

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Sulphur X-ray absorption in the local ISM

Gatuzz, E.; Gorczyca, T.W.; Hasoglu, M.F.; Costantini, E.; Garcia, J.A.; Kallman, T.R.

DOI 10.1093/MNRAS/STAD3231

Publication date 2024 Document Version

Final published version

Published in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society License CC BY

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Gatuzz, E., Gorczyca, T. W., Hasoglu, M. F., Costantini, E., Garcia, J. A., & Kallman, T. R. (2024). Sulphur X-ray absorption in the local ISM. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *527*(2), 1648-1655. https://doi.org/10.1093/MNRAS/STAD3231

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Sulphur X-ray absorption in the local ISM

Efrain Gatuzz,^{1*} T. W. Gorczyca,² M. F. Hasoglu,³ E. Costantini,^{4,5} Javier A. García^{6,7}

and Timothy R. Kallman⁷

¹Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Gießenbachstraße 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

²Department of Physics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008, USA

³Department of Computer Engineering, Hasan Kalyoncu University, 27100 Sahinbey, Gaziantep, Turkey

⁴SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Niels Bohrweg 4, NL-2333 CA Leiden, the Netherlands

⁵Anton Pannekoek Institute, University of Amsterdam, Postbus 94249, NL-1090 GE Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁶Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

⁷NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

Accepted 2023 October 17. Received 2023 October 17; in original form 2023 June 27

ABSTRACT

We present a study S *K*-edge using high-resolution HETGS *Chandra* spectra of 36 low-mass X-ray binaries. For each source, we have estimated column densities for S I, S II, S III, S XIV, S XV, and S XVI ionic species, which trace the neutral, warm, and hot phases of the Galactic interstellar medium. We also estimated column densities for a sample of interstellar dust analogues. We measured their distribution as a function of Galactic latitude, longitude, and distances to the sources. While the cold-warm column densities tend to decrease with the Galactic latitude, we found no correlation with distances or Galactic longitude. This is the first detailed analysis of the sulphur *K*-edge absorption due to ISM using high-resolution X-ray spectra.

Key words: ISM: abundances – ISM: atoms – ISM: structure – Galaxy: structure – X-rays: ISM.

1 INTRODUCTION

The interstellar medium (ISM) is one of the essential components in Galactic dynamics because it regulates the star life cycles as well as cooling and Galactic star formation rates (Wong & Blitz 2002; Bigiel et al. 2008; Leroy et al. 2008; Lada, Lombardi & Alves 2010; Lilly et al. 2013). Defined as gas and dust between stars, the ISM shows multiple phases characterized by different gas temperatures, which vary from 10 to 10⁶ K (e.g. McKee & Ostriker 1977; Falgarone et al. 2005; Tonnesen & Bryan 2009; Draine 2011; Jenkins & Tripp 2011; Rupke & Veilleux 2013; Zhukovska et al. 2016; Stanimirović & Zweibel 2018).

Such a complex environment can be analysed using the highresolution X-ray spectroscopy technique. Bright X-ray sources, acting as lamps, are required to carry out such an analysis. The physical properties of the gas between the observer and the source are studied by modelling the absorption features identified in the X-ray spectra. In the last decade, the O, Fe, Ne, Mg, N, and Si K absorption edges associated with the ISM have been analysed by applying this method (Pinto et al. 2010, 2013; Costantini et al. 2012; Gatuzz et al. 2013a, b, 2014, 2015, 2016; Joachimi et al. 2016; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018; Gatuzz et al. 2018a, b; Gatuzz, García & Kallman 2019; Zeegers et al. 2019; Gatuzz et al. 2020a; Psaradaki et al. 2020; Gatuzz, García & Kallman 2021; Rogantini et al. 2021; Yang et al. 2022; Gatuzz et al. 2023). Sulphur constitutes an excellent diagnostic tool for studying various astrophysical environments, although its chemistry still needs to be fully understood (Laas & Caselli 2019). Sulphur remains in ionized atomic form within primitive ISM environments (Savage & Sembach 1996; Jenkins 2009). Sulphur-bearing molecules probe the physical structure of star-forming regions (Lada, Bally & Stark 1991; Plume et al. 1997). Depletion into dust grains has been proposed due to the drastic reduction of the cosmic sulphur abundance in molecular clouds (Keller et al. 2002; Scappini et al. 2003; Wakelam et al. 2004).

https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3231

Here, we present an S K-edge absorption region analysis using *Chandra* observation of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). Section 2 describes the data sample and spectral fitting procedure. Section 3 describes the atomic data calculation and photoabsorption cross-sections included in the modelling. Section 4 discusses the results obtained from the fits. Finally, we summarize the main results of our analysis in Section 5.

