



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Aalborg Universitet

Transport phenomena in gas-selective silica membranes

Boffa, Vittorio

Publication date:
2016

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Boffa, V. (2016). Transport phenomena in gas-selective silica membranes. Abstract from Molecules@Surfaces, Bardonecchia, Italy.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

GAS TRANSPORT IN INORGANIC MEMBRANES

VITTORIO BOFFA

MOLECULES@SURFACES

BARDONECCHIA – WINTER SCHOOL 2016



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Outline


- Definition of membranes and their applications;
- Membrane properties;
- Gas-transport in multi-layer inorganic membranes;
- Methods for membrane fabrication.

What is a membrane?

A membrane is defined by IUPAC as a *structure, having lateral dimension much greater than its thickness, through which mass transfer occurs under a variety of driving forces.**

This is the most general definition of membrane. **However**, this definition does not include the main function of a membrane:



Reverse Osmosis is an example of membrane process




Membranes are fabricated for separating molecules, ions or colloids. Membrane processes are characterized by the fact that the feed flow is divided in two streams called **permeate** (what passes through the membrane) and **retentate** (what doesn't pass through the membrane) .

*W.J. Koros, Y.H. Ma, T. Shimidzu, Pure Appl. Chem., 68 (1996) 1479.

Microporous silica membranes



permeate side




Upcoming technology platforms for green fuel production require the development of advanced molecular separation processes for recovering liquid biofuels, biomethane and hydrogen.

Gas separation


- H₂ purification
- CO₂ sequestration
- Biogas upgrading

Pervaporation


- Alcohol dehydration
- Separation of organic solvents



CO₂




Membrane structure



Silica top layer

γ -Alumina

α -Alumina



Microporous
Pore diameter = 2 nm



Mesoporous
 $2 \text{ nm} < D_p < 50 \text{ nm}$



Macroporous
Pore diameter $> 50 \text{ nm}$

Architecture

- Thin active layer
- High permeability

Membrane properties

Flux and permeance

The efficiency of membranes is generally described in terms of permeance and selectivity.

Permeance (F)
$$F_a = \frac{J_a}{\Delta P_a}$$

J_a = flux of the species “a” across the membrane

ΔP_a = is the difference in partial pressure of “a” between the two sides of the membrane.

Permeability (\bar{F}) allows comparing membrane materials.

$$\bar{F}_a = F_a \cdot L$$

L = membrane thickness

Selectivity and ideal selectivity

Selectivity is quantitatively expressed by the **separation factor** (α):

$$\alpha = \frac{x_{\text{retentate}}}{y_{\text{retentate}}} \cdot \frac{y_{\text{permeate}}}{x_{\text{permeate}}}$$

In the case of a gaseous mixtures, x and y are the partial pressures of the two components X and Y.

ideal selectivity or permselectivity.

$$F_{a/b} = \frac{F_a}{F_b}$$

Exercise 1a. :

- Membrane 1 is a microporous silica membrane supported on a highly porous ceramic support. The resistance to the gas flux of this support is negligible compared to the one of the silica layer. The silica layer is 250 nm thick. The trans-membrane hydrogen molar flux at 200 °C and $\Delta P = 4 \text{ bar } (4 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Pa})$ is $0.4 \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$. Calculate the membrane permeance.

$$F_a = \frac{J_a}{\Delta P_a}$$

$$F_{H_2} = 0.4 \text{ (mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}) / 4 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Pa} = 1 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$$



$$J_a = [\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$$

$$\Delta P_a = [\text{Pa}]$$

$$F_a = [\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}]$$

Exercise 1b. :

- Membrane 1 has thickness of 250 nm and H₂ permeance of **$1 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$**
- Membrane 2 consists of a microporous amorphous carbon layer supported on a porous metallic tube, which has a filtering area of about 0.02 m² (319 mm long and has inner diameter of 20 mm). The resistance to the gas flow of this support is negligible compared to the one of the microporous carbon layer, which is 2.0 μm thick. The hydrogen molar flow rate at $\Delta P = 2.0 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Pa}$ and 200 °C was measured to be $1.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mol s}^{-1}$. Calculate the membrane permeance.

$$F_{H_2} = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ (mol s}^{-1}\text{)} / [2.0 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Pa} \times 0.02 \text{ m}^2] = \mathbf{2.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}}$$

- Which of the two membrane materials has the highest hydrogen permeability (\overline{F}) at 200 °C?

