# On q-covering designs

Francesco Pavese\*

Dipartimento di Meccanica, Matematica e Management, Politecnico di Bari, Via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy. francesco.pavese@poliba.it

Submitted: May 7, 2019; Accepted: Dec 30, 2019; Published: Feb 7, 2020 (c) The author. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

#### Abstract

A q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n,k,r)$ ,  $k \ge r$ , is a collection  $\mathcal{X}$  of (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q)$  such that every (r-1)-space of  $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q)$  is contained in at least one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_q(n,k,r)$  denote the minimum number of (k-1)-spaces in a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n,k,r)$ . In this paper improved upper bounds on  $\mathcal{C}_q(2n,3,2)$ ,  $n \ge 4$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_q(3n+8,4,2)$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_q(2n,4,3)$ ,  $n \ge 4$ , are presented. The results are achieved by constructing the related q-covering designs.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 51E20, 05B40, 05B25, 51A05

### 1 Introduction

Let q be any prime power, let GF(q) be the finite field with q elements and let PG(n-1,q) be the (n-1)-dimensional projective space over GF(q). We will use the term k-space to denote a subspace of PG(n-1,q) of projective dimension k. Let  $t \leq s$ . A blocking set  $\mathbb{B}$  is a set of (t-1)-spaces of PG(n-1,q) such that every (s-1)-space of PG(n-1,q) contains at least one element of  $\mathbb{B}$ . In the last fifty years the general problem of determining the smallest cardinality of a blocking set  $\mathbb{B}$  has been studied by several authors (see [17, 4] and references therein) and in very few cases has been completely solved [5, 2, 3, 9, 18].

A blocking set  $\mathbb{B}$  can be seen as a q-analog of a well known combinatorial design, called *Turán design*, see [11], [10]. Indeed, a blocking set  $\mathbb{B}$  is also called a q-*Turán design*  $\mathbb{T}_q(n,t,s)$ . The dual structure of a q-Turán design  $\mathbb{T}_q(n,t,s)$  is called q-covering design and it is denoted with  $\mathbb{C}_q(n,n-t,n-s)$ . In other words, a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n,k,r)$  is a collection  $\mathcal{X}$  of (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q)$  such that every (r-1)-space of  $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q)$  is contained in at least one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_q(n,k,r)$  denote

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Italian National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications (GNSAGA– INdAM).

the minimum number of (k - 1)-spaces in a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n, k, r)$ . Lower and upper bounds on  $\mathcal{C}_q(n, k, r)$  were considered in [11], [10]. Lower bounds are obtained by providing q-analogs of classical results which have been proved in the context of covering designs and Turán designs; upper bounds are obtained by explicit constructions of the related q-covering designs. A q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n, k, r)$  which cover every (r-1)-space exactly once is called q-Steiner system. If r = 1, a q-Steiner system  $\mathbb{C}_q(n, k, r)$  is also known as (k - 1)-spread of PG(n - 1, q); spreads have been widely investigated in finite geometry and it is known that a (k - 1)-spread of PG(n - 1, q) exists if and only if kdivides n, see [20].

The concept of q-covering design is of interest not only in projective geometry and design theory, but also in coding theory. Indeed, in recent years there has been an increasing interest in q-covering designs due to their connections with constant-dimension codes. An  $(n, M, 2\delta; k)_q$  constant-dimension subspace code (CDC) is a set S of (k - 1)spaces of PG(n - 1, q) such that |S| = M and every  $(k - \delta)$ -space of PG(n - 1, q) is contained in at most one member of S or, equivalently, any two distinct codewords of Sintersect in at most a  $(k - \delta - 1)$ -space. Subspace codes of largest possible size are said to be *optimal*. Therefore, a q-Steiner system is an optimal constant-dimension code (so far, apart from spreads, there is only one known example of q-Steiner system, i.e., the 2-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_2(13, 3, 2)$  of smallest possible size [6]). Observe that, as shown in the inspiring article by Koetter and Kschischang [16], constant-dimension codes can be used for error-correction in random linear network coding theory.

In this paper we discuss bounds on q-covering designs. In Section 3, based on the qcovering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(6,3,2)$  constructed in [7], an improved upper bound on  $\mathcal{C}_q(2n,3,2), n \ge$ 4, is presented. In the last two sections, starting from a lifted MRD-code, improvements
on the upper bounds of  $\mathcal{C}_q(3n + 8, 4, 2), n \ge 0$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_q(2n, 4, 3), n \ge 4$ , are obtained.
In particular, first q-covering designs  $\mathbb{C}_q(8, 4, r), r = 2, 3$ , of PG(7, q) are constructed.
Then, by induction, q-covering designs  $\mathbb{C}_q(3n + 8, 4, 2), n \ge 0$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 4, 3), n \ge 4$ ,
are presented.

In the sequel we will use the following notation  $\theta_{n,q} := {n+1 \brack 1}_q = q^n + \ldots + q + 1.$ 

### 2 Preliminaries

A conic of PG(2, q) is the set of points of PG(2, q) satisfying a quadratic equation:  $a_{11}X_1^2 + a_{22}X_2^2 + a_{33}X_3^2 + a_{12}X_1X_2 + a_{13}X_1X_3 + a_{23}X_2X_3 = 0$ . There exist four kinds of conics in PG(2, q), three of which are degenerate (splitting into lines, which could be in the plane  $PG(2, q^2)$ ) and one of which is non-degenerate, see [13].

