ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Anxiety and depression in association with lower urinary tract symptoms: results from the COBaLT study

Laura Zuluaga¹ · Juan Ignacio Caicedo¹ · María Paula Mogollón¹ · Jessica Santander¹ · Alejandra Bravo-Balado¹ · Carlos Gustavo Trujillo¹ · César Diaz Ritter¹ · Martín Rondón² · Mauricio Plata¹

Received: 18 October 2022 / Accepted: 26 February 2023 / Published online: 24 March 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

Purpose Depression and anxiety have been associated with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in several studies. In our population, the prevalence of LUTS is high, consequently, it is essential and an objective of this study, to determine the association between anxiety, depression, and LUTS in a large Hispanic population.

Methods A sub-analysis of a cross-sectional population-based study to estimate LUTS prevalence in the Colombian population was performed (COBaLT study) (Plata et al. in Neurourol Urodyn 38:200–207, 2018). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) was used to evaluate mental health. Logistic regression was carried out to estimate the association of depression and anxiety with different LUTS. Variables that proved statistically significant (p < 0.05) were included in a multivariate model.

Results A total of 1060 individuals were assessed. The prevalence of anxiety in women and men was 17.1% and 6.7%, respectively. Depression in women and men was 20.1% and 9.4%, respectively. An association was found between anxiety and overactive bladder (OAB) without urinary incontinence (OR = 3.7) and moderate or severe LUTS in men (OR = 3.8). In women, anxiety was associated with nocturia (OR = 4.2) and stress urinary incontinence (OR = 2.4). For depression, an association was found between sexual dysfunction (OR = 4.3) and moderate or severe LUTS (OR = 4.0) in men; while in women it was associated with stress urinary incontinence (OR = 2.3), the sensation of incomplete emptying (OR = 1.7) and decreased frequency of sexual activity (OR = 1.8).

Conclusions The associations found are consistent with other reports. It is essential to inquire about possible symptoms related to the mental sphere in the urology consultation to make appropriate referrals and subsequent management.

Keywords Anxiety · Depression · Lower urinary tract symptoms · Nocturia · Urinary incontinence

Introduction

Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) [1] are anatomically related to the urethra, prostate, bladder, pelvic organs, or lower ureter. These are divided into three groups: storage, voiding and postvoiding [2]. LUTS have a high prevalence

☐ Juan Ignacio Caicedo juan.caicedo@fsfb.org.co in the world and represent an important condition to address when talking about quality of life and mental health [3, 4].

In Colombia, the estimated prevalence of at least one LUTS in men and women over 18 years of age is 84%. Likewise, among people with at least one LUTS 13.2% would feel "mostly dissatisfied", "unhappy" or "terrible" about spending the rest of their lives with their current urinary condition [1]. The association between mental health scores and LUTS, anxiety disorders and depressive disorders appear to be triggered by discomfort, functional disability, or lifestyle changes caused by LUTS [4–6]. Studies in other countries conclude that the incidence of anxiety and depression disorders is higher in patients with concomitant LUTS [7].

Depression and anxiety are common conditions in our population [8]. The hospital anxiety and depression scale



Department of Urology, Hospital Universitario Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá and Universidad de Los Andes School of Medicine, Carrera 7 No. 118-09, Unidad Renal, Piso 3, Bogotá, D.C., Colombia

Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, 110111 Bogotá, D.C., Colombia

(HADS) is an objective tool to measure the presence of clinically significant depression and anxiety during the last seven days in patients suffering from any medical condition [9]. Depression and anxiety have been evaluated and correlated in different medical conditions [1, 10–12]. A higher prevalence of depression has been seen in patients with diabetes, arthritis and cardiovascular diseases [13].

In our country, there are no studies establishing the association or relation between LUTS and these mental pathologies. In consequence, our objective was to evaluate the prevalence of anxiety and depression in patients with LUTS and to describe their association in the Hispanic population.

Methods

This is a sub-analysis of a cross-sectional, population-based study conducted in Colombian men and women over 18 years of age to assess LUTS and overactive bladder (OAB) COBaLT [1]. Patients of legal age who had the capacity to answer the questionnaires and give their informed consent were included. Pregnant women or people with active urinary tract infection (UTI) were excluded. LUTS were defined based on the terminology of The Standardization of Terminology of Lower Urinary Tract Function Report [14] and the Joint Report on the Terminology for Female Pelvic Floor Dysfunction in 2010 [15]. A more detailed survey and study design are exposed in the original article [1].

To assess depression and anxiety in these patients, we used the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [9]—Spanish version. Patients were asked to fill out the two subscales of the questionnaire, to assess the presence or absence of anxiety or depression concomitant to LUTS. According to each subscale, a case of anxiety and depression is defined as a final score > 7 points and > 5, respectively. The Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM) < 22 points was considered as sexual dysfunction in men. LUTS severity was classified using the IPSS score.

Descriptive statistics were used to present sociode-mographic characteristics and comorbidities using frequencies for discrete variables and measures of central tendency and dispersion for continuous variables. This analysis was performed using STATA 14 statistical software. Bivariate analysis (logistic regression) was carried out to estimate the association between depression and anxiety in separate models. Variables that proved statistically significant (p < 0.05) were included in a multivariate model for each gender group.

Results

1060 participants were included; half were women and the other were men. Table 1 describes the sociodemographic characteristics of patients with and without depression and anxiety. The average age of women diagnosed with anxiety was 38 ± 16.3 and in women with depression was 41 ± 16.1 . The median age of men with anxiety was 40 ± 16.1 and in men with depression was 42 ± 17.9 . Most of the patients (93.58%) were Hispanic/Caucasian. In relation to mental health, we found that the prevalence of anxiety in women and men was 17.1% and 6.7%, respectively. On the other hand, the prevalence of depression in women and men was 20.1% and 9.4%, respectively.

Regarding LUTS, as seen in Table 2, in the group of women with anxiety, storage symptoms such as urgency (62%), nocturia (61%) and increased urinary frequency (54%) occurred more frequently. In the same way, these storage symptoms were the most prevalent in women with depression, 65%, 66%, and 57%, respectively. Otherwise, more than half of the male patients with anxiety reported storage symptoms like nocturia (72%) and increased urinary frequency (61%). Correspondingly, men with depression also presented these last two symptoms more frequently.

Also, the reporting of moderate and severe LUTS was higher in women and men with > 8 HADS. Furthermore, 83% of the men that suffered from depression also presented sexual dysfunction. Some additional urinary symptoms were analyzed, such as OAB dry type, which was reported in about twice as many men with depression (42% vs 22%) or anxiety (52% vs 22%). In addition, stress urinary incontinence was reported approximately twice as often in female patients with anxiety (23% vs 12%) or depression (26% vs 12%).

