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#### Abstract

A $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, r), k \geqslant r$, is a collection $\mathcal{X}$ of $(k-1)$-spaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ such that every $(r-1)$-space of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ is contained in at least one element of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$ denote the minimum number of $(k-1)$-spaces in a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$. In this paper improved upper bounds on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2)$, $n \geqslant 4, \mathcal{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2), n \geqslant 0$, and $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3), n \geqslant 4$, are presented. The results are achieved by constructing the related $q$-covering designs.


Mathematics Subject Classifications: 51E20, 05B40, 05B25, 51A05

## 1 Introduction

Let $q$ be any prime power, let $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ be the finite field with $q$ elements and let $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ be the $(n-1)$-dimensional projective space over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. We will use the term $k$-space to denote a subspace of $\mathrm{PG}(n-1, q)$ of projective dimension $k$. Let $t \leqslant s$. A blocking set $\mathbb{B}$ is a set of $(t-1)$-spaces of $\mathrm{PG}(n-1, q)$ such that every $(s-1)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}(n-1, q)$ contains at least one element of $\mathbb{B}$. In the last fifty years the general problem of determining the smallest cardinality of a blocking set $\mathbb{B}$ has been studied by several authors (see [17, 4] and references therein) and in very few cases has been completely solved [5, 2, 3, 9, 18].

A blocking set $\mathbb{B}$ can be seen as a $q$-analog of a well known combinatorial design, called Turán design, see [11], [10]. Indeed, a blocking set $\mathbb{B}$ is also called a $q$-Turán design $\mathbb{T}_{q}(n, t, s)$. The dual structure of a $q$-Turán design $\mathbb{T}_{q}(n, t, s)$ is called $q$-covering design and it is denoted with $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, n-t, n-s)$. In other words, a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$ is a collection $\mathcal{X}$ of $(k-1)$-spaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ such that every $(r-1)$ space of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ is contained in at least one element of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$ denote

[^0]the minimum number of $(k-1)$-spaces in a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$. Lower and upper bounds on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$ were considered in [11], [10]. Lower bounds are obtained by providing $q$-analogs of classical results which have been proved in the context of covering designs and Turán designs; upper bounds are obtained by explicit constructions of the related $q$-covering designs. A $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$ which cover every $(r-1)$-space exactly once is called $q$-Steiner system. If $r=1$, a $q$-Steiner system $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, r)$ is also known as $(k-1)$-spread of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$; spreads have been widely investigated in finite geometry and it is known that a $(k-1)$-spread of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ exists if and only if $k$ divides $n$, see [20].

The concept of $q$-covering design is of interest not only in projective geometry and design theory, but also in coding theory. Indeed, in recent years there has been an increasing interest in $q$-covering designs due to their connections with constant-dimension codes. An $(n, M, 2 \delta ; k)_{q}$ constant-dimension subspace code (CDC) is a set $\mathcal{S}$ of $(k-1)$ spaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ such that $|\mathcal{S}|=M$ and every $(k-\delta)$-space of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ is contained in at most one member of $\mathcal{S}$ or, equivalently, any two distinct codewords of $\mathcal{S}$ intersect in at most a $(k-\delta-1)$-space. Subspace codes of largest possible size are said to be optimal. Therefore, a $q$-Steiner system is an optimal constant-dimension code (so far, apart from spreads, there is only one known example of $q$-Steiner system, i.e., the 2 -covering design $\mathbb{C}_{2}(13,3,2)$ of smallest possible size $\left.[6]\right)$. Observe that, as shown in the inspiring article by Koetter and Kschischang [16], constant-dimension codes can be used for error-correction in random linear network coding theory.

In this paper we discuss bounds on $q$-covering designs. In Section 3, based on the $q-$ covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(6,3,2)$ constructed in [7], an improved upper bound on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2), n \geqslant$ 4 , is presented. In the last two sections, starting from a lifted MRD-code, improvements on the upper bounds of $\mathcal{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2), n \geqslant 0$, and $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3), n \geqslant 4$, are obtained. In particular, first $q$-covering designs $\mathbb{C}_{q}(8,4, r), r=2,3$, of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ are constructed. Then, by induction, $q$-covering designs $\mathbb{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2), n \geqslant 0$, and $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3), n \geqslant 4$, are presented.

In the sequel we will use the following notation $\theta_{n, q}:=\left[\begin{array}{c}n+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}=q^{n}+\ldots+q+1$.

## 2 Preliminaries

A conic of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ is the set of points of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ satisfying a quadratic equation: $a_{11} X_{1}^{2}+$ $a_{22} X_{2}^{2}+a_{33} X_{3}^{2}+a_{12} X_{1} X_{2}+a_{13} X_{1} X_{3}+a_{23} X_{2} X_{3}=0$. There exist four kinds of conics in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$, three of which are degenerate (splitting into lines, which could be in the plane $\left.\mathrm{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)\right)$ and one of which is non-degenerate, see [13].

