

Southern Illinois University Carbondale
OpenSIUC

Articles and Preprints

Department of Mathematics

1-2013

Rhombic tilings of (n,k) -Ovals, (n,k,λ) -cyclic difference sets, and related topics

John McSorley

Southern Illinois University Carbondale, jmcsorley@math.siu.edu

Alan Schoen

Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math_articles

Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License

Recommended Citation

McSorley, John and Schoen, Alan. "Rhombic tilings of (n,k) -Ovals, (n,k,λ) -cyclic difference sets, and related topics." *Discrete Mathematics* 313, No. 1 (Jan 2013): 129-154. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.08.021.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Preprints by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

Rhombic tilings of (n, k) -Ovals,
 (n, k, λ) -cyclic difference sets,
and related topics

John P. McSorley*, Alan H. Schoen

Department of Mathematics

Mailcode 4408

Southern Illinois University

Carbondale, IL 62901-4408

mcsorley60@hotmail.com

alan_schoen@frontier.com

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Each fixed integer n has associated with it $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ rhombs: $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, where, for each $1 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, rhomb ρ_h is a parallelogram with all sides of unit length and with smaller face angle equal to $h \times \frac{\pi}{n}$ radians.

An Oval is a centro-symmetric convex polygon all of whose sides are of unit length, and each of whose turning angles equals $\ell \times \frac{\pi}{n}$ for some positive integer ℓ . An (n, k) -Oval is an Oval with $2k$ sides tiled with rhombs $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$; it is defined by its Turning Angle Index Sequence, a k -composition of n . For any fixed pair (n, k) we count and generate all (n, k) -Ovals up to translations and rotations, and, using multipliers, we count and generate all (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency. For odd n if an (n, k) -Oval contains a fixed number λ of each type of rhomb $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ then it is called a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval. We prove that a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval is equivalent to a (n, k, λ) -Cyclic Difference Set. For even n we prove a similar result. Using tables of Cyclic Difference Sets we find all magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals up to congruency for $n \leq 40$.

Many related topics including lists of (n, k) -Ovals, partitions of the regular $2n$ -gon into Ovals, Cyclic Difference Families, partitions of triangle numbers, u -equivalence of (n, k) -Ovals, etc., are also considered.

Keywords: rhomb; tiling; polygon; oval; cyclic difference set; multiplier.

1 Introduction

An (n, k) -Oval is a centro-symmetric convex polygon all of whose sides are of unit length, and which is tiled by rhombs; see p.141 of Ball and Coxeter [1] and Section 3.1 of Schoen [8]. In this paper we investigate (n, k) -Ovals; it appears that this is the first significant piece of research concerning (n, k) -Ovals to be published in the mathematical literature. A preliminary version of some of this research first appeared in Schoen [8]. See Fig. 1 for an example of a $(15, 6)$ -Oval.




Figure 1: A $(15, 6)$ -Oval, \mathcal{X} , its TAIS and RIV.

In Section 2 of this paper we define an (n, k) -Oval using its Turning Angle Index Sequence (TAIS); we count all (n, k) -Ovals equivalent up to translations and rotations. We introduce the concept of a multiplier for an (n, k) -Oval and show how to generate all (n, k) -Ovals using multipliers.

In Section 3 we show the geometrical meaning of multiplier -1 for an (n, k) -Oval. We count those (n, k) -Ovals with multiplier -1 , and those without multiplier -1 . We define congruency for (n, k) -Ovals and count (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency.

In Section 4 we define the Rhombic Inventory Vector (RIV) of an (n, k) -Oval. This vector contains the number of each type of rhomb that an (n, k) -Oval contains. For each $2 \leq n \leq 10$ we list all (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency, and compute their RIVs.

In Section 5 we study magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals. For odd n a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval contains a fixed number $\lambda \geq 1$ of each type of rhomb $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$; there is a similar definition for even n . We prove that a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval is equivalent to a (n, k, λ) -Cyclic Difference Set. Using tables of Cyclic Difference Sets we find all non-trivial magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals up to congruency for $n \leq 40$.

In Section 6 the rhombs of the regular $2n$ -gon are partitioned into Ovals. Cyclic Difference Families are introduced and are shown to be equivalent to various Oval partitions; we also consider relevant integer partitions of the triangular number $\binom{n}{2}$.

In Section 7 we define u -equivalence for (n, k) -Ovals. The RIV's of two u -equivalent (n, k) -Ovals are closely related to each other. For each $2 \leq n \leq 10$ we list all (n, k) -Ovals up to u -equivalence .

2 (n, k) -Ovals, TAIS, the number of (n, k) -Ovals, multipliers, generating all (n, k) -Ovals

Each fixed integer $n \geq 2$ has associated with it $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ rhombs: $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. For each $1 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ rhomb ρ_h is a parallelogram with all sides of unit length and with smaller face angle equal to $h \times \frac{\pi}{n}$ radians; h is the *principal index* of the rhomb. The index of an adjacent face angle is $n - h$. The 7 rhombs for $n = 15$ are shown in Fig. 2.

Definitions 2.1 Centro-symmetric, turning angle, Oval

- (1) A polygon is *centro-symmetric* if it is unchanged by a rotation of π radians (half a circle).
- (2) The *turning angle* at a vertex of a polygon is the supplement of the interior angle at that vertex.
- (3) An *Oval* is a centro-symmetric convex polygon all of whose sides are of unit length, and each of whose turning angles equals $\ell \times \frac{\pi}{n}$ for some positive integer ℓ .

Every Oval necessarily has an even number of sides, which are arranged in k parallel pairs.

Definitions 2.2 (n, k) -Oval, Turning Angle Index Sequence–TAIS

- (1) An (n, k) -Oval is an Oval with $2k$ sides; it is described by the pair (n, k) and by its
- (2) *Turning Angle Index Sequence* (TAIS), a list of the turning angle indices for any k consecutive vertices.

We denote an arbitrary (n, k) -Oval by \mathcal{O} and specify a *stem* vertex of \mathcal{O} ; the TAIS of \mathcal{O} is then the list of turning angle indices at the k consecutive vertices taken in a counter-clockwise direction starting from the first vertex after the stem vertex.

Remark 2.3 The TAIS T of an (n, k) -Oval is simply a k -composition of n , *i.e.*, an ordered list of k positive integers that sum to n : $T = [t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k]$ with each $t_i \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i = n$.




Figure 2: The 7 rhombs, and their principal indices, corresponding to $n = 15$.

Example 2.4 The regular $2n$ -gon, $\{2n\}$, is an (n, n) -Oval with TAIS= $\underbrace{[1\ 1\ \dots\ 1]}_n$.

See Fig. 5 for a picture of the regular 12-gon, $\{12\}$.

Example 2.5 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. In Fig. 3(a) we show the $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} with TAIS $T = [4\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 4\ 1]$. We write $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}(T) = \mathcal{O}([4\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 4\ 1])$. In (b) the turning angle index at each vertex of \mathcal{X} is shown, as well as all indices of the $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ rhombs in \mathcal{X} . Note that the indices along the straight line at an ‘external’ vertex sum to $n = 15$, and the indices around an ‘internal’ vertex sum to $2n = 30$.




Figure 3: See Fig. 1. The $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} with TAIS $T = [4\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 4\ 1]$.

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$ be a k -subset of \mathbb{Z}_n with increasing elements. Throughout this paper the elements of S will always be written in increasing order.

Let $U(n)$ denote the group of units modulo n , *i.e.*, the multiplicative group of elements relatively prime to n .

Definitions 2.6 $uS+z$, z -equivalent and \equiv_z , cyclically-equivalent and \equiv_{cyc}

- (1) $uS + z = \{us_1 + z, us_2 + z, \dots, us_k + z\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ for $u \in U(n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.
- (2) Two k -subsets S and S' of \mathbb{Z}_n are z -equivalent, $S \equiv_z S'$, if there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $S = S' + z$.
- (3) Two TAIS's T and T' are *cyclically-equivalent*, $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} T'$, if T' is a cyclic permutation of T .

Remark 2.7 As an example of (3) above:

$$[t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 \ t_4] \equiv_{\text{cyc}} [t_4 \ t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3] \equiv_{\text{cyc}} [t_3 \ t_4 \ t_1 \ t_2] \equiv_{\text{cyc}} [t_2 \ t_3 \ t_4 \ t_1].$$

Sometimes we use $=$ in place of \equiv_z or \equiv_{cyc} for convenience.

Let $\mathcal{S}^*(n, k)$ denote the set of all k -subsets $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$. Then \equiv_z is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{S}^*(n, k)$. We denote the set of equivalence classes of \equiv_z by $\mathcal{S}_{\equiv_z}^*(n, k)$. In an equivalence class $[S]_{\equiv_z}$ or $[S]$ we often use as representative the lowest member of $[S]$ in lexicographic ordering.

Let $\mathcal{T}^*(n, k)$ denote the set of all k -compositions of n , *i.e.*, the set of TAIS T for all (n, k) -Ovals. Then \equiv_{cyc} is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{T}^*(n, k)$. We denote the set of equivalence classes of \equiv_{cyc} by $\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)$, and a typical equivalence class by $[T]_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}$ or $[T]$.

Theorem 2.12 below gives a bijection between the sets $\mathcal{S}_{\equiv_z}^*(n, k)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)$.

Definitions 2.8 $\alpha(S)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S))$ or $\mathcal{O}(T)$, $\beta(T)$

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$.

(1) $\alpha(S)$ is the ordered k -tuple

$$\alpha(S) = [s_2 - s_1, s_3 - s_2, \dots, s_k - s_{k-1}, s_1 - s_k],$$

(note that $s_1 - s_k$ will be negative, it must be replaced with $n - s_1 + s_k$).
Then $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S)) = \mathcal{O}(T)$ is the (n, k) -Oval with TAIS $\alpha(S) = T$.

Let $T = [t_1 \ t_2 \ \dots \ t_k]$ be the TAIS of an (n, k) -Oval.

(2) $\beta(T)$ is the increasing k -subset of \mathbb{Z}_n

$$\beta(T) = \beta([t_1 \ t_2 \ \dots \ t_k]) = \{0, t_1, t_1 + t_2, \dots, t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{k-1}\}.$$

Remark 2.9 See similar definitions on p.221 of Beth, Jungnickel, and Lenz [3].

Example 2.10 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. For the $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} of Example 2.5 with TAIS $T = [4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ 1]$ we have $X = S = \beta(T) = \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14\}$, then $\alpha(X) = T$.

Compare the following Theorem with Lemma 9.8, p.221 of [3].

Theorem 2.11 *Let S and S' be k -subsets of \mathbb{Z}_n . Then $S \equiv_z S'$ if and only if $\alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \alpha(S')$.*

Proof. Necessity: as usual let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$ and $\alpha(S) = [s_2 - s_1, \dots, s_k - s_{k-1}, s_1 - s_k]$. Suppose $S \equiv_z S'$ then there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ with

$$\begin{aligned} S' = S + z &= \{s_1 + z, s_2 + z, \dots, s_k + z\} \\ &= \{s_i + z, s_{i+1} + z, \dots, s_k + z, s_1 + z, s_2 + z, \dots, s_{i-1} + z\} \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq s_i + z < s_{i+1} + z < \dots < s_{i-1} + z$ is an increasing sequence for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(S') &= [s_{i+1} - s_i, \dots, s_1 - s_k, s_2 - s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} - s_{i-2}, s_i - s_{i-1}] \\ &\equiv_{\text{cyc}} [s_2 - s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} - s_{i-2}, s_i - s_{i-1}, s_{i+1} - s_i, \dots, s_1 - s_k] \\ &= \alpha(S), \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Sufficiency: if $\alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \alpha(S')$ then $\alpha(S')$ is a cyclic permutation of $\alpha(S)$. Without loss of generality let $\alpha(S) = [t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k]$ and $\alpha(S') = [t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_k t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}]$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $\beta(\alpha(S)) = \{0, t_1, t_1 + t_2, \dots, t_1 + \cdots + t_{k-1}\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\alpha(S')) &= \{0, t_i, t_i + t_{i+1}, \dots, t_i + \cdots + t_k + t_1 + \cdots + t_{i-2}\} \\ &= \beta(\alpha(S)) + (t_i + \cdots + t_k) \\ &\equiv_z \beta(\alpha(S)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\beta(\alpha(S')) \equiv_z \beta(\alpha(S))$, but from Definitions 2.8 we have $\beta(\alpha(S)) = S - s_1 \equiv_z S$ for any S , and so $S \equiv_z S'$ as required. \square

Theorem 2.12 *Let $\alpha_{\equiv} : \mathcal{S}_{\equiv_z}^*(n, k) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)$ be given by $\alpha_{\equiv}([S]) \leftrightarrow [\alpha(S)]$. Then α_{\equiv} is a bijection, and $|\mathcal{S}_{\equiv_z}^*(n, k)| = |\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)|$.*

Remark 2.13 Geometrically speaking, if two TAIS's T and T' are cyclically-equivalent, then the Ovals $\mathcal{O}(T)$ and $\mathcal{O}(T')$ can be 'moved' to one another in the plane using translations and rotations, a reflection is not required; we write $\mathcal{O}(T) = \mathcal{O}(T')$. The converse is also true. Thus $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} T'$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}(T) = \mathcal{O}(T')$.

Definitions 2.14 $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$, $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$

- (1) $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$ is the set of (n, k) -Ovals equivalent up to translations and rotations.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}(n, k) = |\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)|$ is the number of (n, k) -Ovals equivalent up to translations and rotations.

Each Oval in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$ has associated with it an equivalence class $[T]$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)$, and conversely each equivalence class $[T]$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)$ gives an Oval $\mathcal{O}(T)$ in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$. So $\mathcal{O}(n, k) = |\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)|$. This function is well-known to be the number of necklaces of size n with k white and $n - k$ black beads; for an explicit calculation of $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$ see p.468 of Van Lint and Wilson [10]. Thus, letting $\text{gcd}(n, k)$ denote the greatest common divisor of n and k , and $\phi(x)$ denote Euler's totient function, we have the following.

