



The 3rd ICP-HESOS

International Conference On Psychology
In Health, Educational, Social,
and Organizational Settings

November, 16th-18th, 2018
Surabaya, Indonesia

Improving Mental Health
and Harmony in Global Community

PROCEEDINGS

Edited by:
Rahkman Ardi
Phatthanakit Chobthamkit

Organized by:
Universitas Airlangga
& Zhejiang University



ICP-HESOS 2018

Proceedings of the
3rd International Conference on
Psychology in Health, Educational, Social, and
Organizational Settings

Improving Mental Health and Harmony in Global Community

Surabaya - Indonesia

November 16 - 18, 2018

Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda.
All rights reserved

Edited by Rahkman Ardi and Phatthanakit Chobthamkit

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-758-435-0

Depósito Legal: 470082/20

<http://icphesos.psikologi.unair.ac.id>

icphesos@psikologi.unair.ac.id

BRIEF CONTENTS

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES	IV
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VI
FOREWORD	VII
CONTENTS	IX

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES

PROGRAM CHAIR

Rahkman Ardi, Head of Department of Social Psychology and Personality, Faculty of Psychology,
Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

EDITORS

Rahkman Ardi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Phatthanakit Chobthamkit, Thammasat University, Thailand

SECRETARY

Rizqy Amelia Zein, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

SECRETARIAT

Nido Dipo Wardana, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Binari Dhamasanti Mahadewi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

FINANCE

Ilham Nur Alfian, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Sukma Rahastri Kanthi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Valina Khiarin Nisa, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Mukhammad Jawwad, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

CONFERENCE PROGRAM

Atika Dian Ariana, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Vania Ardelia, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Seger Handoyo, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Dewi Retno Suminar, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Nur Ainy Fardana, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Reza Lidia Sari, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PAPERS AND PROCEEDINGS

Suryanto, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Wiwin Hendriani, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Fajrianthi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Achmad Chusairi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Hamidah, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Duta Nurdibyanandaru, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Tino Leonardi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

FOOD AND REFRESHMENT

Dewi Syarifah, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Ika Yuniar Cahyanti, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

LOGISTICS

Tiara Diah Sosialita, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PUBLIC RELATION AND DOCUMENTATION

Dimas Aryo Wicaksono, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
Listyati Setyo Palupi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

ART AND WEB DESIGN

Bivita Brata, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

TRANSPORTATION AND ACCOMMODATION

Herison Pandapotan Purba, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Dominika Maison, University of Warsaw, Poland

Rizqy Amelia Zein, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Magdalena Zemojtel-Piotrowska, Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, Poland

Triana Kesuma Dewi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Nurul Ain Hidayah Binti Abas, Universitas Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia

Nurul Hartini, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Jaroslav Piotrowski, Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, Poland

Fendy Suhariadi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Ma Jianhong, Zhejiang University, China

Jony Eko Yulianto, Universitas Ciputra, Indonesia

Cholichul Hadi, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Noh Amit, The National University of Malaya, Malaysia

Rafidah Binti Aga Mohd Jaladin, Universiti of Malaya, Malaysia

FOREWORD

As one of the leading universities in Indonesia, Universitas Airlangga envisions to be a center for health studies. In line with that vision, Faculty of Psychology Universitas Airlangga aims to make collaboration with various parties in conducting sustainable mental health-related activities in various aspects.

The swift community development has continuously complicated mental health issues which also signifies the importance of studies concerning those issues. Numbers of factors such as the rapid advancement of information and communication technology, the ever-increasing spread of hoaxes, global economy competition, inflation, occupational challenges, discrepancies of income, mass polarization, and other recent social issues are potential to cause disharmony in community and lay impact on the complexity of individual mental health.

This condition has become a challenge for psychology either in the field of health, education, social, and organization to always spearhead the studies of various theories, approaches, applications, and interventions pertaining to individual and community mental health. In relation with this challenge, we believe that it is necessary to connect scientists and practitioners of psychology, social science, and mental health, allowing for idea exchange in investigating the complexity of existing issues comprehensively.

Therefore, along with the commemoration of the 35th Anniversary of Psychology Education of Universitas Airlangga in 2018, Faculty of Psychology hosted the 3rd International Conference on Psychology in Health, Educational, Social, and Organizational Settings (3rd ICP-HESOS). The conference had been successfully held twice. The first conference was held at Faculty of Psychology Universitas Airlangga in 2013 followed by the second event at Zhejiang University in 2015, and the third was once again hosted by Faculty of Psychology Universitas Airlangga in 2018.

In the third conference, there were 478 abstracts submitted for both oral presentations and poster presentations. More than a hundred potential participants coming from various countries ranging from Europe, Asia, to Australia were interested to join this event. Considering the quality, we had only selected 173 abstracts for oral presentations and 29 for poster presentations. It indicates the acceptance rate of the conference was 42 percent.

At last, we would like to extend the sincerest gratitude to all participants, committee, reviewers, partners, sponsors, and volunteers. Your involvement and support made it possible to hold the 3rd ICP HESOS 2018. We hope that, by holding this conference periodically, we can contribute significantly to the improvement of global community mental health in general and to the science and practice of psychology in particular.

