Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY David Vauzour, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Shirin Yaghoobpoor, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran Arian Tavasol, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Waldemar A. Carlo 🖂 wacarlo@uabmc.edu

RECEIVED 18 October 2023 ACCEPTED 18 March 2024 PUBLISHED 15 April 2024

CITATION

Chiwila MK, Krebs NF, Manasyan A, Chomba E, Mwenechanya M, Mazariegos M, Sami N, Pasha O, Tshefu A, Lokangaka A, Goldenberg RL, Bose CL, Koso-Thomas M, Goco N, Do BT, McClure EM, Hambidge KM, Westcott JE and Carlo WA (2024) Junk food use and neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes in infants in low-resource settings. *Front. Public Health* 12:1308685. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1308685

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chiwila, Krebs, Manasyan, Chomba, Mwenechanya, Mazariegos, Sami, Pasha, Tshefu, Lokangaka, Goldenberg, Bose, Koso-Thomas, Goco, Do, McClure, Hambidge, Westcott and Carlo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Junk food use and neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes in infants in low-resource settings

Melody K. Chiwila¹, Nancy F. Krebs², Albert Manasyan^{3,4}, Elwyn Chomba^{1,3}, Musaku Mwenechanya¹, Manolo Mazariegos⁵, Neelofar Sami⁶, Omrana Pasha⁶, Antoinette Tshefu⁷, Adrien Lokangaka⁷, Robert L. Goldenberg⁸, Carl L. Bose⁹, Marion Koso-Thomas¹⁰, Norman Goco¹¹, Barbara T. Do¹¹, Elizabeth M. McClure¹¹, K. Michael Hambidge², Jamie E. Westcott² and Waldemar A. Carlo³*

¹Global Network, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia, ²University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, United States, ³Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States, ⁴Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia, ⁵Instituto de Nutrición de Centro América y Panamá, Guatemala City, Guatemala, ⁶Department of Community Health Sciences, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan, ⁷School of Public Health, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo, ⁸Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States, ⁹Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, ¹⁰Eunice Kennedy Shiver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD, United States, ¹¹Research Triangle Institute International, Durham, NC, United States

Introduction: Feeding infants a sub-optimal diet deprives them of critical nutrients for their physical and cognitive development. The objective of this study is to describe the intake of foods of low nutritional value (junk foods) and identify the association with growth and developmental outcomes in infants up to 18 months in low-resource settings.

Methods: This is a secondary analysis of data from an iron-rich complementary foods (meat versus fortified cereal) randomized clinical trial on nutrition conducted in low-resource settings in four low- and middle-income countries (Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guatemala, Pakistan, and Zambia). Mothers in both study arms received nutritional messages on the importance of exclusive breastfeeding up to 6 months with continued breastfeeding up to at least 12 months. This study was designed to identify the socio-demographic predictors of feeding infants' complementary foods of low nutritional value (junk foods) and to assess the associations between prevalence of junk food use with neurodevelopment (assessed with the Bayley Scales of Infant Development II) and growth at 18 months.

Results: 1,231 infants were enrolled, and 1,062 (86%) completed the study. Junk food feeding was more common in Guatemala, Pakistan, and Zambia than in the Democratic Republic of Congo. 7% of the infants were fed junk foods at 6 months which increased to 70% at 12 months. Non-exclusive breastfeeding at 6 months, higher maternal body mass index, more years of maternal and paternal education, and higher socioeconomic status were associated with feeding junk food. Prevalence of junk foods use was not associated with adverse neurodevelopmental or growth outcomes.

Conclusion: The frequency of consumption of junk food was high in these low-resource settings but was not associated with adverse neurodevelopment or growth over the study period.

KEYWORDS

neonatology, infant nutrition, diet, low-income countries, complementary feeding, nutritional disorders, infant malnutrition, infant growth and development

1 Introduction

Nutritionally appropriate foods are critical for optimal growth and development particularly during the first 2 years of life but evidence on the impact of nutritional deficiencies is limited (1). As infants grow, nutritional requirements increase and breastfeeding becomes insufficient, requiring the introduction of complementary foods starting at about 6 months (2), which may be important for infants' health and cognitive development. Exclusive breast feeding up to 3 versus 6 months does not adversely affect neurodevelopment (3, 4). However, environmental, cultural, social, and economic factors can be associated with inappropriate complementary feeding practices (5-8). The low diversity of complementary foods may primarily comprise cereals, starch foods, and tubers, which, unless fortified, contain inadequate levels of essential micronutrients, iron, and protein-rich foods that are critical for normal growth (1, 9, 10). Reliance on such staple foods and a limited variety of all foods can reduce the nutritional intake to less than 50% of recommended values (11, 12). In a cross-sectional study of 1,500 infants conducted in low-resource settings, only 25% of infants received a diet containing animal protein, and no meat consumption was associated with stunting (5). However, in a randomized clinical trial of meat versus fortified cereal complementary feeds, linear growth was not affected and higher maternal education was associated with better linear growth (13). Additionally, maternal recall of feeding messages delivered in the trial was associated with linear growth (14). Other factors that affect feeding behaviors include family members, parity, and community, which also influence the selection of complementary feedings (15).

To address nutritional deficiencies during infancy, complementary feeding practices from 6 months onwards should include provision of adequate quantity, frequency, consistency, and variety of foods to cover the nutritional needs of the growing child while maintaining breastfeeding (1, 16). The European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition Committee (ESPGHAN) guidelines on nutrition indicate that infants should receive appropriate complementary feeds including iron-rich meat products and/or ironfortified foods without sugar or salt added while avoiding fruit juices and sugar-sweetened beverages (17) but in low-resource settings, the frequent use of non-nutritious foods do not meet these needs (9, 18). Stunting is often irreversible and is associated with long term effects on health and neurodevelopmental capabilities of the child throughout his or her life (19, 20) including under-five mortality (21, 22). However, while there is substantial evidence on the effect of micronutrients, iron, iodine, caloric, and protein intake on short term nutrition (1), there are limited data on associations of low nutritional value food intake during infancy with growth and development.

Inexpensive packaged foods of low nutritional value are frequently given to infants as complementary foods. Because of their typical high palatability, these foods may be given to infants and young children instead of more nutritious complementary feeds. Certain infant and parent characteristics may be associated with increased use of junk foods (6–8, 10–15). Because of the uncertainty of the association of poor quality complementary feeds on infant outcomes (18–22), this analysis seeks to describe the intake of foods of low nutritional value (junk foods) and identify the association with growth and developmental outcomes in infants aged 6–18 months included in a complementary feeding randomized controlled trial in low-resource settings.