Theorem 2.15 *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, the number of (n, k) -Ovals is*

$$\mathcal{O}(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\text{gcd}(n, k)} \phi(d) \binom{\frac{n}{d}}{\frac{k}{d}}. \quad (1)$$

2.1 Multipliers, generating all (n, k) -Ovals

We wish to generate all Ovals in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$. To do this we find a representative of each equivalence class $[S]$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\equiv_z}^*(n, k)$ and then use Theorem 2.12 to find a representative of each equivalence class $[T]$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(n, k)$.

Definitions 2.16 multiplier m and $\text{mult}(S)$, $\text{mult}(\mathcal{O})$

Let S be a k -subset of \mathbb{Z}_n :

- (1) $m \in U(n)$ is a *multiplier* of S if $S \equiv_z mS$, *i.e.*, if there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $S = mS + z$. The set of multipliers of S is $\text{mult}(S)$.

Let $\mathcal{O}(T)$ be a (n, k) -Oval with TAIS T :

- (2) $m \in U(n)$ is a *multiplier* of $\mathcal{O}(T)$ if m is a multiplier of $S = \beta(T)$. The set of multipliers of $\mathcal{O}(T)$ is $\text{mult}(\mathcal{O}(T)) = \text{mult}(S)$.

Remark 2.17 See Chapter VI of [3] for examples of how multipliers are used in the theory of Cyclic Difference Sets; see also Section 5 of this paper. The set $\text{mult}(S)$ is a subgroup of $U(n)$, and if $S \equiv_z S'$ then $\text{mult}(S) = \text{mult}(S')$. Let T and T' be two different TAIS of an (n, k) -Oval \mathcal{O} . Then $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} T'$ and so $\beta(T) \equiv_z \beta(T')$ by Theorem 2.11, and then $\text{mult}(\beta(T)) = \text{mult}(\beta(T'))$. Hence $\text{mult}(\mathcal{O})$ is independent of the TAIS of \mathcal{O} .

Example 2.18 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. For the $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} of Examples 2.5 and 2.10 we have $X = \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14\}$ and so $\text{mult}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{mult}(X) = \{1\}$, the trivial group. For an example of a 6-set of \mathbb{Z}_{15} with non-trivial multiplier group consider $Y = \{0, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13\}$, here $\text{mult}(Y) = \{1, 4, 7, 13\}$.

Now $m \in \text{mult}(S)$ if and only if $S \equiv_z mS$. Hence the number of z -inequivalent sets in $\{uS : u \in U(n)\}$ equals the index of $\text{mult}(S)$ in $U(n)$, *i.e.*, equals $|U(n) : \text{mult}(S)| = \frac{|U(n)|}{|\text{mult}(S)|}$.

As an example of how to generate all Ovals in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$ we generate all Ovals in $\mathcal{O}^*(7, 3)$.

We have $U(7) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and so $|U(7)| = 6$.

Start with $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$. So $\text{mult}(A) = \{1, -1\}$ and $|U(7) : \text{mult}(A)| = 3$. The 3 cosets of $\text{mult}(A)$ in $U(7)$ are $\text{mult}(A)$, $2\text{mult}(A)$, and $3\text{mult}(A)$. Hence the 3 z -inequivalent sets in $\{uA : u \in U(n)\}$ are $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = 2A = \{0, 2, 4\}$, and $A_3 = 3A = \{0, 3, 6\} \equiv_z \{0, 1, 4\}$.

Then choose $A' = \{0, 1, 3\}$ from $\mathcal{S}^*(7, 3) \setminus ([A_1] \cup [A_2] \cup [A_3])$. We have $\text{mult}(A') = \{1, 2, 4\}$ and $|U(7) : \text{mult}(A')| = 2$. The 2 cosets of $\text{mult}(A')$ in $U(7)$ are $\text{mult}(A')$ and $3\text{mult}(A')$. Hence the 2 z -inequivalent sets in $\{uA' : u \in U(n)\}$ are $A'_1 = A'$ and $A'_2 = 3A' = \{3, 5, 6\} \equiv_z \{0, 1, 5\}$.

Now $\mathcal{S}^*(7, 3) \setminus ([A_1] \cup [A_2] \cup [A_3] \cup [A'_1] \cup [A'_2]) = \emptyset$, so we stop. See Example 2.19.

Example 2.19 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. Equation (1) gives $\mathcal{O}(7, 3) = |\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(7, 3)| = \frac{1}{7}\phi(1)\binom{7}{3} = 5$. Representatives of the 5 equivalence classes in both $\mathcal{S}_{\equiv_z}^*(7, 3)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\equiv_{\text{cyc}}}^*(7, 3)$, and the bijection between them, are given in the table below. The 5 $(7, 3)$ -Ovals up to translations and rotations are $\mathcal{O}^*(7, 3) = \{\mathcal{O}(T_1), \mathcal{O}(T_2), \mathcal{O}(T_3), \mathcal{O}(T_4), \mathcal{O}(T_5)\}$, see Fig. 4 below. We will see that multiplier -1 plays an important role in this paper. We use ‘ A_i ’ for a set with multiplier -1 , and ‘ B_i ’ for a set without multiplier -1 .

S	T	$\text{mult}(S)$	$\frac{ U(7) }{ \text{mult}(S) }$
$A_1 = \{0, 1, 2\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 5]$	$\{1, -1\}$	3
$A_2 = \{0, 2, 4\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_2 = [2 \ 2 \ 3]$	$\{1, -1\}$	
$A_3 = \{0, 1, 4\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_3 = [1 \ 3 \ 3]$	$\{1, -1\}$	
$B_1 = \{0, 1, 3\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_4 = [1 \ 2 \ 4]$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$	2
$B_2 = \{0, 1, 5\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_5 = [1 \ 4 \ 2]$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$	




Figure 4: The $\mathcal{O}(7, 3) = 5$ $(7, 3)$ -Ovals up to translations and rotations. The last 2 form a congruent enantiomorphic pair.

It is clear how to generalize Example 2.19 to generate all Ovals in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$, *i.e.*, all (n, k) -Ovals up to translations and rotations, for an arbitrary (n, k) starting with $A = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$.

3 Multiplier -1 , reversible T , congruent Ovals, various counts

In this Section we consider multiplier -1 of an (n, k) -Oval \mathcal{O} . We will return to consideration of multiplier -1 in Section 5.

Let $T = [t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k]$ be a TAIS of an (n, k) -Oval \mathcal{O} .

Definition 3.1 $\overleftarrow{T} = [t_k t_{k-1} \cdots t_1]$ is the *reverse* of T .

Lemma 3.2 *Let S and S' be k -subsets of \mathbb{Z}_n . Then*

$$(i) \alpha(-S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{\alpha(S)}.$$

$$(ii) S \equiv_z -S' \text{ if and only if } \alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{\alpha(S')}.$$

Proof. (i) Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$, where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k$. Then $-S = \{-s_1, -s_2, \dots, -s_k\} = \{n - s_1, n - s_2, \dots, n - s_k\} = \{n - s_k, n - s_{k-1}, \dots, n - s_2, n - s_1\}$, in increasing order. So $\alpha(-S) = [s_k - s_{k-1}, \dots, s_2 - s_1, s_1 - s_k] \equiv_{\text{cyc}} [s_1 - s_k, s_k - s_{k-1}, \dots, s_2 - s_1] = \overleftarrow{\alpha(S)}$.

(ii) Necessity: let $S \equiv_z -S'$ then $\alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \alpha(-S') \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{\alpha(S')}$ using Theorem 2.11 and then part (i) above.

Sufficiency: let $\alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{\alpha(S')}$ then $\alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \alpha(-S')$ by part (i) applied to S' , and so $S \equiv_z -S'$ by Theorem 2.11. \square

Definition 3.3 TAIS T is *reversible* if it is cyclically-equivalent to its reverse, *i.e.*, if $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{T}$, (equivalently, $T \in \overleftarrow{[T]}$ or $\overleftarrow{T} \in [T]$).

Theorem 3.4 *Let S be a k -subset of \mathbb{Z}_n . Then $-1 \in \text{mult}(S)$ if and only if $\alpha(S)$ is reversible.*

Proof. Now $-1 \in \text{mult}(S)$ if and only if $S \equiv_z -S$, if and only if $\alpha(S) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{\alpha(S)}$, if and only if $\alpha(S)$ is reversible. \square

Definitions 3.5 $\mathcal{O}(n, k; -1)$, $\mathcal{O}(n, k; \overline{-1})$

- (1) $\mathcal{O}(n, k; -1)$ is the number of (n, k) -Ovals with -1 as a multiplier.
(2) $\mathcal{O}(n, k; \overline{-1})$ is the number of (n, k) -Ovals without -1 as a multiplier.

A k -reverse of n is a reversible k -composition of n . In McSorley [6] using Polya Theory we count the number of k -reverses of n up to cyclic permutation; this number is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\equiv}(n, k)$. From Theorem 3.4 above we have $\mathcal{O}(n, k; -1) = \mathcal{R}_{\equiv}(n, k)$.

Theorem 3.6 For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, the number of (n, k) -Ovals with -1 as a multiplier is

$$\mathcal{O}(n, k; -1) = \begin{cases} \binom{\frac{n-2}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For a given TAIS T we obtain Oval $\mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})$ from Oval $\mathcal{O}(T)$ by reflecting $\mathcal{O}(T)$ in a straight line that (for simplicity) does not intersect $\mathcal{O}(T)$. We denote the reflection of \mathcal{O} by $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{O}}$.

When Ovals $\mathcal{O}(T)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})$ cannot be moved to one another using only translations and rotations, we say they are *enantiomorphs* of each other. In this case $\mathcal{O}(T) \neq \mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})$ and a reflection is required to move $\mathcal{O}(T)$ to $\mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})$ and vice-versa. (Oval $\mathcal{O}(T)$ is congruent to $\mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{\overleftarrow{T}})$; see Section 3.1.) These comments and Theorem 3.4 give the following.

Theorem 3.7 Let $\mathcal{O}(T)$ be an (n, k) -Oval.

- (i) $\mathcal{O}(T)$ has multiplier -1 if and only if T is reversible, if and only if $\mathcal{O}(T) = \mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})$.
(ii) $\mathcal{O}(T)$ does not have multiplier -1 if and only if T is not reversible, if and only if $\mathcal{O}(T) \neq \mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})$. Such Ovals occur in $\{\mathcal{O}(T), \mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T})\}$ (congruent) enantiomorphic pairs in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$. (Hence there is an even number of Ovals in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$ without multiplier -1 .)

Example 3.8 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. See Example 2.19.

$\mathcal{O}^*(7, 3) = \{\mathcal{O}(T_1), \mathcal{O}(T_2), \mathcal{O}(T_3), \mathcal{O}(T_4), \mathcal{O}(T_5)\}$, and Theorem 3.6 gives $\mathcal{O}(7, 3; -1) = \binom{3}{1} = 3$.

If $i = 1, 2$, or 3 , then $-1 \in \text{mult}(\mathcal{O}(T_i))$ and so $T_i \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{T_i}$; *eg.*, for $i = 1$ we have $[1 \ 1 \ 5] \equiv_{\text{cyc}} [5 \ 1 \ 1] (= [1 \ 1 \ 5])$.

If $i = 4$, or 5 , then $-1 \notin \text{mult}(\mathcal{O}(T_i))$ and so $T_i \not\equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{T_i}$; *eg.*, for $i = 4$ we have $[1 \ 2 \ 4] \not\equiv_{\text{cyc}} [4 \ 2 \ 1] (= [1 \ 2 \ 4])$.

The pair $\{\mathcal{O}(T_4), \mathcal{O}(T_5)\} = \{\mathcal{O}(T_4), \mathcal{O}(\overleftarrow{T_4})\}$ is a (congruent) enantiomorphic pair referred to in Theorem 3.7(ii).

3.1 Congruent Ovals

Definitions 3.9 congruent and \equiv_c

- (1) Two k -subsets S and S' of \mathbb{Z}_n are *congruent*, $S \equiv_c S'$, if $S \equiv_z S'$ or $S \equiv_z -S'$.
- (2) Two TAIS T and T' are *congruent*, $T \equiv_c T'$, if $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} T'$ or $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{T'}$.
- (3) Two (n, k) -Ovals \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' are *congruent*, $\mathcal{O} \equiv_c \mathcal{O}'$, if $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$ or $\mathcal{O} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{O}'}$, *i.e.*, if \mathcal{O} can be moved to \mathcal{O}' by a sequence of translations, rotations, or reflections, (isometries).

Then, from Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 3.2, we have the following.

Theorem 3.10 *Let S and S' be k -subsets of \mathbb{Z}_n . Then $S \equiv_c S'$ if and only if $\alpha(S) \equiv_c \alpha(S')$, if and only if $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S)) \equiv_c \mathcal{O}(\alpha(S'))$.*

Definition 3.11 $\text{Mult}(S) = \text{mult}(S) \cup -\text{mult}(S)$.

Remark 3.12 It is straightforward to show that $\text{Mult}(S)$ is a subgroup of $U(n)$. If $-1 \in \text{mult}(S)$ then $\text{Mult}(S) = \text{mult}(S)$, and if $-1 \notin \text{mult}(S)$ then $|\text{Mult}(S)| = 2|\text{mult}(S)|$.

Definitions 3.13 $\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)$, $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k)$

(1) $\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)$ is the set of (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency.

(2) $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k) = |\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)|$ is the number of (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency.

In order to generate the set $\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)$ for an arbitrary (n, k) we may use the procedure in Section 2.1 to find $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$ and then combine congruent enantiomorphic pairs of Ovals; see Theorem 3.7(ii). Alternatively, we may use this procedure with the group $\text{mult}(S)$ replaced by $\text{Mult}(S)$.

Example 3.14 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. See Examples 2.19 and 3.8.

