ATTITUDES AND EXPOSURE TO ILLICIT TOBACCO IN ENGLAND, 2022

Nathan Davies, MPH, NIHR Doctoral Fellow and Specialty Registrar in Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK (Corresponding author, Nathan.davies@nottingham.ac.uk)

Tessa Langley, PhD, Associate Professor of Health Economics, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB and SPECTRUM consortium, Edinburgh, EH8 9AG, UK

Leah Jayes, PhD, Senior Lecturer in Public Health, Institute of Health and Allied Professions, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Campus, Nottingham NG11 8NS, UK

Manpreet Bains, PhD, Associate Professor in Qualitative and Mixed Methods Health Research, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK

Jamie Brown, PhD, Professor of Behavioural Science, Institute of Epidemiology & Health Care, University College London, 1-19 Torrington Place, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

Deborah Arnott, FRCP(Hon), Chief Executive, Action on Smoking and Health, The Foundry, 17 Oval Way, London, SE11 5RR. and School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB

Ilze Bogdanovica, PhD, Associate Professor in Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB and SPECTRUM consortium, Edinburgh, EH8 9AG, UK

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Introduction

The UK has achieved reductions in illicit tobacco (IT) market size and share. However, there remains a 17.7% tobacco duty gap, contributing to health inequalities. In January 2024, the UK government announced a new strategy to control IT, along with provision of new funding.

Methods

A representative cross-sectional survey of adults in England ran in April 2022 to evaluate attitudes and exposure to IT. Tobacco smokers were asked questions about encounters with IT, while all participants answered questions on knowledge and perspectives on IT.

Results

Of 262 tobacco smokers, 18.3% (95% CI 13.8% - 23.6%) had come across IT in the past year. Men had four times the odds of encountering IT recently than women. Among 1,767 adults responding to questions on IT, two-thirds agreed IT harmed children, and more than half agreed IT was linked to organised crime. Younger adults, smokers and those in lower socio-economic groups were less likely to agree IT was harmful.

Conclusions

Exposure to IT, especially among younger males, remains a concern. While most of the public acknowledge its harm, this is not universal, and some population groups are less likely to do so.

Implications

The study highlights persistent exposure to illicit tobacco in England, especially among younger males, and varying perceptions of illicit tobacco harm across socio-economic groups. Tackling illicit tobacco requires collaboration between health and enforcement agencies, independent of the tobacco industry's influence. Strategies should include components that shift demand for illicit tobacco and denormalise its presence in

communities, particularly in lower socio-economic areas with higher smoking prevalence.



INTRODUCTION

The United Kingdom (UK) has a strong history of developing and implementing strategies to tackle illicit tobacco. Following a sharp rise in the illicit tobacco market in the late 20th century, illicit cigarettes were estimated to comprise more than fifth of the market in 2000/2001 and illicit hand-rolled tobacco comprised 61%.¹ In the 21st century, a series of comprehensive cross-governmental measures to address illicit tobacco reduced the total and relative size of the illicit tobacco market.²-7 However, the illicit tobacco tax gap (the difference between tax owed on cigarettes and hand-rolled tobacco, and actual tobacco tax take) was still estimated to be 17.7% in 2021/22.³ Around 10% of smokers reported using illicit tobacco in 2022 in England, with those from lower socio-economic groups reporting higher rates of use.³

In October 2023, the Government announced a policy to raise the age of sale one year every year. This has been welcomed by UK public health experts¹⁰ but its success is significantly dependent on minimising the demand and supply of illicit tobacco, in addition to tackling underage sales. The policy included the commitment to an additional £30 million a year for enforcement agencies over a five-year period, a total of £150 million, £100 million of which has been allocated to HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) and Border Force and £50 million to Trading Standards.¹¹ The Government followed this in 2024 by publishing its new strategy to tackle illicit tobacco, "Stubbing out the problem".¹² HMRC has committed to establish a multi-agency illicit tobacco taskforce, bringing together colleagues from HMRC, Border Force, and Trading Standards into a single team that collaborates closely with other law enforcement and intelligence partners.

It will be important for enforcement and public health agencies to understand the public's exposure to and opinions towards illicit tobacco to guide policy implementation. We sought to characterise current attitudes towards and exposure to illicit tobacco in England.

METHODS

Supplementary questions on illicit tobacco were added to the England April 2022 wave of the monthly Smoking Toolkit Study (STS), a representative survey of adults aged 16 and over. The total sample size was 1,767 adults, of which 262 were current smokers. The methodology for the STS is set out elsewhere. Participants were provided with a definition and examples of illicit tobacco and those who currently smoked tobacco were asked "Approximately when, if ever, did you last come across counterfeit or smuggled tobacco?" All participants were asked eight questions to elicit attitudes towards and knowledge of illicit tobacco. Missing data were excluded. Odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated for the main analysis. Logistic regression was conducted for participant agreement with statements on illicit tobacco by demographic status, reported in Supplementary Table 1.

