

Cleveland State University EngagedScholarship@CSU

Physics Faculty Publications

Physics Department

2024

Microstructure-based Modeling of Primary Cilia Mechanics

Nima Mostafazadeh

Andrew Resnick

Y.-N. Young

Zhangli Peng

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sciphysics_facpub Part of the Cell Biology Commons, and the Physics Commons How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics Department at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

Revised: 7 April 2024

RESEARCH ARTICLE

CYTOSKELETON WILEY

Microstructure-based modeling of primary cilia mechanics

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

²Department of Physics and Center for Gene Regulation in Health and Disease. Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

³Department of Mathematical Sciences, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, New Jersey, USA

Correspondence

Zhangli Peng, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, 60612 Illinois, USA. Email: zhpeng@uic.edu

Funding information National Science Foundation; National Institutes of Health

Nima Mostafazadeh¹ | Andrew Resnick² | Y.-N. Young³ | Zhangli Peng¹

Abstract

A primary cilium, made of nine microtubule doublets enclosed in a cilium membrane, is a mechanosensing organelle that bends under an external mechanical load and sends an intracellular signal through transmembrane proteins activated by cilium bending. The nine microtubule doublets are the main load-bearing structural component, while the transmembrane proteins on the cilium membrane are the main sensing component. No distinction was made between these two components in all existing models, where the stress calculated from the structural component (nine microtubule doublets) was used to explain the sensing location, which may be totally misleading. For the first time, we developed a microstructure-based primary cilium model by considering these two components separately. First, we refined the analytical solution of bending an orthotropic cylindrical shell for individual microtubule, and obtained excellent agreement between finite element simulations and the theoretical predictions of a microtubule bending as a validation of the structural component in the model. Second, by integrating the cilium membrane with nine microtubule doublets and simulating the tip-anchored optical tweezer experiment on our computational model, we found that the microtubule doublets may twist significantly as the whole cilium bends. Third, besides being cilium-length-dependent, we found the mechanical properties of the cilium are also highly deformation-dependent. More important, we found that the cilium membrane near the base is not under pure inplane tension or compression as previously thought, but has significant local bending stress. This challenges the traditional model of cilium mechanosensing, indicating that transmembrane proteins may be activated more by membrane curvature than membrane stretching. Finally, we incorporated imaging data of primary cilia into our microstructure-based cilium model, and found that comparing to the ideal model with uniform microtubule length, the imaging-informed model shows the nine microtubule doublets interact more evenly with the cilium membrane, and their contact locations can cause even higher bending curvature in the cilium membrane than near the base.

KEYWORDS

continuum modeling, finite element analysis, mechanosensing, shell theory

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Authors. Cytoskeleton published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The primary cilium is a membrane-encased microtubule-based cellular organelle that protrudes out of the apical side of the cell when the mother centriole (microtubule organization center, MTOC) docks to the plasma membrane (Bernabé-Rubio & Alonso, 2017). As the cilium grows, the axoneme consisting of nine microtubule doublets lengthens while enclosed within a ciliary membrane contiguous with the cell membrane, yet its own distinct chemical composition (Garcia III et al., 2018). Long speculated to be decorative, the primary cilium is now hypothesized to be a nexus of cellular sensing (Lee et al., 2015) especially vital to normal functioning in a wide range of mammalian cells. Strong evidence for this hypothesis is the commonly observed cytosolic increase in calcium when the primary cilium is bent (Praetorius & Spring, 2001). This response was lost with the removal of the primary cilium (Praetorius & Spring, 2001, 2003).

Structural or functional defects in primary cilia (due to genetic disorders (Reiter & Leroux, 2017), for example) may disturb their mechanosensing pathways and are implicated in serious diseases, for example polycystic kidney disease (PKD) (Adams et al., 2008; Badano et al., 2006; Hildebrandt et al., 2011; Ibanez-Tallon et al., 2003; Lee & Somlo, 2014; Veland et al., 2009). However, the connection between ciliary-mediated flow responses and overall organismal health and disease is still debated. For example, although a large body of results indicates that the activation of transmembrane calcium channels on cilia is mechanically induced (Jin et al., 2013; Nauli et al., 2008; Praetorius & Spring, 2005), the specific molecular (mechanistic) events connecting mechanical bending of a cilium to release of intracellular calcium remains unclear (Delling et al., 2016).

Ferreira et al. (2019) raised the idea that primary cilia are mechanosensitive organelles due to both ciliary protein composition and ciliary stress distribution under mechanical deflection. Given the difficulties of studying primary cilia function on the molecular scale, numerical modeling could partially relieve the experimental difficulties of understanding the individual and combined mechanical responses from both microtubules and plasma membrane, allowing integration of overall ciliary mechanics and determining local stress distributions for each member of this intricate structure.

The mechanical properties of primary cilia are closely related to those of microtubules, which have been shown to have a lengthdependent persistence length (Pampaloni et al., 2006): longer microtubules are stiffer than shorter microtubules. Such dependence on the microtubule length can be explained by the anisotropic shell model of a cylindrical shell with a much larger axial modulus than the azimuthal moduli (Liu et al., 2012). Previously Flaherty et al. (2020) provided, for the first time, evidence that the persistence length of a ciliary axoneme is also length-dependent; longer cilia are stiffer than shorter cilia, just as microtubules. We demonstrated that this apparent length dependence can be understood by a combination of modeling axonemal microtubules as anisotropic elastic shells and including actomyosin-driven stochastic basal body motion. Our results also demonstrated that it is possible to use observable ciliary dynamics to probe the cytoskeletal dynamics inside the cell.

More importantly, the measurements quantifying the rigidity parameters of microtubules and lipid membranes indicate that nine microtubule doublets should be the main load-bearing structural component, while the transmembrane proteins on the cilium membrane are the main sensing components (Boal, 2012; Felgner et al., 1996; Gittes et al., 1993; Kikumoto et al., 2006; Kis et al., 2002; Kurachi et al., 1995; Pablo et al., 2003; Tuszyński et al., 2005; Venier et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2006). No distinction was made between these two components in all existing models (Do, 2022; Liu et al., 2005; Resnick, 2015; Rydholm et al., 2010; Schwartz et al., 1997; Young et al., 2012), where the stress calculated from the structural component (nine microtubule doublets) was used to explain the sensing location, which may be totally misleading, as the stress in bent microtubule doublets may not correlate to the stress in the sensing component (cilium membrane). For the first time, we developed a microstructure-based primary cilium model by considering these two components separately.

In addition, the traditional paradigm of modeling the ciliary axoneme assumes that the nine microtubule doublets have the same length as the axonemal centerline (Peng et al., 2021). However, Sun et al. (2019) reported a very different micro-architecture of epithelial primary cilia, where the nine microtubule doublets, or microtubule complexes (MtCs), have different lengths along the axoneme axis and not all MtCs reach the axoneme tip. Furthermore, some of the MtCs transition to singlets. How would such microstructural architecture of MtCs affect the mechanics of the primary cilium under an external load? Furthermore, how might such architecture of MtCs result in different mechanisms for mechanotransduction of primary cilia? To answer these questions, we combine the improved characterization of cilia with the observed microstructures of MtCs inside the ciliary axoneme to gain a deeper understanding of the mechanics of primary cilia and a complete insight into the underlying mechanisms for their mechanosensing and length regulation.

