



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1109/LRA.2024.3388789

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Wang, W., Wu, Z., Saija, C., Zeidan, A., Xu, Z., Pishkahi, A., Patterson, T., Redwood, S., Wang, S., Rhode, K., & Housden, R. (2024). Universal Actuation Module and Kinematic Model for Heart Valve Interventional Catheter Robotization. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, *9*(6), 5254-5261. https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2024.3388789

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

IEEE ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION LETTERS. PREPRINT VERSION. ACCEPTED MARCH, 2024

Universal Actuation Module and Kinematic Model for Heart Valve Interventional Catheter Robotization

Weizhao Wang¹, Zicong Wu¹, Carlo Saija¹, Aya Zeidan¹, Zhouyang Xu¹, Aryana Pishkahi¹, Tiffany Patterson², Simon Redwood³, Shuangyi Wang⁴, Kawal Rhode¹, and Richard Housden¹

Abstract-Catheters have been widely used to deal with heart valve diseases. However, the diversity in handle structures and bending curvatures imposes significant complexities in safe delivery and positioning. In this work, we designed a module for single knob actuation assembled coaxially on the catheter handle, composed of a chuck for universal clamping of diameters from 15 to 45 mm and a position-adjustable shaft to accommodate various spacing between knobs. In addition, we proposed a twocurvature with pseudo joints (TC-PJ) model for bending control of bendable sections (BSs) in catheters. The verification was decoupled into two steps based on the other three deformation patterns. Firstly, comparing the two-curvature (TC) model with pseudo-rigid-body (PRB), constant curvature (CC), and Euler spiral (ES) models to simulate planar bending and elongation, the results showed a more accurate shape representation. Then, five distinct catheters were employed to test the clamping universality of the module and tip positioning precision of the TC-PJ model which took torsion and shear strain into consideration. The rootmean-square error (RMSE) and the standard deviation (SD) of tip position and direction were analysed. Results indicated the module's suitability for clamping these catheters, with the large guide sheath exhibiting minimal position RMSE (SD) of around 0.10 (0.051) mm and 0.049 (2.15) degrees, while the puncture catheter demonstrated the highest position and direction RMSE (SD) extending to about 1.16 (0.53) mm and 0.70 (31.33) degrees, primarily attributed to the coupling of two sequential bendable components. Overall, the proposed actuation module and kinematic model showed the ability of universal manipulation and an average tip position and direction RMSE of 0.65 mm and 0.23 degrees in free space.

Manuscript received: November 13, 2023; Revised February 16, 2024; Accepted March 21, 2024.

This paper was recommended for publication by Editor Cecilia Laschi upon evaluation of the Associate Editor and Reviewers' comments. The work of Weizhao Wang was supported by the China Scholarship Council under Grant 202208440109. This work was supported by core funding from the Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Medical Engineering [WT203148/Z/16/Z].

¹W. Wang, Z. Wu, C. Saija, A. Zeidan, Z. Xu, A. Pishkahi, K. Rhode, and R. Housden are with the School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, WC2R 2LS London, U.K. weizhao.wang; zicong.wu; carlo.saija; aya.zeidan; zhouyang.xu; aryana.pishkahi; kawal.rhode;

richard.housden@kcl.ac.uk

²T. Patterson is with the Cardiovascular Department, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, SE1 7EH London, U.K. tiffany.patterson@gstt.nhs.uk

³S. Redwood is with the School of Cardiovascular Medicine and Sciences, King's College London, SE1 7EH London, U.K. simon.redwood@gstt.nhs.uk

⁴S. Wang is with the State Key Laboratory of Multimodal Artificial Intelligence Systems, Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China, with the School of Artificial Intelligence, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China, and also with the Centre for Artificial Intelligence and Robotics, Hong Kong Institute of Science & Innovation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hong Kong. shuangyi,wang@ia.ac.cn

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): see top of this page.

Index Terms—Kinematics, actuation and joint mechanisms, modeling, control, and learning for soft robots.

I. INTRODUCTION

RANSCATHETER heart value interventions have L emerged over the past decade and have introduced a pivotal transformation in the therapy of heart valve diseases [1]. Moreover, technological improvements have facilitated the expansion of these interventions to younger or lower-risk patients [2]. However, the interventional catheter composed of a handle, a long shaft, and a bending region (top graph in Fig. 1), faces challenges in navigating narrow anatomical pathways [3] and aligning with the native valve in the dynamic environment of the heart [4], [5] due to its inherent flexibility and nonlinearity [6]. Successful manipulation of the catheter also depends on the skill level and fatigue of the cardiologist [7], [8]. Meanwhile, the procedures are typically conducted under the guidance of real-time fluoroscopy [9]. To mitigate these challenges, a robotic system for catheter manipulation would not only improve catheter positioning but also protect cardiologists from potentially harmful radiation exposure [10], [11].