A regulus is the set of lines intersecting three skew (disjoint) lines and has size q + 1. The hyperbolic quadric  $Q^+(3,q)$ , is the set of points of PG(3,q) which satisfy the equation  $X_1X_2 + X_3X_4 = 0$ . The hyperbolic quadric  $Q^+(3,q)$  consists of  $(q+1)^2$  points and 2(q+1) lines that are the union of two reguli. Through a point of  $Q^+(3,q)$  there pass two lines belonging to different reguli.

A 1-spread is also called *line-spread*. Recall that a line-spread of PG(3,q) is a set S of  $q^2 + 1$  lines of PG(3,q) with the property that each point of PG(3,q) is incident

with exactly one element of S. A 1-parallelism of PG(3,q) is a collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $q^2 + q + 1$ line-spreads such that each line of PG(3,q) is contained in exactly one line-spread of  $\mathcal{P}$ . In [1] the author proved that there exist 1-parallelisms in PG(3,q).

The Klein quadric  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$ , is the set of points of PG(5,q) which satisfy the equation  $X_1X_2 + X_3X_4 + X_5X_6 = 0$ . The Klein quadric contains  $(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)$  points of and two families each consisting of  $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$  planes called *Latin planes* and *Greek planes*. Two distinct planes in the same family share exactly one point, whereas planes lying in distinct families are either disjoint or meet in a line. A line of PG(5,q) not contained in  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  is either external, or tangent, or secant to  $Q^+(5,q)$ , according as it contains 0, 1 or 2 points of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$ . A hyperplane of PG(5,q) contains either  $q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$  or  $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$  points of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$ . In the former case the hyperplane is called *tangent*, contains the 2(q+1)planes of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  through one of its points, say R, and meets  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  in a cone having as vertex the point R and as base a hyperbolic quadric  $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ . In the latter case the hyperplane is called *secant* and contains no plane of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$ . The stabilizer of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$ in PGL(6,q), say G, contains a subgroup isomorphic to PGL(4,q). Also, the stabilizer in G of a plane g of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  contains a subgroup H isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PGL}(3,q)$  acting in its natural representation on the points and lines of q. For more details see [14, Chapter 1]. A Singer cyclic subgroup of PGL(k,q) is a cyclic group acting regularly on points and hyperplanes of a projective space PG(k-1,q).

#### 2.1 Lifting an MRD–code

The set  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$ ,  $n \leq m$ , of  $n \times m$  matrices over the finite field  $\mathrm{GF}(q)$  forms a metric space with respect to the rank distance defined by  $d_r(A, B) = \operatorname{rank}(A-B)$ . The maximum size of a code of minimum distance  $\delta$ , with  $1 \leq \delta \leq n$ , in  $(\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q), d_r)$  is  $q^{m(n-\delta+1)}$ . A code  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$  attaining this bound is said to be a  $(n \times m, \delta)_q$  maximum rank distance code (or MRD-code in short). A rank distance code  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ -linear if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$  considered as a vector space over  $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ . Linear MRD-codes exist for all possible parameters [8, 12, 19, 21].

We recall the so-called *lifting process* for a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(q)$ , see [22]. Let  $I_n$  be the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. The rows of the  $n \times n + m$  matrix  $(I_n|A)$  can be viewed as coordinates of points in general position of an (n-1)-space of PG(n+m-1,q). This subspace is denoted by L(A). Hence the matrix A can be "lifted" to the (n-1)-space L(A).

Here and in the sequel we denote by  $U_i$  the point of the ambient projective space represented by the vector having 1 in *i*-th position and 0 elsewhere; furthermore we denote by  $\Sigma$  the (m-1)-space of PG(n+m-1,q) containing  $U_{n+1}, \ldots, U_{n+m}$ . Note that if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then L(A) is disjoint from  $\Sigma$ . The following results are well known, see for instance [10, Theorem 12].

### Proposition 1.

- i) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(3 \times m, 2)_q$  MRD-code,  $m \ge 3$ , then  $\mathcal{X} = \{L(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a set of  $q^{2m}$  planes of  $\mathrm{PG}(m+2,q)$  such that every line of  $\mathrm{PG}(m+2,q)$  disjoint from  $\Sigma$  is contained in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(4 \times m, 3)_q$  MRD-code,  $m \ge 4$ , then  $\mathcal{X} = \{L(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a set of  $q^{2m}$  solids of PG(m+3,q) such that every line of PG(m+3,q) disjoint from  $\Sigma$  is contained in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- iii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(4 \times m, 2)_q$  MRD-code,  $m \ge 4$ , then  $\mathcal{X} = \{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a set of  $q^{3m}$  solids of PG(m+3,q) such that every plane of PG(m+3,q) disjoint from  $\Sigma$  is contained in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

In [10, Theorem 15, Theorem 17], the author showed that it is possible to obtain a 2-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_2(n+k-1,k,2)$  or  $\mathbb{C}_2(n+2,4,3)$  starting form a 2-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_2(n,k,2)$  or  $\mathbb{C}_2(n,4,3)$ , respectively. These results can be easily generalized for any q.