For this paper, we constructed numerical models for both ciliary plasma membrane and microtubule mechanics using electronmicroscopy (EM) image-based architecture from experimental data. We simulated tip-anchored optical tweezer experiments with varying cilium lengths and verified the resulting computed displacements with an analytical continuum shell model solution. We then further analyzed computational results to quantify distinct membrane and axonemal contributions to mechanical responses of primary cilia and identify possible mechanosensing triggers during bending deformation.

2 | ORTHOTROPIC SHELL THEORY OF MICROTUBULES

Microtubules are tubular structures composed of tubulins (Figure 1a). The basic building block of microtubules is the α - β tubulin heterodimer, which forms after α - and β -tubulin monomers co-crystallize during the nucleation process. These heterodimers then assemble in a head-to-tail configuration to produce protofilaments (Downing & Nogales, 1998). In general, 11–15 protofilaments laterally associate to



create a hollow tube-like structure, and microtubules with 13 protofilaments are the most common (Amos & Klug, 1974). Anisotropy in microtubule mechanics arises from the contrast between α - β lateral and longitudinal interactions between tubulins. Although all attachments are non-covalent and mediated through hydrogen and electrostatic bonds (Ayoub et al., 2014), binding strength between the tubulins in longitudinal direction is about twice as strong as between adjacent protofilaments (VanBuren et al., 2002).

Efforts have been made to characterize elastic properties of microtubules through experiments and simulations. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) indentation (Kis et al., 2002; Pablo et al., 2003), optical tweezers (Felgner et al., 1996; Kikumoto et al., 2006), and thermal fluctuation wave analysis (Gittes et al., 1993; Venier et al., 1994) are employed to estimate the flexural rigidity of microtubule shells. Consequently, Young's moduli in order of 10⁹ Pa have been reported for certain bending criteria and geometric assumptions. The persistence length of microtubules varies and approaches a plateau of roughly 5000 µm as the length-to-radius ratio of microtubules increases (Gittes et al., 1993). For experiments that have been conducted at this scale, the longitudinal elasticity of microtubule becomes dominant, on the order of giga Pascals, and can confidently be attributed to longitudinal elastic modulus E_z of microtubules. Weaker bonds in circumferential direction imply smaller E_s compared to E_z . Simulations indicate that the magnitude of E_s should be in the range of 1– 4 MPa, about 1000 times smaller than E_{z} . Simulations and mathematical analysis were exploited to estimate the shear modulus of a shell tube with flexural rigidity of a microtubule, with results varying from 1 kPa to 10 MPa (Tuszyński et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006). The ranges reported in the literature are presented in Table 1.

Based on the molecular structures of microtubules, the orthotropic shell model is expected to effectively capture the distinct elastic and shear mechanics of microtubules as it bends under a load (Tuszyński et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006). For a thin cylindrical shell of length L, radius R (middle point), and thickness h, 3D cylindrical displacements U, V and W are defined in longitudinal z, circumferential s, and radial r directions, respectively (Figure 1b). In our model formulation, the corresponding strain equations incorporate second-order curvature terms, while all normal and shear radial strains are assumed to be zero, in accordance with the thin shell assumption. Asserting the mid-point radius as the basis (Baba, 1972), the expressions for the strain are

$$e_{z} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} - r \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial z^{2}}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm s} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial s} - \frac{Rr}{r+R} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial s^2} + \frac{W}{r+R},\tag{2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{zs} = \varepsilon_{sz} = \frac{R}{r+R} \frac{\partial U}{\partial s} + \frac{r+R}{R} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} - \frac{r^2 + 2Rr}{r+R} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial z \partial s}.$$
 (3)

Similarly, the equations for stress are formulated for the longitudinal, circumferential and their shear directions, with the respective elastic moduli E_z and E_s , shear modulus G, as well as Poisson ratios ν_{zs} and ν_{sz} (Schwaighofer & Microys, 1979):

$$\sigma_z = \frac{E_z}{1 - \nu_{zs}\nu_{sz}} (\varepsilon_z + \nu_{sz}\varepsilon_s), \qquad (4)$$

$$\sigma_{\rm s} = \frac{E_{\rm s}}{1 - \nu_{\rm ZS} \nu_{\rm SZ}} \ (\varepsilon_{\rm s} + \nu_{\rm ZS} \varepsilon_{\rm Z}), \tag{5}$$

$$\tau_{zs} = \mathsf{G}\varepsilon_{zs},\tag{6}$$

| MOSTAFA7ADEH | FT . | Δ١ |
|---------------|------|----|
| MOSTAFAZADLII | EL | AL |

| IABLE 1 Biophysical parameters of the numerical mode |
|---|
|---|

| Component | Parameter symbol | Literature | Used value |
|----------------|------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Microtubule | R | 8–12 nm (Kis et al., 2002) | 10 nm |
| | h | 5–6 nm (Wang et al., 2006) | 5 nm |
| | Ez | 1 MPa to 7 GPa (Felgner et al., 1996; Gittes et al., 1993; Kikumoto et al., 2006; Kis et al., 2002; Kurachi et al., 1995; Pablo et al., 2003; Venier et al., 1994) | 2.6 GPa |
| | Es | 1–4 MPa (Tuszyński et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006) | 2 MPa |
| | G | 1 kPa to 1 MPa (Kis et al., 2002; Tuszyński et al., 2005) | 7 kPa |
| | V _{ZS} | 0.3 (Wang et al., 2006) | 0.3 |
| Lipid bilayer | B _M | 2×10^{-19} J (Boal, 2012) | $2 \times 10^{-19} \ J$ |
| | K _M | $4.5\times10^5\text{pN}/\mu\text{m}$ (Boal, 2012) | $4.5\times 10^5pN/\mu m$ |
| Primary cilium | R _{CM} | \sim 100 nm (Sun et al., 2019) | 100 nm |
| | r _a | n/a | 5/6R _{CM} |
| | r _b | n/a | 4/3R |
| | | | |

where $E_z \nu_{sz} = E_s \nu_{zs}$.

Our goal is to obtain an expression of the lateral displacement of an orthotropic shell under a symmetric radial loading *P*, where $P_t = P\sin\left(\frac{s}{R}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2L}\right)$ and $P_n = P\cos\left(\frac{s}{R}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2L}\right)$ represent the tangential and normal components of the periodically distributed load *P*, respectively (Figure 1c,d). By substituting the stress and strain relations (Equations (1)–(6)) into the definitions of moments and internal force, and simplifying the equilibrium equations under a symmetrically distributed radial loading (Hess, 1961; Liu et al., 2012; Zou & Foster, 1995), we obtain the governing equations for *U*, *V* and *W*:

$$D_{z}\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial z^{2}} + \left(D_{zs} + \frac{B_{zs}}{R^{2}}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial s^{2}} + \left(D_{z} + \nu_{sz}D_{zs}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial z\partial s} + \frac{\nu_{zs}D_{z}}{R}\frac{\partial W}{\partial z} + \frac{B_{zs}}{R}\frac{\partial^{3}W}{\partial z\partial s^{2}} + \frac{B_{s}}{R}\frac{\partial^{3}W}{\partial z^{3}} = 0,$$
(7)

$$D_{s}\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial s^{2}} + \left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{B_{zs}}{R^{2}} + D_{zs}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial z^{2}} + (D_{zs} + \nu_{zs}D_{s})\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial z\partial s} + \frac{D_{s}}{R}\frac{\partial W}{\partial s} - \frac{3B_{zs} + 2\nu_{zs}B_{s}}{2R}\frac{\partial^{3}W}{\partial z^{2}\partial s} + P_{t} = 0,$$
(8)