Furthermore, commercial catheters for valve repair or replacement are diverse [2], [12] and the handle structures are equipped with at least one knob. The knob varies in diameter and spacing, and is commonly positioned either coaxially (more prevalent) or laterally with the handle (Fig. 1a). A bending region is attached at a long shaft's end, composed of single or multiple planar bendable sections (BSs) for creating a bending pathway for the delivery and one straight section (SS) for medical device attachment (Fig. 1b). Each BS is typically



Fig. 1. Structure of commonly used catheters for valve interventions.



Fig. 2. Four deformations of a BS: bending, elongation, shear strain, and torsion.

laser cut to include a number of circumferential slots [13]. Constant curvature is attained through slots of uniform width, while variable curvature relies on slots of varying widths. Additionally, the bending region can navigate through free space by combining multiple BSs orthometrically. Each BS is individually controlled by a dedicated knob. The manipulation of diverse handles and spatial understanding of various bending shapes are quite challenging for the operator and important for the safety of delivery. Consequently, the universality of the robotic system for catheter actuation, bending control, and shape modeling would confer a significant advantage to cardiologists.

There are few universal actuation systems for interventional catheter manipulation. Zhang [10] developed a robotic system to help with valve edge-to-edge repair, but it was specifically designed to actuate and control the MitraClipTM. Norouzi [14] optimized an automated catheter operating system with an inclusive structural design, to manipulate different catheters by replacing compartments. Nevertheless, this approach introduced an additional operational step of interchanging compartments when transitioning between different catheters. To achieve universal actuation, we designed an actuation module for single knob (coaxially assembled) manipulation incorporating a three-jaw chuck for universal clamping and a sliding shaft to deal with various spacing between knobs. A catheter handle can be actuated by combining multiple modules. This work is detailed in Section II-A.

To establish a universal mapping from the rotation of the actuation module to the shape and position of relevant BS for bending control, we aimed to bypass reliance on catheter structural and material properties. We also tried to consider the other three deformation patterns (elongation, torsion, shear strain) [15] of a BS (Fig. 2) for precise positioning while planar bending. There are four common methodologies for continuum body modeling by the latest review [16]. Cosserat rod theory, which is a mathematical framework used to model slender structures characterized by intricate deformation behaviours, was employed to model MitraClipTM in [10]. However, it is based on known structural characteristics and material properties intrinsic to catheters. Surrogate models, also known as data-driven methods, require a large amount of data for training and are inconvenient to apply to different catheters. The acquisition of sufficiently representative data across diverse catheters can be resource-intensive and may not always be readily attainable. In this work, we proposed a two-curvature with pseudo joints (TC-PJ) model and employed regression methods to calibrate the model parameters for mapping. The modeling process can be decoupled into

two steps. Initially, a two-curvature (TC) model was used to simulate variable curvature for planar bending and elongation by combining two groups of slots with different widths in series. The curvature of the BS can also be constant once the widths are the same. There are four degrees of freedom (DoFs) in this model which can achieve planar positioning with three DoFs and shape adjustment with one extra DoF. More than two curvatures in this model will increase the complexity of modeling and latency (time-consuming calculation) of control. Subsequently, pseudo joints (PJ) were added to simulate shearing and twisting independently. Specifically, two rotation joints at both ends of the BS are to simulate the torsion along the BS. A translational joint along the BS is to simulate the shear strain. Further details on this approach are provided in Section II-B. In Section II-C, the testing was also divided into two steps. Firstly, the TC model was applied to simulate random planar bending and elongation of the BS and compare it with the commonly used planar bending models, such as pseudorigid-body (PRB), constant curvature (CC), and Euler spiral (ES) models in positioning and shape representation. Then, we established an experimental platform to verify the universality of the actuation module and tip positioning precision of the TC-PJ model. The results are presented in Section III. Section IV discusses, concludes the work, and proposes some future work on catheter robot design and control.

Our contributions are as follows: 1) this customized actuation module can securely grip and control a diverse range of catheter knobs with diameters below 45 mm; 2) the proposed TC model is more accurate than the PRB, CC, and ES models to simulate the random bending patterns of a BS within a plane; 3) the TC-PJ model shows universal applicability across various catheters for tip positioning in free space.

II. METHODS

A. Universal Actuation Module Design

The design rationale of robotic systems for catheter actuation typically imitates the manual manipulation of catheters by the cardiologist, which is composed of clamping, translational, and rotational mechanisms. In the context of these robotic systems, the latter two mechanisms exhibit universality across different implementations. However, clamping mechanisms are different because of the various catheter structures. Furthermore, the difference is mainly embodied in various knobs and handles. The knobs of the majority of catheters are coaxially assembled with the handles. The objective of this subsection is to design an actuation module with a small footprint to accommodate a coaxially assembled knob or handle.

