

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Joint moments of derivatives of characteristic polynomials of random symplectic and orthogonal matrices

To cite this article: Julio C Andrade and Christopher G Best 2024 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **57** 205205

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Nonlinear response of human trunk musculature explains neuromuscular stabilization mechanisms in sitting posture](#)
Alireza Noamani, Albert H Vette and Hossein Rouhani
- [A representation of joint moments of CUE characteristic polynomials in terms of Painlevé functions](#)
Estelle Basor, Pavel Bleher, Robert Buckingham et al.
- [Voronin-type theorem for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions](#)
A Laurinikas

Joint moments of derivatives of characteristic polynomials of random symplectic and orthogonal matrices

Julio C Andrade and Christopher G Best* 

Department of Mathematics, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, United Kingdom

E-mail: j.c.andrade@exeter.ac.uk and cgb212@exeter.ac.uk

Received 28 December 2023; revised 20 March 2024

Accepted for publication 18 April 2024

Published 8 May 2024



CrossMark

Abstract

We investigate the joint moments of derivatives of characteristic polynomials over the unitary symplectic group $Sp(2N)$ and the orthogonal ensembles $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$. We prove asymptotic formulae for the joint moments of the n_1 th and n_2 th derivatives of the characteristic polynomials for all three matrix ensembles. Our results give two explicit formulae for each of the leading order coefficients, one in terms of determinants of hypergeometric functions and the other as combinatorial sums over partitions. We use our results to put forward conjectures on the joint moments of derivatives of L -functions with symplectic and orthogonal symmetry.

Keywords: random matrix theory, joint moments, characteristic polynomials, random symplectic matrices, random orthogonal matrices, Riemann zeta function, L -functions

1. Introduction

Let $G(2N) \in \{Sp(2N), SO(2N), O^-(2N)\}$, where $Sp(2N)$ is the group of $2N \times 2N$ unitary symplectic matrices and $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$ are the subsets of $2N \times 2N$ orthogonal matrices with determinant $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Also, denote the characteristic polynomial of a matrix $A \in G(2N)$ by

$$\Lambda_A(s) = \det(I - As).$$

* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

In this paper we consider the joint moments

$$\int_{G(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1)\right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1)\right)^{k_2} dA \tag{1.1}$$

of the n_1 th and n_2 th derivatives of the characteristic polynomials, where n_1, n_2 are non-negative integers and dA denotes the Haar measure on the relevant matrix ensemble. Using techniques developed in [1, 12, 25], we obtain asymptotic formulae for (1.1) for each $G(2N)$ and for all non-negative integers k_1, k_2 . Our main results give two explicit expressions for the leading order coefficients for each of the matrix ensembles under consideration and are detailed in section 2.

The problem we study here is part of a general problem to obtain exact formulae for the complex moments of the derivatives of characteristic polynomials. A key motivation is the link between random matrix theory and the study of families of L -functions and their value distribution in analytic number theory. Specifically, one can use formulae obtained for characteristic polynomials of the various matrix ensembles to predict formulae for the corresponding quantities for L -functions with the same symmetry type. The complex moments of the derivatives of characteristic polynomials and L -functions can then be used to infer information on the zeros of the derivatives through Jensen’s formula. For results on the radial distribution of the zeros of the derivative of characteristic polynomials and on the horizontal distribution of the zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function, see, for example, [14, 27] and [29, 30], respectively.

Additionally on the number theory side, the order of vanishing of an L -function at the central point, which is controlled by the derivatives of the L -function, is widely believed to contain deep arithmetic and geometric information. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture for example, famously states that the order of vanishing of an L -function attached to an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is equal to the rank of the curve.

For the ensemble of random unitary matrices $U(N)$, Conrey *et al* [12] proved that for integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\int_{U(N)} |\Lambda'_A(1)|^{2k} dA \sim c_k N^{k^2+2k},$$

where

$$c_k = (-1)^{k(k+1)/2} \sum_{h=0}^k \binom{k}{h} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{k+h} \left(e^{-x} x^{-k^2/2} \det_{k \times k} (I_{i+j-1}(2\sqrt{x})) \right) \Big|_{x=0},$$

with $I_n(x)$ denoting the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Here, and throughout the paper, the indices i and j of the matrix in the determinant range from 1 to k . Also proven in [12] is a similar asymptotic formula for the $2k$ th moment of the derivative of an analogue of Hardy’s Z -function. As an application, the authors use their results to make a conjecture for the moments of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function and of the Z -function. Forrester and Witte [16] have given alternate expressions for the leading order coefficients obtained in [12] in terms of solutions to Painlevé III differential equations.

Concerning the joint moments over the unitary ensemble, one is interested in the quantity

$$\int_{U(N)} |\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1)|^{2M} |\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1)|^{2M-2k} dA. \tag{1.2}$$

When $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 0$, Hughes [21] was able to show that the limit of (1.2)/ N^{k^2+2M} as $N \rightarrow \infty$ exists when k and M are integers and conjectured the result for all suitable, real k and M . Hughes’ conjecture was then proven by Assiotis, Keating and Warren in [6] with an explicit

expression given for the limit in terms of the expectation of a certain random variable. The characteristic function of this random variable was shown to be connected to a Painlevé III differential equation in the full range of real M and integer k by Assiotis *et al* in [4]. In [5], Assiotis, Gunes and Soor extend the results of [6] to the most general case of the circular Jacobi β ensemble. An asymptotic formula for (1.2) when $k \geq M$ are both non-negative integers was obtained by Bailey *et al* [7]. Basor *et al* [9] study the joint moments of the analogue of Hardy’s Z-function for integer k, M and establish a connection between these and the σ -Painlevé V equation.

In the case of general n_1, n_2 , Barhoumi-Andréani [8] gave an asymptotic formula for (1.2) for integer k and M with $k \geq M$ and $k \geq 2$ where the leading order coefficient is given in the form of a certain $(k - 1)$ -fold real integral. Recently, Keating and Wei [25] have obtained asymptotic formulae for (1.2) and for the joint moments of the n_1 th and n_2 th of the analogue of Hardy’s Z-function for all integers $k \geq M \geq 0$. They give two explicit expressions for the leading order coefficients, one in terms of derivatives of determinants involving the modified Bessel function and the other as combinatorial sums involving partitions. They also use their results to motivate conjectures for the joint moments of the n_1 th and n_2 th derivatives of the Riemann zeta function and of the Z-function. The conjectures made in [25] are shown to agree with the known results of [19, 20, 22]. In [26], Keating and Wei further explore the structure and properties of their leading order coefficients. They establish recursive relations that the coefficients satisfy and also build a connection to a solution of the σ -Painlevé III’ equation.

Turning to the symplectic and orthogonal matrix ensembles, Altuğ *et al* [1] considered the moments of the m th derivative

$$M_k(G(2N), m) := \int_{G(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(m)}(1) \right)^k dA.$$

Extending the results of [12] to these ensembles, they prove asymptotic formulae for $M_k(G(2N), m)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for integer $k \geq 1$ when $G(2N) = Sp(2N)$ or $G(N) = SO(2N)$ and $m = 2$, and when $G(2N) = O^-(2N)$ with $m = 3$. One considers the second derivative rather than the first in the case of $Sp(2N)$ and $SO(2N)$ since $\Lambda_A'(1)$ can be expressed simply in terms of $\Lambda_A(1)$. Thus, the moments of the first derivative can be computed using the result of Keating and Snaith [24] on the moments of $\Lambda_A(1)$. If $A \in O^-(2N)$, then $\Lambda_A(1) = 0$ and $\Lambda_A''(1)$ has as simple expression in terms of $\Lambda_A'(1)$. Hence, in this case, it is the moments of $\Lambda_A'''(1)$ that are of interest.

