

Defining a Research Agenda for Youth Sport Specialization in the United States: The AMSSM Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit

Stephanie A. Kliethermes, PhD,* Stephen W. Marshall, PhD,† Cynthia R. LaBella, MD,‡§ Andrew M. Watson, MD, MS,¶ Joel S. Brenner, MD, MPH,|| Kyle B. Nagle, MD, MPH,** Neeru Jayanthi, MD,†† M. Alison Brooks, MD, MPH,¶¶ Adam S. Tenforde, MD,‡‡ Daniel C. Herman, MD, PhD,§§ John P. DiFiori, MD,¶¶¶ and Anthony I. Beutler, MD|||***

Abstract: Sport specialization is becoming increasingly common among youth and adolescent athletes in the United States and many have raised concern about this trend. Although research on sport specialization has grown significantly, numerous pressing questions remain pertaining to short- and long-term effects of specialization on the health and well-being of youth, including the increased risk of overuse injury and burnout. Many current elite athletes did not specialize at an early age. Methodological and study design limitations impact the quality of current literature, and researchers need to prioritize pressing research questions to promote safe and healthy youth sport participation. The American Medical Society for Sports Medicine hosted a Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit in April 2019 with the goal of synthesizing and reviewing current scientific knowledge and developing a research agenda to guide future research in the field based on the identified gaps in knowledge. This statement provides a broad summary of the existing literature, gaps and limitations in current evidence, and identifies key research priorities to help guide researchers conducting research on youth sport specialization. Our goals are to help improve the quality and relevance of research on youth sport specialization and to ultimately assure that opportunities for healthy and safe sport participation continue for all youth.

Key Words: youth sport specialization, research priorities

(*Clin J Sport Med* 2021;31:103–112)

INTRODUCTION

Youth sport participation patterns in the United States have drastically changed over the past decade. The primary focus of youth sports has shifted from one of participation, fun, and fitness to one increasingly centered on training and performance. In some communities and youth environments, opportunities for unstructured free play (eg, neighborhood and backyard sports) have been reduced due to the demands of intensive sport teams training

year-round. Numerous benefits, including physical and cognitive growth, have been associated with unstructured free play among youth.^{1,2} According to one study of nearly 1200 young athletes, there is a decreased risk of injury when children do not exceed a 2:1 ratio of number of weekly hours spent in organized sports to unstructured free play.³

Sport specialization is typically defined as intense training in a single sport to the exclusion of all other sports.^{4–6} It is estimated that between 10% and 30% of youth athletes in the United States

Submitted for publication May 15, 2020; accepted May 28, 2020.

From the *Department of Orthopedics & Rehabilitation, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin; †Department of Epidemiology, Injury Prevention Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina; ‡Department of Pediatrics, Northwestern University's Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois; §Institute for Sports Medicine, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; ¶Department of Orthopedics and Rehabilitation, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin; ||Sports Medicine Division, Children's Specialty Group, PLLC, Sports Medicine Program, Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Department of Pediatrics, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, Virginia; ††Department of Pediatrics and Orthopedics and Rehabilitation, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, Washington; †††Department of Orthopedics, Department of Family Medicine, Emory Sports Medicine Center, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; ††††Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; †††††Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida; ¶¶Primary Sports Medicine Service, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, New York; |||Musculoskeletal Clinical Program, Intermountain Healthcare, Salt Lake City, Utah; and ***Department of Family Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland.

Development of this research agenda was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS) of the National Institutes of Health under award number 1R13AR074878.

J. S. Brenner reports personal fees from UpToDate, outside the submitted work. M. A. Brooks reports grants from NIH, during the conduct of the study; other from American Academy of Pediatrics, other from American Medical Society for Sports Medicine, outside the submitted work. The remaining authors report no conflicts of interest.

All authors contributed to the conception and design of this manuscript. S. A. Kliethermes wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors approve of the final version of the manuscript.

Corresponding Author: Stephanie A. Kliethermes, PhD, Department of Orthopedics & Rehabilitation University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, 6147 Uw Med Fndtn, Centennial Bldg, 1685 Highland Ave, Madison, WI 53705 (kliethermes@ortho.wisc.edu).