1) Clamping Mechanism Design:

The lathe chuck, a fundamental tool in machining for secure workpiece rotation, is also suitable for clamping knobs assembled coaxially with the handle. We customized a three-jaw chuck with a 50 mm inner diameter based on the range of knob sizes (from 15 to 45 mm) and an 80 mm outer diameter for compactness (Fig. 3a). Self-centering is facilitated by a scroll plate (pitch 3 mm, teeth 62), and clamping or releasing is achieved through the rotation of three pinions (teeth 12). For



Fig. 3. Design of a universal actuation module. (a) The pinion features a hexagonal hole for electric gripping, and a high-elasticity rubber material serves as the jaw head to enhance grip stability and prevent damage. (b) Two types of shaft, one with a fixed position and the other adjustable, are designed for transmitting rotational motion. The chuck assembly offers two orientations: forward and backward. (c) Clamping performance testing is conducted using a guide sheath, CommanderTM system, and puncture catheter. (d) The module is enclosed within a bearing and plate housing and operates through a timing belt transmission.

clamping efficiency, the pinion was designed with a hexagon hole (for size 5 mm hex wrench) which can accommodate electric screwdrivers. In addition, we used F80 resin material (RESIONE) which is soft and elastic for the manufacturing of jaw heads to prevent slipping and clamping damage, and to make sure that the jaws were gripping the knob evenly to avoid any wobbling or vibration during the intervention. Each jaw has two contact points with the knob, enhancing stability and concentricity.

Two types of shafts, position-fixed and position-adjustable, were developed to connect with the chuck for transmitting rotational motion (Fig. 3b). The position-adjustable chuck, with grooves of 6 mm length for axial adjustment, accommodates knobs with variable spacing. The shaft can be assembled on either side of the chuck to prevent interference with other chucks and the catheter. To clamp an entire catheter, a positionfixed chuck (Type 1) secures the handle, while positionadjustable chucks (Type 2 or 3) are used for knob clamping, ensuring adaptability across different catheters (Fig. 3c).

2) Housing and Actuation Design:

The housing structure pictured in Fig. 3d was constructed to actuate the chuck with one DoF. To accomplish this controlled movement, a NEMA 17 stepper motor (0.4 Nm Torque, 1.8° , 42.3 x 42.3 mm Frame, 5mm Shaft) was employed as the driving force. The rotational motion was conveyed through the implementation of a timing belt transmission system (1:5 transmission ratio). Notably, an idler component was incorporated into the system design, serving to shorten the overall width of the plate. Most structural compartments of this chuck module, including support and housing, were 3D printed using polylactic acid (PLA) materials. However, components

requiring heightened strength and robust support, including pinions, jaws, the scroll plate, and pulleys, were crafted from nylon, a material known for its excellent mechanical properties.

B. Universal BS Kinematic Model

The actuation of the knob results in the bending of the connected BS as the chuck rotates. To streamline the modeling process, we initially addressed elongation in a 2D scenario and subsequently incorporated torsion and shear strain patterns in free space, effectively decoupling the analysis.

1) Kinematic Model (elongation):

The model is initially defined in 2D (Fig. 4), where a BS can bend and elongate to a position (x'_b, y'_b) towards a direction (bending angle) θ'_b within a plane. L_b represented the length of the non-deformed BS, which is assumed to be unknown in this case. A 3*3 matrix T'_b represents the transformation (1) from the distal end to the proximal end of the BS.



Fig. 4. A deformed BS within a plane (including bending and elongation). $\theta_b(\lambda)$ is the bending angle at $(x_b(\lambda), y_b(\lambda))$ with curvature length λ .

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/LRA.2024.3388789

$$T'_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta'_{b} & s\theta'_{b} & x'_{b} \\ -s\theta'_{b} & c\theta'_{b} & y'_{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where s* and c* are the sine and cosine of angle (*).



Fig. 5. Comparison of the proposed model with three commonly used models. (a) The TC model integrates two constant curvatures in a serial arrangement. (b) The PRB model consists of two rigid links connected in series. (c) The CC model maintains a constant curvature. (d) The ES model exhibits linear changes in curvature.