A regulus is the set of lines intersecting three skew (disjoint) lines and has size $q+1$. The hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(3, q)$, is the set of points of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ which satisfy the equation $X_{1} X_{2}+X_{3} X_{4}=0$. The hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(3, q)$ consists of $(q+1)^{2}$ points and $2(q+1)$ lines that are the union of two reguli. Through a point of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(3, q)$ there pass two lines belonging to different reguli.

A 1-spread is also called line-spread. Recall that a line-spread of $\mathrm{PG}(3, q)$ is a set $\mathcal{S}$ of $q^{2}+1$ lines of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ with the property that each point of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ is incident
with exactly one element of $\mathcal{S}$. A 1-parallelism of $\mathrm{PG}(3, q)$ is a collection $\mathcal{P}$ of $q^{2}+q+1$ line-spreads such that each line of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ is contained in exactly one line-spread of $\mathcal{P}$. In [1] the author proved that there exist 1-parallelisms in $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$.

The Klein quadric $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$, is the set of points of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ which satisfy the equation $X_{1} X_{2}+X_{3} X_{4}+X_{5} X_{6}=0$. The Klein quadric contains $\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ points of and two families each consisting of $q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1$ planes called Latin planes and Greek planes. Two distinct planes in the same family share exactly one point, whereas planes lying in distinct families are either disjoint or meet in a line. A line of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ not contained in $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ is either external, or tangent, or secant to $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$, according as it contains 0,1 or 2 points of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$. A hyperplane of $\mathrm{PG}(5, q)$ contains either $q^{3}+2 q^{2}+q+1$ or $q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1$ points of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$. In the former case the hyperplane is called tangent, contains the $2(q+1)$ planes of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ through one of its points, say $R$, and meets $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ in a cone having as vertex the point $R$ and as base a hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(3, q)$. In the latter case the hyperplane is called secant and contains no plane of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$. The stabilizer of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ in $\operatorname{PGL}(6, q)$, say $G$, contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\operatorname{PGL}(4, q)$. Also, the stabilizer in $G$ of a plane $g$ of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ contains a subgroup $H$ isomorphic to $\operatorname{PGL}(3, q)$ acting in its natural representation on the points and lines of $g$. For more details see [14, Chapter 1]. A Singer cyclic subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}(k, q)$ is a cyclic group acting regularly on points and hyperplanes of a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(k-1, q)$.

### 2.1 Lifting an MRD-code

The set $\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q), n \leqslant m$, of $n \times m$ matrices over the finite field $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ forms a metric space with respect to the rank distance defined by $d_{r}(A, B)=\operatorname{rank}(A-B)$. The maximum size of a code of minimum distance $\delta$, with $1 \leqslant \delta \leqslant n$, in $\left(\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q), d_{r}\right)$ is $q^{m(n-\delta+1)}$. A code $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$ attaining this bound is said to be a $(n \times m, \delta)_{q}$ maximum rank distance code (or MRD-code in short). A rank distance code $\mathcal{A}$ is called $\operatorname{GF}(q)$-linear if $\mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$ considered as a vector space over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. Linear MRD-codes exist for all possible parameters [8, 12, 19, 21].

We recall the so-called lifting process for a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$, see [22]. Let $I_{n}$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The rows of the $n \times n+m$ matrix $\left(I_{n} \mid A\right)$ can be viewed as coordinates of points in general position of an $(n-1)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}(n+m-1, q)$. This subspace is denoted by $L(A)$. Hence the matrix $A$ can be "lifted" to the ( $n-1$ )-space $L(A)$.

Here and in the sequel we denote by $U_{i}$ the point of the ambient projective space represented by the vector having 1 in $i$-th position and 0 elsewhere; furthermore we denote by $\Sigma$ the $(m-1)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}(n+m-1, q)$ containing $U_{n+1}, \ldots, U_{n+m}$. Note that if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $L(A)$ is disjoint from $\Sigma$. The following results are well known, see for instance [10, Theorem 12].

## Proposition 1.

i) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(3 \times m, 2)_{q} M R D$-code, $m \geqslant 3$, then $\mathcal{X}=\{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a set of $q^{2 m}$ planes of $\mathrm{PG}(m+2, q)$ such that every line of $\mathrm{PG}(m+2, q)$ disjoint from $\Sigma$ is contained in exactly one element of $\mathcal{X}$.
ii) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(4 \times m, 3)_{q}$ MRD-code, $m \geqslant 4$, then $\mathcal{X}=\{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a set of $q^{2 m}$ solids of $\mathrm{PG}(m+3, q)$ such that every line of $\mathrm{PG}(m+3, q)$ disjoint from $\Sigma$ is contained in exactly one element of $\mathcal{X}$.
iii) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(4 \times m, 2)_{q}$ MRD-code, $m \geqslant 4$, then $\mathcal{X}=\{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a set of $q^{3 m}$ solids of $\mathrm{PG}(m+3, q)$ such that every plane of $\mathrm{PG}(m+3, q)$ disjoint from $\Sigma$ is contained in exactly one element of $\mathcal{X}$.

