

Aalborg Universitet

The High-Resolution Rate-Distortion Function under the Structural Similarity Index

Østergaard, Jan; Derpich, Milan; Channappayya, Sumohana

Published in: Eurasip Journal on Advances in Signal Processing

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1155/2011/857959

Publication date: 2011

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Østergaard, J., Derpich, M., & Channappayya, S. (2011). The High-Resolution Rate-Distortion Function under the Structural Similarity Index. *Eurasip Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/857959

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The High-Resolution Rate-Distortion Function under the Structural Similarity Index

Jan Østergaard, Member, IEEE, Milan S. Derpich, Member, IEEE, and Sumohana S. Channappayya

Abstract—In this paper, we show that the structural similarity (SSIM) index, which is used in image processing to assess the similarity between an image representation and an original reference image, can be formulated as a locally quadratic distortion measure. We furthermore show that recent results of Linder and Zamir on the rate-distortion function (RDF) under locally quadratic distortion measures are applicable to this SSIM distortion measure. We finally derive the high-resolution SSIM-RDF and provide a simple method to numerically compute an approximation of the SSIM-RDF of real images.

Index Terms—Rate distortion theory, high-resolution coding, locally quadratic, structural similarity index measure

I. INTRODUCTION

VAST majority of the work on source coding with a fidelity A criterion (i.e. rate-distortion theory) concentrates on the meansquared error (MSE) fidelity criterion. The MSE fidelity criterion is used mainly due its mathematical tractability. However, in applications involving a human observer it has been noted that distortion measures which include some aspects of human perception generally perform better than the MSE [1]. A great number of perceptual distortion measures are non-difference distortion measures and, unfortunately, even for simple sources, their corresponding ratedistortion functions (RDFs), that is, the minimum bit-rate required to attain a distortion equal or smaller than some given value, are not known. However, in certain cases it is possible to derive their RDFs. For example, for a Gaussian process with a weighted squared error criterion, where the weights are restricted to be linear time-invariant operators, the complete RDF was first found in [2] and later rederived by several others [3], [4]. Other examples include the special case of locally quadratic distortion measures for fixed rate vector quantizers and under high-resolution assumptions [5], results which are extended to variable-rate vector quantizers in [6], [7], and applied to perceptual audio coding in [8], [9].

In [10], Wang et al. proposed the structural similarity (SSIM) index as a perceptual measure of the similarity between an image representation and an original reference image. The SSIM index takes into account the cross-corelation between the image and its representation as well as the images first and second order moments. It has been shown that this index provides a more accurate estimate of the perceived quality than the MSE [1]. The SSIM index was used for image coding in [11] and was cast in the framework of ℓ_1 -compression of images and image sequences in [12]. The relation between the coding rate of a fixed-rate uniform quantizer and the distortion measured by the SSIM index was first addressed in [13]. In particular, for several types of source distributions and under high-resolution assumptions, upper and lower bounds on the SSIM index were provided as a function of the operational coding rate of the quantizer [13].

M. Derpich (milan.derpich@usm.cl) is with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Universidad Téchnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile.

S. S. Channappayya (sumohana@gmail.com) is with the PacketVideo Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA.

In this paper, we present the high-resolution RDF for sources with finite differential entropy and under a SSIM index distortion measure. The SSIM-RDF is particularly important for researchers and practitioners within the image coding area, since it provides a lower bound on the number of bits that any coder, e.g., JPEG etc., will use when encoding an image into a representation, which has an SSIM index no smaller than a pre-specified level. Thus, it allows one to compare the performance of a coding architecture to the optimum performance theoretically attainable. The SSIM-RDF is non convex and does not appear to admit a simple closed-form expression. However, when the coding rate is high, i.e., when each pixel of the image is represented by a high number of bits, say more than 0.5 bpp, then we are able to find a simple expression, which is asymptotically (as the bit-rate increases) exact. For finite and small bit-rates, our results provides an approximation of the true SSIM-RDF.