Our method proposes to combine two curvatures (TC) to describe a randomly bending and elongating BS. The shape was parameterized by angle θ_{p1} , radius r_{p1} of curvature1 and angle θ_{p2} , radius r_{p2} of curvature2, as shown in Fig. 5a. In addition, bending angle $\theta'_p = \theta_{p1} + \theta_{p2}$, length of curvature1 $l_{p1} = r_{p1} * \theta_{p1}$, and length of curvature2 $l_{p2} = r_{p2} * \theta_{p2}$ were calculated. Constant curvature theory and classic robot kinematics were used to calculate the transformation matrix T'_p and shape information $(x_p(\lambda), y_p(\lambda))$, as shown in (2) and (3). In addition, we used two variables H_1 and H_2 to simplify (3): $H_1 = r_{p2}(c\theta_p(\lambda) - c\theta_{p1}), H_2 = r_{p2}(s\theta_p(\lambda) - s\theta_{p1}).$

$$T'_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta'_{p} & s\theta'_{p} & r_{p1}(1 - c\theta_{p1}) - r_{p2}(c\theta'_{p} - c\theta_{p1}) \\ -s\theta'_{p} & c\theta'_{p} & r_{p1} * s\theta_{p1} + r_{p2}(s\theta'_{p} - s\theta_{p1}) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

$$x_p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} r_{p1}(1 - c\theta_p(\lambda)), & \text{if } 0 \le \lambda < l_{p1} \\ r_{p1}(1 - c\theta_{p1}) - H_1, & \text{if } l_{p1} \le \lambda < l_{p1} + l_{p2} \end{cases}$$

$$y_p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} r_{p1} * s\theta_p(\lambda), & \text{if } 0 \le \lambda < l_{p1} \\ r_{p1} * s\theta_{p1} + H_2, & \text{if } l_{p1} \le \lambda < l_{p1} + l_{p2} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Kinematic solutions were derived as (4) by matching equations (1) and (2). As for representing the shape, the Fréchet distance [17] is a measurement to quantify the similarities between two curves while taking into account their shapes. We employed the Fréchet distance $FD(\theta_{p1})$ to measure the difference between the random shape and the model shape. Each catheter shape was discretized into a union containing n points, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (x_b(i), y_b(i))$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (x_p(i), y_p(i))$. The task was to find the minimum value of (5) based on a reference angle θ_{ref} . Thus the model was simplified to solve two equations:

$$\begin{cases} r_{p1}(1 - c\theta_{p1}) - r_{p2}(c\theta'_p - c\theta_{p1}) = x'_b \\ r_{p1} * s\theta_{p1} + r_{p2}(s\theta'_p - s\theta_{p1}) = y'_b \\ \theta'_p = \theta'_b \end{cases}$$
(4)

$$\theta_{p1}^* = \arg\min \parallel FD(\theta_{p1}), \theta_{ref} \parallel, \theta_{p1} \in [-pi, pi]$$
(5)

2) Kinematic Model (torsion and shear strain):

Upon the tip bending in free space, the additional aspects of twisting and shearing were considered within the modeling framework. To address these deformations effectively, a TC model combined with pseudo joints was introduced to emulate these mechanical responses. Specifically, rotational joints θ_{t1} and θ_{t2} were strategically positioned at both the proximal and distal ends to replicate twisting movements, while a prismatic joint P_s was situated at the proximal end to simulate shearing motions, as illustrated in Fig. 6. x'_p , y'_p , and θ'_p are variables in the 2D TC model. The transformation matrices of each joint are listed as (6) to (9):



Fig. 6. A deformed BS within free space. The variables θ_{t1} , (x'_p, y'_p, θ'_p) , P_s , and θ_{t2} correspond to the parameters for twisting1, deformation within a plane, shearing, and twisting2, respectively.

$$T_{t1} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{t1} & s\theta_{t1} & 0 & 0\\ -s\theta_{t1} & c\theta_{t1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

$$T_{TC} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta'_p & 0 & s\theta'_p & x'_p \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -s\theta'_p & 0 & c\theta'_p & y'_p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

$$T_{ps} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & P_s \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$
(8)

$$T_{t2} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{t2} & s\theta_{t2} & 0 & 0\\ -s\theta_{t2} & c\theta_{t2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

WANG et al.: UNIVERSAL ACTUATION MODULE AND KINEMATIC MODEL

$$T_{TC-PJ} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{t1}c\theta'_{p}c\theta_{t2} - s\theta_{t1}s\theta_{t2} & c\theta_{t1}c\theta'_{p}s\theta_{t2} + s\theta_{t1}c\theta_{t2} & c\theta_{t1}s\theta'_{p} & x'_{p}c\theta_{t1} + P_{s}s\theta_{t1} \\ -s\theta_{t1}c\theta'_{p}c\theta_{t2} - c\theta_{t1}s\theta_{t2} & -s\theta_{t1}c\theta'_{p}s\theta_{t2} + c\theta_{t1}c\theta_{t2} & -s\theta_{t1}s\theta'_{p} & -x'_{p}s\theta_{t1} + P_{s}c\theta_{t1} \\ -s\theta'_{p}c\theta_{t2} & -s\theta'_{p}s\theta_{t2} & c\theta'_{p} & y'_{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