2 Methods

This study is a secondary analysis of a study entitled "Complementary Feeding: A Global Network Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial" (NCT01084109) conducted by the Global Network for Women's and Children's Health Research, a multi-country research network supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) (13). The trial was conducted in communities in the Democratic Republic of Congo, Guatemala, Pakistan, and Zambia. In a cluster randomized design, study participants were enrolled between 3 to 4 months of age after meeting the inclusion criteria of exclusive or predominantly breastfed with intention to continue breast-feeding through at least 12 months of life following parental consent. Exclusion criteria included receiving or likely to receive free or subsidized complementary foods, infant formula, or fortified foods, major congenital anomaly, infant of multiple births, or neurological deficit. Written informed consent for trial participation was obtained from the women or the parents/ guardians of minors by trained staff. Once the study participants reached 6 months of age, freeze-dried beef was given to the intervention arm of infants and fortified rice-soy cereal to the control arm of infants as complementary feeds. Both study foods were delivered to the home on a weekly basis by research staff until the

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; SES, Socioeconomic status; ESPGHAN, European Society for Pediatrics Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition; BMI, Body Mass Index; UNICEF, United Nations International Children Emergency Funds; PAHO, Pan American Health Organization; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; SES, Socioeconomic Status; MDI, Mental Developmental Index; PDI, Psychomotor Developmental Index.

study participants reached 18 months of age. Mothers in both study arms were encouraged to continue breastfeeding with appropriate nutritional and health promotion messages on the importance of exclusive breastfeeding up to 6 months and continued breastfeeding up to at least 12 months; use of thickened porridges; feeding complementary foods 3 times per day; and feeding a variety of nutritious locally available complementary food from 6 to 18 months. Bias in data collection was reduced with the use of qualitative 24-h dietary recalls for the previous day collected without judgment on specifically designed data forms at 6, 9, 12 and 18 months.

Per the trial protocol (23), the Bayley Scales of Infant Development, 2nd Edition, (BSID II) was administered at 18 ± 1 months of age. Briefly, the BSID II was performed by trained and certified evaluators who received training from an experienced psychologist and Bayley II trainer. To avoid bias, the evaluators were masked to the intervention allocation. The evaluators administered the BSID II directly to each child in the local language. Mental Developmental Index (MDI) or Psychomotor Developmental Index (PDI) scores are reported.

This secondary analysis seeks to identify the predictors of feeding infants junk foods as part of complementary foods and the associations of prevalence of junk food intake with neurodevelopment and growth. Complementary foods of low nutritional value and high content of energy, fat, sugar, and/or salt that are highly processed were defined as junk foods (3). Junk foods were classified as cookies, candies, salty snacks, and sweet drinks. Standardized 24-h qualitative data on food consumption including junk food were collected by trained assessors from parental recall at 6, 9, 12, and 18 months of age.

Descriptive statistics were reported for baseline parental and neonatal characteristics. Socioeconomic status (SES) was estimated with a composite variable using economic indicators including dwelling, roof, wall, floor, toilet type, and water source to score the family's relative wealth (an average SES score would be 0, below average < 0, and above average > 0) (14). Frequencies and percentages were reported for categorical variables with differences in characteristics tested for by chi-square. Means and standard deviations were reported for continuous variables with difference in mean tested using Student's t-test. Further, junk food feeding practices were reported by postnatal age and country (DRC, Guatemala, Pakistan, and Zambia). Adjusted relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for qualitative junk food consumption at 18 months were estimated using Poisson regression models including potential predictors of SES, sex, exclusively breast fed for 6 months, birth weight, preterm status, vitamin supplementation, maternal BMI, maternal and paternal education level, and number of living children while controlling for site and intervention group. Tests of interaction of each potential predictor with site were conducted to assess whether the relationship between each measure and developmental outcome differed between the four sites; adjusted relative risks are shown by site if interactions were significant. In a similar fashion, linear regression models were used to assess the association between prevalence of junk food use in children at 9 and 12 months with anthropomorphic measures at 18 months controlling for site, intervention group, SES, sex, exclusively breast fed for first 6 months, birth weight, preterm status, maternal and paternal education level, and vitamin supplementation. Separate models were generated separately for each junk food type and each anthropomorphic measure. Data were entered at each site into local computers and transmitted to a central data coordinating center (RTI International, Durham, NC). Data were analyzed by using SAS statistical software (SAS Inc. v.9.4). Because of multiple analyses, a p value <0.01 was used as a cut-off for statistical significance.

3 Results

There were 1,231 enrolled infants in the primary trial (12). 1,062 (86%) had complete data on junk food use. The study sites in participating countries contributed almost equal number of participants. Parental and neonatal characteristics by site and overall are provided in Table 1. Briefly, the average weight of infants at birth was $3,163 \pm 527$ g; 51% were females; 50% were reported to have been exclusively breastfed at 6 months but with wide site variability from 1% in Pakistan to 96% in Zambia. Both maternal education and composite SES score were the lowest in DRC. Baseline differences in characteristics for infants who consumed junk food at 9 and 12 months compared to those who did not consume junk food included non-exclusive breastfeeding at 6 months, prematurity, higher maternal body mass index, more years of maternal and paternal education, higher SES scores, and vitamin supplementation (Table 2).

Junk food feeding practices differed by age and site (Table 3). As a multicenter study, data on brands and homemade products were not available. Feeding junk food increased with increasing post-natal age. A minority of infants (7%) were fed any junk food as early as 6 months. The rate of feeding junk food increased to 51% at 9 months, 70% at 12 months, and 78% at 18 months. Intake of cookies, salty snacks, sweet drinks, and any junk food were substantially lower in DRC throughout the whole study period compared to all other sites but intake of candies did not differ by site. Zambia and Pakistan had earlier introduction of junk food. Feeding any junk food at 18 months was substantially lower in DRC (23%) and more common in Pakistan (99%), Zambia (98%), and Guatemala (95%). The most common category of junk food with the highest prevalence use at 18 months of age was cookies (61%) followed by salty snacks (51%) and sweet drinks (49%). The type of junk foods differed by sites with cookies and candies consumed the most in Pakistan whereas salty snacks and sweet drinks were most consumed in Zambia and Guatemala. In adjusted analyses, country was the strongest predictor of junk food intake (Table 4). After removal of the DRC data, the characteristics for infants who consumed junk food at 9 months did not differ compared to those who did not consume junk food (Table 5).

