

Article

# On Recovery of the Singular Differential Laplace—Bessel Operator from the Fourier–Bessel Transform

Sergey M. Sitnik <sup>1,\*</sup>, Vladimir E. Fedorov <sup>2,3</sup>, Marina V. Polovinkina <sup>4</sup> and Igor P. Polovinkin <sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Modeling, Belgorod State National Research University (BelGU), Pobedy St., 85, 308015 Belgorod, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematical Analysis, Chelyabinsk State University, 129, Kashirin Brothers St., 454001 Chelyabinsk, Russia

<sup>3</sup> N.N. Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 16, S.Kovalevskaya St., 620108 Yekaterinburg, Russia

<sup>4</sup> Department of Higher Mathematics and Information Technologies, Voronezh State University of Engineering Technologies, Revolution Av., 19, 394036 Voronezh, Russia

<sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematical and Applied Analysis, Voronezh State University, Universitetskaya pl., 1, 394018 Voronezh, Russia

\* Correspondence: sitnik@bsu.edu.ru

**Abstract:** This paper is devoted to the problem of the best recovery of a fractional power of the B-elliptic operator of a function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  by its Fourier–Bessel transform known approximately on a convex set with the estimate of the difference between Fourier–Bessel transform of the function and its approximation in the metric  $L_\infty$ . The optimal recovery method has been found. This method does not use the Fourier–Bessel transform values beyond a ball centered at the origin.

**Keywords:** Bessel operator; extremal problem; optimal recovery; Fourier–Bessel transform

**MSC:** 45Q05; 45J05; 34B09; 34A12



**Citation:** Sitnik, S.M.; Fedorov, V.E.; Polovinkina, M.V.; Polovinkin, I.P. On Recovery of the Singular Differential Laplace—Bessel Operator from the Fourier–Bessel Transform. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 1103. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11051103>

Academic Editor: Luigi Rodino

Received: 13 January 2023

Revised: 16 February 2023

Accepted: 20 February 2023

Published: 22 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

The problem of recovering fractional powers of operators was developed in the works [1–3] by G.G. Magaril-Ilyaev, K.Y. Osipenko and E.O. Sivkova. In these papers a Laplace operator was considered and the main instrument was a classical Fourier transform. We further developed these results for a more general Laplace—Bessel singular differential operator based on Fourier–Bessel transform. According to these authors, the formulation of the question of finding the error of optimal recovery and the optimal method on a class of elements ideologically goes back to Kolmogorov’s work on the cross-sections of functional classes [4]. The formulation of the optimal recovery problem (but in a much simpler case than the one given here) belongs to Smolyak [5].

There are well-known situations when special attributes of the Laplace operator and Fourier transform can be carried onto elliptic singular differential operators comprising the Bessel operator. In such cases, the Fourier–Bessel transform is used for research. The theory of singular differential operators accommodating the Bessel operator, as well as functional spaces generated with such operators and the Fourier–Bessel transformation, has taken complete shape in the works of I.A. Kipriyanov and their disciples (see [6–12]). In this paper, we transfer the technique and results of [3] to the case of the Fourier–Bessel transform and the singular B-elliptic operator. We base our results on  $L_\infty$ -estimates for a difference between Fourier–Bessel transform and its approximation on a convex subset with an error. The method, based on  $L_2^\gamma$ -estimates, was constructed in [13].

### 2. Necessary Definitions

We consider a part of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$

$$\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{\chi = (\chi', \chi''),$$

$$\chi' = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n), \chi'' = (\chi_{n+1}, \dots, \chi_N), \chi_1 \geq 0, \dots, \chi_n \geq 0\},$$

where  $1 \leq n \leq N$ .

Let  $\Xi^+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N$  be a domain abutting to the hyperplanes  $x_1 = 0, \dots, x_n = 0$ . Let the boundary of  $\Xi^+$  be a union of two parts:  $\Gamma^+$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  and  $\Gamma_0$  in the hyperplanes  $x_1 = 0, \dots, x_n = 0$ . We assume that  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Xi^+$ ; however, we treat  $\Xi^+$  as a domain.

Let  $\Xi_\delta^+$  be a subset of  $\Xi^+$ . Let us assume that all points of  $\Xi_\delta^+$  are located at a distance not more than  $\delta$  from  $\Gamma^+$ . In this case we call  $\Xi_\delta^+$  a *symmetrically interior (s-interior)* sub-domain of the set  $\Xi^+$ .

Let  $\Xi^-$  be a set constructed from  $\Xi^+$  with symmetry in relation to  $x' = 0$ ,  $\Xi = \Xi^+ \cup \Xi^- \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Let us denote by  $C_{ev}^\ell(\Xi^+)$  the set of all functions obeying the below listed properties. They are necessary for correct definitions of the Laplace–Bessel differential operator and Fourier–Bessel transform on proper functions.

1. Any function  $\psi \in C_{ev}^\ell(\Xi^+)$ , and all of its partial derivatives of every order not more than  $\ell$ , are continuous in  $\Xi^+$ .
2. Even continuations of any function  $\psi \in C_{ev}^\ell(\Xi^+)$  in relation to  $x' = 0$  still belongs to the class  $C^\ell(\Xi)$ .

$$\text{In addition, } C_{ev}^\infty(\Xi^+) = \bigcap_{l=0}^\infty C_{ev}^l(\Xi^+).$$

We say that functions admitting symmetrical even continuation in relation to the corresponding variables while keeping smoothness are *even* with respect to these variables (see [6]).