In order to find the SSIM-RDF, we first show that the SSIM index can be formulated as a locally quadratic distortion measure. We then show that recent results of Linder and Zamir [7] on the RDF under locally quadratic distortion measures are applicable, and finally obtain a closed form expression for the high-resolution SSIM-RDF. We end the paper by showing how to numerically approximate the high-resolution SSIM-RDF of real images.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we present an important existing result on ratedistortion theory for locally quadratic distortion measures and also present the SSIM index. We will need these elements when proving our main results, i.e., Theorems 2 and 3, in Section III.

A. Rate-Distortion Theory for Locally Quadratic Distortion Measures

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a realization of a source vector process and let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the corresponding reproduction vector. A distortion measure d(x, y) is said to be locally quadratic if it admits a Taylor series (i.e. it possesses derivatives of all orders in a neighborhood around the points of interest) and furthermore, if the second-order terms of its Taylor series dominate the distortion asymptotically as $y \to x$ (corresponding to the high-resolution regime). In other words, if d(x, y) is locally quadratic, then it can be written as $d(x, y) = (x - y)^T B(x)(x - y) + \mathcal{O}(||x - y||^3)$, where B(x) is an input-dependent positive-definite matrix and where for y close to x, the quadratic term (i.e., $(x - y)^T B(x)(x - y))$ is dominating [7]. We use upper case X when referring to the stochastic process generating a realization x and use h(X) to denote the differential entropy of X, provided it exists. The determinant of a matrix B is denoted det(B) and \mathbb{E} denotes the expectation operator.

The RDF for locally quadratic distortion measures and smooth sources¹ was found by Linder and Zamir [7] and is given by the following theorem:

J. Østergaard (janoe@ieee.org) is with the Department of Electronic Systems, Aalborg University, Denmark.

¹The distribution of image coefficients and transformed image coefficients of natural images can in general be approximated sufficiently well by smooth models [14], [15].

Theorem 1 ([7]). *Suppose* d(x, y) and X satisfy some mild technical conditions². Then,

$$\lim_{D \to 0} \left[R(D) + \frac{n}{2} \log_2(2\pi eD/n) \right] = h(X) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))],$$
(1)

where R(D) is the RDF of X (in bits per block) under distortion d(x, y), and h(X) denotes the differential entropy of X.

B. The Structural Similarity Index

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $n \geq 2$. We define the following empirical quantities: the sample mean $\mu_x \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i$, the sample variance $\sigma_x^2 \triangleq \frac{1}{n-1} (x - \mu_x)^T (x - \mu_x) = \frac{x^T x}{n-1} - \frac{n\mu_x^2}{n-1}$, and the sample cross variance $\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx} \triangleq \frac{1}{n-1} (x - \mu_x)^T (y - \mu_y) = \frac{x^T y}{n-1} - \frac{n\mu_x \mu_y}{n-1}$. We define μ_y and σ_y^2 similarily.

The SSIM index studied in [10] is defined as:

$$SSIM(x,y) \triangleq \frac{(2\mu_x\mu_y + C_1)(2\sigma_{xy} + C_2)}{(\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + C_1)(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + C_2)},$$
 (2)

where $C_i > 0$, i = 1, 2. The SSIM index ranges between -1 and 1, where positive values close to 1 indicate a small perceptual distortion. We can define a distortion "measure" as one minus the SSIM index, that is

$$d(x,y) \triangleq 1 - \frac{(2\mu_x\mu_y + C_1)(2\sigma_{xy} + C_2)}{(\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + C_1)(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + C_2)},$$
(3)

which ranges between 0 and 2 and where a value close to 0 indicates a small distortion. The SSIM index is locally applied to $N \times N$ -blocks of the image. Then, all block indexes are averaged to yield the SSIM index of the entire image. We treat each block as an *n*-dimensional vector where $n = N^2$.

III. RESULTS

In this section we present the main theoretical contributions of this paper. We will first show that d(x, y) is locally quadratic, and then use Theorem 1 to obtain the high-resolution RDF for the SSIM index.

Theorem 2. d(x, y), as defined in (3), is locally quadratic.

Proof: See the appendix.