2 X-RAY OBSERVATIONS AND SPECTRAL FITTING

We analyse *Chandra* spectra of 36 LMXBs along different lines of sight. To build our sample, we have selected those sources for which we have at least 1000 counts in the sulphur edge absorption region (4.5–5.5 Å). To get an unbiased sample, we did not impose any constraints on the significance of the detection for a particular line (e.g. S XVI K α detection). Table 1 shows the specifications of the sources, including Galactic coordinates, hydrogen column densities taken from Willingale et al. (2013), and distances, if available. We note that Willingale et al. (2013) column densities correspond to

© 2023 The Author(s).

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Та	ble	1.	List of	Gal	lactic	observ	vations	ana	lysed	I.
----	-----	----	---------	-----	--------	--------	---------	-----	-------	----

Source	Galactic Coordinates	Distance (kpc)	<i>N</i> (H I + H2)	
411.0614 ± 0.091	(200.88 - 3.36)	$2 2^{+0.8a}$	5.86	
4U 1254 - 690	(200.88, -5.50) (303.48, -6.42)	$\frac{2.2-0.7}{13+3^{b}}$	3.46	
4U 1630 - 472	(336.91, 0.25)	4^c	17.6	
4U 1636 - 53	(332.92, -4.82)	6 ± 0.5^{d}	4.04	
4U 1702 - 429	(343.89, -1.32)	62 ± 0.9^{e}	12.3	
4U 1705 - 44	(343, 32, -2, 34)	8.4 ± 1.2^{e}	8.37	
4U 1728 – 16	(8.51, 9.04)	$4 4^c$	3.31	
4U 1728 - 34	(354.30, -0.15)	5.3 ± 0.8^{e}	13.9	
GX 9 + 9	(8.51, 9.04)	4.4^{c}	3.31	
H1743 - 322	(357.26, -1.83)	10.4 ± 2.9^{f}	8.31	
IGRJ17091 – 3624	(349.52, 2.21)	_	7.72	
NGC 6624	(2.79, -7.91)	7^g	2.33	
EXO 1846 - 031	(29.96, -0.92)	_	13.9	
GRS 1758 – 258	(4.51, -1.36)	8.5^{c}	9.03	
GRS 1915 + 105	(45.37, -0.22)	$11^{+1}e_{4}$	15.1	
GS 1826 – 238	(9.27, -6.09)	7.5 ± 0.5^{h}	3.00	
GX 13 + 1	(13.52, 0.11)	7 ± 1^i	13.6	
GX 17 + 2	(16.43, 1.28)	$14^{+2}_{21}e$	10.0	
GX 3 + 1	(2.29, 0.79)	$5^{+0.8}_{-0.7}{}^{j}$	10.7	
GX 339 – 4	(338.94, -4.33)	$10^{+5} k$	5.18	
GX 340 + 0	(339.59, -0.08)	11^{c}	20.0	
GX 349 + 2	(349.10, 2.75)	9.2^{c}	6.13	
GX 354 + 0	(354.30, -0.15)	5.3 ± 0.8^{e}	13.9	
GX 5 – 1	(5.08, -1.02)	0.21 ± 0.01^{l}	10.4	
V4641 Sgr	(6.77, -4.79)	_	3.23	
X1543 - 62	(321.76, -6.34)	7^m	3.79	
X1822 - 371	(356.85, -11.29)	2.5 ± 0.5^{n}	1.40	
XTEJ1814 - 338	(358.75, -7.59)	_	2.29	
4U 1735 – 44	(346.05, -6.99)	9.4 ± 1.4^{e}	3.96	
GX 9 + 1	(9.08, 1.15)	4.4 ± 1.3^{o}	9.89	
4U 1916 - 053	(31.36, -8.46)	8.8 ± 1.3^{e}	3.72	
4U 1957 + 11	(51.31, -9.33)	_	2.01	
A1744-361	(354.12, -4.19)	9^p	4.44	
Cir X-1	(322.12, 0.04)	$9.2^{+1.3}_{-1.4}$	16.4	
Cyg X-2	(87.33, -11.32)	$13.4^{+1.9e}_{-2}$	3.09	
Ser X-1	(36.12, 4.84)	11.1 ± 1.6^{e}	5.42	

Notes. N(H I) in units of 10^{21} cm^{-2} .

Distances obtained from ^{*a*}Paerels et al. (2001);

^bin't Zand et al. (2003); ^cGrimm, Gilfanov & Sunyaev (2002); ^dGalloway et al. (2006); ^eJonker & Nelemans (2004); ^fCorbel et al. (2005); ^gBaumgardt & Hilker (2018); ^hKong et al. (2000); ⁱBandyopadhyay et al. (1999); ^jOosterbroek et al. (2001); ^kHynes et al. (2004); ¹Gaia Collaboration (2020); ^mWang & Chakrabarty (2004); ⁿMason & Cordova (1982); ^oIaria et al. (2005); ^{*p*}Bhattacharyya et al. (2006).

N(H I + H2) average values along line of sights, covering all material in the galaxy. However, they can be safely used because our analysis covers a small wavelength region (~ 1 Å).

