Trends in movement quality in US Military Academy cadets 2005-17: a JUMP-ACL study

Timothy G. Eckard¹ Stephen W. Marshall²

Kristen L. Kucera³

Erik A. Wikstrom³

Kenneth L. Cameron⁴

Lindsay J. DiStefano⁵

Darin A. Padua³

- 1. Department of Physical Therapy, Western Carolina University, Cullowhee, NC
- Injury Prevention Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
- Department of Exercise and Sport Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC
- 4. John A. Feagin Jr. Sports Medicine Fellowship, Keller Army Hospital, West Point, NY
- 5. Department of Kinesiology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT

Corresponding author contact information: Timothy G. Eckard 3971 Little Savannah Rd Cullowhee, NC 28723 (828) 227-2288 teckard@wcu.edu

1	<u>ABSTRACT</u>
2	Objectives: This study seeks to determine if there were significant trends in lower extremity
3	movement quality, as assessed by the Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) scores and plane-
4	specific LESS subscales, across 12 recent cohorts of incoming USMA cadets.
5	Design: prospective cohort study.
6	Setting: United States Military Academy
7	Participants: 7,591
8	Main outcome measures: Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) scores, adjusted for sex and
9	ACL injury history.
10	Results: Statistically significant inverse trends were found between total LESS score and year
11	(p<0.01) and sagittal plane subscale and year (p<0.01). A statistically significant direct trend was
12	found for the frontal/transverse plane subscale and year ($p < 0.01$). However, each of these trends
13	had a small associated effect size, and none were considered clinically meaningful.
14	Conclusions: There were no meaningful changes in lower extremity movement quality in
15	incoming US Military Academy cadets between 2005 and 2017.
16	Highlights:
17	1. Stable movement quality in US Military Academy cadets in 12 recent years.
18	2. Movement quality stability is surprising in light of recent societal trends.
19	3. These data provide the largest sample of normative data for the LESS to date
20	Key words: lower extremity; Landing Error Scoring System (LESS); military; youth
21	sport
22	
23	

INTRODUCTION

25	The Joint Undertaking to Monitor and Prevent ACL Injury (JUMP-ACL) study is a large
26	prospective cohort study examining lower extremity movement quality and injury risk factors in
27	United States Military Academy at West Point (USMA) cadets. Between the years 2005 and
28	2017, a large sample from each incoming USMA cohort except one (2010) was enrolled and
29	assessed for lower extremity movement quality using the Landing Error Scoring System
30	(LESS). ¹ During this period, there were substantial changes in activity levels, ¹ sport
31	specialization rates, ^{2,3} and rates of sports-related ACL injuries ⁴ among American youth, all of
32	which may have influenced the aggregate lower extremity movement quality of incoming USMA
33	cadets. Data from the JUMP-ACL study provide a unique opportunity to examine trends in lower
34	extremity movement quality in a subset of American youth over this time period.
35	Substantial declines in several forms of physical activity among American youth
36	occurred in the last decade of the 20 th and first decade of the 21 st centuries. ² From 1991 to 2011,
37	the percentage of American high school students participating in daily physical education classes
38	decreased from 42% to 31%. ⁵ The percentage of time children ages 6 to 12 spent playing
39	outdoors was reduced by 15% between 1997 and 2003, with similar trends noted among
40	adolescents. ⁶ The period examined in these studies encompasses the childhood and adolescent
41	years of USMA cadets enrolled in the JUMP-ACL study between the years 2005 and 2017.
42	These downward trends in physical activity were postulated to be matched by reciprocal
43	increases in sedentary behaviors, such as electronic device entertainment and motor vehicle
44	transportation. ² Between the years 1993 and 2006, Hsu et al. reported a significant increase in the
45	proportion of overweight and obese individuals applying to enter the US military. ⁷ Decreased
46	physical activity levels likely contributed to this obesity trend, suggesting similar declines in

47 physical activity levels may have occurred among the subset of American youth interested in48 military service as was observed in the general population during this time period.