Overall, consumption of any junk food, candy, salty snacks, and sweet drinks was not associated with adverse Mental Developmental Index (MDI) or Psychomotor (PDI) scores (Table 6). Infants who were not exclusively breastfed up to 6 months showed similar adjusted mean Mental Developmental Index (MDI) scores as those who were exclusively breastfed (93.6 vs. 92.0, p = 0.24). However, infants who were not exclusively breastfed up to 6 months showed a higher adjusted mean Psychomotor Developmental Index (PDI) score at 18 months than those who were exclusively breastfed (99.8 vs. 95.2, p = 0.02). Because in Zambia, cookie consumption by 12 months was associated with higher adjusted mean PDI scores compared to infants

TABLE 1 Parental and neonatal characteristics by site.

	DRC <i>N</i> = 271	Zambia <i>N</i> = 246	Guatemala <i>N</i> = 267	Pakistan <i>N</i> = 278	Total <i>N</i> = 1,062			
Study participant characteristics								
Birth weight (g), $M \pm SD$	3,204±482	3,266±529	3,107±483	$3,053 \pm 615$	3,163±527			
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	132 (49)	123 (50)	136 (51)	147 (53)	538 (51)			
Exclusively breastfed at	247 (91)	236 (96)	46 (17)	3 (1)	532 (50)			
6 months, <i>n</i> (%)								
Prematurity, n (%)	6 (2)	9 (4)	19 (7)	27 (10)	61 (6)			
Vitamin supplements, <i>n</i> (%)	0 (0)	246 (100)	137 (51)	41 (15)	424 (40)			
Parental characteristics		1						
Maternal BMI, M±SD	20.7 ± 2.3	24.8 ± 4.4	24.4 ± 3.7	23.2 ± 4.7	23.2±4.2			
Number of living children,	3.0 ± 1.8	3.5 ± 2.0	2.8 ± 2.1	2.8 ± 1.7	3.0 ± 1.9			
M±SD								
1–2, <i>n</i> (%)	127 (47)	93 (38)	151 (57)	138 (50)	509 (48)			
3–4, <i>n</i> (%)	89 (33)	80 (33)	74 (28)	96 (35)	339 (32)			
≥5, <i>n</i> (%)	55 (20)	73 (30)	42 (16)	44 (16)	214 (20)			
Mother employed	3 (1)	23 (9)	53 (20)	15 (5)	94 (9)			
Maternal education (years), M±SD	2.4 ± 2.7	6.4±3.1	4.9 ± 3.6	6.4 ± 4.7	5.0 ± 4.0			
0, <i>n</i> (%)	114 (42)	19 (8)	31 (12)	74 (27)	238 (22)			
1–7, <i>n</i> (%)	143 (53)	151 (61)	174 (65)	60 (22)	528 (50)			
≥8, <i>n</i> (%)	14 (5)	76 (31)	62 (23)	144 (52)	296 (28)			
Paternal education (years),	6.6±3.3	7.9±3.2	5.7 ± 4.0	7.4 ± 4.6	6.9±3.9			
0 n (%)	17 (6)	12 (5)	35 (13)	55 (20)	119 (11)			
1-7 n(%)	152 (56)	117 (48)	149 (56)	49 (18)	467 (44)			
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(0)$	102 (38)	117 (48)	83 (31)	174 (63)	476 (45)			
$\geq 0, n (70)$ SES composite score M+SD	-4.1+0.5	-0.3+2.0	2 3+1 1	18+17	-0.04+2.9			
Low $(-1, 2)$ p (0)	260 (00)	72 (20)	0 (0)	11.6 ± 1.7	252 (22)			
$Madium (-12 \in EE < 2.9) =$	209 (99)	160 (65)	157 (50)	11(4)	352 (33)			
(%)	2 (1)	100 (03)	157 (59)	140 (55)	407 (44)			
High (≥2.8), n (%)	0 (0)	14 (6)	110 (41)	119 (43)	243 (23)			
MDI at 18 months, M \pm SD	97.5 ± 10.2	99.2 ± 10.5	92.7±8.7	93.4 ± 9.4	95.6 ± 10.0			
PDI at 18 months, $M \pm SD$	101.5 ± 11.7	102.2 ± 10.0	96.2±11.8	101.2 ± 8.8	100.2 ± 10.9			
Anthropometric measurements	at 6 months							
Length Z-score, $M \pm SD$	-1.72 ± 1.13	-0.79 ± 1.38	-2.23 ± 0.96	-0.70 ± 1.17	-1.37 ± 1.33			
Weight Z-score, $M \pm SD$	-1.24 ± 1.15	-0.41 ± 1.23	-0.88 ± 1.16	-1.21 ± 1.08	-0.95 ± 1.20			
Weight-for-Length Z-score, M±SD	-0.08 ± 1.25	0.24 ± 1.42	0.91 ± 0.98	-0.97 ± 1.27	0.01 ± 1.41			
Head Circumference Z-score, M±SD	-0.46 ± 1.14	0.20 ± 1.28	-0.64 ± 0.93	-0.65 ± 1.07	-0.40 ± 1.16			
Stunting, n (%)	113 (42)	50 (20)	160 (60)	35 (13)	358 (34)			
Wasting, <i>n</i> (%)	21 (8)	12 (5)	1 (0)	53 (19)	87 (8)			

SES (Socioeconomic Status); MDI (Mental Development Index); PDI (Psychomotor Development Index).

who did not consume cookies (104.5 vs. 101.0, p = 0.01), MDI and PDI results by country are also included (Table 6). Socioeconomic status was not associated with adverse MDI or PDI scores (Table 6). Prior

intake of junk food at any age was not associated with anthropometric measures (length, weight, weight-for-length, or head circumference) (Supplementary Tables S1, S2).