To find $\mathcal{O}_c^*(7, 3)$ using the first method mentioned above we start with $\mathcal{O}^*(7, 3) = \{\mathcal{O}(T_1), \mathcal{O}(T_2), \mathcal{O}(T_3), \mathcal{O}(T_4), \mathcal{O}(\overline{T_4})\}$ and combine the last 2 Ovals into a single congruency class to give $\mathcal{O}_c^*(7, 3) = \{\mathcal{O}(T_1), \mathcal{O}(T_2), \mathcal{O}(T_3), \mathcal{O}(T_4)\}$.

Using the second method, the procedure of Section 2.1 with $\text{mult}(S)$ replaced by $\text{Mult}(S)$ gives the following table:

S	T	$\text{Mult}(S)$	$\frac{ U(7) }{ \text{Mult}(S) }$
$A_1 = \{0, 1, 2\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 5]$	$\{1, -1\}$	3
$A_2 = \{0, 2, 4\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_2 = [2 \ 2 \ 3]$	$\{1, -1\}$	
$A_3 = \{0, 1, 4\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_3 = [1 \ 3 \ 3]$	$\{1, -1\}$	
$B_1 = \{0, 1, 3\}$	$\leftrightarrow T_4 = [1 \ 2 \ 4]$	$U(7)$	1

This also gives $\mathcal{O}_c^*(7, 3) = \{\mathcal{O}(T_1), \mathcal{O}(T_2), \mathcal{O}(T_3), \mathcal{O}(T_4)\}$, the set of all $(7, 3)$ -Ovals up to congruency.

3.2 $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k)$, $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$, and $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1})$

Definitions 3.15 $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$, $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1})$

(1) $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$ is the number of (n, k) -Ovals with -1 as a multiplier, up to congruency.

(2) $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1})$ is the number of (n, k) -Ovals without -1 as a multiplier, up to congruency.

Lemma 3.16

$$\mathcal{O}_c(n, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) + \mathcal{O}(n, k; -1) \right).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_c(n, k) &= \mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1) + \mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1}) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(n, k; -1) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}(n, k; \overline{-1}) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(n, k; -1) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}(n, k) - \mathcal{O}(n, k; -1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}(n, k) + \mathcal{O}(n, k; -1)).
\end{aligned}$$

At the second line we use $\mathcal{O}(n, k; -1) = \mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$ because if \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' both have -1 as a multiplier then, from Definitions 3.9(3) and Theorem 3.7(i), we have $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$ if and only if $\mathcal{O} \equiv_c \mathcal{O}'$. And $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}(n, k; \overline{-1})$ comes directly from Theorem 3.7(ii). \square

Recall that $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$ is given explicitly in Equation (1).

Theorem 3.17 *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, the number of (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency is*

$$\mathcal{O}_c(n, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) + \binom{\frac{n-2}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) + \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) + \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{k}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) + \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{\frac{k}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.6 now gives the following.

Theorem 3.18 *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, the number of (n, k) -Ovals without -1 as a multiplier up to congruency is*

$$\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) - \binom{\frac{n-2}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) - \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) - \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{k}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{O}(n, k) - \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{\frac{k}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$n \setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	$\mathcal{O}_c(n)$
2	1									1
3	1	1								2
4	2	1	1							4
5	2	2	1	1						6
6	3	3	3	1	1					11
7	3	4	4	3	1	1				16
8	4	5	8	5	4	1	1			28
9	4	7	10	10	7	4	1	1		44
10	5	8	16	16	16	8	5	1	1	76
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots

(a) $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k)$

$n \setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	$\mathcal{O}_c(n; -1)$	$n \setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	$\mathcal{O}_c(n; \overline{-1})$
2	1									1	2	0									0
3	1	1								2	3	0	0								0
4	2	1	1							4	4	0	0	0							0
5	2	2	1	1						6	5	0	0	0	0						0
6	3	2	3	1	1					10	6	0	1	0	0	0					1
7	3	3	3	3	1	1				14	7	0	1	1	0	0	0				2
8	4	3	6	3	4	1	1			22	8	0	2	2	2	0	0	0			6
9	4	4	6	6	4	4	1	1		30	9	0	3	4	4	3	0	0	0		14
10	5	4	10	6	10	4	5	1	1	46	10	0	4	6	10	6	4	0	0	0	30
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots

(b) $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$ (c) $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1})$

Table 1: Values of $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k)$, $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$, and $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1})$ for $2 \leq k \leq n \leq 10$, and of $\mathcal{O}_c(n)$, $\mathcal{O}_c(n; -1)$, and $\mathcal{O}_c(n; \overline{-1})$ for $2 \leq n \leq 10$.

See Table 1(a). The triangle of values of $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k)$ when read row-by-row gives sequence A052307 in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [7].

See Table 1(b). The triangle of values of $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1) = \mathcal{O}(n, k; -1)$ (see Theorem 3.6) is equal to the triangle of sequence A119963 in [7] (with the first two columns of 1's removed). So $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; -1)$ gives the *first* combinatorial interpretation of sequence A119963 in [7]. Thus (ignoring the first two columns of 1's) the (n, k) term in the triangle of sequence A119963 is the number of (n, k) -Ovals with -1 as a multiplier, up to congruency. For

the sequence of row sums of the triangle of sequence A119963 see sequence A029744, and the comment ‘Necklaces with n beads that are the same when turned over’.

See Table 1(c). When the triangle of values of $\mathcal{O}_c(n, k; \overline{-1})$ is read row-by-row we obtain a new sequence, see sequence A180472 in [7]. For the sequence of row sums of this triangle see sequence A059076: ‘Number of orientable necklaces with n beads and two colors; *i.e.*, turning over the necklace does not leave it unchanged’.

Example 3.19 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. From Example 3.14 the number of $(7, 3)$ -Ovals up to congruency is 4. Theorem 3.17 gives $\mathcal{O}_c(7, 3) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}(7, 3) + \binom{3}{1}) = \frac{1}{2}(5 + 3) = 4$, also. Of these 4 Ovals, 3 have -1 as a multiplier, and 1 does not. Theorem 3.6 gives $\mathcal{O}_c(7, 3; -1) = \binom{3}{1} = 3$, and Theorem 3.18 gives $\mathcal{O}_c(7, 3; \overline{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}(7, 3) - \binom{3}{1}) = \frac{1}{2}(5 - 3) = 1$. Thus all counts for $(n, k) = (7, 3)$ from Example 3.14 are confirmed.

4 Rhombic Inventory Vector, all (n, k) -Ovals for $n \leq 10$

We use \subseteq_m to denote containment in multisets. For example, if multiset $M = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4\}$ then $L = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 4, 4\} \subseteq_m M$ but $L' = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 2\} \not\subseteq_m M$. We say that L is a multisubset of M . Further, we replace $\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_b$ by a^b , so $M = \{1^3, 2^1, 3^2, 4^4\}$.

On p.141 of Ball and Coxeter [1] it is proved that every (n, k) -Oval \mathcal{O} , with $2 \leq k \leq n$, can be tiled by a multiset of $\binom{k}{2}$ rhombs chosen from $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

The regular $2n$ -gon, $\{2n\}$, is an (n, n) -Oval with $\text{TAIS} = \underbrace{[1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]}_n$.

Definition 4.1 The *Standard Rhombic Inventory*, SRI_{2n} , is the multiset of $\binom{n}{2}$ rhombs that tile $\{2n\}$.

There are $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ different shapes of rhombs in SRI_{2n} ; see Section 2. When n is odd, SRI_{2n} contains n copies of each of the $\frac{n-1}{2} = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ shapes of rhomb,

$\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. When n is even, SRI_{2n} contains n copies of each of the $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ non-square rhombs, $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$, but only $\frac{n}{2}$ copies of the square $\rho_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

For a fixed (n, k) -Oval \mathcal{O} let λ_h equal the number of rhombs in \mathcal{O} with principal index h .

Definition 4.2 The *Rhombic Inventory Vector* (RIV) of Oval \mathcal{O} , $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O})$, is the vector $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})$ of length $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The sum of the components in $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O})$ equals $\binom{k}{2}$.

Example 4.3 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. See Figs. 1 and 3. The $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} is tiled by $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ rhombs. The rhomb ρ_4 occurs twice in \mathcal{X} , so $\lambda_4 = 2$. We have $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{X}) = (2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2)$.

The RIV of an (n, k) -Oval can be derived from its TAIS by constructing its Oval Index Triangle, (OIT). The construction of an OIT is described below for our $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} .

First we define the function $r : \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$:

$$r(a) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \\ -a \text{ or } n - a & \text{if } a > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We extend the definition of r to multisets M as follows: $r(M) = \{r(a) \mid a \in M\}$.

The TAIS for \mathcal{X} is $[4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ 1]$. To compute $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{X})$:

(i) Delete the last turning angle index from the TAIS, thereby obtaining the sequence of indices for the upper interior face angles of the rhombs in the *receptacle* — the cluster of $k - 1$ rhombs that are incident on the stem vertex of the Oval. (‘Receptacle’ is the term used by botanists to denote the part of a plant that holds the fruit.) We call this sequence the ‘truncated TAIS’. The truncated TAIS for \mathcal{X} is $[4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4]$.

(ii) The first row of the OIT equals the truncated TAIS. Below each pair of consecutive indices in the first row enter their sum in the second row:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & \\ & 7 & 5 & 3 & 5 & \end{array}$$

- (iii) Let $h_{i,j}$ denote the index in row i and position j of the triangle, where $i \geq 3$, and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-i$, counting from the left. Now the indices at each interior vertex of an (n, k) -Oval sum to $2n$, so simple trigonometry gives:

$$h_{i+1,j} = h_{i,j} + h_{i,j+1} - h_{i-1,j+1}.$$

See the left-hand triangle in (iv) below.

- (iv) Apply function r to the indices of the left-hand triangle, *i.e.*, replace index $h > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ by $n - h$. The OIT is now complete.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\
 & 7 & 5 & 3 & 5 & & & 7 & 5 & 3 & 5 \\
 & & 9 & 6 & 7 & \xrightarrow{r} & & 6 & 6 & 7 \\
 & & & 10 & 10 & & & 5 & 5 \\
 & & & & 14 & & & & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & \text{OIT}
 \end{array}$$

- (v) Now count the frequency of each principal index in the OIT to obtain $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{X}) = (2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2)$, as above.

Recall the definition of $\alpha(S)$ from Definitions 2.8(1).

Definitions 4.4 $\delta(S)$, $\text{OIT}(\alpha(S))$ or $\text{OIT}(T)$

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$.

- (1) $\delta(S) = \{s_j - s_i : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$ is a multiset of non-zero differences of S .

Note that $|\delta(S)| = \binom{k}{2}$.

- (2) $\text{OIT}(\alpha(S)) = \text{OIT}(T)$ is the multiset of indices in the OIT with first row $[s_2 - s_1, s_3 - s_2, \dots, s_k - s_{k-1}]$, the truncation of $\alpha(S) = T$.

Lemma 4.5 Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $\text{OIT}(\alpha(S)) = r(\delta(S))$.

Proof. Consider the triangle formed previously with $h_{i,j}$ as the index in row i and position j , counting from the left, and let H denote the multiset of all such $h_{i,j}$.

We show for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-i$ that $h_{i,j} = s_{i+j} - s_j \in \delta(S)$, *i.e.*, that the indices in row i of this triangle are the difference of two s 's $\in S$ whose subscripts differ by i .

By definition of the triangle this is clearly true for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that the hypothesis is true for rows $1, 2, \dots, i$. Then, for $i \geq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i+1,j} &= h_{i,j} + h_{i,j+1} - h_{i-1,j+1} \\ &= (s_{i+j} - s_j) + (s_{i+(j+1)} - s_{j+1}) - (s_{(i-1)+(j+1)} - s_{j+1}) \\ &= s_{(i+1)+j} - s_j \in \delta(S), \end{aligned}$$

using strong induction at the second line. Hence the induction goes through, and $H \subseteq_m \delta(S)$, but $|H| = \binom{k}{2} = |\delta(S)|$, and so $H = \delta(S)$. Now apply r to both sides of this equation to give the result. \square

Example 4.6 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. Our $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} has TAIS $T = [4\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 4\ 1]$. So $X = \beta(T) = \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14\}$, giving $\delta(X) = \{1^1, 2^1, 3^2, 4^2, 5^2, 6^1, 7^2, 9^1, 10^2, 14^1\}$, and $r(\delta(X)) = \{1^2, 2^1, 3^2, 4^2, 5^4, 6^2, 7^2\}$. So $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{X}) = (2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2)$, as above.

Remark 4.7 It is straightforward to show that the multiset $\text{OIT}(T)$ doesn't depend on how we truncated T to form the first row of the OIT.

4.1 All (n, k) -Ovals and their RIV's for $n \leq 10$

In Tables 2 and 3 below we list and number all (n, k) -Ovals up to congruence, and their RIV's, for $2 \leq n \leq 10$. We refer to these Ovals by their numbers in later Sections.

