \{M \in GL_n \ / \det(M) = c\}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

S_n and A_n are the vector subspaces of M_n of symmetric and skew symmetric matrices respectively.

As usual $I = I_n$ is the identity matrix, $[A, B] = AB - BA$ for any $A, B \in M_n$ and also $[X, Y] = X \circ Y - Y \circ X$ for any X, Y vector fields on GL_n.

We define a C^∞-tensor g of type $(0,2)$ on GL_n, by $g_A(V, W) = tr(A^{-1}VA^{-1}W)$ (tr indicates the trace of a matrix). This tensor induces a metric, called also trace metric, often considered in the context of positive definite real matrices on which it defines a structure of Riemannian manifold (see for instance [**9**] Ch.XII, [**3**] §2, [**2**] Ch.6, [**11**] §3).

From now on, g will indicate this tensor.

Proposition 1.1. *(GL_n, g) is a homogeneous Semi-Riemannian manifold with signature* $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let us consider the left translation $L_G : GL_n \to GL_n, X \mapsto GX$ and the right translation $R_G : GL_n \to GL_n, X \mapsto XG$ and prove that both preserve the tensor g for every $G \in GL_n$.

Indeed L_G and R_G are both linear, hence $(DL_G)_A = L_G$ and $(DR_G)_A = R_G$ at each point $A \in GL_n$. Therefore for any $A \in GL_n$ and any $V, W \in M_n$ we have

$$g_{L_G(A)}((DL_G)_A(V), (DL_G)_A(W)) = g_{GA}(GV, GW)$$
$$= tr((GA)^{-1}GV(GA)^{-1}GW) = tr(A^{-1}VA^{-1}W) = g_A(V, W)$$

and analogously

$$g_{R_G(A)}((DR_G)_A(V), (DR_G)_A(W)) = g_A(V, W).$$

The invariance of g under left and right translations implies that both translations are isometries. This allows to deduce that (GL_n, g) is a homogeneous manifold: if A, B are in GL_n, then, for instance, the left translation $L_{BA^{-1}}$ preserves the tensor g and maps A to B. Hence to conclude, by Remark 1.1, it is sufficient to argue for the single point $I = I_n$.

First we note that $g_I : M_n \times M_n \to \mathbb{R}$ is obviously a symmetric bilinear form.

Now let V be a matrix such that $g_I(V, W) = tr(VW) = 0$ for every matrix $W \in M_n$. For $W = V^T$ (the transpose of V) we get $tr(VV^T) = 0$, this suffices to get $V = 0$, so g_I is nondegenerate.

For any $S \in S_n$ and any $A \in A_n$ we have $g_I(S, A) = 0$. Indeed $g_I(S, A) = tr(SA) = tr((SA)^T) = tr(A^T S^T) = -tr(AS) = -tr(SA) = -g_I(S, A)$. Moreover it is easy to check that $g_I(S, S) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $S = 0$ and that $g_I(A, A) \leq 0$ with equality if and only if $A = 0$. This gives that the restriction $g_I|_{S_n \times S_n}$ is positive definite, that the restriction $g_I|_{A_n \times A_n}$ is negative definite and that S_n and A_n are orthogonal with respect to g_I. Now $M_n = S_n \oplus A_n$, hence it follows that the index of positivity of g_I is $\dfrac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (the dimension of S_n) and its index of negativity is $\dfrac{n(n-1)}{2}$ (the dimension of A_n), so the signature of g_I is $\left(\dfrac{n(n+1)}{2}, \dfrac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$. □

Remark 1.2. As both left and right translations are isometries of (GL_n, g), so all their compositions are; in particular: the opposite $X \mapsto -X = L_{-I_n}(X) = R_{-I_n}(X)$, the conjugacies C_G: $C_G(X) = G^{-1}XG$ and the congruences Γ_G: $\Gamma_G(X) = G^T XG$ $(G \in GL_n)$.

Now let us denote by $\varphi : GL_n \to GL_n$ the inversion map, i.e. $\varphi(A) = A^{-1}$; φ is a diffeomorphism of GL_n onto itself with $\varphi^2 = Id_{GL_n}$ and differential $(D\varphi)_A(V) = -A^{-1}VA^{-1}$ for any $A \in GL_n$ and any $V \in M_n$. Therefore we have

$$g_{\varphi(A)}((D\varphi)_A(V), (D\varphi)_A(W)) = g_{A^{-1}}(-A^{-1}VA^{-1}, -A^{-1}WA^{-1})$$
$$= tr(A(-A^{-1}VA^{-1})A(-A^{-1}WA^{-1})) = tr(VA^{-1}WA^{-1}) = g_A(V, W).$$

Hence also φ is an isometry of (GL_n, g).

Let us denote by $\tau : GL_n \to GL_n$ the transposition map, i.e. $\tau(A) = A^T$; also τ is a diffeomorphism of GL_n onto itself with $\tau^2 = Id_{GL_n}$ and it is an isometry. Indeed its differential is τ itself being linear and, after denoting by $A^{-T} = (A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$, we have

$$g_{\tau(A)}((D\tau)_A(V), (D\tau)_A(W)) = tr(A^{-T}V^TA^{-T}W^T) = tr(WA^{-1}VA^{-1}) = g_A(V,W).$$

Note that the symmetric nondegenerate matrices are the fixed points of the isometry τ on GL_n.

Finally we recall that a Semi-Riemannian (globally) symmetric space is a connected Semi-Riemannian manifold M such that for each $p \in M$ there is a (unique) isometry $\zeta_p : M \to M$ with differential map $-id$ on T_pM and fixing p.

