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## Thesis Goal

- Build a methodology to create 3D, nonlinear, miniscaped level layouts
- Methodology Basis

1. Graph Theory
2. Dominion Theory



## Hypothesis

By using Graph Theory and Dominion Theory, level designers can create a 3D, non-linear, and miniscaped level where players can navigate without losing their sense of direction or losing track of their objectives

## 2. Theories \& Research

## Non-linear Level

- A level that is designed to encourage unpredictable player movement and exploration of the space [13]


Elden Ring (2022)


Granblue Fantasy Relink (2024)

## Why Non-linearity?

- Cons of Non-linear level
- Difficult to navigate
- Frequently travel back and forth
- Hard to design and implement

- Pros of Non-linearity
- Sense of player freedom
- Player feels in control of self
- More variety in exploration



## Miniscape

- Japanese - "Hakoniwa"
- A dish garden with plant materials that do not require water (literal)



## Miniscapes in Video Games

- "In level design, miniscapes are elaborately decorated areas with distinctive themes that are totally different from each other"
- Shigeru Miyamoto, Nintendo Tree House Live
- Each area is distinct visually
- Miniscapes allow for exploration and contain fun


Super Mario 3D World (2013)

## Graph Theory

- Graph Theory focuses on studying graphs connected by vertices and edges
- Vertices represent objects or entities
- Edges connect vertices to represent the interrelationship among those vertices [22]



## Graph's Elements



Vertices


Leaf


Subgraph

## Graph's Elements - Leaf



- A leaf is a vertex having only one edge connecting to its single neighbor

Leaf


Chain


Subgraph

## Graph's Elements - Chain



- A chain is a path formed by a series of vertices and edges

Chain


Leaf


Subgraph

## Graph's Elements - Subgraph



- In simple words a graph is said to be a subgraph if it is a part of another graph

Subgraph


Leaf


Chain

## Connected Graph \& Connectivity

Connected Graph:

- A graph that is connected in the sense of a topological space, i.e., there is a path from any point to any other point in the graph [20]


Connected Graph


Connected Graph


Connected Graph


Not a Connected Graph

## Connected \& Connectivity

## Connectivity:

If there exists one way to remove $\mathbf{k}$ vertices in a given graph $\mathbf{G}$, so that the resulting graph is no longer a connected graph while removing $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{- 1}$ vertices will not, $\mathbf{k}$ is the connectivity of this graph [20]


A Connected Graph G


No longer connected if 3 vertices are removed


Removing 2 vertices does not disconnect the graph

## Connected \& Connectivity

## Connectivity:

If there exists one way to remove $\mathbf{k}$ vertices in a given graph $\mathbf{G}$, so that the resulting graph is no longer a connected graph while removing $\mathbf{k}$ - $\mathbf{1}$ vertices will not, $\mathbf{k}$ is the connectivity of this graph [20]

$\longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}k=3, \text { Graph } \boldsymbol{G} \text { is disconnected } \\ k-1=2, \text { Graph } \boldsymbol{G} \text { is still connected }\end{array}\right.$
Hence, the connectivity on this graph $\mathbf{G}$ is $\mathbf{3}$

A Connected Graph G

## Dominion Theory

- Nodes (Dominions) have an area of effect
- Affect player's behavior
- The gameplay is heavy and concentrated in these areas
- Ranged-based instead of Time-based
- Opt-in next area whenever you want
- There is time and space between high intensity moments
- Transition areas among dominions

[3]


## Why Dominion Theory and Graph Theory?

- What is similar?
- Vertices = Gameplay Areas
- Edges = Transitions
- Graphs = Logical Relationships
- Use the theories as design tools for creating a level layout


3. Methodology

## Graph Theory - Calculating Stability Factor

- From the article -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "How to design a 'Dark-Souls-like' level: } \\
& \text { On topological structures of 'Dark-Souls-like' game levels" }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Stability Factor [4]
- A parameter measuring the logical interrelationship of a level
- Determines if a level is "healthy" enough to be easily memorized
- Ideally, the factor is greater than 0.94


## Graph Theory - Calculating Stability Factor

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form
2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
3. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all nodes in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ of graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is defined as: $\left.\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\mathbf{1}-\frac{n}{\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\prime}}\right)^{\text {w }}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$
4. Calculate $\gamma$ by the following formula:
5. $\gamma_{\infty}=\lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\bar{i}} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{i n}}=\frac{1}{e} \lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{1}{i!} \lambda_{i}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example