\mathcal{O}_i	k	TAIS	RIV
\mathcal{O}_1	2	[1 1]	(1)

$n = 2$

\mathcal{O}_i	k	TAIS	RIV
\mathcal{O}_1	2	[1 2]	(1)
\mathcal{O}_2	3	[1 1 1]	(3)

$n = 3$

\mathcal{O}_i	k	TAIS	RIV
\mathcal{O}_1	2	[1 3]	(1, 0)
\mathcal{O}_2	2	[2 2]	(0, 1)
\mathcal{O}_3	3	[1 1 2]	(2, 1)
\mathcal{O}_4	4	[1 1 1 1]	(4, 2)

$n = 4$

\mathcal{O}_i	k	TAIS	RIV
\mathcal{O}_1	2	[1 4]	(1, 0)
\mathcal{O}_2	2	[2 3]	(0, 1)
\mathcal{O}_3	3	[1 1 3]	(2, 1)
\mathcal{O}_4	3	[1 2 2]	(1, 2)
\mathcal{O}_5	4	[1 1 1 2]	(3, 3)
\mathcal{O}_6	5	[1 1 1 1 1]	(5, 5)

$n = 5$

\mathcal{O}_i	k	TAIS	RIV
\mathcal{O}_1	2	[1 5]	(1, 0, 0)
\mathcal{O}_2	2	[2 4]	(0, 1, 0)
\mathcal{O}_3	2	[3 3]	(0, 0, 1)
\mathcal{O}_4	3	[1 1 4]	(2, 1, 0)
\mathcal{O}_5	3	[1 2 3]	(1, 1, 1)
\mathcal{O}_6	3	[2 2 2]	(0, 3, 0)
\mathcal{O}_7	4	[1 1 1 3]	(3, 2, 1)
\mathcal{O}_8	4	[1 1 2 2]	(2, 3, 1)
\mathcal{O}_9	4	[1 2 1 2]	(2, 2, 2)
\mathcal{O}_{10}	5	[1 1 1 1 2]	(4, 4, 2)
\mathcal{O}_{11}	6	[1 1 1 1 1 1]	(6, 6, 3)

$n = 6$

\mathcal{O}_i	k	TAIS	RIV
\mathcal{O}_1	2	[1 6]	(1, 0, 0)
\mathcal{O}_2	2	[2 5]	(0, 1, 0)
\mathcal{O}_3	2	[3 4]	(0, 0, 1)
\mathcal{O}_4	3	[1 1 5]	(2, 1, 0)
\mathcal{O}_5	3	[1 2 4]	(1, 1, 1)
\mathcal{O}_6	3	[1 3 3]	(1, 0, 2)
\mathcal{O}_7	3	[2 2 3]	(0, 2, 1)
\mathcal{O}_8	4	[1 1 1 4]	(3, 2, 1)
\mathcal{O}_9	4	[1 1 2 3]	(2, 2, 2)
\mathcal{O}_{10}	4	[1 2 1 3]	(2, 1, 3)
\mathcal{O}_{11}	4	[1 2 2 2]	(1, 3, 2)
\mathcal{O}_{12}	5	[1 1 1 1 3]	(4, 3, 3)
\mathcal{O}_{13}	5	[1 1 1 2 2]	(3, 4, 3)
\mathcal{O}_{14}	5	[1 1 2 1 2]	(3, 3, 4)
\mathcal{O}_{15}	6	[1 1 1 1 1 2]	(5, 5, 5)
\mathcal{O}_{16}	7	[1 1 1 1 1 1 1]	(7, 7, 7)

$n = 7$

Table 2: All (n, k) -Ovals up to congruence and their RIV's for $2 \leq n \leq 7$.

5 Magic Ovals, cyclic difference sets, multiplier -1 , all magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals for $n \leq 40$

Recall $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, and $r : \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ from Equation (2), and $\delta(S)$ from Definitions 4.4(1); let M be a multiset with elements from $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$. We need two more definitions.

Definitions 5.1 $f_M(a), \Delta(S)$

- (1) $f_M(a)$ is the frequency of $a \in M$.
- (2) $\Delta(S) = \delta(S) \cup -\delta(S)$ is the multiset of non-zero differences of S .

Note that $-\delta(S) = \{s_i - s_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$, and $|-\delta(S)| = |\delta(S)| = \binom{k}{2}$, and $|\Delta(S)| = k(k-1)$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let M be a multiset with elements from $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$. Then $r(M) = r(-M)$.*

Proof. Let n be even. Consider an occurrence of $a \in M$.

Suppose $a \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. First, if $a = \frac{n}{2}$ then $r(a) = \frac{n}{2}$. Now $-a = \frac{n}{2} \in -M$ and $r(-a) = \frac{n}{2}$ also. Thus element $\frac{n}{2} \in M$ ‘contributes’ the same element $\frac{n}{2}$ to both multisets $r(M)$ and $r(-M)$. Second, if $a < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $r(a) = a$. Now $-a \in -M$ satisfies $-a > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ so $r(-a) = -(-a) = a$. So, again, element $a \in M$ contributes the same element a to both $r(M)$ and $r(-M)$.

Suppose $a > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then $r(a) = -a$. Now $-a \in -M$ satisfies $-a < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ so $r(-a) = -a$. Thus, element $a \in M$ contributes the same element $-a$ to both $r(M)$ and $r(-M)$.

In conclusion, any occurrence of $a \in M$ contributes the same element to both multisets $r(M)$ and $r(-M)$. Thus $r(M) = r(-M)$. The proof for odd n is similar. \square

Definition 5.3 The *Short Frequency Vector* (SFV) of $r(M)$ is the vector $(f_{r(M)}(1), f_{r(M)}(2), \dots, f_{r(M)}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor))$ of length $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Remark 5.4 From Lemma 4.5 we have $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S))) = \text{SFV}(r(\delta(S)))$.

Example 5.5 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. See Example 4.6. Here $X = \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{15}$ and $\delta(X) = \{1^1, 2^1, 3^2, 4^2, 5^2, 6^1, 7^2, 9^1, 10^2, 14^1\}$, and $r(\delta(X)) = \{1^2, 2^1, 3^2, 4^2, 5^4, 6^2, 7^2\}$. So $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}(\alpha(X))) = \text{SFV}(r(\delta(X))) = (2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2)$.

Lemma 5.6 *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $\text{SFV}(r(\Delta(S))) = 2 \times \text{SFV}(r(\delta(S)))$.*

Proof. Now $\Delta(S) = \delta(S) \cup -\delta(S)$, and so $r(\Delta(S)) = r(\delta(S)) \cup -r(\delta(S)) = r(\delta(S)) \cup r(\delta(S))$ using Lemma 5.2. Hence for any $a \in r(\delta(S))$ we have $f_{r(\Delta(S))}(a) = 2 \times f_{r(\delta(S))}(a)$, and so the result. \square

Example 5.7 $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. See Example 5.5. Again, $X = \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{15}$ and $\Delta(X) = \{1^2, 2^2, 3^4, 4^4, 5^4, 6^2, 7^4, 9^2, 10^4, 14^2\}$, and $r(\Delta(X)) = \{1^4, 2^2, 3^4, 4^4, 5^8, 6^4, 7^4\}$. So $\text{SFV}(r(\Delta(X))) = (4, 2, 4, 4, 8, 4, 4) = 2 \times (2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2) = 2 \times \text{SFV}(r(\delta(X)))$.

5.1 Magic Ovals and cyclic difference sets

Definition 5.8 A (n, k, λ) -cyclic difference set – (n, k, λ) -CDS – is a k -subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the property that $\Delta(D)$ contains every non-zero element of \mathbb{Z}_n exactly λ times.

In a (n, k, λ) -CDS straightforward counting gives:

$$\lambda(n-1) = k(k-1), \quad (3)$$

this shows that λ is even if n is even.

Example 5.9 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a $(7, 3, 1)$ -CDS. We have $\delta(D) = \{1, 3, 2\}$ and $-\delta(D) = \{-1, -3, -2\} = \{6, 4, 5\}$, giving $\Delta(D) = \{1^1, 2^1, 3^1, 4^1, 5^1, 6^1\}$.

Recall that, when n is odd, there are n copies of each of the $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ distinct rhombs in SRI_{2n} , *i.e.*, $\text{RIV}(\{2n\}) = (n, n, \dots, n, n)$, and, when n is even, there are n copies of each of the $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ non-square rhombs in SRI_{2n} , but only $\frac{n}{2}$ copies of the square, *i.e.*, $\text{RIV}(\{2n\}) = (n, n, \dots, n, \frac{n}{2})$.

Definition 5.10 A *magic* (n, k, λ) -Oval is, for odd n , an (n, k) -Oval that contains exactly λ copies of each of the $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ distinct rhombs of SRI_{2n} , *i.e.*, that has $\text{RIV} = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, \lambda)$, and is, for even n , an (n, k) -Oval that contains exactly λ copies of each of the $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ non-square rhombs in SRI_{2n} , but only $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ copies of the square, *i.e.*, that has $\text{RIV} = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, \frac{\lambda}{2})$.

The following Theorem 5.11 is a main result, it proves equivalence of a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval and a (n, k, λ) -CDS.

Theorem 5.11 Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S))$ is a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval if and only if S is a (n, k, λ) -CDS. Moreover, λ is equal to the number of 1's in TAIS $\alpha(S)$.

Proof. Necessity: let $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S))$ be a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval.

For odd n : for each $h = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, there are λ occurrences of h in $\text{OIT}(\alpha(S))$ so, by the proof of Lemma 4.5, the multiset $\delta(S)$ contains λ occurrences from $\{h, n-h\}$. Suppose h occurs λ' times in $\delta(S)$ then $n-h$ will occur $\lambda - \lambda'$ times in $\delta(S)$, so h will occur $\lambda - \lambda'$ times in $-\delta(S)$. Hence h will occur exactly λ times in $\Delta(S) = \delta(S) \cup -\delta(S)$. For $h = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor +$

$1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2, \dots, n - 1$, we argue in a similar way with h replaced by $n - h$ to conclude that these h also occur λ times in $\Delta(S)$. Now $\Delta(S)$ is the multiset of differences defined by S ; hence S is a cyclic difference set with repetition number λ , *i.e.*, S is a (n, k, λ) -CDS.

For even n : arguing as above each $h \neq \frac{n}{2}$ occurs λ times in $\Delta(S)$. Also $h = \frac{n}{2}$ occurs $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ times in $\text{OIT}(\alpha(S))$, *i.e.*, $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ times in $r(\delta(S))$ and so $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ times in $\delta(S)$, and thus λ times in $\Delta(S)$ using Lemma 5.6. Hence, for even n also, S is a (n, k, λ) -CDS.

Sufficiency: let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ be a (n, k, λ) -CDS. So, for odd n , we have $\text{SFV}(r(\Delta(S))) = (2\lambda, 2\lambda, \dots, 2\lambda, 2\lambda)$, and, for even n , we have $\text{SFV}(r(\Delta(S))) = (2\lambda, 2\lambda, \dots, 2\lambda, \lambda)$. Hence, from Lemma 5.6, for odd n , we have $\text{SFV}(r(\delta(S))) = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, \lambda)$, and, for even n , we have $\text{SFV}(r(\delta(S))) = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, \frac{\lambda}{2})$. But $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S))) = \text{SFV}(r(\delta(S)))$ and so $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(S))$ is a magic (n, k, λ) -Oval.

Let μ be the number of 1's in TAIS $\alpha(S) = [s_2 - s_1, s_3 - s_2, \dots, s_k - s_{k-1}, s_1 - s_k]$. Recall that the elements in $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ are in increasing order and satisfy $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$. There are λ 1's in $\Delta(S)$; hence there are λ solutions to $s_j - s_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $i \neq j$. Now if $s_j - s_i = 1$ or $-(n - 1)$ then $j = i + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, or $j = 1$ and $i = k$ (respectively), and thus $s_j - s_i$ is an element of $\alpha(S)$. Hence $\mu \geq \lambda$. Conversely, because there are μ 1's in the TAIS $\alpha(S)$ and every element of this TAIS is also an element of $\Delta(S)$, then $\mu \leq \lambda$. Hence $\lambda = \mu$. \square

Example 5.12

(a) The regular $2n$ -gon $\{2n\}$ has TAIS = $\underbrace{[1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]}_n$, which contains n 1's. It is a magic (n, n, n) -Oval with corresponding (n, n, n) -CDS $D = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. For odd n we have $\text{RIV}(\{2n\}) = (n, n, \dots, n, n)$, and for even n $\text{RIV}(\{2n\}) = (n, n, \dots, n, \frac{n}{2})$.

(b) If we remove the right-hand strip of rhombs in $\{2n\}$ we produce a magic $(n, n - 1, n - 2)$ -Oval $\{2n\}'$ with TAIS = $\underbrace{[1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1 \ 2]}_{n-1}$, containing $n - 2$ 1's.

For odd n we have $\text{RIV}(\{2n\}') = (n - 2, n - 2, \dots, n - 2, n - 2)$, and, for even n , we have $\text{RIV}(\{2n\}') = (n - 2, n - 2, \dots, n - 2, \frac{n-2}{2})$. The corresponding $(n, n - 1, n - 2)$ -CDS is $D' = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 2\}$. See Fig. 5 for an example with $n = 12$.

If we remove another strip of rhombs we obtain an $(n, n - 2)$ -Oval but only




Figure 5: The regular 12-gon $\{12\}$, and the magic $(6, 5, 4)$ -Oval $\{12\}'$ obtained by removing the right-hand strip of rhombs from $\{12\}$.

non-integer values of λ result from Equation (3), and so such an Oval is not magic.

(c) $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. See Example 5.9. The set $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a $(7, 3, 1)$ -CDS, and so $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$ is a magic $(7, 3, 1)$ -Oval with TAIS $\alpha(D) = [1\ 2\ 4]$, which contains one 1. The OIT for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$ is $\begin{matrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & \end{matrix}$ and so $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))) = (1, 1, 1)$. See the fourth $(7, 3)$ -Oval in Fig. 4.

(d) $(n, k) = (15, 7)$. See Fig. 6. The set $D = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10\}$ is a $(15, 7, 3)$ -CDS. We have $\alpha(D) = [1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 5]$, which contains 3 1's, and the $(15, 7)$ -Oval $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$ is a magic $(15, 7, 3)$ -Oval with OIT

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\
 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
 & & 4 & 4 & 6 & 6 \\
 & & & 5 & 7 & 7 \\
 & & & & 7 & 6 \\
 & & & & & 5
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{RIV}(3,3,3,3,3,3,3).$$




Figure 6: The magic $(15, 7, 3)$ -Oval $\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 5])$.

Remark 5.13 The CDS's D and D' in Examples 5.12(a) and (b) above are usually considered to be 'trivial' CDS; see p.298 of [3]. We ignore the other two trivial CDS, namely \emptyset and $\{s_i\}$, because $k \geq 2$. Thus non-trivial magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals have $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$.