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\nu_{zs}D_{s}}{R}\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} + \frac{B_{zs}}{2R}\frac{\partial^{3}U}{\partial z\partial s^{2}} - \frac{B_{z}}{R}\frac{\partial^{3}U}{\partial z^{3}} + \frac{D_{s}}{R}\frac{\partial V}{\partial s} - \frac{3B_{zs} + 2\nu_{sz}B_{z}}{2R}\frac{\partial^{3}V}{\partial z^{2}\partial s} \\ & + \left(\frac{D_{s}}{R^{2}} + \frac{B_{s}}{R^{4}}\right)W + \frac{2B_{s}}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}W}{\partial s^{2}} + (\nu_{zs}B_{z} + \nu_{sz}B_{s} + 2B_{zs})\frac{\partial^{4}W}{\partial z^{2}\partial s^{2}} \qquad (9) \\ & + B_{z}\frac{\partial^{4}W}{\partial z^{4}} + B_{s}\frac{\partial^{4}W}{\partial s^{4}} - P_{n} = 0. \end{split}$$

The bending stiffnesses B_a and stretching stiffnesses D_a , with $a \in \{z, s\}$, are defined as follows:

$$D_z = \frac{E_z h}{1 - v_{zs} v_{sz}}, \quad D_s = \frac{E_s h}{1 - v_{zs} v_{sz}}, \quad D_{zs} = Gh,$$
 (10)

$$B_{z} = \frac{E_{z}h^{3}}{12(1 - v_{zs}v_{sz})}, \quad B_{s} = \frac{E_{s}h^{3}}{12(1 - v_{zs}v_{sz})}, \quad B_{zs} = \frac{Gh^{3}}{12}, \quad (11)$$

where E_z and E_s are Young's moduli in *z* and *s* directions, v_{zs} and v_{sz} are longitudinal and circumferential Poisson ratios, *G* is the in-plane shear modulus of the cylindrical shell and *h* is the thickness of the shell, and the model assumes the shell thickness is much smaller than the cell radius ($h \ll R$).

Taking advantage of the symmetry in the boundary conditions, the single microtubule simulations are performed on only half the shell length. Thus, for convenience, the analytical solution is obtained by considering microtubules of length 2L. Consequently, we periodically extend the system and use the following boundary conditions to obtain an analytical solution:

$$W(0,s) = W(2L,s) = V(0,s) = V(2L,s) = 0,$$
 (12)

$$\left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0 \text{ or } 2L} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial z^2} \right|_{z=0 \text{ or } 2L} = 0.$$
(13)

This linear problem can be solved by utilizing Fourier expansion of displacement variables:

۱

$$U(z,s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{mn} \cos\left(\frac{ms}{R}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{2L}\right),$$
 (14)

$$V(z,s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{mn} \sin\left(\frac{ms}{R}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi z}{2L}\right),$$
(15)

$$W(z,s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{mn} \cos\left(\frac{ms}{R}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi z}{2L}\right),$$
 (16)

which satisfy the boundary conditions (Equations (12) and (13)) automatically.

The s-dependence of loading P dictates that all terms with $m \neq 1$ must vanish. We substituted Equations (14)–(16) with m = 1 and n = 1 into Equations (7)–(9) and obtained a linear system of equations. This system is then solved for v_{11} and w_{11} , taking into account the prescribed geometry, material properties, and loading:

$$v_{11} = P \frac{l_1}{l_3}, \quad w_{11} = P \frac{l_2}{l_3},$$
 (17)

where the expressions for I_1 , I_2 and I_3 are provided in the Appendices.

Maximum lateral displacement of the shell d_{theory} can be calculated by averaging the sum of displacement components of the central edge in the bending direction over its perimeter:

$$d_{\text{theory}} = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{-\pi R}^{\pi R} \left[W \cos\left(\frac{s}{R}\right) - V \sin\left(\frac{s}{R}\right) \right] ds = \frac{1}{2} (w_{11} - v_{11}). \quad (18)$$

Modeling a microtubule as an Euler–Bernoulli beam with persistence length p_{MT} undergoing Brownian fluctuations, displacement d_{EB} is related to the loading as follows:

$$p_{\rm MT}k_{\rm B}T\frac{\partial^4 d_{\rm EB}}{\partial z^4} = \int_{-\pi R/2}^{\pi R/2} {\sf P}\cos\left(\frac{s}{R}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{2L}\right) ds = 2P\pi R\sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{2L}\right)$$
(19)

because the load is zero for *s* outside the internal of $s \in [-\pi R/2, \pi R/2]$. Solving this differential equation by substituting the displacement from Equation (18), the persistence length of a microtubule is found to be:

$$p_{\rm MT} = \frac{32L^4 PR}{k_{\rm B}T \pi^3 d_{\rm theory}} = \frac{64L^4 R}{k_{\rm B}T \pi^3 (I_1/I_3 - I_2/I_3)}.$$
 (20)

Equation (20) is fitted to persistence length data of microtubules with varying contour lengths (Pampaloni et al., 2006) for elastic moduli E_z and E_s as well as shear modulus G as fitting parameters (Figure 3a). Thickness *h*, radius *R* and Poisson ratio ν_{zs} were averaged from measurements reported in literature.

3 | NUMERICAL MODELING

First, we validated the applicability of our thin shell theory to cylinders with finite thickness. In these simulations, cylindrical shells of various lengths were subjected to increasing distributed loads *P*, constrained by Equations (12) and (13), and simulated using Abaqus/Standard (Smith, 2020). The resulting lateral deflections were compared to the same analytical setup. The LAMINA material option in Abaqus, which allows for the simulation of orthotropic shell materials, was selected for the analysis.

The cilia microstructure was modeled in Abaqus using serial section electron tomography (SSET) images and data of primary cilia

(Sun et al., 2019) (Figure 2a–c). Microtubule complexes (MtCs) are generated by intersecting A and B microtubule cylinders (from standard simulations) within r_b center-to-center distance and removing the intersected area of the B compartment (Figure 2f). The decorating axonemal proteins were excluded from our model, and microtubules were tied together at their joint boundaries in our simulations. Nine such MtCs are radially arranged at a distance r_a from the center of the cilium while being encapsulated by the ciliary membrane (Figure 2e) to form the axoneme. The ciliary membrane is also modeled using the LAMINA material in order to independently enforce the low shear modulus criteria to the model, as implied by the experimental bending modulus B_M and area modulus K_M of the lipid bilayer. The biophysical parameters used for both the membrane and MtCs are summarized in Table 1.

Two cases were investigated in this paper. In the first case, referred to as the representative case, all microtubules in a cilium were assumed to have the same length, which was set equal to the length of the cilium itself. For the second case, we utilized the SSET microtubule length profiles to investigate the effects of microtubule length distribution on overall ciliary stiffness and phenomena associated with axoneme-membrane contact. Figure 2d shows a finite-element primary cilia microstructure model built in Abaqus using data in Table 1 and length profile information in Figure 1c.