Dr. Rahkman Ardi, M.Psych.
Program Chair of the 3rd ICP-HESOS and Editor of Conference Proceedings

CONTENTS

PAPERS

FULL PAPERS

- The Effect of Service Learning to Improve Empathy to Bully in Primary School
Rizka Fibria Nugrahani and Fitri Andriani 5
- Can Subjective Well-being Achieved on Early Marriage among Madurese Women?
Yudho Bawono, Dewi Retno Suminar, M. G. Bagus Ani Putra, Wiwin Hendriani and Tommy H. Firmanda 12
- Entertainment-social Celebrity Worship Syndrome of Female Adolescents using Social-media in Indonesia
Adismara Putri Pradiri and Nurul Hartini 19
- Our Different Differences: Qualitative Study of Cognitive Dissonance on Different Religion Spouses
Muhammad Fitrah Ramadhan Umar and Suryanto 26
- Social Stories and Token Economy as a Method of Overcoming Off-task Behavior in Teenager with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)
Adinda Istiqomah and Iwan Wahyu Hidayat 34
- Exploring the Profile of Factors of Career Adaptability through Cluster Analysis
Wiwik Sulistiani, Dewi Retno Suminar and Wiwin Hendriani 41
- The Relationship between Perceptions of Management Rules with the Tendency of Burnout in Nurses
Wasis Purwo Wibowo, Adnani Budi Utami and Niken Pratitis 49
- Single Women's Potency to Achieve Psychological Well-being
Nanik, Wiwin Hendriani and Mareyke M. W. Tairas 55
- Mental Health Research Role in Global World
Sri Idaiani 63
- Parenting on Mother for Children with Speech Delay: A Case Study in Successful Parenting
Sabrina Ade Soura and Dewi Retno Suminar 66
- Cognitive-behavioral Group Therapy to Reduce Anxiety in High School Students Who Have Family Problems
Yudi Kurniawan and Indahria Sulistyarini 72
- The Cultural Role on Emotional Competence Development in Early Childhood
Yettie Wandansari, Dewi Retno Suminar and Tina Afiatin 82
- The Relationship between Sense of Coherence and Parenting Stress in Mothers of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder
Priskila Kurniandini and Nurul Hartini 91
- Stigma and Knowledge about Autism Spectrum Disorder among Parents and Professionals in Indonesia
Muryantinah M. Handayani and Pramesti P. Paramita 97

The Meaning and the Source of Mother's Happiness: An Explorative Study to Young Adult Mothers with Ages 20-40 Years Old <i>Endang Prastuti, Mareyke Maritje Wagey Tairas and Nurul Hartini</i>	101
Middle Managers' Commitment to Change after Downsizing <i>Evi Kurniasari Purwaningrum, Fendy Suhariadi and Fajrianthi</i>	108
From Bed to the Web: A Systematic Review Comparing Offline and Online Sexual Self-disclosure <i>Retno Setyaningsih and M. G. Bagus Ani Putra</i>	115
Prevalence of Weight Control Behaviors among Adolescent Girls <i>Monique Sukamto, Fajrianthi and Hamidah</i>	120
The Effect of Interpersonal Communication on Marital Satisfaction among Wives Who Married Early in Mandangin Islands <i>Irada Nur Anisa Wicaksono and Herdina Indrijati</i>	133
The Effects of Sociocultural Pressures, BMI, Weight Perception, and Body Dissatisfaction on Unhealthy Weight Control Behaviors among Indonesian Adolescent Girls <i>Monique Elizabeth Sukamto, Darmawan Muttaqin, Fajrianthi and Hamidah</i>	140
Configure the Personal Space Dimensions on the Elderly's Quality of Life in a Nursing Home <i>Satria Kamal Akhmad and Suryanto</i>	149
Validity of Processing Speed Ability Test based on Internal Structure <i>Fitri Andriani, Cholichul Hadi, Urip Purwono and Siti Sulasmi</i>	156
Optimistic: Building Subjective Well-being and Post Traumatic Growth on Post-mastectomy Women <i>Nurul Hartini, Vania Ardelia and Valina Khiarin Nisa</i>	162
The Effectiveness of Stress Inoculation Training in Reducing Stress on Intensive Care Nurses <i>Aufa Putri Suryanto and Ilham Nur Alfian</i>	168
Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy to Reducing Depression in Nursing Home Residents <i>Ajeng Harlika Puspitasari and Hamidah</i>	174
Marital Quality: An Empirical Comparison of Two Unidimensional Measures <i>Soerjantini Rahaju, Nurul Hartini and Wiwin Hendriani</i>	180
Demographic Factors and Life Satisfaction of Indonesian Christian Private Universities Lecturers: A Descriptive Preliminary Study <i>Yusak Novanto, Seger Handoyo and Jenny Lukito Setiawan</i>	187
Does CQA Online Platform Increase Academic Performance of Secondary Students in Indonesia? <i>Rahkman Ardi, Adismara Putri Pradiri, Handy Pratama and Dimas Mukhlas Widianoro</i>	199
Ethnic Identity and Ethnic Prejudice in Children: A Comparative Study of the Three Cities in Indonesia <i>Dyan Evita Santi, Suryanto and M. G. Bagus Ani Putra</i>	207
The Impact of Career Growth on Turnover Intention with Employee Engagement as a Mediator Variable: Study among the Generation Y Employees in Indonesia <i>Anggraini Delina Putri and Seger Handoyo</i>	216