A non-linear level in Uncharted 4 Chapter 4

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form
1.a. Identify Dominions (Vertices) and Transitions (Edges)


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form
1.b. Remove leaves, combine chains, and generalize subgraphs


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form

Note - Preserve vertices and edges containing important level elements such as checkpoints, starting points, boss rooms, one-way doors, etc., as much as possible


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

1. Simplify the level map to its simplest form


## Graph Theory - Calculating Stability Factor

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form
2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:

For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such
that all nodes in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{\binom{m}{k}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$

## Calculate $y$ by the following formula:

## Graph Theory - Calculating Stability Factor

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form
2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
3. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all nodes in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ of graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is defined as: $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\mathbf{1}-\frac{n}{\binom{m}{k}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$
4. Calculate $\gamma$ by the following formula:

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ of graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is defined as: $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\mathbf{1}-\frac{n}{\binom{m}{k}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $k=1$, it means that we are trying to remove $\mathbf{1}$ vertex to break the connectedness of the graph $\mathbf{G}$


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$

- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, it means that we are trying to remove $\mathbf{1}$ vertex to break the connectedness of the graph $\mathbf{G}$

If we remove vertex $\mathbf{D}$, we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m = 4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $k=1$, it means that we are trying to remove $\mathbf{1}$ vertex to break the connectedness of the graph $\mathbf{G}$

If we remove vertex $\mathbf{C}_{\text {, }}$ we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ still form a connected graph

$$
\text { Graph } \mathbf{G} \text { with } \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{4}
$$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{2}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $k=1$, it means that we are trying to remove $\mathbf{1}$ vertex to break the connectedness of the graph $\mathbf{G}$

If we remove vertex $\mathbf{B}$, we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, it means that we are trying to remove $\mathbf{1}$ vertex to break the connectedness of the graph $\mathbf{G}$

If we remove vertex $\mathbf{A}$, we have...
Vertices B, C, and D still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m,)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in the resulting graph are still connected $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0})$


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If k=1, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)

$$
\text { Graph } \mathbf{G} \text { with } \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{4}
$$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ i
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$

- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in

- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)
If we remove vertices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{2}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$

- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in

- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)
If we remove vertices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\text {, }}$ we have...
Vertices B and D still form a connected graph

Graph G with $\mathbf{m = 4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ i
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resultina araph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)
If we remove vertices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, we have...
Vertices B and C still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)
If we remove vertices $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$, we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m = 4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{2}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $k=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)
If we remove vertices B and $\mathbf{D}$, we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If k=1, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=2$, same as what we did before, but $\mathbf{2}$ vertices will be removed at once
(Note that a chain is still a connected graph)
If we remove vertices $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, we have...
Vertices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ still form a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m = 4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ i
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If k=1, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $k=2$, same as if $k=1$, all remaining vertices in the resulting graph are still connected $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0})$


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If k=1, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $k=2$, same as if $k=1$, all remaining vertices in the
resulting qraph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=3$, we need to remove $\mathbf{3}$ vertices at once to break the connectedness


## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{\prime}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $k=2$, same as if $k=1$, all remaining vertices in the
resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=3$, we need to remove $\mathbf{3}$ vertices at once to break the connectedness

If we remove vertices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$, we have...
Vertex $\mathbf{D}$ itself is still a connected graph

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{m}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resulting graph are still connected ( $n=0$ )
- If $k=2$, same as if $k=1$, all remaining vertices in the
resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $k=3$, we need to remove $\mathbf{3}$ vertices at once to break the connectedness

Similarly, removing vertices (A, C, D) or (B, C, D) at once won't break the graph's connectedness

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m = 4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{m}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $k=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in
the resulting graph are still connected ( $n=0$ )
- If $k=2$, same as if $k=1$, all remaining vertices in the
resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ )
- If $\mathrm{k}=3$, we need to remove $\mathbf{3}$ vertices at once to break the connectedness

Similarly, removing vertices (A, C, D) or (B, C, D) at once won't break the graph's connectedness

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m = 4}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all vertices in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{k}$ of graph $G$ is
defined as: $\lambda_{k}=1-\frac{n}{(m)^{2}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