All spectra have been obtained with the high-energy grating (HEG) from the high-energy transmission grating (HETGS) in combination with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS). Observations were reduced using the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO,¹ version 4.15.1), including background subtraction and following the standard procedure. All observations were fitted with the XSPEC package (version 12.11.1²) in the 4.5-5.5 Å



 10^{-1}

10⁻¹⁸



Figure 1. Photoabsorption cross-sections included in the model for SI (top panel), SII, SIII (second panel), SXIV, SXV, and SXVI (third panel) and three samples of interstellar dust analogues, namely alabandite, pyrrhotite, and troilite (bottom panel). Photoabsorption cross-sections, previously computed by Witthoeft et al. (2009), Witthoeft et al. (2011), are also shown. While the general profiles are quite similar, differences exist in the K-edge positions between the two calculations because orbital relaxation effects are considered in this work for generating a basis tailored to inner-shell ionization.

¹http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/gspec.html

²http://heasarc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/



Figure 2. Best-fitting results in the S K-edge photoabsorption region for the LMXB GX13 + 1. The black data points correspond to the observations (in flux units and combined for illustrative purposes), while the solid red line corresponds to the best-fitting model. The position of the K α absorption lines are indicated for each ion, following the colour code used in Fig. 1.

wavelength region. We modelled the continuum with a powerlaw model. For each source, all observations were fitted simultaneously with γ and the normalization as independent parameters to account for changes in the continuum at different epochs. Finally, we use the

Table 2. Best-fitting sulphur column densities obtained.

 χ^2 statistics in combination with the Churazov et al. (1996) weighting method.

3 ATOMIC DATA CALCULATION

To fit the S K-edge absorption region, we computed SI-SXIV photoabsorption cross-sections (i.e. Li-like) as follows. From a single-configuration perspective for the inner-shell photoexcitation of the sulphur ground state, the specific processes to be considered are the followings:

$$h\nu + S(1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^4)[^3P] \rightarrow 1s2s^22p^63s^23p^4np[^3S^o, ^3P^o, ^3D^o].$$
(1)

This intermediate autoionizing, or resonant, state can decay via two qualitatively different Auger pathways. Firstly, there is *participator* Auger decay

$$1s2\ell^{8}3\ell^{6}np \rightarrow 1s^{2}2\ell^{a}3\ell^{b} + e^{-}, \ (a+b=13)$$

in which the valence electron np participates in the autoionization process, thus giving a decay rate that scales as $1/n^3$. On the other hand, secondly *spectator* Auger decay

$$1s2\ell^{8}3\ell^{6}np \to 1s^{2}2\ell^{c}3\ell^{d}np + e^{-}, \ (c+d=12)$$

proceeds via a stronger, *n*-independent Auger rate, causing a massive broadening of the entire Rydberg series of resonances below the K-edge. Participator Auger decay is accounted for straightforwardly