I Am Sure I Can Speak Up: The Role of Efficacy on Employee Voice <i>Unika Prihatsanti, Seger Handoyo and Rahkman Ardi</i>	224
The Influence of Masculine Ideology and Gender Role Orientation on Self-esteem of Pastors' Husbands of the Batak Karo Protestant Church <i>Karina Meriem Beru Brahmana, Suryanto and Bagong Suyanto</i>	230
Cyber Slacking among University Students: The Role of Internet Habit Strength, Media Multitasking Efficacy and Self Regulated Learning <i>Ermida Simanjuntak, Nur Ainy Fardana Nawangsari and Rahkman Ardi</i>	239
The Effect of Storytelling on the Theory of Mind in Preschoolers <i>Novia Solichah and Dewi Retno Suminar</i>	248
Brief Dialectical Behavior Therapy for a Suicidal Ideation Case: A Literature Review <i>Retno Ayu Astrini and Ike Herdiana</i>	253
Reduce Stigmatization towards Schizophrenic Patients using Acceptance and Commitment Therapy <i>Astri Haryanti and Nurul Hartini</i>	261
What's behind Work Engagement in Teaching Practice? <i>Ika Febrian Kristiana, Rahkman Ardi and Wiwin Hendriani</i>	267
Confirmatory Factor Analysis Post-traumatic Growth Inventory among Domestic Violence Survivor <i>Diah Rahayu, Hamidah Hamidah and Wiwin Hendriani</i>	276
The Dynamics of Post-traumatic Stress Disorder among Sexual Violence Victims <i>Hera Wahyuni, Hamidah and Nurul Hartini</i>	283
Mental Health Services in Safe House for Women and Children Victims of Violence <i>Danny Sanjaya Arfensia</i>	290
Prejudice towards Host Culture and Acculturative Stress: Studies on Internal Migrants in Indonesia <i>Nugraha Arif Karyanta and M. G. Bagus Ani Putra</i>	294
Influence of Local Culture on Individual Value Systems to Premarital Sexual: A Systematical Review <i>Yosefina Marijke Watofa, Suryanto and Hari Basuki Notobroto</i>	303
Grieving the Death of the Loved Ones among the Incarcerated Women: A Phenomenological Study <i>Okky Nursafitri and Margaretha</i>	307
A Theoretical Framework for Understanding Cyber Incivility Perpetrators <i>Silvia Kristanti Tri Febriana and Fajrianthi</i>	313
Predicting Faculty Member's Work Engagement in Indonesia: Testing the Role of Optimism, Perceived Organizational Support and Self-efficacy <i>Aditya Nanda Priyatama, Muhamad Zainudin and Seger Handoyo</i>	323
Does Self-regulated Learning Have Relationship with Academic Procrastination?: Study on Middle School Students in Surabaya's Coastal Area <i>Widjajaning Budi, Dessy Nur Utami and Andi Maulida Rahmania</i>	330
The Relationship between Self-control with Aggressive Behavior <i>Andik Matulesy, Yanto Prasetyo and Riska Tantri Maharani</i>	340

The Role of Optimism as the Mediator between Family and Mental Wellbeing among Secondary School Students in East Java <i>Rahmat Aziz, Retno Mangestuti and Esa Nur Wahyuni</i>	345
The Effectiveness of Dialectical Behavior Therapy in Developing Emotion Regulation Skill for Adolescent with Intellectual Disability <i>Shahnaz Safitri, Rose Mini Salim and Pratiwi Widyasari</i>	351
Validation of Facial Action Unit for Happy Emotion Detection <i>Ananta Yudiantarso, William Liando, Jun Zhao, Runliang Ni and Ziyi Zhao</i>	360
Correlation between Ratio of Index Finger Length to Ring Finger Length (2D:4D) and Working Memory Performance <i>Ananta Yudiantarso, Dian Teres Agung, Hendrik Gunawan and Yiming Wan</i>	364
A Preliminary Study of Screen-media, Empathizing, and Systemizing in Children <i>Ni Putu Adelia Kesumaningsari, Meidy Christianty Soesanto, Nova Retalista, Xuan Hongzhou and Wang Yiming</i>	368
Family, Spirituality, and Mental Health in Higher Education <i>Esa Nur Wahyuni, Rahmat Aziz and Retno Mangestuti</i>	376
Narima Ing Pandum (NIP) Group Intervention: An Effort to Decrease Depression through Local Wisdom on Murder Case Inmates <i>Zamroni, Nanik Prihartanti and Wisnu Sri Hertinjung</i>	386
Motivational Coaching to Enhance Group Cohesiveness of Employee Company X Duri Pekanbaru: Experimental Studies <i>Didik Widiantoro, Sigit Nughoro and Yanwar Arief</i>	391
Is Self-efficacy Related to Students' Moral Reasoning?: A Research on Students' Absentee Behavior <i>Aurelius Ratu, Ni Gusti Rai, Niken Prasetya and Dyah Satya Yoga</i>	397
How is the Survival Mechanism of Poor People with Orthopedic Handicap? <i>Femita Adelina and Suryanto</i>	406
Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale: Psychometric Evaluation of the Indonesian Version <i>Anindya Dewi Paramita, Andi Tenri Faradiba and Puti Febrayosi</i>	410
Quality of Life and Individual Adjustment of People Living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) <i>Erni Agustina Setiowati and Anggun Dwi Cahyani</i>	415
Normal vs. Green Elementary School Students: Comparison in Nature Relatedness and Pro-environmental Behavior <i>Aditya Benyamin, Ratna Djuwita and Amarina Ashar Ariyanto</i>	424
Does Green Curriculum Have an Impact on Pro-environmental Behavior?: A Comparative Study with Middle Schools <i>Hanna Raisya and Ratna Djuwita</i>	431
Parents Role on Pornography Addiction in Children <i>Luluk Nadiyahun Nadziroh</i>	441
Behavior Therapy in Nature Environment, and the Application in an Inclusive Education Curriculum <i>Melati Ismi Hapsari and Roro Setyawati</i>	450