The bivariate and multivariate analyses are shown in Table 3. We observe the relationship between depression, and several comorbidities such as high blood pressure (OR = 2.3; 95% CI = 1.2-4.4), active smoker (OR = 2.6; 95% CI = 1.7-5.1) and OSA (OR = 2.4; 95% CI = 1.2-4.9) in women. We also found that women who had stress urinary incontinence had much risk for depression than those who did not (OR = 2.3; 95% CI = 1.2-4.2). In addition, a positive association was observed between the presence of this mental disease and the sensation of incomplete emptying (OR = 1.7; 95% CI = 1.0-2.8) and decreased frequency of sexual activity (OR = 1.8; 95% CI = 1.0-3.3) in women.

In the depression model for men, a significant association was found with OSA (OR = 9.5; 95% CI = 3.0–30.3); likewise, having a family history of prostate cancer was also correlated (OR = 4.2; 95% CI = 3.0–30.3). There was



Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of women and with anxiety or depression

		Women						Men					
Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes No		Anxiety		p value	Depression		p value	Anxiety		p value	Depression		p value
11-6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No	
311-6 314-6 349±16,4 41,4±16,1 43,55±16,6 40,1±16,1 42,4±17.2 41,8±17.9 41,4±16,1 43,55±16,6 40,1±16,1 42,4±17.2 41,8±17.9 41,8±17.9 42,6±4,1 25,7±4,4 40,8±17.9 42,6±4,2 42,9±3.8		n = 91	n = 439		n = 107	n = 423		n = 36	n = 494		n = 50	n = 480	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HADS (median) ^a	3 [1–6]			5 [3–17]			2 [0-4]			4 [4–5]		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age (Mean) ^b	$38,4 \pm 16,3$	43.9 ± 16.4		$41,4 \pm 16,1$	$43,25 \pm 16,6$		$40,1 \pm 16,1$	$42,4 \pm 17,2$		41.8 ± 17.9	42.3 ± 17.1	
icam 8 (8.5.7) 419 (95.4) 91 (85.5) 402 (95.6) 32 (88.8) 464 (94) 65.01 2 (2.1.1) 3 (0.6.5) 6 (5.6.0) 19 (4.4) 3 (8.5.) 20 (4.0.9) 2 (4.0.9) 2 (2.1.1) 3 (0.6.1) 6 (5.6.0) 19 (4.4) 3 (8.8.) 20 (4.0.9) 2 (4.0.9) 2 (2.1.1) 3 (0.0.1) 6 (5.6.0) 19 (4.4) 3 (8.8.) 20 (4.0.9) 9 (1.8.) 3 (2.8.) 20 (4.0.9) 9 (1.8.) 9 (1.8.) 9 (1.8.) 9 (1.8.) 9 (1.8.) 9 (2.0.9) 2 (2.1.1) 3 (0.0.1) 6 (2.6.7.9) 206 (4.8.6) 21 (38.3) 240 (48.5) 20 (4.0.9) 9 (1.8.1) 11 (1.0.9) 18 (4.1.1) 9 (1.8.2) 20 (4.1.2) 9 (1.8.2) 20 (4.8.2)	BMI (Mean) ^b	25.6 ± 4.7	25.5 ± 4.3		24.8 ± 4.1	25.7 ± 4.4		26.6 ± 4.9	24.9 ± 3.8		25.3 ± 4.7	25.1 ± 3.8	
ican 8 (8.7) 17 (3.8) 6 (5.56) 19 (4.4) 3 (8.8) 464 (94) 45 (40) 45 (90) (10.4) (10.4) (10.4) 3 (0.5) 19 (4.4) 3 (0.4) 3 (0.5) 19 (4.4) 3 (0.4) 3 (0.5) 19 (4.4) 3 (0.4) 3 (0.5) 19 (4.4) 3 (0.5	Race (<i>n</i> ,%)			0.032			0.486			0.501			0.123
ican 8 (8.7) 17 (3.8) 6 (5.56) 19 (4.4) 3 (8.3) 20 (4.0) 2 (4.4) 2 (4.4) 2 (2.1) 3 (0.6) 3 (2.8) 2 (0.44) 2 (1.8) 3 (2.8) 2 (0.44) 3 (0.249 2 (1.8) 2 (1.8) 3 (2.8) 3 (2.8) 2 (0.44) 3 (0.249 2 (1.8) 2 (1.8) 3 (2.8)	Hispanic/caucasian	76 (83,5)	419 (95,4)		91 (85)	402 (95,0)		32 (88,8)	464 (94)		45 (90)	452 (94,1)	
2 (2.1) 3 (0.6) 3 (2.8) 2 (0.4) 1 (2.7) 9 (1.8) 3 (5.7) 55 (60.4) 214 (48.7) 62 (57.9) 206 (48.6) 21 (58.3) 240 (48.5) 20 (38.3) 35 (60.4) 214 (48.7) 41 (38.3) 201 (47.5) 14 (38.8) 229 (46.3) 20 (40.0) 1 (1,09) 18 (4.1) 43.7) 16 (3.7) 14 (38.8) 201 (47.5) 25 (5.0) 20 (40.0) nmarried 51 (55.2) 223 (50.7) 43.7) 145 (34.2) 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 20 (40.0) nmarried 51 (55.2) 223 (50.7) 43.7) 145 (34.2) 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 20 (40.0) nmarried 51 (55.2) 223 (50.7) 43.7 145 (34.2) 15 (41.6) 100 (38.4) 20 (40.0) nd 44,390 33 (7.5) 9 (8.4) 29 (6.8) 20 (4.5) 19 (3.8) 4 (8) nd 6 (5.6) 39 (0.2) 13 (4.5) 13 (4.2) 13 (4.5) 13 (4.8) nd 5 (5.8) 16 (3.6) 3	Afro—Latin American	8 (8,7)	17 (3,8)		6 (5,6)	19 (4,4)		3 (8,3)	20 (4,0)		2 (4)	21 (4,3)	
55 (60,4) 214 (48,7) 62 (57,9) 206 (48,6) 71 (11,09) 18 (41,1) 142 (32,2) 41 (48,7) 16 (37,9) 206 (48,6) 71 (11,09) 18 (41,1) 18 (41,1) 10.34 4 (3.7) 16 (3.8) 16 (3.	Indigenous	2 (2,1)	3 (0,6)		3 (2,8)	2 (0,4)		1 (2,7)	9 (1,8)		3 (5,7)	7 (1,4)	