The leading order coefficients obtained in [1] are given in terms of derivatives of determinants involving hypergeometric functions. These determinants are shown to satisfy a differential recurrence relation similar to a Toda lattice equation connected to τ -function theory in the study of Painlevé differential equations. An interesting question put forward in [1] is whether there is a differential equation in the symplectic and orthogonal cases which plays a part analogous to Painlevé III in the unitary setting. Gharakhloo and Witte [17] have made promising progress in this direction in their study of $2j - k$ and $j - 2k$ bi-orthogonal polynomial systems on the unit circle.

The authors of [1] also use their results to make conjectures for the asymptotic behaviour of the moments of derivatives at the central point of L -functions with symplectic or orthogonal symmetry. After stating our results in section 2, we will extend these to give general conjectures for the joint moments of the derivatives of L -functions with these symmetry types.

Finally, one may also consider the characteristic polynomials on the unit circle and define $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(\theta) := \Lambda_A(e^{-i\theta})$ where we set $i^2 = -1$ and the variable i will only be used as an index. In this case, Gunes [18] has studied the joint moments

$$\int_{Sp(2N)} |\tilde{\Lambda}_A(0)|^{2s-h} |\tilde{\Lambda}_A''(0)|^h dA, \tag{1.3}$$

and obtained an asymptotic formula as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the range $\alpha(s + \frac{3}{2}) > h \geq 0$, where $\alpha(x)$ denotes the greatest integer strictly less than x . The leading order coefficient for (1.3) is given in terms of the expectation of a non-trivial random variable. Moreover, a link between this coefficient and the σ -Painlevé III equation is established and a conjecture for the analogous joint moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions is made.

1.1. Notation

Recall that an $N \times N$ matrix A is said to be unitary if $AA^* = I$, where A^* is the conjugate transpose of A . The unitary symplectic group $Sp(2N)$ is the subgroup of $2N \times 2N$ unitary matrices A which satisfy $A^T \Omega A = \Omega$, where

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with I the $N \times N$ identity matrix. The special orthogonal group $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$ are the subsets of orthogonal $2N \times 2N$ matrices with determinant $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Each of these matrix ensembles is endowed with the normalised Haar measure dA . The eigenvalues of a matrix A in $Sp(2N)$ or $SO(2N)$ lie on the unit circle and come in N complex conjugate pairs. Hence, the characteristic polynomial of A can be written as

$$\Lambda_A(s) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - se^{-i\theta_j}) (1 - se^{i\theta_j}) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta_j),$$

and for matrices $A \in O^-(2N)$, the characteristic polynomial is of the form

$$\Lambda_A(s) = (1 - s)(1 + s) \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 - se^{-i\theta_j}) (1 - se^{i\theta_j}) = (1 - s)(1 + s) \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta_j),$$

with $\theta_j \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for all three ensembles, the characteristic polynomial $\Lambda_A(s)$ is real for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and so $\Lambda^{(n)}(1) \in \mathbb{R}$ for any integer $n \geq 0$. Thus, the joint moments in (1.1) that we study here are real for integer k_1, k_2 .

For real numbers x , we use $[x]$ to denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x . We write S_k for the set of permutations on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. The multinomial coefficient is defined as

$$\binom{n}{l_1, \dots, l_k} = \frac{n!}{l_1! \dots l_k!},$$

for integers n and l_1, \dots, l_k with $l_1 + \dots + l_k = n$. Also, for integer n , whenever we write $l_1 + \dots + l_k = n$ or $l_1 + \dots + l_k \leq n$, this means that $l_i \geq 0$ are taken to be integers.

For any $w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, the Vandermonde determinant is denoted by

$$\Delta(w) := \det_{k \times k} (w_i^{j-1}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (w_i - w_j),$$

and we write $w^2 = (w_i^2)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$. We will also make use of Vandermonde determinants of differential operators, written as

$$\Delta \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) := \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{j-1}}{dx_i^{j-1}} \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left(\frac{d}{dx_i} - \frac{d}{dx_j} \right).$$

Lastly, for $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(u) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{e^{w+u/w^2}}{w^{m+1}} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1)} {}_0F_2 \left(; \frac{m}{2} + 1, \frac{m+1}{2}; \frac{u}{4} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

These hypergeometric functions will play the role that the modified Bessel function plays in the unitary case. For negative m , say $m = -l$, one should interpret the above expression as the limit as $m \rightarrow -l$.

2. Main results

We now state our main results. Our first two theorems give an asymptotic formula for the joint moments of derivatives of characteristic polynomials of matrices over $Sp(2N)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2$ be integers and let k_1, k_2 be non-negative integers, not both 0. Set $k = k_1 + k_2$. Then, we have*

$$\int_{Sp(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1) \right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1) \right)^{k_2} dA = b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) \cdot (2N)^{k(k+1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2} (1 + O(N^{-1})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= \frac{(-1)^{k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2}}{2^{k(k+1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2}} \sum_{u_1 + \dots + u_p = k_1} \binom{k_1}{u_1, \dots, u_p} \frac{(n_1!)^{k_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (a_i!)^{u_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} j^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,j}} \right)} \\ &\times \sum_{v_1 + \dots + v_q = k_2} \binom{k_2}{v_1, \dots, v_q} \frac{(n_2!)^{k_2}}{\prod_{i=1}^q (b_i!)^{v_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[n_2/2]} j^{\sum_{i=1}^q v_i b_{i,j}} \right)} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i} = W_s \\ s=2, \dots, [n_2/2]}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} \begin{pmatrix} W_s \\ r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k} \end{pmatrix} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{W_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j+2 \sum_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} s r_{s,i}}(x) \right) \Big|_{x=0}, \end{aligned}$$

and, more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i} = W_s \\ s=2, \dots, [n_2/2]}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} \binom{W_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{W_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j+2 \sum_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,i}}(x) \right) \Big|_{x=0} \\ &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i} = W_s \\ s=1, \dots, [n_2/2]}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} \binom{W_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,j} + 1 - 2j)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left(2 \sum_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,j} - 2 \sum_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,i} - 2j + 2i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we define P to be the number of distinct tuples $\mathbf{a}_i := (a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,[n_1/2]})$ of integers satisfying

$$a_{i,j} \geq 0 \text{ and } a_{i,0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} ja_{i,j} = n_1,$$

and we let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_P$ be these such tuples. In other words, the tuples \mathbf{a}_i correspond to the partitions of n_1 whose parts are all even or equal to 1 and P is the number of these partitions. Similarly, Q is defined to be the number of distinct tuples $\mathbf{b}_i = (b_{i,0}, b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,[n_2/2]})$ of integers satisfying

$$b_{i,j} \geq 0 \text{ and } b_{i,0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{[n_2/2]} jb_{i,j} = n_2,$$

and we let $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_Q$ be these tuples. We define $\mathbf{a}_i! := \prod_{j=0}^{[n_1/2]} a_{i,j}!$ and $\mathbf{b}_i! := \prod_{j=0}^{[n_2/2]} b_{i,j}!$. Finally, $W_j := \sum_{i=1}^P u_i a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, [n_1/2]$ and $W_j := \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,j}$ for $j = [n_1/2] + 1, \dots, [n_2/2]$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2$ be integers and let k_1, k_2 be non-negative integers, not both 0. Set $k = k_1 + k_2$. Then, we have

$$\int_{Sp(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1) \right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1) \right)^{k_2} d\mathbf{A} = b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) \cdot (2N)^{k(k+1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2} (1 + O(N^{-1})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2}}{2^{k(k+1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2}} (n_1!)^{k_1} (n_2!)^{k_2} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1, \dots, k_1}} \sum_{\substack{2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j} \leq n_2 \\ i=1, \dots, k_2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{(n_1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j})!} \right) \\ & \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{(n_2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j})!} \right) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k + V_j - 2j + 1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (V_j - V_i - 2j + 2i). \end{aligned}$$

Here $V_j := 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Our next two theorems give an asymptotic formula for the joint moments over $SO(2N)$.