Let us denote by  $C_{ev,0}^\ell(\Xi^+)$  the set of all functions  $\psi \in C_{ev}^\ell(\Xi^+)$  that equal zero beyond some s-interior sub-domain of  $\Xi^+$ . Let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ ,  $(\chi')^\gamma = \prod_{j=1}^n \chi_j^{\gamma_j}$ , where  $\gamma_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ . We will write sometimes  $\chi^\gamma$  instead of  $(\chi')^\gamma$ , if this does not cause misunderstandings.

Let us denote by  $L_p^\gamma(\Xi^+)$  a closure of  $C_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  by the norm

$$\|g(\bullet)\|_{L_p^\gamma(\Xi^+)} = \left[ \int_{\Xi^+} |g(\chi)|^p (\chi')^\gamma d\chi \right]^{1/p}.$$

If  $\Xi^+ = \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , we can write  $L_p^\gamma$  without the symbol  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ . Let  $L_\infty^\gamma(\Xi^+)$  be a closure of  $C_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  by the norm

$$\|g\|_{L_\infty^\gamma(\Xi^+)} = \|g(x) (\chi')^\gamma\|_{L_\infty(\Xi^+)} = \text{esssup} |g(x) (\chi')^\gamma|.$$

Let  $L_{p,loc}^\gamma(\Xi^+)$  be the set of all such functions  $f$ , that

$$\int_{\Xi_\delta^+} |f(\chi)|^p (\chi')^\gamma d\chi < +\infty$$

for all s-interior sub-domains  $\Xi_\delta^+$  of the domain  $\Xi^+$ .

Let us introduce the spaces below.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  ( $\mathcal{E}_{ev}(\Xi^+)$ ) be the set of all constrictions of even functions with respect to  $x' = 0$  in the space  $\mathcal{D}(\Xi)$  ( $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ ) to the set  $\Xi^+$  (test functions) with this topology, induced by the topology in  $\mathcal{D}(\Xi)$  ( $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ ),  $\mathcal{D}_{ev} = \mathcal{D}_{ev}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

Let  $S_{ev}$  be the linear space of all functions (also test functions)  $\psi(x) \in C_{ev}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  that tend to zero, as well as all their derivatives of all order, faster than any power of  $|x|^{-1}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . The topology in  $S_{ev}$  is introduced as being the same as in the space  $S$  (see [14–19]).

We define the space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  ( $\mathcal{E}'_{ev}(\Xi^+), \mathcal{S}'_{ev}$ ) as the dual space of  $\mathcal{D}_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  ( $\mathcal{E}_{ev}(\Xi^+), \mathcal{S}_{ev}$ ) with the weak topology. The designation

$$\langle g(x), \psi(x) \rangle_\gamma = \langle g(x), \psi(x) \rangle \tag{1}$$

means the action of a distribution  $g$  on a test function  $\psi$ .

We do not make any difference between a function  $g(x) \in L_{1,loc}^\gamma(\Xi^+)$  and the functional  $g \in \mathcal{D}'_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  called *regular*, acting by the formula

$$\langle g(x), \psi(x) \rangle = \int_{\Xi^+} g(\chi)\psi(\chi) (\chi')^\gamma d\chi. \tag{2}$$

If a functional in  $\mathcal{D}'_{ev}(\Xi^+)$  is not regular, we call it *singular*.

Let us introduce the direct and inverse *mixed Fourier–Bessel transforms* in  $S_{ev}$  by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} F_\gamma \psi &= F_\gamma[\psi(\chi', \chi'')](\xi) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \psi(\chi) \prod_{\kappa=1}^n j_{\nu_\kappa}(\xi_\kappa \chi_\kappa) e^{-i\chi'' \bullet \xi''} (\chi')^\gamma d\chi = \\ &= (2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|v|} \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_\kappa + 1) F_\gamma^{-1}[\psi(\chi', -\chi'')](\xi), \\ F_\gamma^{-1}[\psi](\chi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|v|} \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_\kappa + 1)} \times \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \psi(\xi) \prod_{\kappa=1}^n j_{\nu_\kappa}(\xi_\kappa \chi_\kappa) e^{i\chi'' \bullet \xi''} (\xi')^\gamma d\xi = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|v|} \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_\kappa + 1)} F_\gamma[\psi(\xi', -\xi'')](\chi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi' \bullet \xi' &= \chi_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \chi_n \xi_n, \quad \chi'' \bullet \xi'' = \chi_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} + \dots + \chi_N \xi_N, \\ |v| &= \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n, \\ j_{\nu_\kappa}(z_\kappa) &= \frac{2^{\nu_\kappa} \Gamma(\nu_\kappa + 1)}{z_\kappa^{\nu_\kappa}} J_{\nu_\kappa}(z_\kappa) = \\ &= \Gamma(\nu_\kappa + 1) \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m z_\kappa^{2m}}{2^{2m} m! \Gamma(m + \nu_\kappa + 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma(\bullet)$  is the Euler gamma function,  $J_{\nu_k}(\bullet)$  is the Bessel function of the first kind,  $\nu_k = (\gamma_k - 1)/2$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem 1** (The Parseval–Plancherel theorem for the Fourier–Bessel transform [7]). *The formula*

$$\|\psi\|_{L_2^\gamma} = (2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|v|} \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_\kappa + 1) \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{L_2^\gamma}, \quad \widehat{\psi} = F_\gamma[\psi]$$

(the Parseval–Plancherel formula) holds.

We define the Fourier–Bessel transform of a distribution  $g$  by the formula

$$\langle F_\gamma[g], \psi \rangle_\gamma = \langle g, F_\gamma[\psi] \rangle_\gamma,$$

where  $\psi \in S$ .