Source	S I	Sп	SIII	S XIV	S XV	S XVI	Alabandite	Pyrrohtite	Troilite	χ^2 /d.of.
4U0614 + 091	<15.3	<7.3	13.9 ± 8.5	4.3 ± 0.8	<2.4	3.3 ± 0.5	<8.1	<7.5	<7.8	1269.0/1259
4U1254 - 690	<40.6	<61.8	<22.4	<33.7	<12.8	<29.5	<26.9	<23.8	<25.5	355.9/308
4U1630 - 472	<3.7	<3.1	16.3 ± 9.0	<1.1	<2.9	7.3 ± 6.9	<12.4	<62.1	<104.4	1315.7/1259
4U1636 - 53	<6.1	<3.5	<5.2	< 0.7	<1.3	2.8 ± 0.4	<4.6	<3.7	<4.4	3130.1/2846
4U1702 - 429	103.4 ± 31.4	<32.7	<22.4	<47.2	<13.8	<37.8	< 0.1	< 0.1	<19.0	292.8/308
4U1705 - 44	35.1 ± 10.3	<17.0	<4.0	< 0.3	< 0.9	< 0.6	<2.3	<2.2	<2.1	1642.1/1576
4U1728 - 16	<8.6	<15.0	<4.9	14.3 ± 2.2	<4.4	<2.9	< 0.1	<15.4	< 0.1	322.5/308
4U1728 - 34	<26.2	84.7 ± 39.9	<24.3	<82.1	<26.5	<42.5	<22.6	<22.9	<21.9	334.7/308
GX9 + 9	10.2 ± 8.7	< 5.0	<2.8	<4.6	< 0.5	3.2 ± 2.1	<5.2	<5.0	<4.6	291.9/308
H1743 - 322	92.4 ± 35.4	<60.5	<11.7	<25.7	<25.2	<3.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	2200.6/2527
IGRJ17091 - 3624	<4.9	<14.0	< 6.8	< 0.5	<1.1	7.8 ± 6.9	<4.5	<5.2	<4.3	2548.9/2527
NGC6624	< 9.7	<6.4	<25.4	<6.2	<10.3	<1.0	<95.1	<42.9	<35.4	612.3/625
EXO1846 - 031	<3.5	35.8 ± 9.8	33.1 ± 7.2	< 0.2	< 0.1	3.6 ± 2.9	<10.8	<10.8	<9.3	1660.7/1576
GRS1758 - 258	<64.2	<70.5	73.2 ± 41.1	<71.3	<4.6	<4.7	<39.2	<22.5	<28.2	637.8/625
GRS1915 + 105	91.7 ± 8.5	<1.2	15.7 ± 6.0	< 0.3	< 0.3	30 ± 14.6	<12.0	<11.0	<10.0	1809.0/1579
GS1826 - 238	<8.7	< 9.8	<17.9	<32.5	<1.2	<8.3	<9.9	<9.3	<8.7	329.9/308
GX13 + 1	76.7 ± 5.8	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.1	129.7 ± 12.5	<2.1	<2.1	<2.3	3182.2/2844
GX17 + 2	<36.8	<30.1	24.1 ± 10.8	<13.8	< 0.7	<3.4	<4.6	< 0.1	< 0.1	281.8/308
GX3 + 1	< 9.2	22.6 ± 7.9	<7.2	<1.2	< 0.1	< 0.8	<10.8	<10.4	<10.0	3099.2/3161
GX339 – 4	<8.4	<4.6	29.0 ± 8.3	<6.5	< 0.4	< 0.3	<19.4	<8.1	<8.6	953.5/942
GX340 + 0	46.9 ± 8.6	<3.8	55.1 ± 6.8	<4.8	< 0.3	< 0.2	<20.0	<18.1	<18.0	1341.3/1262
GX349 + 2	<5.3	<5.9	7.6 ± 3.1	<1.5	< 0.1	< 0.4	<7.1	<7.1	<7.3	2069.7/1893
GX354 + 0	<24.2	38.8 ± 24.3	26.3 ± 16.7	<1.7	2.2 ± 0.44	<6.7	<7.3	< 0.1	<7.1	821.9/942
GX5 - 1	35.3 ± 9.2	22.9 ± 6.8	6.5 ± 3.1	< 0.9	< 0.0	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1.4	< 0.1	2558.3/2527
V4641Sgr	<33.9	<42.4	<10.1	<16.5	<1.3	28.8 ± 3.62	<20.0	< 0.1	<20.3	565.3/625
X1543 - 62	<45.8	<17.9	<13.6	<7.1	20.2 ± 2.9	<9.6	<64.0	<55.7	<58.4	306.9/308
X1822 - 371	<12.1	<10.3	<7.6	<16.8	<11.8	< 0.7	< 0.1	<9.9	< 0.1	533.4/625
XTEJ1814 - 338	<42.9	<43.9	<52.2	< 0.0	<181.0	<60.1	<49.8	<48.8	<48.9	317.1/308
4U1735 - 44	<39.1	<11.4	<7.3	<25.1	<4.4	< 0.8	<16.8	<20.6	<19.4	346.4/308
GX9 + 1	<48.5	<35.6	16.3 ± 12.3	27.8 ± 19.9	<1.2	<4.4	<44.4	<44.0	<43.2	637.5/625
4U1916 - 053	<20.2	<40.1	<14.9	57.9 ± 33.7	<4.6	88.5 ± 48.2	<31.8	<39.9	<57.0	1966.7/1893
4U1957 + 11	<17.8	<8.5	<9.7	<1.2	< 0.6	23.0 ± 18.0	< 0.1	< 0.1	<9.6	946.3/942
A1744 - 361	<6.1	<5.7	18.2 ± 11.4	43.1 ± 36.8	<1.3	<11.2	<4.9	<4.6	<4.8	884.7/942
CIRX – 1	<9.0	25.7 ± 10.9	11.3 ± 8.7	< 0.7	<4.1	71.1 ± 21.0	<7.0	<6.7	<3.7	1366.0/1259
CYGX – 2	<24.1	<11.7	<10.9	35.0 ± 22.9	1.9 ± 1.3	<4.3	<28.7	<30.2	<30.3	740.0/625
SERX - 1	<5.8	<13.3	<6.5	< 0.2	< 0.5	< 0.1	< 0.1	<3.4	< 0.1	978.7/942
		c .a · ·	. 1.1014	-2 6 4 1	. 1					

Note. Column densities in units of 10^{16} cm⁻² for the ionic species and 10^{14} cm⁻² for the dust samples.



Figure 3. Best-fitting column densities for the cold (S I), warm (S II + S III), hot (S XIV + S XV + S XVI), and dust ISM phases.

by explicitly including all final S II ionic channels in the standard R-matrix implementation (Burke 2011; Berrington, Eissner & Norrington 1995).

