






The UCR Minicore: a resource for cowpea research and breeding

María Muñoz-Amatriáin^{1,2}  | Sassoum Lo^{1,3}  | Ira A. Herniter^{1,4}  |
Ousmane Boukar⁵ | Christian Fatokun⁶ | Márcia Carvalho⁷  | Isaura Castro⁷ |
Yi-Ning Guo¹ | Bao-Lam Huynh⁸ | Philip A. Roberts⁸ | Valdemar Carnide⁷ |
Timothy J. Close¹ 

¹Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

²Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

³Plant Sciences Department, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

⁴Department of Plant Biology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA

⁵International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Kano, Nigeria

⁶International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria

⁷Centre for Research and Technology of Agro-Environmental and Biological Sciences (CITAB), University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal

⁸Department of Nematology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

Correspondence

María Muñoz-Amatriáin, Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, CO 80523, USA.

Email: maria.munoz_amatriain@colostate.edu

Funding information

FCT - Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology, Grant/Award Number: UIDB/04033/2020; National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: IOS-1543963; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Grant/Award Number: Hatch Project CA-R-BPS-5306-H; United States Agency for International Development, Grant/Award Number: AID-OAA-A-13-00070

Abstract

Incorporation of new sources of genetic diversity into plant breeding programs is crucial for continuing to improve yield and quality, as well as tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses. A minicore (the “University of California, Riverside (UCR) Minicore”) composed of 368 worldwide accessions of cultivated cowpea has been assembled, having been derived from the UCR cowpea collection. High-density genotyping with 51,128 SNPs followed by principal component and genetic assignment analyses identified six subpopulations in the UCR Minicore, mainly differentiated by cultivar group and geographic origin. All six subpopulations were present to some extent in West African material, suggesting that West Africa is a center of diversity for cultivated cowpea. Additionally, population structure analyses supported two routes of introduction of cowpea into the U.S.: (1) from Spain to the southwest U.S. through Northern Mexico and (2) from Africa to the southeast U.S. via the Caribbean. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) narrowed several traits to regions containing strong candidate genes. For example, orthologs of the Arabidopsis *FLOWERING LOCUS T* lie within a major QTL for flowering time. In summary, this diverse, yet compact cowpea collection constitutes a suitable resource to identify loci controlling complex traits, consequently providing markers to assist with breeding to improve this crop of high relevance to global food and nutritional security.

KEYWORDS

cowpea, crop genomics, legume genomics

María Muñoz-Amatriáin and Sassoum Lo contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. *Legume Science* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) is a diploid ($2n = 22$) warm-season legume of major importance for food and nutritional security. It provides a major source of dietary protein, fiber, minerals, and vitamins for millions of people in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), and fodder for livestock. Most of the production is in SSA by smallholder farmers, most of whom are women. It is also grown in many other parts of the world including Latin America, Southeast Asia, the Mediterranean Basin, and the United States (FAOSTAT, www.fao.org). Cowpea is well-known for its adaptation to heat and drought, and to soils with low fertility, making it a successful crop in arid and semi-arid regions where most other crops do not perform as well (Boukar et al., 2019). However, breeding for increased heat and drought tolerance as well as for key agronomic traits and pest and disease-resistance is crucial as climate changes associated with global warming increase, and given that cowpea is primarily grown in regions that are quite vulnerable to climate change (Knox et al., 2012; Müller & Robertson, 2014).

Plant genetic resources constitute the raw material for crop improvement. Cowpea is a genetically diverse crop species divided into five cultivar groups: Unguiculata, Biflora, Melanophthalmus, Sesquipedalis, and Textilis (Maréchal et al., 1978; Pasquet, 1998). The largest cultivar groups are probably Unguiculata and Melanophthalmus, which includes most grain and forage cowpeas, and Sesquipedalis, which is also known as “asparagus bean” or “yardlong bean” and is characterized by long and succulent pods that are consumed as a vegetable mostly in southeastern Asia (Boukar et al., 2019; Maréchal et al., 1978; Xu et al., 2017). In addition to being grown for grain, cowpea is a source of nutritious fodder for livestock in dry savanna regions of sub-Saharan Africa (Dugje et al., 2009). One important aspect of cowpea agronomy is the time to flowering, as early-maturing types can in many cases be deployed as a strategy to capitalize on shortened periods of optimal growth, thus avoiding late-season drought with its accompanying array of biotic stressors (Boukar et al., 2019).

Diverse cowpea germplasm is available from genebanks around the world, as partially summarized in Genesys (genesys-pgr.org/c/cowpea). The largest germplasm collection, comprised of 15,933 accessions, is located at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Ibadan, Nigeria. Other large collections, considerably overlapping in content, are at the United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) Plant Genetic Resources Conservation Unit (Griffin, Georgia, USA) with 8242 accessions, the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR, New Delhi, India) with 3704 accessions, and the University of California, Riverside (UCR, California, USA) with about 5000 accessions. Managing and evaluating large germplasm collections is laborious and costly, and developing core and minicore subsets to facilitate access to the diversity contained in the entire set of accessions is a common practice in most ex situ collections (Brown, 1995; Byrne et al., 2018).

Genetic and phenotypic evaluations of diverse collections are needed to fully utilize their potential in breeding programs. Several previous studies have reported on the genetic diversity of cultivated

cowpea germplasm. Huynh et al. (2013) genotyped 442 cowpea landraces, predominantly from Africa, with a 1536-SNP GoldenGate assay (Muchero et al., 2009) and identified two major genepools, nominally West versus Southeast Africa. Later, 768 accessions mostly from the USDA cowpea collection were characterized using 5828 GBS (genotyping-by-sequencing) SNPs, revealing the presence of three major subpopulations (Xiong et al., 2016), again one from West Africa, with the other two subpopulations separating Asian, European, and some US accessions from those originating in India, Oceania, other parts of Africa, and the Americas. More recently, the majority of an IITA minicore collection (298 accessions) was genotyped using GBS with 2276 SNPs, also identifying three major subpopulations (Fatokun et al., 2018), but with more dispersion of West and Central African accessions across the three sub-populations. Carvalho et al. (2017) genotyped a smaller set of 96 worldwide cowpea accessions emphasizing Iberian Peninsula germplasm, but at a much higher SNP density using the Illumina Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array containing 51,128 SNPs (Muñoz-Amatriáin et al., 2017). In this latter work, logical relationships were noted between the genetic composition of accessions and colonial-era movement of germplasm from the Iberian Peninsula to the Caribbean, and from Africa to South America. In general, it is evident that there is much yet to be clarified regarding the spread of cowpeas worldwide, and the extent to which certain genetic variants have taken hold in different regions at different times.

Here, we report the development of a minicore (henceforth referred as the “UCR Minicore”) composed of 368 domesticated cowpeas selected from a larger set of ~5000 accessions comprising the UC Riverside cowpea collection. This minicore was genotyped using the 51,128-SNPs Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array (Muñoz-Amatriáin et al., 2017) and the genotypic information was used to gain a better understanding of the genetic diversity of domesticated cowpea. Although this is the first summary report and full SNP data release for the UCR Minicore, this material has been utilized for more focused work on seed coat color (Herniter et al., 2018), seed coat pattern (Herniter et al., 2019), seed size (Lo et al., 2019), bruchid resistance (Miesho et al., 2019), plant herbivore resistance (Steinbrenner et al., 2020) and pod shattering (Lo et al., under review). This study evaluated additional traits of agronomic importance including flowering time, dry pod weight, dry fodder weight, and pod load score. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) were conducted, identifying significant SNPs and candidate genes associated with each of these traits.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant materials and phenotyping

A total of 668 accessions representing a core subset from the University of California Riverside (UCR) cowpea germplasm collection were genotyped with an Illumina GoldenGate assay (Muchero et al., 2009) (1536-SNPs) in previous studies (Huynh et al., 2013; Muchero et al., 2013). This SNP information, coupled with available phenotypic

and passport data, was used to choose a smaller subset of non-redundant accessions, each of which was highly homozygous, collectively representing the genetic and phenotypic diversity of the larger core collection. A few additional accessions nominated by cowpea researchers and breeders based on regions of origin not represented among the core collection and possessing some specific traits were also included. The minicore of 368 accessions includes landraces and breeding materials from 50 countries in Africa, Asia, North and South America, Europe, and Australia (Table S1). Individual plants were grown from each of the 368 accessions in a greenhouse at UCR for genotyping (see Section 2.2) and seed production. Subsequent seed increases for distribution and phenotypic evaluations used seeds directly descended from these genotyped plants.