Proposition 1.2. (1) *Among the isometries of the Semi-Riemannian manifold (GL_n, g) there are the left translations L_G, and the right translations R_G, the conjugacies C_G, the congruences Γ_G ($G \in GL_n$), the opposite map, the inversion φ, the transposition τ and all their compositions.*

(2) *Both (GL_n^+, g) and (GL_n^-, g) are symmetric manifolds and for any $A \in GL_n^+$ (or in GL_n^-) the symmetry with respect to A is $\psi_A = R_A \circ L_A \circ \varphi = L_A \circ R_A \circ \varphi$. In particular $\psi_{I_n} = \varphi$.*

Proof. Part (1) has been proved in the previous remark. To prove (2), we note that for every $A \in GL_n^+$ (or in GL_n^-), ψ_A is an isometry of (M_n, g). We have $\psi_A(X) = AX^{-1}A$, therefore $\psi_A(A) = A$ and $(D\psi_A)_A = R_A \circ L_A \circ D\varphi_A$, hence $(D\psi_A)_A(W) = (R_A \circ L_A)(-A^{-1}WA^{-1}) = -W$, so $(D\psi_A)_A = -id_{T_A(GL_n)}$. □

2 - Geodesics in (GL_n, g)

Notations 2.1. Let $P = (p^{ij}) \in GL_n$, where p^{ij} indicates the (i,j)-entry of P. We denote by $\{E_{ij}\}$, $1 \le i,j \le n$, the standard basis of M_n, where $E_{ij} \in M_n$ is the matrix whose entries are 0 except for the (i,j)-entry which is 1. After reordering, $\{E_{ij}\}$ can be rewritten as $\{E_\alpha\}$, $1 \le \alpha \le n^2$, just following the columns one after another. Hence we can write $P = \sum_\alpha p^\alpha E_\alpha$ with $p^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The p^α, $1 \le \alpha \le n^2$ are natural coordinates on the whole GL_n and $(p^1, \cdots, p^{n^2})$ runs over an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. M_n is the tangent space to GL_n at each point, hence we can identify E_α with $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha}$ for any $\alpha = 1, \cdots, n^2$.

Now if $X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha E_\alpha$, $Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} Y^\alpha E_\alpha$ are tangent vector fields of class C^∞ on GL_n, we can define a new tangent vector field of class C^∞ on GL_n: the Euclidean

derivative of the field Y along the field X (indicated by $X(Y)$), by setting $X(Y) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha \frac{\partial Y^\beta}{\partial p^\alpha} E_\beta$.

Theorem 2.1. (1) *Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of (GL_n, g). If X and Y are tangent vector fields of class C^∞ on GL_n, then*

$$(\nabla_X Y)_P = (X(Y))_P - \frac{1}{2}(X_P P^{-1} Y_P + Y_P P^{-1} X_P)$$

for any $P \in GL_n$, where $X(Y)$ is the Euclidean derivative of Y with respect to X.

(2) *Let $P = P(t)$ be a C^∞-curve on (GL_n, g), then P is a geodesic if and only if*

$$\nabla_{\dot P} \dot P = \ddot P - \dot P P^{-1} \dot P = 0$$

where $\dot P$ and $\ddot P$ are the first and the second derivative of P with respect to t.

(3) *The geodesics of (GL_n, g) are precisely the curves of the type*

$$P(t) = Ke^{tC}$$

for any $C \in M_n$ and any $K \in GL_n$.

(4) *(GL_n, g) is a geodesically complete Semi-Riemannian manifold.*

Proof. In this proof we generalize the arguments developed by [**11**] §3, in case of positive definite matrices.

We indicate by $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}(p^1, \cdots, p^{n^2}) = g_P(E_\alpha, E_\beta)$ the components of the metric tensor g with respect to the Euclidean coordinates $(p^1, \cdots, p^{n^2})$ and by $g^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}(p^1, \cdots, p^{n^2})$ the entries of the inverse of the matrix $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ which is invertible at any point, because the metric g is nondegenerate on GL_n. Hence we have: $\sum_{\beta=1}^{n^2} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$ (Kronecker symbol).

Now let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection associated to the Semi-Riemannian metric g. To simplify the notations we omit the index P. We have: $\nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n^2} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma E_\gamma$, where the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ can be expressed as $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \sum_{\delta=1}^{n^2} \frac{g^{\gamma\delta}}{2}(g_{\alpha\delta,\beta} + g_{\beta\delta,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta,\delta})$ with $g_{\alpha\beta,\delta} = \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial p^\delta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \{1, \cdots, n^2\}$.

Claim 1. For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, \cdots, n^2\}$ we have

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta=1}^{n^2} g^{\gamma\delta} \{tr(P^{-1} E_\alpha P^{-1} E_\beta P^{-1} E_\delta) + tr(P^{-1} E_\beta P^{-1} E_\alpha P^{-1} E_\delta)\}.$$

Indeed, remembering that $\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\delta}(P^{-1}) = -P^{-1} E_\delta P^{-1}$, standard computations show that

$$g_{\alpha\beta,\delta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\delta}(tr(P^{-1} E_\alpha P^{-1} E_\beta)) = -tr(P^{-1} E_\alpha P^{-1} E_\beta P^{-1} E_\delta) - tr(P^{-1} E_\beta P^{-1} E_\alpha P^{-1} E_\delta).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\delta=1}^{n^2} \frac{g^{\gamma\delta}}{2}\{&- tr(P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\delta P^{-1}E_\beta) - tr(P^{-1}E_\delta P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\beta)\\ &- tr(P^{-1}E_\delta P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\alpha) - tr(P^{-1}E_\beta\ P^{-1}E_\delta P^{-1}E_\alpha)\\ &+ tr(P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\delta) + tr(P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\delta)\}\\ = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\delta=1}^{n^2} g^{\gamma\delta}\{&tr(P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\delta) + tr(P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\delta)\}\end{aligned}$$

as predicted.

An elementary computation of linear algebra allows us to get also

Claim 2. Let $V = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} V^\alpha E_\alpha$ be a vector field on GL_n. Then for any $\alpha = 1, \cdots, n^2$ we have $V^\alpha = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n^2} g^{\alpha\beta} g(V, E_\beta)$ and so $V = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n^2} g^{\alpha\beta} g(V, E_\beta) E_\alpha$.