49 While the early 21st century saw a general decline in youth physical activity, an increase in sport specialization among American vouth athletes also occurred.^{8,9} Sport specialization is 50 51 defined as "intense, year-round participation in a single sport, to the exclusion of other sports."⁸ 52 The percentage of American high school athletes practicing moderate or high sport specialization 53 was estimated to be 65.2% in 2015,¹⁰ with estimates of high specialization rates ranging from 54 28%-38% in other recently published studies.^{3,10,11} Specialization in a single sport often entails a 55 reduction in the types and planes of movements in which an athlete engages.¹² This lack of variety may alter movement patterns through muscle strength imbalances¹² or neuromuscular 56 57 deficits,¹³ and is associated with an increased risk of lower extremity injury.¹⁴ Finally, increased rates of ACL injuries among American youth athletes,¹⁵ particularly females^{16,17} were present 58 59 between 2005-2017.

60 Taken together, the findings of 1) decreased overall youth activity levels 2) increased 61 rates of youth sport specialization, and 3) increased youth sport ACL injuries suggest a potential 62 decline in lower extremity movement quality among American youth in the early 21st century. 63 Determining if and how lower extremity movement quality changed over time is critical for 64 informing the development of childhood physical activity interventions as well as programming 65 to improve movement quality for immediate preparation of incoming military personnel for 66 purposes of injury risk reduction. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if there 67 were significant trends in total LESS scores and plane-specific LESS subscales across 12 recent 68 cohorts of incoming USMA cadets (2005-2017, excluding 2010 due to nonexistence of data). A 69 secondary purpose was to describe normative values for the newly-defined plane-specific

70 subscales of the LESS. We hypothesized that total LESS score and all plane-specific subscale

71 scores would demonstrate significant degradation of lower extremity movement quality across

the 12 cohorts, reflecting the societal trends outlined above.

73

METHODS

74 Participants

Cadets at the USMA volunteered to participate in the JUMP-ACL study in the years
2005-2017 with the exception of 2010, during which no participants were enrolled. Cadets were
included if they completed 3 trials of the jump-landing task¹⁸ and provided written informed
consent for the study, which was approved by the [removed for blind review] institutional review
board. Cadets who did not complete 3 trials of the LESS or did not provide written informed
consent were excluded from this study.

81 <u>The Landing Error Scoring System (LESS)</u>

82 The LESS is a clinical screening tool for assessing risk of lower extremity injuries and 83 consists of a simple count of landing technique "errors" on a range of readily observable items of 84 human movement.¹ The LESS was originally developed to assess risk of ACL injury, thus every item is an aberrant motion, or movement "error" that is associated with an underlying 85 mechanism of non-contact ACL injury.¹⁹ The LESS has been found to have good intrarater and 86 87 interrater reliability (intrarater ICC = 0.91, SEM 0.42; interrater 0.84, SEM 0.71),¹ as well as 88 concurrent and predictive validity.^{1,20-22} Differences in biomechanical variables in the frontal, 89 sagittal, and transverse planes have been shown to exist between individuals with low and high 90 LESS scores.¹ Total scores and frequencies of individual LESS test items have also been shown to vary systematically between individuals who subsequently incur an ACL injury^{18,22} or lower 91 extremity stress fracture^{23,24} and those who do not. 92

93 The LESS is conducted using a box 30cm in height and two video camcorders. The 94 cameras were set up at a 90-degree angle from one another. Tape was used to mark a distance on 95 the floor a distance equal to 50% of each participant's height from the front of the box. 96 Participants were instructed to jump forward off the box so that both feet left the box 97 simultaneously, land on both feet just past the line of tape on the floor, and then to immediately 98 perform a vertical jump for maximum height (Figure 1). Practice repetitions were performed, if 99 desired by the participant (typically one or two were taken). No feedback or coaching about the 100 participants' movement technique was provided during testing. The LESS consists of 17 items. 101 Items 1-15 were scored as "absent" or "present" in binary (0/1) fashion, and items 16 and 17 102 were scored 0, 1, or 2, with 0 representing "excellent," 1 "average," and 2 "poor." All trials were 103 scored by a rater trained in assessing the LESS. Items exhibited on the participant's dominant 104 limb (i.e., limb used to kick a ball for maximal distance) for at least 2 of the trials were 105 considered "present." Items 16 and 17 were graded 0 for "soft" or "excellent" landings, 1 for 106 "average" landings, and 2 for "stiff" or "poor" landings, respectively.¹⁸ A total LESS score was 107 calculated by summing the number of items 1-15 marked "present" along with the scores of items 16 and 17.¹⁸ In years 2015-17, scoring was completed using a depth camera (Microsoft® 108 KinectTM camera) and markerless motion capture software system (Physimax® (Physimax, Tel 109 110 Aviv, Israel). This system utilizes a depth camera to capture full-body kinematics and processes 111 these data using cloud-based technology and proprietary kinematic machine learning algorithms. 112 These algorithms "extract, track, and dynamically refine virtual markers on each athlete's body" 113 to assess dynamic motion.²⁵ In a study comparing performance of this system scoring the LESS 114 against consensus expert scoring, it was found to have prevalence and bias adjusted kappa 115 statistics (PABAK) of 0.71 ±0.27 averaged across all LESS items.²⁵