The construction of the mapping (10) from model parameters to BS tip transformation matrix T_{TC-PJ} was calculated through the amalgamation of equations (6) through (9). To achieve comprehensive kinematics from the actuation module angle θ_k to T_{TC-PJ} , two intermediary mappings are crucial: one that relates θ_k to the tip bending angle θ'_p , and the other that links θ'_p to the model parameters. While the specification of θ_k and T_{TC-PJ} is straightforward by collecting experimental data, the acquisition of θ'_p and model parameters entails a series of steps. Firstly, the parameter θ_{t1} was determined as the inverse tangent of $T_{TC-PJ}(1,3)$ and $T_{TC-PJ}(2,3)$. Secondly, a similar methodology was applied to calculate θ_{t2} . Thirdly, the transformation matrix $T_{TC} * T_{ps}$ could be calculated as $T_{t1}^{-1}(\theta_{t1}) * T_{TC-PJ} * T_{t2}^{-1}(\theta_{t2})$ and the value of P_s was $(T_{TC} * T_{ps})(2, 4)$. Additionally, θ'_p was solved based on $T_{TC-PJ}(3,1)$. Finally, the variables θ_{p1} , r_{p1} , θ_{p2} , and r_{p2} were ascertained employing the TC model. Then polynomial regression techniques were employed to establish the nonlinear relationships among these parameters, as denoted by equations (11) and (12). In addition, the degrees of the polynomials depend on the complexity of the relationships. By integrating these equations into the framework presented in (10), we could derive a kinematic mapping from θ_k to T_{TC-PJ} .

$$\theta_p' = f_{reg0}(\theta_k) \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{t1} = f_{reg1}(\theta'_p) \\ \theta_{p1} = f_{reg2}(\theta'_p) \\ \theta_{p2} = \theta'_p - \theta_{p1} \\ r_{p1} = f_{reg3}(\theta'_p) \\ r_{p2} = f_{reg4}(\theta'_p) \\ P_s = f_{reg5}(\theta'_p) \\ \theta_{t2} = f_{reg6}(\theta'_p) \end{cases}$$
(12)

C. Simulation and Experimental Set-up

A random planar bending simulation environment was constructed to validate the universality and accuracy of the TC model. Subsequently, an experimental platform was developed to verify the positioning precision of the TC-PJ model.

1) Random Planar Bending Simulation:

The versatility of laser-cut hypotube technology enables the production of catheters with a range of curvatures by combining slots with various widths in series. Therefore, we combined four constant curvatures, where each was characterized by random angle and radius values, to simulate a complex bending and elongation BS required for realistic catheter applications. Position, direction errors, and Fréchet distance of the model were used to test the outcome. In comparison, three commonly used continuum robot models are shown in Fig. 5b-5d. The PRB model has revolute θ_{r1} , prismatic l_{r1} , revolute θ_{r2} , and prismatic l_{r2} joints, and bending angle is calculated as $\theta_r = \theta_{r1} + \theta_{r2}$. Its transformation matrix T_r is calculated as (13a). The transformation matrix of the CC model T_c is driven by the angle θ_c and radius r_c of curvature. As for the ES model, the transformation matrix T_e is parameterized by curvature at the proximal end k_e , the rate of curvature change dk_e , and length l_e . In addition, bending angle is $\theta_e = -(k_e + dk_e * l_e/2) * l_e$ and curvature at the distal end is $k(\lambda) = k_e + dk_e * \lambda$. The transformation matrices are calculated as below:

$$T_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{r} & s\theta_{r} & l_{r2} * s\theta_{r} + l_{r1} * s\theta_{r1} \\ -s\theta_{r} & c\theta_{r} & l_{r2} * c\theta_{r} + l_{r1} * c\theta_{r1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13a)

$$T_{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_{c} & s\theta_{c} & r_{c} * (1 - c\theta_{c}) \\ -s\theta_{c} & c\theta_{c} & r_{c} * s\theta_{c} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13b)

$$T_e = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_e & s\theta_e & \int_0^{l_e} s(k(\lambda) * \lambda) \, d\lambda \\ -s\theta_e & c\theta_e & \int_0^{l_e} c(k(\lambda) * \lambda) \, d\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13c)

2) Experimental Set-up:

The experimental platform was established (Fig. 7) to measure two datasets: $\bar{\theta}_k$ (actuation angle) and $\frac{d\bar{T}}{dr}$ (the pose of the distal end relative to the proximal end of the BS) for the regression of model parameters in (11) and (12). Then the position (distance between model and BS tip) and direction (bending angle) errors were calculated by comparing $\frac{d}{n}\overline{T}$ and T_{TC-PJ} . The platform was composed of monitoring, driving, and measuring systems. θ_k could be acquired directly from motor encoders in the driving system involving the use of two actuation modules to clamp the catheter in place and two vices for gripping the shaft of the catheter to simulate the working status of catheters in vessels. In the measuring system, 6 DoF electromagnetic (EM) sensors, a sensor interface unit and a field generator (NDI Aurora) were employed to track the BS poses. Customized connectors were designed to connect two EM sensors with the BS. $\frac{d}{p}\overline{T}$ is the transformation matrix from sensor 2 to sensor 1. Furthermore, the user interface enabled the storage of datasets automatically.