The B-elliptic Laplace–Bessel operator  $\Delta_B$  is defined by the formula (see [6])

$$\Delta_B u = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} + \frac{\gamma_k}{x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) + \sum_{k=n+1}^N \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2}.$$

We will use the denotations below:

$$\Pi = (2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|\nu|} \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_\kappa + 1) = 2^{N+|\gamma|} \pi^{N-n} \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma^2((\gamma_\kappa + 1)/2), \tag{3}$$

$$B(\zeta, \rho) = \{\chi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\chi - \zeta| \leq \rho\}, \tag{4}$$

$$B_+(\zeta, \rho) = \{\chi \in \mathbb{R}_+^N : |\chi - \zeta| \leq \rho\}, \tag{5}$$

$$r_\Xi = \sup\{\rho > 0 : B(0, \rho) \subset \Xi\}, \tag{6}$$

$$\hat{r} = \hat{r}(\alpha, \epsilon, \delta) =$$

$$= \left( \frac{(|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha) \Gamma(|\gamma| + N)/2 \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_\kappa + 1)/2)}{2^{-|\gamma| - N + n + 1} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha}}, \tag{7}$$

$$r_0 = \min\{\hat{r}, r_\Xi\}. \tag{8}$$

### 3. Problem Statement

We define the fractional degree of the operator  $\Delta_B$  using the equality (see [20])

$$(-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(x) = F_\gamma^{-1}(|\zeta|^\alpha F_\gamma f(\zeta))(x),$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ . Let us introduce the functional spaces

$$\mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) =$$

$$= \{f(\bullet) \in \mathcal{S}'_{ev} : (-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(\bullet) \in L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N), F_\gamma[f(\bullet)] \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)\},$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) = \{f(\bullet) \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) : \|(-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(\bullet)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq 1\}.$$

Let us consider a measurable non-empty bounded subset  $G$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < \alpha$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that a function  $F_\gamma f \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is known approximately, namely, we know only such a function  $g(\bullet) \in L_\infty(G)$  that

$$\| F_\gamma f(\bullet) - g(\bullet) \|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq \delta.$$

Based on this information, we aim to recover the functions  $f$  and  $(-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f$  in the best possible way. We consider the function  $g(\bullet) \in L_\infty(G)$  as an approximation of the constriction  $F_\gamma f(\bullet) \Big|_G$  with the error  $\delta$  in the  $L_\infty(G)$ -metric.

As in the papers [2,3], we call any measurable mapping

$$\mu : L_\infty(G) \longrightarrow L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$$

recovering method. Its error we define as

$$\begin{aligned} e\left((-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), G, \delta, \mu\right) &= \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{U}(\alpha,G,\delta)} \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f(\bullet) - \mu(g(\bullet))(\bullet) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}(\alpha, G, \delta) &= \\ &= \left\{ \left( f(\bullet) \in W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), g(\bullet) \in L_\infty^\gamma(G) \right) : \| F_\gamma f(\bullet) - g(\bullet) \|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The value

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), G, \delta\right) &= \inf_\mu e\left((-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), G, \delta, \mu\right) \\ &= \inf_\mu \sup_{\mathbf{U}(\alpha,G,\delta)} \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f(\bullet) - \mu(g(\bullet))(\bullet) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned}$$

is called the optimal recovery error (supremum is over all methods  $\mu : L_\infty(G) \longrightarrow L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ ).

The mappings  $\mu$ , on which the lower bound is reached, we call the *optimal recovery methods*.

#### 4. Lower Estimate of the Optimal Recovery Error Value

The proofs of both lemmas in this section are carried out according to the scheme suggested in the papers [13,21], with the difference that the initial error estimate is given here in the  $L_\infty$ -metric and in those papers it is given in the  $L_2^\gamma$ -metric.

Let us explore an auxiliary task.

**Extremal task  $I_\infty$ .**

$$\begin{aligned} \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \longrightarrow \max, \| F_\gamma f \|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq \delta, \\ \| (-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq 1, \quad f \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

**Lemma 1.** *The optimal recovery error  $E\left((-\Delta)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), G, \delta\right)$  is not lower than the value of Extremal task  $I_\infty$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u_0(\bullet)$  be an acceptable function of extremal task  $I_\infty$ ; in other words,  $u_0(\bullet)$  satisfies the constraints of this problem. Then the function  $-u_0(\bullet)$  is also acceptable. Let  $\mu : L_\infty(G) \longrightarrow L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  be any fixed method and  $\mu(0)$  be an image of the zero element of the space  $L_\infty(G)$  with the mapping  $\mu$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &= \left\| 2(-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} = \\ &= \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) + (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} = \\ &= \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) - (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} (-u_0(\bullet)) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} = \\ &= \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) - \mu(0)(\bullet) + \mu(0)(\bullet) - (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} (-u_0(\bullet)) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \\ &\leq \left\| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) - \mu(0)(\bullet) \right\|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}(-u_0)(\bullet) - \mu(0)(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \\
 \leq 2 & \sup_{\substack{\| F_\gamma f(\bullet) \|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq \delta, \\ \| (-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(\bullet) \|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq 1}} \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) - \mu(0)(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \\
 \leq 2 & \sup_{\substack{\| F_\gamma f(\bullet) - g(\bullet) \|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq \delta, \\ \| (-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq 1}} \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} u_0(\bullet) - \mu(g)(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can pass to a supremum over all acceptable functions of extremal task  $I_\infty$  on the left side of this inequality and for all mappings (methods)  $\mu$  on the right side. Thus, we complete the proof.  $\square$

Let us explore another extremal task.

