Martial 13.98 is edited by Shackleton Bailey in his Teubner edition as follows ${ }^{1}$ :

## Caprea

Pendentem summa capream de rupe uidebis, casuram speres. decipit illa canes.

It is thus translated in his Loeb²:
Roe deer
Should you see the roe deer poised on the summit of a crag, you would think she was about to fall. She's fooling the hounds.

His only comment in either place is a reference in the Loeb edition to his vindication of decipit against despicit at "More Corrections and Explanations of Martial", AJPh 110, 1989, 149-50. However, other editors, such as Farnaby and Friedlaender, cite parallels that relate to a different animal:

Verg. ecl. 1.74-6
ite meae, quondam felix pecus, ite capellae: non ego uos posthac uiridi proiectus in antro dumosa pendere procul de rupe uidebo.

[^0]ipse ego pendentis, liceat modo, rupe capellas, ipse uelim baculo pascere nixus oues.

Baebius Italicus, Il. Lat. 888

> tondent prata greges, pendent in rupe capellae.

In all three passages it is not roe-deer that hang from rocks, but goats, those hardy mountaineers ${ }^{3}$; and Shackleton Bailey himself, in the article cited, writes: 'The goat in the painting or whatever looks as if she is about to fall...' The hunting of wild goats is attested as well by Vergil (Aen. 4.152-3) as by Pseudo-Oppian (Cyn. 2.356-76); although most scholars prefer to suppose that caprea in Martial retains its proper sense, but has appropriated a poetic motif describing goats, the transference of sense presupposed by Shackleton Bailey's first translation ${ }^{4}$, though not recorded in the $O L D$ or $T h L L$, can also be documented.

The similarity between the words caprea and capra has demonstrably led both to mental confusion and to textual corruption. At Ov. Fast. 2.491 the paradosis runs:

Est locus, antiqui Capreae dixere paludem;
In the fifteenth century the correct name of Caprae was restored from Liv. 1.16.1; however, the alternative was interpolated into the tradition of Plu. Rom. 27.6: $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ̀ ~ t o ̀ ~$ $\varkappa \alpha \lambda$ oú $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ Aiyòs \{ì Go@xòs\} है $\lambda$ os ${ }^{5}$. This evidently rests on
${ }^{3}$ Note too Culex 51, in which goats 'scrupea desertas haerebant ad caua rupes', explained by Housman on Lucan. 3.295.
${ }^{4}$ One referee remarks that both José Guillén (Zaragoza 1986) and Dulce Estefanía (Madrid 1991) render 'cabra montés'.
${ }^{5}$ So too Flor. 1.1.16, Sol. 1.20, Vir. ill. 2.13, Hier. Chron. s.a. A. 1300; cf. PF 57.6-7, and perhaps the Vicus Capraria and Aedicula Capraria. Plutarch (who like Solinus dates Romulus' death there to the Nonae Caprotinae) has unequivocally Aizòs élos at Cam. 33.10, Numa 2.1; so too Zonaras 7.4 (ii. 96.16 Dindorf).
the knowledge that some Romans thought the animal after which the marsh was named to have been a roe and not a goat; Ovid was amongst their number, unless we will believe a priori that his text was corrupted in transmission to the archetype ${ }^{6}$. Unfortunately, we have no ancient explanation for the name.

At Scrib. Larg. 127 the editio princeps of Joanes Ruellius (Paris 1529) makes 'capreae montanae stercus', dried and rubbed with a grain of myrrh in three cyathi of wine, a cure for jaundice; it is cited with this reading by T. J. Leary in his edition of the Xenia ${ }^{7}$. However, Scribonius' most illustrious editor, Joannes Rhodius (Padua 1655, 201) noted: ‘Galenus de Simpl. facult. lib. x. narrat Romae ad auriginem ex vino praebuisse medicum quendam: quoniam digerit et acre est'. Since Galen's chapter (Simp. 10.22),

 299 Kühn), the true reading must be the caprae of MS Toledo, Biblioteca del Cabildo 98.12 adopted by Sergio Sconocchia in his Leipzig Teubner of $1983^{8}$.