To validate the universality of the kinematic model, we conducted experiments using five different tip sizes, each with distinct specifications as outlined in Table I. During these experiments, the knobs were driven to rotate 18 degrees (a sample size of around 55 values within the bending angle range which is enough for regression analysis) with a 5-second interval. The datasets were stored every second automatically



Fig. 7. Components of the experimental set-up. The experimental arrangement comprises three distinct systems: software monitoring part for command transmission and feedback reception; driving part consisting of Module 1 designed for catheter handle gripping and Module 2 responsible for controlling the bending knob; An NDI electromagnetic tracking system serves as the measuring part. A connector is employed to establish a connection between the 6 DoF sensor and the catheter tip.

Bendable tips									
Catheters	L (mm)	D (Fr)	Setup						
Sheath (large)	50	30							
PASCAL TM	28	17							
Commander TM	100	16							
Puncture	65+23	18	AL CORA						
Sheath (small)	42	22							

 TABLE I

 Five Experimental Catheters from Edwards Lifesciences

for synchronization. Degrees of polynomials were chosen properly based on the patterns and trends observed within the datasets. The results are detailed in Section III.

III. RESULTS

A. Random Planar Bending Simulation

100 trials were conducted in the random planar bending simulation. The average tip position, bending angle errors, and Fréchet distances of each model compared with the reference curve are summarized in Fig. 8. The position errors of the four models were zero, which means these catheter models can effectively reach any arbitrary tip positions. Moreover, they could match various bending angles exactly except for the CC model which showed a mean error of -87.9 degrees. It didn't hold universally for BS with various curvatures. The PRB model was simplified and computationally tractable, but it lacked the requisite fidelity to capture the catheter shape with an average Fréchet distance of 1.90 mm. Additionally, the TC model demonstrated performance with an average Fréchet distance of only 0.49 mm, while the next best model (the ES model, characterized by its smoothly and continuously changing curvature) had a slightly higher distance of 0.59 mm. Hence, a TC model could be effectively employed to represent the characteristics of random planar bending and elongation of the BS compared with the other three models.



Fig. 8. Modeling errors in one hundred trials. In these trials, all models could reach a randomly positioned target with varying bending angles, except for the CC model, which had an average bending error of -87.9 degrees. The average Fréchet distances for the PRB, CC, ES, and TC models were recorded as 1.90 mm, 1.84 mm, 0.59 mm, and 0.49 mm, respectively.

B. Bending Experiments

1) Mapping from θ_k to θ'_p :

Before calculating the tip poses, we analysed the regression from θ_k to θ'_p . The experimental data of the five catheters, illustrated in Fig. 9 as dashed lines for bending and dotted lines for unbending, exhibited a common trend called a dead zone ($[0, \Theta_k)$) at the initial phase. In addition, there existed a difference (hysteresis) between the bending and unbending patterns mainly due to friction and transmission looseness, especially in the puncture catheter and PACSAL system. Based on the trends of the data, we decided to divide the regression analysis into two distinct sections to deal with the dead zone. These sections were characterized by fittings involving both constant values A and first-order polynomials B, as demonstrated by the solid line in the figure. The hysteresis could be



Fig. 9. Regression analysis between the actuation module angle θ_k and the bending angle θ'_p .

compensated by imposing additional input compensator δB to the *B* during the manipulation, as shown in (14).

$$\theta'_{p} = \begin{cases} A, & \text{if } 0 \le \theta_{k} < \Theta_{k} \\ (B \pm \delta B) * (\theta_{k} - \Theta_{k}) + A, & \text{if } \Theta_{k} \le \theta_{k} \end{cases}$$
(14)

2) Mapping from θ'_p to T_{TC-PJ} :

For the mapping from θ'_p to the TC-PJ model variables, we identified that the same polynomial methodology could be applied consistently across all catheters, so, we took the puncture catheter as an example. The regression results of it are visually represented in Fig. 10a. The ranges of pseudo joints θ_{t1} , P_s , and θ_{t2} exhibited relatively stable behaviour around zero, indicating that the catheter BS bent nearly in a plane experiencing very small torsion and shear strain deformation patterns. Conversely, the regression error was notably influenced by TC model parameters θ_{p1} , r_{p1} , and r_{p2} . Consequently, we have determined that polynomial degrees of 3, 4, and 4 offer the best performance for modeling these variables. While increasing the polynomial degrees could reduce the error, it came at an increased computational cost, necessitating a balance between accuracy and efficiency. Based on the regression outcome and TC-PJ model, the mapping from θ'_p to T_{TC-PJ} was calculated, and the corresponding outcomes are presented in Fig. 10b. The catheter BS was bending in the x-z plane and also moved in the y direction because of torsion and shear strain. The hysteresis was getting larger when reaching the bending angle range because of the tension along the catheter, leading to larger position errors. Using the same methods, we extended our analysis to the