In [10, Theorem 15, Theorem 17], the author showed that it is possible to obtain a 2 -covering design $\mathbb{C}_{2}(n+k-1, k, 2)$ or $\mathbb{C}_{2}(n+2,4,3)$ starting form a 2 -covering design $\mathbb{C}_{2}(n, k, 2)$ or $\mathbb{C}_{2}(n, 4,3)$, respectively. These results can be easily generalized for any $q$.

Theorem 2. If there exists a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, 2), n \geqslant 6$, say $\mathbb{S}_{n}$, and a hyperplane $\Lambda_{n}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1, q)$ such that there are $x_{n}(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ not contained in $\Lambda_{n}$ and $y_{n}(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n}$, then there exists a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n+k-1, k, 2)$, say $\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}$, such that $\left|\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}\right|=q^{2(n-1)}+\frac{q^{k}-1}{q-1} x_{n}+y_{n}$.

Moreover there exists an $(n+k-3)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2, q)$, say $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$, such that there are $x_{n+k-1}=q^{2 n-2}+q^{k-1} x_{n}(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}$ not contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ and $y_{n+k-1}=\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1} x_{n}+y_{n}(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$.

Proof. In $\operatorname{PG}(n+k-2, q)$, let $\Lambda_{n}$ be the $(n-2)$-space $\left\langle U_{k+1}, \ldots, U_{n+k-1}\right\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $(k \times(n-1), k-1)_{q}$ MRD-code and let $\mathcal{U}=\{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be the set of $q^{2(n-1)}(k-1)-$ spaces of $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2, q)$ obtained by lifting the matrices of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\Pi$ be the $(k-1)$-space $\left\langle U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}\right\rangle$. Thus $\Pi$ is disjoint from $\Lambda_{n}$. Let us fix a point $\bar{P}$ of $\Pi$. From the hypothesis there is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n, k, 2)$ of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \bar{P}\right\rangle$, say $\mathbb{S}_{n}$, such that $\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|=x_{n}+y_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ is the number of $(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n}$.

Let $M \in \mathrm{GL}(k, q)$ such that the projectivities of $\operatorname{PGL}(k, q)$ induced by the matrices $M^{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^{k}-1$, form a Singer cyclic group of PGL $(k, q)$. Then the projectivities of $\operatorname{PGL}(n+k-1, q)$ associated with the matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
M^{i(q-1)} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & I_{n-1}
\end{array}\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^{k}-1,
$$

give rise to a subgroup $C$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(n+k-1, q)$ having order $\left(q^{k}-1\right) /(q-1)$. In particular, the group $C$ fixes pointwise $\Lambda_{n}$ and permutes the points of $\Pi$ in a single orbit. Hence, if $g, g^{\prime} \in C, g \neq g^{\prime}$, then $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{g} \cap \mathbb{S}_{n}^{g^{\prime}}$ consists of the $y_{n}$ members of $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{g}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}=\bigcup_{g \in C} \mathbb{S}_{n}{ }^{g}$. Observe that $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n+k-1, k, 2)$. Indeed, from Proposition 1, every line of $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2, q)$ disjoint from $\Lambda_{n}$ is contained in exactly one element of $\mathcal{U}$. On the other hand, if $r$ is a line of $\operatorname{PG}(n+k-2, q)$ meeting
$\Lambda_{n}$ in at least a point, then $r$ is contained in $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \bar{P}^{g}\right\rangle$, for some $g \in C$, and $r$ is contained in at least an element of $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{g}$. Hence $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(n+k-1, k, 2)$. Note that $|\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}|=q^{2(n-1)}+\frac{q^{k}-1}{q-1} x_{n}+y_{n}$.

Let $\sigma$ be a $(k-2)$-space of $\Pi$ and let $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ be the hyperplane $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \sigma\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{PG}(n+$ $k-2, q)$. Since every $(k-1)$-space of $\mathcal{U}$ is disjoint from $\Lambda_{n}$, we have that no member of $\mathcal{U}$ is contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$. The elements of $\mathcal{V}$ not contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ are $(k-1)$-spaces of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, P\right\rangle$, for some point $P \in \Pi \backslash \sigma$, not contained in $\Lambda_{n}$. Hence there are

$$
q^{2 n-2}+q^{k-1} x_{n}
$$

$(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ not contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$. Finally note that the members of $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ are $(k-1)$-spaces of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, P\right\rangle$, for some point $P \in \sigma$. Hence there are

$$
\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1} x_{n}+y_{n}
$$

$(k-1)$-spaces of $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$.
Theorem 3. Let $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ be a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3)$, $n \geqslant 4$, such that there is a $(2 n-3)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}(2 n-1, q)$, say $\Lambda_{n}$, containing precisely $\alpha_{n}$ elements of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ and every hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(2 n-1, q)$ through $\Lambda_{n}$ contains $\beta_{n}$ members of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$. Then there exists a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n+2,4,3)$, say $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$, where

$$
\left|\mathbb{S}_{n+1}\right|=q^{6(n-1)}+\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-q(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+1\right) \beta_{n}+q^{3}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) \alpha_{n} .
$$