The UCR Minicore was phenotyped for days to flowering (DTF) in California (USA) under long-days at the UCR Citrus Research Center and Agricultural Experiment Station in Riverside (CA) during the summers of 2016 and 2017, as well as under short days at the UCR Coachella Valley Agricultural Research Station in Thermal (CA) during the autumn of 2016. For the Riverside summer planting, daylight hours (the time between sunrise and sunset) ranged from 14.4 hr on 21 June to 11.9 hr on 30 September. For the Thermal autumn planting, daylight hours ranged from 12.8 hr on 1 September to 9.9 hr on 21 December. Due to limited seed availability, 50 seeds of each accession were planted in single rows of 5.5–6.1 m long with 0.75 m spacing between rows at both locations. Scoring of the minicore was also conducted at two locations in Nigeria during 2017. The minicore was sown in August 2017 at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) experimental field of Malamadori and Minjibir, near Kano, Nigeria. An alpha lattice design with three replications was used. Each accession was assigned to a plot of 2-m length. The distance between two consecutive plots was 0.75 m while two plants within a row were separated by 0.20 m. The fertilizer NPK (15-15-15) was applied 2 weeks after planting at the rate of 100 kg/ha. To control insect pests, the trial was sprayed with the insecticide Kartodim (Dimethoate 300 g + Lambda-cyhalothrin 15 g) at the rate of 1.2 L/ha four times: once at each of vegetative and at flower opening and twice during pod maturing. Manual weeding was performed twice to control weeds. At all locations, DTF was scored as the number of days from planting to when 50% of plants had at least one flower opened. Pod load score was recorded at plant maturity using a 1–3 scale with 1 for high pod load (80–100% of peduncles had 2–3 pods per peduncle), 2 for moderate pod load (80%–100% of peduncles had 1–2 pods per peduncle) and 3 for poor load score (80%–100% of peduncles had 1 or less pod per peduncle). At maturity, dry pods were harvested, and the other above-ground parts of the plant (leaves, twigs, and stems) were cut and rolled up. Both the pods and the fodder were sun dried for 1 week to determine dry pod weight and fodder weight respectively.

2.2 | SNP genotyping and data curation

Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves of individual plants using DNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, USA). The

Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array including 51,128 SNPs (Muñoz-Amatriáin et al., 2017) was used to genotype each DNA sample at the University of Southern California Molecular Genomics Core (Los Angeles, California, USA). SNPs were called in GenomeStudio (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA) and manually curated to remove those with high levels (>25%) of missing data and/or heterozygous calls. The highest percentage of heterozygosity for any accession was 3.83% (Cp 4906), and 96% of the accessions had heterozygosity levels below 1% (Table S2). The final dataset included 48,425 polymorphic SNPs, 47,334 with known physical positions (Lonardi et al., 2019), on the 368 minicore samples (Table S2).

2.3 | Genetic diversity, population structure and linkage disequilibrium analyses

Expected heterozygosity (H_e) and nucleotide diversity (π) values were calculated for all 48,425 SNPs in the minicore as a measure of genetic diversity. H_e was calculated as $H_e = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k P_i^2$, where P_i is the frequency for the i th allele among a total of k alleles. π was evaluated as in Xu et al. (2017).

The admixture model implemented in STRUCTURE v2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000) was used to infer population structure of the UCR Minicore. Only SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) ≥ 0.05 were included in the analysis. STRUCTURE was first run three times for each hypothetical number of subpopulations (K) between 1 and 10, with a burn-in period of 10,000 followed by 10,000 Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) iterations. $\ln P(D)$ values were plotted and ΔK values were calculated according to Evanno et al. (2005) to estimate the optimum number of subpopulations. Plots were generated with Structure Harvester (Earl, 2012) (Figure S1). Then, a new run using a burn-in period of 100,000 and 100,000 MCMC iterations was conducted for the estimated K to assign accessions to subpopulations based on a membership probability ≥ 0.80 . In addition, principal component analysis (PCA) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis were conducted on the same SNP set using TASSEL v5 (Bradbury et al., 2007).

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was estimated for each chromosome as the correlation coefficient r^2 between pairs of SNPs, using SNPs with MAF ≥ 0.05 (42,711 SNPs). The decay of LD over physical distance was investigated by plotting pair-wise r^2 values and generating a locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) curve in R. LD decay distance was determined when r^2 fell to the critical threshold estimated from the 95th percentile r^2 distribution for unlinked markers ($r^2 = 0.15$).

2.4 | GWAS

The mixed linear model (Zhang et al., 2010) implemented in TASSEL v.5 (Bradbury et al., 2007) (<http://www.maizegenetics.net/tassel>) was used for GWAS, with a population structure matrix (for $K = 6$) and a kinship coefficient matrix accounting for population structure and the

relatedness of accessions, respectively. A false discovery rate (FDR; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) threshold of 0.01 was used to identify significant associations. The percentage contribution of each SNP to the total phenotypic variation was calculated using marker R^2 values from TASSEL multiplied by 100. Candidate genes within significant regions were identified from annotations of the cowpea reference genome v1.1 (<https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/>; Lonardi et al., 2019).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Diversity and linkage disequilibrium in the UCR Minicore

The UCR Minicore was developed to represent available genetic and phenotypic diversity of cultivated cowpea while maintaining a sample size that can be managed by most researchers and breeders for evaluating traits of interest. This minicore is composed of 368 accessions from 50 countries including 242 landraces, 98 breeding lines, three accessions categorized as “weedy,” and 25 accessions that are uncategorized (Table S1). It largely overlaps with the IITA minicore, with 233 accessions in common (Table S1), at least by name though not necessarily by genetic identity. The UCR Minicore showed great phenotypic diversity in pod and seed types and colors, flowering time and maturity, leaf shape, and plant architecture, among other traits. Figure 1 illustrates some of the morphological variation existing in the UCR Minicore.

Genotyping with the 51,128-SNP array (Muñoz-Amatriáin et al., 2017) enabled analyses of genetic diversity in the minicore. 94.7% of those SNPs (48,425) were polymorphic in the dataset. Expected heterozygosity (He) and nucleotide diversity (π) were calculated and both averaged 0.313 (the maximum for a biallelic SNP is 0.5).

The LD decay distance was also investigated for each cowpea chromosome using SNPs with $MAF \geq 0.05$. The decay of LD with physical distance differed among chromosomes and ranged from 809 kb on Vu05 to 4,705 kb on Vu10 (Figure S2). In addition to Vu05, the LD decay distance was shortest in Vu08 (813 kb) and Vu07 (1048 kb), while Vu01 and Vu04 had the largest decay distances after Vu10 (3803 and 3286 kb, respectively; Figure S2). On average there was one SNP per 14.9 kb, indicating sufficient marker density for GWAS.

3.2 | Population structure of the UCR Minicore

A total of 42,711 SNPs with $MAF \geq 0.05$ were used to evaluate population structure in the UCR Minicore. STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al., 2000) was run for $K = 1-10$ and the inspection of both the estimated log probabilities of the data and the ΔK values calculated as in Evanno et al. (2005) supported the presence of six genetic subpopulations (Figure S1). Accessions were assigned to each subpopulation using a membership coefficient ≥ 0.8 (accessions with membership coefficients less than 0.8 were considered “admixed”; Table S1). PCA




FIGURE 1 Phenotypic diversity in the UCR Minicore. Examples of diversity in (a) pod color and morphology and (b) seed coat color and pattern

also showed a clear separation of the six subpopulations on the first three principal components (Figure S3).

Subpopulation 1 included 31 accessions mostly from West Africa, 29 of which are landraces (Table S1). Subpopulation 2 was the smallest subpopulation by number of accessions: it included 12 breeding lines developed at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Nigeria. Subpopulation 3 was composed mostly of landraces from Mediterranean countries including Egypt, Italy, and Portugal as well as accessions from California and Puerto Rico (Table S1). Subpopulation 4 included 27 accessions that are mostly landraces from India, China and Papua New Guinea, among other countries. Passport data and visual examination of accessions in Subpopulation 4 indicated that they belong to, or show some characteristics of, cv.-gr. *Sesquipedalis* (yardlong bean). Subpopulation 5 contained 32 accessions from West Africa, most of which are landraces (Table S1). The largest subpopulation was Subpopulation 6 (69 accessions), which was composed primarily of landraces from Southeastern Africa. The remainder of the accessions (165) were considered “admixed” (Table S1).