	Feeding habits by 9 months			Feeding habits by 12 months					
	Did not consume junk food	Consumed junk food	p value†	Did not consume junk food	Consumed junk food	p value [†]			
Study participant characteristics									
Birth weight (g), $M \pm SD$	3,173±510	$3,\!153\pm\!545$	0.20	$3,\!193\pm\!494$	$3,\!149\pm\!542$	0.03			
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	260 (50)	278 (51)	0.59	156 (48)	382 (52)	0.34			
Exclusively breastfed at $6 \text{ months}, n$ (%)	322 (62)	210 (39)	<0.01	240 (75)	292 (39)	<0.01			
Prematurity, n (%)	22 (4)	39 (7)	0.03	10 (3)	51 (7)	0.01			
Vitamin supplements, <i>n</i> (%)	148 (28)	276 (51)	<0.01	53 (16)	371 (50)	<0.01			
Parental characteristics									
Maternal BMI, M \pm SD	22.7 ± 4.0	23.7 ± 4.4	< 0.01	21.9 ± 3.5	23.8 ± 4.3	<0.01			
Number of living children, M±SD	3.0±1.9	3.0±2.0	0.22	3.0±1.8	3.0±2.0	0.34			
1–2, <i>n</i> (%)	243 (47)	266 (49)	0.15	148 (46)	361 (49)	0.57			
3-4, <i>n</i> (%)	181 (35)	158 (29)		110 (34)	229 (31)				
≥5, n (%)	98 (19)	116 (21)		64 (20)	150 (20)				
Mother employed, <i>n</i> (%)	38 (7)	56 (10)	0.08	14 (4)	80 (11)	< 0.01			
Maternal education (years), M±SD	4.2±3.9	5.8±3.9	<0.01	3.4±3.5	5.7 ± 4.0	<0.01			
0, <i>n</i> (%)	145 (28)	93 (17)	<0.01	111 (34)	127 (17)	< 0.01			
1–7, <i>n</i> (%)	267 (51)	261 (48)		167 (52)	361 (49)				
≥8, n (%)	110 (21)	186 (34)		44 (14)	252 (34)				
Paternal education (years), M±SD	6.8±3.8	7.0±4.0	0.19	6.6±3.6	7.0±4.1	0.02			
0, <i>n</i> (%)	49 (9)	70 (13)	0.01	26 (8)	93 (13)	<0.01			
1–7, n (%)	252 (48)	215 (40)		172 (53)	295 (40)				
≥8, <i>n</i> (%)	221 (42)	255 (47)		124 (39)	352 (48)				
SES composite score, M±SD	-1.2 ± 3.1	1.0 ± 2.2	<0.01	-2.4 ± 2.9	1.0±2.3	<0.01			
Low (≤ -1.3), <i>n</i> (%)	273 (52)	79 (15)	< 0.01	236 (73)	116 (16)	< 0.01			
Medium (-1.3 < SES < 2.8), <i>n</i> (%)	157 (30)	310 (57)		47 (15)	420 (57)				
High (≥ 2.8), n (%)	92 (18)	151 (28)		39 (12)	204 (28)				

TABLE 2 Parental and neonatal characteristics by junk food consumption by 9 and 12 months.

[†]Differences in categorical characteristics tested for by chi-square and differences in continuous variables tested using Student's *t*-test. SES (Socioeconomic Status).

4 Discussion

The study sought to determine the predictors of feeding junk foods to infants and toddlers aged 6 to 18 months in low-resource countries. Junk food feeding was lower in DRC than in the other countries. After removal of the DRC data, the baseline characteristics did not differ between infants feed junk foods and those not feed junk food. Overall, the rate of feeding junk food was relatively high (7% at 6 months, 51% at 9 months, 70% at 12 months, and 78% at 18 months). A strength of this study was the inclusion of infants in diverse, low-resource settings on three continents with data collected retroprospectively. However, the data do not necessarily represent broader regions from the countries in which the clusters were located. In addition, the high prevalence use rate of junk foods occurred even though mothers in the trial were given appropriate nutritional and health promotion messages on the importance of exclusive breastfeeding up to 6 months with continued breastfeeding up to at least 12 months. The demographic data and the data on junk foods fed

TABLE 3 Junk food feeding practices by age and site.

Type of Snack	DRC <i>N</i> = 271	Zambia <i>N</i> = 246	Guatemala <i>N</i> = 267	Pakistan <i>N</i> = 278	Total <i>N</i> = 1,062				
Cookies, <i>n</i> (%)									
6 months	0 (0)	3 (1)	10 (4)	38 (14)	51 (5)				
9 months	7 (3)	93 (38)	67 (25)	172 (62)	339 (32)				
12 months	12 (4)	139 (57)	131 (49)	234 (84)	516 (49)				
18 months	20 (7)	191 (78)	169 (63)	264 (95)	644 (61)				
Candies, n (%)	^								
6 months	2 (1)	0 (0)	5 (2)	1 (0)	8 (1)				
9 months	18 (7)	22 (9)	18 (7)	6 (2)	64 (6)				
12 months	35 (13)	41 (17)	44 (16)	24 (9)	144 (14)				
18 months	50 (18)	91 (37)	79 (30)	87 (31)	307 (29)				
Salty snacks, n (%)									
6 months	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	4 (1)	5 (0)				
9 months	0 (0)	102 (41)	13 (5)	78 (28)	193 (18)				
12 months	0 (0)	180 (73)	37 (14)	146 (53)	363 (34)				
18 months	0 (0)	231 (94)	101 (38)	206 (74)	538 (51)				
Sweet drinks, <i>n</i> (%)									
6 months	1 (0)	0 (0)	14 (5)	0 (0)	15 (1)				
9 months	1 (0)	103 (42)	99 (37)	15 (5)	218 (21)				
12 months	2 (1)	172 (70)	173 (65)	32 (12)	379 (36)				
18 months	4 (1)	221 (90)	220 (82)	80 (29)	525 (49)				
Any junk food, <i>n</i> (%)									
6 months	2 (1)	3 (1)	27 (10)	40 (14)	72 (7)				
9 months	22 (8)	173 (70)	144 (54)	201 (72)	540 (51)				
12 months	40 (15)	224 (91)	217 (81)	259 (93)	740 (70)				
18 months	62 (23)	241 (98)	254 (95)	275 (99)	832 (78)				

to infants were obtained prospectively from mothers' and/or caretakers' reports so bias should have been minimized. An important limitation is that we did not record product details or the nutritional values of the specific foods considered junk food including the protein or micronutrient content in relation to calories, the proportion of calories as sugar or fat, or the amount of salt. Furthermore, the qualitative nature of the tool did not allow for quantitative estimates of junk food intake. However, we prospectively defined the categories of food considered generally to be junk foods.

The current study discovered that feeding junk foods varied widely between countries despite the availability of study foods and health messages on quality and quantity of complementary foods. The DRC communities, where junk foods were fed least frequently, were the most remote and least commercialized with the least availability of junk food for purchase. Thus, limited access and poverty were likely critical factors. Reviews done in low-resource settings have found that infants are fed on available foods regardless of their nutrition value (9, 24). Feeding infants in these countries can be linked to availability and exposure to junk foods. Parents are the role models for the infants and toddlers in choice of food and feeding patterns and likely feed their infants on available foods as observed in this study (25–27).