$$\overline{F}_a = F_a \cdot L$$

$$\overline{F}_{H_2, \text{ membr. material 1}} = 1 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ (mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}\text{)} \times 2.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ m} = \mathbf{2.5 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ mol m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}}$$

$$\overline{F}_{H_2, \text{ membr. material 2}} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ (mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}\text{)} \times 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m} = \mathbf{5.0 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ mol m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}}$$

$$J_a = [\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$$

$$\Delta P_a = [\text{Pa}]$$


$$F_a = [\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}]$$

$$\overline{F}_a = [\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}]$$



Membrane properties


Separation and permeation are two opposing requirements therefore an optimal compromise has to be reached



Membrane properties

Separation and permeation are two opposing requirements therefore an optimal compromise has to be reached


The resistance of a membrane can be reduced by decreasing its thickness. However it is really difficult to coat extremely thin membrane without defects. Microporous silica membranes prepared via sol-gel can be as thin as 30 nm



Membrane properties

Exercise 2a.

Water-gas-shift is a slightly exothermic equilibrium reaction that allows to convert carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide with hydrogen production.



- How do you think a membrane can be useful in this reaction?

Royal Dutch-Shell in the past years had tried to develop a process to convert conventional fuel to hydrogen in a vehicle. Water-gas-shift is the final step of this process. Two research groups had to develop a silica membrane for this application.

Exercise 2b.

- Research group 1 developed a silica membrane and tested it at 500 °C: first with pure hydrogen ($\Delta P = 2$ bar) and then with pure CO_2 ($\Delta P = 2$ bar), obtaining the following results: $F_{\text{H}_2} = 4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ and $F_{\text{CO}_2} = 8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$. What is the theoretical separation factor H_2/CO_2 for this membrane?

$$F_{\text{H}_2}/F_{\text{CO}_2} = 50$$

- Research group 2 fabricated a different membrane and tested it in the same experimental conditions used by group 1. The second membrane showed $F_{\text{H}_2} = 2.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ and $F_{\text{CO}_2} = 1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$. What is the theoretical H_2/CO_2 selectivity for this membrane?

$$F_{\text{H}_2}/F_{\text{CO}_2} = 20$$

- Which of the two membranes do you think is the best for this application?

$$F_{a/b} = \frac{F_a}{F_b}$$




2 minutes

- High hydrogen production rates
- Reduced space




Conventional fuel to hydrogen in a vehicle.



H₂ Permeance

effective membranes



Dense membranes



- High selectivity
- Reduced pollution in urban areas

H₂/CO₂ Selectivity

- Research group 1 developed a silica membrane and tested it at 500 °C first with pure hydrogen ($\Delta P = 2$ bar) and then with pure CO₂ ($\Delta P = 2$ bar), obtaining the following results: $F_{H_2} = 4 \times 10^{-7}$ mol s⁻¹ m⁻² Pa⁻¹ and $F_{CO_2} = 8 \times 10^{-9}$ mol s⁻¹ m⁻² Pa⁻¹. What is the theoretical separation factor H₂/CO₂ for this membrane? $F_{H_2}/F_{CO_2} = 50$

Exercise 2c.

- The membrane material developed by Group 1 was coated in the inner part of a tube with area of 0.018 m² and tested with a H₂/CO₂ mixture at 500 °C. The average H₂/CO₂ molar ratio inside the membrane was 1:1 mol/mol. The absolute pressure of the feed side was 3 bar while at the permeate was ~ 1 bar. At the permeate side the molar flow rate measured to be 1.4×10^{-3} and 7×10^{-5} mol s⁻¹ for H₂ and CO₂, respectively. What is the separation factor of this membrane?

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{CO_2,retentate}}{P_{H_2,retentate}} \times \frac{P_{H_2,permeate}}{P_{CO_2,permeate}} = 1 \times \frac{1.4 \cdot 10^{-3}}{7 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 20$$

- Why these results are so different from those obtained in the first test?



2 minutes


Gas transport

Many mechanisms have been proposed based on various interaction and diffusion processes.

These mechanisms are in broad terms distinguished by the ratio of the mean free path length λ and the diameter d_p .


As the pore diameter becomes smaller the interactions of **gas molecules @ pore surface** become larger. Therefore, the state of the permeating gas molecule changes from a **“gaseous state”** to a **“trapped state”**.

Gas transport in membranes can occur through a number of possible mechanisms:



Extrusion

- Cylindrical symmetry: tubes, rods, capillaries
- Products with controlled cross-section (high tolerance)




Extrusion forms

**MACROporous
support**

MACROporous support

a) Viscous flow



Gas transport in porous materials occurs according to different mechanisms, depending on the size and shape of the pores.