$ 55 (60.4) 214 (48.7) 62 (57.9) 206 (48.6) 21 (58.3) 240 (48.5) 29 (64.5) 20 (64.8) \\ 35 (38.4) 207 (47.2) 41 (38.3) 201 (47.5) 16 (3.7) 16 (3.8) 29 (4.3) 19 (3.8) 201 (4.9) 19 (3.8) 10 (3.8) 11 (12.0) 11 (12.0) 10 (13.2) 10 (13$	SES $(n,\%)$			0.015			0.249			0.342			0.473
35 (38.4) 207 (47.2) 41 (38.3) 201 (47.5) 14 (38.8) 229 (46.3) 20 (40.9) 1 (1,09) 18 (4.1) 0.343 4 (3.7) 16 (3.7) 1 (2.7) 25 (5.0) 1 (2.7) 1 (1,09) 18 (4.1) 0.343 20 (27.1) 145 (34.2) 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 20 (40.2) 1 (35.2) 22 (35.2) 23 (52.2) 23 (52.2) 23 (52.2) 19 (38.4) 25 (50.2) 1 (4.39) 41 (9.3) 20 (27.1) 145 (34.2) 16 (5.6) 39 (9.2) 19 (52.7) 27 (5.5) 19 (8.8) 2 (4.39) 41 (9.3) 20 (27.1) 145 (34.2) 0.618 0.618 0.618 0.618 0.618 2 (5.5) 16 (3.6) 39 (9.2) 0.618 0.6	Low	55 (60,4)	214 (48,7)		62 (57,9)	206 (48,6)		21 (58,3)	240 (48,5)		29 (58)	233 (48,5)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Middle	35 (38,4)	207 (47,2)		41 (38,3)	201 (47,5)		14 (38,8)	229 (46,3)		20 (40)	222 (46,2)	
r/married 51 (35.1) 142 (32.3) 0.343 0.804 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 25 (50) r/married 51 (35.2) 223 (50.7) 63 (38.8) 210 (49.6) 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 25 (50) 4 (4.39) 33 (7.5) 9 (8.4) 29 (6.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (38.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (38.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (38.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (38.8) 4 (8) 4 (4.39) 33 (7.5) 9 (8.4) 29 (6.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (3.8) 4 (8) 9 (8) 4 (4.39) 4 (4.39) 4 (1 (9.3)) 6 (5.6) 39 (9.2) 0 12 (2.4) 4 (8) 9 (8) 10 (3.8) <td>High</td> <td>1 (1,09)</td> <td>18 (4,1)</td> <td></td> <td>4 (3,7)</td> <td>16 (3,7)</td> <td></td> <td>1 (2,7)</td> <td>25 (5,0)</td> <td></td> <td>1 (2)</td> <td>25 (5,2)</td> <td></td>	High	1 (1,09)	18 (4,1)		4 (3,7)	16 (3,7)		1 (2,7)	25 (5,0)		1 (2)	25 (5,2)	
32 (35.1) 142 (32.3) 29 (27.1) 145 (34.2) 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 25 (50) n partner/married 51 (55.2) 223 (50.7) 63 (38.8) 210 (49.6) 15 (41.6) 190 (38.4) 25 (50) n partner/married 51 (55.2) 223 (50.7) 6 (5.6) 39 (9.2) 19 (52.7) 273 (55.2) 19 (8.8) nower 4 (4,39) 31 (7.5) 9 (8.4) 29 (6.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (3.8) 4 (8) shower 4 (4,39) 41 (9.3) 6 (5.6) 39 (9.2) 0 12 (2.4) 19 (8.8) shower 4 (4,39) 0.776 8 (7.4) 13 (3.0) 0 12 (2.4) 2 (4) gh School 5 (5.5) 16 (3.6) 8 (7.4) 13 (3.0) 2 (4.3) 3 (6.3) 3 (6.3) gh School 5 (5.5) 16 (3.6) 73 (88.2) 2 (4.3) 3 (6.3) 3 (6.3) 3 (6.3) pecknical 1 (1,10) 3 (6.6) 3 (6.4) 2 (2.2) 3 (8.9) 0.000 2 (3.2) 1 (4.2) 1 (1.2) </td <td>Marital status $(n,\%)$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.343</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.804</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.443</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.017</td>	Marital status $(n,\%)$			0.343			0.804			0.443			0.017
partmer/married 51 (55.2) 223 (50.7) 63 (58.8) 210 (49.6) 19 (52.7) 273 (55.2) 19 (8) n partmer/married 4 (4.39) 33 (7.5) 9 (8.4) 29 (6.8) 2 (5.5) 19 (3.8) 4 (8) nower 4 (4.39) 41 (9.3) 6 (5.6) 39 (9.2) 0 12 (2.4) 4 (8) .%) 5 (5.5) 16 (3.6) 8 (7.4) 13 (3.0) 1 (2.7) 18 (3.6) 2 (4) gh School 59 (64.8) 297 (67.6) 73 (82.2) 283 (66.9) 27 (75) 328 (66.3) 3 (6) gh School 26 (28.5) 123 (28.0) 26 (24.2) 123 (29.0) 27 (75) 328 (66.3) 3 (6) persume (n.%) 20 (21.9) 86 (19.5) 0.44 28 (24.1) 13 (2.0) 0 13 (2.6) 0 pressume (n.%) 20 (21.9) 86 (19.5) 0.04 20 (24.2) 123 (29.0) 0 13 (4.2) 10 (20.0) pressume (n.%) 20 (21.9) 86 (19.5) 0.04 28 (25.2) 13 (4.2)	Single	32 (35,1)	142 (32,3)		29 (27,1)	145 (34,2)		15 (41,6)	190 (38,4)		25 (50)	180 (37,5)	