Moreover there exists a $(2 n-1)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}(2 n+1, q)$, say $\Lambda_{n+1}$, containing $\alpha_{n+1}=$ $\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|$ elements of $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ and such that every hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(2 n+1, q)$ through $\Lambda_{n+1}$ contains $\beta_{n+1}$ members of $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$, where

$$
\beta_{n+1}=\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-\left(q^{3}+q^{2}+q\right) \beta_{n}+q^{3} \alpha_{n} .
$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda_{n}$ be the $(2 n-3)$-space of $\operatorname{PG}(2 n+1, q)$ generated by $U_{5}, \ldots, U_{2 n+2}$, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $(4 \times(2 n-2), 2)$ MRD-code and let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of $q^{6(n-1)}$ solids obtained by lifting the matrices of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\Pi$ be the solid $\left\langle U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}\right\rangle$. Thus $\Pi$ is disjoint from $\Lambda_{n}$. From the hypothesis there is a line $\ell$ of $\Pi$ and a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3)$, say $\mathbb{S}_{n}$, of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \ell\right\rangle$ such that $\alpha_{n}$ elements of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ are contained in $\Lambda_{n}$ and every $2(n-1)$-space of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \ell\right\rangle$ through $\Lambda_{n}$ contains $\beta_{n}$ members of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ be the set of $\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-\alpha_{n}$ solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ not contained in $\Lambda_{n}$ and let $\mathcal{Z}$ denote the $\alpha_{n}$ solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ contained in $\Lambda_{n}$. For a point $P$ of $\ell$, there are $\beta_{n}$ solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ contained in $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, P\right\rangle$, among which $\alpha_{n}$ are contained in $\Lambda_{n}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ be the set of solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ not contained in none of the $2(n-1)$-spaces of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \ell\right\rangle$ through $\Lambda_{n}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ consists of $\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-\beta_{n}-q\left(\beta_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right)$ solids and every plane of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \ell\right\rangle$ intersecting $\Lambda_{n}$ in one point is contained in at least one element of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Note that $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}}$.

For a line $\ell^{\prime}$ of $\Pi$, let $M_{\ell^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{GL}(4, q)$ such that the projectivity of $\operatorname{PGL}(4, q)$ induced by the matrix $M_{\ell^{\prime}}$, maps the line $\ell$ to the line $\ell^{\prime}$. Hence the projectivity $g_{\ell^{\prime}}$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(2 n+2, q)$ associated with the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
M_{\ell^{\prime}} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & I_{2 n-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

sends $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ to a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3)$ of $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \ell^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Varying $r$ among the lines of $\Pi$, we obtain a set $G$ of $\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ projectivities $g_{r}$ of PGL $(2 n+2, q)$ and each of them fixes pointwise $\Lambda_{n}$. If $r, r^{\prime}$ are two distinct lines of $\Pi$, then $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, r\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, r^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is at most a $2(n-1)$-space containing $\Lambda_{n}$; hence $\left|\overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r}}\right|=\left|\overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r^{\prime}}}\right|$ and $\left|\overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r^{\prime}}}\right|=0$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a line-spread of $\Pi$ such that $\ell \in \mathcal{S}$. We have that if $r, r^{\prime}$ are two distinct lines of $\mathcal{S}$, then $\left|\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r}}\right|=\left|\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r^{\prime}}}\right|$ and $\left|\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r^{\prime}}}\right|=0$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the following set of solids:

$$
\bigcup_{g_{r} \in G, r \notin \mathcal{S}} \overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r}}
$$

and by $\mathcal{W}$ the following set of solids:

$$
\bigcup_{g_{r} \in G, r \in \mathcal{S}} \overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r}}
$$

Let $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}=\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W} \cup \mathcal{Z}$. We claim that $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n+2,4,3)$. Let $\pi$ be a plane of $\mathrm{PG}(2 n+1, q)$. If $\pi$ is disjoint from $\Lambda_{n}$, then, from Proposition 1, there is a unique solid of $\mathcal{U}$ containing $\pi$. If $\pi$ meets $\Lambda_{n}$ in a point, then $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \pi\right\rangle$ is a $(2 n-1)$-space meeting the solid $\Pi$ in a line, say $r$. Then there is at least one solid of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r}}$ or of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r}}$ containing $\pi$, according as $r \notin \mathcal{S}$ or $r \in \mathcal{S}$, respectively. If $\pi$ shares with $\Lambda_{n}$ a line, then $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \pi\right\rangle$ is a $2(n-1)$-space meeting the solid $\Pi$ in a point $Q$. Let $\ell^{\prime}$ be the unique member of $\mathcal{S}$ containing $Q$; thus there is a solid of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{\ell^{\prime}}}$ containing $\pi$. Finally, if $\pi \subset \Lambda_{n}$, then there is at least a solid of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{e}} \cup \mathcal{Z}$ containing $\pi$.