116 <u>Demographic Data</u>

All participants enrolled in the JUMP-ACL study were asked to report their sex (female
or male) and ACL injury history status: "have you ever had an Anterior Cruciate Ligament
(ACL) injury?"

- 120 <u>Statistical Analyses</u>
- 121 power analysis

122 An *a priori* power analysis was conducted using nQuery AdvisorTM software (Statistical 123 Solutions Ltd, Cork, Ireland) using an estimated sample size of 6,000 participants, a multiple 124 linear regression model adjusted for two covariates, and a conservatively estimated R² value of 125 0.01. This analysis yielded a power level greater than 99%.²⁶ Thus, this study was clearly 126 sufficiently powered. Due to the high level of power determined in our power analysis, we 127 believed it important to consider what value might represent a clinically meaningful change in 128 LESS scores across the cohorts. While no minimally important difference (MID) has been 129 calculated for the LESS, the standard error of the measurement (SEM) has been found to be less than one point (i.e. one test item)^{1,19} and injury rates have been shown to differ significantly 130 between groups one point apart in total LESS score.²³ It has also been suggested that due to the 131 132 binary nature of most LESS items, a one point difference in total LESS score between two 133 individuals indicates significant differences in biomechanical variables associated with injury 134 risk.^{1,18} Thus, any cumulative change greater than 1.00 (i.e. one LESS test item) across the 12 cohorts was considered a clinically meaningful change. 135

136 *statistical analyses*

137	All analyses were completed using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).
138	Descriptive statistics were calculated for total LESS score as well as frontal/transverse, sagittal,
139	and plane-independent subscales. The frontal/transverse plane subscale was made up of the
140	seven LESS items that occur uniquely in the frontal or transverse planes (Table 1) and was
141	treated as a continuous variable. The sagittal subscale consists of eight LESS items that represent
142	movements unique to the sagittal plane and was treated as a continuous variable. The plane-
143	independent subscale consists of two items that are not unique to any single plane of movement
144	and was treated as a three-level ordinal variable. Constituent items of each subscale were
145	mutually exclusive. Descriptive statistics were also calculated for cohort year, sex, and ACL
146	injury history.

Table 1. Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) subscales

Sagittal plane subscale						
LESS Item	Plane	Туре				
Knee Flexion at Initial Contact	Sagittal	Binary				
Hip Flexion at Initial Contact	Sagittal	Binary				
Trunk Flexion at Initial Contact	Sagittal	Binary				
Ankle Plantarflexion at Initial Contact	Sagittal	Binary				
Knee Flexion Displacement	Sagittal	Binary				
Hip Flexion Displacement	Sagittal	Binary				
Trunk Flexion Displacement	Sagittal	Binary				
Overall Joint Displacement	Sagittal	Ordinal				

Frontal/transverse plane subscale

LESS Item	Plane	Туре	
Medial Knee Position at Initial Contact	Frontal	Binary	-

Lateral Trunk Flexion Angle at Initial Contact	Frontal	Binary
Wide Stance Width	Frontal	Binary
Narrow Stance Width	Frontal	Binary
Foot Position Externally Rotated	Transverse	Binary
Foot Position Internally Rotated	Transverse	Binary
Medial Knee Displacement	Frontal	Binary