Now let $X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha E_\alpha$, $Y = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n^2} Y^\beta E_\beta$ be as in (1). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X Y &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha \nabla_{E_\alpha}(Y^\beta E_\beta)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha \frac{\partial Y^\beta}{\partial p^\alpha} E_\beta + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha Y^\beta \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta = X(Y) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha Y^\beta \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} E_\gamma\end{aligned}$$

which by Claim 1 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&X(Y) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha Y^\beta g^{\gamma\delta}\{tr(P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\delta)\\ &\qquad\qquad + tr(P^{-1}E_\beta P^{-1}E_\alpha P^{-1}E_\delta)\}E_\gamma\\ &= X(Y) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma,\delta=1}^{n^2} g^{\gamma\delta}\{tr(P^{-1}XP^{-1}YP^{-1}E_\delta) + tr(P^{-1}YP^{-1}XP^{-1}E_\delta)\}E_\gamma\\ &= X(Y) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma,\delta=1}^{n^2} g^{\gamma\delta}\{tr(P^{-1}(XP^{-1}Y + YP^{-1}X)P^{-1}E_\delta)\}E_\gamma\\ &= X(Y) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma,\delta=1}^{n^2} g^{\gamma\delta} g_P(XP^{-1}Y + YP^{-1}X, E_\delta)E_\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

This is $X(Y) - \frac{1}{2}(XP^{-1}Y + YP^{-1}X)$ by Claim 2; this concludes (1).

Now (2) follows from (1), because the Euclidean derivative of $\dot{P}$ with respect to $\dot{P}$ is $\ddot{P}$.

From $\ddot{P} - \dot{P}P^{-1}\dot{P} = 0$ we get $P^{-1}\ddot{P} - P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}\dot{P} = 0$, hence, remembering that $\frac{d}{dt}(P^{-1}) = -P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$, we get $\frac{d}{dt}(P^{-1}\dot{P}) = 0$, so $P^{-1}\dot{P}$ is a constant, say C. Then $\dot{P} = PC$, so $\dot{P}e^{-tC} - PCe^{-tC} = 0$. For any constant matrix X we have $\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX}) = Xe^{tX} = e^{tX}X$, so we deduce that $\frac{d}{dt}(Pe^{-tC}) = 0$, hence $P(t)e^{-tC}$ is a constant, say K, with $det(K) \neq 0$ and in conclusion $P(t) = Ke^{tC}$, as predicted in (3). Finally we get (4), because any maximal geodesic is clearly defined on the entire real line. □

Remark 2.1. As in Notations 2.1, let $X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha(p)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha}$ be a C^∞-vector field on GL_n and let us denote by $P = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} p^\alpha E_\alpha$ (it can be also viewed as a C^∞-vector field on GL_n), then we have: $X(P) = X$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{GL}_n$ the Lie algebra of GL_n.

Let $X_0 \in T_{I_n}(GL_n) = M_n$. The unique left-invariant vector field $X \in \mathcal{GL}_n$, assuming the value X_0 at the identity, is the field X defined by $X_P = PX_0$ for any $P \in GL_n$. Then we get: $[X, Y]_P = P(X_0Y_0 - Y_0X_0)$ for any $P \in GL_n$, where $X, Y \in \mathcal{GL}_n$ are such that $X_{I_n} = X_0$, $Y_{I_n} = Y_0$.

If $X, Y \in \mathcal{GL}_n$ are such that $X_{I_n} = X_0$, $Y_{I_n} = Y_0$, then $(X(Y))_P = PX_0Y_0$ for any $P \in GL_n$.

Indeed, taking into account the previous facts, we have: $(X(Y))_P = PX_0(P)Y_0 = PX_0Y_0$.

Now by these facts and by Theorem 2.1, if ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of (GL_n, g), we can get: $(\nabla_X Y)_P = (X(Y))_P - \frac{1}{2}(X_P P^{-1}Y_P + Y_P P^{-1}X_P) = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]_P$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{GL}_n$ and any $P \in GL_n$. This allows to state the following

Corollary 2.1. *Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of (GL_n, g). Then*

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] \in \mathcal{GL}_n$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{GL}_n$.

Hence ∇ is the Cartan-Schouten (0)-connection of GL_n (see [6] p. 148 *and* pp. 549-550*).*

Corollary 2.2. (1) *The curve $P(t) = Ke^{tC}$, $C \in M_n$ and $K \in GL_n$, is the unique geodesic of (GL_n, g) emaning from K with velocity KC at $t = 0$ and vice versa the unique geodesic of (GL_n, g) emaning from $K \in GL_n$ with velocity $S \in M_n$ at $t = 0$ is $P(t) = K\,exp(tK^{-1}S)$.*

(2) *Let $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$; a geodesic arc joining K_0 and K_1 in GL_n is any geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to GL_n$ such that $\gamma(0) = K_0$, $\gamma(1) = K_1$. Then there exists a geodesic arc in (GL_n, g) joining K_0, K_1 if and only if the exponential equation $exp(X) = K_0^{-1}K_1$ has a real solution C, moreover the real solutions correspond bijectively to the geodesics of (GL_n, g) starting from K_0 at $t = 0$ and passing through K_1 at $t = 1$.*

(3) *If K is a positive definite symmetric real matrix and $K^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes its unique positive definite square root matrix and if $S \in S_n$, then the unique geodesic emaning from K with velocity S at $t = 0$ is $P(t) = K^{\frac{1}{2}} exp(tK^{-\frac{1}{2}}SK^{-\frac{1}{2}})K^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (see for instance* [**11**] thm. 3.5).

Proof. The first part of (1) follows by remarking that $P(0) = K$ and $\dot{P}(0) = KC$ is the velocity at $t = 0$.

If $K \in GL_n$ and $S \in M_n$ the unique geodesic emaning from K with velocity S (for existence and uniqueness, remember for instance [**12**] p. 68 lemma 22) can be only the above curve: this completes (1).

To prove (2), assume that such a geodesic arc, $P(t)$, exists. By Theorem 2.1, $P(t) = K_0\, exp(tC)$ for some $C \in M_n$ and so $K_1 = P(1) = K_0\, exp(C)$. Hence we can conclude that $exp(C) = K_0^{-1}K_1$. For the converse suppose that C is a real matrix with $exp(C) = K_0^{-1}K_1$. By part (3) of Theorem 2.1, the curve $P(t) = K_0\, exp(tC)$ (the unique geodesic emaning from K_0 with velocity K_0C at $t = 0$) passes through K_1 too, because $P(1) = K_0\, exp(C) = K_0K_0^{-1}K_1 = K_1$. We conclude that distinct solutions C, C' of the previous exponential equation correspond to distinct geodesic arcs with prescribed endpoints: indeed the corresponding geodesic arcs have in K_0 velocities K_0C and K_0C' which must be distinct, otherwise $C = C'$.