tower $4 (4,39)$ $33 (7,5)$ $9 (8,4)$ $29 (6,8)$ $2 (5,5)$ $19 (3,8)$ $4 (8)$ tower $4 (4,39)$ $41 (9,3)$ $6 (5,6)$ $39 (9,2)$ 0 $12 (2,4)$ $2 (4)$ $(5,6)$ $41 (9,3)$ $6 (5,6)$ $39 (9,2)$ 0 $12 (2,4)$ $2 (4)$ $(5,6)$ $41 (9,3)$ $6 (5,6)$ $39 (9,2)$ 0 $12 (2,4)$ $2 (4)$ gh School $59 (64,8)$ $297 (67,6)$ $73 (68,2)$ $27 (75)$ $328 (66,3)$ $3 (6,3)$ gh School $59 (64,8)$ $297 (67,6)$ $73 (68,2)$ $27 (75)$ $328 (66,3)$ $34 (68)$ Technical $26 (28,5)$ $123 (29,0)$ $27 (75)$ $328 (66,3)$ $34 (68)$ Technical $26 (28,5)$ $26 (24,2)$ $123 (29,0)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ $32 (75,3)$ <td>Living with partner/married</td> <td>51 (55,2)</td> <td>223 (50,7)</td> <td></td> <td>63 (58,8)</td> <td>210 (49,6)</td> <td></td> <td>19 (52,7)</td> <td>273 (55,2)</td> <td></td> <td>19 (8)</td> <td>273 (56,8)</td> <td></td>	Living with partner/married	51 (55,2)	223 (50,7)		63 (58,8)	210 (49,6)		19 (52,7)	273 (55,2)		19 (8)	273 (56,8)	
(%) 100wer 4 (4,39) 41 (9,3) 6 (5,6) 39 (9,2) 0.618 2 (4) 2 (4) (%) 5 (5,5) 16 (3,6) 8 (7,4) 13 (3,0) 1 (2,7) 18 (3,6) 3 (6) gh School 59 (64,8) 297 (67,6) 73 (68,2) 283 (66,9) 27 (75) 328 (66,3) 3 (6) Technical 26 (28,5) 123 (28,0) 26 (24,2) 123 (29,0) 8 (22,2) 135 (27,3) 3 (68) Technical 26 (28,5) 123 (28,0) 26 (24,2) 123 (29,0) 8 (22,2) 135 (27,3) 3 (68) Technical 26 (28,5) 123 (29,0) 4 (0,9) 0 13 (2,6) 3 (68) Technical 26 (21,9) 86 (19,5) 0.446 28 (26,1) 78 (18,4) 0.036 8 (22,2) 135 (27,3) 13 (26) Technical 18 (19,7) 42 (9,5) 0.003 22 (20,5) 38 (8,9) 0.000 25,5) 21 (4,2) 0.772 24) Inoking (n,%) 20 (21,9) 40 (9,4) 0.001 <td< td=""><td>Divorced</td><td>4 (4,39)</td><td>33 (7,5)</td><td></td><td>9 (8,4)</td><td>29 (6,8)</td><td></td><td>2 (5,5)</td><td>19 (3,8)</td><td></td><td>4 (8)</td><td>15 (3,1)</td><td></td></td<>	Divorced	4 (4,39)	33 (7,5)		9 (8,4)	29 (6,8)		2 (5,5)	19 (3,8)		4 (8)	15 (3,1)	
% 0.776 0.618 0.618 0.537 gh School 5 (5.5) 16 (3.6) 8 (7.4) 13 (3.0) 1 (2.7) 18 (3.6) 3 (6) gh School 59 (64.8) 297 (67.6) 73 (68.2) 283 (66.9) 27 (75) 328 (66.3) 3 (68) Technical 26 (28.5) 123 (28.0) 26 (24.2) 123 (29.0) 8 (22.2) 135 (27.3) 3 (68) te 1 (1.0) 3 (0.6) 0 4 (0.9) 0 13 (2.6) 0 pressure (n,%) 20 (21.9) 86 (19.5) 0.446 28 (25.1) 78 (18.4) 0.036 8 (22.2) 65 (13.1) 0.177 10 (20) pressure (n,%) 20 (21.9) 86 (19.5) 0.003 22 (20.5) 40 (9.4) 0.001 7 (19.4) 29 (5.8) 0.003 21 (4) sleep apnea (n,%) 22 (24.1) 40 (9.1) 0.000 22 (20.5) 40 (9.4) 0.001 7 (19.4) 29 (5.8) 0.003 21 (4) scd 56 (61.5) 335 (76.3) 0.070	Widow/widower	4 (4,39)	41 (9,3)		6 (5,6)	39 (9,2)		0	12 (2,4)		2 (4)	12 (2,5)	
gh School 5 (5,5) 16 (3,6) 8 (7,4) 13 (3,0) 1 (2,7) 18 (3,6) 3 (6) gh School 59 (64,8) 297 (67,6) 73 (68,2) 283 (66,9) 27 (75) 328 (66,3) 3 (6) Technical 26 (28,5) 123 (28,0) 26 (24,2) 123 (29,0) 8 (22,2) 135 (27,3) 3 (68) pressure (n,%) 20 (21,9) 86 (19,5) 0.446 28 (26,1) 78 (18,4) 0.036 8 (22,2) 65 (13,1) 0.177 10 (20) pressure (n,%) 20 (21,9) 86 (19,5) 0.446 28 (26,1) 78 (18,4) 0.036 8 (22,2) 65 (13,1) 0.177 10 (20) steep apnea (n,%) 22 (24,1) 40 (9,4) 0.001 7 (19,4) 29 (5,8) 0.003 21 (4,2) noking (n,%) 335 (76,3) 0.029 65 (60,7) 326 (76,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.030 21 (42) noker 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 32 (16,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.039 17 (34	Education $(n,\%)$			0.776			0.618			0.537			0.725
gh School 59 (64,8) 297 (67,6) 73 (68,2) 283 (66,9) 27 (75) 328 (66,3) 34 (68) 34 (69) 34 (69,5) 34 (69,5) 34 (69,4) 34	None	5 (5,5)	16 (3,6)		8 (7,4)	13 (3,0)		1 (2,7)	18 (3,6)		3 (6)	16 (3,3)	
Technical $26 (28.5)$ $123 (28.0)$ $26 (24.2)$ $123 (29.0)$ $8 (22.2)$ $135 (27.3)$ $13 (26)$ $13 (26)$ te $1 (1,0)$ $3 (0,6)$ 0 $4 (0,9)$ 0 $4 (0,9)$ 0 0 $13 (2,6)$ 0 0 $13 (2,6)$ 0 0 0 0 0.000 0.0	Primary/High School	59 (64,8)	297 (67,6)		73 (68,2)	283 (66,9)		27 (75)	328 (66,3)		34 (68)	321 (66,8)	