Predicting Well-being from Different Dimensions of Religiousness: Initial Application of 4-BDRS Scale in Indonesia <i>Yonathan Aditya, Riryn Sani, Ihan Martoyo and Rudy Pramono</i>	458
Work Engagement and Organizational Commitment in Private University <i>Sukma Rani, Hendriati Agustiani, Maya R. Ardiwinata and R. Urip Purwono</i>	464
The Big Five Personality Traits, Self-regulated Learning, and Academic Achievement <i>Asina C. Rosito</i>	469
Career Decision Self-efficacy Mediates the Emotional Intelligence on Career Preparation Behaviour <i>Nur P. Noviati and Thobagus M. Nu'man</i>	478
Learning Motivation as Predictor of Student Engagement in Private Junior High Schools Students <i>Dewi Mustamiah and Nurul S. Widanti</i>	486
The Mechanism of Moral Disengagement on Adolescent Perpetrators of Fornication <i>Dessi Christanti, Suryanto and Muhammad G. B. A. Putra</i>	494
Regression Model for Work Engagement of Millennial Generation Workforce <i>Rina Mulyati, Fathul Himan, Bagus Riyono and Fendy Suhariadi</i>	505
Mental Health Literacy in South East Asia in a Cultural Context: A Systematic Review <i>Fairuziana, Marty Mawarpury, Andriyani E. Lay, Yeni Fitria and Yanti Fitria</i>	516
Bridging the Youth Health Gap: A Case Study of Laskar Pencerah <i>Fairuziana, Olivia Herlinda and S. T. Khumaidah</i>	525
The Effect of Psychological Capital on Work Engagement: Investigating the Moderating Effect of Gender and Job <i>Muhammad Tamar and Hillman Wirawan</i>	535
Assessing Engineering Students' Stress: Implementing the Psychometric Synonym Technique <i>Abdul Saman, Muhammad Jufri and Hillman Wirawan</i>	543
Behind Front Pembela Islam (FPI) Movement: Can Social Value Orientation Influence Religious Prejudice? <i>Nur A. M. Devi and Lusy A. Akhrani</i>	548
Digital Media and Psychological Well-being among Youth <i>Ni Putu Adelia Kesumaningsari, Cindy Claudia Soen, Made Arisudana, Zeng Xiangjun and Jia Zhenguo</i>	554
Contentment (Qana'ah) and Mental Health on Fishermen in Pena'ah Village, Indonesia <i>Yang Dini Azkarisa and Juliarni Siregar</i>	564
AUTHOR INDEX	575

PAPERS

FULL PAPERS

I Am Sure I Can Speak Up: The Role of Efficacy on Employee Voice

Unika Prihatsanti^{1,2}, Seger Handoyo¹ and Rahkman Ardi¹

¹Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Airlangga

²Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Diponegoro

unik0206@gmail.com; {seger.handoyo, rahkman.ardi}@psikologi.unair.ac.id

Keywords: general self-efficacy, speak-up, promotive voice, prohibitive voice

Abstract: Employee voice is important to improve organizational function and effectiveness through the contribution of employees. Efficacy is defined as a belief in one's competence to cope with various pressing or challenging demands. This study aims to prove empirically the relationship between efficacy and employee voice. The study involved 163 research participants from various companies in Indonesia and those who answered online questionnaires. To measure general self-efficacy and employee voice, questionnaires were circulated via instant messaging application and several social media platforms. The participants answered some questions and fill out questionnaires. Based on a simple regression analysis, it is evident that efficacy can predict employee voice. It means that the higher the self-confidence, the higher the desire to produce voice and it is stronger in male participants. The limitation was that researchers did not control the type of work that may influence employee voice. Thus, the next researchers need to differ the working characteristics and other demographic data.

1 INTRODUCTION

Employee voice studies have drawn several researchers' attention in the last two decades. Although many researchers have identified several influential factors, further researches are still needed to provide a clearer insight into the antecedent of employee voice (Morison, 2011). Detert and Burris (2007), Van Dyne, Ang & Botero (2003) suggested that more researches are required to clarify and provide better precision relating to employee voice antecedents. Researchers have understood that an organization needs to identify its employees' voice behavior since it is one of the most reliable ways to ensure continuous improvement and competitiveness (Botero and Van Dyne, 2009). An organization requires input based on its employees' knowledge and ideas to adapt to the rapidly changing environment in order to compete against its competitors and to become the superior.

Therefore, many self-efficacy researches have been conducted in many fields such as health, athletics and business (Bandura, 1997). Most researches used Western populations, normally Americans (Klassen, 2004). Although it has been proven that employees' performance has become the

major predictor, only a few have studied how self-efficacy functions in Eastern individuals. Klassen (2004) discovered that the level of efficacy depends on the cultural context where non-Western culture efficacy differs from Western culture.

Kozan (1997) stated that Asians appreciate cooperative behavior by accommodating conflict avoidance rather than competing with others. Based on that, employees will consider their behavior more carefully. If their behavior is considered negative, they will not do it. On the other hand, if their behavior is considered constructive, they will uphold it or even, in some cases, increase the frequency. This is also implemented in voice. When it is accepted as something constructive and has good intention, the employees will give out their voice. Park and Kim (2018) proved that cultural value has a significant role in influencing employee voice. Moreover, they explained that collectivism, face saving and conflict avoidance influence employee voice. This has shown the difference between Koreans and Americans.

There are several interesting reasons behind this research. First, Indonesia is the country with the biggest archipelago, which consists of 17,508 islands with more than 300 ethnicities or tribes.

Implied communication is the most appropriate term to describe the Indonesians' common communication style. This cultural standard is marked by symbolic and non-straight forward messages (Panggabean, Tjitra, and Murniati, 2011). Communication is an indirect, negative and hidden feedback (Hofstede, 1967). Hence, it has certain implications for employees' willingness to speak and provide suggestion to their supervisors. In line with Morison and Caitlin (2015), in reality, when an employee is facing the option of expressing his/her ideas concerning the current issues, they will choose to remain silent. Morison, Miliken and Hewlin (2003) discovered that 85% of professional employees and managers were aware of their failure in revealing the crucial things, which should have been the company's main attention. Only 51% indicated that they were comfortable speaking to their supervisor or the management concerning the current issues happening.