A point needs to be made regarding an alternate, and sometimes significant, decay pathway: that of spontaneous core radiative decay. This would occur most strongly, following the above initial photoabsorption, as the alternate $1s2s^22p^63s^23p^4np \rightarrow 1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^3np$ + hv decay. However, using the structure and collision code AU-TOSTRUCTURE (Badnell 1986, 1997), it has been determined that the fluorescence branching ratio is small, less than 1 per cent, and any minor correction to these Auger Lorentzian widths is eventually washed out once a broader, less-certain X-ray spectral (Gaussian) resolution is considered. Furthermore, even though there exist other *R*-matrix and/or AUTOSTRUCTURE calculations for the positions and widths of the associated resonances involved, it is not clear how useful a detailed comparison of these would be since there are inherent uncertainties in energy positions due to the variational principle for approximate wavefunctions. And the more important quantity is really the integrated oscillator strength (or cross-section, which tends to give much more accurate Maxwellian (say) rate coefficients as needed in astrophysical plasma modelling.

Present calculations utilize the modified *R*-matrix method (Berrington, Eissner & Norrington 1995; Burke 2011) to account for the spectator Auger broadening via an optical potential described by Gorczyca & Robicheaux (1999). This enhanced *R*-matrix method with pseudo-resonance elimination; Gorczyca et al. (1995) has been used in describing experimental synchrotron measurements for argon (Gorczyca & Robicheaux 1999), oxygen (Gorczyca & McLaughlin 2000; Gorczyca et al. 2013), neon (Gorczyca 2000), and carbon (Hasoglu et al. 2010) accurately.

The employed orbital basis consists of physical and pseudoorbitals to account for relaxation effects following 1s vacancy. For the system with number of electrons in target states $N \ge 10$, 1s, 2s, 2p, 3s, 3p, $\overline{3d}$, $\overline{4s}$, and $\overline{4p}$ are treated as physical orbitals and pseudo-orbitals, respectively. For the cases N < 10, using only 1s, 2s, and 2p physical orbitals and $\overline{3s}$, $\overline{3p}$, and $\overline{3d}$ pseudo-orbitals are found to be sufficient. The physical orbitals are formed by using the Hartree–Fock method, and pseudo-orbitals are optimized by using the multiconfiguration Hartree–Fock method on the configuration lists, including single and double promotions from the 1s-vacancy target state to account for important orbital relaxation effects due to the K-shell vacancy.

To compute Auger widths used for the spectator Auger broadening effects in $1 sn \ell^q$ autoionizing states target states by using the *R*-matrix method, we rely on Wigner Time Delay Method (Smith 1960), as it was applied in the recent photoabsorption works on C-, Mg-, and Si-isonuclear sequences (Hasoglu et al. 2010; Hasoğlu et al. 2014; Gatuzz et al. 2020c). *R*-matrix method on $e^- + 1s^2n\ell^{q-2}$ scattering problem is employed in the same manner as photoabsorption calculations in terms of basis set and configuration lists. Further details on the significance of spectator Auger broadening effects and application of *R*-matrix along with the Wigner Time Delay method can be found in the previous works (Gorczyca & Robicheaux 1999; Gorczyca & McLaughlin 2000; Gorczyca 2000; Hasoglu et al. 2010; Gorczyca et al. 2013; Hasoğlu et al. 2014).

3.1 S K-edge photoabsorption cross-sections

We consider the S I, S II, S III, and S XIV photoabsorption crosssections computed as described above in the model. Previously reported *K*-absorption $(1s \rightarrow np)$ cross-sections of sulphur ionized species for N < 11 and $N \ge 11$ were computed by Witthoeft et al.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/527/2/1648/7326793 by University of Amsterdam user on 26 March 2024



Figure 4. S column densities distribution for each ISM phase as function of Galactic latitude (top panels) and Galactic longitude (bottom panels).

(2009, 2011), respectively, by utilizing a similar *R*-matrix approach with inclusion of Auger broadening effects. However, important orbital relaxation effects were neglected due to the fact that the singleelectron orbitals were obtained by using a Thomas-Fermi-Dirac statistical model potential. Inclusion of relaxation effects primarily becomes important due to the change in the potential perceived by the outer electrons upon an excitation or ionization of an electron from the K-shell; this change in potential strength becomes more significant for low-charged ions. In particular, SII is expected to be the most affected by relaxation effects, as evidenced by the Kshell threshold being overestimated by approximately 7 eV (see Fig. 1). Previous calculations for N < 11 by Witthoeft et al. (2009) were performed using the Breit-Pauli R-matrix method that showed significant fine-structure splitting of resonance series (see fig. 1 for S XIV), which are included here. However, such relatively small shifts in energy are essentially washed out of any convolution as needed for plasma modelling.

We have also included extinction cross-sections (i.e. absorption + scattering) for three samples of interstellar dust analogues, namely alabandite, pyrrhotite, and troilite, measured by Costantini et al. (2019). Fig. 1 shows the sulphur photoabsorption cross-sections considered in the model, which takes into account the cold (top panel), warm (second panel), hot (third panel), and dust (bottom panel) phases of the ISM. Photoabsorption cross-sections computed by Witthoeft et al. (2009, 2011) are also included.

