# Research

# Birth Outcomes in Relation to Prenatal Exposure to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances and Stress in the Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) Program

Amy M. Padula,<sup>1</sup> Xuejuan Ning,<sup>2</sup> Shivani Bakre,<sup>2</sup> Emily S. Barrett,<sup>3</sup> Tracy Bastain,<sup>4</sup> Deborah H. Bennett,<sup>5</sup> Michael S. Bloom,<sup>6</sup> Carrie V. Breton,<sup>4</sup> Anne L. Dunlop,<sup>7</sup> Stephanie M. Eick,<sup>1</sup> Assiamira Ferrara,<sup>8</sup> Abby Fleisch,<sup>9,10</sup> Sarah Geiger,<sup>11</sup> Dana E. Goin,<sup>1</sup> Kurunthachalam Kannan,<sup>12</sup> Margaret R. Karagas,<sup>13</sup> Susan Korrick,<sup>10,14</sup> John D. Meeker,<sup>15</sup> Rachel Morello-Frosch,<sup>16</sup> Thomas G. O'Connor,<sup>17</sup> Emily Oken,<sup>18</sup> Morgan Robinson,<sup>12</sup> Megan E. Romano,<sup>13</sup> Susan L. Schantz,<sup>19</sup> Rebecca J. Schmidt,<sup>5</sup> Anne P. Starling,<sup>20,21</sup> Yeyi Zhu,<sup>8</sup> Ghassan B. Hamra,<sup>2\*</sup> Tracey J. Woodruff,<sup>1\*</sup> and the program collaborators for Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes<sup>†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Program for Reproductive Health and the Environment, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Rutgers School of Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Global and Community Health, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, USA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

<sup>8</sup>Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Oakland, California, USA

<sup>9</sup>Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Maine Medical Center Research Institute, Portland, Maine, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>11</sup>Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, Illinois, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Department of Environmental Medicine, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Dartmouth Geisel School of Medicine, Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA

<sup>14</sup>Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>15</sup>Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>16</sup>School of Public Health and Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

<sup>17</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>18</sup>Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>19</sup>Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, Illinois, USA

<sup>20</sup>Center for Lifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado, USA

<sup>21</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

**BACKGROUND:** Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are persistent and ubiquitous chemicals associated with risk of adverse birth outcomes. Results of previous studies have been inconsistent. Associations between PFAS and birth outcomes may be affected by psychosocial stress.

**OBJECTIVES:** We estimated risk of adverse birth outcomes in relation to prenatal PFAS concentrations and evaluate whether maternal stress modifies those relationships.

**METHODS:** We included 3,339 participants from 11 prospective prenatal cohorts in the Environmental influences on the Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program to estimate the associations of five PFAS and birth outcomes. We stratified by perceived stress scale scores to examine effect modification and used Bayesian Weighted Sums to estimate mixtures of PFAS.

**RESULTS:** We observed reduced birth size with increased concentrations of all PFAS. For a 1-unit higher log-normalized exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), and perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), we observed lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores of  $\beta = -0.15$  [95% confidence interval (CI): -0.27, -0.03],  $\beta = -0.14$  (95% CI: -0.28, -0.002),  $\beta = -0.22$  (95% CI: -0.23, -0.10),  $\beta = -0.06$  (95% CI: -0.18, 0.06), and  $\beta = -0.25$  (95% CI: -0.37, -0.14), respectively. We observed a lower odds ratio (OR) for large-for-gestational-age: OR<sub>PFNA</sub> = 0.56 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.83), OR<sub>PFDA</sub> = 0.52 (95% CI: 0.35, 0.77). For a 1-unit increase in log-normalized concentration of summed PFAS, we observed a lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-score [-0.28; 95% highest posterior density (HPD): -0.44, -0.14] and decreased odds of large-for-gestational-age (OR = 0.49; 95% HPD: 0.29, 0.82). Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA) explained the highest percentage (40%) of the summed effect in both models. Associations were not modified by maternal perceived stress.

**DISCUSSION:** Our large, multi-cohort study of PFAS and adverse birth outcomes found a negative association between prenatal PFAS and birthweight-for-gestational-age, and the associations were not different in groups with high vs. low perceived stress. This study can help inform policy to reduce exposures in the environment and humans. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP10723

Supplemental Material is available online (https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP10723).

Received 3 December 2021; Revised 1 December 2022; Accepted 6 February 2023; Published 15 March 2023.

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehpsubmissions@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>See the "Acknowledgments" section for full listing of collaborators.

Address correspondence to Amy M. Padula, Program for Reproductive Health and the Environment, Department of OBGYN & RS, Box 0132, University of California, San Francisco, 490 Illinois St., San Francisco, CA 94158 USA. E-mail: amy.padula@ucsf.edu

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

#### Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are a group of synthetic chemicals used in nonstick and stain- and water-resistant consumer products, as well as in industrial processes. PFAS are persistent in the environment and in the human body.<sup>1</sup> Pathways of human exposure include ingestion of contaminated drinking water and food, and inhalation.<sup>2,3</sup> As a result, PFAS are widely detectable in human biomonitoring studies, including studies showing that nearly 100% of pregnant women studied have measurable levels of PFAS in their bodies.<sup>4</sup> Reported human health associations include carcinogenicity (kidney and testicular cancers),<sup>5</sup> cardiovascular effects (dyslipidemia<sup>6</sup>), pregnancyinduced hypertension,<sup>7</sup> impaired renal function,<sup>8,9</sup> endocrine disruption (thyroid disease and altered age at menarche),<sup>10,11</sup> obesity,<sup>12</sup> and immune effects (immunotoxicity and decreased antibody production).<sup>13–15</sup>

PFAS have been associated with adverse effects on fetal development in both animal and human studies.<sup>16,17</sup> Reductions in birthweight have been reported with higher exposure to perfluoroctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), and perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA).<sup>18–31</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis of animal and human research found sufficient evidence for an inverse association between PFOA and birthweight.<sup>16</sup> Fewer studies have examined PFAS in relation to preterm birth; however, a recent review and meta-analysis found maternal PFOS was associated with increased risk of preterm birth.<sup>32</sup> Only one study examined PFAS in relation to large-forgestational-age, and it reported no association.<sup>33</sup>

Psychosocial stressors and responses to stress during pregnancy are associated with perinatal outcomes and may also contribute to the persistence of disparities in adverse birth outcomes by socioeconomic status and racial and ethnic groups.<sup>31,34</sup> Experiences of psychosocial stress during pregnancy may be more prevalent among women of lower socioeconomic status as indicated by lower education or income level.<sup>35</sup> Perceived stress may also be higher among women of color because of racial and gender-based discrimination.36-38 Environmental chemical exposures can co-occur with chronic psychosocial risk factors during pregnancy.39,40 This combination may have a greater impact than each individual factor alone and result in amplified risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes.<sup>40-42</sup> Furthermore, these environmental and psychosocial stressors may operate via similar biological systems and mechanisms (i.e., endocrine or metabolic disruption, inflammation, and epigenetic changes).<sup>41</sup>

The Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program is a National Institutes of Health initiative to address pediatric outcomes with high public health impact.<sup>43</sup> ECHO comprises 69 cohorts from across the United States and includes over 57,000 mother–child dyads.<sup>44</sup> The program is well powered to analyze environmental exposures in a demographically and geographically diverse study population including 56 cohorts with chemical biomonitoring data for mothers and children.<sup>45</sup> The present study estimates associations using ECHO data from 11 pregnancy cohorts to examine the extent to which prenatal exposure to PFAS is associated with increased risk of adverse birth outcomes and whether these associations are modified by stress.

# Methods

#### Overview

ECHO cohorts were invited to participate based on consent for data sharing with ECHO of the mother–child pairs<sup>46</sup> and were harmonized and pooled for analysis. Mothers were required to have either extant prenatal PFAS data or at least one serum or

plasma biospecimen collected during pregnancy that was available for assessment of PFAS concentration. Data on child birthweight or gestational age at birth were required for participation, and the study population was restricted to singleton births and included 3,339 mother–child pairs from 11 cohorts between 1999 and 2019 (Figure S1). Cohorts submitted data to the ECHO Data Analysis Center for analysis. Cohort was not considered when determining inclusion for this analytic data set. All cohorts had institutional review board approvals from their local institutions. Written consent to participate in the ECHO study was obtained for all participants. Participants received various stipends for their time according to the individual cohort.

### PFAS

Laboratory methods varied by cohort (Table S1). PFAS were measured (in nanograms per milliliter) in plasma or serum at three laboratories: the California Department of Toxic Substances Control,<sup>34</sup> the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC),<sup>30,47,48</sup> and the Wadsworth Human Health Exposure Analysis Resource Laboratory.<sup>49</sup> All laboratories participated in the CDC's quality assurance program to test interlaboratory comparisons. The number of PFAS measured in each cohort varied from 8 to 14 (Table S2). PFAS were included in the present analysis if more than 60% of values were above the method limit of detection (LOD) and no cohort had <40% below the LOD (Table S2). Five PFAS met these criteria: PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), and perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA). If a cohort had separate sums of branched and linear chain isomers for PFOA or PFOS, the two were summed as total PFOA or PFOS.<sup>50</sup> Distributions of PFAS were examined by cohort, year, and perceived stress scale (PSS; Table S3). LOD varied between labs and within cohorts owing to batches performed years apart (Table S3). For those observations that were below the LOD, we imputed exposure values as the LOD divided by the square root of 2. PFAS measures were nonnormally distributed, and, thus, were natural log transformed (Figure S2). Most cohorts collected prenatal biospecimens during the second trimester (9 cohorts, n = 2,531, Table S1). For three cohorts (n = 565) with PFAS measured at multiple time points, concentrations above the LOD were averaged. We tested the correlations between the different PFAS and each PFAS across different trimesters of exposure. Spearman correlations of PFAS concentrations measured multiple times during pregnancy were strong ( $\rho > 0.8$ ), with one exception, which was moderately correlated [PFDA in the first and third trimesters ( $\rho = 0.53$ )] (Table S4). We compared PFAS concentrations to those measured by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) during the study period (Table S5).