Theorem 2.3. *With notation as in theorem 2.1, we have*

$$\int_{SO(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1)\right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1)\right)^{k_2} dA = b_{k_1, k_2}^{SO}(n_1, n_2) \cdot (2N)^{k(k-1)/2+k_1n_1+k_2n_2} (1 + O(N^{-1})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k_1, k_2}^{SO}(n_1, n_2) &= \frac{1}{2^{k(k-3)/2+k_1n_1+k_2n_2}} \sum_{u_1+\dots+u_p=k_1} \binom{k_1}{u_1, \dots, u_p} \frac{(n_1!)^{k_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (a_i!)^{u_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} j^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,j}}\right)} \\ &\times \sum_{v_1+\dots+v_q=k_2} \binom{k_2}{v_1, \dots, v_q} \frac{(n_2!)^{k_2}}{\prod_{i=1}^q (b_i!)^{v_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[n_2/2]} j^{\sum_{i=1}^q v_i b_{i,j}}\right)} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=2}^k r_{s,i}=W_s \\ s=2, \dots, [n_2/2]}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} \binom{W_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{W_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j-1+2\sum_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,i}}(x)\right) \Big|_{x=0}, \end{aligned}$$

and, more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=2}^k r_{s,i}=W_s \\ s=2, \dots, [n_2/2]}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} \binom{W_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{W_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j-1+2\sum_{s=2}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,i}}(x)\right) \Big|_{x=0} \\ &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=1}^k r_{s,i}=W_s \\ s=1, \dots, [n_2/2]}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} \binom{W_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}}\right) \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k+2\sum_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,j}-2j)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left(2\sum_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,j}-2\sum_{s=1}^{[n_2/2]} sr_{s,i}-2j+2i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4. *With notation as in theorem 2.2, we have*

$$\int_{SO(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1)\right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1)\right)^{k_2} dA = b_{k_1, k_2}^{SO}(n_1, n_2) \cdot (2N)^{k(k-1)/2+k_1n_1+k_2n_2} (1 + O(N^{-1})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k_1, k_2}^{SO}(n_1, n_2) &= \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{2^{k(k-3)/2+k_1n_1+k_2n_2}} (n_1!)^{k_1} (n_2!)^{k_2} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1, \dots, k_1}} \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j} \leq n_2 \\ i=1, \dots, k_2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{(n_1-2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j})!}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{(n_2-2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j})!}\right) \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k+V_j-2j)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (V_j - V_i - 2j + 2i). \end{aligned}$$

Our final theorem gives an asymptotic formula for the joint moments over $O^-(2N)$ with the leading order coefficient expressed in terms of $b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2$ be integers and let k_1, k_2 be non-negative integers, not both 0. Set $k = k_1 + k_2$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O^-(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1)\right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1)\right)^{k_2} dA \\ &= b_{k_1, k_2}^{O^-}(n_1, n_2) \cdot (2N)^{k(k+1)/2+k_1(n_1-1)+k_2(n_2-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{k_1, k_2}^{O^-}(n_1, n_2) = (-1)^{k_1(n_1-1)+k_2(n_2-1)} 2^k n_1^{k_1} n_2^{k_2} b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1),$$

with $b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ as defined in theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Our theorems 2.1 and 2.3 exhibit the same structure as the asymptotic formulae obtained in [1]. Namely, the leading order coefficients are expressed in terms of derivatives of determinants of the hypergeometric functions $g_m(u)$. As mentioned in the introduction, these determinants were shown to satisfy a differential recurrence relation [1, theorem 1.5] which allows the leading order coefficients to be computed much more quickly as k_1, k_2 get large. However, similarly to the unitary case considered in [25], the formulae given for the leading order coefficients in theorems 2.1 and 2.3 may not be computationally efficient when n_1, n_2 are large since one has to compute the tuples \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{b}_i . Aside from giving an alternate expression for the leading order coefficients, the advantage of theorems 2.2 and 2.4 is that the formulae are more computationally effective when n_1, n_2 are large and k_1, k_2 are small.

Using the standard random matrix philosophy allows us to make conjectures based on our results for the joint moments of derivatives of L -functions with symmetry type Sp, SO or O^- in the sense of [23]. We give an example conjecture for the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions at $s = 1/2$ below. This is an example of a family with symplectic symmetry so we use our results for $Sp(2N)$ as a model.

Conjecture 2.6. Let $\mathcal{D}(X) = \{d \text{ a fundamental discriminant} : |d| < X\}$, and let $L(s, \chi_d)$ be the Dirichlet L -function attached to the quadratic character χ_d . Then, for $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2$ and $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ integers with k_1, k_2 not both 0, we have that as $X \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}(X)|} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}(X)} L^{(n_1)}(1/2, \chi_d)^{k_1} L^{(n_2)}(1/2, \chi_d)^{k_2} \sim a_k \cdot b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) \cdot (\log X)^{k(k+1)/2+k_1 n_1+k_2 n_2},$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ is the random matrix theory coefficient defined in theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Also,

$$a_k = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{(1 - 1/p)^{k(k+1)/2}}{1 + 1/p} \left(\frac{(1 - 1/\sqrt{p})^{-k} + (1 + 1/\sqrt{p})^{-k}}{2} + \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

is an arithmetic constant depending on the family of L -functions. We note that a_k is the same coefficient appearing in the conjectures for the moments of $L(1/2, \chi_d)$, see [11, 24].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no results on the moments of derivatives of these quadratic Dirichlet L -functions over number fields. However, the moments of derivatives of L -functions over function fields have been investigated. For this discussion, we let \mathbb{F}_q denote a finite field with q elements and let \mathcal{H}_{2g+1} be the subset of square-free, monic polynomials of degree $2g + 1$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. For each $D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1}$, we have a Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi_D)$ attached to the quadratic character χ_D with conductor $|D| := q^{2g+1}$. This family of L -functions also exhibits symplectic symmetry. Andrade and Rajagopal [3] and subsequently

Andrade and Jung [2] studied the mean values of $L^{(n)}(1/2, \chi_D)$ and an asymptotic formula for the first moment of $L^{(n)}(1/2, \chi_D)$ is given in [2] which implies that for any positive integer n as $g \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}_{2g+1}|} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1}} L^{(n)}(1/2, \chi_D) \sim \frac{(-1)^n}{2(n+1)} \mathcal{A}(1) \cdot (2g+1)^{n+1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, $\mathcal{A}(1)$ is given as an Euler product over the monic, irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ and is the same arithmetic factor that appears in the asymptotic formula for the first moment of $L(1/2, \chi_D)$. The following proposition shows that (2.1) is indeed the asymptotic formula predicted by our conjecture in this case.

Proposition 2.7. *For $n \geq 1$ an integer, we have that*

$$b_{0,1}^{Sp}(0, n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2(n+1)}.$$

Also, for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$b_{0,1}^{SO}(0, n) = 1.$$

A mixed second moment involving a second derivative of these quadratic Dirichlet L -functions over function fields was considered by Djanković and Đokić [13]. In particular, theorem 1.1 and remark 1.2 in [13], along with Florea’s asymptotic formula for the second moment of $L(1/2, \chi_D)$ in [15], imply that

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}_{2g+1}|} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1}} \frac{L(1/2, \chi_D)L''(1/2, \chi_D)}{\log^2 q} \sim \frac{(1-q^{-1})}{80} \mathcal{B}(1/q) \cdot (2g+1)^5.$$

Similarly to the previous asymptotic formula, $\mathcal{B}(1/q)$ is given as an Euler product over monic, irreducible polynomials and it agrees with the arithmetic factor appearing in the asymptotic formula for the second moment of $L(1/2, \chi_D)$. This result is therefore consistent with the prediction of our conjecture as we compute that $b_{1,1}^{Sp}(0, 2) = 1/80$.

One can naturally use our theorems 2.1–2.5 to make analogous conjectures for the joint moments of derivatives at the central point for any family of L -functions with symplectic or orthogonal symmetry.