pressure $(n,\%)$ $20(21,9)$ $86(19,5)$ 0.446 $28(26,1)$ $78(18,4)$ 0.036 $8(22,2)$ $65(13,1)$ 0.177 $10(20)$ $18(19,7)$ $42(9,5)$ 0.003 $22(20,5)$ $38(8,9)$ 0.000 $2(5,5)$ $21(4,2)$ 0.772 $2(4)$ noking $(n,\%)$ see a panea $(n,\%)$ $35(76,3)$ 0.029 $65(60,7)$ $326(76,5)$ 0.010 $12(33,3)$ $245(49,5)$ 0.030 $21(42)$ noker $20(21,9)$ $67(15,2)$ 0.070 $19(17,7)$ $68(16)$ 0.502 $14(38,8)$ $149(30,1)$ 0.399 $17(34)$ ker $11(12,0)$ $39(8,8)$ 0.263 $18(16,8)$ $32(75)$ 0.002 $12(33,3)$ $98(19,8)$ 0.088 $15(30,6)$	University/Technical	26 (28,5)	123 (28,0)		26 (24,2)	123 (29,0)		8 (22,2)	135 (27,3)		13 (26)	130 (27,0)	
pressure $(n,\%)$ $20(21,9)$ $86(19,5)$ 0.446 $28(26,1)$ $78(18,4)$ 0.036 $8(22,2)$ $65(13,1)$ 0.177 $10(20)$ sleep apnea $(n,\%)$ $22(24,1)$ $42(9,5)$ 0.000 $22(20,5)$ $38(8,9)$ 0.000 $2(5,5)$ $21(4,2)$ 0.772 $2(4)$ noking $(n,\%)$ sed $56(61,5)$ $335(76,3)$ 0.029 $65(60,7)$ $326(76,5)$ 0.010 $12(33,3)$ $245(49,5)$ 0.030 $21(42)$ hoker $20(21,9)$ $67(15,2)$ 0.070 $19(17,7)$ $68(16)$ 0.502 $14(38,8)$ $149(30,1)$ 0.399 $17(34)$ ker $11(12,0)$ $39(8,8)$ 0.263 $18(16,8)$ $32(7,5)$ 0.002 $12(33,3)$ $98(19,8)$ 0.088 $15(30,6)$	Postgraduate	1 (1,0)	3 (0,6)		0	4 (0,9)		0	13 (2,6)		0	13 (2,7)	
20 (21,9) 86 (19,5) 0.446 28 (26,1) 78 (18,4) 0.036 8 (22,2) 65 (13,1) 0.177 10 (20) 18 (19,7) 42 (9,5) 0.003 22 (20,5) 38 (8,9) 0.000 2 (5,5) 21 (4,2) 0.772 2 (4) 22 (24,1) 40 (9,1) 0.000 22 (20,5) 38 (8,9) 0.001 7 (19,4) 29 (5,8) 0.003 9 (18) 56 (61,5) 335 (76,3) 0.029 65 (60,7) 326 (76,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.030 21 (42) 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 0.070 19 (17,7) 68 (16) 0.502 14 (38,8) 149 (30,1) 0.399 17 (34) 11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	Comorbities												
18 (19,7) 42 (9,5) 0.003 22 (20,5) 38 (8,9) 0.000 2 (5,5) 21 (4,2) 0.772 2 (4) 22 (24,1) 40 (9,1) 0.000 22 (20,5) 40 (9,4) 0.001 7 (19,4) 29 (5,8) 0.003 9 (18) 56 (61,5) 335 (76,3) 0.029 65 (60,7) 326 (76,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.030 21 (42) 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 0.070 19 (17,7) 68 (16) 0.502 14 (38,8) 149 (30,1) 0.399 17 (34) 11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	High blood pressure $(n,\%)$	20 (21,9)	86 (19,5)	0.446	28 (26,1)	78 (18,4)	0.036	8 (22,2)	65 (13,1)	0.177	10 (20)	63 (13,1)	0.257
22 (24,1) 40 (9,1) 0.000 22 (20,5) 40 (9,4) 0.001 7 (19,4) 29 (5,8) 0.003 9 (18) 56 (61,5) 335 (76,3) 0.029 65 (60,7) 326 (76,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.030 21 (42) 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 0.070 19 (17,7) 68 (16) 0.502 14 (38,8) 149 (30,1) 0.399 17 (34) 11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	IBS $(n,\%)$	18 (19,7)	42 (9,5)	0.003	22 (20,5)	38 (8,9)	0.000	2 (5,5)	21 (4,2)	0.772	2 (4)	21 (4,3)	0.831
56 (61,5) 335 (76,3) 0.029 65 (60,7) 326 (76,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.030 21 (42) 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 0.070 19 (17,7) 68 (16) 0.502 14 (38,8) 149 (30,1) 0.399 17 (34) 11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	Obstructive sleep apnea $(n,\%)$	22 (24,1)	40 (9,1)	0.000	22 (20,5)	40 (9,4)	0.001	7 (19,4)	29 (5,8)	0.003	9 (18)	27 (5,6)	0.002
56 (61,5) 335 (76,3) 0.029 65 (60,7) 326 (76,5) 0.010 12 (33,3) 245 (49,5) 0.030 21 (42) er 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 0.070 19 (17,7) 68 (16) 0.502 14 (38,8) 149 (30,1) 0.399 17 (34) 11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	Cigarette smoking $(n,\%)$												
er 20 (21,9) 67 (15,2) 0.070 19 (17,7) 68 (16) 0.502 14 (38,8) 149 (30,1) 0.399 17 (34) 11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	Never smoked	56 (61,5)	335 (76,3)	0.029	65 (60,7)	326 (76,5)	0.010	12 (33,3)	245 (49,5)	0.030	21 (42)	236 (49,1)	0.173
11 (12,0) 39 (8,8) 0.263 18 (16,8) 32 (7,5) 0.002 12 (33,3) 98 (19,8) 0.088 15 (30,6)	Previous smoker	20 (21,9)	67 (15,2)	0.070	19 (17,7)	68 (16)	0.502	14 (38,8)	149 (30,1)	0.399	17 (34)	146 (30,4)	0.826
	Active smoker	11 (12,0)	39 (8,8)	0.263	18 (16,8)	32 (7,5)	0.002	12 (33,3)	98 (19,8)	0.088	15 (30,6)	95 (19,7)	0.153