The base of the cilium (membrane and axoneme) is fixed in all directions. A spherical cap is positioned on the head of the cilium structure to accurately match Cryo-electron microscopy (EM) images and serve as a vessel for simulating optical tweezer tip displacement experiments. Initially, when the axoneme is upright, a surface traction equal to $F/2\pi R_{CM}^2$ is applied on the surface of the cap in the Cartesian x-direction (Figure 2d) where F represents the total force. As the cilium bends, the force direction is allowed to rotate along with the bent cilium in order to prevent stretching of the body. MtCs and the membrane are defined to interact through frictionless hard contact, modeled using the penalty method (Kim, 2018; Smith, 2020). Both MtC and membrane sections were meshed using 4-node shell elements with reduced integration (S4R) (Smith, 2020). The microtubules were meshed with a nodal seed distance of 0.01 µm, while the membrane was meshed with a nodal seed distance of 0.02 µm. The total number of nodes varied based on the size of the model.

4 | RESULTS

We first compared our analytical solutions with finite element simulation of a microtubule singlet as an orthotropical cylindrical shell in Section 4.1. We obtained good agreement between finite element simulation and the analytical solutions for this test. We then simulated the entire cilium as nine microtubule doublets and surrounding cilium membrane and considered their large-deformation and contact interactions in Section 4.2. We calculated the effective bending stiffness and persistence length and explored their length and deformation dependencies in Section 4.3. We also investigated the deformation modes of microtubules in different force regimes. Finally,

5



FIGURE 2 Serial section electron tomography (SSET) images of primary cilium are provided in cross-sectional (a) and full body (b) views. In addition to providing insights into the topological arrangements and structures, SSET imaging enabled the researchers to quantify the length profile of all nine microtubule complexes (c). (d) Utilizing this information, microstructure-based modeling was employed to develop computational models that consider the interaction between the ciliary membrane and axoneme as separate components. A traction force is applied to the cap region (highlighted in red) of the cilium, while the base for both the membrane and the axoneme is fixed. (e) Microtubule doublets are arranged radially, with a distance of $5R_{MT}/6$ from the center. The origin of circumferential direction, $\theta = 0$ is designated with respect to cartesian coordinates. (f) Each complex is formed by the intersection of two microtubules (A and B tubules), with a center-to-center distance of 4R/3.

in Section 4.4, we examined the stress condition within the cilium membrane, especially the maximum stress location and its potential implications for mechanosensing.

4.1 | Comparison between analytical solutions and simulations of bending microtubule singlets

Figure 3a shows the persistence length p_{MT} in Equation (20) fitted to experimental measurements of microtubules with different contour lengths L. E_z scales the p_{MT} versus L curve and is constrained by the loose maximum plateau of 5000 µm measured from experiments. On the other hand, Equation (20) shows E_s does not significantly impact the persistence length of the cylindrical shell within its measured values in the experiment because of the large L to R ratio considered in our cases (Figure 3b). G is fitted to Equation (20) using least squares analysis. The resulting material and geometric parameters are summarized in Table 1. Two cases were simulated to test the comprehensiveness of the theory. In the first case, the microtubule properties were assumed to be orthotropic with estimated moduli. In Figure 3c, the tip displacement of the simulated microtubules for this specific case is depicted alongside the same setup from in Equation (18) where microtubules of different lengths are subjected to an increasing distributed load P. In the second case, the microtubule was assumed to be isotropic. The fitted longitudinal elastic modulus E_z was used as the Young's modulus, and the shear modulus was calculated as $G = \frac{E_z}{2(1+V_w)^2}$ Figure 3d depicts the same analysis as Figure 3c, but for the isotropic case. The relative error between the tip displacements obtained from theory and simulation $(\varepsilon_r = |d_{\text{simulation}} - d_{\text{theory}}|/d_{\text{theory}})$ remains

approximately 3% for all lengths in the isotropic case. However, for orthotropic inputs, this value varies with the length of the microtubules, as shown in Figure 3e. The discrepancy arises from the fact that the theory assumes an infinitesimally thin shell, while in the simulations, we considered the actual microtubule thickness, denoted as *h*. Nevertheless, the relative error ε_r for microtubules involved in primary cilia simulations remains below 2%, which we considered to be acceptable. Figure 3f illustrates the decrease in relative error with the ratio of microtubule thickness to radius in the simulation. As the theory assumes an infinitesimally small thickness for the shell, when we decrease the thickness of the shell in our simulation while keeping the setup fixed, the resulting displacement approaches the theoretical prediction.

4.2 | Deformation of the whole cilium and its components

After the careful validation of our finite element solution by the orthotropic cylindrical shell theory, we assembled nine MtCs and put them inside an axonemal membrane to construct a whole cilium model. By leveraging the elastic and shear properties derived from the theory for microtubules, we can represent the MtC assemblies within cilia in their ultra-structural form.

The primary cilia were modeled to include the ciliary lipid bilayer membrane and nine microtubule complex structures. In our model, we considered the role of the transition zone, which anchors the microtubules to the membrane at the base of the cilium and helps prevent lipid diffusion from the ciliary body into the cell membrane. While we FIGURE 3 Comparison of analytical and numerical results. (a) Experimental measurements of microtubules with different contour lengths (Pampaloni et al., 2006) are used to fit the persistence length from Equation (13) and estimate the elastic and shear moduli: $E_x = 2.6 \times 10^9$ Pa, $G = 7 \times 10^3$ Pa. (b) Due to the relatively small values of h/R and R/L ratios in microtubule shells, varying E_s within its biological range does not significantly alter the persistence length. Therefore, an average value of $E_s = 2$ MPa is used for simulations. (c) and (d) Tip displacements of the microtubules in theory, d_{theory} , and simulation, $d_{\text{simulation}}$, are shown for fitted (orthotropic) elastic moduli (c) and isotropic inputs (d) under varying lengths $L \in 1.4.6 \mu m$ and increasing distributed load P ∈ 0.005,0.01,0.02,0.05 Pa. For the isotropic case, $E_z = E_s = 2.6G$ and $G = E_z/2(1 + \nu_{zs})$. (e) For all isotropic simulations, the relative error remained at 3%. However, for orthotropic cases, the relative error increased with length, but it was still less than 2%. (f) The relative error also decreased as the h/R ratio in the simulated shells decreased, as the theory assumes a negligible thickness.



excluded the direct contribution of transmembrane proteins in our model, we acknowledged their potential impact on the lipid membrane by considering the combined effect of both transmembrane proteins and the transition zone in reducing the fluidity of the lipid membrane and enhancing its shear response.

The primary cilia of various lengths, along with different microtubule length profiles, were subjected to bending by applying varying surface traction on the spherical cap head. These simulations allowed us to explore the interaction between each microtubule complex and the ciliary membrane during deformation, providing insights into potential phenomena that may have biological implications. By studying these interactions, we aimed to gain a deeper understanding of the mechanical behavior of primary cilia and its significance in mechanosensing processes.

Upon initial observation, the bending of cilia can be classified into two distinct deformation regimes based on the involvement of microtubule complexes. In the first regime, only some of the microtubule complexes contribute to the deformation, while in the second regime, 8

all microtubule complexes actively participate in the bending process. This differentiation allows us to analyze and understand the mechanical behavior of cilia in a more comprehensive manner.