Plane-independent subscale

LESS Item	Plane	Туре
Asymmetrical Foot Contact	Independent	Binary
Overall Impression	Independent	Ordinal

147

148 Multiple linear regression analyses adjusted for sex, and ACL injury history were used to 149 test for significant linear trends in total LESS score and the frontal/transverse and sagittal 150 subscales. Sex was included as a covariate due to differences in total score and frequency of 151 several LESS items that exist between the sexes, making adjustment for differences in the sex proportion of each cohort critical when analyzing overall trends.^{1,27,28} We elected to adjust for 152 153 history of ACL injury because LESS scores and item frequencies have been shown to differ 154 significantly in those with a previous ACL injury compared to healthy controls.²⁹ Using the same 155 rationale, multivariable logistic regression using a proportional odds model and table scores was 156 adjusted for sex and ACL injury history to test for linear trends in the plane-independent 157 subscale across the 12 cohorts. Non-parametric locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) 158 was also used to visually examine trends in total LESS score and each LESS subscale across the 159 12 cohorts.

RESULTS

162 <u>Descriptive Statistics</u>

161

163 The overall number of participants included in the study was 7,591. Eighty-seven 164 participants were removed for having zero (n=75) or two (n=12) LESS trials. The number of 165 participants in each cohort ranged from 131 in the 2011 cohort to 1,119 in the 2016 cohort (Table 166 2). The number of female participants was 1,925 (25.4%), with cohort percentages ranging from 167 14.1% (2012) to 50.5% (2009). The percentage of participants reporting a history of ACL injury 168 was 4.3% (n=330) (Table 2; Figure 1). Complete demographic statistics are in Table 2. Total 169 LESS scores ranged from 0 to 14 with a mean (SD) value of 4.99 (2.15) (Table 3). Complete 170 descriptive statistics are in Table 3. Sagittal plane subscale scores ranged from 0 to 8 with a 171 mean of 2.13 (1.40). The frontal/transverse subscale score ranged from 0 to 6 and had a mean of 172 1.67 (1.20). Plane-independent subscale scores ranged from 0 to 3 with a median value of 1.00. 173 The proportion of participants reporting a history of ACL injury ranged from 2.3% (2011) to





187 Figure 1. LOESS graph of proportion reporting ACL injury by cohort year. Reproduced with kind permission from [removed for blind review]

188

Year of entry	n	%	Female	%	Male	%	History of ACL injury	%
2005	405	5.3%	129	31.9%	276	68.1%	12	3.0%
2006	474	6.2%	130	27.4%	344	72.6%	19	4.0%
2007	536	7.1%	191	35.6%	345	64.4%	22	4.1%
2008	405	5.3%	164	40.5%	241	59.5%	25	6.2%
2009	309	4.1%	156	50.5%	153	49.5%	14	4.5%
2010								
2011	131	1.7%	24	18.3%	107	81.7%	3	2.3%
2012	597	7.9%	84	14.1%	513	85.9%	35	5.9%
2013	565	7.4%	81	14.3%	484	85.7%	23	4.1%
2014	944	12.4%	217	23.0%	727	77.0%	32	3.4%
2015	1113	14.7%	252	22.6%	861	77.4%	51	4.6%
2016	1119	14.7%	257	23.0%	862	77.0%	49	4.4%
2017	993	13.1%	240	24.2%	753	75.8%	45	4.5%
Total	7591	100.0%	1925	25.4%	5666	74.6%	330	4.3%