Both these trivial CDS's have $\text{mult}(D) = \text{mult}(D') = U(n)$, so both have -1 as a multiplier. Let D be a non-trivial (n, k, λ) -CDS. Then it is combinatorial folklore that -1 is *not* a multiplier of D ; see the discussion on p.60 of Baumert [2]. Thus -1 is not a multiplier of the non-trivial magic (n, k, λ) -Oval $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$. Then Theorem 3.7(ii) gives Theorem 5.14 below which is a geometrical interpretation of this fact.

Theorem 5.14 *Let $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$ be a non-trivial magic (n, k, λ) -Oval. Then -1 is not a multiplier of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$, so $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D)) \neq \mathcal{O}(\alpha(-D))$ and $\{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D)), \mathcal{O}(\alpha(-D))\}$ is a congruent enantiomorphic pair in $\mathcal{O}^*(n, k)$.*

Example 5.15 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. See Examples 3.8 and 5.12(c). The $(7, 3)$ -Oval $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(\mathcal{D}))$ with $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a non-trivial magic $(7, 3, 1)$ -Oval, so $-1 \notin \text{mult}(\mathcal{O}(\alpha(\mathcal{D})))$ and $\{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(\mathcal{D})), \mathcal{O}(\alpha(-\mathcal{D}))\}$ is a congruent enantiomorphic pair in $\mathcal{O}^*(7, 3)$.

To the end of this Section we assume our CDS's are non-trivial.

Definition 5.16 A (n, k, λ) -CDS is *planar* if $\lambda = 1$.

We now give a new proof that -1 is not a multiplier of a planar CDS.

Theorem 5.17 *Let D be a planar $(n, k, 1)$ -CDS with $k \geq 3$. Then $-1 \notin \text{mult}(D)$.*

Proof. Let $T = \alpha(D) = [t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k]$ be the TAIS of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$. Then $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D))$ is a magic $(n, k, 1)$ -Oval. Suppose that two parts of T are equal, say $t_i = t_j = h$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $1 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Now form $\text{OIT}(T)$ using any truncated TAIS containing both t_i and t_j , this is possible because $k \geq 3$. Then $\text{OIT}(T)$ will contain at least 2 copies of rhomb ρ_h , i.e., $\lambda_h \geq 2$ in $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D)))$, a contradiction because $\lambda = \lambda_h = 1$. So the k parts of $T = [t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k]$ are distinct.

Suppose that T is reversible, so $T \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{T}$ where $\overleftarrow{T} = [t_k t_{k-1} \cdots t_1]$. Now, because the parts of T are distinct, we have $\overleftarrow{\overleftarrow{T}} \equiv_{\text{cyc}} [t_1 t_k \cdots t_2] = [t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k]$, so $t_k = t_2$, a contradiction. Hence T is not reversible, and, by Theorem 3.4, we have $-1 \notin \text{mult}(D)$. \square

5.2 All magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals, $n \leq 40$

See p.2 of Baumert [2].

Definition 5.18 Two k -subsets S and S' of \mathbb{Z}_n are (u, z) -equivalent, $S \equiv_{u, z} S'$, if there exists $u \in U(n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $S = uS' + z$.

Table 6.1, p.150 of [2] contains a complete list of the 74 (n, k, λ) triples with $k \leq 100$ for which a (n, k, λ) -CDS exists, with at least one example of such a CDS for each triple.

Moreover, for the 12 (n, k, λ) triples with $n \leq 40$, see our Table 4 below, the (n, k, λ) -CDS examples in Table 6.1 of [2] are *all* the examples up to (u, z) -equivalence. To confirm this statement for these 12 triples see Hall [5]. As a double-check for the 8 triples: $(7, 3, 1)$, $(13, 4, 1)$, $(15, 7, 3)$, $(19, 9, 4)$, $(21, 5, 1)$, $(23, 11, 5)$, $(31, 6, 1)$, and $(37, 9, 2)$ see the explicit examples on pp.306–308 and p.327 of [3]. The remaining 4 triples: $(11, 5, 2)$, $(31, 15, 7)$, $(35, 17, 8)$, and $(40, 13, 4)$ were also double-checked by the authors using computer searches and Theorem 2.9 on p.306 of [3].

Amongst these 12 triples, for just one triple, namely $(31, 15, 7)$, there is more than one inequivalent (n, k, λ) -CDS: there are two inequivalent $(31, 15, 7)$ -CDS's, these are labelled '31A' and '31B' in Table 6.1 of [2], and 'A' and 'B' in our Table 4.

We stopped at $n = 40$ in our Table 4 to indicate that magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals with n even can occur.

Remark 5.19 Now $-1 \notin \text{mult}(D)$; hence $\text{Mult}(D) = \text{mult}(D) \cup -\text{mult}(D)$ and $|\text{Mult}(D)| = 2|\text{mult}(D)|$ from Definition 3.11 and Remark 3.12.

Example 5.20 $(n, k) = (13, 4)$. The unique $(13, 4, 1)$ -CDS up to (u, z) -equivalence is $D = \{0, 1, 3, 9\}$.

We have $\text{mult}(D) = \{1, 3, 9\}$ and $\text{Mult}(D) = \{1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 12\}$. Now $|U(13)| = 12$ so $|U(13) : \text{Mult}(D)| = 2$. A set of 2 coset representatives for $\text{Mult}(D)$ in $U(13)$ is $\{1, 2\}$. Then the 2 incongruent $(13, 4, 1)$ -CDS's that are each (u, z) -equivalent to D are D and $2D = \{0, 2, 5, 6\} \equiv_z \{0, 1, 8, 10\}$, with corresponding TAIS's $[1\ 2\ 6\ 4]$ and $[1\ 3\ 2\ 7]$ respectively. Thus there are 2 magic $(13, 4, 1)$ -Ovals up to congruency; see our Table 4.

A similar procedure applied to each (n, k, λ) -CDS of Table 6.1 of [2] for $n \leq 40$ produces our Table 4.

Example 5.21 $(n, k) = (16, 6)$. There does not exist a $(16, 6, 2)$ -CDS; see Example 14.20(a) on p.425 of [3]. So there does not exist a magic $(16, 6, 2)$ -Oval, *i.e.*, a $(16, 6)$ -Oval with RIV $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)$. Consider the $(16, 6)$ -Oval $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 5\ 6])$. Then $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}) = (3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ which is the 'closest' that the RIV with $\lambda_8 = 1$ of a $(16, 6)$ -Oval can be to $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)$, *i.e.*, Oval \mathcal{O} is the 'closest' that a $(16, 6)$ -Oval with one square rhomb can be to a magic $(16, 6, 2)$ -Oval. Oval \mathcal{O} has $\lambda_1 = 3$ (instead of $\lambda_1 = 2$ for a magic $(16, 6, 2)$ -Oval), and $\lambda_7 = 1$ (instead of $\lambda_7 = 2$). Alternatively, $S = \beta([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 5\ 6]) = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 10\}$ is the 'closest' that a 6-subset S' of \mathbb{Z}_{16} with the frequency in $\Delta(S')$ of 8 equal to 2 can be to a $(16, 6, 2)$ -CDS. In $\Delta(S)$ the frequencies of 1 and 15 are 3 (instead of 2), and the frequencies of 7 and 9 are 1 (instead of 2).

(n, k, λ)	D	TAIS
(7, 3, 1)	{0, 1, 3}	[1 2 4]
(11, 5, 2)	{0, 1, 2, 6, 9}	[1 1 4 3 2]
(13, 4, 1)	{0, 1, 3, 9}	[1 2 6 4] [1 3 2 7]
(15, 7, 3)	{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10}	[1 1 2 1 3 2 5]
(19, 9, 4)	{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 12, 13, 16}	[1 1 1 2 2 5 1 3 3]
(21, 5, 1)	{0, 1, 6, 8, 18}	[1 5 2 10 3]
(23, 11, 5)	{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 17}	[1 1 1 2 2 1 3 1 3 2 6]
(31, 6, 1)	{0, 1, 3, 8, 12, 18}	[1 2 5 4 6 13] [1 3 6 2 5 14] [1 5 12 4 7 2] [1 7 3 2 4 14] [1 10 8 7 2 3]
(31, 15, 7)–A	{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, 26, 28, 29}	[1 1 1 2 2 4 3 1 1 6 1 3 2 1 2] [1 1 1 3 1 2 1 6 4 1 1 2 2 3 2] [1 1 1 4 1 3 6 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 3]
(31, 15, 7)–B	{0, 1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 18, 21, 25, 26, 28, 29}	[1 1 1 4 2 2 1 1 5 3 4 1 2 1 2]
(35, 17, 8)	{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 16, 17, 18, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 33}	[1 1 1 2 1 4 6 1 1 4 2 1 2 1 3 2 2]
(37, 9, 2)	{0, 1, 3, 7, 17, 24, 25, 29, 35}	[1 2 4 10 7 1 4 6 2] [1 3 2 4 5 2 1 7 12]
(40, 13, 4)	{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 13, 14, 17, 19, 24, 26, 34}	[1 1 2 1 3 5 1 3 2 5 2 8 6] [1 1 7 1 3 2 1 2 2 4 6 7 3]

Table 4: All non-trivial (n, k, λ) -CDS's (up to (u, z) -equivalence) and the corresponding TAIS's of all non-trivial magic (n, k, λ) -Ovals (up to congruency) for $n \leq 40$ and $2 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

6 Oval-partitions of $\{2n\}^p$, cyclic difference families, triangle-partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$

See Section 3.9 of Schoen [8] for a preliminary version of some of the research in this Section; see also Schoen and McK Shorb [9].

Let \mathcal{O}^p denote p copies of Oval \mathcal{O} , in particular $\{2n\}^p$ denotes p copies of the regular $2n$ -gon $\{2n\}$.

Definition 6.1 An *Oval-partition* of $\{2n\}^p$ is a partition of the rhombs

from $\{2n\}^p$ into q (n, k_i) -Ovals, \mathcal{O}_i , for various $q \geq 1$ and various $k_i \geq 2$:

$$\{2n\}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_q. \quad (4)$$

Clearly (4) is equivalent to

$$p \times \text{RIV}(\{2n\}) = \sum_{i=1}^q \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_i). \quad (5)$$

We focus on $p = 1$ and sometimes shorten $\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_q$ to $\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \cdots \mathcal{O}_q$.

Remark 6.2 Because the regular $2n$ -gon $\{2n\}$ is a magic (n, n, n) -Oval then, along the lines of Theorem 5.11, we can prove that in Oval-partition (4) with $p = 1$ the total number of 1's in the TAIS's of the Ovals in $\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_q$ equals n .

Definitions 6.3 distinct Oval-partition, $\mathcal{OP}(n)$, $\mathcal{DOP}(n)$

- (1) An Oval-partition is *distinct* if it contains distinct Ovals.
- (2) $\mathcal{OP}(n)$ is the total number of Oval-partitions of $\{2n\}$, for $n \geq 2$; we define $\mathcal{OP}(1) = 1$.
- (3) $\mathcal{DOP}(n)$ is the total number of distinct Oval-partitions of $\{2n\}$, for $n \geq 2$; we define $\mathcal{DOP}(1) = 1$.


See Table 5 for all Oval-partitions of $\{2n\}$ and the corresponding triangle-partition of $\binom{n}{2}$ (see Section 6.3), for $n = 2, 3, 4$, and 5.

n	$\binom{n}{2}$	q	O-p of $\{2n\}$	Δ -p of $\binom{n}{2}$	$\mathcal{OP}(n)$	Distinct?	$\mathcal{DOP}(n)$
2	1	1	\mathcal{O}_1	1	1	Yes	1
3	3	1	\mathcal{O}_2	3	2	Yes	1
3	3	3	\mathcal{O}_1^3	1^3		No	
4	6	1	\mathcal{O}_4	6	4	Yes	1
4	6	2	\mathcal{O}_3^2	3^2		No	
4	6	4	$\mathcal{O}_1^2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_3$	1^33		No	
4	6	6	$\mathcal{O}_1^4\mathcal{O}_2^2$	1^6		No	
5	10	1	\mathcal{O}_6	[10]	12	Yes	3
5	10	3	$\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_4\mathcal{O}_5$	136		Yes	
5	10	3	$\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_5$	136		Yes	
5	10	4	$\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_4^2$	13^3		No	
5	10	4	$\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_3^2\mathcal{O}_4$	13^3		No	
5	10	5	$\mathcal{O}_1^2\mathcal{O}_2^2\mathcal{O}_5$	1^46		No	
5	10	6	$\mathcal{O}_1^3\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_4^2$	1^43^2		No	
5	10	6	$\mathcal{O}_1^2\mathcal{O}_2^2\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_4$	1^43^2		No	
5	10	6	$\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2^3\mathcal{O}_3^2$	1^43^2		No	
5	10	8	$\mathcal{O}_1^4\mathcal{O}_2^3\mathcal{O}_4$	1^73		No	
5	10	8	$\mathcal{O}_1^3\mathcal{O}_2^4\mathcal{O}_3$	1^73		No	
5	10	10	$\mathcal{O}_1^5\mathcal{O}_2^5$	1^{10}	No		

Table 5: All Oval-partitions (O-p) of $\{2n\}$ and the corresponding triangle-partition (Δ -p) of $\binom{n}{2}$ (see Section 6.3); the values of $\mathcal{OP}(n)$ and $\mathcal{DOP}(n)$, for $2 \leq n \leq 5$. The Oval numbering \mathcal{O}_i refers to Table 2.

Example 6.4 $n = 5$. See Fig. 7. As an example with $n = 5$, we check Equation (5) for the Oval-partition $\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_4^2$ of $\{10\}$ from Table 5:

$$(5, 5) = (1, 0) + (2, 1) + 2(1, 2).$$



$$\{10\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}([1\ 4]) \cup \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 3]) \cup \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 2]) \cup \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 2])$$

Figure 7: The Oval-partition $\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_3\mathcal{O}_4^2$ of $\{10\}$.