Theorem 3. The high-resolution RDF R(D) for the source X under the distortion measure d(x, y) defined in (3) and where $h(X) < \infty$ and $0 < \mathbb{E}||X||^2 < \infty$, is given by

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{D\to 0} \left[R(D) + \frac{n}{2} \log_2(2\pi eD) \right] = h(X) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(n-1) \log_2(a(X)) + \log_2(a(X) + b(X)n)] + \frac{n}{2} \log_2(n), \end{split}$$

where a(X) and b(X) are given by

$$a(X) = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2},$$
(4)

$$b(X) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\mu_x^2 + C_1} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2}.$$
 (5)

Proof: Recall from Theorem 2 that d(x, y) is locally quadratic. Moreover, the weighting matrix B(X) in (1), which is also known as a *sensitivity* matrix [5], is given by (15), see the Appendix. In the Appendix it is also shown that B(x) is positive-definite since $a(x) > 0, a(x) + b(x)n > 0, \forall x$, where a(x) and b(x) are given by (4) and (5), respectively. From (16), it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))] = \mathbb{E}[(n-1)\log_2(a(X)) + \log_2(a(X) + b(X)n)].$$
(6)

²See conditions a) – g) in Section II-A in [7].

At this point, we note that the main technical conditions required for Theorem 1 to be applicable is boundedness in the following sense [7]: $h(X) < \infty, 0 < \mathbb{E}||X||^2 < \infty, \mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))] < \infty$, and $\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{trace}\{B^{-1}(X)\})^{3/2} < \infty$ and furthermore uniformly bounded third-order partial derivatives of d(X, Y). The first two conditions are satisfied by the assumptions of the Theorem. The next two conditions follow since all elements of B(x) are bounded $\forall x$ (see the proof of Theorem 2). Moreover, due to the positive stabilization constants C_1 and C_2 , $\operatorname{trace}\{B(x)\}^{-1}$ is clearly bounded. Finally, it was established in the proof of Theorem 2 that the third-order derivatives of d(X, Y) are uniformly bounded. Thus, the proof now follows simply by using (6) in (1).

A. Evaluating the SSIM Rate-Distortion Function

In this section we propose a simple method for estimating the SSIM-RDF in practice based on real images. Conveniently, we do *not* need to encode the images in order to find their corresponding high-resolution RDF. Thus, the results in this section (as well as the results in the previous sections) are *independent* of any specific coding architecture.

In practice, the source statistics are often not available and must therefore be found empirically from the image data. Towards that end, one may assume that the individual vectors $\{x(i)\}_{i=1}^{M}$ (where x(i) denotes the *i*th $N \times N$ subblock of the image and M denotes the total number of subblocks in the image) of the image constitute approximately independent realizations of a vector process. In this case, we can approximate the expectation by the empirical arithmetic mean, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))] \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (n-1) \log_2(a(x(i))) + \log_2(a(x(i)) + b(x(i))n)],$$
(7)

where a(x(i)) and b(x(i)) indicates that the functions a and b defined in (4) and (5) are used on the *i*th subblock x(i). Several estimates of $\frac{1}{2n}\mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))] + \log_2(N)$ using (7) are shown in Table I, for various images commonly considered in the image processing literature.

Image	N = 4	N=8	N = 16	
Baboon	-4.57	-4.77	-5.00	
Pepper	-3.16	-3.51	-4.12	
Boat	-3.66	-3.99	-4.45	
Lena	-3.13	-3.49	-4.08	
F16	-2.83	-3.14	-3.65	
TABLE I				

Estimated $\frac{1}{2n}\mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))] + \log_2(N)$ values for some 512×512 8-bit grey images and block sizes $n = N^2, N = 4, 8, 16$.

In order to obtain the high-resolution RDF of the image, according to Theorem 3, we also need the differential entropy h(X) of the image, which is usually not known a-priori in practice. Thus, we need to numerically estimate h(X), e.g., by using the average empirical differential entropy over all blocks of the image. In order to do this, we apply the two-dimensional KLT on each of the subblocks of the image in order to reduce the correlation within the subblocks.³ Then we use a nearest-neighbor entropy-estimation approach to approximate the marginal differential entropies of the elements within a subblock [16]. Finally, we approximate h(X) by the sum of the marginal differential entropies, which yields the values presented in Table II.