**Theorem 2.** If there exists a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n, k, 2)$ ,  $n \ge 6$ , say  $\mathbb{S}_n$ , and a hyperplane  $\Lambda_n$  of  $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q)$  such that there are  $x_n$  (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  not contained in  $\Lambda_n$  and  $y_n$  (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  contained in  $\Lambda_n$ , then there exists a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n+k-1,k,2)$ , say  $\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}$ , such that  $|\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}| = q^{2(n-1)} + \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}x_n + y_n$ . Moreover there exists an (n+k-3)-space of  $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2,q)$ , say  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ , such that

Moreover there exists an (n+k-3)-space of PG(n+k-2,q), say  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ , such that there are  $x_{n+k-1} = q^{2n-2} + q^{k-1}x_n$  (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}$  not contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$  and  $y_{n+k-1} = \frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1}x_n + y_n$  (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathbb{S}_{n+k-1}$  contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ .

Proof. In  $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2,q)$ , let  $\Lambda_n$  be the (n-2)-space  $\langle U_{k+1}, \ldots, U_{n+k-1} \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $(k \times (n-1), k-1)_q$  MRD-code and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be the set of  $q^{2(n-1)}$  (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2,q)$  obtained by lifting the matrices of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the (k-1)-space  $\langle U_1, \ldots, U_k \rangle$ . Thus  $\Pi$  is disjoint from  $\Lambda_n$ . Let us fix a point  $\overline{P}$  of  $\Pi$ . From the hypothesis there is a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n,k,2)$  of  $\langle \Lambda_n, \overline{P} \rangle$ , say  $\mathbb{S}_n$ , such that  $|\mathbb{S}_n| = x_n + y_n$  and  $y_n$  is the number of (k-1)-spaces of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  contained in  $\Lambda_n$ .

Let  $M \in GL(k,q)$  such that the projectivities of PGL(k,q) induced by the matrices  $M^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q^k - 1$ , form a Singer cyclic group of PGL(k,q). Then the projectivities of PGL(n+k-1,q) associated with the matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} M^{i(q-1)} & 0\\ \hline 0 & I_{n-1} \end{array}\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q^k - 1,$$

give rise to a subgroup C of  $\operatorname{PGL}(n+k-1,q)$  having order  $(q^k-1)/(q-1)$ . In particular, the group C fixes pointwise  $\Lambda_n$  and permutes the points of  $\Pi$  in a single orbit. Hence, if  $g, g' \in C, g \neq g'$ , then  $\mathbb{S}_n^g \cap \mathbb{S}_n^{g'}$  consists of the  $y_n$  members of  $\mathbb{S}_n^g$  contained in  $\Lambda_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{g \in C} \mathbb{S}_n^{g}$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  is a *q*-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n+k-1,k,2)$ . Indeed, from Proposition 1, every line of  $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2,q)$  disjoint from  $\Lambda_n$  is contained in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{U}$ . On the other hand, if *r* is a line of  $\mathrm{PG}(n+k-2,q)$  meeting  $\Lambda_n$  in at least a point, then r is contained in  $\langle \Lambda_n, \bar{P}^g \rangle$ , for some  $g \in C$ , and r is contained in at least an element of  $\mathbb{S}_n^g$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  is a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(n+k-1,k,2)$ . Note that  $|\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}| = q^{2(n-1)} + \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}x_n + y_n$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a (k-2)-space of  $\Pi$  and let  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$  be the hyperplane  $\langle \Lambda_n, \sigma \rangle$  of PG(n+k-2,q). Since every (k-1)-space of  $\mathcal{U}$  is disjoint from  $\Lambda_n$ , we have that no member of  $\mathcal{U}$  is contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  not contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$  are (k-1)-spaces of  $\langle \Lambda_n, P \rangle$ , for some point  $P \in \Pi \setminus \sigma$ , not contained in  $\Lambda_n$ . Hence there are

$$q^{2n-2} + q^{k-1}x_n$$

(k-1)-spaces of  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  not contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ . Finally note that the members of  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$  are (k-1)-spaces of  $\langle \Lambda_n, P \rangle$ , for some point  $P \in \sigma$ . Hence there are

$$\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1}x_n + y_r$$

(k-1)-spaces of  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  contained in  $\Lambda_{n+k-1}$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathbb{S}_n$  be a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 4, 3)$ ,  $n \ge 4$ , such that there is a (2n-3)-space of  $\mathrm{PG}(2n-1,q)$ , say  $\Lambda_n$ , containing precisely  $\alpha_n$  elements of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  and every hyperplane of  $\mathrm{PG}(2n-1,q)$  through  $\Lambda_n$  contains  $\beta_n$  members of  $\mathbb{S}_n$ . Then there exists a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n+2,4,3)$ , say  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ , where

$$|\mathbb{S}_{n+1}| = q^{6(n-1)} + (q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)|\mathbb{S}_n| - q(q+1)^2(q^2+1)\beta_n + q^3(q^2+q+1)\alpha_n.$$

Moreover there exists a (2n-1)-space of PG(2n+1,q), say  $\Lambda_{n+1}$ , containing  $\alpha_{n+1} = |\mathbb{S}_n|$  elements of  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  and such that every hyperplane of PG(2n+1,q) through  $\Lambda_{n+1}$  contains  $\beta_{n+1}$  members of  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ , where

$$\beta_{n+1} = (q^2 + q + 1)|\mathbb{S}_n| - (q^3 + q^2 + q)\beta_n + q^3\alpha_n.$$