The current study revealed that 7% of the infants were fed junk foods at the age of 6 months, and this increased to 70% at 18 months. Feeding junk food at 6 months also occurred in a study conducted in rural parts of Zambia, which reported that caregivers knew that breastfeeding was sufficient up to 6 months, but still gave complementary food of inadequate quality before 6 months (28). Similar studies conducted in Egypt, which assessed early introduction of complementary feeding and associated factors, observed that infants were fed on sweetened foods and drinks based on the knowledge, practice, and cultural beliefs of the mothers; they reported that 28% of 120 infants less than 2 years of age were given junk food based on the mothers' perceptions (29), which is lower that what we found. Reviews from developing countries noted that consumption of junk food was increasing as countries traverse the "nutrition transition" (25, 30). Noteworthy, developed countries have not been spared, implying that it is not so much limited resources but attitude, lifestyle, cultural practices, and availability of less nutritious foods (31). Food containing low nutritional value likely displaces potentially higher quality foods and thus deprives infants of the much-needed nutrients (32).

Studies conducted in Ethiopia and Senegal have concluded that inappropriate complementary feeding are associated with

TABLE 4 Potential predictors for junk food consumption by 9 months.

	Unadjusted		Adjusted – Full Model [†]		Adjusted - Reduced model [‡]			
Characteristics	Relative Risks (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	Relative Risks <i>p</i> value (95% CI)		Relative Risks (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value		
Site								
DRC	REF		REF		REF			
Zambia	8.84 (4.65,16.79)	< 0.01	9.18 (4.60,18.32)	< 0.01	9.08 (4.89,16.86)	<0.01		
Guatemala	6.78 (3.55,12.94)	< 0.01	6.25 (3.12,12.54)	<0.01	6.95 (3.72,12.96)	<0.01		
Pakistan	9.12 (4.79,17.33)	<0.01	8.03 (4.02,16.03)	<0.01	9.24 (4.97,17.18)	<0.01		
Birthweight	0.98 (0.89,1.09)	0.75	1.00 (0.91,1.11)	0.95				
Female	1.03 (0.93,1.14)	0.54	0.99 (0.88,1.11)	0.89				
Exclusively breastfed at 6 months	0.83 (0.68,1.00)	0.05	0.90 (0.70,1.17)	0.43				
Prematurity	1.14 (0.91,1.41)	0.25	1.15 (0.93,1.44)	0.20				
Vitamin supplements	1.11 (0.94,1.32)	0.21	0.94 (0.76,1.15)	0.52				
Number of living children					·			
1-2	1.02 (0.87,1.20)	0.77			1.00 (0.85,1.17)	0.96		
3-4	0.89 (0.75,1.06)	0.20			0.88 (0.75,1.04)	0.13		
≥5	REF				REF			
Maternal BMI	0.99 (0.97,1.01)	0.41			0.99 (0.98,1.01)	0.22		
DRC			0.97 (0.80, 1.18)	0.78				
Zambia			1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.34				
Guatemala			0.97 (0.95, 1.00)	0.05				
Pakistan			0.98 (0.97, 0.99)	<0.01				
Maternal education								
0	1.00 (0.83,1.19)	0.96	0.99 (0.81,1.22)	0.95				
1–7	1.03 (0.87,1.22)	0.74	1.08 (0.92,1.26)	0.34				
≥8	REF		REF					
Paternal education								
0	1.01 (0.85,1.18)	0.95	0.98 (0.78,1.21)	0.82				
1–7	1.00 (0.91,1.11)	0.95	0.99 (0.88,1.12)	0.87				
≥8	REF		REF					
SES								
Low (≤−1.3)	0.74 (0.51,1.09)		1.01 (0.77,1.33)	0.95				
Medium (-1.3 < SES < 2.8)	1.05 (0.92,1.19)		1.06 (0.92,1.21)	0.43				
High (≥2.8)	REF		REF					

[†]Relative risks were estimated using a Poisson regression model with robust variance estimators and included potential predictors of birthweight, sex, exclusively breastfed at 6 months, prematurity, vitamin supplements, number of living children, maternal BMI, maternal education, paternal education, and SES (Socioeconomic Status) while controlling for site (DRC, Zambia, Guatemala, Pakistan) and intervention. Tests of whether the association between each potential predictor and the outcome differed by site were conducted. Interactions with site were significant for maternal BMI (p = 0.04); adjusted relative risks are shown by site for these measures.

¹Stepwise selection was used to narrow down the number of potential predictors in the model. A significance level of 0.30 was required to allow a variable into the model, and a significance level of 0.35 was required for the variable to stay in the model. Relative risks were estimated using a Poisson regression model with robust variance estimators and included the following potential predictors after stepwise selection: number of living children, maternal BMI, site and intervention. Tests of whether the association between each potential predictor and the outcome differed by site were conducted; none were significant.

adverse children's development and growth (33, 34). Inappropriate complementary feeds were given to 91.5% of 6 to 23 months children of 611 mothers surveyed in Ethiopia (33) and 93.5% of 6 to 23 months children of 293 mothers surveyed in Senegal (33)., which is comparable to the rates we observed in DRC, Guatemala, and Zambia at 18 months. However, despite similar rates of junk

food intake, the current study did not confirm the associations of junk food intake and children's development and growth in these or other studies (35, 36) that show that inappropriate feeding practices during infancy may hinder the development or growth. The lack of an association of inappropriate complementary feeds as part of the trial could be due to the high quality of the TABLE 5 Potential Predictors for Junk Food Consumption by 9 Months without DRC.

	Unadjusted		Adjusted – Full model [†]		Adjusted – Reduced Model [‡]	
Characteristics	Relative Risks (95% CI)	p value	Relative Risks <i>p</i> value (95% CI)		Relative Risks (95% CI)	p value
Site						
Zambia	0.97 (0.84,1.12)	0.68	1.21 (0.79,1.85)	0.39	1.12 (0.84,1.49)	0.44
Guatemala	0.74 (0.63,0.87)	<0.01	0.79 (0.63,0.98)	0.04	0.78 (0.66,0.91)	<0.01
Pakistan	REF		REF		REF	
Birthweight	0.98 (0.89,1.08)	0.72	0.99 (0.89,1.09)	0.78		
Female	1.01 (0.91,1.11)	0.92	0.96 (0.87,1.07)	0.49		
Exclusively breastfed at 6 months	0.99 (0.88,1.12)	0.89	0.86 (0.65,1.12)	0.26	0.88 (0.68,1.13)	0.30
Prematurity	1.12 (0.94,1.33)	0.22				
Zambia			1.09 (0.83,1.42)	0.53	1.12 (0.87,1.43)	0.39
Guatemala			1.58 (1.33,1.88)	<0.01	1.56 (1.33,1.83)	<0.01
Pakistan			0.85 (0.59,1.23)	0.38	0.87 (0.70,1.09)	0.24
Vitamin supplements	1.00 (0.90,1.11)	0.99	0.94 (0.77,1.15)	0.55		
Number of living childre	·					
1–2	0.96 (0.82,1.12)	0.62	0.99 (0.80,1.21)	0.89	0.94 (0.80,1.11)	0.48
3-4	0.88 (0.76,1.03)	0.11	0.84 (0.70,1.03)	0.09	0.87 (0.75,1.02)	0.08
≥5	REF		REF		REF	
Maternal BMI	0.99 (0.97,1.00)	0.16			0.99 (0.97,1.00)	0.17
Zambia			1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.36		
Guatemala			0.97 (0.95, 1.00)	0.04		
Pakistan			0.98 (0.97, 0.99)	<0.01		
Maternal education						
0	1.10 (0.97,1.24)	0.13	1.06 (0.89,1.26)	0.53		
1–7	1.00 (0.87,1.15)	0.99	1.05 (0.89,1.22)	0.58		
≥8	REF		REF			
Paternal education						
0	1.04 (0.91,1.19)	0.57	1.01 (0.81,1.25)	0.94		
1–7	1.01 (0.91,1.12)	0.90	1.01 (0.89,1.14)	0.89		
≥8	REF		REF			
SES						
Low (≤−1.3)	1.06 (0.82,1.37)	0.66	1.00 (0.75,1.33)	1.00		
Medium (-1.3 < SES < 2.8)	1.07 (0.96,1.20)	0.21	1.04 (0.91,1.19)	0.58		
High (≥2.8)	REF		REF			