³Since the KLT is an orthogonal transform, this operation will not affect the differential entropy.

Image	N = 4	N = 8	N = 16
Baboon	6.18	6.06	6.03
Pepper	4.75	4.55	4.49
Boat	5.10	4.92	4.88
Lena	4.63	4.41	4.38
F16	4.32	4.14	4.13

TABLE II

Estimated $\frac{1}{n}h(x)$ (in Bits/dim. or equivalently bits per pixel (BPP)) for different 512×512 8-bit grey images and block sizes $n = N^2, N = 4, 8$, and 16.

IV. SIMULATIONS

In this section we use the JPEG codec⁴ on the images and measure the corresponding SSIM values of the reconstructed images. Then we compare these operational results to the information theoretic estimated high-resolution SSIM RDF obtained as described in the previous section. We are interested in the high-resolution region, which corresponds to small d(x, y) values (i.e., values close to zero) or equivalently large SSIM values (i.e., values close to one). Figure 1 shows the high-resolution SSIM-RDF for d(x, y) values below 0.27, corresponding to SSIM values above 0.73. Notice that the rate becomes negative at large distortions (i.e., small rates), which happens because the high-resolution assumption is clearly not satisfied and the approximations are therefore not accurate. Thus, it does not make sense to evaluate the asymptotic SSIM-RDF of Theorem 3 at large distortions.



Fig. 1. High-resolution RDF under the similarity measure d(x, y) = 1 - SSIM(x, y) for different images and using an 8×8 block size.

V. DISCUSSION

The information-theoretic high-resolution RDF characterized by Theorem 3 constitutes a lower bound on the operationally achievable minimum rate for a givem SSIM distortion value. As discussed in [17], achieving the high-resolution RDF could require the use of optimal companding, which may not be feasible in some cases. Thus, the questions of whether the RDF obtained in Theorem 3 is achievable, and how to achieve it, remain open. Nevertheless, we can obtain a loose estimate of how close a practical coding scheme could get to the high-resolution SSIM-RDF by evaluating the operational performance of, for example, the baseline JPEG. Figure 2, shows the operational RDF for the JPEG coder used on the Lena image and using block sizes of 8×8 . For comparison, we have also shown the SSIM-RDF. It may be noticed that the operational curve is up to 2 bpp above the corresponding SSIM-RDF.⁵



Fig. 2. Operational RDF using the JPEG coder on the Lena image under the similarity measure d(x, y) = 1 - SSIM(x, y) for block size 8×8 . For comparison we have also shown the high-resolution SSIM-RDF (thin line).

The gap between the SSIM-RDF and the operational RDF based on JPEG encoding as can be observed in Figure 2, can be explained by the following observations: First, the JPEG coder aims at minimizing a frequency weighted MSE rather than maximizing the SSIM index. Second, JPEG is a practical algorithm with reduced complexity and is therefore not rate-distortion optimal even for the weighted MSE. Third, the differential entropy as well as the expectation of the log of the determinant of the sensitivity matrix are empirically found ---based on a finite amount of image data. Thus, they are only estimates of the true values. Finally, the SSIM-RDF becomes exact in the asymptotic limit where the coding rate diverges towards infinity (i.e., for small distortions). At finite coding rates, it is an approximation. Nevertheless, within these limitations, the numerical evaluation of the SSIM-RDF presented here suggests that significant compression gains could be obtained by an SSIM-optimal image coder, at least at high rate regimes. To obtain further insight into this question, the corresponding RDF under MSE distortion (MSE-RDF) for the Lena image is shown in Figure 3. We can see that the excess rate of JPEG with respect to the MSE-RDF at high rates is not greater than 1.4 bpp. This suggests that a JPEG-like algorithm aimed at minimizing SSIM distortion could reduce at least a fraction of the bit-rate gap seen in Figure 2.