Figure 4a,b depicts six cross-sectional slices of a bent representative (all microtubule lengths = cilium length) cilium with a length of 5 μ m, showcasing both the low and the high deformation regimes. These slices provide visual insights into the structural changes and deformation patterns that occur within the cilia under different bending conditions.

In the low deformation case depicted in Figure 4a, approximately half of the microtubules in the cilia are involved in the bending process, while the remaining microtubules stay undeformed. The axoneme base experiences stress primarily from the side in contact with the bent MtCs, resulting in tensile and compressive in-plane stresses (excluding normal stresses) on the order of 10 kPa.

In the second bending regime, all microtubules in the cilium participate in the bending process, making a more uniform contribution to the overall cilium mechanics. In Figure 4b, it can be observed that the in-plane stress transmitted to the base of the cilium is approximately 10 times larger compared to the low deformation case. As the MtCs bend, they exhibit a tendency to maximize their contact area with the ciliary membrane, especially when sufficient contact force is applied. This behavior leads to the rotation of the MtCs from their tips, resulting in the generation of a twisting force or torque at the base of the cilium. The rotation of MtCs in the orthogonal direction of the bending can reach up to 90° . Therefore, in addition to the increased in-plane stress, a twisting force is also induced at high deformations. This phenomenon is dependent on the initial orientational arrangement of the MtCs relative to the traction axis. Figure 4c illustrates the interior of a highly deformed cilium in a 3D view from the bottom *xy*-plane, with two prominently twisted microtubule complexes highlighted with color. It is important to note that the *x*axis is the bending direction.

4.3 | Effective cilium bending stiffness

The different low and high bending modes of primary cilia are characterized by their effective bending stiffness B_e and effective persistence length p_e . To examine the bending stiffness, we investigated two representative cases with lengths of 4 and 6 μ m, as well as a 3.85 μ m cilium constructed based on SSET imaging data. In the simulations, we subjected these cilia to an external load of increasing magnitude. The effective bending stiffness of the primary cilia was estimated by treating them as cantilever beams:



FIGURE 4 Deformation of a whole cilium. (a) and (b) The maximum in-plane stress contour is shown for microtubule doublets across six slices of the bent 5 µm cilium, depicting both low (a) and high (b) bending regimes (one slice magnified to emphasize the differences): in the early stages, microtubule complexes retain their initial orientation while gradually integrating into the mechanics of the cilium. As the cilium bends further, the compressive and tensile stresses exerted on the axoneme base generate a torgue that twists the microtubule complexes. This twisting motion maximizes the contact area near the cap of the cilium. Note the large twist that occurs between sections (i) and (ii) in (b). (c) Using oblique projection, the twist in two colored microtubule complexes is shown using dashed lines to indicate the rotating doublet axis of symmetry.

$$B_{\rm e} = \frac{\rm force \times \rm length^3}{3 \times \rm cilium \ tip \ displacement}. \tag{21}$$

Figure 5a presents the calculated bending stiffness for the three aforementioned cilia. We observe that, in the representative case where all nine MtCs have lengths equal to the cilium length, the bending stiffness B_e depends on the cilium length. In particular, the representative cilium with a length of 4 µm, although comparable in length to the SSET image-based cilium with a length profile for microtubules, exhibits a significantly different bending stiffness. This observation suggests that the overall stiffness of cilia is predominantly governed by the various lengths of MtCs in the axoneme, rather than solely the length of the cilium itself.

This observation is further supported by the stiffening (increase in bending stiffness by growing traction) of cilia in their low deformation mode in Figure 5a, where an increasing number of MtCs become involved in the bending process. As more microtubules engage in the deformation, the overall bending stiffness of the cilia increases. Once the axoneme is fully engaged in the bending in high deformation mode, a slight softening (decrease in bending stiffness by growing traction) of the cilia is observed, which could potentially be attributed to the sliding of the MtCs over the membrane. -Cytoskeleton–Wiley \perp

Figure 5b provides a visualization of the strain energy increase for each MtC and the membrane in the representative case of a 6 μ m long cilium under four deformation scenarios. It is clearly observed that the MtCs contribute significantly more to the stiffness of the cilium than the ciliary membrane, highlighting their primary influence in governing the overall mechanics of cilia.

Figure 5c further illustrates the strain energy distribution in radial plots, allowing for a more detailed analysis of the arrangementdependent increment of strain energy for MtCs. For the simulation in Figure 5c a force is applied to the tip of the ciliary axoneme from left to right in the positive *x* direction. We observe that the MtCs situated in the left half tend to engage earlier in the bending. By comparing with Figure 5a, we can clearly observe the strain energy of partially and fully engaged MtCs, which provides further evidence supporting our reasoning for the stiffening and softening regimes.

4.4 | Stress in cilium membranes and mechanosensing mechanisms



FIGURE 5 The length distribution of axonemal microtubules is the primary determining factor of the bending stiffness of primary cilia, rather than just the ciliary length. This is evident from the significant difference in bending stiffness between the representative case and the SSET-based case of comparative length. (a) The increase in bending stiffness with tip displacement indicates the progressive involvement of microtubule complexes in the deformation of the cilium. However, the subsequent decrease in bending stiffness could be attributed to the sliding of microtubules over the ciliary membrane. (b) Microtubules dominate the contribution to the mechanical strain energy, establishing themselves as the primary mechanical components in the system. As a result, they play a pivotal role in bearing the majority of the mechanical load and are instrumental in maintaining the overall structural integrity and functionality of primary cilia. (c) The radial plots depict the gradual increase in strain energy for each microtubule complex as they progressively contribute more to the overall mechanical behavior. This visualization highlights the convergence of strain energy distribution among the microtubule complexes as the cilium bends further.

To gain a better understanding of the stress distribution in the ciliary membrane, it is important to quantify both the membrane stress and

WILEY_CYTOSKELETON

bending stress within the shell. As showcased in Figure 6a, the membrane stress is determined by calculating the average in-plane stress across the thickness of the shell. By subtracting the membrane stress from the overall in-plane stress, we can analyze the pure bending stress within the shell. By comparing the membrane and bending stresses, we can gain insights into how the lipid bilayer responds to bending and the curvature induced by contact with microtubules. This comparison helps us determine the dominant factor in the deformation of the shell when subjected to a certain axial curvature, whether it is the bending stresses or the stretching of the membrane. By analyzing the distribution and magnitude of the bending and membrane stresses, we can assess the relative contributions of these factors to the sensing potential of the ciliary membrane.