Figure 2 shows the worldwide distribution of the six subpopulations, with each pie plot representing the proportion of the six subpopulations contributing to accessions in each country. Accessions within the USA were divided further based on the state they belonged to. Each accession from California is represented on the

map, while the rest of the USA accessions were grouped together due to the lack of passport information about the state of origin for most of them. Their cultivar names, however, traced to U.S. southern states (Table S1). The figure graphically shows that while all subpopulations are present in West Africa, three of them (1, 2, and 5) are predominant. Accessions from Southeastern Africa have ancestry primarily from Subpopulation 6, while most germplasm from Mediterranean countries belonged to Subpopulation 3. Interestingly, a closer look at the germplasm from the USA indicates that accessions from California have ancestry mostly from Subpopulation 3, while accessions from other U.S. states are predominantly Subpopulation 6 (Figure 2). Subpopulation 4 is primarily from countries in Asia and Oceania.

3.3 | GWAS of agronomic traits

GWAS was conducted for four different agronomic traits including days to flowering (DTF), pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight using 42,711 SNPs with MAF ≥ 0.05 (see Section 2). DTF was evaluated in five different environments in the USA and Nigeria, three of which are considered short-day environments (<12 hr of daylight) while the other two are considered long-day environments (>12 hr of daylight) (Table 1 and Section 2). Pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight were evaluated in one environment in




FIGURE 2 Population structure in the UCR Minicore. The geographical distribution of the accessions belonging to the minicore is shown, with pie charts representing the average proportions from each genetic subpopulation for samples in each country. Pie chart sizes are proportional to the number of samples in each country and range from 1 to 80 accessions. Accessions within the U.S. were further divided as “California” and “other states/unknown,” as most accessions in this second group did not have state information but their cultivar names traced to US southern states. The plot of ancestry estimates for $K = 6$ is shown at the bottom, with each bar representing the estimated membership coefficients for each accession in each of the six subpopulations (represented by different colors)

TABLE 1 Peak SNPs associated with DTF under five different environments. Asterisk on significant region (bp) indicates previously known QTL for DTF, as discussed in the text

Environment	Peak SNP	Chr.	Pos. (bp)	$-\log_{10}(P)$	Marker R^2 (%)	Alleles	Effect	Significant region (bp)
<i>Thermal (CA, USA) 2016 short days</i>	2_34470	Vu05	371,674	6.34	8.04	A/T	-1.97	371674*
	2_19172	Vu05	7,227,977	5.66	6.22	A/G	-4.69	7,227,977-7,307,028
	2_12934	Vu05	48,154,437	4.83	5.27	A/G	-5.87	48,133,655-48,154,437
	2_20025	Vu09	432,162	4.96	5.43	C/T	-3.28	432,162
<i>Malamadori (Nigeria) 2017 short days</i>	2_48517	Vu03	1,015,266	6.01	7.84	A/C	0.36	1,015,266
	2_14813	Vu03	59,735,537	7.90	9.13	A/G	7.64	59,735,537-59,977,049
	2_25565	Vu05	48,009,565	5.30	5.95	A/G	5.04	48,009,565
	2_20987	Vu06	17,607,676	6.08	8.07	C/T	-0.03	17,607,676
	2_24668	Vu06	20,504,149	4.74	5.39	A/C	-4.55	20,504,149
	2_11153	Vu11	1,198,243	6.29	8.18	C/T	0.33	1,198,243
<i>Minjibir (Nigeria) 2017 short days</i>	2_20613	Vu02	31,278,141	5.22	5.73	A/G	-4.21	31,277,349-31,278,141
	2_21535	Vu03	55,851,743	4.80	5.22	G/T	-3.97	55,851,743
	2_36725	Vu04	5,412,353	4.85	5.28	A/G	4.40	5412353*
	2_04587	Vu05	325,016	5.49	6.99	A/C	3.07	324,586-325,016
	2_32475	Vu06	18,813,924	4.70	5.07	A/T	-3.94	18,813,924
	2_11041	Vu07	23,575,112	4.76	5.13	C/T	-3.24	23,575,112
	2_54333	Vu08	19,409,973	5.11	5.61	A/G	4.28	19,409,973
	2_32074	Vu09	38,030,998	5.10	6.52	C/T	2.58	38,030,998
	2_20047	Vu10	34,042,230	4.98	5.44	C/T	4.83	34,042,230
	2_52041	Vu11	2,323,465	5.34	5.91	C/T	-4.94	2,323,465-2,492,504
	2_37762	Vu11	8,132,658	5.67	7.25	C/T	1.42	8,132,658-8,160,775
	2_41002	Vu11	10,206,001	5.04	6.78	C/T	-0.27	10,206,001
	2_38223	Vu11	12,609,739	4.98	6.37	A/G	-1.34	12,609,739-12,645,337
	2_53446	Vu11	24,211,875	5.80	8.88	G/T	-0.92	24,211,875-24,221,759
2_41544	Vu11	28,828,443	4.75	6.09	C/T	-0.76	28,828,443-29,242,341	
2_17209	Vu11	30,941,563	4.69	5.07	C/T	-3.99	30,941,563	
<i>Riverside (CA, USA) 2016 long days</i>	2_30961	Vu02	21,081,330	4.84	6.83	C/T	5.21	21,081,330
	2_41247	Vu03	36,439,344	4.81	6.78	C/T	6.19	36,439,344
	2_06977	Vu04	9,211,195	5.47	7.95	A/C	11.12	9,211,195-9,263,427
	2_22037	Vu09	5,939,066	4.74	6.66	C/T	6.34	5939066*
<i>Riverside (CA, USA) 2017 long days</i>	2_14784	Vu01	41,670,877	4.55	6.51	C/T	-4.56	41,670,877
	2_24857	Vu03	9,060,012	5.50	7.82	C/T	3.24	9,053,619-9,060,012
	2_13459	Vu04	41,223,351	5.64	8.20	A/G	-3.95	41,186,683-41,263,744
	2_13692	Vu09	972,787	4.76	5.75	A/G	-6.90	972,787
	2_15020	Vu09	28,247,527	4.55	6.51	A/G	2.31	28,247,527
	2_23401	Vu09	41,393,052	6.57	9.25	A/G	3.29	41,152,886-41,623,157
	2_44213	Vu11	9,125,650	6.08	8.59	A/G	-0.19	9,125,650

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Environment	Peak SNP	Chr.	Pos. (bp)	$-\log_{10}(P)$	Marker R^2 (%)	Alleles	Effect	Significant region (bp)
	2_53113	Vu11	10,177,688	5.04	7.18	A/G	2.36	10,177,688
	2_05684	Vu11	12,871,428	4.87	6.95	A/G	1.99	12,871,428
	2_06982	Vu11	37,711,373	5.67	8.03	C/T	3.39	37711373*

Nigeria. Significant marker-trait associations were identified for all traits and environments (Figures 3 and 4 and Tables 1 and 2 and S3 and S4).

3.3.1 | Days to flowering

Considering all five environments, 91 significant SNPs at 40 genomic regions were identified for DTF (Figure 3 and Table 1 and S3). Among those 40 significant QTLs, 26 were associated with DTF under short days in Thermal (California, USA) and both Malamadori and Minjibir (Nigeria), while 14 were associated with DTF under long days in Riverside (California, USA) (Figure 3 and Tables 1 and S3). The phenotypic variation of significant SNPs ranged from 5% to 9% (Tables 1 and S3).

Five of the significant genomic regions corresponded to previously reported QTLs for DTF (Figure 3 and Table 1; Huynh et al., 2018; Lo et al., 2018) while the rest are novel (Table 1 and Figure 3). Those five QTLs were investigated further by considering gene model annotations in Phytozome (phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/) and the Legume Information System (LIS; www.legumeinfo.com). The resolution of QTL positions was improved in the present work using higher-density genotyping than previously, together with a larger and more diverse set of accessions.

Several candidate genes stood out for these five QTLs, as follows. One of the previously reported QTL was identified in Thermal 2016 and is located at the beginning of Vu05 (371,674 bp; Table 1). Just 47 kb away from that position, another QTL was identified in Minjibir 2017 between 324,586 bp and 325,016 bp (Table 1). These coordinates coincide with a QTL for DTF identified in a cowpea MAGIC population also under short days (Huynh et al., 2018), and hence, they are likely to represent the same QTL. Only six genes were found within this region (324,586–371,674 bp) including a cluster of four genes (*Vigun05g004000*, *Vigun05g004100*, *Vigun05g004200*, and *Vigun05g004300*) encoding the flowering locus protein T. Another of these five DTF QTLs was identified by a SNP at 5,412,353 bp on Vu04 under short days in Minjibir in 2017 (Table 1 and Figure 3). This position is contained within a QTL for flowering time under short days identified in the cowpea MAGIC population by Huynh et al. (2018). Genes near the significant SNP (2_36725) include *Vigun04g057300*, encoding a circadian clock coupling factor ZGT, ~200 kb from this SNP. *Vigun04g057300* is an ortholog of the Arabidopsis *Empfindlicher im Dunkelroten Licht 1 (EID1)* gene involved in the regulation of phytochrome-A light signaling (Marrocco et al., 2006).