It is interesting to note that in the MSE case, we have B(x) = I, which implies that $\log_2(|\det(B(x))|) = 0$. Thus, the difference between the SSIM-RDF and the MSE-RDF, under high-resolution assumptions, is constant (e.g., independent of the bit-rate). In fact, if the MSE is measured per dimension, then the rate difference is given by the values in Table I, i.e., $\frac{1}{2n}\mathbb{E}[\log_2(\det(B(X)))] + \log_2(N)$. It follows that the SSIM-RDF is simply a shifted version of the MSE-RDF at high resolutions. Moreover, the gap between the curves illustrates the fact that, in general, a representation of an image which is MSE optimal is not necessarily also SSIM optimal.

⁵A similar behavior is observed for the other four images in the test set.

⁴We are using the baseline JPEG coder implementation available via the *imwrite* function in Matlab(**R**).



Fig. 3. Operational RDF using the JPEG coder on the Lena image under the MSE distortion measure. For comparison we have also shown the highresolution MSE-RDF (thin line). The horizontal axes on the top and the bottom show the PSNR and MSE, respectively.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that, under high-resolution assumptions, the RDF for a range of natural images under the commonly used SSIM index, has a simple form. In fact, the RDF only depends upon the differential entropy of the source image as well as the expected value of a function of the *sensitivity* matrix of the image. Thus, it is independent of any specific coding architecture. Moreover, we also provided a simple method to estimate the SSIM-RDF in practice for a given image. Finally, we compared the operational performance of the baseline JPEG image coder to the SSIM-RDF and showed by approximate numerical evaluations that potentially significant perceptual rate-distortion improvements could be obtained by using SSIM-optimal encoding techniques.

APPENDIX PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We need to show that the second-order terms of the Taylor series of d(x, y) are dominating in the high-resolution limit where $y \to x$. In order to do this, we show that the Taylor series coefficients of the zero- and first-order terms vanish whereas the coefficients of the second- and third-order terms are non-zero. Then we upper bound the remainder due to approximating d(x, y) by its second-order Taylor series. This upper bound is established via the third-order partial derivatives of d(x, y). We finally show that the second-order terms decay more slowly towards zero than the remainder as y tends to x.

Let us define $f \triangleq \left(\frac{2\mu_x \mu_y + C_1}{\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + C_1}\right)$ and $g \triangleq \left(\frac{2\sigma_x y + C_2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + C_2}\right)$ and let h = fg. It follows that d(x, y) = 1 - h and we note that the second-order partial derivatives with respect to y_i and y_j for any i, j, are given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + f \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}.$$
 (8)

Clearly $f|_{y=x} = g|_{y=x} = 1$, where $(\cdot)|_{y=x}$ indicates that the expression (\cdot) is evaluated at the point y = x. Since $\frac{\partial \mu_y}{\partial y_i} = \frac{1}{n}, \frac{\partial \sigma_y^2}{\partial y_i} = \frac{2}{n-1}(y_i - \mu_y)$, and $\frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial y_i} = \frac{1}{n-1}(x_i - \mu_x)$, it is easy to show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}|_{y=x} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}|_{y=x} = 0, \forall i$. Thus, the coefficients of the zero- and first-order terms of the Taylor series of d(x, y) are zero. Moreover, it

follows from (8) that $\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\Big|_{y=x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\Big|_{y=x} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\Big|_{y=x}, \forall i, j.$ With this, and after some algebra, it can be shown that

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\Big|_{y=x} = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{n^2} \frac{1}{2\mu_x^2 + C_1} + \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2}, & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ -\frac{2}{n^2} \frac{1}{2\mu_x^2 + C_1} - \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2}, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$
(9)

We now let $h^{(m)}$ denote the *m*th partial derivative of *h* with respect to some *m* variables and note that from Leibniz generalized product rule [18] it follows that $h^{(3)} = gf^{(3)} + 3g^{(1)}f^{(2)} + 3g^{(2)}f^{(1)} + g^{(3)}f$. When evaluated at y = x this reduces to $h^{(3)}|_{y=x} = f^{(3)}|_{y=x} + g^{(3)}|_{y=x}$, since $f^{(1)}|_{y=x}$ and $g^{(1)}|_{y=x}$ are both zero. For the thirdorder derivatives of *f*, we have: $\forall i, j, k$,

$$\left. \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_k} \right|_{y=x} = \frac{12}{n^3} \frac{\mu_x}{(2\mu_x^2 + C_1)^2}.$$
 (10)