Figure 6 presents the interaction between the MtCs and the membrane in two different cases: the 4 μ m representative case and (Figure 6a) the bent SSET image-based case (Figure 6b). These

interactions are observed under a force of 4.5 pN. In contrast to the representative case, where the contact is mostly localized around the tip of the cilium, the microtubule length profile in the SSET-based case exhibits a more uniform distribution of contact force across the membrane. Figure 6b-i depicts the distribution of axial curvature over the membrane of the representative cilium. As mentioned, the contact in this case is concentrated at the tip of the cilium, resulting in the highest curvature occurring at that location. It is important to note that the initial curvature is referenced to be bending stress-free, with zero curvature in the longitudinal direction and curvature of $1/R_{CM}$ in the circumferential direction. The curvature peaks observed in the SSET-based cilium are also contact-mediated, but they occur at multiple interaction points. The significant difference in curvature magnitudes between the two cases can be attributed to the smaller contact area in the SSET-based case. This, coupled with greater global curvature induced by the lower bending resistance of this cilium,



FIGURE 6 Distribution of membrane stress, bending stress, and curvature over the ciliary membrane for both the representative case with a length of 4 µm and the SSET-based case with a length of 3.8 µm. The contact points of the axoneme on the membrane are marked with red circles. (a) and (b) While both cases exhibit concentrated stresses and curvature at their respective axoneme-contact focal points, the SSET-based case (b) shows a significantly higher concentration at these points. This is primarily due to the smaller affected area in the SSET-based case compared to the representative case. The maximum curvature and tension points in the SSET-based case suggest a potential mechanism for the activation of piezo channels located on the ciliary membrane. These high mechanical stresses could potentially trigger the opening of piezo channels, allowing for the transduction of mechanical signals into biochemical responses within the cell. The membrane tension contour colormap is also overlaid on the membrane schematic (c) relationship between the total membrane stress as a sum of in-plane and pure bending stresses.

11

stress to the basal body. On the other hand, contact between MtCs and the membrane respond to mechanical stimuli.

contributes to a higher overall curvature compared to the representative case.

Although overall the membrane is stretched, as shown in Figure 6a-ii,b-ii, the bending effect at the same regions is significantly greater than membrane stretching, as shown in the contours in Figure 6a-iii,b-iii. This observation suggests that the stress gradient within the thickness of the lipid membrane is large, and the shell experiences both tension and compression throughout its cross-section. The distributed contact loads on the membrane generate large curvatures that bend the shell and result in a steep stress slope along thickness.

5 DISCUSSION

We developed an orthotropic shell theory to account for the material anisotropy of a microtubule complex and applied the model to a primary cilium. By deriving an expression for the tip displacement of an orthotropic cylindrical shell under a distributed bending load, we were able to formulate an effective persistence length equation. This equation was then used to fit the material properties of the microtubule complex to experimental data on persistence length versus contour length. Utilizing the obtained material properties of microtubule complex and lipid bilayers, we constructed a microstructure-based model of the primary cilium using SSET images. This model considered the ciliary membrane and axoneme as independent components. Subsequently, we conducted simulations of tip displacement experiments on cilia with varying lengths and subjected to different forces. The goal of these simulations was to investigate the individual contributions of each component and examine the interactions between them.

The model exhibits several discrepancies with the in vivo function and mechanical exposure of primary cilia. The cap section added to the model primary cilia represents the ciliary distal tip where optimal tweezer traction is applied and does not signify a distinct biological compartment, despite the imaging indicating that the ciliary membrane indeed forms a cap at the far end of the cilium (Sun et al., 2019). Additionally, the impact of cilioplasma, the fluid encapsulated within the ciliary structure, is predominantly considered viscous and, therefore, not pertinent to the steady-state solutions of our simulations. More importantly, the mechanical bending that cilia undergo in vivo is primarily flow-induced, potentially resulting in a slightly different distribution of tension across the membrane and bending regimes compared to manually induced bending in our simulations. Nevertheless, by eliminating the influence of variable distributed loading associated with flow, our model provides insights into the intrinsic mechanical and sensory implications of manipulating primary cilia stable configuration.

Our findings show that there are strong interactions between MtCs and the ciliary membrane. The microtubule complexes exhibit a tendency to twist upon bending, which increases the contact between microtubules and the membrane. Similar to motile cilia, primary cilia may also possess mechanosensing components. The twisting-induced torque applied to the basal body of primary cilia can initiate conformational changes within the basal body or activate specific pathways associated with it. Hence, in addition to the torque generated by fluid flow over the ciliary membrane, the contact between microtubule doublets and the membrane can also transfer mechanical

gives rise to a high membrane curvature, leading to significant bending throughout the lipid bilayer cross-section around the contact region. This unexpected finding can have profound implications for our understanding of mechanosensing mechanisms. Traditionally, mechanosensitive channels have been primarily associated with membrane stretching or tension, commonly referred to as tensionactivated or stretch-activated ion channels. Consequently the location of the largest membrane tension for a primary cilium bent under load is expected to be the most mechanosensitive in the models (Mathieu et al., 2014; Young et al., 2012). However, our results demonstrate that the high curvature resulting from local bending of the bilayer can also play a crucial role in activating mechanosensitive channel proteins. This suggests that the channels can be activated by curvature-induced changes in the membrane. This insight challenges the traditional view of ciliary mechanosensation and expands our understanding of the different ways in which cells perceive and

In the future, our model can be extended by incorporating viscous and dynamic effects of ciliary deformation. Additionally, to investigate the hydrodynamics of primary cilia under flow in our model, techniques like the immersed boundary method (Peskin, 2002; Zhu et al., 2011) for fluid-structure interactions might be more appropriate for effectively simulating cilia with internal structures within the membrane, as opposed to uniform tractions. One way to achieve this is by integrating Abagus with computational fluid dynamics (CFD) solvers or, alternatively, by developing a standalone finite element program. Such an approach would allow for a more thorough exploration of the implications of primary cilia bending in vivo, as has been previously implemented for motile cilia (Han & Peskin, 2018).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Zhangli Peng and Y.-N. Young designed the original research. Andrew Resnick and Y.-N. Young helped interpret simulation results. Nima Mostafazadeh and Zhangli Peng performed the simulations and mathematical analysis, and analyzed the data. Y.-N. Young provided the numerical resources. All authors contributed equally to writing the article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AR was funded by both the National Institutes of Health (NIH 1R15GM132829-01) and National Science Foundation (NSF-DMS 1951568). NM and ZP acknowledge funding from NSF-DMS 1951526. Y-N Y acknowledges funding from NSF-DMS 1951600, and support from Flatiron Institute, part of Simons Foundation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Zhangli Peng b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1506-2365