- If $\mathrm{k}=1$, no matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices in the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$ )
- If $k=2$, same as if $k=1$, all remaining vertices in the resulting graph are still connected ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$ )
- If $k=3$, the remaining single vertex is still connected. $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0})$
- In this step, we only calculate to $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{3}$

[^0]
## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
i. For a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $n$ ways to remove $k$ vertices such that all vertices
in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ of graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is defined as: $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\mathbf{1}-\frac{n}{\binom{m}{k}}$, where $\binom{m}{k}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$


Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{4}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k=1 \\
k=2 \\
k=3
\end{array} \rightarrow \quad\right. \text { No matter how we remove a vertex, all vertices }
$$

- Hence, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { - } \quad \lambda_{1}=1-\frac{0}{\binom{4}{1}}=1 & (k=1, n=0) \\
-\quad \lambda_{2}=1-\frac{0}{\left(\frac{4}{4}\right)}=1 & (k=2, n=0) \\
\text { - } \quad \lambda_{3}=1-\frac{0}{\binom{4}{3}}=1 & (k=3, n=0)
\end{array}
$$

## Graph Theory - Calculating Stability Factor

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form
2. Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition:
3. For a graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $\boldsymbol{n}$ ways to remove $\boldsymbol{k}$ vertices such that all nodes in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ of graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is defined as: $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\mathbf{1}-\frac{n}{\binom{m}{k}}$, where $\binom{m}{\boldsymbol{k}}$ represents the binomial coefficient that $\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$
4. Calculate $\gamma$ by the following formula:


## Graph Theory - Calculating Stability Factor

1. Simplify the level map to a simplest form

Calculate the Cheeger number according to the following definition For a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $m$ vertices, if there exist $n$ ways to remove $k$ vertices such that all nodes in the resulting subgraph are not connected, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ order Cheeger number $\lambda_{1}$ of granh $G$ is defined as: $\lambda_{r}=1-\frac{n}{n}$ where $(m)$ renresents the binomial coefficient that
3. Calculate $\gamma$ by the following formula:

1. $\gamma_{\infty}=\lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\bar{i}} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{i}}=\frac{1}{e} \lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{1}{i!} \lambda_{i}$

## Stability Factor Calculation - Example

3. Calculate $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ by the following formula:

$$
\gamma_{\infty}=\lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\bar{i}!} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\bar{i}!}}=\frac{1}{e} \lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{1}{\bar{i}!} \lambda_{i}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { - } & \lambda_{1}=1-\frac{0}{\binom{4}{1}}=1 \\
\text { - } & (k=1, n=0) \\
\lambda_{2}=1-\frac{0}{\binom{4}{2}}=1 & (k=2, n=0) \\
\text { - } \lambda_{3}=1-\frac{0}{\binom{4}{3}}=1 & (k=3, n=0) \\
\text { - } & \gamma^{(3)}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\bar{u}} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{i!}}=\frac{3}{5}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \lambda_{3}\right)=1>0.94 .
\end{array}
$$

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{4}$

Factor of 1 indicates that this map's layout has good connectedness for exploration

## 4. Artifact Description \& Map

## Artifact Description

- "Lunaric Parchments"
- The Elder Scrolls V: Skyrim
- Creation Kit: Skyrim
- A fetch quest - gather certain objects

- Story:
- Help investigate a castle under the influence of a dangerous magicka chaos
- Collect 7 magical parchments to resolve the magicka chaos


## Finalize Artifact Outline



- Level Top-down Snapshot


## Finalize Artifact Outline



- Level Top-down Snapshot
- Dominions are decorated with different thematic assets in The Elder Scrolls V: Skyrim
- Miniscaped Definition


## Applying the Methodology

1. Designed a graph with a good stability factor


- If $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$, no matter how we remove a vertex, the resulting graph is still connected
- If k=2, no matter how we remove vertices, the resulting graph is still connected

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}=5$

## Applying the Methodology

1. Designed a graph with a good stability factor

- If $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$, no matter how we remove a vertex, the resulting graph is still connected

- If k=2, no matter how we remove vertices, the resulting graph is still connected
- If $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{3}$, if we remove vertices $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4})$ and $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5})$, the resulting graph will not be a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{5}$