Another of the five previously reported QTLs affects flowering time under long-days. A single significant SNP (2_22037) was

identified at 5,939,066 bp on Vu09 in Riverside in 2016 (Table 1 and Figure 3). This position matches a QTL for DTF identified previously in the same environment in the MAGIC population (Huynh et al., 2018) as well as a flowering time QTL identified in a RIL population derived from a cultivated x wild cross (*Cft9*; Lo et al., 2018). *Vigun09g059700*, which encodes a MADS-box protein and is orthologous to the Arabidopsis *AGAMOUS-LIKE 8 (AGL8)* gene also known as *FRUITFULL (FUL)*, was identified 140 kb from this SNP. Lastly, another of these five QTLs was associated with flowering under long days. This locus was detected at position 37,711,373 on Vu11 for the Riverside 2017 environment (Table 1 and Figure 3), which is contained within a flowering time QTL previously identified in the same environment using the cowpea MAGIC population (Huynh et al., 2018). Two cowpea genes, *Vigun11g169600* and *Vigun11g169400*, encoding AP2/B3-like transcription factor family proteins were identified at 128 and 139 kb from the peak SNP, respectively. These genes are orthologous to the Arabidopsis *REDUCED VERNALIZATION RESPONSE 1 (VRN1)*, a major gene involved in regulation of flowering and vernalization response.

In addition to these previously reported cowpea flowering time QTLs, it is worth mentioning three other QTLs that contain or are located near genes with clear roles in flowering. One is the QTL identified in Thermal in 2016 between 7,227,977–7,307,028 bp on Vu05 (Table 1 and Figure 3). The cowpea gene *Vigun05g077400*, encoding a MADS-box protein orthologous to Arabidopsis *AGAMOUS-like 20 (AGL20)*, is located 43.7 kb from the peak SNP (2_19172) for this QTL. The second one is a QTL region spanning 470 kb (41,152,886 to 41,623,157 bp) on Vu09 that was identified in Riverside in 2017, a long-day environment. This region contains 69 genes, among which *Vigun09g244300*, encoding a protein of the BES1/BZR1 family, was found. This gene is orthologous to the Arabidopsis *BRASSINAZOLE-RESISTANT 1 (BZR1)*, which positively regulates the brassinosteroid signaling pathway (He et al., 2002). The third QTL of interest was also identified in Riverside in 2017; it was located on Vu03 between 9,053,619 and 9,060,012 bp (Table 1 and Figure 3). The cowpea gene *Vigun03g104200*, which is an ortholog of the soybean *E6*, a main flowering and maturity gene, was identified 21-kb upstream of the peak SNP (2_24857).

3.3.2 | Pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight

Pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight were evaluated in Minjibir in 2017 (Nigeria). Correlations between these traits were




FIGURE 3 Manhattan plots of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on days to flowering in five different environments at four locations. $-\log_{10}(p)$ values are plotted against physical positions on the cowpea reference genome v1.1 (Lonardi et al., 2019). The dashed red line in each plot indicates the 0.01 FDR-corrected threshold, ranging from 4.54 for Riverside, California (2017) to 4.62 for both Thermal, California (2016), and Minjibir, Nigeria (2017). Blue arrows represent known QTLs for DTF

calculated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. A strong positive correlation was observed between dry pod weight and dry fodder weight (0.93), while a moderate negative correlation was observed between pod load score and dry fodder weight (-0.45) as well as between pod load score and dry pod weight (-0.48). Note that lower pod load score numbers were given to plants with higher pod loads (see Section 2).

A single QTL was identified for pod load score and dry pod weight on Vu04, while two QTLs on Vu04 were identified for dry fodder weight (Table 2, Figure 4, and Table S4). Interestingly, the major QTL for dry fodder weight coincides with the QTLs for pod load score and dry pod weight (Table 2; Figure 4; Table S4). The colocalization of these QTLs together with the correlations between the three traits suggests pleiotropic effects of a single gene or the existence of closely linked genes.

Genes within this common QTL region which spans 125 kb were explored. Eleven genes were identified, seven of which encode members of the cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel (CNGC) family of proteins. Four of those seven genes (*Vigun04g039300*, *Vigun04g039400*, *Vigun04g039800*, and *Vigun04g039900*) are orthologs to the Arabidopsis *CNGC20* gene, also called *CNBT1* (cyclic nucleotide-binding transporter 1), while the other three (*Vigun04g039500*, *Vigun04g039600*, and *Vigun04g039700*) are orthologs to the

Arabidopsis *CNGC19* gene. The encoded ion channel proteins mediate calcium signaling pathways involved in responses to abiotic and biotic stresses, including response to herbivores, nematodes and heavy metals among others (Hammes et al., 2005; Jha et al., 2016; Meena et al., 2019; Moon et al., 2019) (see Section 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Population structure and historical crop dispersal

The UCR Minicore and its associated high-density SNP data (51,128 SNPs) constitute a powerful combination of material and information resources to support genetic diversity analyses of cultivated cowpea. Six subpopulations were identified in this minicore, all of which were represented to at least some extent in West African material (Figure 2). This indicates that West Africa is a center of diversity for cultivated cowpea, as suggested by previous studies (Fatukun et al., 2018; Padulosi & Ng, 1997; Steele, 1976). Five of the six subpopulations were composed mostly of landraces, while Subpopulation 2 included cowpea lines only from IITA's cowpea breeding program. Based on how the six subpopulations split at different K numbers, as

FIGURE 4 Manhattan plots of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight. $-\log_{10}(p)$ values are plotted against physical positions on the cowpea reference genome (Lonardi et al., 2019). The dashed red line in each plot indicates the 0.01 FDR-corrected threshold (4.62 for dry fodder weight and 4.63 for both pod load score and dry pod weight)




TABLE 2 Peak SNPs associated with dry fodder weight, dry pod weight, and pod load

Trait	Peak SNP	Chr.	Position (bp)	$-\log_{10}(P)$	Marker R^2 (%)	Alleles	Effect	Significant region (bp)
Pod load score	2_05691	Vu04	3,403,047	4.84	6.32	G/T	-0.15	3,335,635-3,403,521
Dry pod weight	2_06769	Vu04	3,278,892	7.21	8.85	C/T	29.60	3,278,476-3,403,521
Dry fodder weight	2_05693	Vu04	3,403,521	7.34	10.39	C/T	20.87	3,278,476-3,403,521
	2_02590	Vu04	37,245,973	4.75	6.64	A/G	-9.43	37,245,973

illustrated in Herniter et al. (2020), it appears that Subpopulation 2 is the result of crosses between materials from Subpopulations 1 and 5. Subpopulations 1 and 5 are composed almost exclusively of West African accessions. A closer inspection of landraces from Subpopulations 1 and 5 revealed consistent differences in several traits. For example, Subpopulation 1 accessions flowered earlier than those in Subpopulation 5 (8 days earlier on average in short-day environments) and had decreased photoperiod sensitivity (most Subpopulation

5 accessions did not flower under long-days) (Table S1). Other work reported that Subpopulation 1 had much more pod shattering than Subpopulation 5 accessions (Lo et al., under review). In addition, all accessions in Subpopulation 1 have smooth seed coats, while most in Subpopulation 5 have rough coats (data not shown). Seed coat texture is an important quality trait that influences seed end-use; rough seed-coated varieties are preferred for food preparations requiring seed coat removal (e.g., making of Akara) as rough seed coats can be easily

removed after soaking, while varieties with smooth seed coats are often preferred when cowpea is consumed as boiled intact seed (Singh & Ishiyaku, 2000). Also, rough seed coat types imbibe water quicker and generally have reduced cooking times compared to smooth seed coat types.