Observe that the total number of 1's in the TAIS's of the Ovals in the above Oval-partition equals $n = 5$, in agreement with Remark 6.2.

See Table 2, $n = 5$. In total there are 6 $(5, k)$ -Ovals: $\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3, \mathcal{O}_4, \mathcal{O}_5, \mathcal{O}_6\}$. Let $\mathcal{RIV}(5) = \{\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_1), \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_2), \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_3), \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_4), \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_5), \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_6)\} = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 1), (1, 2), (3, 3), (5, 5)\}$. Then to find all Oval-partitions of $\{10\}$ is equivalent to finding all sums of elements of $\mathcal{RIV}(5)$ which are equal to $\text{RIV}(\{10\}) = (5, 5)$, where elements can be used more than once.

Remark 6.5 Similarly, to find all Oval-partitions of $\{2n\}$ is equivalent to finding all sums of elements of the multiset of RIV's of all (n, k) -Ovals which are equal to $\text{RIV}(\{2n\})$, where elements can be used more than once.

The values of $\mathcal{OP}(n)$ and $\mathcal{DOP}(n)$ for $2 \leq n \leq 5$ are given in Table 5, we have also computed $\mathcal{OP}(6) = 58$, $\mathcal{DOP}(6) = 7$, $\mathcal{DOP}(7) = 42$, and $\mathcal{DOP}(8) = 334$. The sequences $\{\mathcal{OP}(n) \mid n \geq 1\} = \{1, 1, 2, 4, 12, 58, \dots\}$ and $\{\mathcal{DOP}(n) \mid n \geq 1\} = \{1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 7, 42, 334, \dots\}$ now appear in [7] as sequences A177921 and A181148 respectively.

We may also think about the Oval-partition $\{2n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_q$ in terms of subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. From Example 5.12(a) the regular $2n$ -gon $\{2n\}$ is a magic (n, n, n) -Oval with corresponding (n, n, n) -CDS $D = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. We modify the proof of Theorem 5.11 to give the following.

Theorem 6.6 *The Oval-partition $\{2n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_q$ exists if and only if there exists q subsets $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the property that $\Delta(\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}) = \Delta(D_1) \cup \Delta(D_2) \cup \dots \cup \Delta(D_q)$.*

Example 6.7 $n = 5$. See Example 6.4. We have $D = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\Delta(D) = \{1^5, 2^5, 3^5, 4^5\}$, and subsets of \mathbb{Z}_5 : $D_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $D_2 = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $D_3 = D_4 = \{0, 1, 3\}$.

6.1 Homologous Oval-partitions, isopart triples, cyclic difference families

Here we consider Oval-partitions of $\{2n\}^p$ in which the Ovals \mathcal{O}_i are (n, k) -Ovals, where k is fixed.

Definition 6.8 A *homologous* Oval-partition of $\{2n\}^p$ is a partition of the rhombs from $\{2n\}^p$ into q (n, k) -Ovals, \mathcal{O}_i , for a *fixed* $k \geq 2$:

$$\{2n\}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_q.$$

Note that the (n, k) -Ovals \mathcal{O}_i need not be congruent.

When $p = 1$ for a homologous Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ to exist we require $\binom{k}{2} | \binom{n}{2}$. There is a homologous Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ into $q = 1$ (n, n) -Oval, namely into $\{2n\}$ itself, and another into $q = \binom{n}{2}$ $(n, 2)$ -Ovals, namely into the $\binom{n}{2}$ rhombs of $\{2n\}$. We consider these two partitions as trivial, and so in the following restrict ourselves to $2 \leq q \leq \binom{n}{2} - 1$.

Definitions 6.9 $[(n, k), q]$ isopart triple, realizable

(1) The ordered triple $[(n, k), q]$ is an *isopart triple* if

$$\binom{n}{2} = q \binom{k}{2} \quad \text{for some } 2 \leq q \leq \binom{n}{2} - 1,$$

so $k \geq 3$.

(2) The isopart triple $[(n, k), q]$ is *realizable* if there exists a homologous Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ into q (not necessarily congruent) (n, k) -Ovals.

Example 6.10

(a) $[(n, k), q] = [(4, 3), 2]$. See Table 2. The smallest isopart triple which is realizable is $[(4, 3), 2]$. The relevant homologous Oval-partition is $\{8\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_3^2 = \mathcal{O}([1 \ 1 \ 2])^2$.

(b) $[(n, k), q] = [(6, 3), 5]$. See Table 2. The smallest isopart triple which is not realizable is $[(6, 3), 5]$.

Suppose there is a homologous Oval-partition

$$\{12\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_4^{q_1} \cup \mathcal{O}_5^{q_2} \cup \mathcal{O}_6^{q_3}$$

where each $q_i \geq 0$. Then the system of equations containing the equation $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 5$ together with the RIV Equations (5):

$$(6, 6, 3) = q_1(2, 1, 0) + q_2(1, 1, 1) + q_3(0, 3, 0)$$

must have a solution in the non-negative integers. That is, the system

$$q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 5, \quad 2q_1 + q_2 = 6, \quad q_1 + q_2 + 3q_3 = 6, \quad q_2 = 3,$$

must have a solution in the non-negative integers, a contradiction. Hence the isopart triple $[(6, 3), 5]$ is not realizable.

See Table 6 for all isopart triples $[(n, k), q]$ for $2 \leq n \leq 16$. All are realizable except $[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{5}]$ and $[(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{15}]$.

$[(n, k), q]$	Example of a homologous Oval-partition realizing $[(n, k), q]$
$[(4, 3), 2]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2])^2$ (magic)
$[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{5}]$	Not realizable
$[(7, 3), 7]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 4])^7$ (magic, see Table 4 row (7, 3, 1), and Example 6.19(b))
$[(9, 3), 12]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 7])^3 \mathcal{O}([1\ 4\ 4])^3 \mathcal{O}([2\ 2\ 5])^3 \mathcal{O}([3\ 3\ 3])^3$
$[(9, 4), 6]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 5])^3 \mathcal{O}([1\ 3\ 2\ 3])^3$
$[(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{15}]$	Not realizable
$[(10, 6), 3]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 3\ 3]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 4]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2])$ (see §3.9 p.22 of [8] and Fig. 8)
$[(12, 3), 22]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 9])^4 \mathcal{O}([1\ 3\ 8])^4 \mathcal{O}([1\ 4\ 7])^4 \mathcal{O}([2\ 4\ 6])^4 \mathcal{O}([2\ 5\ 5])^4 \mathcal{O}([3\ 3\ 6])^2$
$[(12, 4), 11]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 3\ 7]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 1\ 8]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 4\ 5]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 5\ 4]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 2\ 7]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 3\ 1\ 7])$ $\mathcal{O}([1\ 4\ 1\ 6]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 4\ 2\ 5]) \mathcal{O}([2\ 2\ 2\ 6]) \mathcal{O}([2\ 2\ 3\ 5]) \mathcal{O}([3\ 3\ 3\ 3])$
$[(13, 3), 26]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 3\ 9])^{13} \mathcal{O}([2\ 5\ 6])^{13}$
$[(13, 4), 13]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 6\ 4])^{13}$ (magic, see Table 4 row (13, 4, 1))
$[(15, 3), 35]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 13])^5 \mathcal{O}([1\ 7\ 7])^5 \mathcal{O}([2\ 2\ 11])^5 \mathcal{O}([3\ 3\ 9])^5 \mathcal{O}([3\ 6\ 6])^5 \mathcal{O}([4\ 4\ 7])^5 \mathcal{O}([5\ 5\ 5])^5$
$[(15, 6), 7]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 6\ 4]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 6]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 6\ 2]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 2\ 7\ 1\ 2])$ $\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 4\ 1\ 2\ 5]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 4\ 1\ 4\ 3]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 3\ 2\ 4\ 1\ 4])$
$[(15, 7), 5]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 5])^5$ (magic, see Table 4 row (15, 7, 3), and Example 6.19(c))
$[(16, 3), 40]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 13])^8 \mathcal{O}([1\ 7\ 8])^8 \mathcal{O}([2\ 4\ 10])^8 \mathcal{O}([3\ 4\ 9])^8 \mathcal{O}([5\ 5\ 6])^8$
$[(16, 4), 20]$	See §3.9 p.23 of [8]
$[(16, 5), 12]$	See Example 6.11
$[(16, 6), 8]$	$\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 5\ 6])^4 \mathcal{O}([1\ 5\ 2\ 2\ 3\ 3])^4$ (see Example 6.20)

Table 6: All isopart triples $[(n, k), q]$ for $2 \leq n \leq 16$, and an example of a homologous Oval-partition realizing the triple. Triples $[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{5}]$ and $[(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{15}]$ are not realizable.




Figure 8: The homologous Oval-partition of $\{20\}$ for isopart triple $[(10, 6), 3]$ from Table 6.

Example 6.11 $(n, k) = (16, 5)$. Isopart triple $[(16, 5), 12]$. See §3.9 p.24 of [8]. Here each of the 12 $(16, 5)$ -Ovals are distinct, *i.e.*, incongruent. The Table below gives the TAIS's and RIV's of these 12 Ovals.

TAIS	RIV
[1 1 1 3 10]	(3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)
[1 2 9 1 3]	(2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)
[1 5 2 3 5]	(1, 1, 1, 0, 3, 2, 1, 1)
[1 4 3 2 6]	(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)
[1 2 5 1 7]	(2, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2)
[2 2 2 3 7]	(0, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 0)
[2 2 3 2 7]	(0, 3, 1, 1, 2, 0, 3, 0)
[1 2 3 6 4]	(1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 0)
[1 3 1 3 8]	(2, 0, 2, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1)
[1 1 3 3 8]	(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
[2 4 2 4 4]	(0, 2, 0, 3, 0, 4, 0, 1)
[1 3 5 1 6]	(2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)
	(16,16,16,16,16,16,16,8)

Homologous Oval-partitions are closely related to another class of combinatorial objects, (*cf.*, Theorem 6.6):

Definition 6.12 A (n, k, λ) -cyclic difference family – (n, k, λ) -CDF – is a collection of q k -subsets $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the property that

$\Delta(D_1) \cup \Delta(D_2) \cup \dots \cup \Delta(D_q)$ contains every non-zero element of \mathbb{Z}_n exactly λ times.

Remark 6.13 See Equation (3). In a (n, k, λ) -CDF we have

$$\lambda(n-1) = qk(k-1),$$

hence $q = \frac{\lambda(n-1)}{k(k-1)}$ is an integer.

From Definition 6.8 of a homologous Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ and Definition 6.12 of a (n, k, λ) -CDF and Theorem 6.6 we have the following result.

Corollary 6.14 *There exists a homologous Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ into q (n, k) -Ovals if and only if there exists a (n, k, n) -CDF.*

Clearly, by taking unions of CDF's, there exists a (n, k, n) -CDF if and only if there exists a collection of (n, k, λ_i) -CDF's with $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$. Hence, another main result follows.

Theorem 6.15 *There exists a homologous Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ into q (n, k) -Ovals (i.e., isopart triple $[(n, k), q]$ is realizable) if and only if there exists a collection of (n, k, λ_i) -CDF's with $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$.*

Example 6.16

(a) $(n, k) = (9, 4)$. See Example 1.6(a) p.470 of [3] for the $(9, 4, 3)$ -CDF with $D_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$ and $D_2 = \{0, 3, 4, 7\}$. Using 3 copies of this CDF we produce the following homologous Oval-partition of $\{18\}$ into 6 $(9, 4)$ -Ovals: $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(D_1))^3 \mathcal{O}(\alpha(D_2))^3 = \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 5])^3 \mathcal{O}([1\ 3\ 2\ 3])^3$. This realizes isopart triple $[(9, 4), 6]$ with the same partition as given in Table 6.

(b) $(n, k) = (16, 3)$. Conversely, we may take a partition which realizes an isopart triple from Table 6 and produce a CDF. For example, the 5 $(16, 3)$ -Ovals from row $[(16, 3), 40]$: $\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 13]) \mathcal{O}([1\ 7\ 8]) \mathcal{O}([2\ 4\ 10]) \mathcal{O}([3\ 4\ 9]) \mathcal{O}([5\ 5\ 6])$ produce a $(16, 3, 2)$ -CDF with $D_1 = \{0, 1, 3\}$, $D_2 = \{0, 1, 8\}$, $D_3 = \{0, 2, 6\}$, $D_4 = \{0, 3, 7\}$, and $D_5 = \{0, 5, 10\}$ which is not (u, z) -equivalent to the $(16, 3, 2)$ -CDF in Examples 16.13, p.394 of Colbourn and Dinitz [4].

(c) $(n, k) = (6, 3)$. From Table 6 we see that isopart triple $[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{5}]$ is not realizable, so, from Theorem 6.15, there does not exist a $(6, 3, 6)$ -CDF nor a $(6, 3, 2)$ -CDF; see Table II.2.29, p.61 of [4].

(d) $(n, k) = (10, 3)$. Similarly, isopart triple $[(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{15}]$ is not realizable, so there does not exist a $(10, 3, 10)$ -CDF nor a $(10, 3, 2)$ -CDF; see Table II.2.29, p.61 of [4] again.

6.2 Magic Oval-partitions

Recall that in a (n, k, λ) -CDS we have $\lambda(n-1) = k(k-1)$.

As mentioned in Section 1 this research was partially motivated by Question (iii) on p. 10 of Schoen [8].

Fix $n \geq 2$, for which integers p and q can the rhombs contained in p copies of $\{2n\}$ be partitioned to tile q congruent Ovals?

Definition 6.17 A *magic* Oval-partition of $\{2n\}^p$ is a partition of the rhombs contained in $\{2n\}^p$ into q congruent (n, k) -Ovals, \mathcal{O} :

$$\{2n\}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^q. \quad (6)$$

We now show that if such a magic Oval-partition of $\{2n\}^p$ exists, then \mathcal{O} is magic.