#### **Prenatal Stress**

We examined maternal stress as an effect modifier of the relationship between PFAS and birth outcomes. For a subset of cohorts (8 of 11, N = 2,032), maternal stress was assessed using the PSS administered in the prenatal period; the PSS measures perceptions of life as uncontrollable, unpredictable, and overwhelming.<sup>51</sup> The PSS is a widely used self-report instrument for measuring stress perception and is available in three versions, with 4, 10, or 14 items [PSS-4 (1 cohort, n = 402), PSS-10 (5 cohorts, n = 1,148), and PSS-14 (2 cohorts, n = 459), respectively], each containing items rated on a five-point Likert scale. Psychometric data support reliability and validity of the PSS-10 in comparison with the PSS-14 and perceived helplessness (r = 0.85) and perceived self-efficacy (r = 0.82) scales, respectively.<sup>52</sup> In addition, the PSS-4 has been validated in pregnant women and correlated strongly ( $\rho = 0.71$ ) with the Assessment of Stress portion of the Prenatal Psychosocial Profile and was valid in predicting maternal depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, r = 0.67), and quality of life (mental health component of the Short-Form-12, r = -0.62).<sup>53</sup> Cohorts were administered one version of the PSS (Table S1), and item response theory was used to harmonize PSS to a t-score metric by the ECHO Patient-Reported Outcomes Core [ECHO PRO Core Data Harmonization Group, ECHO-wide Cohort Protocol (version 2.0), Harmonization Technical Report (version 5.2, 24 March 2021)].<sup>54</sup> PSS scores were unavailable for participants in three cohorts (the Project Viva cohort, the Kaiser Permanente Research Bank Pregnancy Cohort, and the New Hampshire Birth Cohort) and partially missing in other cohorts except for Illinois Kids Development Studies, which had complete data on PSS [N = 2,009 (60%) of 3,339].

#### **Birth Outcomes**

Outcomes included gestational age at birth (completed weeks), preterm birth (birth <37 vs.  $\geq$ 37 wk gestation), term low birthweight (birthweight <2,500 vs.  $\geq$ 2,500 g among births at  $\geq$ 37 wk gestation), birthweight-for-gestational-age and sex-specific z-scores, and both small- and large-for-gestational-age (<10th percentile and >90th percentile, respectively) using a 2017 referent population in the United States.<sup>55</sup> Birth outcomes and covariates were obtained according to the protocol for each cohort (from medical records or self-report).

#### Statistical Analysis

We analyzed two continuous and four dichotomous birth outcomes using linear and logistic regression, respectively, in relation to single PFAS exposures. Covariates selected as potential confounders a priori based on a directed acyclic graph (Figure S3) included cohort (base model), maternal age at delivery (<25, 25–29, 30–34,  $\geq$ 35 y), parity (0,  $\geq$ 1), maternal educational attainment [<high school; high school degree, General Educational Development (GED), or equivalent; some college, no degree; bachelor's degree and above], and maternal race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic other, and Hispanic). Race/ethnicity was included as a social construct and proxy for racism and discrimination. The non-Hispanic other category included Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaska Native, multiple race, or any other race group not included in a more specific category. We examined race/ethnicity in relation to PSS scores because we hypothesized racism and discrimination might be associated with perceived stress. Our study was restricted to participants with nonmissing data on these covariates. Factors related to the outcome and to stress but not PFAS (e.g., maternal tobacco use, prenatal secondhand smoke exposure) were considered in sensitivity analyses. Additional covariates were considered potential mediators [e.g., maternal body mass index (BMI), gestational diabetes, gestational hypertension, and preeclampsia] and were not considered confounders and not included in analytic models. We performed stratified analyses by PSS scores, which were dichotomized at the median of the t-scores and examined the p-value of the interaction term to determine potential effect modification (results with p < 0.1 were noted).

We estimated the effect of summed concentrations of five PFAS (PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFHxS, and PFDA) using Bayesian Weighted Sums, a recent Bayesian approach that provides the effect of the mixture of PFAS, as well as the percentage contribution of each of the PFAS. This approach allows the data and model to estimate the weights<sup>56</sup> and uses a Dirichlet prior that restricts values of the weights to sum to 1 and restricts individual

values to a 0–1 range.<sup>57</sup> These analyses were similarly adjusted for the covariates and stratified by PSS. We provide 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals as opposed to 95% credible intervals.

We performed several sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our results and explore additional effect modifiers and confounders. We performed a stratified analysis by infant sex to identify potential sex-specific associations of PFAS and birth outcomes and examined the *p*-value of the interaction term to determine potential effect modification (results with p < 0.1 were noted). We conducted a trimester-stratified analysis to compare results by timing of PFAS measurements during pregnancy. Because results may be sensitive to inclusion of specific cohorts, we conducted leave-one-out analyses, excluding each cohort from calculation of the main effects of PFAS. We examined quartiles of exposure in relation to the outcomes to assess the linearity of the exposure-response relationship. We performed the birthweightfor-gestational-age z-score analysis with cohort as a random effect in mixed effects models to determine if our main findings were impacted by cohort heterogeneity. We adjusted for prenatal tobacco smoke exposure (indicators of either any maternal smoking or secondhand smoke during pregnancy) as an additional potential confounder for birthweight-for-gestational-age z-score and large-for-gestational-age. Last, we provided estimates of the association between non-log-transformed PFAS and continuous birth weight (adjusted for gestational age) given the difficulty of interpreting log-transformed values of PFAS in relation to z-scores of birthweight-for-gestational-age and the potential that log transformation may bias the results. We chose not to correct for multiple comparisons given the few a priori tests and our preference to present actual observations.<sup>58</sup> Primary statistical analyses were conducted using Stata (version 17.0; StataCorp), and correlation maps and Bayesian mixtures analyses were conducted in R (version 4.1.0; R Development Core Team) using the JAGS software program (version 4.3.1). Software code to recreate results of this work is maintained by the ECHO Data Analysis Center (https:// dcricollab.dcri.duke.edu/sites/echomaterials/SitePages/Home.aspx).

# **Results**

This study included 3,339 mother–child pairs from 11 cohorts in ECHO. Mothers were demographically and racially/ethnically diverse, with about half non-Hispanic White (53.8%) and having a bachelor's degree or higher educational attainment level (53.0%) (Table 1). The mean age of mothers at delivery was  $30.9 \pm 5.8$  y. The years of birth for all cohorts ranged from 1999 through 2019 (Table S1).

Four PFAS were detected in 96%–100% of participants (PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS) and concentrations were lower than those measured in NHANES (Table S5). Most PFAS were moderately positively correlated with Spearman correlations between  $\rho = 0.14$  (PFDA and PFHxS) and  $\rho = 0.83$  (PFOA and PFOS) (Figure S4). PFAS concentrations were highest among participants from older cohorts, although not monotonically, and PFAS decreased across years except for PFHxS, which increased between 2015 and 2019, although levels were not as high as earlier (1999–2003) (Table S3).

As compared with participants who were white, a higher proportion of participants who were Asian and other race/ethnicity had above-median levels of PSS. A lower proportion of participants who were Hispanic or unknown race/ethnicity had abovemedian levels of PSS, and levels of PSS were similar among participants who were Black (Table S6).

We estimated the associations between each PFAS and birth outcome with adjusted linear and logistic regression models (Table 2). We observed lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores with

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the study population among selected ECHO cohorts (N = 3,339).

Characteristicmean ± SIMaternal race/ethnicity1Hispanic/Latina653 (20.3)Non-Hispanic White1,687 (53.3)Non-Hispanic Black509 (16.2)Non-Hispanic Asian193 (6.2)Non-Hispanic other96 (3.1)Unknown201Maternal educational attainment <high school<="" td="">312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.2)Some college, no degree702 (21.4)</high>	8) 8) 2)
Hispanic/Latina653 (20.3)Non-Hispanic White1,687 (53.3)Non-Hispanic Black509 (16.2)Non-Hispanic Asian193 (6.2)Non-Hispanic other96 (3.1)Unknown201Maternal educational attainment312 (9.5)High school312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.2)	8) 2) )
Non-Hispanic White1,687 (53.3)Non-Hispanic Black509 (16.2)Non-Hispanic Asian193 (6.2)Non-Hispanic other96 (3.1)Unknown201Maternal educational attainment312 (9.5)High school312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.2)	8) 2) )
Non-Hispanic Black509 (16.2)Non-Hispanic Asian193 (6.2)Non-Hispanic other96 (3.1)Unknown201Maternal educational attainment312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.2)	2) )
Non-Hispanic other96 (3.1)Unknown201Maternal educational attainment312 (9.5)High school312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.1)	
Unknown201Maternal educational attainment312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.1)	)
Maternal educational attainment312 (9.5)High school310 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.1)	,
<high school<="" td="">312 (9.5)High school degree, GED, or equivalent530 (16.1)</high>	
High school degree, GED, or equivalent 530 (16.	`
Bachelor's degree and above 1,742 (53.0	· ·
Unknown 53	
Maternal age at delivery (y)	
<25 497 (15.7	
25–29 672 (21.3 20, 24	
30–34 1,124 (35.0 ≥35 867 (27.4	
255 Unknown 179	+)
PSS scale	
PSS t-score category $49.8 \pm 9.9$	а
<median (49.9<="" (50.6)="" 1,003="" td=""><td></td></median>	
≥Median (50.6) 1,006 (50.1	1)
Unknown 1,330	
Gestational age (wk) $38.9 \pm 1.9$	
Preterm birth (<37 wk) Yes 252 (7.5)	`
No 3,087 (92.5	
Birthweight (g) $3,337.4 \pm 563$	· ·
Low birthweight (<2,500 g)	
Yes 182 (5.5)	)
No 3,157 (94.4	5)
Size for gestational age <sup>b</sup>	-
Small-for-gestational-age 357 (10.7	
Appropriate-for-gestational-age2,623 (78.)Large-for-gestational-age359 (10.)	
Child sex	5)
Male 1,643 (49.2	2)
Female 1,696 (50.8	
Parity prior to indexed birth	
0 1,783 (53.4	
≥1 1,556 (46.0	5)
Prenatal tobacco use	
Yes 200 (7.2) No 2,573 (92.8	
Unknown 165	3)
Prenatal secondhand smoke	
Yes 1,386 (64.0	
No 781 (36.0	))
Unknown 1,172	c
Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) $26.1 \pm 6.3$	C
Gestational diabetes Yes 321 (10.)	5)
Yes 321 (10.) No 2,722 (89.)	
Unknown 296	)
Gestational hypertension	
Yes 154 (8.5)	)
No 1,667 (91.4	5)
Unknown 1,518	
Preeclampsia	`
Yes 104 (5.6) No 1,751 (94.4	
Unknown 1,484	т <i>)</i>
Year of birth	
	)
1999 28 (0.8)	
	)
1999         28 (0.8)           2000         330 (9.9)           2001         310 (9.3)	)
1999         28 (0.8)           2000         330 (9.9)	)

Table 1.	(Continued.)
Table L.	Commucu.)