Moreover, if $i \neq j \neq k$ and $i \neq k$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_k}\Big|_{y=x} = -\frac{4}{n(n-1)^2} \frac{1}{(2\sigma_x^2 + C_2)^2} \times \left[(x_i - \mu_x) + (x_j - \mu_x) + (x_k - \mu_x) \right]$$
(11)

whereas if any two indices are equal, e.g., $i \neq j = k$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_j}\Big|_{y=x} = -\frac{8}{n(n-1)^2} \frac{x_j - \mu_x}{(2\sigma_x^2 + C_2)^2} + \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \frac{(x_i - \mu_x)(1 - \frac{1}{n})}{(2\sigma_x^2 + C_2)^2}.$$
(12)

Finally, if i = j = k, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_i \partial y_i}\Big|_{y=x} = \frac{12}{(n-1)^2} \frac{(x_i - \mu_x)(1 - \frac{1}{n})}{(2\sigma_x^2 + C_2)^2}.$$
 (13)

Let \mathfrak{B} be an *n*-dimensional ball of radius ϵ centered at x, let $\xi = y - x$, and let $\mathcal{T}_2(\xi)$ be the second-order Taylor series of $d(x, x + \xi)$ centered at x (i.e., at $\xi = 0$). It follows that

$$\mathcal{T}_{2}(\xi) \triangleq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left. \frac{\partial^{2} h(x,y)}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}} \right|_{y=x} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} = \xi^{T} B(x) \xi, \qquad (14)$$

where B(x) is given by half the second-order partial derivatives of d(x, y), that is (see (9))

$$B(x) = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{2\mu_x^2 + C_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{n-1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n-1} \\ \frac{1}{n-1} & -1 & \cdots & \frac{1}{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n-1} & \frac{1}{n-1} & \cdots & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (15)

which has full rank and is well defined for $1 < n < \infty.$ This can be rewritten as

$$B(x) = a(x)I + b(x)J,$$
(16)

where I is the identity matrix, J is the all-ones matrix,

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2} \tag{17}$$

and

$$b(x) = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{2\mu_x^2 + C_1} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2 + C_2}.$$
 (18)

Thus, B(x) has eigenvalues $\lambda_0 = a(x) + b(x)n$ and $\lambda_i = a(x), i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Since B(x) is symmetric, the quadratic form $\xi^T B(x)\xi$ is lower bounded by

$$\xi^T B(x)\xi \ge \lambda_{min} \|\xi\|^2, \tag{19}$$

where $\lambda_{min} = \min\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1} = \min\{a(x) + nb(x), a(x)\} > 0$, which implies that B(x) is positive-definite.

On the other hand, it is known from Taylor's theorem that for any $y \in \mathfrak{B}$, the remainder $\mathcal{R}_2(\xi)$, where

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\xi) \triangleq d(x, x+\xi) - \mathcal{T}_2(\xi), \tag{20}$$

is upper bounded by

$$|\mathcal{R}_2(\xi)| < \phi \sum_{i,j,k} |\xi_i \xi_j \xi_k|, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\phi \le \sup_{y \in \mathfrak{B}} \left| \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_k} \right|, \tag{22}$$

i.e., ϕ is upper bounded by the supremum over the set of third-order coefficients of the Taylor series of *h*. Since for real images, the pixel values are finite, and since $C_i > 0$, i = 1, 2, it follows from (10) – (13) that the third-order derivatives are *uniformly* bounded and ϕ is therefore finite. Moreover, for all ξ such that $\|\xi\|^2 \leq \epsilon$, it follows using (14), (19), and (21) that

$$\lim_{\|\xi\|\to 0} \frac{|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\xi)|}{|\mathcal{T}_{2}(\xi)|} \le \lim_{\|\xi\|\to 0} \frac{\left\{\max_{i\in\{1,\dots,n\}} |\xi_{i}|^{3}\right\} n^{3}\phi}{\lambda_{min} \|\xi\|^{2}}$$
(23)