[†]Relative risks were estimated using a Poisson regression model with robust variance estimators and including potential predictors of birthweight, sex, exclusively breastfed at 6 months, prematurity, vitamin supplements, number of living children, maternal BMI, maternal education, paternal education, and SES (Socioeconomic Status) while controlling for site (Zambia, Guatemala, Pakistan) and intervention. Tests of whether the association between each potential predictor and the outcome differed by site were conducted. Interactions with site were significant for prematurity (p < 0.01) and maternal BMI (p = 0.01); adjusted relative risks are shown by site for these measures.

¹Stepwise selection was used to narrow down the number of potential predictors in the model. A significance level of 0.30 was required to allow a variable into the model, and a significance level of 0.35 was required to allow a variable into the model, and a significance level of 0.35 was required to the variable to stay in the model. Relative risks were estimated using a Poisson regression model with robust variance estimators and included the following potential predictors after stepwise selection: exclusively breastfed at 6 months, prematurity, number of living children, maternal BMI, site, and intervention. Tests of whether the association between each potential predictor and the outcome differed by site were conducted. Interactions with site were significant for prematurity (p<0.01); adjusted relative risks are shown by site for these measures.

complementary feeds given to both the intervention and control group infants.

The data on junk food intake is limited to association studies, which have limited value for robust results. However, some randomized controlled trials have shown that some neurodevelopmental improvements with interventions of high nutritional value. In a randomized controlled trial of supplemental protein intake and micronutrient intake in India that included over 2,000 infants, motor

TABLE 6 Unadjusted and adjusted means for Bayley Scales of Infant Development measures at 18 months.

			Mental Developmental Index (MDI)			Physical Developmental Index (PDI)			
Measures		N†	Mean <u>+</u> SD (unadjusted)	Mean (adjusted)‡	<i>p</i> value [‡]	Mean <u>+</u> SD (unadjusted)	Mean (adjusted)‡	<i>p</i> value [‡]	
Exclusively	Yes	269	97.74 ± 10.61	92.02	0.24	100.55 ± 11.12	96.25	0.02	
breastfed at 6 months	No	495	93.38±9.14	93.62		99.37±10.27	99.78		
SES tercile	Low	81	97.51 ± 11.11	91.39	0.20	100.70 ± 10.53	96.60	0.24	
	Medium	443	94.75 ± 10.28	92.92		99.39 ± 11.06	98.07		
	High	240	94.34 ± 8.57	94.14		100.20 ± 9.68	99.37		
Cookies									
9 months	Yes	325	95.24 ± 10.06	93.48	0.15	100.77 ± 10.14	98.95	0.06	
	No	439	94.67 ± 9.78	92.35		99.05 ± 10.85	97.35		
12 months	Yes	489	95.26 ± 10.08	93.37	0.06	100.32 ± 10.53			
	No	275	94.29±9.55	91.88		98.84 ± 10.64			
	Yes	131				103.51 ± 9.41	104.50	0.01	
Zambia	No	100				100.49 ± 10.46	100.96		
	Yes	130				95.59 ± 12.24	92.36	0.33	
Guatemala	No	133				96.79±11.30	93.59		
	Yes	228				101.18 ± 9.11	98.24	0.99	
Pakistan	No	42				101.38 ± 7.37	98.23		
Candy		1	1	1		1	1		
9 months	Yes	43	97.14 ± 10.67	94.28	0.33	100.21±9.95	98.43	0.80	
	No	721	94.78 ± 9.84	92.77		99.76±10.63	98.00		
12 months	Yes	105	95.13±9.39	92.74	0.93	99.07±10.82	97.27	0.46	
	No	659	94.88 ± 9.98	92.83		99.90±10.55	98.14		
Salty snacks	1	1		1		1	1		
I	Yes	181	95.46 ± 10.61	91.65	0.10	100.93 ± 10.85	97.50	0.51	
9 months	No	583	94.74±9.66	93.20		99.43±10.49	98.17		
	Yes	346	95.71 ± 10.34	92.49	0.45	100.86±9.94	97.86	0.75	
12 months	No	418	94.24 ± 9.47	93.13		98.89±11.02	98.15		
Sweet drinks									
	Yes	210	96.07 ± 9.94	93.1	0.63	99.04±11.64	97.48	0.39	
9 months	No	554	94.48 ± 9.85	92.69		100.07 ± 10.15	98.27		
	Yes	367	95.42 ± 10.19	92.66	0.68	99.12±10.91	97.82	0.64	
12 months	No	397	94.45±9.61	93.01		100.40 ± 10.25	98.24		
Junk food	1		1	1		1	1	1	
	Yes	501	95.09 ± 9.87	92.91	0.70	100.13 ± 10.69	98.22	0.45	
9 months	No	263	94.57 ± 9.96	92.62		99.14±10.36	97.59		
12 months	Yes	677	95.02 ± 10.02	92.85	0.71	99.89±10.57	98.02	0.94	
	No	87	94.01±8.87	92.42		98.98±10.70	97.92		

¹Numbers are among the 1,042 children with non-missing BSID-II scores. BSID-II scores were missing for 17/1062 (1.6%) children [Zambia: 12/246 (4.9%); Guatemala: 3/267 (1.1%); Pakistan: 2/278 (1.0%)]. SES (Socioeconomic Status).