	N (%) or
Characteristic	mean $\pm$ SD
2009	9 (0.3)
2010	122 (3.7)
2011	225 (6.7)
2012	283 (8.5)
2013	243 (7.3)
2014	204 (6.1)
2015	278 (8.3)
2016	287 (8.6)
2017	283 (8.5)
2018	286 (8.6)
2019	98 (2.9)
Cohort	
Chemicals in Our Bodies (CiOB)	402 (12.0)
Illinois Kids Development Studies (IKIDS)	184 (5.5)
Project Viva	842 (25.2)
Healthy Start	652 (19.5)
New Hampshire Birth Cohort Study (NHBCS)	324 (9.7)
Markers of Autism Risk in Babies Learning Early Signs (MARBLES)	39 (1.2)
Emory (Atlanta)	424 (12.7)
Maternal And Developmental Risks from Environmental and Social Stressors (MADRES)	347 (10.4)
Pregnancy and EnvironmenT And Lifestyle Study (PETALS)	124 (3.7)
Rochester	35 (1.0)
Kaiser Permanente Research Bank Pregnancy Cohort (KPRB-PC)	13 (0.4)

Note: BMI, body mass index; ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development; PSS, perceived stress scale; SD, standard deviation.  $a_n = 2.009$ .

<sup>b</sup>Small-, appropriate-, and large-for-gestational-age were defined, respectively, as singleton infants with weight <10th percentile, 10th–90th percentile, and >90th percentile of birthweight-for-gestational-age and sex using a 2017 U.S. reference population.  ${}^{c}n = 3.219$ .

increasing concentrations of all PFAS. For a 1-unit higher lognormalized exposure to PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFHxS, and PFDA, we observed a lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-score of  $\beta = -0.15$  [95% confidence interval (CI): -0.27, -0.03],  $\beta = -0.14 (95\% \text{ CI:} -0.28, -0.002), \beta = -0.22 (95\% \text{ CI:} -0.33, -0.002)$ -0.10),  $\beta = -0.06$  (95% CI: -0.18, 0.06), and  $\beta = -0.25$  (95% CI: -0.37, -0.14), respectively. Positive point estimates for PFAS and risk of small-for-gestational-age were consistent for all PFAS, with ORs ranging from 1.06 to 1.29, although 95% CIs for all estimates included the null. We observed lower odds ratios (ORs) of large-for-gestational-age, with estimates for PFNA and PFDA excluding the null:  $OR_{PENA} = 0.56$  (95% CI: 0.38, 0.83), and  $OR_{PFDA} = 0.52$  (95% CI: 0.35, 0.77). Point estimates for all PFAS showed increased risk of term low birth weight, with ORs ranging from 1.13 to 2.24, although 95% CIs included the null. All PFAS showed increased risk of preterm birth and decreased gestational age at birth, although all but one estimate included the null in fully adjusted models ( $\beta_{PFOA} = -0.22$ ; 95% CI: -0.43, -0.01) with the exception of PFHxS (Table 2).

When stratified by PSS, associations between some PFAS and birthweight-for-gestatonal-age z-scores were stronger (i.e., larger decreases) among those who reported below-median levels of perceived stress, although tests did not show evidence of statistical interaction (Table 3). Similar results were observed for large-for-gestational-age with stronger decreased risk among those with lower perceived stress (Table 3). Three estimates had interaction terms with p < 0.1, although not in a consistent direction; PFOS was associated with increased risk of small-for-gestational-age among those with lower perceived stress (OR = 1.57; 95% CI: 0.73, 3.38), PFHxS with increased risk of large-for-gestational-age among those with higher perceived stress (OR = 1.13; 95% CI: 0.51, 2.49),

Table 2. As	sociations of c	Table 2. Associations of continuous measures of prenatal natural log-transformed PFAS (ng/mL) concentrations and risk of adverse birth outcomes in selected ECHO cohorts	natural log	g-transformed PFAS	(ng/mL) coi	ncentrations and risk	of adverse	e birth outcomes in	selected E	3CHO cohorts.		
	Birthweight-	Birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores	Small-f	Small-for-gestational-age <sup>a</sup>	Large-f	Large-for-gestational-age <sup>a</sup>	Term	Term low birth weight	Pr	Preterm birth	Gestat	Gestational age at birth (wk)
PFAS	Ν	β (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	N	β (95% CI)
PFOA												
Model 1	3,099	-0.27(-0.38, -0.15)	2,752	1.20 (0.83, 1.74)	2,791	0.55(0.38, 0.79)	2,815	1.29 (0.53, 3.16)	3,063	1.27 (0.86, 1.87)	3,102	0.03(-0.16, 0.23)
Model 2	3,099	-0.15(-0.27, -0.03)	2,752	1.07 (0.72, 1.59)	2,791	$0.75\ (0.51,\ 1.12)$	2,815	1.43 (0.54, 3.80)	3,063	1.41 (0.93, 2.14)	3,102	-0.22(-0.43, -0.01)
PFOS												
Model 1	3,099	-0.27(-0.41, -0.14)	2,752	1.23(0.80, 1.89)	2,791	0.61(0.40, 0.94)	2,815	1.40 (0.50, 3.92)	3,063	1.18 (0.71, 1.96)	3,102	0.06(-0.18, 0.29)
Model 2	3,099	-0.14(-0.28, -0.00)	2,752	1.06(0.68, 1.65)	2,791	$0.87 \ (0.55, 1.39)$	2,815	1.21 (0.43, 3.39)	3,063	1.29 (0.76, 2.18)	3,102	-0.16(-0.40, 0.09)
PFNA												
Model 1	3,099	-0.29(-0.41, -0.18)	2,752	1.18 (0.81, 1.71)	2,791	0.46(0.32, 0.67)	2,815	1.42 (0.58, 3.49)	3,063	1.31 (0.87, 1.97)	3,102	0.01 (-0.20, 0.21)
Model 2	3,099	-0.22(-0.33, -0.10)	2,752	1.09 (0.74, 1.60)	2,791	0.56(0.38, 0.83)	2,815	1.67 (0.64, 4.35)	3,063	1.43 (0.93, 2.19)	3,102	-0.17(-0.38, 0.04)
PFHxS												
Model 1	3,099	-0.12(-0.23, 0.00)	2,752	1.29(0.89, 1.89)	2,791	0.73 (0.51, 1.04)	2,815	1.13 (0.47, 2.71)	3,063	0.86 (0.55, 1.34)	3,102	0.24 (0.04, 0.44)
Model 2	3,099	-0.06(-0.18, 0.06)	2,752	1.25 (0.84, 1.87)	2,791	0.86(0.59, 1.25)	2,815	1.14 (0.46, 2.84)	3,063	0.97 (0.61, 1.55)	3,102	0.02(-0.19, 0.23)
PFDA												
Model 1	3,047	-0.30(-0.41, -0.18)	2,701	1.22(0.85, 1.76)	2,744	0.47 (0.32, 0.69)	2,770	1.93 (0.86, 4.34)	3,011	3,011 1.16 (0.77, 1.75)	3,050	-0.01 (-0.22, 0.19)
Model 2	3,047	-0.25(-0.37, -0.14)	2,701	1.18 (0.81, 1.73)	2,744	0.52 (0.35, 0.77)	2,770	2.24 (0.96, 5.24)	3,011	1.22(0.80, 1.86)	3,050	-0.11(-0.32, 0.09)
Note: Beta co ity (Hispanic, ≥1). CI, confi ohexane sulfo	efficients (βs) an non-Hispanic W dence interval; F nic acid; PFNA,	Note: Beta coefficients (βs) and ORs represent 1 log-unit increase in PFAS concentration (ng/mL) and are presented with 95% CI. Model 1 was adjusted for cohort (dummy variables). Model 2 was additionally adjusted for maternal race/ethnic- ity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal educational attainment ( <high (0,<br="" (<25,="" 2,="" 25–29,="" 30–34,="" 35="" age="" at="" bachelor's="" college,="" degree="" delivery="" ged,="" higher),="" maternal="" or="" parity="" school="" some="" y),=""><math>\geq 1</math>). CI, confidence interval; ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development; OR, odds ratio; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHXS, perfluor- obecane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluoroctanoic acid.</high>	in PFAS co rmal education r Child Heal	ncentration (ng/mL) and are presented with anal attainment ( <high high="" school,="" school<br="">th Outcomes, GED, General Educational D sic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctanesulfonic aci</high>	are presented chool, high s eral Educatio ooctanesulfor	1 with 95% CI. Model 1 chool degree/GED, som nal Development; OR, o ic acid.	was adjuste e college, b odds ratio; I	ed for cohort (dummy ' achelor's degree or hij PFAS, per- and polyflu	variables). gher), mate oroalkyl su	Model 2 was additions rnal age at delivery (< ıbstances; PFDA, perf	ally adjuste <25, 25–29 luorodecan	d for maternal race/ethnic- ; 30–34, ≥35 y), parity (0, oic acid; PFHxS, perfluor-

and PFDA with increased risk of term low birth weight among those with higher perceived stress (OR = 5.25; 95% CI: 1.08, 25.64) (Table 3). Some associations were stronger in the subsample with PSS scores, including increased PFHxS and lower birthweight-for-gestational-age, increased PFOA and lower risk of large-for-gestational-age, and increased PFOA and PFNA and increased risk of preterm birth (Table 3).