3. Preliminaries

We begin with the following two lemmas concerning Vandermonde determinants of differential operators. The first is quoted from [1, lemma 2.8] and follows from the definition.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)$ be $k-1$ times differentiable. Then*

$$\Delta \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(x) = \det_{k \times k} \left(f_i^{(j-1)}(x_i) \right).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f_1(x, y), \dots, f_k(x, y)$ be $k-1$ times differentiable in x and y . Then*

$$\Delta \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(x_i, y_i) \Big|_{\substack{x_1 = \dots = x_k = X, \\ y_1 = \dots = y_k = Y}} = \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} f_{\mu(i)}(X, Y) \right).$$

In particular, when $f_1 = \dots = f_k = f$, we have

$$\Delta \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i, y_i) \Big|_{\substack{x_1 = \dots = x_k = X, \\ y_1 = \dots = y_k = Y}} = k! \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} f(X, Y) \right).$$

Proof. The case when $f_1 = \dots = f_k = f$ is the result of [1, lemma 2.9] and the proof of the general case follows the same lines. By lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Delta \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(x_i, y_i) = \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{j-1}}{dx_i^{j-1}} f_i(x_i, y_i) \right) = \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \text{sign}(\mu) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{d^{\mu(i)-1}}{dx_i^{\mu(i)-1}} f_i(x_i, y_i).$$

Then, by lemma 3.1 again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(x_i, y_i) \Big|_{\substack{x_i = X, \\ y_i = Y}} &= \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \text{sign}(\mu) \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{d^{\mu(i)-1}}{dx_i^{\mu(i)-1}} f_i(x_i, y_i) \Big|_{\substack{x_i = X, \\ y_i = Y}} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \text{sign}(\mu) \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{\mu(i)+j-2}}{dx_i^{\mu(i)-1} dy_i^{j-1}} f_i(x_i, y_i) \right) \Big|_{\substack{x_i = X, \\ y_i = Y}} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \text{sign}(\mu) \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{\mu(i)+j-2}}{dX^{\mu(i)-1} dY^{j-1}} f_i(X, Y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} f_{\mu(i)}(X, Y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have interchanged the rows of the matrix to obtain the final line. □

We next express a certain contour integral in terms of the hypergeometric functions $g_m(u)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then, for complex numbers u_1, \dots, u_n , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} \exp \left(w + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_j}{w^{2j}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{k+1}} = \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=2}^n \frac{u_j^{m_j}}{m_j!} \right) g_{k+2 \sum_{j=2}^n j m_j}(u_1),$$

where $g_m(u)$ is the hypergeometric function defined in (1.4).

Proof. We compute the integral by determining the coefficient of w^k in the exponential factor of the integrand. So, let $a_n(k)$ be the coefficient of w^k in $\exp(w + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_j}{w^{2j}})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(w + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_j}{w^{2j}} \right) &= \exp \left(\frac{u_n}{w^{2n}} \right) \exp \left(w + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{u_j}{w^{2j}} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_n^m}{m!} w^{-2nm} \right) \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n-1}(m) w^m \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(k) &= \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_n^{m_n}}{m_n!} a_{n-1}(k + 2nm_n) \\ &= \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=2}^n \frac{u_j^{m_j}}{m_j!} \right) a_1 \left(k + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n jm_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

We then see that by definition, $a_1(k + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n jm_j) = g_{k+2 \sum_{j=2}^n jm_j}(u_1)$ and hence

$$a_n(k) = \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=2}^n \frac{u_j^{m_j}}{m_j!} \right) g_{k+2 \sum_{j=2}^n jm_j}(u_1),$$

as required. □

The next lemma allows us to take higher order derivatives of determinants of functions.

Lemma 3.4 (lemma 13 in [25]). *Let $s \geq 0, k \geq 1$ be integers and $a_{i,j}(x)$ be sth differentiable functions of x . Then*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)_{k \times k}^s \det(a_{i,j}(x)) = \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_k = s} \binom{s}{l_1, \dots, l_k} \det(a_{i,j}^{(l_i)}(x)),$$

where $a_{i,j}^{(l_i)}(x)$ means that we take the l_i th derivative of $a_{i,j}(x)$.

We also include a lemma that allows us to explicitly evaluate certain determinants whose entries are reciprocals of the Gamma function.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $m_j \geq 0$ be integers for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then, we have*

$$\det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2k + m_i - 2i - j + 2)} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k + m_j - 2j)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (m_j - m_i - 2j + 2i).$$

Proof. With our notation, equation (4.13) in [28] can be written as

$$\det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(z_i - j + 1)} \right) = \frac{\Delta(z_1, \dots, z_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(z_j)}.$$

We take $z_i = 2k + m_i - 2i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then, we have

$$\det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2k + m_i - 2i - j + 2)} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^k \Gamma(2k + m_i - 2i + 1)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (m_j - 2j - m_i + 2i).$$

Since $2k + m_i - 2i + 1 \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have that $\Gamma(2k + m_i - 2i + 1) = (2k + m_i - 2i)!$ which completes the proof. □

Now, the shifted moments of the characteristic polynomials are defined as

$$I(G(2N); z_1, \dots, z_k) := \int_{G(2N)} \Lambda_A(z_1) \cdots \Lambda_A(z_k) dA.$$

These shifted moments have been computed by Conrey *et al* [10] and can be expressed in the form of a multiple contour integral. We will use the following approximate versions of

their formulae which follow easily from the results of [10] and the fact that $(1 - e^{-x})^{-1} = x^{-1} + O(1)$ for small x .

Lemma 3.6 (corollary 2.4 in [1]). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be complex numbers such that $|\alpha_j| \ll 1/N$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then*

$$I(Sp(2N); e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_k}) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{(2\pi 1)^k k!} \oint \dots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i - \alpha_i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (w_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i (1 + O(N^{-1})).$$

Lemma 3.7 (corollary 2.5 in [1]). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be complex numbers such that $|\alpha_j| \ll 1/N$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then*

$$I(SO(2N); e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_k}) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi 1)^k k!} \oint \dots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k w_i\right) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i + \alpha_i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (w_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)} \times \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i (1 + O(N^{-1})).$$

Lemma 3.8 (corollary 2.6 in [1]). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be complex numbers such that $|\alpha_j| \ll 1/N$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then*

$$I(O^-(2N); e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_k}) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi 1)^k k!} \oint \dots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i\right) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i + \alpha_i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (w_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)} \times \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i (1 + O(N^{-1})).$$

Below we give two expressions for the derivatives of these contour integral expressions for shifted moments with respect to the shifts α_j .

Lemma 3.9. *Let $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers. Then*

$$\frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} \frac{e^{-N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^2}\right) \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} (-N)^{n-m} m! \sum_{\substack{l_1 + \dots + l_k = m \\ l_j \text{ even}}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{l_i}}.$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of [1, lemma 2.7] where we have corrected a typo. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers. Then*

$$\frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} \frac{e^{-N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^2}\right) \sum_{\substack{m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + nm_n = n \\ m_3 = m_5 = \dots = 0}} \frac{n!}{m_1! \dots m_n!} (-N)^{m_1} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}}\right)^{m_{2j}}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [25, lemma 9]. First, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \frac{e^{-N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} = \frac{e^{-N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} f_1(\alpha),$$

where

$$f_1(\alpha) = -N + 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^2 - \alpha^2}.$$

We can then write

$$\frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} \frac{e^{-N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} = \frac{e^{-N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} f_n(\alpha), \tag{3.1}$$

where $f_n(\alpha)$ is defined recursively by

$$f_{n+1}(\alpha) = f_n(\alpha)f_1(\alpha) + f_n'(\alpha).$$

Now, let $g(\alpha)$ be a function such that $g'(\alpha) = f_1(\alpha)$. Then, we have that

$$\frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} e^{g(\alpha)} = e^{g(\alpha)} f_n(\alpha).$$