By construction it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{S}_{n+1}\right|= & q^{6(n-1)}+\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q\right)\left(\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-\beta_{n}-q\left(\beta_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right)\right)+\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-\alpha_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} \\
& =q^{6(n-1)}+\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-q(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+1\right) \beta_{n}+q^{3}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) \alpha_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to complete the proof, set $\Lambda_{n+1}=\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, \ell\right\rangle$. The number of solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ that are contained in $\Lambda_{n+1}$ coincides with $\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|$. Hence $\alpha_{n+1}=\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|$. A hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(2 n+1, q)$ through $\Lambda_{n+1}$ meets $\Pi$ in a plane, say $\sigma$, where $\ell \subset \sigma$. Since the unique line of $\mathcal{S}$ contained in $\sigma$ is $\ell$, we have that the solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ contained in $\mathcal{H}$ are either the solids of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{\ell}}$ or the solids contained in

$$
\bigcup_{r \text { line of } \sigma, r \neq \ell} \overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r}},
$$

or the image under $g_{r} \in G$ of the $\beta_{n}-\alpha_{n}$ solids of $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ contained in $\left\langle\Lambda_{n}, P\right\rangle$, where $P \in \ell$, $r \in \mathcal{S}, r \neq \ell$, and $P^{g_{r}} \in \sigma$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n+1} & =\left(q^{2}+q\right)\left(\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-\beta_{n}-q\left(\beta_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right)\right)+q^{2}\left(\beta_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right)+\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right| \\
& =\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left|\mathbb{S}_{n}\right|-q\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) \beta_{n}+q^{3} \alpha_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 On $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2)$

In this section we provide an upper bound on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2), n \geqslant 4$. In [7], a constructive upper bound on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(6,3,2)$ has been given. In what follows we recall the construction and some of the properties of this $q$-covering design.
Construction 4. Let $g$ be a Greek plane of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$. From [7, Lemma 2.2], there exists a set $\mathcal{X}$ of $q^{6}-q^{3}$ planes disjoint from $g$ and meeting $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ in a non-degenerate conic that, together with the set $\mathcal{Y}$ of $q^{3}+q^{2}+q$ Greek planes of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ distinct from $g$, cover every line $\ell$ of $\mathrm{PG}(5, q)$ that is either disjoint from $g$ or contained in $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q) \backslash g$.

Let $\ell$ be a line of $g$. Through the line $\ell$ there pass $q-1$ planes meeting $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ exactly in $\ell$ and a unique Latin plane $\pi$. Varying the line $\ell$ over the plane $g$ and considering the planes meeting $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ exactly in $\ell$, we get a family $\mathcal{Z}$ of consisting of $(q-1)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)=$ $q^{3}-1$ planes. From [7, Lemma 2.3], every line that is tangent to $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ at a point of $g$ is contained in exactly a plane of $\mathcal{Z}$.

Let $P$ be a point of $\ell$. Through the point $P$ there pass $q$ lines of $\pi$ and $q$ lines of $g$ distinct from $\ell$ and contained in $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$. Let $S$ be the set of $q^{2}$ planes generated by a line of $\pi$ through $P$ distinct from $\ell$ and a line of $g$ through $P$ distinct from $\ell$. Let $C$ be a Singer cyclic group of the group $H \simeq \operatorname{PGL}(3, q)$. Here $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ stabilizing the plane $g$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the orbit of the set $S$ under $C$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ consists of $q^{2}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ planes and each of these planes has $2 q+1$ points in common with $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ on two intersecting lines of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$. From [7, Lemma 2.4], every line that is secant to $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ and has a point on $g$ is contained in exactly one plane of $\mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 5 ([7, Theorem 2.5]). The set $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{T}$ is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(6,3,2)$ of size $q^{6}+q^{4}+2 q^{3}+2 q^{2}+q-1$.