Bayesian Weighted Sums results were largely consistent with the main findings (Table 4). The change in birthweight-forgestational-age z-scores for a 1-unit increase in the sum of logged PFAS was -0.28 (95% HPD: -0.44, -0.14). The odds of smalland large-for-gestational-age associated with summed PFAS were 1.13 (95% HPD: 0.68, 1.83) and 0.49 (95% HPD: 0.29, 0.82), respectively. The percentages of the summed effect for birthweightfor-gestational-age z-scores and large-for-gestational-age explained by PFDA were 40%. The percentages of the summed effect explained by each PFAS for small-for-gestational-age were approximately equal to one another (Table 5). Odds of preterm birth and term low birth weight were both elevated for the summed effect of PFAS: 1.45 (95% HPD: 0.82, 2.55) and 1.04 (95% HPD: 1.00, 1.07), respectively. Among those with low PSS, associations between PFAS and each birth outcome were consistent and stronger than for those with high PSS, although all 95% HPD included the null (Table 4).

Associations between most PFAS and birth outcomes were stronger among female compared with male infants. Eight of 30 interaction terms had p < 0.1, and three of those with p < 0.05 are noted here. Among female infants, PFOA, PFOS, and PFNA were associated with decreased birthweight-for-gestational-age ( $\beta_{PFNA} = -0.29$ ; 95% CI: -0.46, -0.12). Decreased odds of large-for-gestational-age were also stronger in females for several PFAS (OR<sub>PFOA</sub> = 0.54; 95% CI: 0.31, 0.93; OR<sub>PFNA</sub> = 0.38; 95% CI: 0.22, 0.66; OR<sub>PFDA</sub> = 0.39; 95% CI: 0.22, 0.69) (Table 6).

When stratified by trimester of exposure, some results were stronger in the first trimester for PFNA and PFDA and birthweightfor-gestational-age (Table S7). The estimates were less precise, and study populations differed between trimesters, with fewer participants in the third trimester.

When each cohort was removed from the pooled analysis at a time, most of the results were similar (Figure S5). In some cases, excluding the Project Viva, Atlanta, or Maternal And Developmental Risks from Environmental and Social Stressors cohorts influenced the results in various directions, but the results were overall consistent.

In general, associations of PFAS quartiles were consistent with the continuous main results for birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores and risk of large-for-gestational-age. Quartile analyses showed associations with increased odds of preterm birth when exposed to the highest quartile of PFOA (OR = 2.87; 95% CI: 1.28, 6.44) and PFNA (OR = 1.74; 95% CI: 1.05, 2.89) (Figure S6, Table S8), where continuous associations were in the same direction with 95% CIs that included the null (Table 3). When using a mixed effects model, allowing for random effects by cohort, we not see notable changes in either point estimates or CIs (Table S9). Results did not differ when adjusted for prenatal exposure to tobacco smoke, which included maternal smoking and secondhand smoke during pregnancy (Table S10). Estimates of changes in birthweight (in grams) associated with an interquartile increase in PFAS (not log transformed) showed consistent results in terms of directionality of the association (Table S11). The largest decrements in birthweight were associated with increases in PFNA ( $\beta = -15.99$ ; 95% CI: -29.77, -2.22) and PFDA ( $\beta = -15.76$ ; 95% CI: -26.81, -4.71) (Table S11).

### Discussion

This is the largest study, to the best of our knowledge, in the United States of pregnancy exposures to PFAS and adverse birth

Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates.

	Birthweight-	Birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores	Small-f	Small-for-gestational-age <sup>a</sup>	Large-f	Large-for-gestational-age <sup>a</sup>	Term	Term low birth weight	ď	Preterm birth	Gestati	Gestational age at birth (wk)
PFAS	Ν	β (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	β (95% CI)
PFOA P14	000 1	010/022 005	1 650		1 600	0 51 (0 22 0 00)	1 600	1 20 /0 10 1 10/	7761		1001	
I ow PSS	000 000	-0.18 (-0.32, -0.00) -0.19 (-0.37 -0.00)	800,1 804	0.07 (0.53 1.79)	1,020	0.53 (0.25, 0.88)	1,028	1.30 (0.40, 4.19) 0 98 (0 20 4 71)	1,/00 830	1.12 (1.02, 2.82)	1,001 901	-0.21(-0.44, 0.02) -0.14(-0.44, 0.15)
High PSS	930	-0.18(-0.38, 0.03)	842	1.16 (0.57, 2.38)	813	0.66 (0.31, 1.41)	599	3.56 (0.41, 30.66)	904	1.94 (0.88, 4.24)	930	-0.32(-0.67, 0.04)
p-Value <sup>b</sup>		0.95		0.41		0.80		0.13		0.18		0.22
Pooled	1.830	-0.08(-0.25, 0.09)	1.658	0.92 (0.55. 1.54)	1.620	0.88 (0.48. 1.61)	1.628	0.96 (0.29. 3.14)	1.766	1.50 (0.79, 2.85)	1.831	-0.11(-0.39, 0.16)
Low PSS	006	-0.14(-0.39, 0.11)	804		797	0.83 (0.35, 1.97)	673	0.62(0.13, 2.98)	839	1.98 (0.74, 5.29)	901	-0.14(-0.54, 0.26)
High PSS $p$ -Value <sup>b</sup> DENIA	930	-0.01 ( $-0.24$ , $0.22$ ) 0.37	842		813	1.12 (0.46, 2.73) 0.70	599	$1.70\ (0.28,\ 10.20)\ 0.19$	904	$1.24 (0.53, 2.93) \\ 0.94$	930	-0.12(-0.51, 0.27) 0.58
Pooled	1,830	-0.22(-0.35, -0.08)	1.658	1.11 (0.71, 1.73)	1.620	0.54 (0.33, 0.88)	1.628	1.83 (0.57, 5.90)	1.766	1.71 (1.03, 2.85)	1.831	-0.16(-0.39, 0.07)
Low PSS	006	-0.33(-0.53, -0.14)	804		797	0.49 (0.25, 0.98)	673	1.71 (0.38, 7.60)	839	1.92 (0.95, 3.88)	901	-0.23(-0.54, 0.08)
High PSS	930	-0.08(-0.28, 0.12)	842	$0.67\ (0.35,1.30)$	813	$0.69\ (0.33,1.46)$	599	2.44 (0.39, 15.17)	904	1.59 (0.74, 3.42)	930	-0.11(-0.45, 0.23)
p-Value <sup><math>p</math></sup> PFH <sub>x</sub> S		0.23		0.23		0.70		0.23		0.63		0.85
Pooled	1,830	-0.17(-0.33, -0.01)	1,658	1.54 (0.91, 2.59)	1,620	0.66 (0.38, 1.14)	1,628	0.78 (0.24, 2.50)	1,766	0.98 (0.52, 1.81)	1,831	0.06 (-0.21, 0.32)
Low PSS	006	-0.16(-0.40, 0.07)	804	1.27 (0.59, 2.75)	<i>L</i> 6 <i>L</i>	0.47 (0.22, 1.03)	673	$0.28\ (0.05,1.44)$	839	1.87 (0.73, 4.81)	901	-0.03(-0.41, 0.35)
High PSS	930	-0.15(-0.37, 0.07)	842	1.87 (0.89, 3.91)	813	1.13 (0.51, 2.49)	599	1.94(0.33, 11.54)	904	0.53 (0.23, 1.23)	930	0.16(-0.22, 0.54)
<i>p</i> -Value" PFDA		0.41		0.86		0.09		0.15		0.11		0.87
Pooled	1,781	-0.23(-0.38, -0.08)	1,610	1.08 (0.68, 1.74)	1,576	0.57 (0.32, 1.00)	1,586	2.23 (0.74, 6.68)	1,717	1.38 (0.81, 2.34)	1,782	-0.09(-0.34, 0.16)
Low PSS	874	-0.32(-0.53, -0.11)	778	1.28 (0.67, 2.46)	775	0.44 (0.20, 0.96)	648	1.00(0.19, 5.34)	813	1.27 (0.61, 2.63)	875	-0.28(-0.61, 0.06)
High PSS	907	-0.12(-0.34, 0.10)	820	$0.86\ (0.43,1.73)$	791	0.83 (0.36, 1.93)	582	5.25 (1.08, 25.64)	881	1.42 (0.65, 3.13)	907	0.15(-0.22, 0.53)
p-Value <sup><math>b</math></sup>		0.42		0.56		0.38		0.07		0.79		0.06
Note: Pooled re scores. High Pe college, bachel odds ratio; PFA stress scale.	wws represent the 5S, above-media or's degree or hij .S, per- and poly: r-gestational-age	Note: Pooled rows represent the combined high and low PSS groups for comparison. Beta coefficients (βs) and ORs represent 1 log-unit increase in PFAS concentration (ng/mL) and are presented with 95% CIs. Low PSS, below-median PSS t- scores. High PSS, above-median PSS t-scores. Models were adjusted for cohort, maternal racefethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal educing the school degree/GED, some scores. High PSS, above-median PSS t-scores. Models were adjusted for cohort, maternal racefethnicity (0, >1). CI, confidence interval, ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomest. GED, General Educational Development: OR, colds racio; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFNX, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluoroctanoic acid; PSS, perceived areas scale. <sup>4</sup> Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates.	s for comps ed for coho 5, 25–29, 3 luorodecand d large-for-;	rison. Beta coefficients rt, maternal race/ethnicit 0-34, ≥35 y), parity (0, bic acid; PFHxS, perfluo gestational age estimates	(βs) and OR by (Hispanic ≥1). CI, coi rohexane su	s represent 1 log-unit in non-Hispanic White, E nfidence interval; ECH fonic acid; PFNA, perfi	crease in P tlack, Asia O, Environ uorononan	FAS concentration (ng/r n, other), for maternal e mental influences on Ch noic acid: PFOA, perfluo	nL) and a ducationa ild Healtl rooctanoi	re presented with 95% 1 attainment ( <high sc<br="">1 Outcomes; GED, Ge c acid; PFOS, perfluor c acid; PFOS, perfluor</high>	CIs. Low hool, high neral Educ ooctanesul	eta coefficients (βs) and ORs represent 1 log-unit increase in PFAS concentration (ng/mL) and are presented with 95% CIs. Low PSS, below-median PSS t- nal race/ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal educational attainment ( <high degree="" ged,="" high="" school="" school,="" some<br="">55 y), parity (0, ≥1). CI, confidence interval; ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development; OR, PFHXS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctanesulfonic acid; PSS, perceived al age estimates.</high>
p-Value of int	$^{o}p$ -Value of interaction term (PSS × PFAS)	S×PFAS).										