**Extremal task  $I_\infty^2$ .**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma f(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \longrightarrow \max, \quad & \| F_\gamma f \|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq \delta, \\
 \Pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \leq 1, \quad & f(\bullet) \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** *The squared value of extremal task  $I_\infty$  is equal to the value of extremal task  $I_\infty^2$ .*

**Proof.** The affirmation of the lemma follows from the Parseval–Plancherel theorem for the Fourier–Bessel transform.

Furthermore, we assume that  $\Xi = \Xi^+ \cup \Xi^-$  is convex.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $0 \notin \Xi^+$ , then*

$$E\left( (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), \Xi^+, \delta \right) = +\infty.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $0 \notin \Xi^+$ . In this case we can apply the finite dimensional separability theorem (for instance [22]) and separate the origin from a convex set  $\Xi$  and, as a consequence, from  $\Xi^+$ . It means that there exists such a vector  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ ,  $|\eta| = \sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_N^2} = 1$ , that

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \Xi^+} (\eta, \zeta) \leq 0.$$

For arbitrarily small  $\epsilon > 0$ , we introduce a ball  $B_\epsilon = B(\epsilon\eta, \epsilon/2)$ . If  $\zeta \in B_\epsilon$ , then

$$(\zeta - \epsilon\eta, \zeta - \epsilon\eta) = |\zeta|^2 + \epsilon^2|\eta|^2 - 2\epsilon(\zeta, \eta) \leq \epsilon^2/4$$

and, taking into account that  $|\eta| = 1$ , we get

$$(\zeta, \eta) \geq \frac{|\zeta|^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{3\epsilon}{8} > 0.$$

Thus,  $B_\epsilon \cap \Xi^+ = \emptyset$ .

Let us introduce a function  $f_\epsilon(\bullet)$  such that

$$F_\gamma f_\epsilon(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \Pi^{1/2} \left( \int_{B_\epsilon} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} d\zeta \right)^{-1/2}, & \text{if } \zeta \in B_\epsilon, \\ 0, & \text{if } \zeta \notin B_\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the function  $F_\gamma f_\varepsilon$  has a bounded support and, therefore,  $F_\gamma f_\varepsilon \in L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $F_\gamma f_\varepsilon \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Thus,  $f_\varepsilon \in L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Moreover, the function  $\xi \rightarrow -|\xi|^\alpha F_\gamma f_\varepsilon(\xi)$  belongs to  $L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Therefore,  $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , because  $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f_\varepsilon(\bullet) \in L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . It is also easy to show that the function  $f_\varepsilon(\bullet)$  satisfies other conditions of Problem I<sup>2</sup>. Let  $\xi \in B_\varepsilon$ . Then  $|\xi| = |\xi - \varepsilon\eta + \varepsilon\eta| \leq |\xi - \varepsilon\eta| + |\varepsilon\eta| \leq 3\varepsilon/2$ . Taking this fact into account, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|\nu|} \prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_k + 1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\varepsilon} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \\ & = \frac{\int_{B_\varepsilon} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\varepsilon} d\xi}{\int_{B_\varepsilon} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} d\xi} = \frac{\int_{B_\varepsilon} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\varepsilon+2\alpha-2\alpha} d\xi}{\int_{B_\varepsilon} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} d\xi} \geq \\ & \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon\right)^{-2(\alpha-\varepsilon)} \frac{\int_{B_\varepsilon} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} d\xi}{\int_{B_\varepsilon} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} d\xi} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon\right)^{-2(\alpha-\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary small, we get that the value of the objective functional in extremal task I<sup>2</sup> and, therefore, in the original recovery problem, can be made arbitrarily large. The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $r_{\Xi} < \hat{r}$ . The lower estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left((-\Delta_B)^{\varepsilon/2}, \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(R_+^N), \Xi^+, \delta\right) \geq \\ & \geq \left( \frac{2^{2-N-2|\nu|} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2 (\alpha - \varepsilon) r_{\Xi}^{|\gamma|+N+2\varepsilon}}{(|\gamma| + N + 2\varepsilon)(|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2)} + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{r_{\Xi}^{2(\alpha-\varepsilon)}} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

holds.

**Proof.** The intersection of the boundary of semi-ball  $B_+(0, r_{\Xi}) \subset \Xi^+$  and the boundary of  $\Xi^+$  is non-empty. Assume that  $\xi_0$  belongs to this intersection. Then  $\xi_0 \notin \text{int } \Xi^+$ . Hence, there is the ability to separate the point  $\xi_0$  from the convex set  $\text{int } \Xi^+$ , i.e., there is a vector  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , such that  $|\eta| = 1$  and  $\sup_{\xi \in \Xi^+} (\eta, \xi) \leq (\eta, \xi_0)$ . Explore a sequence of points  $\xi_\kappa = \xi_0 + (1/\kappa)\eta$   $\kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and a sequence of balls  $B(\xi_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))$ . Every one of these balls does not intersect with  $\Xi^+$ . Indeed, if  $\xi \in B(\xi_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))$ , then  $(\eta, \xi) > (\eta, \xi_0)$ , that is  $\xi \notin \Xi^+$ . Let

$$V_\kappa = \int_{B(\xi_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))} \xi^\gamma d\xi.$$

Let us explore a sequence of functions  $f_\kappa(\bullet) \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  having the Fourier–Bessel transform of the form

$$F_\gamma f_\kappa(\xi) = \begin{cases} \sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{\Pi}^{1/2} V_\kappa^{-1/2} \left(r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa}\right)^{-\alpha}, & \text{if } \xi \in B(\xi_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa)), \\ \delta, & \text{if } \xi \in B(0, r_{\Xi}), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma = 1 - \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \delta \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} d\bar{\zeta}.$$