We included these cross-sections in a modified version of the ISMabs model (Gatuzz et al. 2015) to model the S K-edge. In this way, the column densities for the ionic species of interest are free parameters in the spectral fitting. For each source, we fixed the H I ISMabs column densities to the values provided by Willingale et al. (2013). Given the spectral resolution of the instrument, we note that a detailed benchmarking of the doublet/triplet resonance line positions (see Fig. 1) cannot be performed. For example, for the S III K α resonance lines, we have a separation of $\Delta\lambda \sim 5$ mÅ while the nominal HEG resolution is $\Delta\lambda \sim 12$ mÅ. For example, Fig. 2 shows the best fit obtained for the LMXB GX13 + 1. Black

MNRAS 527, 1648-1655 (2024)

points correspond to the observation in flux units, while the red line corresponds to the best-fitting model. Residuals are included in units of (data - model)/error. The position of the K α absorption lines for the gaseous component is indicated for each ion, following the colour code used in Fig. 1.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the best-fitting results. We have found good fits to the observed sulphur *K*-edge spectra for all observations. We noted that for most of the sources, we had obtained upper limits for the relevant parameters, including the dust component. We identified the different phases of the gaseous ISM as cold (S I), warm (S II + S III), hot (S XIV + S XV + S XVI), and dust (alabandite + pyrrohite + troilite). The best-fitting column densities obtained are shown in Fig. 3. We note that the column densities between the different gaseous phases are in the same range, while the dust column densities tend to be much lower than the cold component.

Fig. 4 shows the column density distribution for each ISM gaseous phase as a function of the Galactic latitude (top panels) and the Galactic longitude (bottom panels). The cold-warm phases tend to decrease with the Galactic latitude (top panels), while the hot phase does not appear to show a clear correlation, although we note that, for many sources, the best-fitting results correspond to upper limits. Gatuzz et al. (2021) found a similar homogeneous distribution for the warm-hot ISM component in their nitrogen K-edge photoabsorption region analysis. It is commonly assumed that the neutral component of the ISM exponentially decreases along the perpendicular direction to the Galactic plane, with larger column densities near the Galactic centre (see e.g. Robin et al. 2003; Kalberla & Kerp 2009). However, the sulphur depletion into dust may lead to departures from such distribution. Fig. 5 shows the column density distribution for each phase as a function of the distance for those sources where available. There is no clear correlation between both parameters.

Previous analysis of the ISM using X-ray absorption have shown that the gaseous state is dominated by the neutral component with



Figure 5. S column densities distribution for each ISM phase as function of the distance.

mass fractions for the different phases in the Galactic disc of ~ 90 per cent, warm ~ 8 per cent, and hot ~ 2 per cent of the total contribution (e.g. Yao & Wang 2006; Pinto et al. 2013; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018). Given the uncertainties in the obtained column densities, we cannot accurately compute mass fractions for all sources. Moreover, we are not considering ionization equilibrium for the sulphur ionic species because the column densities in the *ISMabs* model are free parameters. Therefore, the hot phase temperature, as described in previous works, could not be hot enough in order to



Figure 6. Athena and LEM simulation of the S K-edge photoabsorption region for a Galactic source (e.g. GX13 + 1). The total exposure time is indicated.

produce highly ionized S. Furthermore, the hot phase could include a contribution from an ionized static absorber intrinsic to the source (see for example Gatuzz et al. 2020b). A complete thermodynamic analysis of the ISM component is beyond the scope of this work, which focuses on measuring the column densities for the neutral and ionic S species. For the dust component, we have found upper limits for all sources, with a contribution of < 10 per cent for the cold gas.

4.1 Future prospects

Future X-ray observatories will allow us to resolve the $K\alpha$ resonance lines for the different S ionic species. For the neutral S I component, it is crucial to compute new accurate atomic data, including radiation and Auger damping, in order to model not only the gaseous phase but also to differentiate it with the modulations and spectral features induced by interstellar grains extinction (Costantini et al. 2019). For example, Fig. 6 shows simulations for a Galactic source (i.e. GX13 + 1) obtained with Athena (Nandra et al. 2013) and LEM (Kraft et al. 2022). The plot shows the extraordinary capabilities of the different instruments, where the main resonance absorption lines are easily visible, including the SIK resonance lines. Moreover, by simultaneously measuring K α and K β absorption lines for the same ions, more accurate constraints on the abundances, broadening, and ionization state of the ISM absorber will be obtained. It is important to note that this simulation includes only the gaseous component. While the total dust contribution could also be measured, the distinction between different dust samples is more challenging. A detailed dust simulation for Athena was computed by Costantini et al. (2019).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the sulphur K-edge X-ray absorption region (4.5– 5.5 Å) using *Chandra* high-resolution spectra of 36 LMXBs. We fitted each source with a simple powerlaw for the continuum and a modified version of the *ISMabs* model, including extinction cross-sections for three samples of interstellar dust analogues (e.g. alabandite, pyrrhotite, and troilite). We found that the absorption features identified in the spectra are well modeled with the theoretical photoabsorption cross-section, even though individual K α doublets/triplets cannot be resolved. With this model, we have estimated column densities for S I, S II, S II, S V, S VI and S VII ionic species as well as upper limits for the dust component, which trace the multiphase ISM. While the cold-warm column densities tend to decrease with the Galactic latitude, we found no correlation with distances or Galactic longitude. Finally, our simulations using response files from future X-ray observatories such as *Athena* indicate that a detailed benchmarking of the atomic data will be possible with such instruments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading of our manuscript and the valuable comments.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Observations analyzed in this article are available in the Chandra Grating-Data Archive and Catalog (TGCat) (http://tgcat.mit.edu/ab out.php). The ISMabs model is included in the XSPEC data analysis software (https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/). This research was carried out on the High Performance Computing resources of the cobra cluster at the Max Planck Computing and Data Facility (MPCDF) in Garching operated by the Max Planck Society (MPG)