Finally by means of standard properties of *exp* we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) &= K\, exp(tK^{-1}S) \\ &= K^{\frac{1}{2}}K^{\frac{1}{2}}\, exp(tK^{-\frac{1}{2}}K^{-\frac{1}{2}}SK^{-\frac{1}{2}}K^{\frac{1}{2}}) = K^{\frac{1}{2}}\, exp(tK^{-\frac{1}{2}}SK^{-\frac{1}{2}})K^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.2. (1) *Let $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$. Then there exists a geodesic arc of (GL_n, g) joining K_0, K_1 if and only if each elementary divisor (Jordan block) of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ belonging to any (possible) negative eigenvalue occurs an even number of times; moreover the geodesic arc is unique if and only if all the eigenvalues of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ are positive real and no elementary divisor (Jordan block) of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ belonging to any eigenvalue appears more than once.*

(2) *Assume that there is more of one geodesic arc of (GL_n, g) joining K_0, K_1. Then there exists an infinity of such geodesic arcs, which are*

(a) *countable if $K_0^{-1}K_1$ has complex eigenvalues none of which belongs to more than one Jordan block and all (possible) real eigenvalues of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ are positive such that their Jordan blocks appear only once;*

(b) *uncountable (more precisely a continuous) if $K_0^{-1}K_1$ has some negative real eigenvalue, or if it has some positive real eigenvalues belonging to Jordan blocks that appear more than once, or it has some complex conjugate eigenvalues belonging to more than one Jordan block.*

Proof. The point (2) of Corollary 2.2 translates the existence of geodesic arcs in (GL_n, g), joining K_0 and K_1, into the existence of real solutions of the exponential equation $exp(X) = K_0^{-1}K_1$. The study of the equation $exp(X) = M$, $M \in M_n$ has been accomplished by W. J. Culver in [4] and it depends on Jordan form of $K_0^{-1}K_1$. So (1) translates the existence of a real solution of the previous exponential equation ([4] thm. 1) and characterizes its uniqueness ([4] thm. 2), while (2) describes the cases of its nonuniqueness ([4] cor.). □

Remark 2.2. The condition in (1) of Theorem 2.2 implies $\det(K_0^{-1}K_1) > 0$. The positivity of this determinant is equivalent to say that K_0, K_1 belong both to GL_n^+ or to GL_n^-, which is of course obvious for the existence of a geodesic arc between them. Then the point (1) of the previous theorem points out that this fact is only necessary, but not sufficient, for the existence of a geodesic arc between K_0 and K_1.

When $K_0 = I_n$, then $K_0^{-1}K_1 = K_1$. Hence Jordan form of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ is nothing but Jordan form of K_1. Note that we can always reduce to this case, because there are some isometries (for instance the left translation $L_{K_0^{-1}}$) mapping K_0 to I_n.

The next corollaries follow directly from Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$ and assume that $K_0^{-1}K_1$ is similar to a diagonal real matrix $diag(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$.*

There exists a geodesic arc in (GL_n, g) joining K_0 and K_1 if and only if any (possible) negative λ_i appears an even number of times.

There is a unique geodesic arc in (GL_n, g) joining K_0 and K_1 if and only if $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ are positive and distinct.

Assume that there is more than one geodesic arc in (GL_n, g) joining K_0 and K_1, then there exists a continuous of such geodesic arcs and there is a negative λ_i (which appears an even number of times) or there is a positive λ_j which appears more than one time.

Corollary 2.4. *Let K_0, K_1 be matrices both either in GL_n^+ or in GL_n^- (so $K_0^{-1}K_1 \in GL_n^+$).*

Case $n = 2$.

There exists a unique geodesic arc joining K_0, K_1 if and only if all eigenvalues of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ are real positive and K_0, K_1 are linearly independent matrices.

There are countably many geodesic arcs joining K_0, K_1 if and only if the eigenvalues of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ are not real.

There is an uncountable family of geodesic arcs joining K_0, K_1 if and only if they are linearly dependent matrices.

In any other case there is no geodesic arc joining K_0, K_1.

Case $n = 3$.

There exists a unique geodesic arc joining K_0, K_1 if and only if either all eigenvalues of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ are real positive and distinct or they are real positive and $K_0^{-1}K_1$ is not diagonalizable.

There are countably many geodesic arcs joining K_0, K_1 if and only if $K_0^{-1}K_1$ has a positive eigenvalue and the others are not real.

There is an uncountable family of geodesic arcs joining K_0, K_1 if and only if $K_0^{-1}K_1$ is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{R}$ and at least two eigenvalues are equal.

In any other case there is no geodesic arc joining K_0, K_1.

Remark 2.3. Assume now that $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$ and that there is a unique geodesic arc joining them (remember Theorem 2.2). We want to write down explicitally this geodesic arc.

Let $J_k(\lambda) = \lambda I_k + N_k$ be the Jordan block of order k and eigenvalue λ with N_k the upper-triangular matrix whose entry (i,j) is $\delta_{i+1,j}$. Standard computations (for instance on formal series of matrices) show that, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, the unique real logarithm matrix of $J_k(\lambda)$ (i.e. the unique real solution of $exp(Y) = J_k(\lambda)$) is

$$Y = LOG(J_k(\lambda)) = (log\,\lambda)I_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i\ \lambda^i} N_k^i$$

where $log\,\lambda$ is the real natural logarithm of λ.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$exp(tLOG(J_k(\lambda))) = \lambda^t \left(I_k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \binom{t}{s} \frac{N_k^s}{\lambda^s} \right)$$

where

$$\binom{t}{s} = \frac{t(t-1)\cdots(t-s+1)}{s!}$$

and we set

$$J_k(\lambda)^t = (\lambda I_k + N_k)^t = \lambda^t \left(I_k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \binom{t}{s} \frac{N_k^s}{\lambda^s} \right).$$

Now let $X \in GL_n$ be a matrix such that $X = C^{-1}diag(J_{k_1}(\lambda_1), \cdots, J_{k_p}(\lambda_p))C$, with $C \in GL_n$, $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p > 0$ and $(\lambda_i, k_i) \neq (\lambda_j, k_j)$ as soon as $i \neq j$. Then the unique real logarithm of X can be written as

$$LOG(X) = C^{-1}diag(LOG(J_{k_1}(\lambda_1)), \cdots, LOG(J_{k_p}(\lambda_p)))C.$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we pose $X^t = exp(tLOG(X))$ and so we get

$$X^t = C^{-1}diag(J_{k_1}(\lambda_1)^t, \cdots, J_{k_p}(\lambda_p)^t)C.$$

Taking into account 2.2 we can state the following

Proposition 2.1. *If there is a unique geodesic arc joining $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$, then it can be written as $\gamma(t) = K_0(K_0^{-1}K_1)^t$.*