131(3) March 2023

Table 4. Estimates of the Risk Difference (RD) from Bayesian Weighted Sums analysis of selected prenatal natural log-transformed PFAS (ng/mL) and risk of adverse birth outcomes in 11 selected ECHO         cohorts.       Birthweight-for-         gestational-age       Small-for-gestational-         z-scores       age <sup>d</sup> referm birth       Term low birth weight	0 N OR 95% HPD N OR 95% HPD N RD 9	(0.29, 0.82)         2.776         1.45         (0.82, 2.55)         3,086         1.04         (1.00, 1.07)         3,086         -0.23         (-0.51, 0.05)         3,086           (0.11, 0.79)         788         2.03         (0.73, 5.54)         879         1.03         (0.97, 1.09)         879         -0.24         (-0.65, 0.20)         879           (0.24, 1.81)         805         1.56         (0.53, 4.93)         911         1.06         (1.00, 1.12)         911         -0.08         (-0.58, 0.42)         911	Note: Model was adjusted for cohort, maternal areachmicity (Ripanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal detrotional attainment ( chigh school, high school, high school, areachmicity (R1-), Fishanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal calculated attained for cohort, maternal fareachmicity (R2, 52-59, 30-34, 235 years), parity (0, 1+), Rusk and Stepresent 1 log unit increase in PFAS concentrations (ng/mL) and are presented with 95% HDP. ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Oucomes, GDD, General Educational Development, HDP, highest posterior density. RL, odds ratio; PFAS, per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFNS, perfluoroncanes uffortic acid; RD, risk difference. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. Table 5. Weights of each PFAS in Bayesian Weighted Sums analysis of selected prenatal natural log-transformed PFAS (ng/mL) and risk of adverse birth outcomes in 11 selected ECHO cohors. Estimate add the forted formation and the forted formation and transformed PFAS (ng/mL) and risk of adverse birth outcomes in 11 selected ECHO cohors. Table 5. Weights of each PFAS in Bayesian Weight-forted formation and the forted formation and transformed for the forted forted for the forted formation and the forted		95% HPD Weights 95% HPD Weights 95% HPD Weights 95% HPD	(0.00, 0.35) 0.22 (0.00, 0.57) 0.24 (0.00, 0.58) 0.26 (0.00, 0.61) (0.00, 0.30) 0.10 (0.00, 0.57) 0.24 (0.00, 0.58) 0.26 (0.00, 0.61)	0.12 (0.00, 0.75) 0.10 (0.00, 0.72) 0.20 0.00 (0.00, 0.75) 0.10 (0.00, 0.75) 0.20	0.22 (0.00, 0.45) 0.13 (0.00, 0.37) 0.20 0.14	0.20 (0.00, 0.50) 0.29 (0.00, 0.62) 0.20 (	(0.00, 0.46) 0.19 (0.00, 0.50) 0.20 (0.00, 0.52) 0.19 (0.00, 0.49)	(0.00, 0.51) 0.18 (0.00, 0.47) 0.18	0.24 $(0.00, 0.57)$ $0.22$ $(0.00, 0.54)$ $0.22$	(0.00.0.58) 0.20 (0.00.0.51) 0.10 (0.00.0.40) 0.17 (0.00.0.47)
/esian Weighted Sums an Small-for-gestational- age <sup>a</sup>	95% HPD N	(0.52, 3.48) 2,734 (0.52, 3.48) 782 (0.31, 2.17) 836	spanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asi +). RDs and ORs represent 1 log unit i density: OR, odds ratio; PFAS, per id; RD, risk difference. and large-for-gestational age estimates. and large-for-gestational age estimates. and large-for-gestational age and and large-for-gestational age and Small-for-	amuum ago	95% HPD	(0.00, 0.51)	(0.00, 0.53)	(0.00, 0.53)	(0.00, 0.52)	(0.00, 0.47)	(0.00, 0.56)	(0.00, 0.57)	$(0\ 00\ 0\ 52)$
kisk Difference (RD) from Bayy Birthweight-for- gestational-age Z-scores	95% HPD N OR	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Note: Model was adjusted for cohort, maternal race/ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic Wh nal age at delivery (<25, 25-29, 30-34, ≥35 years), parity (0, 1+). RDs and ORs repress GED, General Educational Development, HDP, highest posterior density; OR, odds rat PFOA, perfluoroccannois acid; PFOS, perfluornoccanesulfonic acid; RD, risk difference. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational <b>Table 5.</b> Weights of each PFAS in Bayesian Weighted Sums analysis of selec Birthweight-for- gestational-age		95% HPD Weights	(0.00, 0.38) 0.20 (0.00, 0.34) 0.21				(0.00, 0.39) 0.17	(0.00, 0.36) 0.21	(0.00, 0.63) 0.22	
Table 4. Estimates of the Risk         cohorts.       Birth         gest	Categories RD 9	ffect -0.28 ( -0.41 ( -0.15 (	:: Model was adjusted for cohort, matter ge at delivery (<25, 25-29, 30-34, 25 A, perfluorooctanoic acid: PreOS, per- propriate-for-gestational-age is the ref propriate-for-gestational-age is the ref propriate of each PFAS in B Birthweight-for gestational-age		Categories Weights	Summed effect PFOA 0.15 DFOS 0.12				PFOA 0.14	PFOS 0.13		010

 $\begin{array}{c} (0.00,\,0.60)\\ (0.00,\,0.53)\\ (0.00,\,0.51)\\ (0.00,\,0.52)\\ (0.00,\,0.51)\end{array}$ Note: Model was adjusted for cohort, maternal race/ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal educational attainment (<high school, high school, high school degree/GED, some college, Bachelor's degree or higher), mater-nal age at delivery (<22, 25–29, 30–34,  $\geq$ 35 years), parity (0, 1+). RDs and ORs represent 1 log unit increase in PFAS concentrations (ng/mL) and are presented with 95% HDP. ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development; HDP, highest posterior density; OR, odds ratio; PFAS, per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorobexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluoronanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctanesulfonic acid; RD, risk difference. "Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates. 0.23 0.20 0.19 0.19 0.19  $\begin{array}{c} (0.00,\,0.54)\\ (0.00,\,0.44)\\ (0.00,\,0.46)\\ (0.00,\,0.38)\\ (0.00,\,0.67)\end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} 0.22 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.31 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} (0.00, 0.57) \\ (0.00, 0.50) \\ (0.00, 0.53) \\ (0.00, 0.48) \\ (0.00, 0.51) \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} 0.24 \\ 0.19 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.20 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} (0.00,\,0.53)\\ (0.00,\,0.49)\\ (0.00,\,0.56)\\ (0.00,\,0.50)\\ (0.00,\,0.51)\end{array}$ 0.21 0.18 0.22 0.19 0.20  $\begin{array}{c} (0.00,\ 0.49)\\ (0.00,\ 0.60)\\ (0.00,\ 0.52)\\ (0.00,\ 0.54)\\ (0.00,\ 0.53)\end{array}$ 0.17 0.24 0.20 0.19 0.20  $\begin{array}{c} (0.00,\,0.56)\\ (0.00,\,0.47)\\ (0.00,\,0.50)\\ (0.00,\,0.54)\\ (0.00,\,0.53)\end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} 0.22\\ 0.17\\ 0.19\\ 0.22\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\end{array}$ PFDA PFDA High PSS PFOA PFOS PFNA PFNA PFDA