We will need the following result.
Theorem 6. There exists a hyperplane $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ such that $q^{3}+2 q^{2}+q-1$ elements of $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{T}$ are contained in $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$ containing $g$. Then $\Gamma$ is a tangent hyperplane and contains the planes of $\mathcal{Q}^{+}(5, q)$ through a point $R$ of $g$. In particular, there are $q$ planes of $\mathcal{Y}$ contained in $\Gamma$. First of all observe that no plane of $\mathcal{X}$ is contained in $\Gamma$. Indeed, by way of contradiction, assume that a plane of $\mathcal{X}$ is contained in $\Gamma$. Then such a plane would meet $g$ in at least a point, contradicting the fact that every plane of $\mathcal{X}$ is disjoint from $g$. A plane of $\mathcal{Z}$ that is contained in $\Gamma$ has to contain the point $R$. On the other hand, the $q-1$ planes of $\mathcal{Z}$, passing through a line of $g$ which is incident with $R$, are contained in $\Gamma$. Hence there are $(q+1)(q-1)=q^{2}-1$ planes of $\mathcal{Z}$ contained in $\Gamma$. If $\pi$ is a Latin plane contained in $\Gamma$, then $\pi \cap g$ is a line, say $\ell$. By construction there is a point $P \in \ell$ such that the set $\mathcal{T}$ contains $q^{2}$ planes meeting $\pi$ in a line through $P$ and $g$ in a line through $P$. Note that these $q^{2}$ planes of $\mathcal{T}$ are contained in $\Gamma$. It follows that there are $q^{2}(q+1)$ planes of $\mathcal{T}$ contained in $\Gamma$. The result follows.

Starting from the $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(6,3,2)$ of Theorem 5 , Theorem 2 can be used recursively to obtain a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2), n \geqslant 4$, of size

$$
q^{2} \theta_{2 n-4, q^{2}}+q^{2 n-3}-1+\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(\theta_{4 i-5, q}-\theta_{2 i-4, q}\right)
$$

In particular there is a hyperplane $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{PG}(2 n-1, q)$ such that there are

$$
q^{2 n-3}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{2(n+j-1)}
$$

planes of $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2)$ not contained in $\Gamma$ and

$$
(q+1)\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(q^{2 i-3}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-2} q^{2(i+j-1)}\right)\right)-1
$$

planes of $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2)$ contained in $\Gamma$.
Theorem 7. If $n \geqslant 3$, then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 3,2) \leqslant q^{2} \theta_{2 n-4, q^{2}}+q^{2 n-3}-1+\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(\theta_{4 i-5, q}-\theta_{2 i-4, q}\right) .
$$

## $4 \quad$ On $\mathcal{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2)$

In this section we provide an upper bound on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2), n \geqslant 0$. We first deal with the case $n=0$.
Construction 8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $(4 \times 4,3)_{q}$ MRD-code and let $\mathcal{X}=\{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be the set of $q^{8}$ solids of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ obtained by lifting the matrices of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the solid of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ containing $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}$. Then $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $\Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\ell_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^{2}+1\right\}$ be a line-spread of $\Sigma$, let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left\{\ell_{i}^{\prime} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^{2}+1\right\}$ be a line-spread of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and let $\mu: \ell_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \longmapsto \ell_{i} \in \mathcal{S}$ be a bijection. Let $\Gamma_{i}$ denote the 5 -space containing $\Sigma$ and $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^{2}+1$. If $\gamma$ is a plane of $\Sigma$, then there are $q^{2}+q$ solids of $\Gamma_{i}$ meeting $\Sigma$ exactly in $\gamma$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{i}$ be the set of $q(q+1)^{2}$ solids of $\Gamma_{i}$ (distinct from $\Sigma$ ) meeting $\Sigma$ in a plane containing $\mu\left(\ell_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{Y}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{q^{2}+1} \mathcal{Y}_{i}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ consists of $q(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$ solids.
Theorem 9. The set $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(8,4,2)$ of size $q^{8}+q(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$.
Proof. Let $r$ be a line of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$. If $r$ is disjoint from $\Sigma$, then from Proposition 1, we have that $r$ is contained in exactly one element of $\mathcal{X}$. If $r$ meets $\Sigma$ in one point, say $P$, then let $\Lambda$ be the 4 -space $\langle\Sigma, r\rangle$, let $\ell_{j}$ be the unique line of $\mathcal{S}$ containing $P$, let $P^{\prime}$ be the point $\Sigma^{\prime} \cap \Lambda$ and let $\ell_{k}^{\prime}$ be the unique line of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ containing $P^{\prime}$. If $j=k$, then $P \in \ell_{k}$ and $r$ is contained in the $q+1$ solids $\langle\alpha, r\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Y}$, where $\alpha$ is a plane of $\Sigma$ containing $\ell_{k}$. If $j \neq k$, then $P \notin \ell_{k}$. Let $\beta$ be the plane of $\Sigma$ containing $\ell_{k}$ and $P$. Then $r$ is contained in $\langle\beta, r\rangle$, where $\langle\beta, r\rangle$ is a solid of $\mathcal{Y}$. Finally let $r$ be a line of $\Sigma$, then $r$ is contained in $q(q+1)^{2}$ solids of $\mathcal{Y}$.