RESULTS

262 current smokers were asked when they last came across illicit tobacco (Table I). Data were missing for 7 adults. Nearly one in five reported being exposed to illicit tobacco in the past year (18.3%, 95% CI 13.8% - 23.6%). Over a third of participants reported ever being exposed to illicit tobacco (35.1%, 95% CI 29.3% - 41.2%). Men had four times greater odds of coming across illicit tobacco than women in the last twelve months (OR 3.96, 95% CI 1.45 – 10.79). Those aged 55 and older had less than half the odds of coming across illicit tobacco in the last twelve months as those aged 18-34 (OR 0.44, 95% 0.15 – 1.29).

1,767 adults responded to questions on attitudes towards and knowledge of illicit tobacco (Table II). There were no missing data. Two-thirds of respondents disagreed that selling illicit tobacco does not do any harm (67.1%, 95% CI 64.9% - 69.3%) and two-thirds agreed that illicit tobacco was a danger to children due to easy, cheap access (67.0%, 95% CI 64.8% - 68.2%). 58.2% (95% CI 55.9% - 60.4%) of respondents were not aware of or not confident of the tax losses due to illicit tobacco.

Those in the oldest age group had significantly greater odds of agreeing with all five statements that described harms of illicit tobacco compared to those in the youngest

age group (Supplementary Table 1). This was also found for those who did not smoke compared to those who smoked. Those in the lowest socio-economic group had greater odds of agreeing that buying illicit tobacco was "no big deal" (OR 1.77, 95% 1.15 - 2.26) and that most smokers in their local area buy illicit tobacco (OR 2.64, 95% CI 1.53 - 4.55). However, the lowest and highest socio-economic groups had similar odds of agreeing with the five statements setting out the harm of tobacco.

DISCUSSION

The availability of illicit tobacco undermines the effectiveness of measures to reduce smoking prevalence by providing a cheaper alternative to UK duty-paid tobacco. Our analysis suggests that a significant portion of tobacco users in England, particularly younger men, have recently come across sources of illicit tobacco. Relatedly, the English public agree with the major arguments for taking greater action on illicit tobacco, most notably on cheap access for children, known to be price sensitive to tobacco.¹⁴

There are differences across socio-economic groups, with those from lower socio-economic backgrounds less likely to agree that selling illicit tobacco causes harm or that buying illicit tobacco is a major problem. This suggests that careful planning will be required for public health professionals and enforcement agencies to secure the support and trust of those living in lower-income areas in tackling illicit tobacco, where smoking prevalence is typically higher. There are examples of programmes involving collaboration between health and enforcement partners in the UK. The North of England illicit tobacco programme successfully changed public views on illicit tobacco and led to increased reporting by implementing a regional demand reduction communications programme, backed up by enforcement at local level. A significant proportion of the new funding linked to the illicit tobacco strategy should be devolved to such regionally led activity working with local authority partners, who operate both Trading Standards and public health departments, and have deep connections with their local communities. Specific funding arrangements should be made transparent to support evaluation and scrutiny of strategy delivery.

Study limitations include the single-instance cross-sectional design, which does not allow trends over time to be reported, and the relatively long periods of recall for past

exposure to illicit tobacco, which may lack accuracy. Self-reporting of exposure to and views of illicit activity may also risk under-reporting.

The tobacco industry has been documented to be systematically seeking to control efforts to tackle illicit tobacco for the industry's benefit, including tobacco tracking and tracing systems.¹⁷ The new illicit tobacco strategy and associated plans to change public attitudes must remain completely independent from the tobacco industry, as set out in the guidelines to Article 5.3 of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control and the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products.^{18,19}

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical approval for the STS was granted by the UCL Ethics Committee (ID 0498/001).

Conflicts: J.B. has received unrestricted research funding from Pfizer and J&J, who manufacture smoking cessation medications. All authors declare no financial links with tobacco companies, e-cigarette manufacturers or their representatives.

Funding: This work was supported by the UK Prevention Research Partnership (MR/S037519/1), which is funded by the British Heart Foundation; Cancer Research UK; Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates; Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council; Economic and Social Research Council; Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government); Medical Research Council; National Institute for Health Research; Natural Environment Research Council; Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland); The Health Foundation; and Wellcome. All STS data collection for England, except the additional questions on illicit tobacco, is supported by Cancer Research UK (PRCRPG-Nov21\100002).