REFERENCES

- Badnell N. R., 1986, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 19, 3827
- Badnell N. R., 1997, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 30, 1
- Bandyopadhyay R. M., Shahbaz T., Charles P. A., Naylor T., 1999, MNRAS, 306, 417
- Baumgardt H., Hilker M., 2018, MNRAS, 478, 1520
- Berrington K. A., Eissner W. B., Norrington P. H., 1995, Comput. Phys. Commun., 92, 290
- Bhattacharyya S., Strohmayer T. E., Markwardt C. B., Swank J. H., 2006, ApJ, 639, L31
- Bigiel F., Leroy A., Walter F., Brinks E., de Blok W. J. G., Madore B., Thornley M. D., 2008, AJ, 136, 2846
- Burke P. G., 2011, R-matrix Theory of Atomic Collisions. Springer, New York
- Churazov E., Gilfanov M., Forman W., Jones C., 1996, ApJ, 471, 673
- Corbel S., Kaaret P., Fender R. P., Tzioumis A. K., Tomsick J. A., Orosz J. A., 2005, ApJ, 632, 504
- Costantini E. et al., 2012, A&A, 539, A32
- Costantini E., Zeegers S. T., Rogantini D., de Vries C. P., Tielens A. G. G. M., Waters L. B. F. M., 2019, A&A, 629, A78
- Draine B. T., 2011, Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium. Princenton Univ. Press, Princenton, NJ
- Falgarone E., Verstraete L., Pineau Des Forêts G., Hily-Blant P., 2005, A&A, 433, 997
- Gaia Collaboration, 2020, VizieR Online Data Catalog, I/350
- Galloway D. K., Psaltis D., Muno M. P., Chakrabarty D., 2006, ApJ, 639, 1033
- Gatuzz E., Churazov E., 2018, MNRAS, 474, 696
- Gatuzz E. et al., 2013a, ApJ, 768, 60
- Gatuzz E. et al., 2013b, ApJ, 778, 83
- Gatuzz E., García J., Mendoza C., Kallman T. R., Bautista M. A., Gorczyca T. W., 2014, ApJ, 790, 131
- Gatuzz E., García J., Kallman T. R., Mendoza C., Gorczyca T. W., 2015, ApJ, 800, 29
- Gatuzz E., García J. A., Kallman T. R., Mendoza C., 2016, A&A, 588, A111
- Gatuzz E., Ness J. U., Gorczyca T. W., Hasoglu M. F., Kallman T. R., García J. A., 2018a, MNRAS, 479, 2457
- Gatuzz E., Rezaei K. S., Kallman T. R., Kreikenbohm A., Oertel M., Wilms J., García J. A., 2018b, MNRAS, 479, 3715
- Gatuzz E., García J. A., Kallman T. R., 2019, MNRAS, 483, L75
- Gatuzz E., Gorczyca T. W., Hasoglu M. F., Schulz N. S., Corrales L., Mendoza C., 2020a, preprint (arXiv:2007.00013)
- Gatuzz E., Díaz Trigo M., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Migliari S., 2020b, MNRAS, 491, 4857