In macropores the mean free path of a molecule is far smaller than the pore diameter. Thus a gas molecule has a higher chance to collide with another gas molecule than with the pore wall.

$$J_{viscous} = \frac{\varepsilon d_p^2 \bar{P} \Delta P}{\tau 32 \eta RT L} = \frac{n \pi d_p^4 \bar{P} \Delta P}{\tau 128 \eta RT L}$$
$$\varepsilon = \frac{n \pi d_p^2}{4} = \text{pore density}$$
$$\tau = \text{pore tortuosity}$$

The Hagen-Poiseuille law is a general rule that is verified for macroscopic phenomena, such as the flux of a liquid in a pipe. In the viscous regime no gas separation is possible.


b) Knudsen diffusion



In mesopores the mean free path of a gas molecule is larger than the size of the pore. The interaction gas molecule-pore wall is therefore more important than the interaction molecule-molecule.

In this case the transport occurs following the Knudsen law:

$$J_{Knudsen,a} = \frac{2}{3} \bar{v}_a \frac{\epsilon r}{\tau RT} \frac{\Delta p_a}{L}$$



where v_a is the mean molecular velocity of a species “a” in a pore of radius r . According to the **kinetic theory of ideal gases**:

$$\bar{v}_a = \sqrt{\frac{8RT}{\pi M_a}}$$



Therefore, assuming that the pressure gradient is constant across the membrane, the gas flux of species “a” in a mesoporous membrane is:

$$J_{Knudsen} = K \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_a RT} \frac{\Delta P_a}{L}} \quad F_{Knudsen} = K' \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_a RT}} \quad F_{a/b} = \sqrt{\frac{M_b}{M_a}}$$

Gas transport

MESOporous interlayer

γ -alumina is a mesoporous material commonly used in the preparation of ceramic membranes.




Permeance of 6 probe molecules through a γ -alumina disk.

Exercise 3.


The uranium enriched in U^{235} is required to produce a controlled nuclear reaction.

Several different processes may be used to enrich uranium:

- Membrane permeation
- Centrifuge
- Laser Separation



Natural uranium
> 99.2% U-238
0.72% U-235




Low-enriched uranium
(reactor grade)
3-4% U-235




In the past the enrichment of uranium was attained by Knudsen flow through porous γ -alumina membranes. In this process $^{235}UF_6$ was separated from $^{238}UF_6$.

Question: what is the ideal separation factor for the uranium enrichment process ($F = 18.998$ amu; $U^{235} = 235.043$ amu; $U^{238} = 238.051$ amu).





$$F_{235/238} = \sqrt{\frac{238.051 + 6 \times 18.998}{235.043 + 6 \times 18.998}} = \sqrt{\frac{352.039}{349.031}} = 1.0043$$




MESOporous interlayer



MESOPorous interlayer



C) Surface diffusion



The transport in a microporous medium can be described as a **surface diffusion mechanism**; consequently the general Fick law can be applied:

$$J = -D \frac{dC}{dz}$$




For microporous membranes this equation can be written as:

$$J_a = K_0 D_0 \exp \left\{ -\frac{E_m - Q_{st}}{RT} \right\} \frac{\Delta P}{L} \quad F_a = F_{0,a} \exp \left\{ -\frac{E_a}{RT} \right\}$$

E_a is the apparent activation energy. The E_a value is empirically estimated for each species measuring the temperature dependence of permeance. E_a can be positive or negative and it is considered as sum of two contributions: the **heat of absorption (Q_{st})** and the **positive mobility energy (E_m)**.

$$E_a = E_m - Q_{st}$$

Hydrogen separation




	Ea [$\text{KJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$]			Calcination T [$^{\circ}\text{C}$]
	He	H ₂	CO ₂	
Nb ₂ O ₅ -doped SiO ₂	19.1±0.3	15.3±0.7	-14.2±0.8	500
SiO ₂	7 -23	7.6-8	-4 ; -2	400 ; 600
ZrO ₂ -doped SiO ₂	22	44		550

Introduction

Fabrication






r.t. - 60 °C
2 - 24 h










Calcination
(400 - 600 °C)
Coating

Ultramicroporous silica membrane




MICROporous active layer

	REACTION-LIMITED	BALLISTIC	DIFFUSION-LIMITED
MONOMER-CLUSTER	<p>EDEN</p>  <p>D - 3.00</p>	<p>VOLD</p>  <p>D - 3.00</p>	<p>WITTEN-SANDER</p>  <p>D - 2.50</p>
CLUSTER-CLUSTER	<p>RLCA</p>  <p>D - 2.09</p>	<p>SUTHERLAND</p>  <p>D - 1.95</p>	<p>DLCA</p>  <p>D - 1.80</p>



P. Meakin, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **28**, 249 (1989)



d) Translational diffusion

$$F = \frac{\varepsilon d_p \rho_g}{\tau L} \left(\frac{8}{\pi MRT} \right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{RT} \right)$$

Diffusing gas molecules have enough kinetic energy to escape the surface potential....