Table 6. As	sociations of	1able 6. Associations of prenatal natural log-transformed PFAS concentrations (ng/mL) and risk of adverse birth outcomes stratified by infant sex in selected ECHO cohorts	ned PFAS o	concentrations (ng/m]	and risk (_	of adverse birth outc	omes strati	fied by infant sex in	selected E(	CHO cohorts.		
	Birthweig	Birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores	Small-f	Small-for-gestational-age <sup>a</sup>	Large-f	Large-for-gestational-age <sup>a</sup>	Term	Term low birth weight		Preterm birth	Gestatic	Gestational age at birth (wk)
PFAS	Ν	β (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	OR (95% CI)	Ν	β (95% CI)
PFOA												
Male	1,525	-0.12(-0.29, 0.05)	1,344	1.23 (0.67, 2.24)	1,378	1.11 (0.62, 1.98)	1,028	1.78 (0.29, 11.05)	1,487	1.69(0.95, 3.03)	1,526	-0.19(-0.49, 0.11)
Female	1,574	-0.18(-0.35, -0.02)	1,407	0.93(0.55, 1.58)	1,398	$0.54\ (0.31, 0.93)$	1,441	1.25(0.37, 4.23)	1,556	1.04 (0.56, 1.91)	1,576	-0.22(-0.52, 0.08)
p-Value <sup><math>b</math></sup>		0.06		0.66		0.03		0.26		0.54		0.24
PFOS												
Male	1,525	-0.06(-0.27, 0.14)	1,344	0.92(0.47, 1.78)	1,378	1.30(0.67, 2.53)	1,028	0.56(0.08, 3.80)	1,487	1.36 (0.64, 2.88)	1,526	-0.20(-0.56, 0.15)
Female	1,574	-0.21(-0.39, -0.02)	1,407	1.14(0.62, 2.10)	1,398	0.60(0.31, 1.16)	1,441	1.41 (0.41, 4.92)	1,556	1.05 (0.49, 2.25)	1,576	-0.08(-0.42, 0.26)
p-Value <sup><math>b</math></sup>		0.04		0.07		0.16		0.28		0.16		0.21
PFNA												
Male	1,525	-0.14(-0.31, 0.03)	1,344	1.09(0.61, 1.95)	1,378	0.84(0.47, 1.51)	1,028	1.16(0.22, 6.24)	1,487	1.74(0.96, 3.13)	1,526	-0.27 $(-0.57, 0.03)$
Female	1,574	-0.29(-0.46, -0.12)	1,407	1.05(0.62, 1.79)	1,398	0.38(0.22, 0.66)	1,441	$1.81 \ (0.53, 6.24)$	1,556	$1.02 \ (0.54, \ 1.90)$	1,576	-0.04(-0.34, 0.26)
p-Value <sup><math>b</math></sup>		0.04		0.92		<0.01		0.12		0.92		0.89
PFHxS												
Male	1,525	-0.05(-0.23, 0.13)	1,344	1.17 (0.62, 2.19)	1,378	$0.91\ (0.53,1.56)$	1,028	$0.19\ (0.03,\ 1.18)$	1,487	0.96(0.49, 1.90)	1,526	0.11(-0.19, 0.42)
Female	1,574	-0.05(-0.22, 0.11)	1,407	1.30 (0.77, 2.21)	1,398	$0.85\ (0.50,1.46)$	1,441	1.98 (0.70, 5.64)	1,556	0.92(0.47, 1.80)	1,576	-0.04(-0.33, 0.25)
p-Value <sup><math>b</math></sup>		0.35		0.13		0.87		0.04		0.61		0.21
PFDA												
Male	1,496	-0.20(-0.37, -0.03)	1,316	1.19(0.67, 2.13)	1,353	0.69(0.40, 1.21)	1,005	1.68 (0.32, 8.93)	1,458	1.32 (0.73, 2.38)	1,497	-0.20(-0.50, 0.09)
Female	1,551	-0.31(-0.47, -0.14)	1,384	1.12(0.67, 1.87)	1,376	0.39(0.22, 0.69)	1,419	2.23 (0.80, 6.26)	1,533	1.09 (0.59, 2.00)	1,553	-0.04(-0.33, 0.26)
p-Value <sup><math>b</math></sup>		0.34		0.87		0.08		0.36		0.86		0.64
Note: Models degree or high and polyfluord	were adjusted ier), maternal ag oalkyl substance	Note: Models were adjusted for cohort (dummy variables), maternal race/ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, Black, Asian, other), for maternal educational attainment (< high school, high school degree/GED, some college, bachelor's degree or higher), maternal age at delivery (<25, 25–29, 30–34, ≥35 y), parity (0, ≥1). CI, confidence interval; ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development; OR, odds ratio; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHAS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFOA, perfluoroctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHAS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluoroctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorodecanoic acid.	aaternal race/ 4, ≥35 y), pa i; PFHxS, pe	ethnicity (Hispanic, nor rity $(0, \ge 1)$ . CI, confide rfluorohexane sulfonic a	n-Hispanic W nce interval; cid; PFNA, <sub>1</sub>	Vhite, Black, Asian, oth ECHO, Environmental perfluorononanoic acid;	er), for mate influences of PFOA, perfl	rmal educational attainn n Child Health Outcome uorooctanoic acid; PFO	nent ( <high s; GED, Ger S, perfluoroo</high 	school, high school deg neral Educational Develc octanesulfonic acid.	ree/GED, soi ppment; OR,	ne college, bachelor's odds ratio; PFAS, per-
<sup><i>a</i></sup> Appropriate- <sup><i>b</i></sup> <i>p</i> -Value of in	for-gestational- iteraction term (	Appropriate-for-gestational-age is the referent from both small- and large-for-gestational age estimates $p$ -Value of interaction term (infant sex × PFAS).	ll- and large-	for-gestational age estin	lates.							

outcomes. We found that higher levels of several PFAS were associated with lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores and lower risk of being large-for-gestational-age. Associations between PFAS and preterm birth and term low birth weight were also observed, although results were less robust.

Associations between PFAS and birth outcomes were not modified by perceived stress. These findings were unexpected because of our hypothesis that exposure to chemical and social stressors would result in stronger associations; however, given the known associations between stress and birthweight, the additional effect of PFAS may be minimal.<sup>59</sup>

The ECHO study population for this analysis included pregnancies from 11 cohorts in seven states across the United States. This unique and demographically diverse study population enabled us to examine five PFAS measured prenatally and their association with continuous and categorical birth outcomes related to gestational age and birthweight. Statistical power allowed for stratified analyses to explore potential effect modifiers and sensitivity analyses to explore potential bias stemming from timing during pregnancy, assumptions of linearity or threshold effects, additional confounders, and influence by different cohorts spanning time and place.

In our study, birth years of the children spanned 21 years (1999–2019), during which time there was an overall decrease in exposures to PFAS owing to the phase-out of some PFAS. Correlations were generally high across trimesters, providing evidence that PFAS levels remain relatively consistent across pregnancy. Our analysis removing one cohort at a time showed that a few cohorts deviated from the pattern, but overall they were notably consistent. Their results were published previously,<sup>30</sup> as were results for several other individual cohorts, including Chemicals in Our Bodies, Illinois Kids Development Studies, and Healthy Start.<sup>31,60–62</sup>

Our findings of lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores confirm previous studies wherein PFAS were associated with lower birthweight-for-gestational-age, intrauterine growth restriction, and small-for-gestational-age, and reduced fetal growth.<sup>16,27,32,60</sup> Our findings overall support a shift in the distribution of birthweight toward decreased birth size measured continuously (i.e., birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores) and categorically (i.e., large-for-gestational-age) and are suggestive of increased risk of preterm birth.

Despite some inconsistencies in previous studies and metaanalyses, our findings confirm the recent report from the National Academy of Science (NAS) stating there is sufficient evidence of an association between PFAS and decreased infant and fetal growth, which weighted evidence based on low risk of bias.<sup>63</sup> For example, two meta-analyses of birthweight in relation to PFOA<sup>64</sup> and PFOS<sup>65</sup> found decreases in birthweight, which is consistent with our results; notably, when restricted to studies earlier in pregnancy, associations in these meta-analyses were null. In contrast, results of our study show stronger associations between increased PFOA in the first trimester and lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores and increased risk of term low birth weight and smallfor-gestational-age. There are several possibilities as to why these meta-analyses may differ, such as the inclusion of studies that did not adjust for gestational age and/or parity, were crosssectional in design, were conducted in study populations outside of the United States, or were driven by a single study.<sup>64,65</sup> Among samples with PFAS exposures at multiple times in pregnancy in our study, concentrations were strongly correlated across trimesters (Table S4). Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) has been suggested as a confounder of trimester-specific associations of PFAS with birth outcomes; however, a recent systematic analysis of the PFAS literature by the NAS found that the available evidence of PFAS on GFR were insufficient to determine a relationship.<sup>63</sup> Further, the Project Viva cohort included in this study previously found that GFR did not confound the relationship between PFAS and birth outcomes.<sup>30</sup> GFR levels were not available for other cohorts; however, if GFR were to confound the PFAS-birthweight relationship, it would be expected to do so later in pregnancy. Our trimester-specific analysis did not support this potential confound-ing or reverse causality. Finally, effects on birthweight have been found in multiple animal species including mouse, rat, zebrafish, and fruit flies.<sup>66</sup>

Our study found an association between PFOS and preterm birth consistent with prior work,<sup>30,67,68</sup> including a recent review and meta-analysis showing a linear positive association between PFOS and risk of preterm birth<sup>32</sup>; however, our results were not as precisely estimated. Given that preterm birth is a multifactorial outcome and PFAS may contribute to a small risk increase, large studies (and/or highly exposed participants) are needed to find such effects.

Our findings are consistent with a previous study in which associations between PFAS and birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores were stronger among females,<sup>27</sup> but they contradict another study that found stronger associations among males.<sup>69</sup> Biological mechanisms by which PFAS may affect birth outcomes are largely unknown, but research has investigated potential pathways including endocrine disruption,<sup>70</sup> systemic inflammation,<sup>71</sup> metabolic dysfunction,<sup>72</sup> placental function,<sup>73</sup> and epigenetic changes.<sup>74</sup>

Despite our large sample size, uncertainties in our estimates remain. Our study was limited to participants with nonmissing data on key variables. In addition, some PFAS were not able to be examined because levels were below the LOD. As legacy PFAS are phased out and replaced with alternative PFAS, our studies must be updated with changing levels to be examined in relation to multifactorial health outcomes. Methodologically, there is no agreed-upon approach to evaluate the effects of PFAS, or other chemicals, as a mixture. Our Bayesian Weighted Sums approach assumes linearity of the summed effect of PFAS, which appeared defensible based on the results exploring effects of PFAS by exposure quartiles (Table S8, Figure S6).

Future studies can address some of these limitations. A large study such as ECHO may be able to better investigate mediation effects of prepregnancy BMI and maternal conditions, such as gestational diabetes and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, that may be on the causal pathway between PFAS and fetal growth once more of those data become available. Similarly, future studies can examine interaction with other environmental chemicals. Furthermore, birthweight is a single measurement in time, and further studies are needed to investigate the potential impact of PFAS on infant and child health outcomes.

In conclusion, we found that maternal PFAS concentrations during pregnancy are associated with lower birthweight-for-gestational-age z-scores and suggestive of an association with preterm birth. These associations are consistent with previous studies showing decreased birth weight/fetal growth. Associations were stronger among females, although fewer previous studies were able to confirm these findings. We did not find these associations to differ between mothers with high vs. low perceived stress. Given the persistence of PFAS in the environment and human bodies, ubiquitous exposure, and the transfer of maternal PFAS *in utero* and during breastfeeding, disruption of fetal growth remains a health threat in offspring and needs to be addressed as part of efforts evaluating interventions and prevention.

# Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the contribution of the following Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program collaborators: Coordinating Center: Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina: P.B. Smith, K.L. Newby, and D.K. Benjamin; Data Analysis Center: Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland: L.P. Jacobson; Research Triangle Institute, Durham, North Carolina: C.B. Parker; Person-Reported Outcomes Core: Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois: R. Gershon and D. Cella; Children's Health Exposure Analysis Resource: Wadsworth Center, Albany, New York: P. Parsons and K. Kurunthacalam.

G.B.H, X.N., and S.B. had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

We thank our ECHO colleagues; the medical, nursing, and program staff; as well as the children and families participating in the ECHO cohorts.