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.cjsportmed.com).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000900>

specialize in a single sport at a mean age of approximately 12 to 14 years.⁷⁻⁹ One of the factors driving this specialization trend may be the belief that starting intensive training at an early age is necessary for achieving elite levels in sport (eg, national or Olympic team, collegiate scholarship, and professional sports contract). The available evidence, however, suggests that although specialization at an early age may be advantageous for achieving elite status in a few individual sports where highly technical skills are honed through repetition beginning at a young age (eg, rhythmic gymnastics, diving, and figure skating),¹⁰⁻¹² early specialization does not seem to be essential for achieving elite status in many other types of sports (eg, team sports such as soccer or baseball).⁹

Clinicians and researchers have expressed concern about the psychosocial and health effects of the escalating trend of sport specialization among youth, particularly in early- and pre-pubescent athletes younger than 12 years of age.^{13,14} There is concern that sport specialization may result in an increased risk of overuse-type injury and early dropout from sports participation due to physical and emotional burn-out.^{13,14} Several professional medical organizations in the United States [including The American Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM), the American Orthopedic Society for Sports Medicine (AOSSM), the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), and the National Athletic Trainers' Association (NATA)] have articulated concerns about youth sport specialization and overuse injuries in young athletes.¹³⁻¹⁷ Some organizations have attempted to outline general recommendations for sports participation and young athlete development. Yet, substantial gaps exist in the scientific literature regarding the effect of specialization on motor control development, sport performance, musculoskeletal injury risk, psychosocial outcomes, burnout, and attrition, and on optimal strategies for youth athlete training and development in specific sports. These research gaps represent key impediments in the development of evidence-based clinical recommendations aimed at improving the health and safety of children and adolescents participating in sport.

The physical and psychological benefits of participation in youth sports are widely acknowledged; yet, only 38% of children aged 6 to 12 years participated regularly on a team or individual sport in 2018, representing an 8% decline from 2008.¹⁸ Participation in youth sports has been linked to increased physical activity in adulthood.^{19,20} Sports have historically offered a fun, social, and safe environment for youth. Unfortunately, according to the National Youth Sport Parent Survey, children quit most sports by 11 years of age,²¹ with girls dropping out of sports at a higher rate than boys.^{22,23} Although the reasons for this are not fully elucidated,²⁴ the trend toward youth sport specialization at a young age is thought to be a contributing factor. This points to a larger public health issue associated with youth sport specialization, given only 20% of adolescents currently meet the recommended physical activity guidelines of at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity each day.²⁵ Based on current estimates for rates of youth sport specialization, it has been suggested that up to 20 million young athletes may be highly specialized.²⁶ In addition, US healthcare costs, as a result of injuries attributable to youth sport specialization, have been estimated to be between \$800 million to \$5.2 billion annually.²⁶

Both physically inactive youth and those participating in excessive training load for sports cause reasons for concern. The US Department of Health and Human Services released

the National Youth Sports Strategy in 2019, which aims to overcome barriers to youth sport participation and increase access across the United States.²⁷ Sports provide an opportunity for youth to regularly engage in physical activity and to develop numerous positive habits that have the potential to extend into adulthood. Thus, any barriers to sport participation are of great public health concern. However, many young athletes with ample access and numerous opportunities for sport participation may be pushed to participate in ways that may be harmful to their short- and long-term health. These “yin and yang” sports participation groups illustrate the public health concern to be addressed: what are the optimal implementation strategies to increase levels of *healthy* youth sports participation across all socioeconomic strata and athletic ability groups?

The AMSSM's Collaborative Research Network (CRN) hosted a research summit on Youth Early Sport Specialization in April 2019. The Summit aimed to (1) synthesize and review current scientific knowledge in the field of youth athlete training and development because it relates to youth sport specialization, and (2) develop a research agenda for the United States to inform future research efforts in the field based on existing evidence and identified gaps in evidence. This research statement uses the assimilated knowledge from the Summit to provide a broad overview of current gaps in knowledge, identify key research priorities, and provide guidance for researchers pursuing studies in the space of youth sport specialization. This statement fills a unique and important need in the area of youth sport because no consensus exists on how best to address the existing public health concerns of youth sport participation and how to advise young athletes, parents, coaches, and sport administrators regarding the benefits and risks of youth sport specialization in the United States.

METHODS

The Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit was held on April 12, 2019, in Houston, Texas, and was supported in part by a National Institute of Health R13 grant (1R13AR074878). The research summit panel was composed of individuals and organization representatives in North America with research and community-based expertise or interest in youth sport participation. Panelists included members of AMSSM and other key stakeholder medical organizations (including AOSSM, AAP, and NATA) and nonmedical influential leaders in youth sports promotion and governance. A complete list of Summit speakers and organizational stakeholders is provided in **Supplemental Digital Content 1** (see **Table 1**, <http://links.lww.com/JSM/A251>). The meeting was open to the public and broadly publicized to encourage attendance and engagement with clinicians, researchers, advocates, and other interested groups.