Proof. Let  $\Lambda_n$  be the (2n-3)-space of  $\operatorname{PG}(2n+1,q)$  generated by  $U_5, \ldots, U_{2n+2}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $(4 \times (2n-2), 2)$  MRD-code and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of  $q^{6(n-1)}$  solids obtained by lifting the matrices of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the solid  $\langle U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 \rangle$ . Thus  $\Pi$  is disjoint from  $\Lambda_n$ . From the hypothesis there is a line  $\ell$  of  $\Pi$  and a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 4, 3)$ , say  $\mathbb{S}_n$ , of  $\langle \Lambda_n, \ell \rangle$  such that  $\alpha_n$  elements of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  are contained in  $\Lambda_n$  and every 2(n-1)-space of  $\langle \Lambda_n, \ell \rangle$  through  $\Lambda_n$  contains  $\beta_n$  members of  $\mathbb{S}_n$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$  be the set of  $|\mathbb{S}_n| - \alpha_n$  solids of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  not contained in  $\Lambda_n$  and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  denote the  $\alpha_n$  solids of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  contained in  $\Lambda_n$ . For a point P of  $\ell$ , there are  $\beta_n$ solids of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  not contained in none of the 2(n-1)-spaces of  $\langle \Lambda_n, \ell \rangle$  through  $\Lambda_n$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  consists of  $|\mathbb{S}_n| - \beta_n - q(\beta_n - \alpha_n)$  solids and every plane of  $\langle \Lambda_n, \ell \rangle$  intersecting  $\Lambda_n$  in one point is contained in at least one element of  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ . Note that  $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}}$ .

For a line  $\ell'$  of  $\Pi$ , let  $M_{\ell'} \in \operatorname{GL}(4, q)$  such that the projectivity of  $\operatorname{PGL}(4, q)$  induced by the matrix  $M_{\ell'}$ , maps the line  $\ell$  to the line  $\ell'$ . Hence the projectivity  $g_{\ell'}$  of  $\operatorname{PGL}(2n+2,q)$ associated with the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_{\ell'} & 0\\ \hline 0 & I_{2n-2} \end{array}\right),$$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 27(1) (2020), #P1.35

sends  $\mathbb{S}_n$  to a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 4, 3)$  of  $\langle \Lambda_n, \ell' \rangle$ . Varying r among the lines of  $\Pi$ , we obtain a set G of  $(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)$  projectivities  $g_r$  of PGL(2n + 2, q) and each of them fixes pointwise  $\Lambda_n$ . If r, r' are two distinct lines of  $\Pi$ , then  $\langle \Lambda_n, r \rangle \cap \langle \Lambda_n, r' \rangle$  is at most a 2(n-1)-space containing  $\Lambda_n$ ; hence  $|\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{g_r}| = |\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r'}}|$  and  $|\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{g_r} \cap \bar{\mathcal{V}}^{g_{r'}}| = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a line-spread of  $\Pi$  such that  $\ell \in \mathcal{S}$ . We have that if r, r' are two distinct lines of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $|\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{g_r}| = |\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r'}}|$  and  $|\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{g_r} \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{r'}}| = 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the following set of solids:

$$\bigcup_{g_r \in G, r \notin \mathcal{S}} \bar{\mathcal{V}}^{g_r}$$

and by  $\mathcal{W}$  the following set of solids:

$$\bigcup_{g_r \in G, r \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{g_r}$$

Let  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1} = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W} \cup \mathcal{Z}$ . We claim that  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  is a *q*-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n+2,4,3)$ . Let  $\pi$  be a plane of  $\mathrm{PG}(2n+1,q)$ . If  $\pi$  is disjoint from  $\Lambda_n$ , then, from Proposition 1, there is a unique solid of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $\pi$ . If  $\pi$  meets  $\Lambda_n$  in a point, then  $\langle \Lambda_n, \pi \rangle$  is a (2n-1)-space meeting the solid  $\Pi$  in a line, say r. Then there is at least one solid of  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}^{g_r}$  or of  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_r}$  containing  $\pi$ , according as  $r \notin \mathcal{S}$  or  $r \in \mathcal{S}$ , respectively. If  $\pi$  shares with  $\Lambda_n$  a line, then  $\langle \Lambda_n, \pi \rangle$  is a 2(n-1)-space meeting the solid  $\Pi$  in a point Q. Let  $\ell'$  be the unique member of  $\mathcal{S}$  containing Q; thus there is a solid of  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_{\ell'}}$  containing  $\pi$ . Finally, if  $\pi \subset \Lambda_n$ , then there is at least a solid of  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_\ell} \cup \mathcal{Z}$  containing  $\pi$ .

By construction it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{S}_{n+1}| &= q^{6(n-1)} + (q^2+1)(q^2+q) \left(|\mathbb{S}_n| - \beta_n - q(\beta_n - \alpha_n)\right) + (q^2+1)(|\mathbb{S}_n| - \alpha_n) + \alpha_n \\ &= q^{6(n-1)} + (q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)|\mathbb{S}_n| - q(q+1)^2(q^2+1)\beta_n + q^3(q^2+q+1)\alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof, set  $\Lambda_{n+1} = \langle \Lambda_n, \ell \rangle$ . The number of solids of  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  that are contained in  $\Lambda_{n+1}$  coincides with  $|\mathbb{S}_n|$ . Hence  $\alpha_{n+1} = |\mathbb{S}_n|$ . A hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathrm{PG}(2n+1,q)$  through  $\Lambda_{n+1}$  meets  $\Pi$  in a plane, say  $\sigma$ , where  $\ell \subset \sigma$ . Since the unique line of  $\mathcal{S}$  contained in  $\sigma$  is  $\ell$ , we have that the solids of  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  contained in  $\mathcal{H}$  are either the solids of  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{g_\ell}$  or the solids contained in

$$\bigcup_{r \ line \ of \ \sigma, \ r \neq \ell} \bar{\mathcal{V}}^{g_r}$$

or the image under  $g_r \in G$  of the  $\beta_n - \alpha_n$  solids of  $\mathbb{S}_n$  contained in  $\langle \Lambda_n, P \rangle$ , where  $P \in \ell$ ,  $r \in \mathcal{S}, r \neq \ell$ , and  $P^{g_r} \in \sigma$ .