For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Data availability statement: The data underlying this article is provided by The Smoking Toolkit Study by permission and are available at Open Science Framework, doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/W7CYB

References

- 1. HM Revenue and Customs. Table 3.8. Measuring tax gaps tables. Published 2023. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/measuring-tax-gaps-tables. Accessed December 18, 2023.
- 2. HM Customs and Excise, HM Treasury. Tackling Tobacco Smuggling. 2000. https://portal-uat.who.int/fctcapps/sites/default/files/kh-media/e-library-doc/2019/11/Tackling-Tobacco-Smuggling-2000.pdf. Accessed May 14, 2024
- 3. HM Revenue and Customs. New Responses to New Challenges: Reinforcing the Tackling Tobacco Smuggling Strategy. 2006. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7ee0f5ed915d74e33f2fc5/Tackling_tobacco_smuggling_-_building_on_our_success.pdf. Accessed May 14, 2024.
- 4. HM Revenue and Customs, UK Border Agency. Tackling Tobacco Smuggling Together: An Integrated Strategy for HM Revenue & Customs and the UK Border Agency. 2008. London: The Stationery Office. https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20100406112951/http://bia.homeoffic e.gov.uk/sitecontent/documents/aboutus/reports/tobaccostrat08/. Accessed May 14, 2024.
- 5. HM Revenue and Customs, UK Border Agency. Tackling Tobacco Smuggling Building on Our Success. A Renewed Strategy for HM Revenue & Customs and the UK Border Agency. 2011. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tackling-tobacco-smuggling-building-on-our-success. Accessed May 14, 2024.
- 6. HM Revenue and Customs, UK Border Force. Tackling Illicit Tobacco: From Leaf to Light. The HMRC and Border Force Strategy to Tackle Tobacco Smuggling.; 2015. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tackling-illicit-tobacco-from-leaf-to-light. Accessed May 14, 2024.
- 7. Langley T, Gilmore A, Gallagher A, Arnott D. United Kingdom: Tackling Illicit Tobacco. In: Dutta S, ed. Confronting Illicit Tobacco Trade: A Global Review of County Experiences. Vol 1. World Bank Group; 2019. https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/677451548260528135/confronting-illicit-tobacco-trade-a-global-review-of-country-experiences. Accessed May 14, 2024.
- 8. HM Revenue and Customs. 3. Tax gaps: Excise (including alcohol, tobacco and oils). Published 2023. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/measuring-tax-gaps/3-tax-gaps-excise-including-alcohol-tobacco-and-oils#tobacco. Accessed December 18, 2023.
- 9. Jackson SE, Cox S, Brown J. Trends in cross-border and illicit tobacco purchases among people who smoke in England, 2019–2022. Tob Control. Published online June 20, 2023:tc-2023-057991. doi:10.1136/tc-2023-057991

- 10. FPH welcomes Government announcement on smoking. Faculty of Public Health. Published 2023. https://www.fph.org.uk/news-events/fph-news/fph-welcomesgovernment-announcement-on-smoking/. Accessed October 13, 2023.
- 11. Department of Health & Social Care. Stopping the start: our new plan to create a smokefree generation. Published 2023. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/stopping-the-start-our-new-plan-to-create-a-smokefree-generation/stopping-the-start-our-new-plan-to-create-a-smokefree-generation#enforcement. Accessed October 13, 2023.
- 12. Border Force, HM Revenue & Customs. Stubbing out the problem: A new strategy to tackle illicit tobacco. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/stubbing-out-the-problem-a-new-strategy-to-tackle-illicit-tobacco/stubbing-out-the-problem-a-new-strategy-to-tackle-illicit-tobacco#a-new-approach. Accessed May 2, 2024.
- 13. Kock L, Shahab L, Moore G, et al. Protocol for expansion of an existing national monthly survey of smoking behaviour and alcohol use in England to Scotland and Wales: The Smoking and Alcohol Toolkit Study [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] . Wellcome Open Res. 2021;6(67). doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16700.1
- 14. Cruces G, Falcone G, Puig J. Differential price responses for tobacco consumption: implications for tax incidence. Tob Control. 2022;31(Suppl 2):s95-s100. doi:10.1136/TOBACCOCONTROL-2021-056846
- 15. Office for National Statistics. Adult smoking habits in the UK: 2022. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/healthandlifeexpectancies/bulletins/adultsmokinghabitsingreatbritain/2022#characteristics-of-current-cigarette-smokers-in-the-uk. Accessed May 2, 2024.
- 16. McNeill A, Iringe-Koko B, Bains M, Bauld L, Siggens G, Russell A. Countering the demand for, and supply of, illicit tobacco: an assessment of the 'North of England Tackling Illicit Tobacco for Better Health' Programme. Tob Control. 2014;23(e1):e44. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-050957
- 17. Gilmore AB, Gallagher AWA, Rowell A. Tobacco industry's elaborate attempts to control a global track and trace system and fundamentally undermine the Illicit Trade Protocol. Tob Control. 2019;28(2):127. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2017-054191
- 18. World Health Organization. Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products. 2011. https://fctc.who.int/protocol/overview. Accessed May 14, 2024.
- 19. WHO Framework Convention On Tobacco Control. Guidelines for Implementation of Article 5.3. 2013. https://fctc.who.int/publications/m/item/guidelines-for-implementation-of-article-5.3. Accessed May 14, 2024