From the last two conditions we get  $\|F_{\gamma} f_{\kappa}\|_{L_{\infty}(\Xi)} \leq \delta$ . Let us note that, if  $\bar{\zeta} \in B(\bar{\zeta}_{\kappa}, 1/(2\kappa))$ , then

$$|\bar{\zeta}| = |\bar{\zeta} - \bar{\zeta}_0 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta + \bar{\zeta}_0 + \frac{1}{\kappa}| \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa} + |\bar{\zeta}_0| + \frac{1}{\kappa} = r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} |F_{\gamma} f_{\kappa}(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta} = \\ & = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} |F_{\gamma} f_{\kappa}(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta} + \\ & + \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B(\bar{\zeta}_{\kappa}, 1/(2\kappa))} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} |F_{\gamma} f_{\kappa}(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta} = \\ & = \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} d\bar{\zeta} + \\ & + \sigma \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \mathbf{\Pi} V_{\kappa}^{-1} \left(r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa}\right)^{-2\alpha} \int_{B(\bar{\zeta}_{\kappa}, 1/(2\kappa))} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} d\bar{\zeta} \leq \\ & \leq \delta \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} d\bar{\zeta} + \\ & + \sigma V_{\kappa}^{-1} \left(r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa}\right)^{-2\alpha} \left(r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa}\right)^{2\alpha} \int_{B(\bar{\zeta}_{\kappa}, 1/(2\kappa))} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} d\bar{\zeta} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It means that functions  $f_{\kappa}$  are acceptable in problem  $I_{\infty}^2$ .

Let us match “the Lagrange function”  $\mathcal{L}(f(\bullet))$  to the problem  $I_{\infty}^2$

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} (-|\bar{\zeta}|^{2\epsilon} + p(\bar{\zeta}) + \lambda |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha}) |F_{\gamma} f(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta}, \tag{11}$$

where  $\lambda = r_{\Xi}^{-2(\alpha-\epsilon)}$ ,

$$0 \leq p(\bar{\zeta}) = \begin{cases} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\epsilon} - \lambda |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha}, & \text{if } \bar{\zeta} \in B_+(0, r_{\Xi}), \\ 0, & \text{if } \bar{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus B_+(0, r_{\Xi}). \end{cases}$$

For every  $I_{\infty}^2$ -acceptable function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\epsilon} |F_{\gamma} f(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta} = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\epsilon} |F_{\gamma} f(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta} - \\ & - \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\Xi^+} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} p(\bar{\zeta}) (|F_{\gamma} f(\bar{\zeta})|^2 - \delta^2) d\bar{\zeta} - \\ & - \lambda \left( \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \bar{\zeta}^{\gamma} |\bar{\zeta}|^{2\alpha} |F_{\gamma} f(\bar{\zeta})|^2 d\bar{\zeta} - 1 \right) = \end{aligned}$$



$$= -\mathcal{L}(f) + \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta + \lambda \leq \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta + \lambda.$$

In particular, the value of extremal task  $I_\infty^2$  is not more than

$$\delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta + \lambda.$$

For every  $\kappa$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(f_\kappa) &= \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma (-|\zeta|^{2\epsilon} + p(\zeta) + \lambda |\zeta|^{2\alpha}) |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta = \\ &= \sigma V_\kappa^{-1} \left( r_\Xi + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{-2\alpha} \int_{B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))} \zeta^\gamma (-|\zeta|^{2\epsilon} + \lambda |\zeta|^{2\alpha}) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\zeta \in B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))$ , we have

$$r_\Xi = |\zeta_0| = |\zeta_0 - \zeta + \zeta - \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta| \leq |\zeta| + |\zeta_0 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta - \zeta| + |\frac{1}{\kappa}\eta| \leq |\zeta| + \frac{1}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{\kappa},$$

whence we get

$$|\zeta| \geq r_\Xi - \frac{3}{2\kappa}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))} \zeta^\gamma (-|\zeta|^{2\epsilon}) d\zeta \leq -\left( r_\Xi - \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{2\epsilon} V_\kappa.$$

On the other hand, we showed earlier that for  $\zeta \in B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))$

$$|\zeta| \leq r_\Xi + \frac{3}{2\kappa}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))} \zeta^\gamma (|\zeta|^{2\alpha}) d\zeta \leq \left( r_\Xi + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{2\alpha} V_\kappa.$$

> From the last two inequalities, we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{L}(f_\kappa) \leq \sigma \left( -\left( r_\Xi + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{-2\alpha} \left( r_\Xi + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{2\epsilon} + \lambda \right).$$

Since  $\lambda = r_\Xi^{2(\epsilon-\alpha)}$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(f_\kappa) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Let us return to the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta &= \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_\Xi)} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta + \\ &+ \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} d\zeta + \\
 &+ \sigma \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \mathbf{\Pi} V_\kappa^{-1} \left( r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{-2\alpha} \int_{B(\zeta_\kappa, 1/(2\kappa))} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} d\zeta \geq \\
 &\geq \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} d\zeta + \\
 &+ \sigma \left( r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{-2\alpha} \left( r_{\Xi} - \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{2\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This sequence tends to 1 when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} d\zeta + \sigma \left( r_{\Xi} + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{-2\alpha} \left( r_{\Xi} - \frac{3}{2\kappa} \right)^{2\alpha} \leq \\
 &\leq \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \leq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta = 1. \tag{13}$$

Based on Formulas (12) and (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta = \lambda + \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta + \\
 &+ \lambda \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta - 1 \right) - \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(f_\kappa) + \\
 &+ \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) (|F_\gamma f_\kappa(\zeta)|^2 - \delta^2) d\zeta = \\
 &= \lambda + \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta.
 \end{aligned}$$

This fact means that the value

$$\lambda + r_{\Xi}^{-2\gamma} \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta \tag{14}$$

is the value of the problem  $I_{\infty}^2$ , and the square root from (14) is the value of the problem  $I_{\infty}$ .