⁺BSID-II means were estimated using linear models fit to each Bayley index score. Models for each junk food type adjust for SES, site (Zambia, Guatemala, Pakistan), intervention group, sex, exclusively breastfed first 6 months, birth weight, preterm status, vitamin supplementation, maternal BMI, maternal education level, and number of living children. Tests of whether the association between measure and outcome differed by site were conducted. Interaction with site was significant for cookie consumption at 12 months (*p* = 0.04); PDI score means are shown by site for this measure. The models for exclusively breastfed first 6 months and SES only adjust all factors excluding junk food intake.

scores were improved by a mean of 1.52 points (95% CI: 0.28, 2.75) at 12 months, there were no differences in developmental scores at 24 month (37). However, a trial of zinc, iron, and copper supplementation

starting at 6 months in 251 infants in Peru with Bayley assessments at 18 months reported no significant neurodevelopmental benefits (38). Furthermore, a meta-analysis of 21 trials and 14,241 infants conducted

mostly middle- and low-income countries showed that nutrition education related to weaning from breast feeding modestly improved weight gain and height by 12 months but inconsistent effects were observed at 18 months of age. Neurodevelopmental outcomes were not assessed as none of the 21 trials included those assessments (39).

This secondary study had important limitations. Detailed data collection on junk or processed foods were not obtained. The exposure and specific contents of the junk foods were limited to any use at defined time periods and qualitative 24-h recall was qualitative rather than quantitative analysis of energy, fat, sugar, and salt or the degree the processed food were processed. The quality of nutritional data collected based on 24-h recall is limited. We did not count caloric intake from junk food but a recent study from Nepal of 745 children under 2 years of age that also reported high rates of junk food intake (91%) comparable to our present report, found that the proportion of total energy intake of non-breast milk intake was 24.5% among all children (40) indicating the nutritional value of the total intake can be adversely affected.

5 Conclusion

This prospective study on nutrition in low-resource settings in several countries revealed that the practice of feeding low nutritional value foods was widespread in the study sites but varied by setting. These findings occurred despite nutritional and health promotion messages on the importance of exclusive breastfeeding and the need for nutritious food from 6 to 18 months given to the mothers and caretakers. In this study, non-exclusive breastfeeding at 6 months, prematurity, higher maternal body mass index, more years of maternal and paternal education, and higher socioeconomic scores were associated with more consumption of junk food at 9 and 12 months. Data collection on food intake was qualitative without nutritional quantification. Prevalent use of junk foods was not associated with adverse neurodevelopmental or growth outcomes, but all participants received nutritious complementary feeds including daily meat or fortified cereal complementary food from 6 to 18 months and nutritious feeds messages as part of a nutrition trial. The impact of such frequent consumption of junk foods during this critical period development might differ if they displace nutritious foods. Health promotion messages should attempt to encourage parents to feed infants appropriate complementary feeds.

Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/ restrictions: the data used in this analysis is available through NICHD Data and Specimen Hub (N-DASH). Requests to access these datasets should be directed to https://dash.nichd.nih.gov/.

Ethics statement

This research study was reviewed for approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of each participating institution. Annual reviews are conducted as per each institution's standard review procedures. The IRBs are registered at OHRP with FWAs. Safety and efficacy analyses are performed at scheduled intervals based upon age (after every 100 infants reached one year of age) and the completion of study participation. Written informed consent for trial participation was obtained from the women, or the parents or guardians of minors, by trained staff.

Author contributions

MC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. NK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft. AM: Supervision, Writing review & editing. EC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing review & editing. MMw: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. MMa: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. NS: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. OP: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. AT: Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. AL: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. RG: Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. CB: Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. MK-T: Writing - review & editing. NG: Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. BD: Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. EM: Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. KH: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. JW: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. WC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by grants from Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development [HD040657 (UCD), HD043464 (UAB), HD040607 (Drexel), HD043475 (UNC), HD040636 (RTI)], Office of Dietary Supplements, and NIDDK 9 K24 DK083772. The National Cattlemen's Beef Association partially supported the analyses of the biomarkers for this project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1308685/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Prado EL, Kathryn G. Nutrition and brain development in early life. *Nutr Rev.* (2014) 72:267–84. doi: 10.1111/nure.12102

2. Agostoni C, Decsi T, Fewtrell M, Goulet O, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, et al. Complementary feeding: a commentary by the ESPGHAN committee on nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. (2008) 46:99–110. doi: 10.1097/01.mpg.0000304464.60788.bd

3. Jonsdottir OH, Thorsdottir I, Gunnlaugsson G, Fewtrell M, Hibberd P, Kleinman R. Exclusive breastfeeding and developmental and behavioral status in early childhood. *Nutrients*. (2013) 5:4414–28. doi: 10.3390/nu5114414

4. Kramer MS, Matush L, Bogdanovich N, Aboud F, Mazer B, Fombonne E, et al. Health and development outcomes in 6.5-y-old children breastfed exclusively for 3 or 6 mo. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2009) 90:1070–4. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28021

5. Krebs NF, Mazariegos M, Tshefu A, Bose C, Sami N, Chomba E, et al. Meat consumption is associated with less stunting among toddlers in four diverse low-income settings. *Food Nutr Bull.* (2011) 32:185–91. doi: 10.1177/156482651103200301

6. Dammann KW, Smith C. Factors affecting low-income women's food choices and the perceived impact of dietary intake and socioeconomic status on their health and weight. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* (2009) 41:242–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jneb.2008.07.003

7. Medhin G, Hanlon C, Dewey M, Alem A, Tesfaye F, Worku B, et al. Prevalence and predictors of undernutrition among infants aged six and twelve months in Butajira, Ethiopia: the P-MaMiE birth cohort. *BMC Public Health.* (2010) 10:27. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-27

8. Taylor JP, Evers S, McKenna M. Determinants of healthy eating in children and youth. *Can J Public Health*. (2005) 96:S22–9. doi: 10.1007/BF03405197

9. Patrick H, Nicklas TA. A review of family and social determinants of children's eating patterns and diet quality. *J Am Coll Nutr.* (2005) 24:83–92. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2005.10719448

10. Abeshu MA, Lelisa A, Geleta B. Complementary feeding: review of recommendations, feeding practices, and adequacy of homemade complementary food preparations in developing countries - lessons from Ethiopia. *Front Nutr.* (2016) 3:41. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2016.00041

11. Ferguson EL, Darmon N. Traditional foods vs. manufactured baby foods. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Pediatr Program. (2007) 60:43–63. doi: 10.1159/000106359

12. Gibson RS, Ferguson EL, Lehrfeld J. Complementary foods for infant feeding in developing countries: their nutrient adequacy and improvement. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (1998) 52:764–70. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1600645

13. Krebs NF, Mazariegos M, Chomba E, Sami N, Pasha O, Tshefu A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of meat compared with multimicronutrient-fortified cereal in infants and toddlers with high stunting rates in diverse settings. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2012) 96:840–7. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.041962

14. Newman JE, Garces A, Mazariegos M, Michael Hambidge K, Manasyan A, Tshefu A, et al. Theory-driven process evaluation of a complementary feeding trial in four countries. *Health Educ Res.* (2014) 29:297–305. doi: 10.1093/her/cyt115

15. Birch L, Savage JS, Ventura A. Influences on the development of Children's eating Behaviours: from infancy to adolescence. *Can J Diet Pract Res.* (2007) 68:s1–s56.