## Applying the Methodology

1. Designed a graph with a good stability factor

- If $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$, no matter how we remove a vertex, the resulting graph is still connected
- If k=2, no matter how we remove vertices, the resulting graph is still connected
- If $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{3}$, if we remove vertices $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4})$ and $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5})$, the resulting graph will not be a connected graph

Graph $\mathbf{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{5}$

## Applying the Methodology

1. Designed a graph with a good stability factor
a. Verified its Stability Factor

Calculate $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\infty}= & \lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{i!} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{i!}}=\frac{1}{e} \lim _{m \rightarrow 3} \frac{1}{i!} \lambda_{i} \\
& \text { - } \lambda_{1}=1-\frac{0}{\binom{5}{1}}=1 \quad(k=1, n=0) \\
& \text { - } \lambda_{2}=1-\frac{0}{\left(\frac{5}{5}\right)}=1 \quad(k=2, n=0) \\
& \text { - } \lambda_{3}=1-\frac{2}{\binom{5}{(5)}}=\frac{4}{5} \quad(k=3, n=2) \\
& \text { - } \gamma^{(3)}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{i!i} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{i!!}}=\frac{3}{5}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \lambda_{3}\right)=0.9636 \\
& \text { - } 0.9636>0.94
\end{aligned}
$$

## Applying the Methodology

2. Expanded the Graph


## Applying the Methodology

3. Detailed the graph to become a level map


- Removed from the Uncharted 4 example?
- Leaves
- Chains
- Subgraphs
- They're structures ensuring the level has enough space for gameplay experiences!


## Applying the Methodology

4. Embedded subgraphs that have a good Stability Factor


## Applying the Methodology

5. Detailed the graph to become a level map


## Applying the Methodology

6. Detailed the graph to become a level map


## Applying the Methodology

7. Blocked out the level Whitebox


## Applying the Methodology

8. Iterated on the structure

- The player cannot see any landmark from interior spaces (4.c ,4.d \& 5.b)
- Messes with player's sense of space and navigation


Finalize Artifact Outline



Dominion 2: Castle wall tower in Imperial exterior theme with snow overlay and contaminating magic crystals


Dominion 2: In-game player perspective


Dominion 1: Castle wall tower in Markarth exterior theme with snow overlay


Dominion 1: Whiterun City decorative theme


Dominion 4: Solitude city exterior theme with magic tower


Dominion 4: In-game player perspective


Dominion 5: Sunken garden in Dwemer ruins exterior theme


Dominion 5: In-game player perspective



Dominion 3: Central courtyard in Labyrinthian exterior theme


Dominion 3: In-game player perspective

## Guiding Players - Quest Objectives



Light Beams: Highlighting quest items

## Guiding Players - Dominion 1



- Dominion 1 leads the player to observation spots looking for other quest items



## Guiding Players - D1

- Used lighting and pickup items to pull the player forward

- Overview of the quest item positions



## Guiding Players - D1

- Used lighting and pickup items to pull the player forward
- Overview of the quest item positions
- Overview of the quest item positions
- Pinched objects toward the correct direction


## Guiding Players - Dominion 2



Dominion 2 provides good spots to look for quest items


## Guiding Players - D2

- Added several spots to observe light beams

- Used light contrast to hint at the flow
- Used stone NPCs to catch players' attention


## Guiding Players - Dominion 3



- Dominion 3 works as a connection point to all the other dominions



## Guiding Players - D3

- NPC statues lead the player
- Light contrast highlights the next quest item

- Strategically placed items and lights leading the player to find a hidden stairway to another dominion


## Guiding Players - Dominion 4



Dominion 4 is one of the most eye-catching landmarks that help player navigate


## Guiding Players - D4

- NPC statues lead the player
- Great stairs with glowing runes lead the player's way
- Framed the handle activating an elevator to the next quest item
- Light contrast catches the player's attention


## Guiding Players - Dominion 5



Dominion 5 is an impressive spot for environmental storytelling


## Guiding Players - D5

- Light contrast highlights a path to a nearby dominion and the next quest item

- Strategically placed loot items to pull player to a good observation spot
- Motion objects catch the player's attention and point to where to go
- Statues look at the quest items, which guides the player


## 5. Survey Process \& Results

## Survey Process

- 17 participants
- Pre-survey = Quantic Foundry Player Motivation Profile quiz
- Post-survey = $\mathbf{1 5}$ quiz questions verifying players' mental mapping abilities
- Mental mapping: ability to recall where a specific place is in a given map