The integral

$$\int_{B_+(0, r_{\Xi})} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} d\zeta$$

is a special case of the integral calculated in the book [23] (p. 613, Formula (4.635.1)). In our case, we get

$$\int_{B_+(0,r_{\Xi})} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\epsilon} d\xi = \frac{r_{\Xi}^{|\gamma|+N+2\epsilon} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2) \pi^{(N-n)/2}}{2^{n-1} (|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2)}. \tag{15}$$

Now we can calculate the value of the problem  $I_{\infty}^2$ , substituting (15) into (14):

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda + \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \xi^\gamma p(\xi) d\xi = \\ & = \frac{2^{2-N-2|\nu|} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2 (\alpha - \epsilon) r_{\Xi}^{|\gamma|+N+2\epsilon}}{(|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon) (|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2)} + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{r_{\Xi}^{2(\alpha-\epsilon)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

> From here, using Lemmas 1 and 2, we get a lower estimate of the error of optimal recovery (10). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $r_{\Xi} > \hat{r}$ . The lower estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left((-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(R_+^N), \Xi^+, \delta\right) \geq \\ & \geq \sqrt{\frac{|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha}{|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon}} \left( \frac{2^{-|\gamma|-N+n+1} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2}{\Gamma(N + |\gamma|)/2 \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\nu_j + 1)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{|\gamma|+N+2\alpha}} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

holds.

**Proof.** Explore a functions  $u_0(\bullet) \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(R_+^N)$  whose Fourier–Bessel transform has the form

$$F_\gamma u_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} \delta, & \text{if } \xi \in B_+(0, \hat{r}), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\|F_\gamma u_0\|_{L_\infty(\Xi)} \leq \delta$ .

Let us again match “the Lagrange function”  $\mathcal{L}(f)(f(\bullet))$  to the problem  $I_{\infty}^2$

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{R_+^N} \xi^\gamma (-|\xi|^{2\epsilon} + \hat{p}(\xi) + \hat{\lambda} |\xi|^{2\alpha}) |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \tag{18}$$

where  $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{r}^{-2(\alpha-\epsilon)}$ ,

$$0 \leq \hat{p}(\xi) = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{2\epsilon} - \hat{\lambda} |\xi|^{2\alpha}, & \text{if } \xi \in B_+(0, \hat{r}), \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus B_+(0, \hat{r}). \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{L}(u_0) = 0$ . Moreover, taking into account (15), we get

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{R_+^N} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{R_+^N} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} d\xi =$$

$$= \frac{\delta^2 \widehat{r}^{|\gamma|+N+2\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2) \pi^{(N-n)/2}}{2^{n-1} (|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2) (2\pi)^{N-n} 2^{2|\nu|} \prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma^2(\nu_k + 1)} = 1. \tag{19}$$

It means that function  $u_0$  is acceptable in problem  $I_{\infty}^2$ . Given these facts, for any  $I_{\infty}^2$ -acceptable function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma f(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \leq \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma f(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta - \\ & \quad - \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{E}^+} \zeta^\gamma \widehat{p}(\zeta) (|F_\gamma f(\zeta)|^2 - \delta^2) d\zeta - \\ & \quad - \widehat{\lambda} \left( \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta - 1 \right) = \\ & \quad = -\mathcal{L}(f) + \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma p(\zeta) d\zeta + \lambda \leq \\ & \quad \leq -\mathcal{L}(u_0) + \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma \widehat{p}(\zeta) d\zeta + \widehat{\lambda} = \\ & \quad = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma u_0(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta - \\ & \quad - \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, \widehat{r})} \zeta^\gamma \widehat{p}(\zeta) (|F_\gamma u_0(\zeta)|^2 - \delta^2) d\zeta + \\ & \quad + \widehat{\lambda} \left( \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma u_0(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta - 1 \right) = \\ & \quad = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma u_0(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $u_0$  is the solution to problem  $I_{\infty}^2$ . The value of this problem is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma u_0(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta = \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, \widehat{r})} \zeta^\gamma |\zeta|^{2\epsilon} d\zeta = \\ & \quad = \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{B_+(0, \widehat{r})} \zeta^\gamma (|\zeta|^{2\epsilon} - \widehat{\lambda} |\zeta|^{2\alpha}) d\zeta + \widehat{\lambda} = \\ & \quad = \delta^2 \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \left( \frac{\widehat{r}^{|\gamma|+N+2\epsilon} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2) \pi^{(N-n)/2}}{2^{n-1} (|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2)} - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\widehat{\lambda} \frac{\widehat{r}^{|\gamma|+N+2\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2) \pi^{(N-n)/2}}{2^{n-1} (|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2)} \Bigg) + \widehat{\lambda} = \\
 & = \frac{|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha}{|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon} \left( \frac{\Gamma(N + |\gamma|/2) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(v_j + 1)}{2^{-|\gamma|-N+n+1} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2} \right)^{\frac{2(\epsilon-\alpha)}{|\gamma|+N+2\alpha}}
 \end{aligned}$$

> From here, using Lemmas 1 and 2, we get a lower estimate of the error of optimal recovery (17). The lemma is proved. □

### 5. Upper Error Estimation and Optimal Recovery Method

We explore one more auxiliary extremal task.

