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#### Abstract

Baker and Nuttle [1] studied the following single-variable-resource scheduling problem: sequencing $n$ jobs for processing by a single resource to minimize a function of job completion times, when the availability of the resource varies over time. When the objective function to be minimized is the total weighted completion time, Baker and Nuttle conjectured that the problem is NP-hard. We show in this note that the conjecture is true.


## 1 Introduction

Consider the problem of sequencing a set $N=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ of jobs to be processed using a single resource, where the availability of the resource varies over time. This problem, known as single-variable-resource scheduling, was studied in Baker and Nuttle [1].

For a time instant $t \geq 0$, we denote by $r(t)$ the resource available at time $t$. For each $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$, we denote by $p_{j}>0$ the resource requirement of job $j$. Once $p_{j}$ units of resource have been applied to job $j$, the job is considered complete. We assume that all the jobs are released at time 0 , the processing of each pair of distinct jobs does not overlap, the processing of every job is continuous (i.e., no preemption is allowed), and there is no idle time between the processing of consecutive jobs. So, a schedule $\pi$ of the jobs can be specified by a sequence

$$
\pi=(\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(n)),
$$

[^0]where $\pi(i)$ is the job in the $i$-th position of $\pi$. It was shown in [1] that, under schedule $\pi$, the completion time of job $\pi(j)$ can be expressed as a function of the form
$$
C_{\pi(j)}(\pi)=f\left(p_{\pi(1)}+p_{\pi(2)}+\ldots+p_{\pi(j)}\right) .
$$

For the purpose of this paper, we only consider $C_{\pi(j)}$ in the case that there are positive numbers $X, a$ and $b$ such that

$$
r(t)= \begin{cases}a, & \text { if } 0 \leq t<X, \\ b, & \text { if } t \geq X\end{cases}
$$

In this case, the completion time of job $\pi(j)$ can be determined by the function

$$
C_{\pi(j)}= \begin{cases}P_{\pi(j)} / a, & \text { if } P_{\pi(j)} \leq a X, \\ X+\left(P_{\pi(j)}-a X\right) / b, & \text { if } P_{\pi(j)}>a X,\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
P_{\pi(j)}=p_{\pi(1)}+p_{\pi(2)}+\ldots+p_{\pi(j)} .
$$

For the above problem, when the objective function to be minimized is the total weighted completion time, i.e., $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} C_{j}(\pi)$, Baker and Nuttle [1] conjectured that the problem, denoted by $1^{*}| | \sum w_{j} C_{j}$, is NP-hard. It was hinted in Baker and Smith [2] that the computational complexity of $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j}$ is still open up to now.

We show in this note that Baker and Nuttle's conjecture is true, i.e., $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j}$ is NP-hard. All the numbers appearing in this note are assumed to be non-negative and integral. So, the result of this note still holds under the variable assumptions in [1].

## 2 NP-hardness Proof

The standard single-machine total weighted tardiness problem is denoted by $1 \| \sum w_{j} T_{j}$, where each job $j$ has a due date $d_{j}$, and under a schedule $\pi$, the tardiness of job $j$ is defined by

$$
T_{j}(\pi)=\max \left\{0, C_{j}(\pi)-d_{j}\right\} .
$$

The decision version of $1 \| \sum w_{j} T_{j}$ is denoted by $1 \| \sum w_{j} T_{j} \leq Y$.
By Yuan [3], the scheduling problem $1\left|d_{j}=d\right| \sum w_{j} T_{j}$ is NP-hard. In fact, it was shown in [3] that the decision version $1\left|d_{j}=d\right| \sum w_{j} T_{j} \leq Y$ is NP-complete.

Theorem $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j}$ is NP-hard.
Proof Let us be given an instance $I$ of $1\left|d_{j}=d\right| \sum w_{j} T_{j} \leq Y$ :

$$
\left(p_{1},, \ldots, p_{n} ; w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} ; d ; Y\right),
$$

where we have $n$ jobs $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{n} ; p_{j} \geq 1$ is the processing time of job $J_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n ; w_{j} \geq 1$ is the weight of job $J_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n ; d<p_{1}+p_{2}+\ldots+p_{n}$ is the common due date of the jobs; and $Y>0$ is the threshold value for the total weighted tardiness of the jobs. The decision problem asks if there is a schedule $\sigma$ for the $n$ jobs such that $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} T_{j}(\sigma) \leq Y$.

We first define $\Delta=\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+\ldots+w_{n}\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+\ldots+p_{n}\right)$. Then we construct an instance $I^{*}$ of the single-variable-resource scheduling problem $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j} \leq Q$ as follows.

- $n$ jobs $J_{1}^{\prime}, J_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, J_{n}^{\prime}$ with $J_{j}^{\prime}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, corresponding to job $J_{j}$ in $I$.
- The resource requirement of job $J_{j}^{\prime}$ is defined by $p_{j}^{\prime}=2 \Delta p_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and the weight of job $J_{j}^{\prime}$ is defined as $w_{j}^{\prime}=w_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$.
- The resource availability function is defined as

$$
r(t)= \begin{cases}2 \Delta, & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq d \\ 1, & \text { if } t>d\end{cases}
$$

- Threshold value is defined as $Q=\Delta(2 Y+1)$.
- The decision problem asks if there is a schedule $\pi$ such that $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j}^{\prime} C_{j}^{\prime}(\pi) \leq Q$, where $C_{j}^{\prime}(\pi)$ is the completion time of job $J_{j}^{\prime}$ under $\pi$.

For any permutation $h$ of $(1,2, \ldots, n), h$ can be thought of as a schedule for both $I$ and $I^{*}$. By the definition of $r(t)$, it can be observed that, under schedule $h$, the completion time of job $J_{j}^{\prime}$ is

$$
C_{j}^{\prime}(h)= \begin{cases}C_{j}(h), & \text { if } C_{j}(h) \leq d, \\ d+2 \Delta T_{j}(h), & \text { if } C_{j}(h)>d\end{cases}
$$

and so,

$$
2 \Delta T_{j}(h) \leq C_{j}^{\prime}(h) \leq C_{j}(h)+2 \Delta T_{j}(h)
$$

By noting that $\Delta \geq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} C_{j}(h)$, one can observe that

$$
\text { (1) : } \quad \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j}^{\prime} C_{j}^{\prime}(h) \leq \Delta+2 \Delta \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} T_{j}(h)
$$

and

$$
\text { (2) : } 2 \Delta \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} T_{j}(h) \leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j}^{\prime} C_{j}^{\prime}(h) .
$$

From (1), if $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} T_{j}(h) \leq Y$, then we must have

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j}^{\prime} C_{j}^{\prime}(h) \leq \Delta+2 \Delta Y=Q
$$

From (2), if $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j}^{\prime} C_{j}^{\prime}(h) \leq Q=\Delta(2 Y+1)$, we must have

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} T_{j}(h) \leq Y+1 / 2,
$$

and by the integrality of the data, we further have

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_{j} T_{j}(h) \leq Y
$$

It follows that instance $I$ has a feasible solution if and only if instance $I^{*}$ has a feasible solution. The result follows.

Remark: By [3], $1\left|d_{j}=d\right| \sum w_{j} T_{j}$ is NP-hard in the ordinary sense. So, we have proved that $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j}$ is NP-hard. It is still open if the problem $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j}$ is NP-hard in the strong sense. The method presented in this note does not work for the strong NP-hardness of $1^{*} \| \sum w_{j} C_{j}$.
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