REFERENCES

- Adams, M., Smith, U. M., Logan, C. V., & Johnson, C. A. (2008). Recent advances in the molecular pathology, cell biology and genetics of ciliopathies. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 45, 257–267.
- Amos, L. A., & Klug, A. (1974). Arrangement of subunits in flagellar microtubules. *Journal of Cell Science*, 14(3), 523–549.
- Ayoub, A. T., Craddock, T. J., Klobukowski, M., & Tuszynski, J. (2014). Analysis of the strength of interfacial hydrogen bonds between tubulin dimers using quantum theory of atoms in molecules. *Biophysical Journal*, 107(3), 740–750.
- Baba, S. A. (1972). Flexural rigidity and elastic constant of cilia. Journal of Experimental Biology, 56(2), 459–467.
- Badano, J. L., Mitsuma, N., Beales, P. L., & Katsanis, N. (2006). The ciliopathies: An emerging class of human genetic disorders. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics, 7, 125–148.
- Bernabé-Rubio, M., & Alonso, M. A. (2017). Routes and machinery of primary cilium biogenesis. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 74, 4077– 4095.
- Boal, D. (2012). Mechanics of the cell. Cambridge University Press.
- Delling, M., Indzhykulian, A. A., Liu, X., Li, Y., Xie, T., Corey, D. P., & Clapham, D. E. (2016). Primary cilia are not calcium-responsive mechanosensors. *Nature*, 531, 656–660.
- Do, D. T. (2022). Study of mechanical properties and cyclic stretchinginduced remodeling of cellular primary cilia. PhD thesis. Hokkaido University.
- Downing, K. H., & Nogales, E. (1998). Tubulin and microtubule structure. Current Opinion in Cell Biology, 10(1), 16–22.
- Felgner, H., Frank, R., & Schliwa, M. (1996). Flexural rigidity of microtubules measured with the use of optical tweezers. *Journal of Cell Sci*ence, 109(2), 509–516.
- Ferreira, R. R., Fukul, H., Chow, R., Vilfan, A., & Vermot, J. (2019). The cilium as force sensor—Myth verus reality. *Journal of Cell Science*, 132, 213496.
- Flaherty, J., Feng, Z., Peng, Z., Young, Y.-N., & Resnick, A. (2020). Primary cilia have a length-dependent persistence length. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*, 19, 445–460.
- Garcia, G., III, Raleigh, D. R., & Reiter, J. F. (2018). How the ciliary membrane is organized inside-out to communicate outside-in. *Current Biology*, *28*(8), 421–434.
- Gittes, F., Mickey, B., Nettleton, J., & Howard, J. (1993). Flexural rigidity of microtubules and actin filaments measured from thermal fluctuations in shape. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 120(4), 923–934.
- Han, J., & Peskin, C. S. (2018). Spontaneous oscillation and fluid-structure interaction of cilia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(17), 4417–4422.
- Hess, T. E. (1961). Stability of orthotropic cylindrical shells under combined loading. ARS Journal, 31, 237–246.
- Hildebrandt, F., Benzing, T., & Katsanis, N. (2011). Ciliopathies. The New England Journal of Medicine, 364, 1533–1543.
- Ibanez-Tallon, I., Heintz, N., & Omran, H. (2003). To beat or not to beat: Roles of cilia in development and disease. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 12, 27–35.
- Jin, X., Mohieldin, A. M., Muntean, B. S., Green, J. A., Shah, J. V., Mykytyn, K., & Nauli, S. M. (2013). Cilioplasm is a cellular compartment for calcium signaling in response to mechanical and chemical stimuli. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 1–14, 2165–2178.

- Kikumoto, M., Kurachi, M., Tosa, V., & Tashiro, H. (2006). Flexural rigidity of individual microtubules measured by a buckling force with optical traps. *Biophysical Journal*, 90(5), 1687–1696.
- Kim, N. (2018). Finite element analysis for contact problems. In *Introduction to nonlinear finite element analysis*. Springer.
- Kis, A., Kasas, S., Babić, B., Kulik, A., Benoit, W., Briggs, G., Schönenberger, C., Catsicas, S., & Forro, L. (2002). Nanomechanics of microtubules. *Physical Review Letters*, 89(24), 248101.
- Kurachi, M., Hoshi, M., & Tashiro, H. (1995). Buckling of a single microtubule by optical trapping forces: Direct measurement of microtubule rigidity. *Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton*, 30, 221–228.
- Lee, K. L., Guevarra, M. D., Nguyen, A. M., Chua, M. C., Wang, Y., & Jacobs, C. R. (2015). The primary cilium functions as a mechanical and calcium signaling nexus. *Cilia*, 4(1), 1–13.
- Lee, S. H., & Somlo, S. (2014). Cyst growth, polycystine, and primary cilia in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Kidney Research and Clinical Practice*, 33, 73–78.
- Liu, W., Murica, N. S., Duan, Y., Weinbaum, S., Yoder, B. K., Schwiebert, E., & Satlin, L. M. (2005). Mechanoregulation of intracellular Ca2+ concentration is attenuated in collecting duct of monociliumimpaired orpk mice. *American Journal of Physiology. Renal Physiology*, 289, 978–988.
- Liu, X., Zhou, Y., Gao, H., & Wang, J. (2012). Anomalous flexural behaviors of microtubules. *Biophysical Journal*, 102(8), 1793–1803.
- Mathieu, P. S., Bodle, J. C., & Loboa, E. G. (2014). Primary cilium mechanotransduction of tensile strain in 3d culture: Finite element analyses of strain amplification caused by tensile strain applied to a primary cilium embedded in a collagen matrix. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 47(9), 2211– 2217.
- Nauli, S. M., Kawanabe, Y., Kaminski, J. J., Pearce, W. J., Ingber, D. E., & Zhou, J. (2008). Endothelial cilia are fluid shear sensors that regulate calcium signaling and nitric oxide production through polycystin-1. *Circulation*, 117(9), 1161–1171.
- Pablo, P. J., Schaap, I. A., MacKintosh, F. C., & Schmidt, C. F. (2003). Deformation and collapse of microtubules on the nanometer scale. *Physical Review Letters*, 91(9), 98101.
- Pampaloni, F., Lattanzi, G., Jonas, A., Surrey, T., Frey, E., & Florin, E.-L. (2006). Thermal fluctuations of grafted microtubules provide evidence of a length-dependent persistence length. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103, 10248–10253.
- Peng, Z., Resnick, A., & Young, Y.-N. (2021). Primary cilium: A paradigm for integrating mathematical modeling with experiments and numerical simulations in mechanobiology. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, 18(2), 1215–1237. Primary cilium: a paradigm for integrating mathematical modeling with experiments and numerical simulations in mechanobiology.
- Peskin, C. S. (2002). The immersed boundary method. Acta Numerica, 11, 479–517.
- Praetorius, H. A., & Spring, K. R. (2001). Bending the MDCK cell primary cilium increases intracellular calcium. *The Journal of Membrane Biology*, 184, 71–79.
- Praetorius, H. A., & Spring, K. R. (2003). Removal of the mdck cell primary cilium abolishes flow sensing. *The Journal of Membrane Biology*, 191, 69–76.
- Praetorius, H. A., & Spring, K. R. (2005). A physiological view of the primary cilium. Annual Review of Physiology, 67, 515–529.
- Reiter, J. F., & Leroux, M. R. (2017). Genes and molecular pathways underpinning ciliopathies. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 18(9), 533–547.
- Resnick, A. (2015). Mechanical properties of a primary cilium as measured by resonant oscillation. *Biophysical Journal*, 109(1), 18–25.
- Rydholm, S., Zwartz, G., Kowalewski, J. M., Kamali-Zare, P., Frisk, T., & Brismar, H. (2010). Mechanical properties of primary cilia regulate the response to fluid flow. *American Journal of Physiology. Renal Physiology*, 298, 1096–1102.