Second, some deeper reviews have summarized several research findings based on employee voice literature using the data from several decades in the past (Morrison, 2011, 2014; Ng and Feldman, 2012, Botero, 2013, Chamberlin, Newton and Lepine, 2017). In the review, a number of constructions were identified giving more confidence for the employees to express their ideas. Both theoretical and empirical literatures have shown different individual characteristics such as locus of control, proactive personality (Botero, 2013; Morrison, 2011, 2014), employees' attitude such as bond and work satisfaction (Morrison, 2011, 2014) and organization context such as the organization structure and support (Morrison, 2011, 2014) are the main predictors of voice. Other researchers considered that further researches can possibly influence the necessary voice process (LePine and VanDyne, 1998; Liang, Farh and Farh, 2012). According to the review, the cultural factor has not been the focus of the research. Therefore, employee voice has become the important topic to be studied. One rationale is by involving self-efficacy variable as the antecedent of employee voice.

Self-efficacy is a central concept by Bandura (1997) on social learning theory and refers to the confidence that people are competent and that their task completing effort will be effective. Bandura emphasized that self-efficacy works in a specific situation and is able to develop over time. Conversely, general self-efficacy is conceptualized as core self-evaluation, which is relatively stable over time and situation (Landau, 2009). General self-efficacy is a person's confidence on their

competence in dealing with various pressuring demands while self-efficacy is limited to certain tasks. General self-efficacy can represent mastery experience. Life experience is the strongest factor influencing general self-efficacy. Employees gradually gain experience of success, which generates more specific self-efficacy such as the efficacy to speak. Researchers have proven that general self-efficacy is closely related to certain self-efficacy like occupational self-efficacy (Chen, Gully and Eden, 2001)

The correlation between general self-efficacy and social cognitive variable e.g. intention, implementation intentions, outcome expectancies, and self-regulation behavior-specific self-efficacy, health behaviors, well-being, and coping strategies were examined (Luszczynska, Scholz, and Schwarzer, 2005). Frese, Teng and Wijnen (1998) discovered the positive connection between self-efficacy and having ideas, a precursor to voice. Meanwhile, McNab and Worthley (2007) found that general self-efficacy is positively related to internal whistle blowing. Whistle blowing is similar to voice construct where a whistle blowing employee reveals another member's illegal, immoral or unofficial conduct to others or organizations. This behavior has wider scope, as it is not only a form of communication but also inappropriate behavior, which affects both internal and external elements of the organization. It is different from voice as it has a more specific focus, only on the information of inappropriate attitudes. Thus, it becomes more appealing to scrutinize the influence of general self-efficacy on employee voice. In general efficacy researches, it becomes a universal construction, which is related to optimism, self-regulation and self-esteem, and it is negatively related to depression and anxiety (Luszczynska, Doña and Schwarzer, 2005). Employee voice will emerge when employees believe that they have something to say and feel competent. Also, they will take risk if they think that they can gain something from their action, i.e. their suggestion brings positive changes to their organization.

Researches on voice have developed (1995 – now) by conceptualizing voice in various ways in literatures. Van Dyne and LePine (1998) considered voice as the extra behavior and defined it as employees' constructing expression, which has the purpose of improving the organization's function. The definition itself was well known explicitly as a form of behavior which has a vision to improve the organization's function and effectiveness by applying constructive ideas, opinions, suggestions

and comments. Since VanDyne and LePine (1998), there have been many researches exploring the dimension of voice. In general, in literature review (Burris, 2012, Klaas, Olson-Buchanan and Ward, 2012, Liang & Farh, 2012; Maynes and Podsakoff, 2014, Morrison, 2011, 2014; VanDyne and Ang, and Botero, 2003), voice has the scope as (a) providing ideas on new or better methods in doing something; (b) providing suggestions relating to doing something out of the box; (c) expressing concerns on job-related issues in the organization which was previously identified but has not been addressed; (d) providing opinion on potential transformation which can help to finish a project and work effectively. Those four items have main characteristics such as speaking with the intention of challenging or trying to change the status quo in order to improve the organization's function (Morrison, 2014). In this research, employee voice is defined as the unforced communication by employees to provide ideas, suggestions, attention, information and concern about issues or opinions relating to work to the right person to encourage improvement or change. Unforced means that it is not decided based on its role with no clear regulation and no consequence for the individual. Hence, deciding voice possesses more considerable challenges. Landau (2009) stated that there are four conditions in which an individual chooses to be more expressive or not. They are (1) it must be a message to deliver, (2) it must be considered as a part of responsibility, (3) it must be believed as an agent of change, (4) it must believe that the suggestion will be considered seriously and give impact to the organization.

From the explanation above, it is interesting to conduct further study on the role of self-efficacy in promoting employee voice from an Indonesian culture perspective. This can result in different output from Western culture (Morrison, 2011).

The research aims to prove empirically whether self-efficacy influences employee voice. The hypotheses proposed in this study are:

- H1. Self-efficacy is connected to employee voice.
- H2. There are gender differences between male and female workers. Male workers tend to be more vocal than female.

2 METHOD

2.1 Procedure

The survey was conducted from April to May 2018 and was administered online. The link was distributed on various social media and chat rooms via several instant messaging apps. All of them were informed about the purpose of the research and assured about their identity anonymity and the confidential status of the data.

2.2 Participants

Participants were employees from various companies in Indonesia involving 163 participants. Participants were mostly females (58.9%), and males (41.1%). Their level of education ranged from secondary school 8%, diploma 6.1%, Bachelor's degree 63.8%, post-graduated 22.1% with age ranged between 20 and 60 years old.