But, by Faà di Bruno's formula, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} e^{g(\alpha)} &= e^{g(\alpha)} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+nm_n=n} \frac{n!}{m_1! \dots m_n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{g^{(j)}(\alpha)}{j!} \right)^{m_j} \\ &= e^{g(\alpha)} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+nm_n=n} \frac{n!}{m_1! \dots m_n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{f_1^{(j-1)}(\alpha)}{j!} \right)^{m_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two expressions for $(d/d\alpha)^n e^{g(\alpha)}$, we see that

$$f_n(\alpha) = \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+nm_n=n} \frac{n!}{m_1! \dots m_n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{f_1^{(j-1)}(\alpha)}{j!} \right)^{m_j}.$$

One can check that for $j \geq 1$, we have

$$f_1^{(j)}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \text{ even,} \\ 2j! \sum_{i=1}^k w_i^{-(1+j)} & \text{if } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have that

$$f_n(0) = \sum_{\substack{m_1+2m_2+\dots+nm_n=n \\ m_3=m_5=\dots=0}} \frac{n!}{m_1! \dots m_n!} (-N)^{m_1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{m_{2j}}.$$

Evaluating (3.1) at $\alpha = 0$ using this expression for $f_n(0)$ yields the desired result. □

In the following two propositions we will compute the main contour integrals that we need to evaluate.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers. Also, let (m_1, \dots, m_n) be a tuple of non-negative integers. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}} \\ &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} k! N^{k(k+1)/2+2 \sum_{j=1}^n j m_j} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=2, \dots, n}^k r_{s,i} = m_s \\ s=2, \dots, n}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^{m_1} \det_{k \times k} (g_{2i-j+2 \sum_{s=2}^n s r_{s,i}}(u)) \Big|_{u=0}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and, more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}} \\ &= k! N^{k(k+1)/2+2 \sum_{j=1}^n j m_j} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=1, \dots, n}^k r_{s,i} = m_s \\ s=1, \dots, n}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k+2 \sum_{s=1}^n s r_{s,j} + 1 - 2j)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left(2 \sum_{s=1}^n s r_{s,j} - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n s r_{s,i} - 2j + 2i \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k-1}} \\ &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} k! N^{k(k-1)/2+2 \sum_{j=1}^n j m_j} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=2, \dots, n}^k r_{s,i} = m_s \\ s=2, \dots, n}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^{m_1} \det_{k \times k} (g_{2i-j-1+2 \sum_{s=2}^n s r_{s,i}}(u)) \Big|_{u=0}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and, more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k-1}} \\ &= k! N^{k(k-1)/2+2 \sum_{j=1}^n j m_j} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{s=1, \dots, n}^k r_{s,i} = m_s \\ s=1, \dots, n}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k+2 \sum_{s=1}^n s r_{s,j} - 2j)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left(2 \sum_{s=1}^n s r_{s,j} - 2 \sum_{s=1}^n s r_{s,i} - 2j + 2i \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. First, note that

$$\Delta(w^2) = \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dX}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2 X_i\right) \Big|_{X_i=0},$$

and

$$\Delta(w) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} = \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dY}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i Y_i\right) \Big|_{Y_i=N}.$$

We may also write

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}}\right)^{m_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt_j}\right)^{m_j} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}}\right) \Big|_{t_j=0}.$$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}}\right)^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}} \\ &= \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dX}\right) \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dY}\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt_j}\right)^{m_j} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left(w_i^2 X_i + w_i Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_j}{w_i^{2j}}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}} \Big|_{\substack{X_i=0, \\ Y_i=N, \\ t_j=0}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt_j}\right)^{m_j} \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dX}\right) \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dY}\right) \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint_{|w|=1} \exp\left(w^2 X_i + w Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_j}{w^{2j}}\right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k}}\right) \Big|_{\substack{X_i=0, \\ Y_i=N, \\ t_j=0}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt_j}\right)^{m_j} k! \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint_{|w|=1} \exp\left(w^2 X + w Y + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{t_l}{w^{2l}}\right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k}}\right) \Big|_{\substack{X=0, \\ Y=N, \\ t_l=0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is by lemma 3.2. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp\left(w^2 X + w Y + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{t_l}{w^{2l}}\right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k}} \Big|_{\substack{X=0, \\ Y=N}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp\left(wN + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{t_l}{w^{2l}}\right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k-2i-j+3}} \\ &= \frac{N^{2k-2i-j+2}}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp\left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{N^{2l} t_l}{w^{2l}}\right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k-2i-j+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}} \\
 &= k! \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt_j} \right)^{m_j} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{N^{2k-2i-j+2}}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp \left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{N^{2l} t_l}{w^{2l}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k-2i-j+3}} \right) \Big|_{t_j=0} \\
 &= k! N^{k(k+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt_j} \right)^{m_j} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp \left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{N^{2l} t_l}{w^{2l}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k-2i-j+3}} \right) \Big|_{t_j=0} \\
 &= k! N^{k(k+1)/2 + \sum_{j=1}^n 2j m_j} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{du_j} \right)^{m_j} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp \left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{u_l}{w^{2l}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{2k-2i-j+3}} \right) \Big|_{u_j=0} \\
 &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} k! N^{k(k+1)/2 + \sum_{j=1}^n 2j m_j} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{du_j} \right)^{m_j} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp \left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{u_l}{w^{2l}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{2i-j+1}} \right) \Big|_{u_j=0},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\det_{k \times k}(N^{-2i-j} a_{i,j}) = N^{-3k(k+1)/2} \det_{k \times k}(a_{i,j})$. Also, the fourth line follows from the change of variables $u_j = N^{2j} t_j$ and in the last line we have interchanged the rows of the matrix. Next, by lemma 3.3, the contour integral appearing in the determinant is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp \left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{u_l}{w^{2l}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{2i-j+1}} = \sum_{l_2, \dots, l_n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \frac{u_s^{l_s}}{l_s!} \right) g_{2i-j+2, \sum_{s=2}^n s l_s}(u_1).$$

We use lemma 3.4 to carry out the differentiation of the determinant with respect to u_2, \dots, u_n which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{du_j} \right)^{m_j} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint \exp \left(w + \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{u_l}{w^{2l}} \right) \frac{dw}{w^{2i-j+1}} \right) \Big|_{u_j=0} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i} = m_s \\ s=2, \dots, n}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{d}{du_1} \right)^{m_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \left(\frac{d}{du_s} \right)^{r_{s,i}} \sum_{l_2, \dots, l_n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \frac{u_s^{l_s}}{l_s!} \right) g_{2i-j+2, \sum_{s=2}^n s l_s}(u_1) \right) \Big|_{u_j=0} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i} = m_s \\ s=2, \dots, n}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^{m_1} \det_{k \times k} (g_{2i-j+2, \sum_{s=2}^n s r_{s,i}}(u)) \Big|_{u=0}. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting it all together yields (3.2). We obtain the more explicit expression of (3.3) by performing the final derivative with respect to u and computing the resulting determinant. By lemma 3.4 again,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i}=m_s \\ s=2,\dots,n}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^{m_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j+2 \sum_{s=2}^n sr_{s,i}}(u) \right) \Big|_{u=0} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i}=m_s \\ s=1,\dots,n}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \det_{k \times k} \left(\left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^{r_{1,i}} g_{2i-j+2 \sum_{s=2}^n sr_{s,i}}(u) \right) \Big|_{u=0}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, for $j \geq 0$ we have that

$$\left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^j g_m(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{e^{w+u/w^2}}{w^{m+2j+1}} dw = g_{m+2j}(u),$$

and

$$g_m(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{e^w}{w^{m+1}} dw = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1)}.$$

Thus, the sum in (3.6) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i}=m_s \\ s=1,\dots,n}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2i-j+2 \sum_{s=1}^n sr_{s,i}+1)} \right).$$