Theorem 6.18 *The partition $\{2n\}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^q$ exists if and only if there exists a $(n, k, \frac{pn}{q})$ -CDS, (\mathcal{O} will then be a magic $(n, k, \frac{pn}{q})$ -Oval).*

Proof. For odd n . Necessity: suppose that such a partition (6) exists. Consider ρ_h , the rhomb of SRI_{2n} with principle index h , for any fixed $h = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$. It appears pn times on the left in partition (6) and $q\lambda_h$ times on the right, *i.e.*, it appears $\lambda_h = \frac{pn}{q}$ times in \mathcal{O} . Thus λ_h is independent of h , and so \mathcal{O} is a magic $(n, k, \frac{pn}{q})$ -Oval, (for some suitable k satisfying $k(k-1) = \frac{pn}{q}(n-1)$).

Sufficiency: conversely given a magic $(n, k, \frac{pn}{q})$ -Oval \mathcal{O} it contains $\frac{pn}{q}$ copies of each rhomb ρ_h . So \mathcal{O}^q contains pn copies of each ρ_h , but this is exactly the number of copies of ρ_h in $\{2n\}^p$.

For even n . The proof is similar to the above, but we consider the non-square rhombs ρ_h for $h = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}-1$, and the square rhomb $\rho_{\frac{n}{2}}$ as separate cases. \square

We can find a partition where p and q are the smallest by considering:

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{\lambda^*}{n^*}$$

where $\text{gcd}(\lambda^*, n^*) = 1$. This gives the partition:

$$\{2n\}^{\lambda^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{n^*}.$$

Any other partition with the same \mathcal{O} is a ‘multiple’ of this one.

Note that if $\lambda^* = 1$ and $2 \leq n^* \leq \binom{n}{2} - 1$ then $[(n, k), n^*]$ is a realizable isopart triple.

Example 6.19

(a) See Examples 5.12(a) and (b). Oval $\{2n\}'$ is a magic $(n, n - 1, n - 2)$ -Oval obtained from the regular $2n$ -gon $\{2n\}$ by removing its right-hand strip of rhombs. For odd n we have $\frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{n-2}{n} = \frac{\lambda^*}{n^*}$, so the smallest magic Oval-partition is

$$\{2n\}^{n-2} \rightarrow \{2n\}'^n.$$

For even $n = 2m$ the smallest magic Oval-partition is

$$\{2n\}^{m-1} \rightarrow \{2n\}'^m.$$

(b) See Example 5.12(c). Oval $\mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 4])$ is a magic $(7, 3, 1)$ -Oval with RIV $(1, 1, 1)$. Now $\frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{1}{7} = \frac{\lambda^*}{n^*}$, so we have the following magic Oval-partition

$$\{14\}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}([1\ 2\ 4])^7.$$

The decomposition of $1 \times \text{RIV}(\{14\})$ is $1 \times (7, 7, 7) \rightarrow 7 \times (1, 1, 1)$, and the relevant realizable isopart triple is $[(7, 3), 7]$; see Table 6.

(c) $(n, k) = (15, 7)$. See Example 5.12(d). Oval $\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 5])$ is a magic $(15, 7, 3)$ -Oval. Here $\frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{3}{15} = \frac{1}{5}$ so $\lambda^* = 1$ and $n^* = 5$, this gives

$$\{30\}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 5])^5.$$

The RIV decomposition is $1 \times (15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15) \rightarrow 5 \times (3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)$ and $[(15, 7), 5]$ is the corresponding realizable isopart triple.

(d) $(n, k) = (11, 5)$. The $(11, 5)$ -Oval $\mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 4\ 3\ 2])$ is a magic $(11, 5, 2)$ -Oval. Here $\frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{2}{11}$ so $\lambda^* = 2$ and $n^* = 11$. This gives us the following magic Oval-partition where $p \neq 1$:

$$\{22\}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 4\ 3\ 2])^{11}.$$

The RIV decomposition is $2 \times (11, 11, 11, 11, 11) \rightarrow 11 \times (2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$.

Example 6.20 $(n, k) = (16, 6)$. From Example 5.21 there does not exist a magic $(16, 6, 2)$ -Oval, *i.e.*, there does not exist a $(16, 6)$ -Oval with RIV $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)$. Now $\text{RIV}(\{16\}) = (16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 8)$, so $\{16\} \not\rightarrow$

\mathcal{O}^8 where \mathcal{O} is a fixed $(16, 6)$ -Oval. In row $[(16, 6), 8]$ of Table 6 we gave the homologous Oval-partition

$$\{16\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}([1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 5\ 6])^4 \mathcal{O}([1\ 5\ 2\ 2\ 3\ 3])^4,$$

with RIV decomposition

$$(16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 8) = 4(3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1) + 4(1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 1).$$

We now show that for *every* homologous Oval-partition $\{16\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^{q_1} \mathcal{O}_2^{q_2}$ into exactly 2 incongruent $(16, 6)$ -Ovals \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 , we have $q_1 = q_2 = 4$.

Suppose $q_1 = 1$ and $q_2 = 7$. Let $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_1) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6, \lambda_7, \lambda_8)$ and $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_2) = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6, \mu_7, \mu_8)$. Then

$$(16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 8) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6, \lambda_7, \lambda_8) + 7(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6, \mu_7, \mu_8),$$

and $\lambda_h + 7\mu_h = 16$ for $h = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Hence for a fixed $h = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ we have either $\lambda_h = \mu_h = 2$, or $\lambda_h = 9$ and $\mu_h = 1$, or $\lambda_h = 16$ and $\mu_h = 0$. In particular $\lambda_h \geq 2$ for every $h = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Now \mathcal{O}_1 is a $(16, 6)$ -Oval so $\sum_{h=1}^8 \lambda_h = \binom{6}{2} = 15$. Thus if $\lambda_h = 2$ for every $h = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ then $\lambda_8 = 1$ and \mathcal{O}_1 is a magic $(16, 6, 2)$ -Oval, a contradiction. Hence for some h with $h = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ we must have $\lambda_h = 9$ or $\lambda_h = 16$, so $\sum_{h=1}^7 \lambda_h \geq 6 \times 2 + 9 = 21$. But $\sum_{h=1}^7 \lambda_h \leq 15$, a contradiction. Hence there is no homologous Oval-partition $\{16\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^1 \mathcal{O}_2^7$. Similarly, the other possible homologous Oval-partitions $\{16\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^2 \mathcal{O}_2^6$ or $\{16\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^3 \mathcal{O}_2^5$ do not exist. Hence the only homologous Oval-partition $\{16\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^{q_1} \mathcal{O}_2^{q_2}$ has $q_1 = q_2 = 4$; an explicit example is given above.

6.3 Triangular-partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$

Recall the *triangular numbers*: $\{\binom{n}{2}, n \geq 2\} = \{1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, 28, \dots\}$.

Definitions 6.21 Triangular-partition (Δ -partition) of $\binom{n}{2}$, realizable

- (1) A *triangular-partition* (Δ -*partition*) of $\binom{n}{2}$ is an integer partition of $\binom{n}{2}$ with each part a triangular number.
- (2) A Δ -partition of $\binom{n}{2}$ with q parts in which the i -th part is $\binom{k_i}{2}$ is *realizable* if there exists an Oval-partition of $\{2n\}$ into q Ovals \mathcal{O}_i in which \mathcal{O}_i is a (n, k_i) -Oval, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

Remark 6.22 The Δ -partition of $\binom{n}{2}$ corresponding to isopart triple $[(n, k), q]$ is $\binom{k}{2}^q$.

Table 7 lists all Δ -partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, 8$. For a fixed n the Δ -partitions are given with increasing q , and then in lexicographic order for constant q . The Δ -partition $\mathbf{3}^5$ of $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ is the only Δ -partition in Table 7 which is not realizable; see Example 6.10(b), and row $[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{5}]$ of Table 6.

n	$\binom{n}{2}$	Δ -partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$
2	1	1
3	3	3, 1^3
4	6	6, 3^2 , $1^3 3$, 1^6
5	10	[10], 136, 13^3 , $1^4 6$, $1^4 3^2$, $1^7 3$, 1^{10}
6	15	[15], 36^2 , $1^2 3[10]$, $3^3 6$, $1^3 6^2$, $\mathbf{3}^5$, $1^5[10]$, $1^3 3^2 6$, $1^3 3^4$, $1^6 3 6$, $1^6 3^3$, $1^9 6$, $1^9 3^2$, $1^{12} 3$, 1^{15}
7	21	[21], $6[15]$, $1[10]^2$, $3^2[15]$, 36^3 , $1^3 3[15]$, $1^2 3 6[10]$, $3^3 6^2$, $1^3 6^3$, $1^2 3^3[10]$, $3^5 6$, $1^6[15]$, $1^5 6[10]$, $1^3 3^2 6^2$, 3^7 , $1^5 3^2[10]$, $1^3 3^4 6$, $1^6 3 6^2$, $1^3 3^6$, $1^8 3[10]$, $1^6 3^3 6$, $1^9 6^2$, $1^6 3^5$, $1^{11}[10]$, $1^9 3^2 6$, $1^9 3^4$, $1^{12} 3 6$, $1^{12} 3^3$, $1^{15} 6$, $1^{15} 3^2$, $1^{18} 3$, 1^{21}
8	28	[28], $16[21]$, $3[10][15]$, $13^2[21]$, $16^2[15]$, $6^3[10]$, $1^3[10][15]$, $1^2 6[10]^2$, $13^2 6[15]$, $3^2 6^2[10]$, $1^4 3[21]$, $1^2 3^2[10]^2$, $13^4[15]$, 136^4 , $3^4 6[10]$, $1^4 3 6[15]$, $1^3 3 6^2[10]$, $13^3 6^3$, $3^6[10]$, $1^7[21]$, $1^5 3[10]^2$, $1^4 3^3[15]$, $1^4 6^4$, $1^3 3^3 6[10]$, $13^5 6^2$, $1^7 6[15]$, $1^6 6^2[10]$, $1^4 3^2 6^3$, $1^3 3^5[10]$, $13^7 6$, $1^8[10]^2$, $1^7 3^2[15]$, $1^6 3^2 6[10]$, $1^4 3^4 6^2$, 13^9 , $1^7 3 6^3$, $1^6 3^4[10]$, $1^4 3^6 6$, $1^{10} 3[15]$, $1^9 3 6[10]$, $1^7 3^3 6^2$, $1^4 3^8$, $1^{10} 6^3$, $1^9 3^3[10]$, $1^7 3^5 6$, $1^{13}[15]$, $1^{12} 6[10]$, $1^{10} 3^2 6^2$, $1^7 3^7$, $1^{12} 3^2[10]$, $1^{10} 3^4 6$, $1^{13} 3 6^2$, $1^{10} 3^6$, $1^{15} 3[10]$, $1^{13} 3^3 6$, $1^{16} 6^2$, $1^{13} 3^5$, $1^{18}[10]$, $1^{16} 3^2 6$, $1^{16} 3^4$, $1^{19} 3 6$, $1^{19} 3^3$, $1^{22} 6$, $1^{22} 3^2$, $1^{25} 3$, 1^{28}

Table 7: All Δ -partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$ for $2 \leq n \leq 8$. All are realizable except $\mathbf{3}^5$, for $n = 6$.

Example 6.23 $2 \leq n \leq 6$. See Table 5 for realizations of all Δ -partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$ for $2 \leq n \leq 5$. See Table 8 for all Δ -partitions of $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ and, except for $\mathbf{3}^5$, an Oval-partition of $\{12\}$ which realizes it. The Δ -partition $\mathbf{3}^5$ is not realizable. The Oval numbering \mathcal{O}_i refers to Table 2.

Δ -p of $\binom{6}{2}$	O-p of $\{12\}$	Δ -p of $\binom{6}{2}$	O-p of $\{12\}$	Δ -p of $\binom{6}{2}$	O-p of $\{12\}$
[15]	\mathcal{O}_{11}	$\mathbf{3}^5$	Not realizable	$1^6 3^3$	$\mathcal{O}_2^3 \mathcal{O}_3^3 \mathcal{O}_4^3$
$3 6^2$	$\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_8 \mathcal{O}_9$	$1^5 [10]$	$\mathcal{O}_1^2 \mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_{10}$	$1^9 6$	$\mathcal{O}_1^3 \mathcal{O}_2^4 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \mathcal{O}_7$
$1^2 3 [10]$	$\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_5 \mathcal{O}_{10}$	$1^3 3^2 6$	$\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \mathcal{O}_4^2 \mathcal{O}_8$	$1^9 3^2$	$\mathcal{O}_1^2 \mathcal{O}_2^4 \mathcal{O}_3^3 \mathcal{O}_4^2$
$3^3 6$	$\mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_5^2 \mathcal{O}_8$	$1^3 3^4$	$\mathcal{O}_3^3 \mathcal{O}_4^3 \mathcal{O}_6$	$1^{12} 3$	$\mathcal{O}_1^4 \mathcal{O}_2^5 \mathcal{O}_3^3 \mathcal{O}_4$
$1^3 6^2$	$\mathcal{O}_2^2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_7^2$	$1^6 3 6$	$\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2^3 \mathcal{O}_3^2 \mathcal{O}_4 \mathcal{O}_7$	1^{15}	$\mathcal{O}_1^6 \mathcal{O}_2^6 \mathcal{O}_3^3$

Table 8: All Δ -partitions (Δ -p) of $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ and, except for $\mathbf{3}^5$, an Oval-partition (O-p) of $\{12\}$ which realizes it.

We have extended our results on Δ -partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$ up to $n = 10$.

Example 6.24 For $n = 2, 3, \dots, 10$ all Δ -partitions of $\binom{n}{2}$ are realizable except $\mathbf{3}^5$ for $n = 6$ (see Examples 6.10(b) and 6.16(c)), and $\mathbf{3}^{15}$, $\mathbf{3}^8[21]$, $\mathbf{3}^5[10]^3$, $\mathbf{3}^3[36]$, and $\mathbf{3}[21]^2$ for $n = 10$. The unrealizable Δ -partitions for $n = 10$ were shown to be unrealizable along the lines of Example 6.10(b) using MAPLE; see also Example 6.16(d).