16. Complementary feeding. World Health Organization. Available at: https://www. who.int/health-topics/complementary-feeding#tab=tab_3. Accessed August 31, 2022

17. Fewtrell M, Bronsky J, Campoy C, Domellöf M, Embleton N, Fidler Mis N, et al. Complementary feeding: a position paper by the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition (ESPGHAN) committee on nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2017) 64:119–32. doi: 10.1097/MPG.000000000001454

18. Becquet R, Leroy V, Ekouevi DK, Viho I, Castetbon K, Fassinou P, et al. Complementary feeding adequacy in relation to nutritional status among early weaned breastfed children who are born to HIV-infected mothers: ANRS 1201/1202 Ditrame plus, Abidjan, cote d'Ivoire. *Pediatrics*. (2006) 117:e701–10. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-1911

19. Dewey KG, Adu-Afarwuah S. Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries. *Matern Child Nutr.* (2008) 4:24–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1740-8709.2007.00124.x

20. United Nations International Children Emergency Funds, World Health Organization, The World Bank. Levels & Trends in child malnutrition: UNICEF-WHOthe World Bank joint child malnutrition estimates. New York: UNICEF (2017). 21. World Health Organization & United Nations International Emergency Funds. *Global breastfeeding scoreboard, 2017: Tracking Progress for breastfeeding policies and Programmes: Global breastfeeding collective.* New York: UNICEF (2017).

22. Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, de Onis M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet*. (2013) 382:427–51. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60937-X

23. the Complementary Feeding Study GroupKrebs NF, Hambidge KM, Mazariegos M, Westcott J, Goco N, et al. Complementary feeding: a global network cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pediatr.* (2011) 11:4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-11-4

24. Dewey KG. The challenge of meeting nutrient needs of infants and young children during the period of complementary feeding: an evolutionary perspective. *J Nutr.* (2013) 143:2050–4. doi: 10.3945/jn.113.182527

25. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. *Nutr Rev.* (2012) 70:3–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2011.00456.x

26. Park S, Li R, Birch L. Mothers' child-feeding practices are associated with children's sugar-sweetened beverage intake. J Nutr. (2015) 145:806–12. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.207233

27. Scaglioni S, Salvioni M, Galimberti C. Influence of parental attitudes in the development of children eating behaviour. *Br J Nutr.* (2008) 99:S22–5. doi: 10.1017/S0007114508892471

28. Katepa-Bwalya M, Mukonka V, Kankasa C, Masaninga F, Babaniyi O, Siziya S. Infants and young children feeding practices and nutritional status in two districts of Zambia. *Int Breastfeed J.* (2015) 10:5. doi: 10.1186/s13006-015-0033-x

29. Kavle JA, Mehanna S, Saleh G, Fouad MA, Ramzy M, Hamed D, et al. Exploring why junk foods are 'essential' foods and how culturally tailored recommendations improved feeding in Egyptian children. *Matern Child Nutr.* (2015) 11:346–70. doi: 10.1111/mcn.12165

30. Roberts K, Marvin K. Knowledge and attitudes towards health eating and physical activity: What the data tell us. Oxford: National Obesity Observatory (2011).

31. Salarkia N, Neyestani TR, Omidvar N, Zayeri F. Household food insecurity, Mother's feeding practices, and the early Childhood's Iron status. *Int J Prev Med.* (2015) 6:86. doi: 10.4103/2008-7802.164414

32. Cattaneo A, Timmer A, Bomestar T, Bua J, Kumar S, Tamburlini G. Child nutrition in countries of the commonwealth of independent states: time to redirect strategies? *Public Health Nutr.* (2008) 11:1209–19. doi: 10.1017/S1368980008003261

33. Kassa T, Meshesha B, Haji Y, Ebrahim J. Appropriate complementary feeding practices and associated factors among mothers of children age 6-23 months in southern Ethiopia, 2015. *BMC Pediatr.* (2016) 16:131. doi: 10.1186/s12887-016-0675-x

34. Feeley AB, Ndeye Coly A, Sy Gueye NY, Diop EI, Pries AM, Champeny M, et al. Promotion and consumption of commercially produced foods among children: situation analysis in an urban setting in Senegal. *Matern Child Nutr.* (2016) 12:64–76. doi: 10.1111/mcn.12304

35. Scaglioni S, De Cosmi V, Ciappolino V, Parazzini F, Brambilla P, Agostoni C. Factors influencing Children's eating Behaviours. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:706. doi: 10.3390/nu10060706

36. Udoh EE, Amodu OK. Complementary feeding practices among mothers and nutritional status of infants in Akpabuyo area, Cross River state Nigeria. *Springerplus*. (2016) 5:2073. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-3751-7

37. Upadhyay RP, Taneja S, Strand TA, Hysing M, Koshy B, Bhandari N, et al. Milkcereal mix supplementation during infancy and impact on neurodevelopmental outcomes at 12 and 24 months of age: a randomised controlled trial in India. *Br J Nutr.* (2023) 130:868–77. doi: 10.1017/S0007114522003944

 Colombo J, Zavaleta N, Kannass KN, Lazarte F, Albornoz C, Kapa LL, et al. Zinc supplementation sustained normative neurodevelopment in a randomized, controlled trial of Peruvian infants aged 6-18 months. J Nutr. (2014) 144:1298–305. doi: 10.3945/ jn.113.189365

39. Cochrane Neonatal GroupOjha S, Elfzzani Z, Kwok T'C, Dorling J. Education of family members to support weaning to solids and nutrition in later infancy in term-born infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2020) 2020:CD012241. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD012241.pub2

40. Pries AM, Rehman AM, Filteau S, Sharma N, Upadhyay A, Ferguson EL. Unhealthy snack food and beverage consumption is associated with lower dietary adequacy and length-for-age z-scores among 12-23-month-olds in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. J Nutr. (2019) 149:1843–51. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxz140