

# Letter to the Editor

Letters to the Editor will be published, if suitable, as space permits. They should not exceed 1000 words (typed double-spaced) in length and may be subject to editing or abridgment.

## Oxygen Sensing in the Ductus Arteriosus: Endothelin Still a Player

To the Editor:

In a recent issue of *Circulation Research*, Hong et al<sup>1</sup> provided additional data in support of a mitochondrial mechanism for oxygen sensing in the ductus arteriosus. While commending the authors on the advance in this area, I find objectionable their hasty dismissal of an alternative scheme implicating endothelin in the same process. Far from being a concept exclusively originating from our work, as implied in the article, this position is espoused by diverse groups.<sup>2</sup>

In taking their conclusion to the fore, Hong et al<sup>1</sup> quoted 3 publications that warrant a comment. The first one by Michelakis et al,<sup>3</sup> being based on experiments with the isolated human ductus, negates a role for endothelin because the combined inhibition of its synthesis and action (with phosphoramidon and BQ123, respectively) is reportedly not followed by curtailment of the oxygen contraction. Furthermore, in the same article, oxygen does not increase the yield of the peptide. Regrettably, however, in reaching the former conclusion, the authors compared the oxygen response of an untreated vessel with that of a vessel being exposed not only to the endothelin inhibitors but also to drugs (ie, meclofenamate, L-NAME) interfering with potent endogenous relaxants, such as prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> and nitric oxide. In other words, the action of endothelin inhibitors was assessed on a vessel manifesting an enhanced contractile drive for oxygen, incidentally documented by the authors themselves,<sup>3</sup> although using still the untreated vessel as a reference. Hardly a condition to observe an inhibition, particularly when testing, as was the case, a single, maximally effective oxygen concentration rather than a range of concentrations. On the contrary, the study of endothelin release from the human ductus poses difficulties of its own. One is dealing with a vessel intrinsically prone to constriction before surgery and being kept patent by continuous treatment with prostaglandin E<sub>1</sub>. That is an agent which, besides relaxing the ductal muscle, can promote remodeling of the wall.<sup>4</sup> Not fortuitous in this context is possibly the finding that endothelin release is manifold higher with the neonatal human ductus than with a freshly dissected ductus from the term fetal lamb.<sup>3,5</sup> Hence, the suspicion arises that a localized endothelin rise in response to oxygen might have been obscured by a larger pool of the compound originating from nonrelevant source(s). Admittedly, harder to explain are the data from the second article being cited in which Fineman et al<sup>6</sup> report the inability of an endothelin antagonist (PD-156707 in this case) to interfere with the oxygen-induced contraction of the lamb ductus both in vitro and in vivo. However, methodological differences, already underlined by us, may account for this incongruence, at least for the in vitro situation, because the same inhibitor seems effective in our hands.<sup>7</sup> The last article under consideration by Winters et al<sup>8</sup>

is out of context here because it addressed the role of endothelin in pulmonary hemodynamics and, in so doing, the ductus wall was infiltrated with formalin to avoid any confounding influence from changes in the shunt. Collectively, then, the data purportedly contradicting an oxygen-sensing function for endothelin are not as firm as being portrayed by Hong et al.<sup>1</sup> In the end, I believe it is intrinsically unrewarding to oppose 1 scheme for oxygen sensing to the other, and an effort should instead be made to determine how the 2 mechanisms may eventually dovetail in promoting ductus closure. This is our position<sup>2</sup> and, in fact, while validating the endothelin function, we have found evidence of an alternative, hitherto uncharacterized, oxygen-sensing mechanism<sup>9</sup> that could well represent the mitochondrial function being investigated by Hong et al.<sup>1</sup>

## Disclosures

None.

Flavio Coceani

Institute of Life Sciences  
Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna  
Pisa, Italy

1. Hong Z, Kutty S, Toth PT, Marsboom G, Hammel JM, Chamberlain C, Ryan JJ, Zhang HJ, Sharp WW, Morrow E, Trivedi K, Weir EK, Archer SL. Role of dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1)-mediated mitochondrial fission in oxygen sensing and constriction of the ductus arteriosus. *Circ Res*. 2013;112:802–815.
2. Coceani F, Baragatti B. Mechanisms for ductus arteriosus closure. *Semin Perinatol*. 2012;36:92–97.
3. Michelakis E, Rebeyka I, Bateson J, Olley P, Puttagunta L, Archer S. Voltage-gated potassium channels in human ductus. *Lancet*. 2000;356:134–137.
4. Yokoyama U, Minamisawa S, Quan H, Akaiki T, Suzuki S, Jin M, Jiao Q, Watanabe M, Otsu K, Iwasaki S, Nishimaki S, Sato M, Ishikawa Y. Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>-activated Epac promotes neonatal formation of the rat ductus arteriosus by a process distinct from that of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283:28702–28709.
5. Coceani F, Kelsey L, Seidlitz E. Evidence for an effector role of endothelin in closure of the ductus arteriosus at birth. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol*. 1992;70:1061–1064.
6. Fineman JR, Takahashi Y, Roman C, Clyman RI. Endothelin-receptor blockade does not alter closure of the ductus arteriosus. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 1998;275:H1620–H1626.
7. Coceani F, Liu Y, Seidlitz E, Kelsey L, Kuwaki T, Ackerley C, Yanagisawa M. Endothelin A receptor is necessary for O<sub>2</sub> constriction but not closure of ductus arteriosus. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 1999;277:H1521–H1531.
8. Winters JW, Wong J, Van Dyke D, Johengen M, Heymann MA, Fineman JR. Endothelin receptor blockade does not alter the increase in pulmonary blood flow due to oxygen ventilation in fetal lambs. *Pediatr Res*. 1996;40:152–157.
9. Baragatti B, Ciofini E, Scebbra F, Angeloni D, Sodini D, Luin S, Ratto GM, Ottaviano V, Pagni E, Paolicchi A, Nencioni S, Coceani F. Cytochrome P-450 3A13 and endothelin jointly mediate ductus arteriosus constriction to oxygen in mice. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2011;300:H892–H901.

Correspondence to Flavio Coceani; e-mail: coceani@sssup.it  
(*Circ Res*. 2013;112:e154.)

© 2013 American Heart Association, Inc.

*Circulation Research* is available at <http://circres.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.301615

# Circulation Research

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION



## Oxygen Sensing in the Ductus Arteriosus: Endothelin Still a Player Flavio Coceani

*Circ Res.* 2013;112:e154

doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.301615

*Circulation Research* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2013 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0009-7330. Online ISSN: 1524-4571

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the  
World Wide Web at:

<http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/112/11/e154>

**Permissions:** Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation Research* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

**Reprints:** Information about reprints can be found online at:  
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

**Subscriptions:** Information about subscribing to *Circulation Research* is online at:  
<http://circres.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>