Genotyping of the UCR Minicore has shed light on the history of cowpea in USA. Landraces and their breeding derivatives from California belong to Subpopulation 3, which is composed mostly of landraces from Mediterranean countries, while accessions from other U.S. states were predominantly from Subpopulation 6, which is composed mostly of landraces from Southeastern Africa. This population structure, together with textual evidence summarized by Herniter et al. (2020), is consistent with a global dispersal of cowpea from its centers of domestication in West and East Africa along historical trade routes. For the USA, cowpea appears to have arrived through at least two distinct introduction routes. It is believed that in the US Southwest, cowpea was first introduced by the Spanish explorer Hernando de Alcorón in 1540 going northward from Mexico, possibly followed in the late 1600's by the Jesuit monk Eusebio Kino, including accessions that were popular in the Mediterranean basin during those times (Casterter & Bell, 1942; Herniter et al., 2020). In the Southeastern United States, cowpea seems to have been brought on slave ships, perhaps as provisions. The two distinct introduction routes of apparently genetically distinct cowpeas contrast with older studies predating genotyping, which have often assumed a single introduction. This conjecture of more than one route of cowpea introductions into the USA, and genetic distinctions between them that now are evident, is further supported by the findings of Carvalho et al. (2017), which showed relationships between a Cuban and Mediterranean accessions, and between sub-Saharan African and South American accessions, which represent yet another route of colonial-era dispersal of cowpeas.

Although the germplasm utilized in this study largely overlaps with that utilized by Huynh et al. (2013), only two genetic clusters were identified in that previous study which correspond to the two major “West Africa” and “Southeastern Africa” gene pools. As shown by Herniter et al. (2020) utilizing this same UCR Minicore material, at $K = 2$ Subpopulation 6 (Southeastern Africa gene pool) splits from the rest of the subpopulations, confirming that as a primary genetic differentiation between subpopulations of domesticated cowpeas. The smaller number of SNPs that were available for Huynh et al. (2013) to conduct population structure analyses, together with an emphasis on African landraces among accessions genotyped, most likely precluded further subdivision of the West African subpopulation.

Carvalho et al. (2017) has been the only previous study to genotype a set of cowpea accessions at a high density (51,128 SNPs). Although their focus was on genetic diversity of Iberian Peninsula cowpeas, results from that study largely agree with the findings reported in the present work. In particular, Subpopulations 1, 2, and 3 from the study of Carvalho et al. (2017), correspond to Subpopulations 4, 3, and 6 reported here, respectively. Their fourth subpopulation was composed only of four accessions from West Africa. This small representation of germplasm from West Africa

certainly would preclude the detection of additional subpopulation structure present in that region.

4.2 | Flowering time

Flowering time is one of the most important agronomic traits, which affect environmental adaptation and yield potential. It is controlled by multiple genes via different pathways, and it is influenced by environmental conditions (Fornara et al., 2010).

Cowpea is generally a short-day plant and, although some accessions are day-neutral (photoperiod-insensitive), many cowpea genotypes are photoperiod sensitive and show a delay in flowering under long day conditions (Craufurd et al., 1996; Ehlers & Hall, 1996). The degree of photoperiod sensitivity can vary between accessions and is influenced by temperature (Ehlers & Hall, 1996). Understanding the underlying genetic factors of cowpea flowering time is important for the use of diverse germplasm to customize varieties for different environments.

GWAS using the UCR Minicore has enabled the identification of many loci and meaningful candidate genes associated with flowering under both short and long days. Five of the significant regions coincide with QTLs reported in previous studies (Huynh et al., 2018; Lo et al., 2018). Overall, these results are consistent with polygenic control of flowering time in cowpea.

One of the main flowering time regions identified under short-day conditions (and two different environments) was on Vu05. A cluster of four genes (*Vigun05g004000*, *Vigun05g004100*, *Vigun05g004200*, and *Vigun05g004300*) annotated as *FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT)* are in this region. *Vigun05g004000* and *Vigun05g004100* are orthologous to the Arabidopsis flowering gene *TWIN SISTER OF FT (TSF; AT4G20370.1)*, which is a close relative of *FT*, whereas *Vigun05g004200* and *Vigun05g004300* are orthologs of the Arabidopsis *FT (AT1G65480.1)* gene. *TSF* and *FT* are main floral pathway integrators and play overlapping roles in the promotion of flowering (Fornara et al., 2010; Kobayashi et al., 1999; Yamaguchi et al., 2005). They share a similar mode of regulation, and overexpression of both *FT* and *TSF* results in precocious flowering (Kobayashi et al., 1999; Yamaguchi et al., 2005). The identification of multiple copies of *FT* genes in the cowpea reference genome (Lonardi et al., 2019) suggests that copy number variation could play an important role in the regulation of flowering time in cowpea, as reported for other crops (Díaz et al., 2012; Nitcher et al., 2013). Interestingly, in the cold-season legume chickpea a cluster of three *FT* genes has been identified under a major QTL controlling flowering time under short-day conditions (Ortega et al., 2019). Authors showed a collectively higher expression of the *FT* genes in the early-flowering domesticated lines respect to the late-flowering wild chickpea. Also, the flowering time QTL co-located with QTLs for growth habit and branching index, suggesting a possible involvement of *FT* genes in plant architecture as seen in other crops including *Medicago truncatula* (Laurie et al., 2011).

Another main flowering time locus identified under short days was located near *Vigun04g057300*, which is an ortholog of the

Arabidopsis gene *EID1*. In Arabidopsis, *EID1* mutations caused alterations in flowering induction (Marrocco et al., 2006). *EID1* encodes an F-box protein that is a negative regulator in phytochrome A (phyA)-specific light signaling (Dieterle et al., 2001). Mutations in *EID1* causing the deceleration of the circadian clock have been selected during tomato domestication (Müller et al., 2016). Phytochrome modulation by environmental factors to control flowering time appears to be widespread in plants including cowpea (Mutters et al., 1989), where genetic variability related to *EID1* potentially has been an important component of domestication.

A third QTL identified under a short-day environment on Vu05 was near *Vigun05g077400*, which is an ortholog of the Arabidopsis *AGAMOUS-like 20* (*AGL20*) gene. *AGL20* is an integrator of different pathways controlling flowering and is considered a central component for the induction of flowering (Borner et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2000). Overexpression of *AGL20* in Arabidopsis suppresses late flowering and delays phase transitions from the vegetative stages of plant development (Borner et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2000).

Under the long-day conditions of Riverside (CA, USA), most of the accessions in the UCR Minicore showed a delay in flowering, and about one fourth of the minicore did not flower (Table S1). Under long days, a major flowering time QTL was identified on Vu09 near *Vigun09g059700*, an ortholog of the Arabidopsis *AGL8/FUL* gene. *Vigun09g059700* is also an ortholog of the common bean gene *Phvul.009G203400*, which is a candidate for a photoperiod response QTL (DTF-9.5) identified in an Andean RIL population (González et al., 2021). *AGL8/FUL* encodes a MADS-box family transcription factor that regulates inflorescence development and is negatively regulated by *APETALA1* in Arabidopsis (Mandel & Yanofsky, 1995). In addition, *AGL8/FUL* promotes floral determination in response to far-red-enriched light (Hempel et al., 1997). Loss of *AGL8/FUL* function in Arabidopsis caused a delay in flowering time (Ferrándiz et al., 2000; Melzer et al., 2008), suggesting that the cowpea ortholog of *FUL* (*Vigun09g059700*) could similarly be involved in the response to photoperiod.

Another region associated with flowering under long day was identified near genes *Vigun11g169600* and *Vigun11g169400*. Both genes encode AP2/B3-like transcription factors that are orthologs to the Arabidopsis *VRN1* gene. *VRN1*, a close homolog of *FUL*, promotes flowering after prolonged cold (vernalization) in different plant species (Levy et al., 2002; Lü et al., 2015; Putterill et al., 2004; Sung & Amasino, 2005; Yan et al., 2003). It is intriguing to identify a vernalization-pathway gene in a warm-season legume that does not need to undergo vernalization before flowering. However, vernalization pathway genes have been identified in other warm-season plants including soybean (Lü et al., 2015). In particular, *Glyma11g13220*, which was a homolog of the Arabidopsis *VRN1*, was responsive to photoperiod as well as to low temperatures in soybean. This vernalization pathway gene could also be functional in cowpea.

The cowpea gene *Vigun09g244300*, located on Vu09 and encoding a protein of the BES1/BZR1 family, is another strong candidate gene for days to flowering under long-day conditions. *Vigun09g244300* is an ortholog of the Arabidopsis *BRASSINAZOLE-*

RESISTANT 1 (*BZR1*), one of the main regulators of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway (He et al., 2002). In Arabidopsis, brassinosteroid signaling inhibits the floral transition and promotes vegetative growth. Furthermore, brassinosteroid-deficient mutants cause a strong delay in days to flowering (Li et al., 2018). Lastly, another QTL was found on Vu03 near *Vigun03g104200*, an ortholog of the soybean *E6* gene, which affects both flowering and maturity (Li et al., 2017; Sedivy et al., 2020). *E6* plays an important role in the long-juvenile trait (delayed flowering) in soybean (Bonato & Vello, 1999).