Table 2. Participant Demographics

Year of	LESS*	SD	Sagittal subscale		Frontal/transverse subscale		Plane independent subscale	
entry	score	Ŷ	score	SD	score	SD	score	SD
2		2.3		1.6				
2005	5.61	9	3.13	1	1.24	1	1.24	0.6
		2.0				0.8		
2006	5.1	1	2.6	1.5	1.38	4	1.12	0.5
		1.9		1.2		0.9		0.5
2007	4.75	2	2.8	7	0.84	1	1.11	8
		2.3		1.5		0.8		0.6
2008	5.12	6	2.62	2	1.32	7	1.18	5
		1.9		1.4		0.8		0.5
2009	7.93	4	2.27	7	4.35	7	1.3	2
2010								
		2.1		1.3		1.0		0.6
2011	5.02	5	1.64	4	2.06	9	1.32	1
		2.3		1.4		1.1		0.6
2012	5.4	1	2.06	5	2	1	1.34	3
		2.2		1.2				0.6
2013	5.42	1	1.93	9	2.15	1.1	1.33	3
		2.1		1.2		1.1		
2014	4.84	5	1.6	2	2	4	1.25	0.6
		1.9		1.2				
2015	4.36	5	1.91	6	1.29	1	1.17	0.5
		1.6		1.2		0.9		0.4
2016	4.56	6	1.86	7	1.61	3	1.08	2
		1.7		1.1		0.9		0.4
2017	4.94	8	1.92	6	1.44	1	1.13	9
		2.1						0.5
Overall	4.99	5	2.13	1.4	1.67	1.2	1.19	5

univariable regression

193 Simple linear regression was completed utilizing cohort year as the explanatory variable 194 and total LESS score and each continuous subscale score as the response variable. 195 For each subsequent study year, total LESS score decreased by an average of 0.09 points (i.e. 196 items) (β =-0.09, p<0.01) (Table 4; Figure 2). Similarly, the sagittal plane subscale score also significantly decreased. (β =-0.09, p<0.01). No changes were observed in the frontal/transverse 197 198 plane subscale (p=0.26). Simple logistic regression utilizing a proportional odds model yielded 199 an odds ratio estimate of 1.02 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.03, p=0.01) for the plane-independent subscale, 200 suggesting that for each subsequent study year, plane-independent subscale scores increased by 201 an average of 0.02 items. The unadjusted odds ratio for self-reported ACL injury history by 202 cohort year was 1.00 (95% CI: 0.97, 1.02; p=0.71).





Figure 2. LOESS graph of LESS Variables by cohort year. Reproduced with kind permission from [removed for blind review]

	Una	djusted estimates		Ad	justed estimates*	
Variable	Parameter estimate	95% confidence interval	p- value	Parameter estimate	95% Confidence interval	p- value
Total LESS score	-0.09	-0.10, -0.08	<0.01	-0.08	-0.10, -0.07	p<0.0 1 n≤0.0
Sagittal subscale score Frontal/transverse subscale	-0.09	-0.10, -0.08	<0.01 p=0.2	-0.09	-0.10, -0.08	p<0.0 1 p<0.0
score Plane independent subscale	0.004	-0.03, 0.01	6 p=0.0	0.01	0.01, 0.02	1 n=0.1
score [†]	1.02	1.01, 1.03	p=0.0 1	1.01	1.00, 1.02	p=0.1 7
ACL [‡] injury history [§]	1.00	0.97, 1.02	p=0.7 1	0.99	0.97, 1.02	p=0.6 7

Table 4. Crude and adjusted estimates for changes in Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) variables 2005-2017

*adjusted for sex and ACL injury history

† represent odds ratio values obtained from logistic regression using a

proportional odds model

‡ Anterior Cruciate Ligament

 \S represent odds ratio values obtained from binary logistic regression model

215

216 *multivariable regression*

217 A multivariable linear regression model adjusted for sex and ACL injury history yielded 218 a parameter estimate of -0.08 (p<0.01) for total LESS score, suggesting that for each subsequent 219 year of the study, LESS scores decreased by 0.08 points, adjusted for sex and ACL injury 220 history. The sagittal plane subscale had an estimate of -0.09 (p<0.01), and the frontal/transverse 221 subscale had an estimate of 0.01 (p=0.02). Multivariable logistic regression utilizing a 222 proportional odds model adjusted for sex and ACL injury history yielded an odds ratio estimate 223 of 1.01 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.02, p=0.17) for the plane-independent subscale. The odds ratio for self-224 reported ACL injury history by cohort year, adjusted for sex, was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.97, 1.02; 225 p=0.71).

226

DISCUSSION

This study is the largest to date examining LESS scores in any population. As such, it provides updated normative values for total LESS scores for each sex. This study is also the first to define and explore subscales of the LESS for potential clinical and research uses.