**Extremal task E.**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f(\bullet) - \widehat{\mu}_r(g(\bullet))(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \longrightarrow \max, \\
 & \| F_\gamma f(\bullet) - g(\bullet) \|_{L_\infty(\Xi_+)} \leq \delta, \\
 & \| (-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq 1, \quad g(\bullet) \in L_\infty(\Xi_+), \quad f(\bullet) \in \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N).
 \end{aligned}$$

The optimality of the method  $\widehat{\mu}_r$  from the statement of the theorem means that the value of extremal task E is equal to  $E\left((-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, \mathcal{W}_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), \Xi_+, \delta\right)$ .

We will look for the optimal method among linear mappings, which in Fourier images act according to the rule  $F_\gamma \mu(g) = ag$  with a vanishing outside the ball  $B(0, r_0)$  function  $a$ . Let  $m$  be a mapping of this kind. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f(\bullet) - \widehat{\mu}_r(g(\bullet))(\bullet) \|_{L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}^2 = \\
 & = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \left| |\xi|^\epsilon F_\gamma f(\xi) - a(\xi)g(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi + \\
 & + \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \geq r_0} \xi^\gamma \left| |\xi|^\epsilon F_\gamma f(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\widetilde{\lambda} = \lambda$ ,  $\widetilde{p} = p$ , when  $r_\Xi < \widehat{r}$ ,  $\widetilde{\lambda} = \widehat{\lambda}$  and  $\widetilde{p} = \widehat{p}$ , when  $r_\Xi \geq \widehat{r}$ . According to the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky inequality, we obtain for the integrand function in the second integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| |\xi|^{2\epsilon} F_\gamma f(\xi) - a(\xi)g(\xi) \right|^2 = \\
 & \left| \frac{a(\xi) \sqrt{\widehat{p}(\xi)}}{\sqrt{\widehat{p}(\xi)}} (F_\gamma f(\xi) - g(\xi)) + \frac{|\xi|^\epsilon - a(\xi)}{\sqrt{\widetilde{\lambda}} |\xi|^\alpha} \sqrt{\widetilde{\lambda}} |\xi|^\alpha F_\gamma f(\xi) \right|^2 \leq \\
 & \leq \left( \frac{|a(\xi)|^2}{\widehat{p}(\xi)} + \frac{||\xi|^\epsilon - a(\xi)|^2}{\widetilde{\lambda} |\xi|^{2\alpha}} \right) \times \\
 & \times (\widetilde{p}(\xi) |F_\gamma f(\xi) - g(\xi)|^2 + \widetilde{\lambda} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\xi$  the minimum value of the expression

$$J(a) = \frac{|a(\xi)|^2}{\widehat{p}(\xi)} + \frac{||\xi|^\epsilon - a(\xi)|^2}{\widetilde{\lambda} |\xi|^{2\alpha}}$$

is reached at the point

$$\hat{a} = |\xi|^\epsilon \left( 1 - \left( \frac{|\xi|}{r_0} \right)^{2(\alpha-\epsilon)} \right). \tag{21}$$

This minimum value is equal to 1. Substituting (21) into the first term of (20) and taking into account the first constraint of the problem E, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \left| |\xi|^\epsilon F_\gamma f(\xi) - a(\xi)g(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi \leq \\ & \leq \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \left( \tilde{p}(\xi) |F_\gamma f(\xi) - g(\xi)|^2 + \tilde{\lambda} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 \right) d\xi \leq \\ & \leq \delta^2 \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \tilde{p}(\xi) d\xi + \tilde{\lambda} \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For the second term of (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \geq r_0} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\epsilon} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \\ & \leq \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \geq r_0} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\epsilon-2\alpha} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \\ & \leq \tilde{\lambda} \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \geq r_0} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Adding up (22) and (23), we obtain the next upper estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2} f(\bullet) - \hat{m}_r(g(\bullet))(\bullet) \|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N_+)}^2 \leq \\ & \leq \delta^2 \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \tilde{p}(\xi) d\xi + \tilde{\lambda} \Pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \xi^\gamma |\xi|^{2\alpha} |F_\gamma f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \\ & = \delta^2 \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \tilde{p}(\xi) d\xi + \tilde{\lambda} \| (-\Delta_B)^{\alpha/2} f(\bullet) \|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N_+)}^2 \leq \\ & \leq \delta^2 \Pi^{-1} \int_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \xi^\gamma \tilde{p}(\xi) d\xi + \tilde{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The obtained upper estimate of the squared error for the constructed method does not exceed the squared error of the optimal recovery method. This means that the constructed method is optimal. Thus, we proved the next result.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $0 \in \Xi$  and  $r_\Xi < \hat{r}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left( (-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N_+), \Xi^+, \delta \right) = \\ & = \left( \frac{2^{2-N-2|\nu|} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2 (\alpha - \epsilon) r_\Xi^{|\gamma|+N+2\epsilon}}{(|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon)(|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha) \Gamma((|\gamma| + N)/2) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((\gamma_j + 1)/2)} + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r_{\Xi}^{2(\alpha-\epsilon)}})^{1/2}.$$

If  $r_{\Xi} > \hat{r}$ , then

$$E\left((-\Delta_B)^{\epsilon/2}, W_{\infty,2}^{\gamma,\alpha}(R_+^N), \Xi^+, \delta\right) = \\ = \sqrt{\frac{|\gamma| + N + 2\alpha}{|\gamma| + N + 2\epsilon}} \left( \frac{2^{-|\gamma|-N+n+1} \pi^{(n-N)/2} \delta^2}{\Gamma(N + |\gamma|)/2 \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\nu_j + 1)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{|\gamma|+N+2\alpha}}.$$