2.3 Measures

2.3.1 Employee Voice

Employee voice was measured using a 5-point Likert scale by applying the Liang, Farh and Farh (2012) scale which consisted of 10 items. Participants were asked to provide responses describing their voice by selecting the option of never, rarely, sometimes, often and always with coefficient alpha employee voice 0.86.

2.3.2 Self-efficacy

Self-efficacy was measured using the Schwarzer and Jerusalem (1995) scale. General Self Efficacy scale developed was converted into many languages including Indonesian. The psychometric instrument's characteristics have been tested in 25 countries and the results have confirmed the assumption that it can work inter-culture (Scholz, et al., 2002). The coefficient alpha of GSE is 0.88.

2.4 Data Analysis

Linier regression analysis was used to investigate whether self-efficacy would influence employee voice. An independent *t*-test was also implemented to distinguish male and female employee voice. The hypotheses of the research were that self-efficacy contributes to employee voice and there is a difference between male and female employee voice.

Table 1: Determinant of Self-Efficacy to Employee Voice (N = 163)

Variables	Unstandardized B	SE	F	p-value	aR ²	VIF	Power (1-β)
Self-Efficacy							
Constant	24.870	3.202	13.032	.000*	.069	1.000	1.00
GSE	0.354	0.098		.000*			

SE = standard error, aR² = adjusted R², VIF = collinearity diagnostics, 1-β = achieved statistical power, *p < 0.01.

Statistical analysis used JASP (JASP Team, 2008). Researchers also examined the effect size and post hoc or achieved statistical power for hypothesis using G*Power (Faul, et al., 2007).

3 RESULTS

The regression analysis results show correlation between self-efficacy and employee voice ($r=0.274$, $p<0.001$), which means that self-efficacy is a strong predictor of employee voice. This assumption would be subsequently confirmed with linear regression analysis (Table 1).

Independent sample *t*-test shows different employee voice from both male and female subjects. Levene’s test showed both group variances were equal, so independent sample *t*-test with equal variances assumption was used. Employee voice ($t(163)=3.713$, $p=0.00$, $d=0.591$) was significantly different across the groups.

Data of respondents (n = 163) showed that 92 respondents (54.6%) tended to be silent, while 71 respondents (43.6%) chose to vote. The self-efficacy data showed 88 respondents (54%) had low efficacy and 75 respondents (46%) had high efficacy.

4 DISCUSSION

This research is aimed to prove empirically the correlation between self-efficacy and employee voice. Results have proven that self-efficacy holds a key role in employee voice. Employee voice is verbal behavior, which aims for direct correction

specifically to the person in charge in an organization (Detert and Burris, 2007). General self-efficacy is related to several organizational behaviors such as work performance (Judge and Bono, 2001) and extra-role promotive behaviors. General self-efficacy may also influence whether they believe that their behavior can really make a difference. Employees will only take risks if they perceive some benefits for their action. When they are confident, they are able to tell their supervisors about their ideas, suggestions or concerns to provide positive changes to their organization (Morrison, 2011).

Kish-Gephart. et al. (2009) described two reasons causing the emergence of voice behavior. First, employees with high voice effectiveness tend to consider that the environment around them is still manageable with a low level of threat. Researches also showed that personal self-control has a positive correlation with voice (Tangirala and Ramanujam, 2008). Second, employees believe that they have survived the challenge in speaking up. One challenge that hampers employee voice is the high personal cost when management refuses to even consider the voice which may potentially cause the loss in employees’ confidence, respect, promotion opportunity or career progress as their suggestion is considered a threat and may harm others’ feelings (Milliken, Morrison and Hewlin, 2003). Voice is considered as something risky and stressful (Ng and Feldman, 2012). However, when employees believe that the management appreciates their voice, the fear of personal cost will reduce.

A number of researchers have conceptualized general self-efficacy in wide-scope and stable

Table 2: The different employee voice between male and female (N = 163)

Dependent Variables	Gender	n	Mean	SD	Levene’s Test	t	df	p	Cohen’s d	Power (1-β)
EV	Male	67	38.19	4.819	.222	3.713	161	.000	0.591	1.00
	Female	96	35.03	5.691						

Notes: 1-β = achieved statistical power, *p < 0.01.

personal competence when dealing with stressful situations (Schwarzer and Jerusalem, 1995). This means that a high level of voice efficacy must be present before speaking up. The result is in-line with Duan, Kwan and Ling (2014) who proved that general efficacy gives rise to voice efficacy, which eventually will lead to employee voice.

The behavior included is the way in expressing concern related to the current work practice. Therefore, employees' confidence will affect their urge in raising their voice concerning the organizational issues whether it can produce certain innovation or organization evaluation. The efficacy felt represents a person's confidence in using their skill in resisting temptation, dealing with stress and mobilizing resources required to deal with several situational demands (Bandura, 1997). After several actions, employees with high self-efficacy have put in more effort and survived better than those with low efficacy. As a result, the ones with high self-efficacy have realized that they can overcome any obstacle and focus on the opportunity.

Results also prove that there are differences in employee voice of female and male workers. There is a tendency that male workers are more able to speak up than female. Males tend to participate more especially in task-related issues. That males tend to initiate communications and provide their opinions, whereas females tend to be more reactive and strive for consensus (Strodtbeck and Man cited by LePine and VanDyne, 1998). Females may have lower confidence that they will be heard above members.

This research provides a theoretical contribution in explaining the correlation between self-efficacy and employee voice, which also provides a practical contribution. Respondents in this study had low efficacy levels and tended to choose not to speak. Thus, providing practical implications for managers can provide opportunities to improve efficacy with positive experiences. Self-efficacy has become a part of an organization's responsibility, which provides the means to develop employees' personal capacity. With the increasing employee self-efficacy, employees will also believe that they have the competence to do something which results in the employees' responsibility in giving their finest contribution to the corporation in form of ideas and suggestions in order to improve the organization's performance.