230 Mean total LESS scores obtained for all cohorts and both sexes were similar to values 231 reported in previous studies.^{1,27,28} There was an inverse relationship between the number of 232 sagittal plane errors and cohort year, and a direct relationship between number of 233 frontal/transverse plane errors and cohort year. However, despite demonstrating statistical 234 significance, both of these associations were weak and neither parameter estimate had a 95% 235 confidence interval with a value large enough to indicate a change greater than or equal to one 236 point (LESS item) occurred during the study period (sagittal parameter estimate -0.09, 95% CI: -237 0.10, -0.08; frontal/transverse subscale parameter estimate 0.01, 95% CI: 0.01, 0.02) (Table 4). 238 Trends in the plane independent subscale failed to achieve statistical significance (p=0.16 and 239 p=0.78, respectively), and the parameter estimates of both subscales suggest that changes over 240 the study period were minuscule.

241 The lack of any meaningful change in movement quality during the study period is 242 remarkable in light of the societal trends in youth physical activity levels and sport specialization 243 in recent years. We hypothesized that these trends would have an adverse effect on the lower 244 extremity movement quality of American youth, including incoming cadets at USMA, and that 245 such an influence would be detectable by a valid and reliable test of movement quality like the 246 LESS. Though this study was sufficiently powered to detect such an effect, none was found. One 247 potential reason for this discrepancy is that applicants to USMA may be significantly more likely 248 to participate in diverse modes of physical activity and sports relative to their peers as recreation 249 or in preparation for entry into the Academy. USMA has rigorous physical education and sport

250 participation requirements and military service has fitness requirements that must be maintained 251 at all times. These features likely motivate incoming cadets to engage in a variety of modes of 252 exercise and may dissuade any less experienced or physically fit individuals from applying, 253 leading to a self-selected group that may differ significantly in factors that affect movement 254 quality and consequently LESS scores from their age-group peers. Additional studies should 255 attempt to elucidate 1) if the patterns seen in USMA cadets in this study continue into the future 256 2) if these patterns occur in other military populations (e.g. individuals enlisting in the general 257 Army) and 3) if these patterns appear in the general public.

258 The LOESS graphs generated provide great visual insight into trends in all response 259 variables and covariates during the study period. Of particular interest is the spike in both total 260 LESS score and frontal/transverse subscale scores in 2009 (Figure 2). These trends parallel a 261 significant increase in the proportion of females during this year (50.5% female in 2009 versus 262 overall average of 25.4%). Previous research has established that LESS composite scores tend to 263 be greater in females^{1,27,28} and that females demonstrate more errors in the frontal plane.³⁰ 264 However, when LOESS graphs were created stratified on sex the noted trend appeared within 265 females and males, respectively, suggesting that this trend was not driven by the proportion of 266 females but by chance or some other factor unknown to the authors.

267 <u>Strengths and limitations</u>

There are several strengths and limitations to this study. Strengths include a large sample size and use of a reliable and valid assessment of movement quality to examine trends over time. The primary limitation of this paper is selection bias. Recruitment of participants was dependent on the cooperation of senior leadership at USMA. Due to a heavily congested schedule for incoming cadets, there was limited availability of cadets to participate in this study. Cadets in

273 years 2005-2009 were recruited while waiting in line for additional screenings and interviews. 274 As a part of a separate sub-study study in 2009, an effort was made to maximize the number of 275 females in the sample. In 2011, as a part of another separate sub-study, only a small number of 276 participants was recruited. In 2012, LESS testing was moved to coincide with the timing and 277 location of the Army Physical Fitness Test (APFT) assessment, and as a result study 278 participation increased dramatically. Taken together, these differing recruiting methods and goals 279 over the years may have resulted in a selection bias. However, this is still the largest study to 280 date to examine trends in movement quality in American youth. 281 Another significant limitation is the delineation of the construct of movement quality to 282 the items on the LESS-17. We limited our analyses to those 17 movement patterns represented 283 in the items LESS-17 because that was the only assessment of movement quality for which we 284 had data for all years in the study period. However, we recognize that the construct of lower 285 extremity movement quality extends beyond the limits of this assessment, and that there are 286 several other valid assessments of lower extremity movement quality. 287 CONCLUSION 288 Lower extremity movement quality as assessed by the LESS was stable in incoming 289 USMA cadets during the years 2005-2017. Minor decreases in total LESS score and sagittal 290 plane subscale were observed, along with minor increases in frontal/transverse subscale score. 291 However, these changes were not meaningful and resulted in estimated changes of less than one 292 LESS item over the course of the study period. The findings of this study support the reliability 293 and construct validity of the LESS through observed sex differences in total score and item 294 frequencies.