We evaluate the determinant above by first making the change of variables $i \mapsto k+1-i$ and defining $\tilde{r}_{s,i} = r_{s,k+1-i}$ so that the sum becomes.

$$(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i}=m_s \\ s=1,\dots,n}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \binom{m_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2k-2i-j+2 \sum_{s=1}^n s\tilde{r}_{s,i}+3)} \right).$$

Note that since $\sum_{i=1}^k r_{s,i} = \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{r}_{s,i}$, we may drop the tildes and then apply lemma 3.5 to the determinant. Using the resulting expression for the sum in (3.6) yields (3.3). The proofs of (3.4) and (3.5) are similar. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let $k \geq 1$ and m_j be integers for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \dots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{2k+m_j}} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}_k} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{N^{2k+m_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+2}}{\Gamma(2k+m_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+3)} \right).$$

Proof. As in the proof of proposition 3.11, we write

$$\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} = \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dX} \right) \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dY} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2 X_i + w_i Y_i \right) \Big|_{\substack{X_i=0, \\ Y_i=N}}.$$

Then, we have that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \dots \oint \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{2k+m_j}} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i = \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dX} \right) \Delta \left(\frac{d}{dY} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(X_i, Y_i) \Big|_{\substack{X_i=0, \\ Y_i=N}},$$

where

$$f_i(X_i, Y_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{e^{(w^2 X_i + w Y_i)}}{w^{2k+m_i}} dw.$$

So, by lemma 3.2, we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \dots \oint \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{2k+m_j}} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i = \sum_{\mu \in S_k} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} f_{\mu(i)}(X, Y) \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{X=0, \\ Y=N}}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{d^{i+j-2}}{dX^{i-1} dY^{j-1}} f_{\mu(i)}(X, Y) \Bigg|_{\substack{X=0, \\ Y=N}} = \frac{1}{2\pi 1} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{e^{Nw}}{w^{2k+m_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+3}} dw = \frac{N^{2k+m_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+2}}{\Gamma(2k+m_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+3)},$$

and the proposition follows. □

4. Proofs of the main results

In this section we will present the proofs of our main results. Our strategy is to obtain the joint moments by differentiating the corresponding shifted moments with respect to the shifts. Indeed, one may check by induction that for $G(2N) \in \{Sp(2N), SO(2N), O^-(2N)\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1) \right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1) \right)^{k_2} dA \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k_1} \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j} \right)^{n_1} \prod_{j=k_1+1}^k \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j} \right)^{n_2} I(G(2N); e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_k}) \Big|_{\alpha_j=0} (1 + O(N^{-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2$. Also, the error terms in lemmas 3.6–3.8 are uniform in α so we obtain an asymptotic formula after performing the differentiation.

4.1. The unitary symplectic group $Sp(2N)$

Proof of theorem 2.1. By the above argument and lemma 3.6, we have that

$$\int_{Sp(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1) \right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1) \right)^{k_2} dA = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{k!} J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) (1 + O(N^{-1})), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k_1} \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j} \right)^{n_1} \prod_{j=k_1+1}^k \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j} \right)^{n_2} \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \dots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i - \alpha_i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (w_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i \Bigg|_{\alpha_j=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We use lemma 3.10 to carry out the differentiation and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint \left(\sum_{\substack{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+n_1 a_{n_1}=n_1 \\ a_3=a_5=\cdots=0}} \frac{n_1!}{a_1! \cdots a_{n_1}!} (-N)^{a_1} \prod_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{a_{2j}} \right)^{k_1} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{b_1+2b_2+\cdots+n_2 b_{n_2}=n_2 \\ b_3=b_5=\cdots=0}} \frac{n_2!}{b_1! \cdots b_{n_2}!} (-N)^{b_1} \prod_{j=1}^{[n_2/2]} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{b_{2j}} \right)^{k_2} \Delta(w) \\
 &\quad \times \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of the tuples \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{b}_i defined in the statement of the theorem. Then, we can expand the brackets in the integrand of $J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\sum_{\substack{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+n_1 a_{n_1}=n_1 \\ a_3=a_5=\cdots=0}} \frac{n_1!}{a_1! \cdots a_{n_1}!} (-N)^{a_1} \prod_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{2j}} \right)^{a_{2j}} \right)^{k_1} \\
 &= \sum_{u_1+\cdots+u_p=k_1} \binom{k_1}{u_1, \dots, u_p} \frac{(n_1)!^{k_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (\mathbf{a}_i!)^{u_i}} (-N)^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,0}} \prod_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{w_l^{2j}} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,j}},
 \end{aligned}$$

with a similar expression for the bracket to the power of k_2 in the integrand. Using these expansions, our expression for $J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= \sum_{u_1+\cdots+u_p=k_1} \binom{k_1}{u_1, \dots, u_p} \frac{(n_1)!^{k_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (\mathbf{a}_i!)^{u_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[n_1/2]} j^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,j}} \right)} (-N)^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,0}} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{v_1+\cdots+v_Q=k_2} \binom{k_2}{v_1, \dots, v_Q} \frac{(n_2)!^{k_2}}{\prod_{i=1}^Q (\mathbf{b}_i!)^{v_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[n_2/2]} j^{\sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,j}} \right)} (-N)^{\sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,0}} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint_{|w_i|=1} \cdots \oint \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{j=1}^{[n_2/2]} \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{w_l^{2j}} \right)^{W_j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $W_j := \sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, [n_1/2]$ and $W_j := \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,j}$ for $j = [n_1/2] + 1, \dots, [n_2/2]$. We now apply proposition 3.11 to the contour integral above with $m_j = W_j$. In particular, using (3.2) gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} k! N^{k(k+1)/2} \sum_{u_1 + \dots + u_p = k_1} \binom{k_1}{u_1, \dots, u_p} \frac{(n_1)!^{k_1} (-N)^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,0}}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (\mathbf{a}_i!)^{u_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor n_1/2 \rfloor} j^{\sum_{i=1}^p u_i a_{i,j}} \right)} \\
 &\times \sum_{v_1 + \dots + v_Q = k_2} \binom{k_2}{v_1, \dots, v_Q} \frac{(n_2)!^{k_2} (-N)^{\sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,0}}}{\prod_{i=1}^Q (\mathbf{b}_i!)^{v_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor} j^{\sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,j}} \right)} \cdot N^{2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor} j W_j} \\
 &\times \sum_{\substack{\sum_{j=1}^k r_{s,i} = W_s \\ s=2, \dots, \lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor}} \left(\prod_{s=2}^{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor} \binom{W_s}{r_{s,1}, \dots, r_{s,k}} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{W_1} \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j+2 \sum_{s=2}^{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor} s r_{s,i}}(x) \right) \Big|_{x=0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of W_j , we compute the power of N in the summand as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^P u_i a_{i,0} + \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor} j W_j &= \sum_{i=1}^P u_i \left(a_{i,0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n_1/2 \rfloor} j a_{i,j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i \left(b_{i,0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor} j b_{i,j} \right) \\
 &= n_1 \sum_{i=1}^P u_i + n_2 \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i \\
 &= k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, since $a_{i,0} \equiv n_1 \pmod{2}$ and $b_{i,0} \equiv n_2 \pmod{2}$ for all i , the factor of (-1) in the summand is

$$(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^P u_i a_{i,0} + \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i b_{i,0}} = (-1)^{n_1 \sum_{i=1}^P u_i + n_2 \sum_{i=1}^Q v_i} = (-1)^{k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2}.$$

Combining these two observations with our expression for $J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ and using (4.1) yields the statement of the theorem. \square