Therefore

$$\beta_{n+1} = (q^2 + q) \left( |\mathbb{S}_n| - \beta_n - q(\beta_n - \alpha_n) \right) + q^2(\beta_n - \alpha_n) + |\mathbb{S}_n| \\ = (q^2 + q + 1) |\mathbb{S}_n| - q(q^2 + q + 1)\beta_n + q^3\alpha_n.$$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 27(1) (2020), #P1.35

# 3 On $\mathcal{C}_q(2n,3,2)$

In this section we provide an upper bound on  $C_q(2n, 3, 2)$ ,  $n \ge 4$ . In [7], a constructive upper bound on  $C_q(6, 3, 2)$  has been given. In what follows we recall the construction and some of the properties of this *q*-covering design.

**Construction 4.** Let g be a Greek plane of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$ . From [7, Lemma 2.2], there exists a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $q^6 - q^3$  planes disjoint from g and meeting  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  in a non-degenerate conic that, together with the set  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $q^3 + q^2 + q$  Greek planes of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  distinct from g, cover every line  $\ell$  of PG(5, q) that is either disjoint from g or contained in  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q) \setminus g$ .

Let  $\ell$  be a line of g. Through the line  $\ell$  there pass q-1 planes meeting  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  exactly in  $\ell$  and a unique Latin plane  $\pi$ . Varying the line  $\ell$  over the plane g and considering the planes meeting  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  exactly in  $\ell$ , we get a family  $\mathcal{Z}$  of consisting of  $(q-1)(q^2+q+1) = q^3 - 1$  planes. From [7, Lemma 2.3], every line that is tangent to  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  at a point of gis contained in exactly a plane of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

Let P be a point of  $\ell$ . Through the point P there pass q lines of  $\pi$  and q lines of gdistinct from  $\ell$  and contained in  $Q^+(5,q)$ . Let S be the set of  $q^2$  planes generated by a line of  $\pi$  through P distinct from  $\ell$  and a line of g through P distinct from  $\ell$ . Let C be a Singer cyclic group of the group  $H \simeq \operatorname{PGL}(3,q)$ . Here H is a subgroup of G stabilizing the plane g. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the orbit of the set S under C. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of  $q^2(q^2+q+1)$  planes and each of these planes has 2q + 1 points in common with  $Q^+(5,q)$  on two intersecting lines of  $Q^+(5,q)$ . From [7, Lemma 2.4], every line that is secant to  $Q^+(5,q)$  and has a point on g is contained in exactly one plane of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Theorem 5** ([7, Theorem 2.5]). The set  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{T}$  is a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(6,3,2)$  of size  $q^6 + q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q - 1$ .

We will need the following result.

**Theorem 6.** There exists a hyperplane  $\Gamma$  of PG(5,q) such that  $q^3 + 2q^2 + q - 1$  elements of  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{T}$  are contained in  $\Gamma$ .

Proof. Let  $\Gamma$  be a hyperplane of  $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$  containing g. Then  $\Gamma$  is a tangent hyperplane and contains the planes of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(5,q)$  through a point R of g. In particular, there are qplanes of  $\mathcal{Y}$  contained in  $\Gamma$ . First of all observe that no plane of  $\mathcal{X}$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . Indeed, by way of contradiction, assume that a plane of  $\mathcal{X}$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . Then such a plane would meet g in at least a point, contradicting the fact that every plane of  $\mathcal{X}$  is disjoint from g. A plane of  $\mathcal{Z}$  that is contained in  $\Gamma$  has to contain the point R. On the other hand, the q-1 planes of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , passing through a line of g which is incident with R, are contained in  $\Gamma$ . Hence there are  $(q+1)(q-1) = q^2 - 1$  planes of  $\mathcal{Z}$  contained in  $\Gamma$ . If  $\pi$  is a Latin plane contained in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\pi \cap g$  is a line, say  $\ell$ . By construction there is a point  $P \in \ell$  such that the set  $\mathcal{T}$  contains  $q^2$  planes meeting  $\pi$  in a line through P and g in a line through P. Note that these  $q^2$  planes of  $\mathcal{T}$  are contained in  $\Gamma$ . It follows that there are  $q^2(q+1)$  planes of  $\mathcal{T}$  contained in  $\Gamma$ . The result follows. Starting from the q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(6,3,2)$  of Theorem 5, Theorem 2 can be used recursively to obtain a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n,3,2)$ ,  $n \ge 4$ , of size

$$q^2\theta_{2n-4,q^2} + q^{2n-3} - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\theta_{4i-5,q} - \theta_{2i-4,q}).$$

In particular there is a hyperplane  $\Gamma$  of PG(2n-1,q) such that there are

$$q^{2n-3} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{2(n+j-1)}$$

planes of  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 3, 2)$  not contained in  $\Gamma$  and

$$(q+1)\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(q^{2i-3}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-2}q^{2(i+j-1)}\right)\right)-1$$

planes of  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 3, 2)$  contained in  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 7.** If  $n \ge 3$ , then

$$C_q(2n,3,2) \leqslant q^2 \theta_{2n-4,q^2} + q^{2n-3} - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\theta_{4i-5,q} - \theta_{2i-4,q})$$

# 4 On $C_q(3n+8,4,2)$

In this section we provide an upper bound on  $C_q(3n+8,4,2)$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . We first deal with the case n = 0.