Research reported in this publication was supported by the ECHO program, Office of The Director, National Institutes of Health (NIH), under award nos. U2COD023375 (Coordinating Center), U24OD023382 (Data Analysis Center, G.B.H., X.N., and S.B.); U24OD023319 (PRO Core); P01ES022841; RD83543301, R01ES027051 (T.J.W.) UH3OD023272 (S.L.S., T.J.W., R.M.-F., S.K., A.M.P., S.G., S.M.E., and D.E.G.); UH3OD023349, R01HD083369, UH3OD023349, P30ES005022 (T.G.O. and E.S.B.); UH3OD023286, UH3OD023318, R01NR014800, R24ESO29490, P50ESO2607, EPA 83615301 (A.L.D.); P30ES007048, P50ES026086, 83615801, P50MD01570, UH3OD023287 (C.V.B. and T.B.), UH3OD02333, UG3OD023316 (M.S.B.), UH3OD023289 (A.F.), UH3OD023275, NIGMS P20GM104416 (M.R.K. and M.E.R.), UH30D023342 (D.H.B. and R.J.S.), U2CES026542 (K.K.), and UH3OD023248 (A.P.S.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

#### References

- Li Y, Fletcher T, Mucs D, Scott K, Lindh CH, Tallving P, et al. 2018. Half-lives of PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA after end of exposure to contaminated drinking water. Occup Environ Med 75(1):46–51, PMID: 29133598, https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2017-104651.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. PFOA, PFOS and Other PFAS. https://www.epa.gov/pfas/basic-information-pfas [accessed 8 November 2021].
- Wu Q, Kannan K. 2019. Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) in Foodstuffs and Human Dietary Exposure. In: Advances in the Determination of Xenobiotics in Foods. Gomara B, Marina ML, eds. Potomac, MD: Bentham Science Publishers, 259–313.
- Woodruff TJ, Zota AR, Schwartz JM. 2011. Environmental chemicals in pregnant women in the United States: NHANES 2003–2004. Environ Health Perspect 119(6):878–885, PMID: 21233055, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002727.
- Bartell SM, Vieira VM. 2021. Critical review on PFOA, kidney cancer, and testicular cancer. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 71(6):663–679, PMID: 33780327, https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2021.1909668.
- Lin PID, Cardenas A, Hauser R, Gold DR, Kleinman KP, Hivert MF, et al. 2019. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances and blood lipid levels in pre-diabetic adults—longitudinal analysis of the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. Environ Int 129:343–353, PMID: 31150976, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint. 2019.05.027.
- Bommarito PA, Ferguson KK, Meeker JD, McElrath TF, Cantonwine DE. 2021. Maternal levels of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) during early pregnancy in relation to preeclampsia subtypes and biomarkers of preeclampsia risk. Environ Health Perspect 129(10):107004, PMID: 34637358, https://doi.org/10. 1289/EHP9091.
- Watkins DJ, Josson J, Elston B, Bartell SM, Shin HM, Vieira VM, et al. 2013. Exposure to perfluoroalkyl acids and markers of kidney function among children and adolescents living near a chemical plant. Environ Health Perspect 121(5):625–630, PMID: 23482063, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205838.
- Xie LN, Wang XC, Su LQ, Ji SS, Dong XJ, Zhu HJ, et al. 2022. Serum concentrations of per-/polyfluoroalkyl substances and its association with renal function parameters among teenagers near a Chinese fluorochemical industrial plant: a cross-sectional study. Environ Pollut 302:119020, PMID: 35183668, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119020.
- 10. Rickard BP, Rizvi I, Fenton SE. 2022. Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and female reproductive outcomes: PFAS elimination, endocrine-mediated

effects, and disease. Toxicology 465:153031, PMID: 34774661, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.tox.2021.153031.

- Carwile JL, Seshasayee SM, Aris IM, Rifas-Shiman SL, Claus Henn B, Calafat AM, et al. 2021. Prospective associations of mid-childhood plasma per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances and pubertal timing. Environ Int 156:106729, PMID: 34171588, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106729.
- Liu P, Yang F, Wang Y, Yuan Z. 2018. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) exposure in early life increases risk of childhood adiposity: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15(10):2070, PMID: 30241417, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15102070.
- Grandjean P. 2018. Delayed discovery, dissemination, and decisions on intervention in environmental health: a case study on immunotoxicity of perfluorinated alkylate substances. Environ Health 17(1):62, PMID: 30060739, https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12940-018-0405-y.
- Steenland K, Fletcher T, Savitz DA. 2010. Epidemiologic evidence on the health effects of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA). Environ Health Perspect 118(8):1100– 1108. PMID: 20423814. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901827.
- Sunderland EM, Hu XC, Dassuncao C, Tokranov AK, Wagner CC, Allen JG. 2019. A review of the pathways of human exposure to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) and present understanding of health effects. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 29(2):131–147, PMID: 30470793, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0094-1.
- 16. Lam J, Koustas E, Sutton P, Johnson PI, Atchley DS, Sen S, et al. 2014. The Navigation Guide—evidence-based medicine meets environmental health: integration of animal and human evidence for PFOA effects on fetal growth. Environ Health Perspect 122(10):1040–1051, PMID: 24968389, https://doi.org/10. 1289/ehp.1307923.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 2018. Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls. Atlanta, GA: ATSDR. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ ToxProfiles/tp200-p.pdf [accessed 24 February 2023].
- Apelberg BJ, Witter FR, Herbstman JB, Calafat AM, Halden RU, Needham LL, et al. 2007. Cord serum concentrations of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in relation to weight and size at birth. Environ Health Perspect 115(11):1670–1676, PMID: 18008002, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp. 10334.
- Chen MH, Ha EH, Wen TW, Su YN, Lien GW, Chen CY, et al. 2012. Perfluorinated compounds in umbilical cord blood and adverse birth outcomes. PLoS One 7(8): e42474, PMID: 22879996, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042474.
- Darrow LA, Stein CR, Steenland K. 2013. Serum perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctane sulfonate concentrations in relation to birth outcomes in the Mid-Ohio Valley, 2005–2010. Environ Health Perspect 121(10):1207–1213, PMID: 23838280, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206372.
- Fei C, McLaughlin JK, Tarone RE, Olsen J. 2007. Perfluorinated chemicals and fetal growth: a study within the Danish National Birth Cohort. Environ Health Perspect 115(11):1677–1682, PMID: 18008003, https://doi.org/10.1289/ ehp.10506.
- Bach CC, Bech BH, Nohr EA, Olsen J, Matthiesen NB, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC, et al. 2016. Perfluoroalkyl acids in maternal serum and indices of fetal growth: the Aarhus Birth Cohort. Environ Health Perspect 124(6):848–854, PMID: 26495857, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510046.
- Hamm MP, Cherry NM, Chan E, Martin JW, Burstyn I. 2010. Maternal exposure to perfluorinated acids and fetal growth. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 20(7):589–597, PMID: 19865074, https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2009.57.
- Maisonet M, Terrell ML, McGeehin MA, Christensen KY, Holmes A, Calafat AM, et al. 2012. Maternal concentrations of polyfluoroalkyl compounds during pregnancy and fetal and postnatal growth in British girls. Environ Health Perspect 120(10):1432–1437, PMID: 22935244, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1003096.
- Manzano-Salgado CB, Casas M, Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Ballester F, Iñiguez C, Martinez D, et al. 2017. Prenatal exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances and birth outcomes in a Spanish birth cohort. Environ Int 108:278–284, PMID: 28917208, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.09.006.
- Washino N, Saijo Y, Sasaki S, Kato S, Ban S, Konishi K, et al. 2009. Correlations between prenatal exposure to perfluorinated chemicals and reduced fetal growth. Environ Health Perspect 117(4):660–667, PMID: 19440508, https://doi.org/ 10.1289/ehp.11681.
- Wikström S, Lin PI, Lindh CH, Shu H, Bornehag CG. 2020. Maternal serum levels of perfluoroalkyl substances in early pregnancy and offspring birth weight. Pediatr Res 87(6):1093–1099, PMID: 31835271, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-019-0720-1.
- Wu K, Xu X, Peng L, Liu J, Guo Y, Huo X. 2012. Association between maternal exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) from electronic waste recycling and neonatal health outcomes. Environ Int 48:1–8, PMID: 22820015, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envint.2012.06.018.
- Callan AC, Rotander A, Thompson K, Heyworth J, Mueller JF, Odland JØ, et al. 2016. Maternal exposure to perfluoroalkyl acids measured in whole blood and birth outcomes in offspring. Sci Total Environ 569–570:1107–1113, PMID: 27387804, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.177.