295

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

296	This w	ork was previously published in part as part of a doctoral dissertation. [citation removed					
297	for blind review]						
298							
299		<u>REFERENCES</u>					
300 301 302 303	1.	Padua DA, Marshall SW, Boling MC, Thigpen CA, Garrett WE, Jr., Beutler AI. The Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) Is a valid and reliable clinical assessment tool of jump-landing biomechanics: The JUMP-ACL study. <i>The American journal of sports medicine</i> . 2009;37(10):1996-2002.					
304 305 306	2.	Bassett DR, John D, Conger SA, Fitzhugh EC, Coe DP. Trends in Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviors of United States Youth. <i>Journal of physical activity & health</i> . 2015:12(8):1102-1111.					
307 308 309	3.	Jayanthi NA, LaBella CR, Fischer D, Pasulka J, Dugas LR. Sports-specialized intensive training and the risk of injury in young athletes: a clinical case-control study. <i>The American journal of sports medicine</i> 2015;43(4):794-801					
310 311 312	4.	Herzog MM, Marshall SW, Lund JL, Pate V, Mack CD, Spang JT. Trends in Incidence of ACL Reconstruction and Concomitant Procedures Among Commercially Insured Individuals in the United States 2002-2014 Sports health 2018:10(6):523-531					
313 314 315	5.	Bassett DR, John D, Conger SA, Fitzhugh EC, Coe DP. Trends in Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviors of United States Youth. <i>Journal of physical activity & health</i> . 2015;12(8):1102-1111.					
316 317 318	6.	Outdoor Industry Association. <i>Outdoor Recreation Participation Report 2010</i> . Retrieved from https://outdoorindustry.org/resource/outdoor-recreation-participation-report-2010. Accessed 9/30/2018.					
319 320 321 322	7.	Hsu LL, Nevin RL, Tobler SK, Rubertone MV. Trends in overweight and obesity among 18-year-old applicants to the United States military, 1993-2006. <i>The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine</i> . 2007;41(6):610-612.					
323 324	8.	Jayanthi N, Pinkham C, Dugas L, Patrick B, Labella C. Sports specialization in young athletes: evidence-based recommendations. <i>Sports health.</i> 2013;5(3):251-257.					
325 326 327	9.	Pasulka J, Jayanthi N, McCann A, Dugas LR, LaBella C. Specialization patterns across various youth sports and relationship to injury risk. <i>The Physician and sportsmedicine</i> . 2017;45(3):344-352.					
328 329 330	10.	Bell DR, Post EG, Trigsted SM, Hetzel S, McGuine TA, Brooks MA. Prevalence of Sport Specialization in High School Athletics: A 1-Year Observational Study. <i>The</i> <i>American journal of sports medicine</i> . 2016;44(6):1469-1474.					
331 332 333	11.	Post EG, Trigsted SM, Riekena JW, et al. The Association of Sport Specialization and Training Volume With Injury History in Youth Athletes. <i>The American journal of sports medicine</i> . 2017;45(6):1405-1412.					
334 335 336	12.	Myer GD, Jayanthi N, Difiori JP, et al. Sport Specialization, Part I: Does Early Sports Specialization Increase Negative Outcomes and Reduce the Opportunity for Success in Young Athletes? <i>Sports health.</i> 2015;7(5):437-442.					