4.1 Limitation & Future Research Direction

Employee voice is considered crucial for employees'

performance (Van Dyne and Le Pine, 1998) and the organization's effectiveness (Detert and Burris, 2007). This research has applied social cognitive theory and provided an insight into several issues relating to general self-efficacy. Although Van Dyne and LePine (1998) reported that employee voice in form of self-report was stable from time to time and positively related to co-workers' and supervisors' judgment, it has common method bias. Therefore, the next researchers need to make use of other resources to obtain the data. Second, researchers did not control the type of work, which may influence employee voice, so the next researchers need to differ the working characteristics and other demographic data such as how long they have worked, education background and age. Third, this research used one data source, which was employees with the possible common method bias. The next researchers need to consider obtaining the data from other sources such as co-workers or supervisors.

REFERENCES

- Bandura, A., 1997. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.
- Botero I.C., and Van Dyne L., 2009. Employee voice behavior interactive effects of LMX and power distance in the United States and Colombia. *Management Communication Quarterly*, [e-journal] 23, pp.84-104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0893318909335415> [5 SEP]
- Burris, E.R., 2012. The risks and rewards of speaking up: Managerial responses to employee voice. *Academy of Management Journal*, [e-journal] 55, pp.851-875. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0562>
- Chamberlin, M., Newton, D.W., and Lepine, J.A., 2017. A meta-analysis of voice and its promotive and prohibitive forms: Identification of key associations, distinctions and future research direction. *Personnel Psychology*. [e-journal] 70, pp.11-71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12185>
- Chen, G., Gully, S. M., and Eden, D., 2001. Validation of a new general self-efficacy scale. *Organisational Research Methods*, [e-journal] 4, pp.62-83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109442810141004>
- Detert, J.R., and Burris, E.R., 2007. Leadership behavior and employee voice: Is the door really open. *Academy of Management Journal*, [e-journal] 50 (4), pp. 869-884. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2007.26279183>
- Duan, J, Kwan, H,K., and Ling, B., 2014. The role of voice efficacy in the formation of voice behaviour: A cross-level examination. *Journal of Management & Organization*, [e-journal] 20, pp.526-543. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2014.40>
- Freese, M, Teng, E, and Wijnen, C., 1999. Helping to improve suggestion system: Predictors of making

- suggestions in companies. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 20(7), pp.1139-1150
- Hirschman, A.O., 1970. *Exit, Voice, and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press. [SEP]
- Judge, T.A., and Bono, J.A., 2001. Relationship of core self evaluation traits-self-esteem, generalized self efficacy, locus of control, and emotional stability with job satisfaction and job performance: A Meta-Analysis, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(1), pp. 80-92
- Kish-Gephard, J.J., Detert, J.R., Trevino, L.K., and Edmondson, A., 2009. Silenced by fear. *Research in Organization Behavior*, [e-journal] 29, pp.163-193. 10.1016/j.riob.2009.07.002.
- Klaas, B.S., Olson-Buchanan, J.B., and Ward, A.K., 2012. The Determinants of Alternative Forms of Workplace Voice : An Integrative Perspective. *Journal of Management*, [e-journal] 38(1), pp.314-345. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0149206311423823>
- Landau, J., 2009. To speak or not to speak: Predictors of voice propensity. *Journal of Organizational Culture, Communication and Conflict*, pp.13(1), 35-52
- LePine, J.A., and Van Dyne, L., 1998. Predicting voice behavior in work group. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83 (6), pp.853-868.
- Liang, J., Farh, C.I., and Farh, J.-L., 2012. Psychological antecedents of promotive and prohibitive voice: A two-wave examination. *Academy of Management Journal*, [e-journal] 55, pp.71–92. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0176>
- Luszczynska, A., Gutierrez-Donã, B., and Schwarzer , R., 2005. General self-efficacy in various domains of human functioning: Evidence from five countries. *International Journal of Psychology*, [e-journal] 40, pp.80-89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590444000041>
- Luszczynska, A., Scholz, U., and Schwarzer , R., 2005. The general self-efficacy scale: Multicultural validation studies. *The Journal of Psychology*, 139 (5), pp.439-457.
- Maynes, T.D., and Podsakoff, P.M., 2014. Speaking more broadly: An examination of the nature, antecedents, and consequences of an expanded set of employee voice behaviors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, [e-journal] 99 (1), pp.87-112. 10.1037/a0034284
- McNab, B.R., and Worthley, R., 2008. Self-efficacy as an interpersonal predictor for internal whistleblowing: A US and Canada examination. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 79(4), pp.407-421
- Morrison, E.W. 2011., Employee Voice Behavior: Integration and Directions for Future Research, *The Academy of Management Annals*, [e-journal] 5(1), pp.373-412. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2011.574506>
- Morrison, E. W. 2014., Employee voice and silence. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. [e-journal] 1, pp.173–197. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091328>
- Milliken, F.J., Morrison, E.W., and Hewlin, P., 2003. An exploratory study of employee silence: issues that employees don't communicate upward and why. *Journal of Management Studies*. [e-journal] 40, pp.1453–1476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00387>
- Ng, T.W.H., and Fieldman, D.C., 2012. Employee voice behavior: A meta-analytic test of the conservation of resources framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. [e-journal] 33, pp.216–234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.754>
- Schwarzer, R., and Jerusalem, M., 1995. Generalized Self-Efficacy scale. In J. Weinman, S. Wright, & M. Johnston, Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs (pp. 35-37). Windsor, UK: NFER-NELSON.
- Scholz, U., Gutierrez-Donã, B., Sud, S., and Schwarzer, R., 2002. Is general self-efficacy a universal construct? *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 18(3), pp.242-251
- Tangirala, S., and Ramanujam, R., 2008. Exploring nonlinearity in employee voice: The effects of personal control and organizational identification. *Academy of Management Journal*. [e-journal] 51, pp.1189–1203. 10.5465/AMJ.2008.35732719
- Van Dyne, L.V., and LePine, J.A., 1998. Helping and voice extra-role behavior: evidence of construct and predictive validity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41, pp.108–119
- Van Dyne, L.V., Ang, S., and Botero, I.C., 2003. Conceptualizing employee silence and employee voice as multidimensional constructs. *Journal of Management Studies*, [e-journal] 40, pp.1359–1392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00384>