Fig. 10. Regression and positioning analysis of the puncture catheter. (a) Regression analysis from the bending angle θ'_p to the model variables. The results are obtained through the puncture catheter, with a regression methodology applicable across various catheters. (b) Positioning analysis including position and direction errors.

 TABLE II

 BS TIP POSITION AND DIRECTION RMSE (SD) OF FIVE CATHETERS

	Desition DMCE (CD) (mm)			Direction DMCE (CD) (dec)				
Trials	Position RMSE (SD) (mm)			Direction RMSE (SD) (deg)				
	Bending 1	Unbending 1	Bending 2	Unbending 2	Bending 1	Unbending 1	Bending 2	Unbending 2
Sheath (large)	0.093 (0.050)	0.091 (0.049)	0.10 (0.051)	0.098 (0.057)	0.044 (2.07)	0.045 (1.88)	0.049 (2.15)	0.039 (1.73)
PASCAL TM	0.33 (0.13)	0.30 (0.14)	0.28 (0.17)	0.31 (0.15)	0.21 (9.37)	0.14 (6.14)	0.13 (6.15)	0.13 (6.02)
Commander TM	1.22 (0.38)	0.84 (0.35)	0.81 (0.35)	0.89 (0.32)	0.31 (14.33)	0.19 (9.16)	0.25 (9.78)	0.29 (13.66)
Puncture	1.16 (0.51)	1.22 (0.60)	1.15 (0.52)	1.16 (0.53)	0.70 (31.33)	0.49 (24.40)	0.50 (24.98)	0.47 (23.52)
Sheath (small)	0.73 (0.27)	0.82 (0.44)	0.74 (0.35)	0.81 (0.41)	0.14 (6.28)	0.15 (6.28)	0.17 (7.71)	0.15 (7.49)

8

IEEE ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION LETTERS. PREPRINT VERSION. ACCEPTED MARCH, 2024

remaining four catheters.

We have done the bending and unbending experiments twice for each catheter. The BS tip position and direction rootmean-square error (RMSE) and standard deviation (SD) are summarized in Table II. Regardless of some random errors, there is a small difference between bending and unbending, the first trial and the second trial. The puncture catheter exhibited the highest errors, with position and direction RMSE (SD) extending to around 1.16 (0.53) mm and 0.70 (31.33) degrees, and the large error particularly happens when the bending angle exceeded 90 degrees. These errors were primarily attributed to the mechanism coupling of two sequential bendable components. In contrast, the large guide sheath (with the highest stiffness) and the PASCALTM system (with the shortest bendable length) demonstrated a consistently stable performance, characterized by low RMSE (SD) of around 0.10 (0.051) mm and 0.049 (2.15) degrees, and 0.31 (0.15) mm and 0.14 (6.14) degrees, respectively. The small guide sheath and the CommanderTM system exhibited intermediate performance, with position RMSE (SD) of around 0.85 (0.40) mm and direction RMSE (SD) of 0.25 (9.80) degrees. It is important to note that the catheters were collected after the procedures and had undergone permanent deformation, contributing to the observed differences in performance significantly.