.... but cannot readily do so because of the presence of a pore wall on the other side.

This is a mechanism in which there is a combination of the Knudsen diffusion model and the surface diffusion model.

Both surface diffusion and gas-translation have contributions



The formula of the permeance in this regime is obtained from the Knudsen diffusion model by introducing the probability for diffusion through the micropore (ρ)

d) Translational diffusion



Zeolite membranes

Chem. Eng. Sci. 1992, 47, 1123-1141.



J. Membrane Sci. 2011, 371, 197–210

BARDONECCHIA 2016, MOLECULES @ SURFACES


e) Solution-diffusion

Examples:


- Perovskite membranes for oxygen separation
- Metallic membranes for hydrogen separation
- hydrogen and helium permeation in dense silica glasses

For solid-state permeation the molecules permeating through the solid are considered to reside in solubility sites and to be in equilibrium with the gaseous state.

Permeance = solubility \times diffusivity



Contribution of the support




$$R_{\text{TOT}} = R_1 + R_2$$

$$R = \frac{1}{F} \quad (\text{Pa m}^2 \text{ s mol}^{-1})$$

$$\frac{1}{F_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{1}{F_{\text{support}}} + \frac{1}{F_{\text{silica}}}$$

Different mechanisms in the support layer



Exercise 2c.

- Research group 1 developed a silica membrane and tested it at 500 °C first with pure hydrogen ($\Delta P = 2$ bar) and then with pure CO₂ ($\Delta P = 2$ bar), obtaining the following results: $F_{H_2} = 4 \times 10^{-7}$ mol s⁻¹ m⁻² Pa⁻¹ and $F_{CO_2} = 8 \times 10^{-9}$ mol s⁻¹ m⁻² Pa⁻¹. What is the theoretical separation factor H₂/CO₂ for this membrane?

$$F_{H_2} / F_{CO_2} = 50$$

- The membrane material developed by Group 1 was coated in the inner part of a tube with area of 0.018 m² and tested with a H₂/CO₂ mixture at 500 °C. The average H₂/CO₂ molar ratio inside the membrane was 1:1 mol/mol. The absolute pressure of the feed side was 3 bar while at the permeate was ~ 1 bar. At the permeate side the molar flow rate measured to be 1.4×10^{-3} and 7×10^{-5} mol s⁻¹ for H₂ and CO₂, respectively. What is the separation factor of this membrane?

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{CO_2,retentate}}{P_{H_2,retentate}} \times \frac{P_{H_2,permeate}}{P_{CO_2,permeate}} = 1 \times \frac{1.4 \cdot 10^{-3}}{7 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 20$$

- Why these results are so different from those obtained in the first test?



2 minutes

Gas mixtures

Onsager equations for a binary mixture:




$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} j_{l_1} = -L_{l_1,l_1} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_{l_1} - L_{l_1,l_2} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_{l_2} \\ j_{l_2} = -L_{l_1,l_2} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_{l_1} - L_{l_2,l_2} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_{l_2} \end{array} \right. \quad \nabla \tilde{\mu} = \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{dx}$$

In Knudsen separation, the cross-coefficient, $L_{1,2}$ is zero (the assumption is that the molecules only meet the pore walls and not each other):

$$j_{l_1} = -L_{l_1} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_{l_1} \quad \text{and} \quad j_{l_2} = -L_{l_2} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_{l_2}$$

For microporous molecular transport, $L_{1,2}$ is in general not negligible, but it is often assumed to be zero at first approximation.

An overview on the evolution of gas-selective silica membranes



R.M. De Vos et al. **1998**
Science. 279, 5357.

M. Asaeda et al. **2001** J.
Chem. Eng. Jap. 4, 523.

V. Boffa et al. **2008**
ChemSusChem 1,437.

Stable membranes

Functional membranes

Defect-free membranes



Aalborg University

Department of Chemistry and Bioscience