337 13. Myer GD, Jayanthi N, DiFiori JP, et al. Sports Specialization, Part II: Alternative 338 Solutions to Early Sport Specialization in Youth Athletes. Sports health. 2016;8(1):65-339 73. 340 14. McGuine TA, Post EG, Hetzel SJ, Brooks MA, Trigsted S, Bell DR. A Prospective Study 341 on the Effect of Sport Specialization on Lower Extremity Injury Rates in High School 342 Athletes. The American journal of sports medicine. 2017;45(12):2706-2712. 343 Dodwell ER, Lamont LE, Green DW, Pan TJ, Marx RG, Lyman S. 20 years of pediatric 15. 344 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in New York State. The American journal of 345 sports medicine. 2014;42(3):675-680. 346 16. Stanley LE, Kerr ZY, Dompier TP, Padua DA. Sex Differences in the Incidence of 347 Anterior Cruciate Ligament, Medial Collateral Ligament, and Meniscal Injuries in 348 Collegiate and High School Sports: 2009-2010 Through 2013-2014. The American 349 journal of sports medicine. 2016;44(6):1565-1572. 350 Herzog MM, Marshall SW, Lund JL, Pate V, Mack CD, Spang JT. Incidence of Anterior 17. 351 Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Among Adolescent Females in the United States, 2002 352 Through 2014. JAMA pediatrics. 2017. Padua DA, DiStefano LJ, Beutler AI, de la Motte SJ, DiStefano MJ, Marshall SW. The 353 18. 354 Landing Error Scoring System as a Screening Tool for an Anterior Cruciate Ligament 355 Injury-Prevention Program in Elite-Youth Soccer Athletes. Journal of athletic training. 356 2015;50(6):589-595. 357 19. Padua DA, Boling MC, Distefano LJ, Onate JA, Beutler AI, Marshall SW. Reliability of 358 the landing error scoring system-real time, a clinical assessment tool of jump-landing 359 biomechanics. Journal of sport rehabilitation. 2011;20(2):145-156. 360 20. Boling MC, Padua DA. Item specific reliability analyses of the Landing Error Scoring 361 System (LESS). Medicine and Science in Sports and Eercise. 2005;37(5):S124. 362 Padua DA, Marshall SW, Onate, JA. Reliability and validity of the Landing Error 21. 363 Scoring System: implications on ACL injury risk assessment. Journal of athletic training. 364 2004;39(2):S110. 365 22. Padua DA, Marshall SW, Beutler AI, Boling MC, Thigpen CA. Differences in jump-366 landing technique between ACL injured and non-injured individuals: a prospective cohort 367 study. Journal of athletic training. 2007;42(2):S85. 368 23. Cameron KL, PK, Owens BD, Svoboda, SJ, DiStefano LJ, Marshall SW, Motte S, 369 Beutler AI, Padua DA. Landing Error Scoring System (LESS) Items are Associated with 370 the Incidence Rate of Lower Extremity Stress Fracture. Orthopaedic journal of sports 371 medicine. 2014;2(2S). 372 Eckard TG, Padua DA, Miraldi SFP, Peck KY, Posner MA, DiStefano LJ, Marshall SW, 24. Cameron KL. Association between performance on the landing error scoring system and 373 374 bone stress injury risk in US Military Academy cadets. Journal of athletic training. 375 2019;54(6s):126. 376 Mauntel TC, Padua DA, Stanley LE, et al. Automated Quantification of the Landing 25. 377 Error Scoring System With a Markerless Motion-Capture System. Journal of athletic 378 training. 2017;52(11):1002-1009. 379 26. Gastonis C, Sampson A. Multiple correlation: exact power and sample size calculations. 380 Psychological Bulletin. 1989;106(1):516-524.

- 381 27. Beutler A, de la Motte S, Marshall S, Padua D, Boden B. Muscle strength and qualitative
 382 jump-landing differences in male and female military cadets: the JUMP-ACL study.
 383 *Journal of sports science & medicine*. 2009;8:663-671.
- 384 28. Theiss JL, Gerber JP, Cameron KL, et al. Jump-landing differences between varsity, club,
 385 and intramural athletes: the Jump-ACL Study. *Journal of strength and conditioning*386 *research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*. 2014;28(4):1164-1171.
- Bell DR, Smith MD, Pennuto AP, Stiffler MR, Olson ME. Jump-landing mechanics after
 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a landing error scoring system study. *Journal of athletic training*. 2014;49(4):435-441.
- 30. Lam KC, Valovich McLeod TC. The impact of sex and knee injury history on jumplanding patterns in collegiate athletes: a clinical evaluation. *Clinical journal of sport medicine: official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine*. 2014;24(5):373379.



LESS-17 scores by year of entry at USMA in Females