Proof of theorem 2.2. We begin as in the proof of theorem 2.1 with (4.1) and (4.2). We use lemma 3.9 for the derivatives in this case which gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \oint \dots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1}{m} (-N)^{n_1-m} m! \sum_{\substack{l_1 + \dots + l_k = m \\ l_j \text{ even}}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{l_i}} \right)^{k_1} \\
 &\times \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n_2} \binom{n_2}{m} (-N)^{n_2-m} m! \sum_{\substack{l_1 + \dots + l_k = m \\ l_j \text{ even}}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{l_i}} \right)^{k_2} \Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k w_i} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dw_i}{w_i^{2k}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Rather than expand the brackets in the integrand, we write them as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1}{m} (-N)^{n_1-m} m! \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_k=m \\ l_j \text{ even}}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{w_i^{l_i}} \right)^{k_1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\substack{\sum_{j=1}^k l_j \leq n_1 \\ l_j \text{ even}}} (-N)^{n_1-\sum_{j=1}^k l_j} \binom{n_1}{\sum_{j=1}^k l_j} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k l_j \right)! \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{w_j^{l_j}} \right)^{k_1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1,\dots,k_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \left((-N)^{n_1-2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j}} \binom{n_1}{2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j}} \left(2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \right)! \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{w_j^{2\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j}}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with a similar expression for the second bracket to the k_2 . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1,\dots,k_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \left((-N)^{n_1-2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j}} \binom{n_1}{2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j}} \left(2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \right)! \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j} \leq n_2 \\ i=1,\dots,k_2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \left((-N)^{n_2-2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j}} \binom{n_2}{2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j}} \left(2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j} \right)! \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N\sum_{i=1}^k w_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{2k+2\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j}+2\sum_{i=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j}}} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i \\ &= \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1,\dots,k_1}} \sum_{\substack{2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j} \leq n_2 \\ i=1,\dots,k_2}} (n_1!)^{k_1} (n_2!)^{k_2} (-N)^{k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2 - 2\sum_{j=1}^k (\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j})} \\ &\quad \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{(n_1 - 2\sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j})!} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{(n_2 - 2\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j})!} \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \cdots \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N\sum_{i=1}^k w_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{2k+2\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j}+2\sum_{i=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j}}} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i. \end{aligned}$$

We set $V_j = 2\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{k_2} m_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then, by proposition 3.12, the contour integral in the last line above is equal to

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}_k} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{N^{2k+V_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+2}}{\Gamma(2k+V_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+3)} \right) = N^{k(k+1)/2+\sum_{j=1}^k V_j} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}_k} \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2k+V_{\mu(i)}-2i-j+3)} \right).$$

Hence, our expression for $J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= (-1)^{k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2} (n_1!)^{k_1} (n_2!)^{k_2} N^{k(k+1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2} \\
 &\times \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S_k \\ i=1, \dots, k_1}} \sum_{\substack{2 \sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1, \dots, k_1}} \sum_{\substack{2 \sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j} \leq n_2 \\ i=1, \dots, k_2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{(n_1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j})!} \right) \\
 &\times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{(n_2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j})!} \right) \det_{k \times k} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2k + V_{\mu(i)} - 2i - j + 3)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by an argument similar to that given at the end of the proof of [25, theorem 25], we have that the sums over $l_{i,j}$ and $m_{i,j}$ do not depend on the choice of permutation μ . Explicitly, given a permutation $\mu \in S_k$, we can make the change of variables $\tilde{l}_{i,j} = l_{i,\mu(j)}$ and $\tilde{m}_{i,j} = m_{i,\mu(j)}$. Then we have that $\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{l}_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{m}_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j}$. Also, we have

$$V_{\mu(j)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} l_{i,\mu(j)} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} m_{i,\mu(j)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{l}_{i,j} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{m}_{i,j}.$$

Thus, we may take μ to be the identity and replace the sum over $\mu \in S_k$ by $k!$. To finish, we apply lemma 3.5 with $m_j = V_j + 1$ to the last determinant which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2) &= (-1)^{k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2} k! (n_1!)^{k_1} (n_2!)^{k_2} N^{k(k+1)/2 + k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2} \\
 &\times \sum_{\substack{2 \sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j} \leq n_1 \\ i=1, \dots, k_1}} \sum_{\substack{2 \sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j} \leq n_2 \\ i=1, \dots, k_2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{(n_1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k l_{i,j})!} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{(n_2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j})!} \right) \\
 &\times \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(2k + V_j - 2j + 1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (V_j - V_i - 2j + 2i).
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows on using this final expression for $J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ in (4.1). □

4.2. The special orthogonal group $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$

Proof of theorem 2.3. The proof is similar to that of theorem 2.1 but we now use lemma 3.7 for the shifted moments. We again use lemma 3.10 for the derivatives and apply (3.4) in proposition 3.11 to the resulting contour integral. □

Proof of theorem 2.4. We follow the proof of theorem 2.2 using lemma 3.7 for the shifted moments and lemma 3.9 for the derivatives. We then use proposition 3.12 with $m_j = V_j - 1$ for the contour integral and conclude the proof similarly using lemma 3.5. □

Proof of theorem 2.5. Using the argument at the beginning of the section and lemma 3.8, we have that

$$\int_{O^-(2N)} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_1)}(1) \right)^{k_1} \left(\Lambda_A^{(n_2)}(1) \right)^{k_2} dA = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{k!} J_{k_1, k_2}^{O^-}(n_1, n_2) (1 + O(N^{-1})),$$

where

$$J_{k_1, k_2}^{O^-}(n_1, n_2) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_1} \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j}\right)^{n_1} \prod_{j=k_1+1}^k \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j}\right)^{n_2} \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \dots \oint \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i\right) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i + \alpha_i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (w_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i \Big|_{\alpha_j=0}.$$

For the derivatives, we use the fact that for $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} \frac{\alpha e^{N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = n \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\alpha^{n-1}} \frac{e^{N\alpha}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (w_i^2 - \alpha^2)} \Big|_{\alpha=0}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$J_{k_1, k_2}^{O^-}(n_1, n_2) = n_1^{k_1} n_2^{k_2} \prod_{j=1}^{k_1} \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j}\right)^{n_1-1} \times \prod_{j=k_1+1}^k \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha_j}\right)^{n_2-1} \frac{1}{(2\pi 1)^k} \oint \dots \oint \frac{\Delta(w) \Delta(w^2) e^{N \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i + \alpha_i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (w_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)} \prod_{i=1}^k dw_i \Big|_{\alpha_j=0}.$$

This integral expression is very similar to the expression for $J_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$ given in (4.2) and so we can proceed as in the proof of theorem 2.1 or 2.2, simply replacing $(-N)$ by N when we use lemma 3.9 or 3.10. In either case, we obtain the statement of the theorem. \square

4.3. Proof of proposition 2.7

We conclude this section by proving proposition 2.7. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then, by theorem 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,1}^{Sp}(0, n) &= \frac{(-1)^n n!}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{2l \leq n} \frac{1}{(n-2l)!(2l+1)!} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n n!}{2^{n+1} (n+1)!} \sum_{2l \leq n} \binom{n+1}{2l+1} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1} (n+1)} \left(\sum_{2l \leq n} \binom{n}{2l} + \sum_{2l \leq n-1} \binom{n}{2l+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1} (n+1)} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used standard properties of the binomial coefficient. In the same manner, by theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{0,1}^{SO}(0,n) &= 2^{1-n} n! \sum_{2l \leq n} \frac{1}{(n-2l)!(2l)!} \\
 &= 2^{1-n} \sum_{2l \leq n} \binom{n}{2l} \\
 &= 2^{1-n} \left(\sum_{2l \leq n-1} \binom{n-1}{2l} + \sum_{2l \leq n} \binom{n-1}{2l-1} \right) \\
 &= 2^{1-n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{l} \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Numerical results

Below we give some numerical values for $b_{k_1, k_2}^{Sp}(n_1, n_2)$ and $b_{k_1, k_2}^{SO}(n_1, n_2)$. Values of $b_{k_1, k_2}^{O-}(n_1, n_2)$ follow from theorem 2.5 so are omitted. Numerical values for $b_{0,k}^{Sp}(0,2)$ and $b_{0,k}^{SO}(0,2)$ for $k \leq 10$ are given in [1, section 4].