Corollary 2.5. *If there is a unique geodesic arc joining $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$ then any two distinct points on the geodesic, to which this arc belongs, are joined by a unique geodesic arc (and of course the geodesic, to which this new arc belongs, overlaps to the previous one).*

Proof. From the previous proposition the unique geodesic arc joining $K_0, K_1 \in GL_n$ is $\gamma(t) = K_0(K_0^{-1}K_1)^t$. Now let P, Q be on the corresponding geodesic, i.e. $P = K_0(K_0^{-1}K_1)^r$, $Q = K_0(K_0^{-1}K_1)^s$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \neq s$. This gives $P^{-1}Q = (K_0^{-1}K_1)^{-r}(K_0^{-1}K_1)^s = (K_0^{-1}K_1)^{s-r}$.

Now $K_0^{-1}K_1 = C^{-1}diag(J_{k_1}(\lambda_1), \cdots, J_{k_p}(\lambda_p))C$, with $C \in GL_n$, $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p > 0$ and $(\lambda_i, k_i) \neq (\lambda_j, k_j)$ as soon as $i \neq j$ (remember Remark 2.3), so we get $P^{-1}Q = C^{-1}diag(J_{k_1}(\lambda_1)^{s-r}, \cdots, J_{k_p}(\lambda_p)^{s-r})C$, whose Jordan form is the Jordan form of $K_0^{-1}K_1$ with eigenvalues λ_j^{s-r} instead of λ_j (remember that $r \neq s$). We can conclude with Theorem 2.2. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let K_1, K_2 be matrices both in GL_n^+ (resp. GL_n^-). Then K_1, K_2 can always be joined by a singly broken geodesic arc in (GL_n^+, g) (resp. (GL_n^-, g)).*

Proof. We prove that for any K_1, K_2 as above there is a nonsingular matrix Z that can be joined by a geodesic arc with both K_1, K_2. This fact, together with Theorem 2.2, allows to conclude.

For any $K_1, K_2 \in GL_n^+$ we can consider their polar decompositions $K_1 = O_1P_1$, $K_2 = P_2O_2$ with O_1, O_2 special orthogonal real matrices and P_1, P_2 positive definite real matrices. We denote $Z = P_2O_1 = O_1\overline{P}$ with $\overline{P} = O_1^TP_2O_1$: note that also $\overline{P}$ is positive definite. We have $K_1^{-1}Z = P_1^{-1}O_1^TO_1\overline{P} = P_1^{-1}\overline{P}$. Now P_1^{-1} and $\overline{P}$ are simultaneously diagonalizable under congruence and $P_1^{-1}\overline{P}$ is similar to a nonsingular

diagonal real matrix with positive eigenvalues, hence by Corollary 2.3 there is a geodesic arc in (GL_n, g), joining Z and K_1.

On the other hand $K_2^{-1}Z = O_2^T P_2^{-1} P_2 O_1 = O_2^T O_1$ which is in SO_n. The elements of SO_n are similar to diagonal complex matrices in which, if a negative real eigenvalue appears, it is -1 and appears an even number of times, thus by Theorem 2.2 there is a geodesic arc in (GL_n, g), joining Z and K_2.

Analogous arguments work, if $K_1, K_2 \in GL_n^-$. Indeed now the polar decompositions are $K_1 = O_1 P_1$, $K_2 = P_2 O_2$ with O_1, O_2 orthogonal real matrices with negative determinant and P_1, P_2 positive definite real matrices. Again $\overline{P}$ is positive definite and $K_2^{-1}Z = O_2^T O_1 \in SO_n$. □

3 - Curvature of (GL_n, g)

Proposition 3.1. *Let $K \in GL_n$ and $X, Y, Z \in M_n$.*

(1) *The Riemann curvature tensor of type $(1,3)$ of GL_n at K is*

$$(R_{XY}Z)_K = -\frac{1}{4}(Z\,[K^{-1}X, K^{-1}Y] - [XK^{-1}, YK^{-1}]\,Z).$$

(2) *The Riemann curvature tensor of type $(0,4)$ of GL_n at K is*

$$R_{XYZW}(K) = \frac{1}{4} tr([K^{-1}X, K^{-1}Y]\,[K^{-1}Z, K^{-1}W]).$$

(3) *If s_K is a nondegenerate 2-section of $T_K(GL_n)$ (i.e. a 2-dimensional subspace of $T_K(GL_n) = M_n$ such that the restriction of g_K to $s_K \times s_K$ is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form) and X, Y are linearly independent vectors in s_K, then the sectional curvature of (GL_n, g) on s_K is*

$$\mathcal{K}(s_K) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{tr([K^{-1}X, K^{-1}Y]^2)}{g_K(X,X)g_K(Y,Y) - g_K(X,Y)^2}.$$

Proof. If $\{E_\alpha\}$, $1 \le \alpha \le n^2$ is the basis contructed in Notations 2.1, for $X, Y, Z \in T_K(GL_n) = M_n$, we have $X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha}|_K$, $Y = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n^2} Y^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\beta}|_K$, $Z = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n^2} Z^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma}|_K$, where $X_\alpha, Y_\beta, Z_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

We can extend in a natural way X, Y, Z to C^∞-vector fields with constant coefficients on GL_n, we still call X, Y, Z. Then for any $Q \in GL_n$ we have $X_Q = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n^2} X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha}|_Q$, $Y_Q = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n^2} Y^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\beta}|_Q$, $Z_Q = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n^2} X^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma}|_Q$ and so $X(Z)$, $Y(Z)$, $X(Y)$, $Y(X)$ are identically zero.

Hence, by Theorem 2.1, $(\nabla_Y Z)_Q = -\frac{1}{2}(YQ^{-1}Z + ZQ^{-1}Y)$. Again, by Theorem 2.1, we get

$$(\nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z))_K = (X(\nabla_Y Z))_K - \frac{1}{2}\{XK^{-1}(\nabla_Y Z)_K + (\nabla_Y Z)_K K^{-1}X\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(YK^{-1}XK^{-1}Z + ZK^{-1}XK^{-1}Y)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}(XK^{-1}YK^{-1}Z + XK^{-1}ZK^{-1}Y + YK^{-1}ZK^{-1}X + ZK^{-1}YK^{-1}X).$$

Interchanging X and Y we get another analogous formula.

X, Y are vector fields with constant coefficients with respect to the coordinate fields E_α, so, by Schwarz rule, we have $[X, Y] = 0$; therefore at K we get

$$(R_{XY}Z)_K = -(\nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z))_K + (\nabla_Y(\nabla_X Z))_K$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}(ZK^{-1}(XK^{-1}Y - YK^{-1}X) + (YK^{-1}X - XK^{-1}Y)K^{-1}Z)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}(Z[K^{-1}X, K^{-1}Y] - [XK^{-1}, YK^{-1}]Z).$$

This completes (1).

We get (2) by standard computations remembering (1):

$$R_{XYZW}(K) = g_K(R_{XY}Z, W)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(tr\{(K^{-1}XK^{-1}Y - K^{-1}YK^{-1}X)(K^{-1}ZK^{-1}W - K^{-1}WK^{-1}Z)\})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}tr([K^{-1}X, K^{-1}Y][K^{-1}Z, K^{-1}W]).$$

Finally we get (3) from (2), because $\mathcal{K}(s_K) = \dfrac{R_{XYXY}}{g_K(X,X)g_K(Y,Y) - g_K(X,Y)^2}$ and it does not depend on the generators X, Y. □

Corollary 3.1. *With the same notations as in Remark* 2.1*, if R is the Riemann curvature tensor of type* $(1,3)$ *of* (GL_n, g)*, then*

$$R_{XY}Z = \frac{1}{4}[[X, Y], Z] \in \mathcal{GL}_n$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{GL}_n$, which can be written in the form

$$R_{XY} = \frac{1}{4}ad([X, Y])$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{GL}_n$ (see for instance [6] p. 99-100).

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 at a point $K \in GL_n$ and with the notations of Remark 2.1, we have

$$R_{X_K Y_K} Z_K = \frac{1}{4}(-Z_K[K^{-1}X_K, K^{-1}Y_K] + [X_K K^{-1}, Y_K K^{-1}]Z_K),$$

which becomes $\frac{K}{4}[[X_0, Y_0], Z_0]$ by standard computations. Hence, always by Remark 2.1, the former is $\frac{1}{4}[[X, Y], Z]_K$, which allows to conclude. □

Remark 3.1. Let E_{ij}, $1 \leq i,j \leq n$ be the matrix whose entries are zero everywhere except for the entry (i,j) which is 1. Easy computations show that at the point $I = I_n \in GL_n$ an orthonormal basis for g_I is

$$\{D_i = E_{ii} / i = 1, \cdots, n\} \cup \left\{S_{ij} = \frac{E_{ij} + E_{ji}}{\sqrt{2}} / 1 \leq i < j \leq n\right\} \cup \left\{A_{ij} = \frac{E_{ij} - E_{ji}}{\sqrt{2}} / 1 \leq i < j \leq n\right\}.$$

The vectors D_i's and S_{ij}'s are space-like, while the vectors A_{ij}'s are time-like.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Ric_K be the Ricci curvature tensor of (GL_n, g) at $K \in GL_n$, then for any $X, Y \in T_K(GL_n) = M_n$ we have*

$$Ric_K(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\, tr(K^{-1}X)tr(K^{-1}Y) - \frac{n}{2}\, g_K(X, Y).$$

Moreover (GL_n, g) is a Semi-Riemannian manifold whose scalar curvature is constant and equal to $S = -\dfrac{(n+1)n(n-1)}{2}$.

Proof. The formula on $Ric(X, Y)$ can be obtained by standard but long (and tedious) computations. Next we give shorter (and perhaps more elegant) arguments involving the Cartan-Killing form (for standard facts on it see for instance [**6**] p. 131 and [**5**]).

In general $Ric(X, Y)$ is the trace of the map $Z \mapsto R_{XZ}Y$, where we can suppose X, Y, Z left invariant. By Corollary 3.1 this trace is the trace of $Z \mapsto \frac{1}{4}[[X, Z], Y] = -\frac{1}{4}[Y, [X, Z]] = -\frac{1}{4}(ad_Y \circ ad_X)(Z) = -\frac{1}{4}B(Y, X) = -\frac{1}{4}B(X, Y)$ where B is the Cartan-Killing form of $\mathcal{GL}_n$.

Now it is known that $B(X, Y) = 2n\ tr(XY) - 2\ tr(X)tr(Y) = 2n\ g(X, Y) - 2tr(X)tr(Y)$ (see for instance [**5**] p. 210).

Then $Ric_I(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}tr(X)tr(Y) - \frac{n}{2}\, g_I(X, Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{GL}_n$.

More generally for any $X, Y \in T_K(GL_n)$ we get the expected formula for $Ric_K(X, Y)$.

Finally let S be the scalar curvature of (GL_n, g), which is homogeneous, so S is constant, because it is invariant under isometries. Hence it suffices to compute it at the point $I = I_n$. By Remark 3.1

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Ric_I(D_i, D_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} Ric_I(S_{ij}, S_{ij}) - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} Ric_I(A_{ij}, A_{ij}).$$

But the first part of the proposition gives

$$Ric_I(D_i, D_i) = -\frac{(n-1)}{2} \text{ for any } i = 1, \cdots n,$$

$$Ric_I(S_{ij}, S_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(tr(S_{ij}))^2 - \frac{n}{2} g_I(S_{ij}, S_{ij}) = -\frac{n}{2} \text{ for any } 1 \le i < j \le n,$$

$$Ric_I(A_{ij}, A_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(tr(A_{ij}))^2 - \frac{n}{2} g_I(A_{ij}, A_{ij}) = \frac{n}{2} \text{ for any } 1 \le i < j \le n.$$

Putting together the previous computations, we easily conclude the last statement too. □

4 - The Semi-Riemannian manifold $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$

Remark 4.1. For any $K \in SL_n$ we have: $T_K(SL_n) = \{W \in M_n / tr(K^{-1}W) = 0\}$.