4.3 | Plant productivity traits

Pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight are important traits related to plant productivity. A cowpea genotype with high pod load (i.e., low pod load score) demonstrates high grain yield potential. High pod load is a result of a high number of pods per plant, which is an indication of low rate of flower abortion. Generally, low flower abortion is associated with resistance to insect attack (typically flower thrips or maruca) and high night temperatures (>18°C) (Patel & Hall, 1990). Negative correlations have been identified between pod load score and dry pod weight, as well as between pod load score and number of pods per plant, and between pod load score and grain yield (Garcia-Oliveira et al., 2020). The negative correlation between pod load score and grain yield holds true as long as no attack by pod sucking bugs occurs, and in such a situation selection of high grain yielding genotypes can be made using pod load score. However, there are high positive correlations between dry pod weight and grain yield. In some studies, dry pod weight has been negatively correlated with dry fodder weight (Samireddypalle et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2003) except for some lines with dual purpose characteristics (Boukar et al., 2016; Timko & Singh, 2008).

We identified one major QTL associated with pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight. Seven genes encoding members of the CNGC family proteins were located within the QTL region: four of them (*Vigun04g039300*, *Vigun04g039400*, *Vigun04g039800*, and *Vigun04g039900*) are orthologs of the Arabidopsis *CNGC20* gene, also called *CNBT1* (cyclic nucleotide-binding transporter 1), which is involved in the response to nematodes (Jha et al., 2016; Kaupp & Seifert, 2002). The other three (*Vigun04g039500*, *Vigun04g039600*, and *Vigun04g039700*) are orthologs of the Arabidopsis *CNGC19* gene, which is involved in herbivore response (Jha et al., 2016; Meena et al., 2019). Interestingly, this region corresponds to the major *Rk* locus for root-knot nematode resistance identified in cowpea (Huynh et al., 2016; Ndeve et al., 2019). However, the authors are not aware of any nematode infestation or herbivore damage at the Minjibir field location. Members of the CNGC family of proteins have also been involved in plant tolerance to heavy metals (Moon et al., 2019). *CNGC20* and *CNGC19* are Ca²⁺ permeable channels, which play essential roles in the regulation of plant immunity and the response to abiotic stresses and thereby may influence plant productivity. Interestingly, three CNGC calcium channels (a, b, and c) are involved in

nodulation in *Medicago truncatula* (Charpentier et al., 2016). They are needed for inducing the oscillations in calcium concentrations that mediate plant responses to rhizobial bacteria (Roy et al., 2020). Therefore, a role of these genes in cowpea nodulation is also plausible. Further studies are necessary clarify any possible role of these cowpea homologs in regulating pod load score, dry pod weight, and dry fodder weight.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge Jasmine Dixon for assistance with retrieval of cowpea accessions from storage at UC Riverside; Teresa Cicero and Domenicantonio Vinci for collecting and providing seed of accessions from Vazzano (Italy); Dr. Exequiel Ezcurra for helpful input on the introduction of cowpea into California; Dr. Paul Gepts and Dr. Rémy S. Pasquet for other helpful discussions; Steve Wanamaker for database assistance; and the University of Southern California Molecular Genomics Core team for iSelect genotyping services. This research was funded by the Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Climate Resilient Cowpea (USAID Cooperative Agreement AID-OAA-A-13-00070), the National Science Foundation BREAD project “Advancing the Cowpea Genome for Food Security” (NSF IOS-1543963), Hatch Project CA-R-BPS-5306-H. Also, M.C., I.C., and V.C. were supported by National Funds from FCT-Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology under the project grant number UIDB/04033/2020.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study does not require any ethical approval.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

MMA conceived the study. TJC and MMA supervised and coordinated the project. MMA and SL assembled the minicore collection. BLH, TJC, MC, IC, and VC contributed germplasm. MMA, SL, OB, CF, and TJC conducted phenotypic evaluations, while YNG, SL, and IAH conducted DNA extractions for genotyping. MMA and SL curated the genotypic and phenotypic data and performed data analyses. TJC, PAR, and VC provided financial support for this study. MMA, SL and TJC wrote the manuscript with input from all other co-authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

ORCID

María Muñoz-Amatriain  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4476-1691>

Sassoum Lo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0385-5691>

Ira A. Herniter  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5662-083X>

Márcia Carvalho  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1108-8389>

Timothy J. Close  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9759-3775>

REFERENCES

- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 57(1), 289–300.
- Bonato, E. R., & Vello, N. A. (1999). E6, a dominant gene conditioning early flowering and maturity in soybeans. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 22(2), 229–232. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47571999000200016>
- Borner, R., Kampmann, G., Chandler, J., Gleißner, R., Wisman, E., Apel, K., & Melzer, S. (2000). A MADS domain gene involved in the transition to flowering in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal*, 24(5), 591–599. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00906.x>
- Boukar, O., Fatokun, C. A., Huynh, B.-L., Roberts, P. A., & Close, T. J. (2016). Genomic tools in cowpea breeding programs: Status and perspectives. *Frontiers Plant Sciences*, 7, 757.
- Boukar, O., Togola, A., Chamarthi, S., Belko, N., Ishikawa, H., Suzuki, K., & Fatokun, C. (2019). Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] breeding. In *Advances in plant breeding strategies: Legumes* (pp. 201–243). Springer.
- Bradbury, P. J., Zhang, Z., Kroon, D. E., Casstevens, T. M., Ramdoss, Y., & Buckler, E. S. (2007). TASSEL: Software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. *Bioinformatics*, 23(19), 2633–2635. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm308>
- Brown, A. (1995). The core collection at the crossroads. In T. Hodgkin, A. H. D. Brown, T. H. J. L. van Hintum, & E. A. V. Morales (Eds.), *Core collections of plant genetic resources* (pp. 3–19).
- Byrne, P. F., Volk, G. M., Gardner, C., Gore, M. A., Simon, P. W., & Smith, S. (2018). Sustaining the future of plant breeding: The critical role of the USDA-ARS National Plant Germplasm System. *Crop Science*, 58(2), 451–468. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2017.05.0303>
- Carvalho, M., Muñoz-Amatriain, M., Castro, I., Lino-Neto, T., Matos, M., Egea-Cortines, M., Rosa, E., Close, T., & Carnide, V. (2017). Genetic diversity and structure of Iberian Peninsula cowpeas compared to world-wide cowpea accessions using high density SNP markers. *BMC Genomics*, 18(1), 891. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4295-0>
- Castetter, E. F., & Bell, W. H. (1942). *Pima and Papago Indian agriculture*. Albuquerque, N.M.: The University of New Mexico Press.
- Charpentier, M., Sun, J., Martins, T. V., Radhakrishnan, G. V., Findlay, K., Soumpourou, E., ... Morris, R. J. (2016). Nuclear-localized cyclic nucleotide-gated channels mediate symbiotic calcium oscillations. *Science*, 352(6289), 1102–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0109>
- Craufurd, P., Qi, A., Summerfield, R., Ellis, R., & Roberts, E. (1996). Development in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). III. Effects of Temperature and Photoperiod on Time to Flowering in Photoperiod-Sensitive Genotypes and Screening for Photothermal Responses. *Experimental Agriculture*, 32(1), 29–40.
- Díaz, A., Zikhali, M., Turner, A. S., Isaac, P., & Laurie, D. A. (2012). Copy number variation affecting the *Photoperiod-B1* and *Vernalization-A1* genes is associated with altered flowering time in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *PLoS One*, 7(3), e33234. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033234>
- Dieterle, M., Zhou, Y.-C., Schäfer, E., Funk, M., & Kretsch, T. (2001). EID1, an F-box protein involved in phytochrome A-specific light signaling. *Genes & Development*, 15(8), 939–944. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.197201>
- Dugje, I., Omoigui, L., Ekeleme, F., Kamara, A., & Ajeigbe, H. (2009). Farmers' guide to cowpea production in West Africa. *IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria*, 20, 12–14.
- Earl, D. A. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 4(2), 359–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7>
- Ehlers, J., & Hall, A. (1996). Genotypic classification of cowpea based on responses to heat and photoperiod. *Crop Science*, 36(3), 673–679. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1996.0011183X003600030026x>

- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, 14(8), 2611–2620. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x>
- Fatokun, C., Girma, G., Abberton, M., Gedil, M., Unachukwu, N., Oyatomi, O., Yusuf, M., Rabbi, I., & Boukar, O. (2018). Genetic diversity and population structure of a mini-core subset from the world cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) germplasm collection. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 16035. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34555-9>
- Ferrández, C., Gu, Q., Martienssen, R., & Yanofsky, M. F. (2000). Redundant regulation of meristem identity and plant architecture by *FRUITFULL*, *APETALA1* and *CAULIFLOWER*. *Development*, 127(4), 725–734.
- Fornara, F., de Montaigu, A., & Coupland, G. (2010). SnapShot: Control of flowering in Arabidopsis. *Cell*, 141(3), 550, e552–550.
- Garcia-Oliveira, A. L., Zate, Z. Z., Olasanmi, B., Boukar, O., Gedil, M., & Fatokun, C. (2020). Genetic dissection of yield associated traits in a cross between cowpea and yard-long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) based on DArT markers. *Journal of Genetics*, 99(1), 1–13.
- González, A. M., Yuste-Lisbona, F. J., Weller, J., Vander Schoor, J. K., Lozano, R., & Santalla, M. (2021). Characterization of QTL and environmental interactions controlling flowering time in Andean common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.599462>
- Hammes, U. Z., Schachtman, D. P., Berg, R. H., Nielsen, E., Koch, W., McIntyre, L. M., & Taylor, C. G. (2005). Nematode-induced changes of transporter gene expression in Arabidopsis roots. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 18(12), 1247–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-1247>
- He, J.-X., Gendron, J. M., Yang, Y., Li, J., & Wang, Z.-Y. (2002). The GSK3-like kinase *BIN2* phosphorylates and destabilizes *BZR1*, a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99(15), 10185–10190. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.152342599>
- Hempel, F. D., Weigel, D., Mandel, M. A., Ditta, G., Zambryski, P. C., Feldman, L. J., & Yanofsky, M. F. (1997). Floral determination and expression of floral regulatory genes in Arabidopsis. *Development*, 124(19), 3845–3853.
- Herniter, I. A., Lo, R., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., Lo, S., Guo, Y.-N., Huynh, B.-L., Lucas, M., Jia, Z., Roberts, P. A., Lonardi, S., & Close, T. J. (2019). Seed coat pattern QTL and development in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) [original research]. *Frontiers Plant Sciences*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01346>
- Herniter, I. A., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., & Close, T. J. (2020). Genetic, textual, and archeological evidence of the historical global spread of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). *Legume Science*, 2(4), e57.
- Herniter, I. A., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., Lo, S., Guo, Y.-N., & Close, T. J. (2018). Identification of candidate genes controlling black seed coat and pod tip color in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). *Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, g3. 200521.202018.
- Huynh, B. L., Close, T. J., Roberts, P. A., Hu, Z., Wanamaker, S., Lucas, M. R., Chiulele, R., Cissé, N., David, A., Hearne, S., Fatokun, C., Diop, N. N., & Ehlers, J. D. (2013). Gene pools and the genetic architecture of domesticated cowpea. *The Plant Genome*, 6(3), 0. <https://doi.org/10.3835/plantgenome2013.03.0005>
- Huynh, B. L., Ehlers, J. D., Huang, B. E., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., Lonardi, S., Santos, J. R., Ndeve, A., Batiemo, B. J., Boukar, O., & Cisse, N. (2018). A multi-parent advanced generation inter-cross (MAGIC) population for genetic analysis and improvement of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *The Plant Journal*, 93(6), 1129–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13827>
- Huynh, B. L., Matthews, W. C., Ehlers, J. D., Lucas, M. R., Santos, J. R., Ndeve, A., Close, T. J., & Roberts, P. A. (2016). A major QTL corresponding to the Rk locus for resistance to root-knot nematodes in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 129(1), 87–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-015-2611-0>
- Jha, S. K., Sharma, M., & Pandey, G. K. (2016). Role of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels in stress management in plants. *Current Genomics*, 17(4), 315–329. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1389202917666160331202125>
- Kaup, U. B., & Seifert, R. (2002). Cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels. *Physiological Reviews*, 82(3), 769–824. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00008.2002>
- Knox, J., Hess, T., Daccache, A., & Wheeler, T. (2012). Climate change impacts on crop productivity in Africa and South Asia. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(3), 034032. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/3/034032>
- Kobayashi, Y., Kaya, H., Goto, K., Iwabuchi, M., & Araki, T. (1999). A pair of related genes with antagonistic roles in mediating flowering signals. *Science*, 286(5446), 1960–1962. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5446.1960>
- Laurie, R. E., Diwadkar, P., Jaudal, M., Zhang, L., Hecht, V., Wen, J., ... Weller, J. L. (2011). The Medicago *FLOWERING LOCUS T* homolog, *MfTa1*, is a key regulator of flowering time. *Plant Physiology*, 156(4), 2207–2224. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.180182>
- Lee, H., Suh, S.-S., Park, E., Cho, E., Ahn, J. H., Kim, S.-G., Lee, J. S., Kwon, Y. M., & Lee, I. (2000). The *AGAMOUS-LIKE 20* MADS domain protein integrates floral inductive pathways in Arabidopsis. *Genes & Development*, 14(18), 2366–2376. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.813600>
- Levy, Y. Y., Mesnage, S., Mylne, J. S., Gendall, A. R., & Dean, C. (2002). Multiple roles of Arabidopsis *VRN1* in vernalization and flowering time control. *Science*, 297(5579), 243–246. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072147>
- Li, X., Fang, C., Xu, M., Zhang, F., Lu, S., Nan, H., Su, T., Li, S., Zhao, X., Kong, L., Yuan, X., Liu, B., Abe, J., Cober, E. R., & Kong, F. (2017). Quantitative trait locus mapping of soybean maturity gene *E6*. *Crop Science*, 57(5), 2547–2554. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2017.02.0106>
- Li, Z., Ou, Y., Zhang, Z., Li, J., & He, Y. (2018). Brassinosteroid signaling recruits histone 3 lysine-27 demethylation activity to *FLOWERING LOCUS C* chromatin to inhibit the floral transition in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant*, 11(9), 1135–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2018.06.007>
- Lo, S., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., Boukar, O., Herniter, I., Cisse, N., Guo, Y.-N., Roberts, P. A., Xu, S., Fatokun, C., & Close, T. J. (2018). Identification of QTL controlling domestication-related traits in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 6261.
- Lo, S., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., Hokin, S. A., Cisse, N., Roberts, P. A., Farmer, A. D., Xu, S., & Close, T. J. (2019). A genome-wide association and meta-analysis reveal regions associated with seed size in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 132(11), 3079–3087. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03407-z>
- Lonardi, S., Muñoz-Amatriáin, M., Liang, Q., Shu, S., Wanamaker, S. I., Lo, S., Tanskanen, J., Schulman, A. H., Zhu, T., Luo, M.-C., Alhakami, H., Ounit, R., Hasan, A. M., Verdier, J., Roberts, P. A., Santos, J. R. P., Ndeve, A., Doležal, J., Vrána, J., ... Close, T. J. (2019). The genome of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). *The Plant Journal*, 98(5), 767–782. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14349>
- Lü, J., Suo, H., Yi, R., Ma, Q., & Nian, H. (2015). *Glyma11g13220*, a homolog of the vernalization pathway gene *VERNALIZATION 1* from soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.], promotes flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana. *BMC Plant Biology*, 15(1), 1–12.
- Mandel, M. A., & Yanofsky, M. F. (1995). The Arabidopsis *AGL8* MADS box gene is expressed in inflorescence meristems and is negatively regulated by *APETALA1*. *The Plant Cell*, 7(11), 1763–1771. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.7.11.1763>
- Maréchal, R., Mascherpa, J.-M., & Stainier, F. (1978). Etude taxonomique d'un groupe complexe d'espèces des genres *Phaseolus* et *Vigna* (Papilionaceae) sur la base données morphologiques et polliniques, traitées par l'analyse informatique. *Boissiera*, 28, 2–7.