Remark 10. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Desarguesian line-spread of $\mathrm{PG}(7, q)$. There are $\left(q^{4}+1\right)\left(q^{4}+q^{2}+1\right)$ solids of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ containing exactly $q^{2}+1$ lines of $\mathcal{L}$. If $\mathcal{Z}$ denotes the set of these solids, then it is not difficult to see that every line of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ is contained in at least a solid of $\mathcal{Z}$. In [17, p. 221], K. Metsch posed the following question: "Is $\left(q^{4}+1\right)\left(q^{4}+q^{2}+1\right)$ the smallest cardinality of a set of 3 -spaces of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ that cover every line?" Theorem 9 provides a negative answer to this question.
Remark 11. When $q=2$, in the proof of [10, Theorem 13], the existence of a 2 -covering design $\mathbb{C}_{2}(8,4,2)$ of size 346 has been shown.

Proposition 12. There exists a hyperplane $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{PG}(7, q)$ such that precisely $q(q+1)(2 q+$ 1) members of $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ are contained in $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ containing $\Sigma$. Then no element of $\mathcal{X}$ is contained in $\Gamma$, otherwise such a solid would meet $\Sigma$, contradicting the fact that every solid in $\mathcal{X}$ is disjoint from $\Sigma$. The hyperplane $\Gamma$ intersects $\Sigma^{\prime}$ in a plane $\sigma$. The plane $\sigma$ contains exactly one line of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, say $\ell_{k}^{\prime}$. Hence the $q(q+1)^{2}$ solids of $\mathcal{Y}$ meeting $\Sigma$ in a plane through the line $\mu\left(\ell_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\ell_{k}$ are contained in $\Gamma$. Let $\ell_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, with $j \neq k$, then $\ell_{j}^{\prime} \cap \sigma$ is a point, say $R$. In this case the $q+1$ solids generated by $R$ and a plane of $\Sigma$ through $\mu\left(\ell_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\ell_{j}$ is contained in $\Gamma$. Since the elements of $\mathcal{Y}$ are those contained in the 5 -space $\left\langle\Sigma, \ell_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $\ell_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, and meeting $\Sigma$ in a plane through $\ell_{i}$, the proof is complete.

As before, by using Theorem 2, the $q$-covering design of Theorem 9 can be used recursively to obtain a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2), n \geqslant 1$, of size

$$
q^{3 n+5} \theta_{n+1, q^{3}}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta_{6 i+10, q}-\theta_{3 i+4, q}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(q^{3 i+2}\left(2 q^{2}-1\right)\right)+q(q+1)(2 q+1)
$$

In particular, there exists a hyperplane $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{PG}(3 n+7, q)$ such that there are

$$
q^{3 n+2}\left(2 q^{2}-1\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} q^{3(n+j)+5}
$$

solids of $\mathbb{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2)$ not contained in $\Gamma$ and

$$
\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(q^{3 i+2}\left(2 q^{2}-1\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{i+1} q^{3(i+j)+5}\right)\right)+q(q+1)(2 q+1)
$$

solids of $\mathbb{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2)$ contained in $\Gamma$.
Theorem 13. If $n \geqslant 0$, then
$\mathcal{C}_{q}(3 n+8,4,2) \leqslant q^{3 n+5} \theta_{n+1, q^{3}}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta_{6 i+10, q}-\theta_{3 i+4, q}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(q^{3 i+2}\left(2 q^{2}-1\right)\right)+q(q+1)(2 q+1)$.

## 5 On $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3)$

The main goal of this section is to give an upper bound on $\mathcal{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3)$, $n \geqslant 4$. We begin by providing a construction in the case $n=4$.
Construction 14. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $(4 \times 4,2)_{q}$ MRD-code and let $\mathcal{X}=\{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be the set of $q^{12}$ solids of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ obtained by lifting the matrices of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the solid of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ containing $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}$. Then $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $\Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant\right.$ $\left.q^{2}+q+1\right\}$ be a 1 -parallelism of $\Sigma$, let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^{2}+q+1\right\}$ be a 1-parallelism of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and let $\mu: \mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime} \longmapsto \mathcal{S}_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{i}$ be a bijection. For a line $\ell^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$, let $\Gamma_{\ell^{\prime}}$ denote the 5 -space containing $\Sigma$ and $\ell^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a 1 -parallelism of $\Sigma^{\prime}$, there exists a unique $j$, with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant q^{2}+q+1$, such that $\ell^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{j}^{\prime}$. Note that $\mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{j}$ is a line-spread of $\Sigma$. Let $\ell$ be a line of $\mathcal{S}_{j}$ and let $\mathcal{Y}_{\ell}$ be the set of $q^{4}$ solids of $\Gamma_{\ell^{\prime}}$ (distinct from $\Sigma$ ) meeting $\Sigma$ exactly in $\ell$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{j}} \mathcal{Y}_{\ell}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell^{\prime}}$ consists of $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$ solids. Varying $\ell^{\prime}$ among the lines of $\Sigma^{\prime}$, we get a set

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\bigcup_{\ell^{\prime} \text { line of } \Sigma^{\prime}} \mathcal{Z}_{\ell^{\prime}}
$$

consisting of $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ solids.
Theorem 15. The set $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup\{\Sigma\}$ is a $q$-covering design $\mathbb{C}_{q}(8,4,3)$ of size $q^{12}+q^{4}\left(q^{2}+\right.$ $1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)+1$.