The method

$$\mu(g) = \Pi^{-1} \int_{B(0,r_0)_+} |\xi|^\epsilon \left( 1 - \left( \frac{|\xi|}{r_0} \right)^{2(\alpha-\epsilon)} \right) \times \\ \times g(\xi) \prod_{k=1}^n j_{\nu_k}(\xi_k x_k) e^{ix'' \bullet \xi''} (\xi')^\gamma d\xi$$

is optimal.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.M.S. and I.P.P.; methodology, S.M.S.; software, M.V.P.; validation, V.E.F.; formal analysis, S.M.S.; investigation, V.E.F. and M.V.P.; resources, S.M.S.; data curation, M.V.P.; writing—original draft preparation, I.P.P. and M.V.P.; writing—review and editing, S.M.S. and V.E.F.; visualization, M.V.P.; supervision, S.M.S. and I.P.P.; project administration, S.M.S.; funding acquisition, V.E.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The work of Vladimir Fedorov was funded by the Russian Science Foundation, project number 22-21-20095.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Magaril-Il'yaev, G.G.; Osipenko, K.Y. How best to recover a function from its inaccurately given spectrum? *Math. Notes* **2012**, *92*, 51–58. [CrossRef]
2. Magaril-Il'yaev, G.G.; Sivkova, O.E. Best recovery of the Laplace operator of a function from incomplete spectral data. *Sb. Math.* **2012**, *203*, 569–580. [CrossRef]
3. Sivkova, E.O. On the optimal recovery of the Laplacian of a function from its inaccurately given Fourier transform. *Vladikavk. Matem. J.* **2012**, *14*, 63–72.
4. Kolmogoroff, A. Uber die beste Annaherung von Functionen einer gegebenen Functionenklasse. *Ann. Math.* **1936**, *37*, 107–110. [CrossRef]
5. Smolyak, S.A. On the Optimal Reconstruction of Functions and Functionals of Them. Ph.D. Thesis, Izdatelstvo Moskovskogo Universiteta, Moscow, Russia, 1965. (In Russian)
6. Kipriyanov, I.A. *Singular Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*; Nauka: Moscow, Russia, 1997. (In Russian)
7. Kipriyanov, I.A. Fourier–Bessel transforms and imbedding theorems for weight classes. *Tr. Mat. Instituta Im. Steklova* **1967**, *89*, 130–213.
8. Katrakhov, V.V.; Sitnik, S.M. The transmutation method and boundary-value problems for singular differential equations. *Contemp. Math. Fundam. Dir.* **2018**, *64*, 211–426. (In Russian) English translation: arXiv: 2210.02246, 2022.
9. Sitnik, S.M.; Shishkina, E.L. *The Transmutation Operators Method for Differential Equations with Bessel Operators*; Fizmatlit: Moscow, Russia, 2019. (In Russian)
10. Shishkina, E.; Sitnik, S. *Transmutations, Singular and Fractional Differential Equations with Applications to Mathematical Physics*, 1st ed.; Series: Mathematics in Science and Engineering; Elsevier Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; 592p.
11. Muravnik, A.B. Fourier–Bessel transformation of compactly supported non-negative functions and estimates of solutions of singular differential equations. *Funct. Differ.* **2001**, *8*, 353–363.
12. Lyakhov, L.N. *B-Hypersingular Integrals and Their Applications to the Description of the Kupriyanov Functional Classes and to Integral Equations with B-Potential Kernels*; LSPU: Lipetsk, Russia, 2007. (In Russian)
13. Polovinkina, M.V. Recovery of the operator  $\Delta_B$  from its incomplete Fourier–Bessel image. *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **2020**, *41*, 839–852. [CrossRef]

14. Zhitomirskiy, Y.I. A Cauchy problem for systems of partial differential equations with Bessel-type differential operators. *Mat. Sb. [Math. Digest]* **1955**, *36*, 299–310. (In Russian)
15. Gel'fand, I.M.; Shilov, G.E. *Generalized Functions. Volume 1: Properties and Operations*; Academic Press Inc.: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1964.
16. Gel'fand, I.M.; Shilov, G.E. *Generalized Functions. Volume 2: Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions*, Providence, Rhode Island, American Mathematical Society; AMS Chelsea Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2016.
17. Hörmander, L. *The Analysis of Linear Differential Operators*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1983.
18. Kulikov, A.A.; Kipriyanov, I.A. The Fourier–Bessel transformation in the theory of singular differential equations. *Differ. Uravn.* **1999**, *35*, 340–350.
19. Kipriyanov, I.A.; Zasorin, Y.V. Fundamental solution of the wave equation with several singularities and the Huygens principle. *Differ. Uravn.* **1992**, *28*, 383–393.
20. Lyakhov, L.N.; Polovinkina, M.V. The Space of Weighted Bessel Potentials. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **2005**, *250*, 192–197.
21. Polovinkina, M.V. On recovery of powers of the operator  $\Delta_B$  from its incomplete Fourier–Bessel image. *Proc. Voronezh State Univ. Ser. Physics. Math.* **2019**, *4*, 87–93. (In Russian)
22. Magaril-Il'yaev, G.G.; Tikhomirov, V.M. *Convex Analysis: Theory and Applications (Zbl 1041.49001)*; Translations of Mathematical Monographs 222; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 2003.
23. Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*; Zwillinger, D., Jeffrey, A., Eds.; Elsevier Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2007.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.