The following are $b_{0,k}^{Sp}(0,3)$ for $k = 1, \dots, 4$:

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2^3}}{23} \cdot \frac{1}{2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} \cdot \frac{1}{2^8 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11} \cdot \frac{1}{233} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{18} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11}.$$

$b_{0,k}^{Sp}(0,4)$ for $k = 1, \dots, 4$:

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 5}}{251} \cdot \frac{1}{2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11} \cdot \frac{1}{89 \cdot 13103} \cdot \frac{1}{2^9 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17} \cdot \frac{1}{1627 \cdot 693731} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23}.$$

We also have $b_{1,1}^{Sp}(n_1, 1)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1$:

$$\frac{1}{48}, \frac{1}{96}.$$

$b_{1,1}^{Sp}(n_1, 2)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2$:

$$\frac{1}{80}, \frac{1}{160}, \frac{19}{5040}.$$

$b_{1,1}^{Sp}(n_1, 3)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$-\frac{1}{120}, \frac{1}{240}, -\frac{17}{6720}, \frac{23}{13440}.$$

$b_{1,2}^{Sp}(n_1, 1)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1$:

$$\frac{1}{11520}, -\frac{1}{23040}.$$

$b_{1,2}^{Sp}(n_1, 2)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2$:

$$\frac{103}{3628800}, -\frac{103}{7257600}, \frac{487}{59875200}.$$

$b_{1,2}^{Sp}(n_1, 3)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$\frac{1}{89600}, -\frac{1}{179200}, \frac{19}{5913600}, -\frac{1}{492800}.$$

The following are $b_{0,k}^{SO}(0, 3)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

$$\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2^2 \cdot 5}}, \frac{1}{\frac{2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7}{1613}}, \frac{1}{2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13}.$$

$b_{0,k}^{SO}(0, 4)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

$$\frac{1}{\frac{71}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}}, \frac{1}{\frac{2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13}{7159 \cdot 316201}}, \frac{1}{2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19}.$$

We also have $b_{1,1}^{SO}(n_1, 1)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1$:

$$1, \frac{1}{2}.$$

$b_{1,1}^{SO}(n_1, 2)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2$:

$$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{30}.$$

$b_{1,1}^{SO}(n_1, 3)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{11}{60}, \frac{3}{20}.$$

$b_{1,2}^{SO}(n_1, 1)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1$:

$$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{24}.$$

$b_{1,2}^{SO}(n_1, 2)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2$:

$$\frac{19}{630}, \frac{19}{1260}, \frac{26}{2835}.$$

$b_{1,2}^{SO}(n_1, 3)$ for $n_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$\frac{23}{1680}, \frac{23}{3360}, \frac{43}{10080}, \frac{1}{336}.$$

Data availability statement

No new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referees for reading our paper carefully and for their helpful comments and suggestions. The first author is grateful to the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2017-320) for the support through the research project grant ‘Moments of L -functions in Function Fields and Random Matrix Theory’. The research of the second author is supported by an EPSRC Standard Research Studentship (EP/V520317/1) at the University of Exeter. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

ORCID iD

Christopher G Best  <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7751-3718>

References

- [1] Altuğ S A, Bettin S, Petrow I, Rishikesh R and Whitehead I 2014 A recursion formula for moments of derivatives of random matrix polynomials *Q. J. Math.* **65** 1111–25
- [2] Andrade J C and Jung H 2021 Mean values of derivatives of L -functions in function fields: IV *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **58** 1529–47
- [3] Andrade J C and Rajagopal S 2016 Mean values of derivatives of L -functions in function fields: I *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **443** 526–41
- [4] Assiotis T, Bedert B, Gunes M A and Soor A 2021 On a distinguished family of random variables and Painlevé equations *Probab. Math. Phys.* **2** 613–42
- [5] Assiotis T, Gunes M A and Soor A 2022 Convergence and an explicit formula for the joint moments of the circular Jacobi-ensemble characteristic polynomial *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **25** 15
- [6] Assiotis T, Keating J P and Warren J 2022 On the joint moments of the characteristic polynomials of random unitary matrices *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2022** 14564–603
- [7] Bailey E C, Bettin S, Blower G, Conrey J B, Prokhorov A, Rubinstein M O and Snaith N C 2019 Mixed moments of characteristic polynomials of random unitary matrices *J. Math. Phys.* **60** 083509
- [8] Barhoumi-Andréani Y 2020 A new approach to the characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix (arXiv:2011.02465)
- [9] Basor E, Bleher P, Buckingham R, Grava T, Its A, Its E and Keating J P 2019 A representation of joint moments of CUE characteristic polynomials in terms of Painlevé functions *Nonlinearity* **32** 4033

- [10] Conrey J B, Farmer D W, Keating J P, Rubinstein M O and Snaith N C 2003 Autocorrelation of random matrix polynomials *Commun. Math. Phys.* **237** 365–95
- [11] Conrey J B, Farmer D W, Keating J P, Rubinstein M O and Snaith N C 2005 Integral moments of L -functions *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **91** 33–104
- [12] Conrey J B, Rubinstein M O and Snaith N C 2006 Moments of the derivative of characteristic polynomials with an application to the Riemann zeta function *Commun. Math. Phys.* **267** 611–29
- [13] Djanković G and Đokić D 2021 The mixed second moment of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions over function fields *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **51** 2003–17
- [14] Dueñez E, Farmer D W, Froehlich S, Hughes C P, Mezzadri F and Phan T 2010 Roots of the derivative of the Riemann-zeta function and of characteristic polynomials *Nonlinearity* **23** 2599–621
- [15] Florea A 2017 The second and third moment of $L(1/2, \chi)$ in the hyperelliptic ensemble *Forum Math.* **29** 873–92
- [16] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2006 Boundary conditions associated with the Painlevé III' and V evaluations of some random matrix averages *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 8983–95
- [17] Gharakhloo R and Witte N S 2023 Modulated Bi-orthogonal Polynomials on the unit circle: the $2j - k$ and $j - 2k$ Systems *Constr. Approx.* **58** 1–74
- [18] Gunes M A Characteristic polynomials of orthogonal and symplectic random matrices, Jacobi ensembles & L-Functions *Random Matrices: Theory Appl.* (<https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010326324500060>) (accepted)
- [19] Hall R R 1999 The behaviour of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line *Mathematika* **46** 281–313
- [20] Hall R R 2004 On the stationary points of Hardy's function $Z(t)$ *Acta Arith.* **111** 125–40
- [21] Hughes C P 2001 On the characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix and the Riemann zeta function *PhD Thesis* University of Bristol
- [22] Ingham A E 1928 Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **s2-27** 273–300
- [23] Katz N M and Sarnak P 1999 *Random Matrices, Frobenius Eigenvalues and Monodromy (Colloquium Publications vol 45)* (American Mathematical Society)
- [24] Keating J P and Snaith N C 2000 Random matrix theory and L -functions at $s = 1/2$ *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** 91–110
- [25] Keating J P and Wei F 2023 Joint moments of higher order derivatives of CUE characteristic polynomials I: asymptotic formulae *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (<https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnae063>)
- [26] Keating J P and Wei F 2023 Joint moments of higher order derivatives of CUE characteristic polynomials II: structures, recursive relations, and applications (arXiv:2307.02831)
- [27] Mezzadri F 2003 Random matrix theory and the zeros of $\zeta'(s)$ *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** 2945–62
- [28] Normand J-M 2004 Calculation of some determinants using the s -shifted factorial *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** 5737–62
- [29] Soundararajan K 1998 The horizontal distribution of zeros of $\zeta'(s)$ *Duke Math. J.* **91** 33–59
- [30] Zhang Y 2001 On the zeros of $\zeta'(s)$ near the critical line *Duke Math. J.* **110** 555–72