Table 1: Last exposure to illicit tobacco by participant characteristics

		< 12 month s (%)	1 - 2 years (%)	> 2 years (%)	Never (%)	Don't know/ can't rememb er (%)	Refuse d (%)	Total (%)
Subgroup	Characteris tic						X	
Total		48	10	34	148	•	4	262
sample		(18.3)	(3.8)	(13.0)	(56.5)	15 (5.7)	7 (2.7)	(100.0)
Tobacco	Cigarette	39	10	31	128			227
use	smokers	(17.2)	(4.4)	(13.7)	(56.4)	13 (5.7)	6 (2.6)	(100.0)
	Other				20			35
	tobacco	9 (25.7)	3 (8.6)	0 (0.0)	(57.1)	2 (5.7)	1 (2.9)	(100.0)
		37		19	74	,		148
Sex	Men	(25.0)	8 (5.4)	(12.8)	(50.0)	8 (5.4)	2 (1.4)	(100.0)
		11	` '	15	70	, ,	` '	110
	Women	(10.0)	2 (1.8)	(13.6)	(63.6)	7 (6.4)	5 (4.5)	(100.0)
		, ,	` `		4	, ,	` '	, ,
	Other	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	(100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (100.0)
		23			60			102
Age	18-34	(22.5)	5 (4.9)	10 (9.8)	(58.8)	2 (2.0)	2 (2.0)	(100.0)
		20		13	42			91
	35-54	(22.0)	4 (4.4)	(14.3)	(46.2)	9 (9.9)	3 (3.3)	(100.0)
		$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$		11	45			68
	55+	5 (7.4)	1 (1.5)	(16.2)	(66.2)	4 (5.9)	2 (2.9)	(100.0)
			` '	, ,	1	, ,	` '	, ,
	Unknown	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	(100.0)	0(0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)
Social		• •	` '	` '	17	` '	` '	31
grade	AB	7 (22.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (9.7)	(54.8)	2 (6.5)	2 (6.5)	(100.0)
		14		11	42	. ,		81
	C1	(17.3)	5 (6.2)	(13.6)	(51.9)	8 (9.9)	1 (1.2)	(100.0)
13					35	. ,		55
V	C2	7 (12.7)	3 (5.5)	8 (14.5)	(63.6)	1(1.8)	1 (1.8)	(100.0)
		,		,	23	. ,		39
	D	8 (20.5)	0 (0.0)	5 (12.8)	(59.0)	1 (2.6)	2 (5.1)	(100.0)
		,	-	,	20			37
	E	9 (24.3)	1 (2.7)	4 (10.8)	(54.1)	2 (5.4)	1 (2.7)	(100.0)
		41(19.2	-	27	121			214
Ethnicity	White) `	7 (3.3)	(12.6)	(56.5)	13 (6.1)	5 (2.3)	(100.0)
-	Other				25			41
	ethnicity	7 (17.1)	3 (7.3)	5 (12.2)	(61.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	(100.0)
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		l	<u> </u>	, ,	• •

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/ntr/advance-article/doi/10.1093/ntr/ntae118/7675241 by guest on 20 May 2024

Table 2: Participant attitudes towards and knowledge of illicit tobacco

Statement	Agree (%)	Neither agree nor disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Don't know (%)
Selling them doesn't do anyone any harm	234 (13)	234 (13)	1,186 (67)	113 (6)
They are a danger to kids because they can buy them easily and cheaply	1,184 (67)	149 (8)	185 (10)	150 (8)
Buying them is no big deal	344 (19)	228 (13)	938 (53)	158 (9)
Over a billion pounds a year of tax is lost in the UK because of illegal cigarettes and tobacco	738 (42)	300 (17)	144 (8)	486 (28)
They bring crime into the local community	779 (44)	231 (13)	447 (25)	211 (12)
They encourage anti-social behaviour	653 (37)	247 (14)	567 (32)	201 (11)
Illegal tobacco is associated with organised crime	1,019 (58)	174 (10)	257 (15)	218 (12)
Most smokers in my local area buy illegal tobacco	190 (11)	253 (14)	496 (28)	729 (41)