- Schwaighofer, J., & Microys, H. (1979). Orthotropic cylindrical shells under line load. ASME International, 46(2), 356–362.
- Schwartz, E. A., Leonard, M. L., Bizios, R., & Bowser, S. S. (1997). Analysis and modeling of the primary cilium bending response to fluid shear. *The American Journal of Physiology*, 272, 132–138.
- Smith, M. (2020). ABAQUS/standard User's manual, version 2020. Dassault Systèmes Simulia Corp.
- Sun, S., Fisher, R. L., Bowser, S. S., Pentecost, B. T., & Sui, H. (2019). Three-dimensional architecture of epithelial primary cilia. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(19), 9370–9379.
- Tuszyński, J., Luchko, T., Portet, S., & Dixon, J. (2005). Anisotropic elastic properties of microtubules. The European Physical Journal E, 17, 29–35.
- VanBuren, V., Odde, D. J., & Cassimeris, L. (2002). Estimates of lateral and longitudinal bond energies within the microtubule lattice. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(9), 6035–6040.
- Veland, I. R., Awan, A., Pedersen, L. B., Yoder, B. K., & Christensen, S. T. (2009). Primary cilia and signaling pathways in mammalian development, health and disease. *Nephron. Physiology*, 111, 39–53.
- Venier, P., Maggs, A. C., Carlier, M.-F., & Pantaloni, D. (1994). Analysis of microtubule rigidity using hydrodynamic flow and thermal fluctuations. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 269(18), 13353–13360.
- Wang, C., Ru, C., & Mioduchowski, A. (2006). Vibration of microtubules as orthotropic elastic shells. *Physica E: Low-Dimensional Systems and Nanostructures*, 35(1), 48–56.
- Young, Y.-N., Downs, M., & Jacobs, C. R. (2012). Dynamics of the primary cilium in shear flow. *Biophysical Journal*, 103(4), 629–639.
- Zhu, L., He, G., Wang, S., Miller, L., Zhang, X., You, Q., & Fang, S. (2011). An immersed boundary method based on the lattice boltzmann approach in three dimensions, with application. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 61(12), 3506–3518.
- Zou, R. D., & Foster, C. G. (1995). Simple solution for buckling orthotropic circular cylindrical shells. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 22, 143–158.

How to cite this article: Mostafazadeh, N., Resnick, A., Young, Y.-N., & Peng, Z. (2024). Microstructure-based modeling of primary cilia mechanics. *Cytoskeleton*, 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1002/cm.21860

APPENDIX A

Explicit expressions for the parameters I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 used in Equations (17) and (20) are provided here:

$$I_1 = 1024 D_s D_{zs} L^8 R^4 + 1024 D_s B_{zs} L^8 R^2 + (384 B_{zs}^2)$$

$$+ 128B_{s}B_{zs}(\nu_{zs} + \nu_{sz}) + 128B_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{zs})L^{6}\pi^{2}R^{2} + (576D_{zs}B_{zs} + 128D_{zs}B_{s}(\nu_{zs} + \nu_{sz}) + 128D_{zs}B_{z}\nu_{zs} + 256D_{s}B_{zs}\nu_{zs} + 64D_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{zs})L^{6}\pi^{2}R^{4} + (256D_{z}D_{s}(1 - \nu_{zs}^{2}) - 128D_{z}D_{zs}\nu_{zs})L^{6}\pi^{2}R^{6} + (64B_{z}B_{zs} + 16B_{s}B_{zs})L^{4}\pi^{4}R^{4} + (112D_{z}B_{zs} - 64D_{s}B_{s} - 32D_{zs}B_{s} + 32D_{zs}B_{z} + 32D_{z}B_{s}(\nu_{zs} + \nu_{sz}))L^{4}\pi^{4}R^{6} - 8B_{z}B_{s}L^{2}\pi^{6}R^{6} - 8D_{z}B_{z}L^{2}\pi^{6}R^{8}, \qquad (22)$$

Cytoskeleton_Wiley¹³

$$= 1024D_{s}B_{zs}L^{8}R^{2} + 1024D_{s}D_{zs}L^{8}R^{4} + (384B_{zs}^{2} + 128B_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{sz})L^{6}\pi^{2}R^{2} + (576D_{zs}B_{zs} + 128D_{zs}B_{z}\nu_{sz} + 64D_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{sz})L^{6}\pi^{2}R^{4} + (256D_{z}D_{s} + 256D_{z}D_{z}(1 - \nu_{zs}\nu_{sz}) - 256D_{s}D_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 128D_{z}D_{zs}\nu_{sz})L^{6}\pi^{2}R^{6}(96D_{z}B_{zs} - 32B_{z}D_{zs})L^{4}\pi^{4}R^{6} + 32D_{z}D_{zs}L^{4}\pi^{4}R^{4},$$
(23)

12

$$\begin{split} I_{3} &= 3B_{z}B_{s}B_{zs}\pi^{8}R^{4} + (2D_{zs}B_{z}B_{s} - 3D_{z}B_{z}B_{zs})\pi^{8}R^{6} - 2D_{z}D_{zs}B_{z}\pi^{8}R^{8} \\ &+ 128(D_{s}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) + D_{s}B_{s}B_{zs}(\nu_{zs} - \nu_{sz}))L^{6}\pi^{2} \\ &+ (128(D_{s}B_{z}D_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) + D_{s}B_{s}D_{zs}(\nu_{zs} - \nu_{sz})) \\ &+ 64D_{z}D_{s}B_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) L^{6}\pi^{4}R^{2} + 128D_{z}D_{s}D_{zs}L^{6}\pi^{4}R^{4}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &- (24B_{z}B_{zs}^{2} + 6B_{s}B_{zs}^{2})L^{2}\pi^{6}R^{2} + (8D_{s}B_{z}B_{s} - 6D_{z}B_{zs}^{2} - 16D_{zs}B_{s}B_{z} \\ &- 40D_{zs}B_{z}B_{zs} + 12D_{z}B_{s}B_{zs}(\nu_{zs} - \nu_{zs}) - 8D_{zs}B_{z}B_{z}(\nu_{zz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &- 8D_{s}B_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs}\nu_{sz} \end{pmatrix}L^{2}\pi^{6}R^{4} - (16D_{z}D_{zs}B_{zs} + 8D_{z}D_{s}B_{z} \\ &+ 8D_{z}D_{zs}B_{z}\nu_{zs}\nu_{sz} - 8D_{z}D_{zs}B_{z}\nu_{zs} - 8D_{s}D_{zs}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &- 8D_{z}D_{s}B_{z}\nu_{zs}\nu_{sz} \end{pmatrix}L^{2}\pi^{6}R^{6} + (48(B_{z}B_{zs}^{2}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ B_{s}B_{zs}^{2}(\nu_{zs} - \nu_{sz})) + 32B_{z}B_{s}B_{zs}\nu_{zs}\nu_{sz} - 64D_{s}B_{z}B_{zs} \\ &+ 8D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{zs}\nu_{sz} - 16D_{s}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ 32D_{s}B_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 80D_{zs}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ (16D_{z}B_{s}B_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 80D_{zs}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ (16D_{z}B_{s}B_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 80D_{zs}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{sz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ (16D_{z}B_{z}B_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 16D_{z}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{zz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ (16D_{z}D_{s}\nu_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 16D_{s}D_{zs}B_{z}\nu_{zs} - 16D_{z}D_{z}B_{zs} \\ &+ (16D_{z}D_{s}\nu_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 30D_{zs}B_{z}B_{zs}(\nu_{zz} - \nu_{zs}) \\ &+ (16D_{z}D_{s}\nu_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 16D_{s}D_{zs}B_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 16D_{z}D_{z}B_{zs} \\ &+ (16D_{z}D_{s}\nu_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 32D_{z}D_{s}B_{z}\nu_{zs} + 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &+ (16D_{z}D_{s}\nu_{zs}\nu_{zs} - 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} + 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &+ (16D_{z}D_{s}\nu_{zz}\nu_{zs} - 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} + 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &+ (32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs}^{2} - 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} + 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &+ (32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs}^{2} - 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &+ (32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs}^{2} - 32D_{z}D_{z}B_{z}\nu_{zs} \\ &+ (32D_{z}D_{z}B$$

(24)