## Survey Results

- Players' correctness in identifying individual dominions
- Players' correctness in identifying dominions overall



## Survey Results



- Data aligns with assumptions
- "Memorable": given a description, an objective, or an image, you can picture how to reach an area
- Green and Red dominions are the most memorable
- Orange dominion is the least memorable
- Reason for issue - altitude, low elevation


## Survey Results

- How well did the player feel about mentally mapping the space?

| How well did the player mentally map the level? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{2}^{11.76}$ |  |  |  | 11.76\% 2 testers |
|  |  |  |  | $17.65 \%$ 3 testers |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29.41 \\ & 5 \text { test } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 29.41\% 5 testers |
| 1-Not Well at All | [ 2 - Not Well | [B- Neutrat | [1-4. Well | E 5-Very Well |

- 70.58\% (12 out of 17 players) felt they did well when mentally mapping the space - $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 1 \%}$ (5 out of $\mathbf{1 7}$ players) felt they did not mentally map the level well


## Survey Results

- How lost did the player feel in the level overall?

- 76.47\% ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ out of 17 players) didn't feel lost in the level - 23.53\% (4 out of 17 players) felt lost in the level


## Survey Results

How lost did the player feel in the level?


- 4 out of 17 players had not played Skyrim before
- The players, who had not played Skyrim before, did not feel lost in the level


## Survey Results

- How enjoyable did the player find this level?

- All 17 players enjoyed the fun of exploration


## Survey Results

- How enjoyable did the player find this level?

- All 17 players enjoyed the fun of exploration
- Including players who felt lost in the level


## Survey Results

- Quantic Foundry Player Motivation Profile - Pre-test Survey
- Discovery Type - Acrobat, Gladiator, Bounty Hunter, Architect, and Bard

| PLAYER SEGMENTS SUMMARY |  |  |  | 絡 QUANTIC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acrobat | Gardener | Slayer | Skirmisher | Gladiator |
| Motto | "Flexing My Reflexes." | "Quiet, Reloxing Tosk Completion. | "Cinematic Mayhem With a Purpose. | "Jumping Into The Fray of Battle." | -Dedicated, hordcore gaming. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Top } \\ & \text { Mot } \end{aligned}$ | Challenge + Discovery | Completion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fantasy + Story }+ \\ & \text { Destruction } \end{aligned}$ | Destruction + Competition | Challenge + Completion + Comm |
| Pop Games | Spelunky, Celeste, Super Metroid, Tetris | Candy Crush, Solitare. Animal Crossing | Firewatch. Uncharted, Tomb Raider | Rust, Call of Duty. Battlefield | Mobile Legends, Destiny, Gears of War |
|  | Ninja | Bounty Hunter | Architect | Bard |  |
| Motto | "A Duel of Speed and Skill." | "High-Octane Solo World Exploration. | "My Empire Begins With This Village. | -Plaving a Part in a Grond Story. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Top } \\ & \text { Mot. } \end{aligned}$ | Competition + Challenge | Destruction + Fantasy | Strategy + Completion | Design + Community + |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ \text { Games } \end{gathered}$ | Street Fighter StarCraft, LoL | Mass Effect Far Cry. Saints Row | Europa Universalis, Civ VI, Banished | The Secret World, FFXIV,LoTRO |  |

## Survey Results

- Quantic Foundry Player Motivation Profile - Segments Summary
- Discovery Type - Acrobat, Gladiator, Bounty Hunter, Architect, and Bard
- Player Type affects mental mapping ability


6. Conclusions

## Conclusion

- Majority of players did not feel lost in this complicated, non-linear level
- The methodology cannot entirely prevent loss of direction, but it can ensure the fun of looking for paths by providing exploration choices
- The ability to mentally map a level's structure is affected by player types
- The methodology ensures fun for the player who can subconsciously memorize the level spaces (Discovery Type)
- Preliminarily, the methodology can help a non-linear level to maintain players' engagement even if they sometimes feel lost


## Lessons Learned

- The height and positioning of landmarks affect player's ability to memorize them
- Ideally, need control groups to prove the methodology's effectiveness further
- Include more participants for each Quantic Foundry Player Motivation Style
- Sufficient Samples
- For future study:
- The height and positioning of landmarks
- Distinctiveness
- Content fitting different types of players
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