AUTHOR INDEX

- Adelina, F. 406
 Aditya, Y. 458
 Afiatin, T. 82
 Agung, D. 364
 Agustiani, H. 464
 Akhmad, S. 149
 Akhrani, L. 548
 Alfian, I. 168
 Andriani, F. 5, 156
 Ardella, V. 162
 Ardi, R. ... 199, 224, 239, 267
 Ardiwinata, M. 464
 Arfensia, D. 290
 Arief, Y. 391
 Arisudana, M. 554
 Ariyanto, A. 424
 Astrini, R. 253
 Aziz, R. 345, 376
 Azkarisa, Y. 564
- Bawono, Y. 12
 Benyamin, A. 424
 Brahmana, K. 230
 Budi, W. 330
- Cahyani, A. 415
 Christanti, D. 494
- Devi, N. 548
 Djuwita, R. 424, 431
- Fairuziana 516, 525
 Fajrianthi .. 108, 120, 140, 313
 Faradiba, A. 410
 Febrayosi, P. 410
 Febriana, S. 313
 Firmanda, T. 12
 Fitria, Y. 516
- Gunawan, H. 364
- Hadi, C. 156
 Hamidah .. 120, 140, 174, 283
 Hamidah, H. 276
 Handayani, M. 97
 Handoyo, S. 187, 216, 224, 323
 Hapsari, M. 450
 Hartini, N. ... 19, 91, 101, 162,
 180, 261, 283
 Haryanti, A. 261
 Hendriani, W. . 12, 41, 55, 180,
 267, 276
 Herdiana, I. 253
- Herlinda, O. 525
 Hertinjung, W. 386
 Hidayat, I. 34
 Himan, F. 505
 Hongzhou, X. 368
- Idaiani, S. 63
 Indrijati, H. 133
 Istiqomah, A. 34
- Jufri, M. 543
- Karyanta, N. 294
 Kesumaningsari, N. ... 368, 554
 Khumaidah, S. 525
 Kristiana, I. 267
 Kurniandini, P. 91
 Kurniawan, Y. 72
- Lay, A. 516
 Liando, W. 360
- Maharani, R. 340
 Mangestuti, R. 345, 376
 Margaretha 307
 Martoyo, I. 458
 Matulesy, A. 340
 Mawarpury, M. 516
 Mulyati, R. 505
 Mustamiah, D. 486
 Muttaqin, D. 140
- Nadziroh, L. 441
 Nanik 55
 Nawangsari, N. 239
 Ni, R. 360
 Nisa, V. 162
 Notobroto, H. 303
 Novanto, Y. 187
 Noviati, N. 478
 Nuggoro, S. 391
 Nugrahani, R. 5
 Nu'man, T. 478
 Nursafitri, O. 307
- Paramita, A. 410
 Paramita, P. 97
 Pradiri, A. 19, 199
 Pramono, R. 458
 Prasetya, N. 397
 Prasetyo, Y. 340
 Prastuti, E. 101
 Pratama, H. 199
- Pratitis, N. 49
 Prihartanti, N. 386
 Prihatsanti, U. 224
 Priyatama, A. 323
 Purwaningrum, E. 108
 Purwono, R. 464
 Purwono, U. 156
 Puspitasari, A. 174
 Putra, M. ... 12, 115, 207, 294,
 494
 Putri, A. 216
- Rahaju, S. 180
 Rahayu, D. 276
 Rahmania, A. 330
 Rai, N. 397
 Raisya, H. 431
 Rani, S. 464
 Ratu, A. 397
 Retalista, N. 368
 Riyono, B. 505
 Rosito, A. 469
- Safitri, S. 351
 Salim, R. 351
 Saman, A. 543
 Sani, R. 458
 Santi, D. 207
 Setiawan, J. 187
 Setiowati, E. 415
 Setyaningsih, R. 115
 Setyawati, R. 450
 Simanjuntak, E. 239
 Siregar, J. 564
 Soen, C. 554
 Soesanto, M. 368
 Solichah, N. 248
 Soura, S. 66
 Suhariadi, F. 108, 505
 Sukamto, M. 120, 140
 Sulasmi, S. 156
 Sulistiani, W. 41
 Sulistyarini, I. 72
 Suminar, D. 12, 41, 66, 82, 248
 Suryanto ... 26, 149, 207, 230,
 303, 406, 494
 Suryanto, A. 168
 Suyanto, B. 230
- Tairas, M. 55, 101
 Tamar, M. 535
 Umar, M. 26

Utami, A.	49	Wicaksono, I.	133	Yoga, D.	397
Utami, D.	330	Widanti, N.	486	Yudiarso, A.	360, 364
Wahyuni, E.	345, 376	Widiantoro, D.	199, 391	Zainudin, M.	323
Wahyuni, H.	283	Widyasari, P.	351	Zamroni	386
Wan, Y.	364	Wirawan, H.	535, 543	Zhao, J.	360
Wandansari, Y.	82	Xiangjun, Z.	554	Zhao, Z.	360
Watofa, Y.	303	Yiming, W.	368	Zhenguo, J.	554
Wibowo, W.	49				