7 u -equivalent Ovals

In this Section we explain why 2 incongruent (n, k) -Ovals can have RIV's that are permutations of each other. For example, see Table 2 $n = 7$, there are 4 incongruent $(7, 3)$ -Ovals: $\{\mathcal{O}_4, \mathcal{O}_5, \mathcal{O}_6, \mathcal{O}_7\}$, but 3 of them: $\{\mathcal{O}_4, \mathcal{O}_6, \mathcal{O}_7\}$ have RIV's that are permutations of $(2, 1, 0)$.

Recall the operations α and β from Definitions 2.8, and the function r from Equation (2). Recall also that $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$ is a k -subset of \mathbb{Z}_n with elements in increasing order. For $u \in U(n)$, when we form $uS = \{us_1, us_2, \dots, us_k\}$ we will always rearrange the elements of uS in increasing order also, so that we may apply α to uS .

Further, we let $[\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor] = \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$.

Lemma 7.1 *Let principal index h occur λ_h times in $OIT(\alpha(S)) = r(\delta(S))$. Then for any $u \in U(n)$ principal index uh occurs λ_h times in $OIT(\alpha(uS)) = r(\delta(uS))$.*

Proof. Let principal index uh occur λ_{uh} times in $OIT(\alpha(uS)) = r(\delta(uS))$. We must show that $\lambda_h = \lambda_{uh}$.

First we show $\lambda_h \leq \lambda_{uh}$: principal index h occurs λ_h times in $OIT(\alpha(S)) = r(\delta(S))$, so there are λ_h pairs $\{s_j, s_i\}$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ for which $s_j - s_i \in \{h, -h\}$. Consider $uS = \{us_1, us_2, \dots, us_k\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ where $0 \leq v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_k$. Suppose pair $\{s_j, s_i\}$ satisfies $s_j - s_i \in \{h, -h\}$ with $s_j - s_i = h$. Then $us_j - us_i = uh$, i.e., $v_\ell - v_{\ell'} = uh$ where $v_\ell = us_j$ and $v_{\ell'} = us_i$. If $\ell > \ell'$ then pair $\{v_\ell, v_{\ell'}\}$ satisfies $v_\ell - v_{\ell'} = uh$ and so $v_\ell - v_{\ell'} \in \{uh, -uh\}$ and $1 \leq \ell' < \ell \leq k$, and if $\ell < \ell'$ then pair $\{v_{\ell'}, v_\ell\}$ satisfies $v_{\ell'} - v_\ell = -uh$ and so again $v_{\ell'} - v_\ell \in \{uh, -uh\}$ and $1 \leq \ell < \ell' \leq k$. Thus, in either case, a pair $\{s_j, s_i\}$ for which $s_j - s_i = h$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ gives rise to a pair $\{v_a, v_b\}$ for which $v_a - v_b \in \{uh, -uh\}$ and $1 \leq a < b \leq k$. Similarly if $s_j - s_i = -h$. Thus $\lambda_h \leq \lambda_{uh}$.

To show that $\lambda_h \geq \lambda_{uh}$, i.e., $\lambda_{uh} \leq \lambda_h$ we start with $V = uS = \{us_1, us_2, \dots, us_k\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ and argue as above with u replaced by u^{-1} .

The above two paragraphs give $\lambda_h = \lambda_{uh}$ as required. \square

Definitions 7.2 $u\mathcal{O}$, permutation P_u

Let \mathcal{O} be an (n, k) -Oval with TAIS T , and let $u \in U(n)$.

- (1) $u\mathcal{O}$ is the (n, k) -Oval with TAIS $\alpha(u\beta(T))$.
- (2) Permutation P_u is the permutation of $[[\frac{n}{2}]]$ given by $P_u(h) = r(uh)$, for every $h \in [[\frac{n}{2}]]$ and $u \in U(n)$.

Theorem 7.3 *Let \mathcal{O} be an (n, k) -Oval and let $u \in U(n)$. Then $RIV(u\mathcal{O}) = P_u(RIV(\mathcal{O}))$.*

Proof. For each $h \in [[\frac{n}{2}]]$ let the h -th entry of $RIV(\mathcal{O})$ be λ_h then, from Lemma 7.1, the uh -th entry of $RIV(u\mathcal{O})$ is also λ_h . Hence $RIV(u\mathcal{O})$ is a permutation of $RIV(\mathcal{O})$ where, for each $h \in [[\frac{n}{2}]]$, the h -th entry (of $RIV(\mathcal{O})$) is moved to the uh -th entry (of $RIV(u\mathcal{O})$), i.e., is moved by the application of permutation P_u . Thus the result. \square

Example 7.4

(a) For every $n \geq 2$ we have $-1 \in U(n)$ and P_{-1} is the identity permutation of $[[\frac{n}{2}]]$. Hence $\text{RIV}(-\mathcal{O}) = \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O})$. Confirming this, see Lemma 3.2(i), we have $\text{TAIS}(-\mathcal{O}) \equiv_{\text{cyc}} \overleftarrow{\text{TAIS}(\mathcal{O})}$ and hence $\text{RIV}(-\mathcal{O}) = \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O})$.

(b) $(n, k) = (15, 6)$. See Example 2.5. For the $(15, 6)$ -Oval \mathcal{X} with $\text{TAIS } T = [4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ 1]$ we have $X = \beta(T) = \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14\}$. Unit $2 \in U(15)$ gives permutation $P_2 = (1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 7)(3 \ 6)(5)$ of [7]. Now $2X = \{0, 3, 5, 8, 13, 14\}$, and so $2\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}([3 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 1 \ 1])$. We check: $\text{RIV}(2\mathcal{X}) = P_2(\text{RIV}(\mathcal{X})) = P_2(2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2) = (2, 2, 2, 1, 4, 2, 2)$, as required by Theorem 7.3.

(c) $(n, k) = (16, 6)$. We show how we used Theorem 7.3 in Example 6.20. In Example 6.20 it was required to find 2 $(16, 6)$ -Ovals \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 for which $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_1) + \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}_2) = (4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 2)$. From Example 5.21 we had a $(16, 6)$ -Oval $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}([1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 5 \ 6])$ with $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}) = (3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$. We observed that $(4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 2) - \text{RIV}(\mathcal{O}) = (1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 1)$ is a permutation of $\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O})$. Further, unit $7 \in U(16)$ gives permutation $P_7 = (1 \ 7)(3 \ 5)(2)(4)(6)(8)$ of [8], and $P_7(\text{RIV}(\mathcal{O})) = (1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 1)$. Then letting $\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = 7\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}([1 \ 5 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3])$ gave the required Ovals.

Definition 7.5 Two (n, k) -Ovals \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are *u-equivalent*, $\mathcal{O}_1 \equiv_u \mathcal{O}_2$, if there is a $u \in U(n)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_1 = u\mathcal{O}_2$.

It is clear that *u-equivalence* is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)$, the set of (n, k) -Ovals up to congruency.

Definitions 7.6 $\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}^*(n, k)$, $\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}(n, k)$

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}^*(n, k)$ is the set of equivalence classes of \equiv_u in $\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}(n, k) = |\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}^*(n, k)|$ is the number of equivalence classes of \equiv_u in $\mathcal{O}_c^*(n, k)$.

Example 7.7 $(n, k) = (7, 3)$. See Table 2, $n = 7$. Here $\mathcal{O}_4 = 2\mathcal{O}_6 = 4\mathcal{O}_7$, and $\mathcal{O}_5 = u\mathcal{O}_5$ for every $u \in U(7)$. Hence there are $\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}(7, 3) = 2 \equiv_u$ -equivalence classes in $\mathcal{O}_c^*(7, 3)$, namely $[\mathcal{O}_4] = \{\mathcal{O}_4, \mathcal{O}_6, \mathcal{O}_7\}$ and $[\mathcal{O}_5] = \{\mathcal{O}_5\}$. We have $\mathcal{O}_{c, \equiv_u}^*(7, 3) = \{[\mathcal{O}_4], [\mathcal{O}_5]\}$. We say that there are 2 $(7, 3)$ -Ovals up to *u-equivalence*, namely Ovals \mathcal{O}_4 and \mathcal{O}_5 ; see Table 9.

n	k	$\mathcal{O}_{c,\equiv_u}(n, k)$	$\mathcal{O}_{c,\equiv_u}^*(n, k)$
2	2	1	\mathcal{O}_1
3	2	1	\mathcal{O}_1
3	3	1	\mathcal{O}_2
4	2	2	$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$
4	3	1	\mathcal{O}_3
4	4	1	\mathcal{O}_4
5	2	1	\mathcal{O}_1
5	3	1	\mathcal{O}_3
5	4	1	\mathcal{O}_5
5	5	1	\mathcal{O}_6
6	2	3	$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3$
6	3	3	$\mathcal{O}_4, \mathcal{O}_5, \mathcal{O}_6$
6	4	3	$\mathcal{O}_7, \mathcal{O}_8, \mathcal{O}_9$
6	5	1	\mathcal{O}_{10}
6	6	1	\mathcal{O}_{11}
7	2	1	\mathcal{O}_1
7	3	2	$\mathcal{O}_4, \mathcal{O}_5$
7	4	2	$\mathcal{O}_8, \mathcal{O}_9$
7	5	1	\mathcal{O}_{12}
7	6	1	\mathcal{O}_{15}
7	7	1	\mathcal{O}_{16}

n	k	$\mathcal{O}_{c,\equiv_u}(n, k)$	$\mathcal{O}_{c,\equiv_u}^*(n, k)$
8	2	3	$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_4$
8	3	4	$\mathcal{O}_5, \mathcal{O}_6, \mathcal{O}_7, \mathcal{O}_8$
8	4	6	$\mathcal{O}_{10}, \mathcal{O}_{11}, \mathcal{O}_{12}, \mathcal{O}_{13}, \mathcal{O}_{16}, \mathcal{O}_{17}$
8	5	4	$\mathcal{O}_{18}, \mathcal{O}_{19}, \mathcal{O}_{20}, \mathcal{O}_{21}$
8	6	3	$\mathcal{O}_{23}, \mathcal{O}_{24}, \mathcal{O}_{26}$
8	7	1	\mathcal{O}_{27}
8	8	1	\mathcal{O}_{28}
9	2	2	$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_3$
9	3	3	$\mathcal{O}_5, \mathcal{O}_6, \mathcal{O}_{11}$
9	4	4	$\mathcal{O}_{12}, \mathcal{O}_{13}, \mathcal{O}_{15}, \mathcal{O}_{17}$
9	5	4	$\mathcal{O}_{22}, \mathcal{O}_{23}, \mathcal{O}_{24}, \mathcal{O}_{29}$
9	6	3	$\mathcal{O}_{32}, \mathcal{O}_{33}, \mathcal{O}_{38}$
9	7	2	$\mathcal{O}_{39}, \mathcal{O}_{41}$
9	8	1	\mathcal{O}_{43}
9	9	1	\mathcal{O}_{44}
10	2	3	$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_5$
10	3	4	$\mathcal{O}_6, \mathcal{O}_7, \mathcal{O}_9, \mathcal{O}_{10}$
10	4	9	$\mathcal{O}_{14}, \mathcal{O}_{15}, \mathcal{O}_{16}, \mathcal{O}_{17}, \mathcal{O}_{18}, \mathcal{O}_{19}, \mathcal{O}_{22}, \mathcal{O}_{26}, \mathcal{O}_{27}$
10	5	9	$\mathcal{O}_{30}, \mathcal{O}_{31}, \mathcal{O}_{32}, \mathcal{O}_{33}, \mathcal{O}_{34}, \mathcal{O}_{36}, \mathcal{O}_{37}, \mathcal{O}_{38}, \mathcal{O}_{45}$
10	6	9	$\mathcal{O}_{46}, \mathcal{O}_{47}, \mathcal{O}_{48}, \mathcal{O}_{49}, \mathcal{O}_{50}, \mathcal{O}_{51}, \mathcal{O}_{53}, \mathcal{O}_{57}, \mathcal{O}_{58}$
10	7	4	$\mathcal{O}_{62}, \mathcal{O}_{63}, \mathcal{O}_{65}, \mathcal{O}_{66}$
10	8	3	$\mathcal{O}_{70}, \mathcal{O}_{71}, \mathcal{O}_{74}$
10	9	1	\mathcal{O}_{75}
10	10	1	\mathcal{O}_{76}

Table 9: All (n, k) -Ovals up to u -equivalence for $2 \leq n \leq 10$. The equivalence class $[\mathcal{O}_i]$ is denoted by \mathcal{O}_i ; see Example 7.7.

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for comments that improved this paper.

References

- [1] W.Ball, H.Coxeter. Mathematical Recreations and Essays, 13-th ed., Dover, 1999.
- [2] L.Baumert. Cyclic Difference Sets, Lecture Notes in Mathematics #182. Springer, 1971.
- [3] T.Beth, D.Jungnickel, H.Lenz. Design Theory, vol.1, 2-nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications #69, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [4] C.Colbourn, J.Dinitz, (Eds.), Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, 2-nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2007.
- [5] M.Hall., Jr. A Survey of Difference Sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956) 975–986.
- [6] J.McSorley. Enumerating k -compositions of n with hereditary and full properties, (in preparation).
- [7] Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org/>.
- [8] A.Schoen. ROMBIX, Supplementary manual, 1994. Available electronically at <http://schoengeometry.com/>.
- [9] A.Schoen, A. McK Shorb. Partitioning s regular $2n$ -gons $\{2n\}$ into t congruent Oval polygons $G(n, g)$, Abstracts of the American Mathematical Society. 15, (January 1994), 111.
- [10] J.van Lint, R. Wilson. A Course in Combinatorics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.