- Marrocco, K., Zhou, Y., Bury, E., Dieterle, M., Funk, M., Genschik, P., Krenz, M., Stolpe, T., & Kretsch, T. (2006). Functional analysis of *EID1*, an F-box protein involved in phytochrome A-dependent light signal transduction. *The Plant Journal*, 45(3), 423–438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113X.2005.02635.x>
- Meena, M. K., Prajapati, R., Krishna, D., Divakaran, K., Pandey, Y., Reichelt, M., Mathew, M., Boland, W., Mithöfer, A., & Vadassery, J. (2019). The Ca²⁺ channel CNGC19 regulates Arabidopsis defense against Spodoptera herbivory. *The Plant Cell*, 31(7), 1539–1562. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00057>
- Melzer, S., Lens, F., Gennen, J., Vanneste, S., Rohde, A., & Beekman, T. (2008). Flowering-time genes modulate meristem determinacy and growth form in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature Genetics*, 40(12), 1489–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.253>
- Miesho, B., Hailay, M., Msiska, U., Bruno, A., Malinga, G. M., Obia Ongom, P., Edema, R., Gibson, P., Rubaihayo, P., & Kyamanywa, S. (2019). Identification of candidate genes associated with resistance to bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) in cowpea. *Plant Breeding*, 138(5), 605–613. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12705>
- Moon, J. Y., Belloeil, C., Ianna, M. L., & Shin, R. (2019). Arabidopsis CNGC family members contribute to heavy metal ion uptake in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(2), 413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20020413>
- Muchero, W., Diop, N. N., Bhat, P. R., Fenton, R. D., Wanamaker, S., Pottorff, M., Hearne, S., Cisse, N., Fatokun, C., Ehlers, J. D., Roberts, P. A., & Close, T. J. (2009). A consensus genetic map of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp.] and synteny based on EST-derived SNPs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(43), 18159–18164. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0905886106>
- Muchero, W., Roberts, P. A., Diop, N. N., Drabo, I., Cisse, N., Close, T. J., Muranaka, S., Boukar, O., & Ehlers, J. D. (2013). Genetic architecture of delayed senescence, biomass, and grain yield under drought stress in cowpea. *PLoS One*, 8(7), e70041. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070041>
- Müller, C., & Robertson, R. D. (2014). Projecting future crop productivity for global economic modeling. *Agricultural Economics*, 45(1), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12088>
- Müller, N. A., Wijnen, C. L., Srinivasan, A., Rynhajillo, M., Ofner, I., Lin, T., Ranjan, A., West, D., Maloof, J. N., & Sinha, N. R. (2016). Domestication selected for deceleration of the circadian clock in cultivated tomato. *Nature Genetics*, 48(1), 89–93. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3447>
- Muñoz-Amatriain, M., Mirebrahim, H., Xu, P., Wanamaker, S. I., Luo, M., Alhakami, H., Alpert, M., Atokple, I., Batiemo, B. J., & Boukar, O. (2017). Genome resources for climate-resilient cowpea, an essential crop for food security. *The Plant Journal*, 89(5), 1042–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13404>
- Mutters, R., Hall, A., & Patel, P. (1989). Photoperiod and light quality effects on cowpea floral development at high temperatures. *Crop Science*, 29(6), 1501–1505. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1989.0011183X002900060037x>
- Ndeve, A. D., Santos, J. R., Matthews, W. C., Huynh, B. L., Guo, Y.-N., Lo, S., Muñoz-Amatriain, M., & Roberts, P. A. (2019). A novel root-knot nematode resistance QTL on chromosome Vu01 in cowpea. *Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 9(4), 1199–1209.
- Nitcher, R., Distelfeld, A., Tan, C., Yan, L., & Dubcovsky, J. (2013). Increased copy number at the *HvFT1* locus is associated with accelerated flowering time in barley. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, 288(5), 261–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-013-0746-8>
- Ortega, R., Hecht, V. F. G., Freeman, J. S., Rubio, J., Carrasquilla-Garcia, N., Mir, R. R., Penmetsa, R. V., Cook, D. R., Millan, T., & Weller, J. L. (2019). Altered expression of an FT cluster underlies a major locus controlling domestication-related changes to chickpea phenology and growth habit. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10(824). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00824>
- Padulosi, S., & Ng, N. (1997). Origin, taxonomy, and morphology of *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. In B. B. Singh, D. R. Mohan, & K. E. Raji (Eds.), *Advances in cowpea research* (pp. 1–12). Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA.
- Pasquet, R. S. (1998). Morphological study of cultivated cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp: Importance of ovule number and definition of cv gr *Melanophthalmus*. *Agronomie-Sciences Des Productions Vegetales et de l'Environnement*, 18(1), 61–70.
- Patel, P., & Hall, A. (1990). Genotypic variation and classification of cowpea for reproductive responses to high temperature under long photoperiods. *Crop Science*, 30(3), 614–621. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1990.0011183X003000030029x>
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155(2), 945–959. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1461096/pdf/10835412.pdf>
- Putterill, J., Laurie, R., & Macknight, R. (2004). It's time to flower: The genetic control of flowering time. *BioEssays*, 26(4), 363–373. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20021>
- Roy, S., Liu, W., Nandety, R. S., Crook, A., Mysore, K. S., Pislariu, C. I., Frugoli, J., Dickstein, R., & Udvardi, M. K. (2020). Celebrating 20 years of genetic discoveries in legume nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *The Plant Cell*, 32(1), 15–41. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00279>
- Samireddypalle, A., Boukar, O., Grings, E., Fatokun, C. A., Kodukula, P., Devulapalli, R., Okike, I., & Blümmel, M. (2017). Cowpea and groundnut haulms fodder trading and its lessons for multidimensional cowpea improvement for mixed crop livestock systems in West Africa. *Frontiers Plant Sciences*, 8, 30.
- Sedivy, E. J., Akpertey, A., Vela, A., Abadir, S., Khan, A., & Hanzawa, Y. (2020). Identification of non-pleiotropic loci in flowering and maturity control in soybean. *Agronomy*, 10(8), 1204. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/10/8/1204>, <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10081204>
- Singh, B., Ajeigbe, H. A., Tarawali, S. A., Fernandez-Rivera, S., & Abubakar, M. (2003). Improving the production and utilization of cowpea as food and fodder. *Field Crops Research*, 84(1–2), 169–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290\(03\)00148-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(03)00148-5)
- Singh, B., & Ishiyaku, M. (2000). Brief communication. Genetics of rough seed coat texture in cowpea. *Journal of Heredity*, 91(2), 170–174. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/91.2.170>
- Steele, W. (1976). Cowpeas. In N. W. Simmonds (Ed.), *Evolution of Crop Plants*. London: Longman Group.
- Steinbrenner, A. D., Muñoz-Amatriain, M., Chaparro, A. F., Aguilar-Venegas, J. M., Lo, S., Okuda, S., Glauser, G., Dongiovanni, J., Shi, D., & Hall, M. (2020). A receptor-like protein mediates plant immune responses to herbivore-associated molecular patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(49), 31510–31518. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018415117>
- Sung, S., & Amasino, R. M. (2005). Remembering winter: Toward a molecular understanding of vernalization. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 56, 491–508. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144307>
- Timko, M. P., & Singh, B. (2008). Cowpea, a multifunctional legume. In *Genomics of tropical crop plants* (pp. 227–258). Springer.
- Xiong, H., Shi, A., Mou, B., Qin, J., Motes, D., Lu, W., Ma, J., Weng, Y., Yang, W., & Wu, D. (2016). Genetic diversity and population structure of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). *PLoS One*, 11(8), e0160941.
- Xu, P., Wu, X., Muñoz-Amatriain, M., Wang, B., Wu, X., Hu, Y., Huynh, B. L., Close, T. J., Roberts, P. A., & Zhou, W. (2017). Genomic regions, cellular components and gene regulatory basis underlying pod length variations in cowpea (*V. unguiculata* L. Walp). *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 15(5), 547–557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12639>
- Yamaguchi, A., Kobayashi, Y., Goto, K., Abe, M., & Araki, T. (2005). TWIN SISTER OF FT (TSF) acts as a floral pathway integrator redundantly with

- FT. Plant and Cell Physiology*, 46(8), 1175–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pci151>
- Yan, L., Loukoianov, A., Tranquilli, G., Helguera, M., Fahima, T., & Dubcovsky, J. (2003). Positional cloning of the wheat vernalization gene *VRN1*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(10), 6263–6268. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0937399100>
- Zhang, Z., Ersoz, E., Lai, C.-Q., Todhunter, R. J., Tiwari, H. K., Gore, M. A., Bradbury, P. J., Yu, J., Arnett, D. K., & Ordoñas, J. M. (2010). Mixed linear model approach adapted for genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics*, 42(4), 355–360. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.546>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Muñoz-Amatriaín, M., Lo, S., Herniter, I. A., Boukar, O., Fatokun, C., Carvalho, M., Castro, I., Guo, Y.-N., Huynh, B.-L., Roberts, P. A., Carnide, V., & Close, T. J. (2021). The UCR Minicore: a resource for cowpea research and breeding. *Legume Science*, 3(3), e95. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.95>