BMI body mass index, SES socioeconomic status, IBS irritable bowel syndrome

 $^{^{}b}$ Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. HADS scores divided by depression and anxiety



^aData presented as Median and IQR

Table 2 Prevalence of LUTS and sexual function in women and with anxiety or depression

	Women						Men					
	Anxiety	No anxiety	p value	Depression	No depression	p value	Anxiety	No anxiety	p value	Depression	No depression	p value
	n = 91	n = 439		n = 107	n = 423		n = 36	n = 494		n = 50	n = 480	
Lower tract urinary symptoms												
Incomplete voiding $(n,\%)$	43 (47,2)	169 (38,4)	0.05	56 (52,3)	156 (36,8)	0.001	17 (47,2)	139 (28,1)	0.032	23 (46)	133 (27,7)	0.019
Intermittency $(n,\%)$	21 (23,0)	80 (18,2)	0.148	30 (28,0)	71 (16,7)	0.034	10 (27,7)	77 (15,5)	0.043	15 (30)	72 (15)	0.001
Weak stream $(n,\%)$	25 (27,4)	92 (20,9)	0.187	24 (22,4)	93 (21,9)	0.003	17 (47,2)	89 (18,0)	0.087	22 (44)	84 (17,5)	0.014
Straining $(n,\%)$	10 (10,9)	34 (7,7)	0.027	14 (13,0)	30 (7,0)	0.002	8 (22,2)	54 (10,9)	0.089	17 (34)	45 (9,3)	0.323
Frequency $(n,\%)$	50 (54,9)	217 (49,4)	0.101	61 (57,0)	206 (48,6)	0.694	22 (61,1)	202 (40,8)	0	34 (68)	190 (39,5)	0
Urgency $(n,\%)$	57 (62,6)	233 (53,0)	0.238	70 (65,4)	220 (52,0)	0.027	19 (52,7)	178 (36,0)	0.063	23 (46)	174 (34,8)	0
Nocturia $(n,\%)$	56 (61,5)	279 (63,5)	908.0	71 (66,3)	264 (62,4)	0.136	26 (72,2)	272 (55,0)	0.116	34 (68)	264 (55)	0.22
SHIM $(n,\%)$												
None (SHIM >22)	ı	ı		ı	I		11 (30,5)	213 (43,1)	0.056	7 (14)	217 (45,2)	0.056
Sexual dysfunction (SHIM<22)	ı	I		I	1		22 (61,1)	220 (44,5)	0.547	35 (70)	207 (43,1)	0.547
Sexual frequency $(n,\%)$												
Never /almost never	39 (42,8)	187 (42,5)	0.981	39 (36,4)	187 (44,2)	0.148	8 (22,2)	93 (18,8)	0.431	14 (28)	86 (17,9)	0.064
< 1 time per month	3 (3,2)	20 (4,5)	0.596	4 (3,7)	20 (4,7)	0.743	1 (2,7)	12 (2,4)	0.941	0	15 (3,1)	0.224
A few times a week	43 (47,2)	220 (50,1)	0.638	58 (54,2)	206 (48,6)	0.57	23 (63,8)	369 (74,6)	0.108	34 (68)	357 (74,3)	0.275
Every day	6 (6,5)	12 (2,7)	0.049	9 (8,4)	10 (2,3)	900.0	4 (11,1)	20 (4,0)	0.139	2 (4)	22 (4,5)	0.781
Severity of LUTS $(n,\%)$												
None	7 (7,6)	51 (11,6)	0.344	5 (4,6)	50 (11,8)	0.030	4 (11,1)	107 (21,6)	0.101	5 (10)	106 (22,0)	0.03
Mild	54 (59,3)	310 (70,6)	0.040	65 (60,7)	302 (71,3)	0.044	21 (58,3)	336 (68,0)	0.351	32 (64)	325 (67,7)	0.829
Moderate	27 (29,6)	73 (16,6)	0.007	33 (30,8)	67 (15,8)	0.001	8 (22,2)	44 (8,9)	0.016	9 (18)	43 (8,9)	0.064
Severe	3 (3,2)	5 (1,1)	0.105	4 (3,7)	4 (0,9)	0.026	3 (8,3)	7 (1,4)	0.005	4 (8)	6 (1,25)	0.001
OAB dry type $(n,\%)$	43 (47,2)	165 (37,5)	0.033	86 (80,37)	153 (36,1)	0.000	19 (52,7)	109 (22,0)	0.000	21 (42)	107 (22,2)	900.0
OAB wet type $(n,\%)$	19 (20,8)	70 (15,9)	0.168	29 (27,1)	60 (14,1)	0.000	5 (13,8)	28 (5,6)	990.0	5 (10)	28 (5,8)	0.308
OAB + Nocturia	33 (36,2)	112 (25,5)	0.016	41 (38,3)	104 (24,5)	0.001	13 (36,1)	78 (15,7)	0.004	16 (32)	75 (15,6)	0.008
Urge urinary incontinence $(n,\%)$	26 (28,5)	94 (21,4)	0.077	37 (34,5)	83 (19,6)	0.000	6 (16,6)	40 (8,0)	0.106	5 (10)	41 (8,5)	0.837
Stress urinary incontinence $(n,\%)$	21 (23,0)	56 (12,7)	0.005	26 (24,2)	51 (12,0)	0.000	3 (8,3)	13 (2,6)	0.068	3 (6)	13 (2,7)	0.236

LUTS lower tract urinary symptoms, OAB overactive bladder



 Table 3
 Bivariate and multivariate analysis

		OR	95% CI
Bivariate analysis in men and women with depression			
Women			
Unemployed		2.82	1.2-6.08
High blood pressure		2.39	1.27-4.48
Active smoker		2.66	1.37-5.17
Obstructive sleep apnea		2.48	1.25-4.94
Stress urinary incontinence		2.31	1.25-4.25
Incomplete voiding		1.72	1.05-2.84
Sexual frequency (never or almost never)		1.8	1.02-3.31
Men			
Obstructive sleep apnea		9.57	3.01-30.3
Family history of CaP		4.2	3-30.3
Sexual dysfunction (SHIM <22)		4.37	1.5-12.2
LUTS (moderate or severe in IPSS)		4.09	1.6-10.1
Bivariate analysis in men and women with anxiety			
Women			
High blood pressure		2.24	1.03-4.89
Obstructive sleep apnea		4.35	2.15-8.8
Stress urinary incontinence		4.33	1.29-13.08
OAB + nocturia		2.44	1.22-4.88
IBS		2.7	1.26-5.77
Men			
Obstructive sleep apnea		6.2	1.89-20.22
Active smoker		4.53	1.7-11.9
Obesity		6.32	1.8-21.6
OAB dry type		3.83	1.46-10
LUTS (moderate or severe in IPSS)		3.3	0.97-11.1
	AOR	95% CI	p value
Multivariate analysis in men and women with depression			
Women			
Stress urinary incontinence	2.3	1.2–4.2	0.007
Incomplete voiding	1.7	1.0-2.8	0.03
Sexual frequency (never or almost never)	1.8	1.0–3.3	0.03
Men			
Sexual dysfunction (SHIM <22)	4.3	1.5–12.2	0.005
LUTS (moderate or severe in IPSS)	4.0	1.6–10.1	0.002
Multivariate analysis in men and women with anxiety			
Women			
Stress urinary incontinence	2.4	1.2–4.8	0.01
OAB + nocturia	4.2	1.2–13.8	0.01
Men			
OAB dry type	3.7	1.4–9.9	0.07
LUTS (moderate or severe in IPSS)	3.8	1–13.6	0.03

CaP prostate cancer, LUTS Lower tract urinary symptoms, OR Odds Ratio, OAB Overactive bladder, IBS irritable bowel syndrome, AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio

also a connection between this mental disease and sexual dysfunction (OR = 4.3; 95% CI = 1.5–12.2) or moderate or severe LUTS (OR = 4.0; 95% CI = 1.6–10.1).

On the other hand, the anxiety model showed a relationship with high blood pressure (OR = 2.24; 95% CI = 1.0–4.9), OSA (AOR = 4.3; 95% CI = 2.1–8.8) and



irritable bowel syndrome (AOR = 2.7; 95% CI = 1.2–5.7) and some LUTS such as, stress urinary incontinence (AOR = 4.3; 95% CI = 1.2–13.0) and overactive bladder (OAB) + nocturia (OR = 2.4; 95% CI = 1.2–4.8) in women.