**Construction 8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $(4 \times 4, 3)_q$  MRD-code and let  $\mathcal{X} = \{L(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be the set of  $q^8$  solids of PG(7, q) obtained by lifting the matrices of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Sigma'$  be the solid of PG(7, q) containing  $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4$ . Then  $\Sigma'$  is disjoint from  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\ell_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q^2 + 1\}$  be a line-spread of  $\Sigma$ , let  $\mathcal{S}' = \{\ell'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q^2 + 1\}$  be a line-spread of  $\Sigma'$  and let  $\mu : \ell'_i \in \mathcal{S}' \longmapsto \ell_i \in \mathcal{S}$  be a bijection. Let  $\Gamma_i$  denote the 5-space containing  $\Sigma$  and  $\ell'_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q^2 + 1$ . If  $\gamma$  is a plane of  $\Sigma$ , then there are  $q^2 + q$  solids of  $\Gamma_i$  meeting  $\Sigma$  exactly in  $\gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  be the set of  $q(q+1)^2$  solids of  $\Gamma_i$  (distinct from  $\Sigma$ ) meeting  $\Sigma$  in a plane containing  $\mu(\ell'_i)$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q^2+1} \mathcal{Y}_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y}$  consists of  $q(q+1)^2(q^2+1)$  solids.

**Theorem 9.** The set  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$  is a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(8,4,2)$  of size  $q^8 + q(q+1)^2(q^2+1)$ .

Proof. Let r be a line of PG(7, q). If r is disjoint from  $\Sigma$ , then from Proposition 1, we have that r is contained in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If r meets  $\Sigma$  in one point, say P, then let  $\Lambda$  be the 4-space  $\langle \Sigma, r \rangle$ , let  $\ell_j$  be the unique line of  $\mathcal{S}$  containing P, let P' be the point  $\Sigma' \cap \Lambda$  and let  $\ell'_k$  be the unique line of  $\mathcal{S}'$  containing P'. If j = k, then  $P \in \ell_k$ and r is contained in the q + 1 solids  $\langle \alpha, r \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a plane of  $\Sigma$  containing  $\ell_k$ . If  $j \neq k$ , then  $P \notin \ell_k$ . Let  $\beta$  be the plane of  $\Sigma$  containing  $\ell_k$  and P. Then r is contained in  $\langle \beta, r \rangle$ , where  $\langle \beta, r \rangle$  is a solid of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Finally let r be a line of  $\Sigma$ , then r is contained in  $q(q+1)^2$  solids of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Remark 10. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Desarguesian line–spread of PG(7, q). There are  $(q^4+1)(q^4+q^2+1)$ solids of PG(7, q) containing exactly  $q^2 + 1$  lines of  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{Z}$  denotes the set of these solids, then it is not difficult to see that every line of PG(7, q) is contained in at least a solid of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . In [17, p. 221], K. Metsch posed the following question: "Is  $(q^4 + 1)(q^4 + q^2 + 1)$  the smallest cardinality of a set of 3–spaces of PG(7, q) that cover every line?" Theorem 9 provides a negative answer to this question.

Remark 11. When q = 2, in the proof of [10, Theorem 13], the existence of a 2-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_2(8, 4, 2)$  of size 346 has been shown.

**Proposition 12.** There exists a hyperplane  $\Gamma$  of PG(7, q) such that precisely q(q+1)(2q+1) members of  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$  are contained in  $\Gamma$ .

Proof. Let  $\Gamma$  be a hyperplane of  $\mathrm{PG}(7,q)$  containing  $\Sigma$ . Then no element of  $\mathcal{X}$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ , otherwise such a solid would meet  $\Sigma$ , contradicting the fact that every solid in  $\mathcal{X}$ is disjoint from  $\Sigma$ . The hyperplane  $\Gamma$  intersects  $\Sigma'$  in a plane  $\sigma$ . The plane  $\sigma$  contains exactly one line of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , say  $\ell'_k$ . Hence the  $q(q+1)^2$  solids of  $\mathcal{Y}$  meeting  $\Sigma$  in a plane through the line  $\mu(\ell'_k) = \ell_k$  are contained in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\ell'_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ , with  $j \neq k$ , then  $\ell'_j \cap \sigma$  is a point, say R. In this case the q + 1 solids generated by R and a plane of  $\Sigma$  through  $\mu(\ell'_j) = \ell_j$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . Since the elements of  $\mathcal{Y}$  are those contained in the 5–space  $\langle \Sigma, \ell'_i \rangle$ , where  $\ell'_i \in \mathcal{S}'$ , and meeting  $\Sigma$  in a plane through  $\ell_i$ , the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

As before, by using Theorem 2, the q-covering design of Theorem 9 can be used recursively to obtain a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(3n+8,4,2)$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , of size

$$q^{3n+5}\theta_{n+1,q^3} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\theta_{6i+10,q} - \theta_{3i+4,q}\right) + \sum_{i=0}^n \left(q^{3i+2}(2q^2-1)\right) + q(q+1)(2q+1).$$