The resemblance of the names was explained by Varro by a resemblance between the beasts themselves: $L L$ 5.101: caprea a similitudine quadam caprae. From that it does not take a goat's agility to reach Serv. on Aen. 4.152: ferae caprae hoc est capreae. et bene aptat descriptionem ad species, ut ceruis campos, capreis saxa permittat ; in DS this scholion (with campis by persistence for

[^1]capreis) is preceded by a corrupt note about capreae that appear to fall when they leap ${ }^{10}$. At Aen. 6.288 we read in both recensions that the Chimaera 'ore leo, postremis partibus draco, media caprea secundum fabulas fuit ${ }^{11}$, although the true reference is to a mountain in Cilicia with a fiery summit and lions nearby, 'media autem pascua sunt', to which DS adds 'quae capreis abundant'. From resemblance we have passed to transference: caprea must mean 'goat', as too at HA Aurelian 10.2: ut . . . quingentos seruos, duo milia uaccarum, equas mille, ouium decem milia, caprearum quindecim in priuatam uillam Valeriani congereret ${ }^{12}$. The same catachresis reappears in medieval texts ${ }^{13}$.

This transference was abetted, and perhaps instigated, by the fact that the dative and ablative plural capris is not marked for gender. Normally this does not matter, since the species rather than the individual is intended; on other occasions the context, or a qualifying word, makes clear what is meant: Varro, $R R$
${ }^{10}$ ita enim capreae [capereae MS Bern, Burgerbibliothek 167] $\dagger$ suspensionis super saxa currunt ut putes cadere illas cum exiliunt (cf. MS Bern, Burgerbibliothek 165: quia putantur cadere capreae cum exiliunt).
${ }^{11}$ For caprea Hamburg, Staats- und UB Cod. philol. 52 reads craprea; MS Bern, Burgerbibliothek 172 of DS corrects to capra, as does Myth. Vat. II §154, p. 216.19 Kulcsár (the MS D cited for caprea by Bode, p. 119 is a late text of Servius), cf. caprinum Alberic of London ('Myth. Vat. III') 14. 5, p. 252.39 Bode; Myth. Vat. I §71, p. 31.4, 7 Kulcsár (1.71.2, 4 Zorzetti) likewise corrected the caprea and capreas he found in the echo of our passage at Isid. Etym. 11.3.36.
${ }^{12}$ That the $\Sigma$ manuscripts read caprarum proves only that an Italian humanist could recognize the sense; cf. P. K. Marshall in L. D. Reynolds, ed., Texts and Transmission: a Survey of the Latin Classics, Oxford 1983, 355.
${ }^{13}$ Erchempert, Historia Langobardorum Beneuentanorum 24 (MGH, Scr. rer. Lang. 243-4): "Non sumus" inquiunt "caprearum houile, ut in saxorum cauernis tueamur [sic passiue], ad humiliaque denique descendamus, ut altos nos et inhumiles circumspicientibus prebeamus'"; Richard of Bury, Philobiblon 9: 'quas nequaquam pedetentim pertranseunt, sed ad instar caprearum saltuatim ascendunt', correctly translated 'like goats ascend by leaps and bounds' by Ernest Thomas, rev. Michael Maclagan, Oxford 1960, 103.
2.3.4: de capris quod meliore semine eae quae bis pariant, ex his potissimum mares solent summitti ad admissuras; CIL 6.32323.95 (of the sacrifice to the Moerae at Augustus' Ludi Saeculares): agnIs feminIs et IX caprIs femi[nIs]; Liv. 25.12.13: Apollini boue aurato et capris duabus albis auratis. However, there are occasions on which greater clarity is required.

Phaedrus 4.17 is headed 'De capreis barbatis', but is not concerned with roe-deer:

> Barbam capellae cum impetrassent ab Ioue, hirci maerentes indignari coepěrunt quod dignitatem feminae aequassent suam (1-3).

The author of the headings, however late he may have been ${ }^{14}$, evidently considered the diminutive capellis unsuited to functional prose ${ }^{15}$, but capris, imprudently conjectured by Hervieux ${ }^{16}$, would denote the entire goat kind and not merely the females.

Much earlier, however, we read at Suet. Tib. 45:
feminarum quoque, et quidem illustrium, capitibus quanto opere solitus sit inludere ${ }^{17}$, euidentissime apparuit Malloniae cuiusdam exitu, quam perductam nec quicquam amplius pati constantissime recusantem delatoribus obiecit ac ne ream quidem interpellare desiit, ecquid paeniteret; donec ea relicto iudicio domum se

[^2]> abripuit ferroque transegit, obscenitate oris hirsuto atque olido seni clare exprobrata. unde mora in Atellanico exhodio proximis ludis adsensu maximo excepta percrebruit, hircum uetulum capreis naturam ligurrire.