Recall Jacobi's formula: if $A = A(t)$ is a C^1-curve of GL_n with $t \in (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, then $\frac{d}{dt}(\det A(t)) = \det(A(t)) tr(A^{-1}(t)\dot{A}(t))$ for any $t \in (a, b)$ where $\dot{A} = \frac{dA}{dt}$. Then if $P = P(t)$ is a C^∞-curve in SL_n (hence $\det P(t) = 1$ for any t), we get: $tr(P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)) = 0$ for any t. This allows to conclude.

At the point $I = I_n \in SL_n \subset GL_n$ the identity matrix I is a space-like vector, because $g_I(I, I) = tr(I) = n > 0$, whose perpendicular space is $Span(I)^\perp = \{W \in M_n / g_I(I, W) = tr(W) = 0\} = T_I(SL_n)$. Hence $M_n = Span(I) \oplus Span(I)^\perp = Span(I) \oplus T_I(SL_n)$. Given a point $P \in SL_n$, we denote again with g_P the restriction to $T_P(SL_n) \times T_P(SL_n)$ of the tensor g_P defined on $T_P(GL_n) \times T_P(GL_n)$.

Proposition 4.1. *(SL_n, g) is a symmetric Semi-Riemannian submanifold of (GL_n, g) with signature $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$. It is homogeneous and among its isometries there are left and right translations L_G, R_G, congruences Γ_G $(G \in SL_n)$,*

conjugacies C_G ($G \in GL_n$), the transposition, the inversion φ and all their compositions, so in particular the symmetries $\psi_P = R_P \circ L_P \circ \varphi$.

Proof. The metric g_I is nondegenerate with signature $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$ on $T_I(SL_n)$. Indeed let $W \in T_I(SL_n)$ such that $g_I(V, W) = 0$ for every $V \in T_I(SL_n)$. If Z is any vector in $T_I(GL_n) = M_n$, then there exists a unique pair $(Z_0, \lambda_0) \in T_I(SL_n) \times \mathbb{R}$, such that $Z = Z_0 + \lambda_0 I$. Hence $g_I(Z, W) = g_I(Z_0, W) + \lambda_0 g_I(I, W) = g_I(Z_0, W) + \lambda_0 tr(W) = g_I(Z_0, W) = 0$, because $Z_0 \in T_I(SL_n)$. Now g_I is nondegenerate on $T_I(GL_n)$, hence $W = 0$, therefore g_I is nondegenerate on $T_I(SL_n)$ too. Moreover, $M_n = Span(I) \oplus T_I(SL_n)$ and I is a space-like vector in $T_I(GL_n) = M_n$, so we get that the index of positivity of g_I on $T_I(SL_n)$ is equal to the analogous index on $T_I(GL_n)$ minus 1, hence the signature is $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$. Now, for $P, Q \in SL_n$, the left translation $L_{QP^{-1}}$ is an isometry of (GL_n, g) mapping P into Q. Now the restriction of $L_{QP^{-1}}$ to SL_n maps SL_n into itself, so this restriction (denoted again by $L_{QP^{-1}}$) is an isometry of (SL_n, g), which is therefore homogeneous. We conclude the analogous results proved on (GL_n, g). □

Proposition 4.2. *(SL_n, g) is a totally geodesic Semi-Riemannian submanifold of (GL_n, g).*

The geodesics of (SL_n, g) are precisely the curves of the type

$$P(t) = Ke^{tC}$$

with $\det(K) = 1$ and $tr(C) = 0$ and (SL_n, g) is geodesically complete.

Proof. As usual let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of (GL_n, g) and let X, Y be vector fields, which are tangent to the submanifold SL_n. So, by Remark 4.1, for any $P \in SL_n$: $tr(P^{-1}X_P) = tr(P^{-1}Y_P) = 0$. The first part of the proposition follows from the fact that $(\nabla_X Y)_P \in T_P(SL_n)$, i.e. again by Remark 4.1 from the fact that $tr(P^{-1}(\nabla_X Y)_P) = 0$ for any $P \in SL_n$.

By Theorem 2.1 we have: $(\nabla_X Y)_P = (X(Y))_P - \frac{1}{2}\{X_P P^{-1} Y_P + Y_P P^{-1} X_P\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}(\nabla_X Y)_P &= P^{-1}(X(Y))_P - \frac{1}{2} P^{-1} X_P P^{-1} Y_P - \frac{1}{2} P^{-1} Y_P P^{-1} X_P \\ &= P^{-1}(X(Y))_P - P^{-1} X_P P^{-1} Y_P + \frac{1}{2}(P^{-1} X_P P^{-1} Y_P - P^{-1} Y_P P^{-1} X_P). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have $tr(P^{-1}Y_P) = 0$ for any $P \in SL_n$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = X_P(tr(P^{-1}Y_P)) &= tr(X_P(P^{-1}Y_P)) \\ &= -tr(P^{-1}X_P P^{-1}Y_P) + tr(P^{-1}(X(Y))_p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$tr(P^{-1}(\nabla_X Y)_P) = X_P(tr(P^{-1}Y_P)) + \frac{1}{2}\,tr(P^{-1}X_P P^{-1}Y_P - P^{-1}Y_P P^{-1}X_P)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\{tr((P^{-1}X_P)(P^{-1}Y_P)) - tr((P^{-1}Y_P)(P^{-1}X_P))\} = 0$$

for any $P \in SL_n$ and so (SL_n, g) is a totally geodesic submanifold.

Let us denote again by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of (SL_n, g), from the first part of the proposition we get that the expression of ∇ is formally similar to the expression of the Levi-Civita connection on GL_n, hence the same holds for the Riemann tensors and, analogously to Theorem 2.1, the equation of geodesics in SL_n is the same and the geodesics are the curves of the predicted type. □

Proposition 4.3. *(SL_n, g) is an Einstein Semi-Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature tensor $Ric = -\frac{n}{2}\,g$ and scalar curvature $S = -\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{2}$.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we get $Ric(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{4}\,B(X, Y)$ for any left invariant fields X, Y where B is the Cartan-Killing form on the Lie algebra of SL_n and that this is equal to $2n\,g(X, Y)$ (see for instance [5] p. 210) and so we get the expected formula for Ric.