In particular, there exists a hyperplane  $\Gamma$  of PG(3n + 7, q) such that there are

$$q^{3n+2}(2q^2-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} q^{3(n+j)+5}$$

solids of  $\mathbb{C}_q(3n+8,4,2)$  not contained in  $\Gamma$  and

$$(q^{2}+q+1)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(q^{3i+2}(2q^{2}-1)+\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}q^{3(i+j)+5}\right)\right)+q(q+1)(2q+1)$$

solids of  $\mathbb{C}_q(3n+8,4,2)$  contained in  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 13.** If  $n \ge 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{C}_q(3n+8,4,2) \leqslant q^{3n+5}\theta_{n+1,q^3} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\theta_{6i+10,q} - \theta_{3i+4,q}\right) + \sum_{i=0}^n \left(q^{3i+2}(2q^2-1)\right) + q(q+1)(2q+1).$$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 27(1) (2020), #P1.35

# 5 On $\mathcal{C}_q(2n,4,3)$

The main goal of this section is to give an upper bound on  $C_q(2n, 4, 3)$ ,  $n \ge 4$ . We begin by providing a construction in the case n = 4.

**Construction 14.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $(4 \times 4, 2)_q$  MRD-code and let  $\mathcal{X} = \{L(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be the set of  $q^{12}$  solids of PG(7, q) obtained by lifting the matrices of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Sigma'$  be the solid of PG(7, q) containing  $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4$ . Then  $\Sigma'$  is disjoint from  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{S}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q^2 + q + 1\}$  be a 1-parallelism of  $\Sigma$ , let  $\mathcal{P}' = \{\mathcal{S}'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q^2 + q + 1\}$  be a 1-parallelism of  $\Sigma$ , let  $\mathcal{P}' = \{\mathcal{S}'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q^2 + q + 1\}$  be a 1-parallelism of  $\Sigma'$  and let  $\mu : \mathcal{S}'_i \in \mathcal{P}' \longmapsto \mathcal{S}_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$  be a bijection. For a line  $\ell'$  of  $\Sigma'$ , let  $\Gamma_{\ell'}$  denote the 5-space containing  $\Sigma$  and  $\ell'$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a 1-parallelism of  $\Sigma'$ , there exists a unique j, with  $1 \leq j \leq q^2 + q + 1$ , such that  $\ell' \in \mathcal{S}'_j$ . Note that  $\mu(\mathcal{S}'_j) = \mathcal{S}_j$  is a line-spread of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\ell$  be a line of  $\mathcal{S}_j$  and let  $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$  be the set of  $q^4$  solids of  $\Gamma_{\ell'}$  (distinct from  $\Sigma$ ) meeting  $\Sigma$ exactly in  $\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell'} = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}_j} \mathcal{Y}_\ell$ . Then  $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell'}$  consists of  $q^4(q^2 + 1)$  solids. Varying  $\ell'$  among the lines of  $\Sigma'$ , we get a set

$$\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_{\ell' \text{ line of } \Sigma'} \mathcal{Z}_{\ell'}$$

consisting of  $q^4(q^2+1)^2(q^2+q+1)$  solids.

**Theorem 15.** The set  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \{\Sigma\}$  is a q-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_q(8,4,3)$  of size  $q^{12} + q^4(q^2 + 1)^2(q^2 + q + 1) + 1$ .

Proof. Let  $\pi$  be a plane of PG(7, q). If  $\pi$  is disjoint from  $\Sigma$ , then, from Proposition 1, we have that  $\pi$  is contained in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\pi$  meets  $\Sigma$  in one point, say P, then let  $\Lambda$  be the 5-space  $\langle \Sigma, \pi \rangle$  and let  $\ell'$  be the line of  $\Sigma'$  obtained by intersecting  $\Sigma'$ with  $\Lambda$ . Note that  $\Lambda = \Gamma_{\ell'}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'_j$  be the unique line-spread of  $\mathcal{P}'$  containing  $\ell'$ . Then there exists a unique line  $\ell$  of  $\mathcal{S}_j = \mu(\mathcal{S}'_j)$  such that  $P \in \ell$  and  $\pi$  is contained in  $\langle \pi, \ell \rangle$ , that is a solid of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . If  $\pi$  meets  $\Sigma$  in a line, say r, then let  $\mathcal{S}_k$  be the unique line-spread of  $\mathcal{P}$  containing r and let  $\Lambda$  be the 4-space  $\langle \Sigma, \pi \rangle$ . Then  $\Lambda \cap \Sigma'$  is a point, which belongs to a unique line, say r', of the line-spread  $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k) = \mathcal{S}'_k$  of  $\mathcal{P}'$ . Since there are  $q^2$  solids of  $\Gamma_{r'}$  meeting  $\Sigma$  exactly in r and containing  $\pi$ , we have that in this case  $\pi$  is contained in  $q^2$  members of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Finally if  $\pi$  is a plane of  $\Sigma$ , then  $\pi$  is contained in  $\Sigma$ .

*Remark* 16. Note that, as regard as the case q = 2, in the proof of [10, Theorem 16] the author exhibited a 2-covering design  $\mathbb{C}_2(8, 4, 3)$  of size 6897.

**Proposition 17.** There exists a 5-space  $\Lambda$  of PG(7,q) containing exactly  $q^4(q^2+1)+1$ members of  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \{\Sigma\}$ . Moreover every hyperplane of PG(7,q) through  $\Lambda$  contains precisely  $q^4(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)+1$  solids of  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \{\Sigma\}$ .