- Sagiv SK, Rifas-Shiman SL, Fleisch AF, Webster TF, Calafat AM, Ye X, et al. 2018. Early-pregnancy plasma concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances and birth outcomes in Project Viva: confounded by pregnancy hemodynamics? Am J Epidemiol 187(4):793–802, PMID: 29155920, https://doi.org/10.1093/ aje/kwx332.
- Eick SM, Hom Thepaksorn EK, Izano MA, Cushing LJ, Wang Y, Smith SC, et al. 2020. Associations between prenatal maternal exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and birth outcomes among pregnant women in San Francisco. Environ Health 19(1):100, PMID: 32938446, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-020-00654-2.
- Gao X, Ni W, Zhu S, Wu Y, Cui Y, Ma J, et al. 2021. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances exposure during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Res 201:111632, PMID: 34237336, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111632.
- Whitworth KW, Haug LS, Baird DD, Becher G, Hoppin JA, Skjaerven R, et al. 2012. Perfluorinated compounds in relation to birth weight in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol 175(12):1209–1216, PMID: 22517810, https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwr459.
- 34. Eick SM, Enright EA, Geiger SD, Dzwilewski KLC, DeMicco E, Smith S, et al. 2021. Associations of maternal stress, prenatal exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), and demographic risk factors with birth outcomes and offspring neurodevelopment: an overview of the ECH0.CA.IL prospective birth cohorts. Int J Environ Res Public Health 18(2):742, PMID: 33467168, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020742.
- Okechukwu CA, El Ayadi AM, Tamers SL, Sabbath EL, Berkman L. 2012. Household food insufficiency, financial strain, work–family spillover, and depressive symptoms in the working class: the Work, Family, and Health Network study. Am J Public Health 102(1):126–133, PMID: 22095360, https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH. 2011.300323.
- Braveman PA, Heck K, Egerter S, Marchi KS, Dominguez TP, Cubbin C, et al. 2015. The role of socioeconomic factors in Black–White disparities in preterm birth. Am J Public Health 105(4):694–702, PMID: 25211759, https://doi.org/10. 2105/AJPH.2014.302008.
- Bécares L, Atatoa-Carr P. 2016. The association between maternal and partner experienced racial discrimination and prenatal perceived stress, prenatal and postnatal depression: findings from the Growing Up in New Zealand cohort study. Int J Equity Health 15(1):155, PMID: 27658457, https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12939-016-0443-4.
- Rich-Edwards JW, Kleinman K, Abrams A, Harlow BL, McLaughlin TJ, Joffe H, et al. 2006. Sociodemographic predictors of antenatal and postpartum depressive symptoms among women in a medical group practice. J Epidemiol Community Health 60(3):221–227, PMID: 16476752, https://doi.org/10.1136/jech. 2005.039370.
- Marques AH, O'Connor TG, Roth C, Susser E, Bjørke-Monsen AL. 2013. The influence of maternal prenatal and early childhood nutrition and maternal prenatal stress on offspring immune system development and neurodevelopmental disorders. Front Neurosci 7:120, PMID: 23914151, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnins.2013.00120.
- Barrett ES, Padula AM. 2019. Joint impact of synthetic chemical and nonchemical stressors on children's health. Curr Environ Health Rep 6(4):225–235, PMID: 31637664, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-019-00252-6.
- Padula AM, Monk C, Brennan PA, Borders A, Barrett ES, McEvoy CT, et al. 2020. A review of maternal prenatal exposures to environmental chemicals and psychosocial stressors-implications for research on perinatal outcomes in the ECHO program. J Perinatol 40(1):10–24, PMID: 31616048, https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41372-019-0510-y.
- Morello-Frosch R, Shenassa ED. 2006. The environmental "riskscape" and social inequality: implications for explaining maternal and child health disparities. Environ Health Perspect 114(8):1150–1153, PMID: 16882517, https://doi.org/10. 1289/ehp.8930.
- Blaisdell CJ, Park C, Hanspal M, Roary M, Arteaga SS, Laessig S, et al. 2022. The NIH ECHO program: investigating how early environmental influences affect child health. Pediatr Res 92:1215–1216, PMID: 34131291, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41390-021-01574-8.
- LeWinn KZ, Caretta E, Davis A, Anderson AL, Oken E, program collaborators for Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes. 2022. SPR perspectives: Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program: overcoming challenges to generate engaged, multidisciplinary science. Pediatr Res 92:1262–1269, PMID: 34131290, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-021-01598-0.
- Buckley JP, Barrett ES, Beamer PI, Bennett DH, Bloom MS, Fennell TR, et al. 2020. Opportunities for evaluating chemical exposures and child health in the United States: the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECH0) Program. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 30(3):397–419, PMID: 32066883, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-020-0211-9.

- Jacobson LP, Lau B, Catellier D, Parker CB. 2018. An environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes viewpoint of data analysis centers for collaborative study designs. Curr Opin Pediatr 30(2):269–275, PMID: 29474274, https://doi.org/ 10.1097/MOP.000000000000602.
- Kato K, Basden BJ, Needham LL, Calafat AM. 2011. Improved selectivity for the analysis of maternal serum and cord serum for polyfluoroalkyl chemicals. J Chromatogr A 1218(15):2133–2137, PMID: 21084089, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma. 2010.10.051.
- Oh J, Bennett DH, Calafat AM, Tancredi D, Roa DL, Schmidt RJ, et al. 2021. Prenatal exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in association with autism spectrum disorder in the MARBLES study. Environ Int 147:106328, PMID: 33387879, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106328.
- Honda M, Robinson M, Kannan K. 2018. A rapid method for the analysis of perfluorinated alkyl substances in serum by hybrid solid-phase extraction. Environ Chem 15(2):92–99, https://doi.org/10.1071/EN17192.
- CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2016. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 2013–2014 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies. Dietary Interview Technical Support File—Food Codes (DRXFD\_H). Atlanta, GA: CDC. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/2013-2014/DRXFCD\_H.htm [accessed 24 February 2023].
- Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. 1983. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav 24(4):385–396, PMID: 6668417, https://doi.org/10.2307/2136404.
- Roberti JW, Harrington LN, Storch EA. 2006. Further psychometric support for the 10-item version of the perceived stress scale. J Coll Couns 9(2):135–147, https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1882.2006.tb00100.x.
- Solivan AE, Xiong X, Harville EW, Buekens P. 2015. Measurement of perceived stress among pregnant women: a comparison of two different instruments. Matern Child Health J 19(9):1910–1915, PMID: 25652063, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10995-015-1710-5.
- 54. McDonald RP. 1999. *Test Theory: A Unified Treatment*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates Inc.
- Aris IM, Kleinman KP, Belfort MB, Kaimal A, Oken E. 2019. A 2017 US reference for singleton birth weight percentiles using obstetric estimates of gestation. Pediatrics 144(1):e20190076, PMID: 31201230, https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-0076.
- Hamra GB, Buckley JP. 2018. Environmental exposure mixtures: questions and methods to address them. Curr Epidemiol Rep 5(2):160–165, PMID: 30643709, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-018-0145-0.
- Hamra GB, Maclehose RF, Croen L, Kauffman EM, Newschaffer C. 2021. Bayesian Weighted Sums: a flexible approach to estimate summed mixture effects. Int J Environ Res Public Health 18(4):1373, PMID: 33546139, https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph18041373.
- Rothman KJ. 1990. No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. Epidemiology 1(1):43–46, PMID: 2081237, https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-199001000-00010.
- Vieira VM, Levy JI, Fabian MP, Korrick S. 2021. Assessing the relation of chemical and non-chemical stressors with risk-taking related behavior and adaptive individual attributes among adolescents living near the New Bedford Harbor Superfund site. Environ Int 146:106199, PMID: 33126063, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.envint.2020.106199.
- Rokoff LB, Rifas-Shiman SL, Coull BA, Cardenas A, Calafat AM, Ye X, et al. 2018. Cumulative exposure to environmental pollutants during early pregnancy and reduced fetal growth: the Project Viva cohort. Environ Health 17(1):19, PMID: 29458383, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-018-0363-4.
- 61. Starling AP, Adgate JL, Hamman RF, Kechris K, Calafat AM, Ye X, et al. 2017. Perfluoroalkyl substances during pregnancy and offspring weight and adiposity at birth: examining mediation by maternal fasting glucose in the Healthy Start

study. Environ Health Perspect 125(6):067016, PMID: 28669937, https://doi.org/10. 1289/EHP641.

- 62. Eick SM, Enright EA, Padula AM, Aung M, Geiger SD, Cushing L, et al. 2022. Prenatal PFAS and psychosocial stress exposures in relation to fetal growth in two pregnancy cohorts: applying environmental mixture methods to chemical and nonchemical stressors. Environ Int 163:107238, PMID: 35436721, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2022.107238.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2022. *Guidance on PFAS Exposure, Testing, and Clinical Follow-Up*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Steenland K, Barry V, Savitz D. 2018. Serum perfluorooctanoic acid and birthweight: an updated meta-analysis with bias analysis. Epidemiology 29(6):765– 776, PMID: 30063543, https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.000000000000903.
- Dzierlenga MW, Crawford L, Longnecker MP. 2020. Birth weight and perfluorooctane sulfonic acid: a random-effects meta-regression analysis. Environ Epidemiol 4(3):e095, PMID: 33778349, https://doi.org/10.1097/EE9. 000000000000095.
- 66. Koustas E, Lam J, Sutton P, Johnson PI, Atchley DS, Sen S, et al. 2014. The Navigation Guide—evidence-based medicine meets environmental health: systematic review of nonhuman evidence for PFOA effects on fetal growth. Environ Health Perspect 122(10):1015–1027, PMID: 24968374, https://doi.org/10. 1289/ehp.1307177.
- Meng Q, Inoue K, Ritz B, Olsen J, Liew Z. 2018. Prenatal exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances and birth outcomes; an updated analysis from the Danish National Birth Cohort. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15(9):1832, PMID: 30149566, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15091832.
- Gardener H, Sun Q, Grandjean P. 2021. PFAS concentration during pregnancy in relation to cardiometabolic health and birth outcomes. Environ Res 192:110287, PMID: 33038367, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110287.
- Negri E, Metruccio F, Guercio V, Tosti L, Benfenati E, Bonzi R, et al. 2017. Exposure to PFOA and PFOS and fetal growth: a critical merging of toxicological and epidemiological data. Crit Rev Toxicol 47(6):482–508, PMID: 28617200, https://doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2016.1271972.
- Du G, Hu J, Huang H, Qin Y, Han X, Wu D, et al. 2013. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) affects hormone receptor activity, steroidogenesis, and expression of endocrine-related genes in vitro and in vivo. Environ Toxicol Chem 32(2):353– 360, PMID: 23074026, https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2034.
- Starling AP, Liu C, Shen G, Yang IV, Kechris K, Borengasser SJ, et al. 2020. Prenatal exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, umbilical cord blood DNA methylation, and cardio-metabolic indicators in newborns: the Healthy Start study. Environ Health Perspect 128(12):127014, PMID: 33356526, https://doi.org/10. 1289/EHP6888.
- Chang CJ, Barr DB, Ryan PB, Panuwet P, Smarr MM, Liu K, et al. 2022. Perand polyfluoroalkyl substance (PFAS) exposure, maternal metabolomic perturbation, and fetal growth in African American women: a meet-in-the-middle approach. Environ Int 158:106964, PMID: 34735953, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2021.106964.
- Szilagyi JT, Avula V, Fry RC. 2020. Perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and their effects on the placenta, pregnancy, and child development: a potential mechanistic role for placental peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs). Curr Environ Health Rep 7(3):222–230, PMID: 32812200, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40572-020-00279-0.
- 74. Ku MS, Pan WC, Huang YT, Hsieh WS, Hsu YH, Chen PC, et al. 2022. Associations between prenatal exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances, hypomethylation of *MEST* imprinted gene and birth outcomes. Environ Pollut 304:119183, PMID: 35331797, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119183.