



**AALBORG UNIVERSITY**  
DENMARK

**Aalborg Universitet**

## **Pedagogized Muslimness**

Buchardt, Mette

*Publication date:*  
2014

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Buchardt, M. (2014). Pedagogized Muslimness: Religion and Culture as Identity Politics in the Classroom. Münster: Waxmann Verlag. (Religious Diversity and Education in Europe, Vol. 27).

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Contents

Acknowledgements .....	8
------------------------	---

### PART I

#### STUDYING THE CURRICULUM

OF ‘RELIGION’ AS SOCIAL PRACTICE .....	9
--	---

1. Prologue: The desire for knowledge of ‘the Muslim pupil’: a problematization of a problematization .....	9
1.1 Gülsen in the mosque and the church. The knowledge desire of the researcher .....	11
1.2 September 12: Monoculturalism, multiculturalism, and anti-racism in education? .....	14
1.3 The main questions, the object, the data .....	16
1.4 From dissertation to book – from Danish into English: Studies of Danish schooling in an international context .....	17
1.5 The structure of the book .....	19
2. The approach to curriculum, knowledge, and the classroom .....	21
2.1 The understanding of curriculum in relation to research on education .....	21
2.2 Recontextualizing, pedagogizing, and the pedagogic device .....	23
Model I .....	25
2.3 Forms of curricular knowledge conceptualized sociologically and social-epistemologically .....	26
2.4 Top-down or micro-politics? Locating curriculum through the concept of recontextualizing .....	28
2.5 Recontextualizing knowledge about ‘religion,’ ‘culture,’ and ‘identity’– an initial localization as a framework for asking questions in the classroom .....	30
2.6 The emergence of ‘the immigrant pupil’ .....	32
2.7 Research on religion in schools and its impact on this study .....	34
2.8 ‘Religion’/‘culture’ as knowledge and identity politics .....	36
3. Conceptual architecture: recontextualizing and the pedagogic field of practice studied as discursive regularity and social economy .....	38
3.1 Operationalizing the Bernsteinian understanding of field and discourse .....	38
Model II .....	39
3.2 Pedagogic discourse and discursive regularity .....	40
3.3 The grammar of the classroom: language as social practice .....	42
3.4 Classroom as social space: positioning and dispositions of the agents .....	44
3.5 Forms of capital: The economy of the symbolic – the symbolism of economy .....	45
3.6 Conceptualizing the classroom: social classification and knowledge .....	47
4. Two classrooms in the socioeconomic landscape. Constructing the empirical material .....	48
4.1 Constructing the data – constructing the classroom .....	48
4.2 The official text of the classroom .....	55

4.3	The detailed focal points in analyzing classroom conversation .....	58
4.4	Practices of the turn-taking system.....	63
4.5	The socioeconomic backgrounds of the pupils: teacher, pupil, and parent descriptions and information .....	64
4.6	Between and across the analysis of dispositions, positions, and positioning and the analysis of knowledge- and subject production .....	65

## PART 2

	DIFFERENTIATED ‘MUSLIM’ CLASS STRUCTURE.....	68
5.	The teacher articulation of the official classroom text .....	69
5.1	A differentiated ideal of respect.....	69
5.2	‘The Muslim pupil’ as a structuring figure .....	71
5.3	Separate and stable, yet flexibly changeable .....	72
6.	Muslimness as differentiated school capital .....	74
6.1	Culture as religion, religion as culture in the teacher’s characterizations .....	75
6.2	To be or not to be legitimate, to be or not to be ‘subject matter-relevant’ .....	84
6.3	Those in whom one can invest expectations .....	87
6.4	Summing up: the socioeconomic landscape.....	92
7.	Production of ‘the Muslim subjects’ .....	95
7.1	Situating the text sample: educational module and lesson .....	96
7.2	Ritual as the structuring theme – Sulayman as the content .....	99
7.3	Intimacy and distance .....	102
7.4	Modality at work .....	103
7.5	Summing up: the Muslim subjects .....	106
8.	Intimization and flexibilization of acknowledged ‘Muslimness’ .....	108
8.1	Social classification: recognition of dispositions and position.....	108
8.2	Pupils in the game of knowledge and experience.....	110
8.3	Categories of knowledge, production of subjects.....	110

## PART 3

	SUBJECTIVITY WITHIN THE PERIMETER OF ‘MUSLIM TRADITION’: MUSLIM AS ‘LOW CLASS’ .....	112
9.	The school and the teachers’ articulation of curriculum .....	113
9.1	The educational module and the teacher speech about curriculum .....	114
9.2	Muslims and Christians: experience knowledge and factual knowledge.....	116
10.	‘Christianity’ as ‘universal human conditions’ versus the predictable ‘Muslim tradition’ .....	119
10.1	The universal human funeral: organization of ‘Christianity’ and ‘funeral’.....	120
10.2	The ‘Muslim’ tradition: organizing ‘Islam’ and ‘funeral’ .....	127
10.3	Producing subjects, generating pupil experience .....	136
10.4	Summing up: constructing the objects Christianity and Islam.....	139
11.	The hierarchy of problematization: teachers’ interest and teachers’ concern.....	143

11.1	The empirical material.....	143
11.2	Gülšen and Amalie: “A kind of girl that ... lacks some social filters” and “The most social and diplomatic child”.....	146
11.3	The girl group hierarchy: the academics’ daughter, a girl who thinks she’s clever, and one who’s out of proportion .....	151
11.4	Those that bring bad influences from other institutions and those that bring it from home.....	154
11.5	The categorization practices of the teachers in descriptions of pupils .....	158
11.6	Summing up: pupil disposition and -positioning, teacher recognition and the opposite.....	162
12.	Assembling knowledge production and social classification.....	165
12.1	Speech about types of pupils and forms of knowledge .....	166
12.2	Remaining an under-achiever; winning a space, but not legitimacy.....	167
12.3	Knowledge and speakers in an agent-, practice-, and capital perspective.....	168
PART 4		
RELIGION AND CULTURE AS KNOWLEDGE AND SOCIAL		
CLASSIFICATION.....		
13.	Pedagogizing religion. Concluding remarks .....	172
13.1	Religion as race and class.....	172
13.2	Religion as ‘experience knowledge’.....	174
13.3	The differentiated Muslim class structure at the B-school: the Muslim subjects .....	175
13.4	Subjectivity within the perimeter of ‘Muslim tradition.’ The Muslim underclass at the C-schools highly differentiated class structure .....	177
13.5	Recapitulation: production of knowledge and production of social classification as interlinked .....	178
13.6	The school’s production and classification of knowledge and bodies. ‘Muslimness’ and ‘universal Danish Christianity’ pedagogized .....	179
References .....		
	Legislation and other documents .....	191
Appendix A: The B-school, selected text sample. Original Danish version.....		
Appendix B: The C-school, selected text samples, original Danish version .....		
	Text sample 1 (TRT1-6).....	195
	Text sample 2 (TRT1-6).....	196
	Text sample 3 .....	197