Proof. Let  $\Lambda$  be a 5-space containing  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\Lambda$  meets  $\Sigma'$  in a line, say r, and  $\Lambda = \langle \Sigma, r \rangle$ . The line r belongs to a unique line-spread  $\mathcal{S}'_i$  of the 1-parallelism  $\mathcal{P}'$  of  $\Sigma'$ . Then  $\mu(\mathcal{S}'_i) = \mathcal{S}_i$ is a line-spread belonging to the 1-parallelism  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\Sigma$ . The  $q^4(q^2+1)$  solids of  $\mathcal{Z}$  lying in  $\langle \Sigma, r \rangle$  meet  $\Sigma$  in a line of  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and are contained in  $\Lambda$ . Let s be a line of  $\Sigma'$  such that  $s \neq r$ . In this case none of the  $q^4(q^2+1)$  solids of  $\mathcal{Z}$  lying in  $\langle \Sigma, s \rangle$  is contained in  $\Lambda$ . Indeed, assume by contradiction that there is a solid  $\Delta$  contained in  $\Lambda \cap \langle \Sigma, s \rangle$ , then  $\Delta \subset \langle \Sigma, s \cap r \rangle$ and hence  $\Delta \cap \Sigma$  is a plane of  $\Sigma$ , contradicing the fact that every solid of  $\mathcal{Z}$  meets  $\Sigma$  in a line. On the other hand, no solid of  $\mathcal{X}$  is contained in  $\Lambda$ , otherwise such a solid would meet  $\Sigma$  not trivially. Finally note that  $\Sigma$  is a solid of  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a hyperplane of PG(7, q) through  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Gamma \cap \Sigma'$  is a plane, say  $\sigma$ , containing the line r. Repeating the previous argument for every line of the plane  $\sigma$ , it turns out that there are  $q^4(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)$  solids of  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $\Gamma$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

Let  $S_4$  denotes  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \{\Sigma\}$ . As in the previous sections,  $S_4$  can be used as a basis for a recursive construction of a *q*-covering designs  $\mathbb{C}_q(2n, 4, 3)$ ,  $n \ge 5$ .

### Theorem 18.

$$\mathcal{C}_q(8,4,3) \leqslant q^{12} + q^4(q^2+1)^2(q^2+q+1) + 1$$
  
$$\mathcal{C}_q(10,4,3) \leqslant q^{18} + q^4(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)(q^8+q^6+q^4+q^3+q^2+1) + 1$$

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his valuable comments.

### References

- A. Beutelspacher. On parallelisms in finite projective spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 3:35–40, 1974.
- [2] A. Beutelspacher. On t-covers in finite projective spaces. J. Geom., 12(1):10–16, 1979.
- [3] A. Beutelspacher and J. Ueberberg. A characteristic property of geometric *t*-spreads in finite projective spaces. *European J. Combin.*, 12(4):277–281, 1991.
- [4] A. Blokhuis, P. Sziklai and T. Szőnyi. Blocking sets in projective spaces. In Current Research Topics in Galois Geometry, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., pp. 63–86, 2014.
- [5] R. C. Bose and R. C. Burton. A characterization of flat spaces in a finite geometry and the uniqueness of the Hamming and the MacDonald codes. J. Combinatorial Theory, 1:96–104, 1966.
- [6] M. Braun, T. Etzion, P. R. J. Östergård, A. Vardy, and A. Wassermann. Existence of q-analogs of Steiner systems. Forum Math. Pi, 4 e7:14 pp., 2016.
- [7] A. Cossidente and F. Pavese. A note on q-covering designs in PG(5,q). J. Combin. Des., 24:383–388, 2016.
- [8] P. Delsarte. Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 25:226–241, 1978.
- [9] J. Eisfeld and K. Metsch. Blocking *s*-dimensional subspaces by lines in PG(2s, q). Combinatorica, 17(2):151–162, 1997.
- [10] T. Etzion. Covering of subspaces by subspaces. Des. Codes Cryptogr., 72:405–421, 2014.

- [11] T. Etzion and A. Vardy. On q-Analogs for Steiner Systems and Covering Designs. Adv. Math. Commun., 5:161–176, 2011.
- [12] E. M. Gabidulin. Theory of codes with maximum rank distance. Problems of Information Transmission, 21:1–12, 1985.
- [13] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. Projective Geometries over Finite Fields. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [14] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. Finite projective spaces of three dimensions. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [15] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas. *General Galois Geometries*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [16] R. Koetter and F. R. Kschischang. Coding for errors and erasures in random network coding. *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, 54:3579–3591, 2008.
- [17] K. Metsch. Blocking sets in projective spaces and polar spaces. J. Geom., 76:216–232, 2003.
- [18] K. Metsch. Blocking subspaces by lines in PG(n,q). Combinatorica, 24:459–486, 2004.
- [19] R. M. Roth. Maximum-rank array codes and their application to crisscross error correction. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 37:328–336, 1991.
- [20] B. Segre. Teoria di Galois, fibrazioni proiettive e geometrie non desarguesiane. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 64:1–76, 1964.
- [21] J. Sheekey. MRD Codes: Constructions and Connections. In Combinatorics and finite fields: Difference sets, polynomials, pseudorandomness and applications. Radon Series on Computational and Applied Mathematics, K.-U. Schmidt and A. Winterhof (eds.), De Gruyter, 2019.
- [22] D. Silva, F. R. Kschischang, and R. Koetter. A rank-metric approach to error control in random network coding. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 54:3951–3967, 2008.