In men, a positive association with anxiety was observed with OSA (OR = 6.2; 95% CI = 1.8–20.2). Furthermore, we found a correlation between active smoker (OR = 4.5; 95% CI = 1.7–11.9) and obesity (OR = 6.3; 95% CI = 1.8–21.6). OAB dry type or OAB without incontinence (OR = 3.8; 95% CI = 1.4–10 p = 0.006) and moderate or severe LUTS (AOR = 3.3; 95% CI = 1.0–13.6 p = 0.05) have an important association with anxiety also.

Finally, the multivariate analysis showed a statistically significant association between the variables that described urinary symptoms and mental illnesses such as depression and anxiety as shown in Table 3.

Discussion

Although the relationship between depression and anxiety with urinary tract symptoms has gained interest in recent years, a study that describes this association did not exist in the Hispanic population. In our study, both the prevalence of anxiety and depression were higher in women than in men. These results behave similarly to those reported in the Colombian population, where the prevalence of these mental illnesses is higher in females than males; in 2016 the prevalence in men was 6.3% for depression and 2.9% for anxiety, and in women, the prevalence was 7.1% and 4.9%, respectively [8]. We found that the prevalence of depression and anxiety was significantly higher in both genders when LUTS were present concomitantly. In recent years, interprofessional practice for patient care has become imperative since it allows better care for patients and their families, reduces costs, and improves time use [16]. Therefore, this study also seeks to reinforce the idea of providing multidisciplinary care to urological and psychiatric patients, by demonstrating the relationship between the comorbidities and the urinary symptoms that patients may suffer with psychiatric diseases.

A secondary analysis of the Epidemiology of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (EpiLUTS) found that men with mixed urinary incontinence followed by those with urgency urinary incontinence had the highest rates of anxiety and depression. In women anxiety and depression was highest in stress urinary incontinence and mixed urinary incontinence [17]. In the same way, our study found an association between LUTS and depression or anxiety. This agrees with studies such as the one published in 2017 using the Asian national database where a bidirectional relationship was found (depression HR = 2.03 95% CI = 1.76–2.33 and anxiety HR = 2.12 95% CI = 1.95–2.30) [17]. This is also closely related to our results since urinary incontinence (specifically

stress urinary incontinence) had a positive association with the presence of both anxiety and depression in women; and in men, a positive relationship was found between symptoms such as incomplete voiding and the risk of depression. Furthermore, a cross-sectional study with 8284 participants found stress urinary incontinence as a predictor of anxiety and depression in men and women and incomplete voiding only in women [18].

Based on the logistic regression result, in our study, we observed that male patients who presented moderate to severe LUTS tended to be diagnosed three times more with anxiety or depression. This correlates to a study that included 2890 men aged 40 years or older, who reported a higher risk of developing symptoms of severe depression in patients who reported a greater presence of LUTS (AOR 3.09 for 1 symptom and AOR 8.06 for 2 or more symptoms, p < 0.0001) [19]. Also, a longitudinal study published in 2019 concluded that patients with clinically significant LUTS have a 1.8 higher risk of developing depression [20]. Alike, an Asian male population cohort study found that depression was strongly associated with LUTS, and the increasing severity of depression was significantly associated with an increasing trend of LUTS [21].

In addition, the high risk of depression we found in men with sexual dysfunction is compelling. Atlantis et al. in their systematic review and meta-analysis, found a bidirectional relationship between these two pathologies, they reported that sexual dysfunction increases the odds of depression by 130–210% (OR = 2.71; 95% CI = 1.93–3.79, I-squared = 41.2%, p = 0.130) [22]. This association is explained in most cases by social isolation, performance anxiety, and sexual rejection [22]. As a result of this relationship, Brotto et al. proposed the evaluation of depressive symptoms in patients with sexual dysfunction as a grade-A recommendation [23].

Our study found a significant statistical association between multiple LUTS variables and the development of depression or anxiety in our population. We observed that women with stress urinary incontinence have a major risk of developing anxiety and depression, and women with OAB+nocturia have three times the risk of developing anxiety. Additionally, men who had moderate or severe LUTS had three times the risk of developing depression or anxiety. Moreover, sexual dysfunction and OAB without incontinence in men were significantly associated with the development of depression or anxiety, respectively. Finally, we also observed the positive association between mood disorders and chronic diseases, such as high blood pressure and OSA, which is consistent with the different studies published in the literature [24–26].

This is the first study to our knowledge assessing the relationship between LUTS, anxiety and depression in men and women using a multi-stage probabilistic sampling technique,



which allowed us to accurately represent the Hispanic population. In addition, trained personnel were able to answer participants' questions when completing the self-administered questionnaires. In contrast to other studies where telephone-based interviews were used [27] impacting data quality, having in consideration that most validated questionnaires used for evaluating LUTS, OAB, depression and anxiety have been developed to be completed by the patient and not by the interviewer. In addition, as in other studies such as EpiLUTS, EPIC, UrEpik and Brazilian LUTS, IPSS was used to evaluate LUTS in both men and women, and scales such as ICIQ-UI and the ICIQ-OAB were used for urinary incontinence, making our results comparable to other published series. The limitations of this study include the use of self-administered questionnaires, which are vulnerable to inaccuracy, compared to more objective measures such as medical records, which was the only feasible tool for assessing LUTS given our study design, also directional causality cannot be assumed in this work. As an additional limitation, very few men had SUI or severe LUTS, limiting analysis in this group.

Despite these limitations, to date, there are no studies in Colombia and Latin America addressing the association between LUTS and mental illnesses, and those published included small Hispanic population [28]. Therefore, we consider this study provides knowledge and answers the question raised. Also provides awareness of the importance of screening for mental illnesses and interdisciplinary work in urological pathologies.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates the clear relationship between symptoms of mental illness and LUTS. Within Hispanic population, we can highlight the susceptibility of the female gender to suffer from depression, anxiety, and LUTS concomitantly. The multivariate model of anxiety showed that in men and women, the most associated manifestations are symptoms of irritative voiding dysfunction, while in depression, in addition to presenting the previously mentioned symptoms, sexual dysfunctions were also found. These associations found in this study are consistent with other international reports. It is essential to inquire about possible symptoms related to the mental sphere in the urology consultation due to the possible risks that mental illness entails to make appropriate referrals and subsequent management and integral care of these types of patients.

Author contributions LZ: data analysis, manuscript writing, manuscript editing. JIC: manuscript writing, manuscript editing. MPM: data analysis, manuscript writing. JS: manuscript writing. AB-B: protocol development, protocol development, data collection. CGT: manuscript

editing. CAD: manuscript writing, manuscript editing. MR: data analysis, data management. MP: manuscript editing. We confirm that all the authors listed above contributed, read, and approved the submitted material. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Alejandra Bravo-Balado, Laura Zuluaga, Martin Rondon and Maria Paula Mogollon. The first draft was written by Laura Zuluaga and Maria Paula Mogollon. Review and editing were made by Jessica Santander, Juan Ignacio Caicedo, Mauricio Plata and Carlos Trujillo. The final draft and edition were made by Cesar Alejandro Diaz and Laura Zuluaga.