Therefore (SL_n, g) is an Einstein manifold (i.e. Ric is a multiple of g) with $Ric = -\frac{n}{2}\,g$. Hence

$$S = -\frac{n}{2}\,dim(SL_n) = -\frac{n}{2}(n^2 - 1) = -\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{2}.$$ □

Theorem 4.1. *$GL_n = \cup_{c \neq 0} SL_n(c)$ is a foliation of GL_n, whose leaves are totally geodesic Semi-Riemannian submanifolds with respect to the metric g of GL_n.*

The leaves are Einstein, symmetric, geodesically complete, mutually isometric Semi-Riemannian hypersurfaces with signature $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$ and with scalar curvature $S = -\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{2}$.

A curve $P = P(t)$ is a geodesic of $(SL_n(c), g)$ if and only if $P(t) = Ke^{tC}$ with $\det(K) = c$, $tr(C) = 0$.

Proof. For any $P \in SL_n(c)$ let us denote again by g_P the restriction to $T_P(SL_n(c))$ of the metric tensor g and let P_0 be a fixed point of $SL_n(c)$ (hence $\det(P_0) = c$). Then the left translation $L_{P_0} : (GL_n, g) \to (GL_n, g)$ in an isometry, mapping SL_n onto $SL_n(c)$. Hence the restriction of L_{P_0} is an isometry of (SL_n, g) onto $(SL_n(c), g)$. Moreover, L_{P_0} is an isometry of (GL_n, g), so it transforms totally geodesic Semi-Riemannian submani-

folds into totally geodesic Semi-Riemannian submanifolds and this allows to conclude about $SL_n(c)$. Finally for geodesics we can argue as in Proposition 4.2. □

Theorem 4.2. *The manifold (GL_n^+, g), with $GL_n^+ = \{A \in GL_n / \det(A) > 0\}$, is an open Semi-Riemannian submanifold of (GL_n, g), isometric to the Semi-Riemannian product manifold $(SL_n \times \mathbb{R}, g \times h)$ where $h = dx^2$ is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Note that at any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $h_x(a, a') = aa'$ for all (tangent vectors) $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}$ and that

$$(g \times h)_{(P,x)}((V, a), (V', a')) = g_P(V, V') + h_x(a, a') = tr(P^{-1}VP^{-1}V') + aa'$$

for any $P \in SL_n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $V, V' \in T_P(SL_n)$ (i.e. $tr(P^{-1}V) = tr(P^{-1}V') = 0$, $V, V' \in M_n$), $a, a' \in T_x\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$.

We prove that $F : (SL_n \times \mathbb{R}, g \times h) \to (GL_n^+, g)$, defined by $F(P, x) = e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}P$, is an isometry.

Indeed F is of class C^∞ with inverse $F^{-1} : GL_n^+ \to SL_n \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F^{-1}(Q) = \left(\frac{Q}{\sqrt[n]{\det(Q)}}, \frac{\log(\det(Q))}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$, for any matrix Q with positive determinant ("log" denotes the natural logarithm).

We easily get

$$(DF_{(P,x)})(M, a) = e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}M + \frac{e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}}{\sqrt{n}}\, aP$$

for any $P \in SL_n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in T_x(\mathbb{R})$, $M \in T_P(SL_n)$ (i.e. for any $M \in M_n$ such that $tr(P^{-1}M) = 0$).

So, if $tr(P^{-1}M) = tr(P^{-1}M') = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{F(P,x)}((DF_{(P,x)})(M, a), (DF_{(P,x)})(M', a')) \\
&\quad = g_{\,e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}P}\Big(e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}\big(M + \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\,P\big), e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}}\big(M' + \frac{a'}{\sqrt{n}}\,P\big)\Big) \\
&\quad = tr\Big(\big(P^{-1}M + \frac{aI}{\sqrt{n}}\big)\big(P^{-1}M' + \frac{a'I}{\sqrt{n}}\big)\Big) \\
&\quad = tr(P^{-1}MP^{-1}M') + \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\, tr(P^{-1}M') + \frac{a'}{\sqrt{n}}\, tr(P^{-1}M) + \frac{aa'}{n}\, tr(I) \\
&\quad = tr(P^{-1}MP^{-1}M') + aa' = g_P(M, M') + h_x(a, a') \\
&\quad = (g \times h)_{(P,x)}((M, a), (M', a'))
\end{aligned}$$

for any $M, M' \in T_P(SL_n)$ and $a, a' \in T_x(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$.

This means that F is an isometry between $(SL_n \times \mathbb{R}, g \times h)$ and (GL_n^+, g). □

Acknowledgments. We want to thank Giorgio Ottaviani and Fabio Podestà for many discussions and their suggestions about the matter of this note.

References

[1] T. ANDO, C.-K. LI and R. MATHIAS, *Geometric means*, Linear Algebra Appl. **385** (2004), 305-334.

[2] R. BHATIA, *Positive definite matrices*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 2007.

[3] R. BHATIA and J. HOLBROOK, *Riemannian geometry and matrix geometric means*, Linear Algebra Appl. **413** (2006), 594-618.

[4] W. J. CULVER, *On the existence and uniqueness of the real logarithm of a matrix*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 1146-1151.

[5] W. FULTON and J. HARRIS, *Representation theory. A first course*, Grad. Texts in Math. **129**, Springer-Verlag, New York 1991.

[6] S. HELGASON, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Grad. Stud. Math. **34**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 2001.

[7] N. J. HIGHAM, *Functions of matrices. Theory and computation*, SIAM Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Phildelphia, PA 2008.

[8] R. A. HORN and C. R. JOHNSON, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1985.

[9] S. LANG, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. **191**, Springer-Verlag, New York 1999.

[10] J. D. LAWSON and Y. LIM, *The geometric mean, matrices, metrics, and more*, Amer. Math. Monthly **108** (2001), no. 9, 797-812.

[11] M. MOAKHER and M. ZÉRAÏ, *The Riemannian geometry of the space of positive-definite matrices and its application to the regularization of positive-definite matrix-valued data*, J. Math. Imaging Vision **40** (2011), no. 2, 171-187.

[12] B. O'NEILL, *Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity*, Academic Press, New York 1983.

ALBERTO DOLCETTI
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini"
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Viale Morgagni 67/a
50134 Firenze, Italia
e-mail: alberto.dolcetti@unifi.it

DONATO PERTICI
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini"
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Viale Morgagni 67/a
50134 Firenze, Italia
e-mail: donato.pertici@unifi.it