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Our study introduces a universal actuation module designed for the manipulation of commercial heart valve interventional catheters, along with a universal kinematic model that combines a two-curvature model with pseudo joints for precise BS positioning. The TC model was first studied in a planar bending simulation compared with three commonly used models (PRB, CC, and ES), and it showed a more accurate shape representation. Then the universality was evaluated by our established experimental setup. The actuation module showed a universal clamping performance for five catheters with a diameter lower than 45 mm produced by Edwards Lifesciences company. While adaptability to larger catheters and lateral devices remains a challenge due to spatial constraints, this limitation can be addressed through adjustments in size. Furthermore, the TC-PJ model exhibited promising adaptability and free-space positioning precision for commercial catheters with an average tip position and direction RMSE of 0.65 mm and 0.23 degrees in free-space. Notably, the error is mainly influenced by the regression process. We advocate choosing specific degrees of the polynomial regression based on the application, which is a balance between control accuracy and response. Future work will integrate actuation modules with rotational and translational mechanisms to enable comprehensive control of catheters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For the purpose of Open Access, the Author has applied a CC BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. M. Otto, R. A. Nishimura, R. O. Bonow, B. A. Carabello, J. P. Erwin III, F. Gentile, H. Jneid, E. V. Krieger, M. Mack, C. McLeod *et al.*, "2020 acc/aha guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: executive summary: a report of the american college of cardiology/american heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 450–500, 2021.
- [2] B. D. Prendergast, H. Baumgartner, V. Delgado, O. Gerard, M. Haude, A. Himmelmann, B. Iung, M. Leafstedt, J. Lennartz, F. Maisano *et al.*, "Transcatheter heart valve interventions: where are we? where are we going?" *European heart journal*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 422–440, 2019.
- [3] A. Cianciulli, A. Lasso, C. Pinter, S. Ching, R. M. Ghosh, T. Chen, C. Herz, C. Vigil, S. Drouin, L. S. Rogers *et al.*, "Simulation of delivery of clip-based therapies within multimodality images to facilitate preprocedural planning," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1111–1114, 2021.
- [4] A. Ali, D. H. Plettenburg, and P. Breedveld, "Steerable catheters in cardiology: Classifying steerability and assessing future challenges," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 679– 693, 2016.
- [5] R. T. Hahn, "State-of-the-art review of echocardiographic imaging in the evaluation and treatment of functional tricuspid regurgitation," *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*, vol. 9, no. 12, p. e005332, 2016.
- [6] Y.-H. Kim, J. Collins, Z. Li, P. Chinnadurai, A. Kapoor, C. H. Lin, and T. Mansi, "Automated catheter tip repositioning for intra-cardiac echocardiography," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiol*ogy and Surgery, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1409–1417, 2022.
- [7] C. L. Tommaso, R. M. Bolman, T. Feldman, J. Bavaria, M. A. Acker, G. Aldea, D. E. Cameron, L. S. Dean, D. Fullerton, Z. M. Hijazi *et al.*, "Multisociety (aats, accf, scai, and sts) expert consensus statement: operator and institutional requirements for transcatheter valve repair and replacement, part 1: transcatheter aortic valve replacement," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 59, no. 22, pp. 2028–2042, 2012.
- [8] C. L. Tommaso, D. A. Fullerton, T. Feldman, L. S. Dean, Z. M. Hijazi, E. Horlick, B. H. Weiner, E. Zahn, J. E. Cigarroa, C. E. Ruiz *et al.*, "Scai/aats/acc/sts operator and institutional requirements for transcatheter valve repair and replacement. part ii. mitral valve," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 64, no. 14, pp. 1515–1526, 2014.
- [9] S. R. Kapadia, P. Schoenhagen, W. Stewart, and E. M. Tuzcu, "Imaging for transcatheter valve procedures," *Current problems in cardiology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 228–276, 2010.
- [10] X. Zhang, M. C. Palumbo, F. Perico, M. Magro, A. Fortuna, T. Magni, E. Votta, A. Segato, and E. De Momi, "Robotic actuation and control of a catheter for structural intervention cardiology," in 2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2022, pp. 5907–5913.
- [11] E. B. Mazomenos, P.-L. Chang, R. A. Rippel, A. Rolls, D. J. Hawkes, C. D. Bicknell, A. Desjardins, C. V. Riga, and D. Stoyanov, "Catheter manipulation analysis for objective performance and technical skills assessment in transcatheter aortic valve implantation," *International journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery*, vol. 11, pp. 1121– 1131, 2016.
- [12] J. Mesnier, A. Alperi, V. Panagides, E. Bedard, E. Salaun, F. Philippon, and J. Rodes-Cabau, "Transcatheter tricuspid valve interventions: Current devices and associated evidence," *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 69, pp. 89–100, 2021.
- [13] D. R. Landon, A. H. Cooper, J. C. Sanchez, G. T. Rabito, V. C.-T. Wu, K. M. Golemo, J. B. Ratz, T. I. Gutierrez, R. Aguilar, T. J. Scheinblum *et al.*, "Steerable delivery system and components," Sep. 21 2021, uS Patent 11,123,186.
- [14] S. Norouzi-Ghazbi, A. Mehrkish, I. Abdulhafiz, T. Abbasi-Hashemi, A. Mahdi, and F. Janabi-Sharifi, "Design and experimental evaluation of an automated catheter operating system," *Artificial Organs*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. E171–E186, 2021.
- [15] C. Della Santina, C. Duriez, and D. Rus, "Model-based control of soft robots: A survey of the state of the art and open challenges," *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 30–65, 2023.
 [16] C. Armanini, F. Boyer, A. T. Mathew, C. Duriez, and F. Renda,
- [16] C. Armanini, F. Boyer, A. T. Mathew, C. Duriez, and F. Renda, "Soft robots modeling: A structured overview," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 2023.
- [17] M. M. Fréchet, "Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo (1884-1940)*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 1–72, 1906.