Although Robert Graves in his Penguin translation renders the last five words 'The old goat goes / For the does / With his tongue ${ }^{18}$ and Catherine Edwards for her Oxford World's Classics 'the old goat is licking the does' behinds' ${ }^{19}$, after hircum we wonder what does are doing here; surely the victims must be nannygoats, 'chèvres' in the Budé translation of Henri Ailloud ${ }^{20}$. Here capris would not, for the original audience, have been free from ambiguity, since Tiberius' tastes were not confined to females; moreover capreis allows the verse (Atell. inc. nom. 3 Ribbeck) to be restored as a bacchiac tetrameter, hircus uetu|lus capreis | naturam | ligurrit on the lines of Atell. inc. nom. 5: Orcus uo|bis ducit | pedes $-\mid \smile--$, without recourse to the scansion cap'ris dubiously admissible on the popular stage ${ }^{21}$. There is thus no need either to invoke confusion in Suetonius' (or a copyist's) mind, in a context of debauchery, with the name of the island made notorious by the emperor's enormities, or to intrude it into the text as Bücheler did: the senarius ligurrit naturam hircus uetulus Caplreis not only requires mute and liquid to make position, but leaves naturam suggesting the autoerotic suppleness of Catull. 88.8. Rather, we should allow that the use of caprea for capra, or at least of capreis for capris, was current Latin in the time of Tiberius.
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${ }^{18}$ Cf. The Twelve Caesars by Suetonius, Harmondsworth, rev. 1979.
${ }^{19}$ Cf. Suetonius, Lives of the Caesars, Oxford 2000.
${ }^{20}$ Cf. Suétone, Vies des douze Césars, Paris, rev. 1954-7. Louis Havet in his edition of Phaedrus (Paris 1895) invokes this passage in his note on the title of Phaedrus' fable.
${ }^{21}$ Such scansions had been impermissible in Republican drama, tragic no less than comic; that they are found in Phaedrus (2.2.10 nigros, 4.2.16 patris), let alone the Senecan trimeter, does not prove that they would have sounded unforced in a farce.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. M. Valerii Martialis epigrammaton libri, Stuttgart 1990, 448.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Martial, Epigrams, Cambridge [Mass.] 1993, 213.

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ So apparently the Teubner editors E. H. Alton, D. E. W. Wormell, E. Courtney (Leipzig 1978), whose own study of the MSS' relations indicate that Caprae ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Oxford, Bodleian Library Auct. F. 4.25, s. xv) is an innovation against the Capreae of A (BAV, Reg. lat. 1709, s. x), U (BAV, Vat. lat. 3262, s. xi), and G (KBR 5369-5373, s. xi).
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. Martial Book XIII: the Xenia. Introduction and Commentary, London 2001, 160.
    ${ }^{8}$ Conversely at Plin. NH 11.191, where caprae is transmitted, the 16th-c. correction to capreae is demanded by Aristotle's $\pi$ @ó $\xi$ at PA 4.2, 676b27 (note too the following cerui).
    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. Ti. Claudius Donatus ad loc.(I, 373 Georgii): ferae, inquit, caprae, hoc est agrestes, quas capreas dicimus. mansuetae sunt enim quae sunt in gregibus nostris et quae non metuant hominum conspectum, agrestes uero timidae, leues ad cursum, quo fiebat ut saxi de uertice et iugis praecipitarentur.

[^2]:    ${ }^{14}$ See J. P. Postgate in his Oxford Classical Text, Oxford 1920, xiv.
    ${ }^{15}$ Capella is used twelve times in Vergil's Bucolics, twice in the Georgics, and never in the Aeneid.
    ${ }^{16}$ Léopold Hervieux, Les Fabulistes latins depuis le siècle d'Auguste jusqu'à la fin du moyen âge, Paris 1893-9, II,48 ‘CAPRI(E)S' (sic). Several editors emend silently, but A. Guaglianone, in his Corpus Paravianum edition (Turin 1958), retains the transmitted reading.
    ${ }^{17}$ Cf. Iul. 22.2 (cited by Donna W. Hurley, in her and G. P. Goold's revision of J. C. Rolfe's Loeb edition, Cambridge [Mass.] 1997-8); the phrase implies irrumatio before the reference to $\varphi$ oıvıxiช $\mu$ ós retrospectively reveals feminarum . . . capitibus to denote women as bearers of social and legal status, not merely as bodies.