Funding No funding was received for conducting this study.

Data availability Data available on request from the authors.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest. The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethical approval The original study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá (CCEI–3263-2015).

Informed consent Verbal informed consent was obtained prior to the interview.

References

- Plata M, Bravo-Balado A, Robledo D et al (2018) Prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms and overactive bladder in men and women over 18 years old: the Colombian overactive bladder and lower urinary tract symptoms (COBaLT) study. Neurourol Urodyn 38(1):200–207. https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.23828
- D'Ancona C, Haylen B, Oelke M et al (2019) The International Continence Society (ICS) report on the terminology for adult male lower urinary tract and pelvic floor symptoms and dysfunction. Neurourol Urodyn 38(2):433–477. https://doi.org/10.1002/nau. 23897
- Coyne KS, Sexton CC, Thompson CL et al (2009) The prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in the USA, the UK and Sweden: results from the Epidemiology of LUTS (EpiLUTS) study. BJU Int 104(3):352–360. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08427.x
- Milsom I, Kaplan SA, Coyne KS, Sexton CC, Kopp ZS (2012) Effect of bothersome overactive bladder symptoms on healthrelated quality of life, anxiety, depression, and treatment seeking in the United States: results from EpiLUTS. Urology 80(1):90–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2012.04.004
- Lung-Cheng Huang C, Ho CH, Weng SF, Hsu YW, Wang JJ, Wu MP (2015) The association of healthcare seeking behavior for anxiety and depression among patients with lower urinary tract symptoms: a nationwide population-based study. Psychiatry Res 226(1):247–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2014.12.056
- Coyne KS, Wein AJ, Tubaro A et al (2009) The burden of lower urinary tract symptoms: evaluating the effect of LUTS on healthrelated quality of life, anxiety and depression: EpiLUTS. BJU Int 103(3):4–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08371.x
- Mahjani B, RenströmKoskela L, Batuure A et al (2021) Systematic review and meta-analysis identify significant relationships between clinical anxiety and lower urinary tract symptoms. Brain Behav 11(9):2268. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.2268



- Gómez-Restrepo C, Martínez Tamayo N, Bohórquez A et al (2016) Trastornos depresivos y de ansiedad y factores asociados en la población adulta colombiana, Encuesta Nacional de Salud Mental 2015. Rev Colomb Psiquiatr 45(1):58–67. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.rcp.2016.04.009
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 67(6):361–370. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
- Cassiani-Miranda CA, Scoppetta O, Cabanzo-Arenas DF (2022) Validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in primary care patients in Colombia. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 74(1):102–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2021.01. 014
- 11. Katon W, Lin EHB, Kroenke K (2007) The association of depression and anxiety with medical symptom burden in patients with chronic medical illness. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 29(2):147–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2006.11
- Read J, Sharpe L, Modini M, Dear BF (2017) Multimorbidity and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Afective Disord 221:36–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.06.009
- Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M et al (2003) The standardization of terminology in lower urinary tract function: report from the standardization sub-committee of the International Continence Society. Urology 61(1):37–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-4295(02)02243-4
- Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM et al (2009) An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction. Int Urogynecol J 21(1):5–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-009-0976-9
- Morgan S, Pullon S, McKinlay E (2015) Observation of interprofessional collaborative practice in primary care teams: an integrative literature review. Int J Nurs Stud 52(7):1217–1230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.03.008
- Lung-Cheng Huang C, Wu MP, Ho CH, Wang JJ (2017) The bidirectional relationship between anxiety, depression, and lower urinary track symptoms: a nationwide population-based cohort study. J Psychosom Res 100:77–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsyc hores.2017.07.008
- Coyne KS, Kvasz M, Ireland AM, Milsom I, Kopp ZS, Chapple CR (2012) Urinary incontinence and its relationship to mental health and health-related quality of life in men and women in Sweden, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Eur Urol 61(1):88–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2011.07.049
- Breyer BN, Kenfield SA, Blaschko SD, Erickson BA (2014) The association of lower urinary tract symptoms, depression and suicidal ideation: data from the 2005e2006 and 2007e2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Urol 191(5):1333– 1339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2013.12.012
- Rhee SJ, Kim EY, Kim SW et al (2019) Longitudinal study of the relationship between lower urinary tract symptoms and depressive

- symptoms. J Psychosom Res 116:100–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2018.11.015
- Jeong SM, Suh B, Jang SH et al (2015) Depression and its severity are strongly associated with both storage and voiding lower urinary tract symptoms independently of prostate volume. J Korean Med Sci 30(11):1646–1651. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2015. 30.11.1646
- Atlantis E, Sullivan T (2012) Bidirectional association between depression and sexual dysfunction: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Sex Med 9(6):1497–1507. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1743-6109.2012.02709.x
- Liu Q, Zhang Y, Wang J et al (2018) Erectile dysfunction and depression: a systematic review and meta analysis. J Sex Med 15(8):1073–1082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2018.05.016
- Brotto L, Atallah S, Johnson-Agbakwu C et al (2016) Psychological and interpersonal dimensions of sexual function and dysfunction. J Sex Med 13(4):538–571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm. 2016.01.019
- Vanek J, Prasko J, Genzor S et al (2020) Obstructive sleep apnea, depression and cognitive impairment. Sleep Med 72:50–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2020.03.017
- Povitz M, Bolo CE, Heitman SJ, Tsai WH, Wang J, James MT (2014) Effect of treatment of obstructive sleep Apnea on depressive symptoms: systematic review and meta analysis. PLOS Med 11(11):e1001762. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001762
- Scalco AZ, Scalco MZ, Azul JBS, Neto FL (2005) Hypertension and depression. Clinics 60(3):241–250. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pmed.1001762
- Soler R, Gomes CM, Averbeck MA, Koyama M (2017) The prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in Brazil: results from the epidemiology of LUTS (Brazil LUTS) study. Neurourol Urodyn 37(4):1356–1364. https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.23446
- Glaser AP, Mansfield S, Smith AR, Helfand BT, Lai HH, Sarma A, Yang CC, Taddeo M, Clemens JQ, Cameron AP, Flynn KE, Andreev V, Fraser MO, Erickson BA, Kirkali Z, Griffith JW (2022) Impact of sleep disturbance, physical function, depression and anxiety on male lower urinary tract symptoms: results from the symptoms of Lower Urinary Tract Dysfunction Research Network (LURN). J Urol. https://doi.org/10.1097/ju.0000000000 002493

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