- Gatuzz E., Gorczyca T. W., Hasoglu M. F., Schulz N. S., Corrales L., Mendoza C., 2020c, MNRAS, 498, L20
- Gatuzz E., García J. A., Kallman T. R., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 4460
- Gatuzz E., García J. A., Churazov E., Kallman T. R., 2023, MNRAS, 521, 3098
- Gorczyca T. W., 2000, Phys. Rev. A, 61, 024702
- Gorczyca T. W., McLaughlin B. M., 2000, J. Phys. B Atom. Mol. Phys., 33, L859
- Gorczyca T. W., Robicheaux F., 1999, Phys. Rev. A, 60, 1216
- Gorczyca T. W., Robicheaux F., Pindzola M. S., Griffin D. C., Badnell N. R., 1995, Phys. Rev. A, 52, 3877
- Gorczyca T. W. et al., 2013, ApJ, 779, 78
- Grimm H.-J., Gilfanov M., Sunyaev R., 2002, A&A, 391, 923
- Hasoglu M. F., Abdel-Naby S. A., Gorczyca T. W., Drake J. J., McLaughlin B. M., 2010, ApJ, 724, 1296
- Hasoğlu M. F., Abdel-Naby S. A., Gatuzz E., García J., Kallman T. R., Mendoza C., Gorczyca T. W., 2014, ApJS, 214, 8
- Hynes R. I., Steeghs D., Casares J., Charles P. A., O'Brien K., 2004, ApJ, 609, 317
- Iaria R., di Salvo T., Robba N. R., Lavagetto G., Burderi L., Stella L., van der Klis M., 2005, A&A, 439, 575
- in't Zand J. J. M., Kuulkers E., Verbunt F., Heise J., Cornelisse R., 2003, A&A, 411, L487
- Jenkins E. B., 2009, ApJ, 700, 1299
- Jenkins E. B., Tripp T. M., 2011, ApJ, 734, 65
- Joachimi K., Gatuzz E., García J. A., Kallman T. R., 2016, MNRAS, 461, 352
- Jonker P. G., Nelemans G., 2004, MNRAS, 354, 355
- Kalberla P. M., Kerp J., 2009, ARA&A, 47, 27
- Keller L. P. et al., 2002, Nature, 417, 148
- Kong A. K. H., Homer L., Kuulkers E., Charles P. A., Smale A. P., 2000, MNRAS, 311, 405
- Kraft R. et al., 2022, preprint (arXiv:2211.09827)
- Laas J. C., Caselli P., 2019, A&A, 624, A108
- Lada E. A., Bally J., Stark A. A., 1991, ApJ, 368, 432
- Lada C. J., Lombardi M., Alves J. F., 2010, ApJ, 724, 687
- Leroy A. K., Walter F., Brinks E., Bigiel F., de Blok W. J. G., Madore B., Thornley M. D., 2008, AJ, 136, 2782
- Lilly S. J., Carollo C. M., Pipino A., Renzini A., Peng Y., 2013, ApJ, 772, 119
- Mason K. O., Cordova F. A., 1982, ApJ, 262, 253
- McKee C. F., Ostriker J. P., 1977, ApJ, 218, 148
- Nandra K. et al., 2013, preprint (arXiv:1306.2307)
- Oosterbroek T., Barret D., Guainazzi M., Ford E. C., 2001, A&A, 366, 138
- Paerels F. et al., 2001, ApJ, 546, 338
- Pinto C., Kaastra J. S., Costantini E., Verbunt F., 2010, A&A, 521, A79
- Pinto C., Kaastra J. S., Costantini E., de Vries C., 2013, A&A, 551, A25
- Plume R., Jaffe D. T., Evans N. J. II, Martín-Pintado J., Gómez-González J., 1997, ApJ, 476, 730
- Psaradaki I. et al., 2020, A&A, 642, A208
- Robin A. C., Reylé C., Derrière S., Picaud S., 2003, A&A, 409, 523
- Rogantini D., Costantini E., Mehdipour M., Kuiper L., Ranalli P., Waters L. B. F. M., 2021, A&A, 645, A98
- Rupke D. S. N., Veilleux S., 2013, ApJ, 768, 75
- Savage B. D., Sembach K. R., 1996, ApJ, 470, 893
- Scappini F., Cecchi-Pestellini C., Smith H., Klemperer W., Dalgarno A., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 657
- Smith F. T., 1960, Phys. Rev., 118, 349
- Stanimirović S., Zweibel E. G., 2018, ARA&A, 56, 489
- Tonnesen S., Bryan G. L., 2009, ApJ, 694, 789
- Wakelam V., Caselli P., Ceccarelli C., Herbst E., Castets A., 2004, A&A, 422, 159
- Wang Z., Chakrabarty D., 2004, ApJ, 616, L139
- Willingale R., Starling R. L. C., Beardmore A. P., Tanvir N. R., O'Brien P. T., 2013, MNRAS, 431, 394
- Witthoeft M. C., Bautista M. A., Mendoza C., Kallman T. R., Palmeri P., Quinet P., 2009, ApJS, 182, 127

Witthoeft M. C., García J., Kallman T. R., Bautista M. A., Mendoza C., Palmeri P., Quinet P., 2011, ApJS, 192, 7

Wong T., Blitz L., 2002, ApJ, 569, 157

- Yang J., Schulz N. S., Rogantini D., Canizares C. R., Corrales L., Psaradaki I., 2022, AJ, 164, 182
- Yao Y., Wang Q. D., 2006, ApJ, 641, 930

Zeegers S. T., Costantini E., Rogantini D., de Vries C. P., Mutschke H., Mohr P., de Groot F., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2019, A&A, 627, A16

Zhukovska S., Dobbs C., Jenkins E. B., Klessen R. S., 2016, ApJ, 831, 147

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.