Proof. Let $\pi$ be a plane of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$. If $\pi$ is disjoint from $\Sigma$, then, from Proposition 1 , we have that $\pi$ is contained in exactly one element of $\mathcal{X}$. If $\pi$ meets $\Sigma$ in one point, say $P$, then let $\Lambda$ be the 5 -space $\langle\Sigma, \pi\rangle$ and let $\ell^{\prime}$ be the line of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ obtained by intersecting $\Sigma^{\prime}$ with $\Lambda$. Note that $\Lambda=\Gamma_{\ell^{\prime}}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{\prime}$ be the unique line-spread of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ containing $\ell^{\prime}$. Then there exists a unique line $\ell$ of $\mathcal{S}_{j}=\mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $P \in \ell$ and $\pi$ is contained in $\langle\pi, \ell\rangle$, that is a solid of $\mathcal{Z}$. If $\pi$ meets $\Sigma$ in a line, say $r$, then let $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ be the unique line-spread of $\mathcal{P}$ containing $r$ and let $\Lambda$ be the 4 -space $\langle\Sigma, \pi\rangle$. Then $\Lambda \cap \Sigma^{\prime}$ is a point, which belongs to a unique line, say $r^{\prime}$, of the line-spread $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{k}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Since there are $q^{2}$ solids of $\Gamma_{r^{\prime}}$ meeting $\Sigma$ exactly in $r$ and containing $\pi$, we have that in this case $\pi$ is contained in $q^{2}$ members of $\mathcal{Z}$. Finally if $\pi$ is a plane of $\Sigma$, then $\pi$ is contained in $\Sigma$.

Remark 16. Note that, as regard as the case $q=2$, in the proof of [10, Theorem 16] the author exhibited a 2 -covering design $\mathbb{C}_{2}(8,4,3)$ of size 6897 .

Proposition 17. There exists a 5-space $\Lambda$ of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ containing exactly $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)+1$ members of $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup\{\Sigma\}$. Moreover every hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ through $\Lambda$ contains precisely $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)+1$ solids of $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup\{\Sigma\}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda$ be a 5 -space containing $\Sigma$. Then $\Lambda$ meets $\Sigma^{\prime}$ in a line, say $r$, and $\Lambda=\langle\Sigma, r\rangle$. The line $r$ belongs to a unique line-spread $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime}$ of the 1 -parallelism $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Then $\mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{i}$ is a line-spread belonging to the 1 -parallelism $\mathcal{P}$ of $\Sigma$. The $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$ solids of $\mathcal{Z}$ lying in $\langle\Sigma, r\rangle$ meet $\Sigma$ in a line of $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ and are contained in $\Lambda$. Let $s$ be a line of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $s \neq r$. In this case none of the $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$ solids of $\mathcal{Z}$ lying in $\langle\Sigma, s\rangle$ is contained in $\Lambda$. Indeed,
assume by contradiction that there is a solid $\Delta$ contained in $\Lambda \cap\langle\Sigma, s\rangle$, then $\Delta \subset\langle\Sigma, s \cap r\rangle$ and hence $\Delta \cap \Sigma$ is a plane of $\Sigma$, contradicing the fact that every solid of $\mathcal{Z}$ meets $\Sigma$ in a line. On the other hand, no solid of $\mathcal{X}$ is contained in $\Lambda$, otherwise such a solid would meet $\Sigma$ not trivially. Finally note that $\Sigma$ is a solid of $\Lambda$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(7, q)$ through $\Lambda$. Then $\Gamma \cap \Sigma^{\prime}$ is a plane, say $\sigma$, containing the line $r$. Repeating the previous argument for every line of the plane $\sigma$, it turns out that there are $q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ solids of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\Gamma$, as required.

Let $\mathbb{S}_{4}$ denotes $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup\{\Sigma\}$. As in the previous sections, $\mathbb{S}_{4}$ can be used as a basis for a recursive construction of a $q$-covering designs $\mathbb{C}_{q}(2 n, 4,3), n \geqslant 5$.

Theorem 18.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}_{q}(8,4,3) \leqslant q^{12}+q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)+1 \\
\mathcal{C}_{q}(10,4,3) \leqslant q^{18}+q^{4}\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(q^{8}+q^{6}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+1\right)+1
\end{gathered}
$$
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