$$\leq \lim_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\| \to 0}} \frac{n^3 \phi}{\lambda} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^3}{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2} \tag{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|\xi\| \to 0} \lambda_{min} \|\xi\|^2 \qquad (=1)$$

$$= \lim_{\|\xi\| \to 0} \frac{n \ \varphi}{\lambda_{min}} \|\xi\| = 0, \tag{25}$$

where (23) follows since $|\xi_i\xi_j\xi_k| \leq \max_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} |\xi_i|^3$ and the sum in (21) runs over all possible combinations of third-order partial derivatives of a vector of length n, i.e., $\sum_{i,j,k} 1 = n^3$. Furthermore, (24) follows by use of (19) and the fact that $|\xi_i|^3 < ||\xi||^3$. Finally, (25) follows from the fact that ϕ is bounded by (22). Since the limit of (25) exists and is zero, we deduce that the second-order terms of the Taylor series of d(x, y) are asymptotically dominating as y tends to x. This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work of J. Østergaard is supported by the Danish Research Council for Technology and Production Sciences, grant no. 274-07-0383. The work of M. Derpich is supported by the FONDECYT project 3100109 and the CONICYT project ACT-53.

References

- Z. Wang and A. C. Bovik, *Modern Image Quality Assessment*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, March 2006.
- [2] R. L. Dobrushin and B. S. Tsybakov, "Information transmission with additional noise," *IRE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-8, pp. 293–304, September 1962.
- [3] R. A. McDonald and P. M. Schultheiss, "Information rates of Gaussian signals under criteria constraining the error spectrum," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 415–416, April 1964.
- [4] D. J. Sakrison, "The rate distortion function of a Gaussian process with a weighted square error criterion," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 1968.
- [5] W. R. Gardner and B. D. Rao, "Theoretical analysis of the highrate vector quantization of lpc parameters," *IEEE Trans. Speech Audio Processing*, pp. 367 – 381, 1995.
- [6] J. Li, N. Chaddha, and R. M. Gray, "Asymptotic performance of vector quantizers with a perceptual distortion measure," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, pp. 1082 – 1091, May 1999.
- [7] T. Linder and R. Zamir, "High-resolution source coding for nondifference distortion measures: the rate-distortion function," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 533 – 547, March 1999.
- [8] J. Østergaard, R. Heusdens, and J. Jensen, "On the rate loss in perceptual audio coding," in *IEEE Benelux/DSP Valley Signal Processing Symposium*, Antwerpen, Belgium, March 2006, pp. 27 – 30.

- [9] R. Heusdens, W. Kleijn, and A. Ozerov, "Entropy-constrained highresolution lattice vector quantization using a perceptually relevant distortion measure," in *IEEE Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers (Asilomar CSSC)*, Pacific Grove, CA, November 2007, pp. 2075 – 2079.
- [10] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity," *IEEE Trans. Imag. Proc.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, April 2004.
- [11] Z. Wang, Q. Li, and X. Shang, "Perceptual image coding based on a maximum of minimal structural similarity criterion," in *IEEE Int. Conf.* on Image Proc., September 2007.
- [12] J. Dahl, J. Østergaard, T. L. Jensen, and S. H. Jensen, " ℓ_1 -compression of image sequences using the structural similarity index measure," in *IEEE Data Compression Conference*, Snowbird, Utah, March 2009, pp. 133 142.
- [13] S. S. Channappayya, A. C. Bovik, and R. W. H. Jr., "Rate bounds on SSIM index of quantized images," *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 1624 – 1639, September 2008.
- [14] E. Y. Lam and J. W. Goodman, "A mathematical analysis of the dct coefficient distributions for images," *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 1661 – 1666, October 2000.
- [15] M. J. Wainwright and E. P. Simoncelli, "Scale mixtures of Gaussians and the statistics of natural scenes," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 12, pp. 855 – 861, 2000.
- [16] R. O. Duda, P. E. Hart, and D. G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*, 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience, 2001.
- [17] T. Linder, R. Zamir, and K. Zeger, "High-resolution source coding for non-difference distortion measures: multidimensional companding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 548 – 561, March 1999.
- [18] T. M. Apostol, Mathematical Analysis, 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley, 1974.