Before the Summit, 3 writing groups composed of sports medicine physicians and individuals with expertise in research methodology and youth sport participation and specialization in the United States were formed. Leaders of the AMSSM CRN identified and invited individuals with youth sport expertise within AMSSM who had published original research, reviews, and position statements on this topic, had affiliations with external medical groups, and had demonstrated strong research experience to be members of the overall planning committee and individual writing groups. Members of this committee then completed a review process to identify individuals external to AMSSM who would

enhance expertise, diversity, and experience of the conference as panelists. These 3 writing groups conducted an extensive review of the literature assessing (1) the impact of sport specialization on child and athlete performance and development, (2) the impact of youth sport specialization on musculoskeletal injury risk and early discontinuation of sport, and (3) organizational guidelines and recommendations for healthy and safe youth sport participation (see **Table 2, Supplemental Digital Content 1**, <http://links.lww.com/JSM/A251>). To ensure consistency among the 3 writing groups, cochairs of each group discussed and agreed upon common search strategies and work plans (eg, screening, eligibility review, and abstraction) for their respective review topics. Specifically, the groups identified consistent terms and sources to be used during the systematic searches (see **Table 3, Supplemental Digital Content 1**, <http://links.lww.com/JSM/A251>), appropriate inclusion and exclusion criteria for each review, and eligible definitions of sport specialization. To promote broad inclusion of potential research articles during the review process, an initial classification system for definitions of sport specialization used in the sports medicine literature was developed based on writing group knowledge of commonly used definitions in the literature (Table 1). To ensure consistency and cohesion among writing groups' search strategy, cochairs of each writing group met regularly to refine or add constructs as necessary. Results of the reviews were presented during the Summit and used to facilitate interactions and solution-oriented discussions among key stakeholders. The reviews and important conclusions from the Summit serve as the basis for the development of this evidence-based research agenda. Although the reviews were not restricted to research conducted in the United States, the intent was to develop research priorities focused on sport specialization within the United States. Members of the Summit's writing groups all had the opportunity to provide input toward the development of this research agenda before, during, and after the research Summit.

MAIN FINDINGS

Current Evidence

Published research on sport specialization continues to grow, especially over the past 3 years. A naive PubMed search for the term "sport specialization" identified 166 articles published before 2010. Search results increased steadily over the past decade with $n = 87$ publications on this topic in 2019 alone (Figure). The available evidence has identified several themes.

Impact of Sport Specialization on Child and Athlete Performance and Development

A growing body of literature suggests youth sport specialization is not a necessary pathway to achieve elite (D1 collegiate, professional, national, and international) performance in most sports.⁹ Youth who specialize early and those who do not specialize until mid adolescence both achieve elite performance outcomes. The exception may be women's gymnastics or figure skating,¹⁰⁻¹² where peak performance often occurs before puberty, so early specialization is usually necessary to reach elite levels. However, research remains limited for these sports. For all other

TABLE 1. Definitions of Sports Specialization Used in Sports Medicine Literature

Construct(s)	Operational Definition (Reference to Example Studies)
Participates in one sport only	Participation in a single sport (specialized) versus multisport participation (not-specialized). ^{33,47}
	Exclusive participation in main sport ^{48,49}
Chose to be unisport	Quit other sports to focus on main sport ⁸
Year-round participation	Participation in primary sport to the exclusion of other sports at or before 12 year old ⁵⁰
	Train or participate in primary sport more than 8 months out of year. ^{51,52}
Multiple-item scales	Jayanthi 3-item scale ^{3,53} :
	1) Primary sport more important than others;
	2) Quit other sports to focus on one sport;
	3) Train or participate in primary sport more than 8 months out of year
	Jayanthi 6-item scale ^{54,55} :
	1) Train more than 75% time in primary sport;
2) Train to improve skill and miss time with friends as a result;	
3) Regularly travel out of state for primary sport;	
4) Quit other sports to focus on 1 sport;	
5) Primary sport more important than others;	
6) Train or participate in primary sport more than 8 months out of year	

sports studied, elite athletes tend to specialize later than their nonelite or semielite peers at approximately 14 to 15 years compared to less than 12 years. In addition, youth sport specialization has not been shown to improve task-related performance outcomes (eg, neuromuscular control, standing broad jump, anterior reach, etc.) throughout childhood and adolescence.⁹

Impact of Youth Sport Specialization on Musculoskeletal Injury Risk and Early Discontinuation of Sport

Before 2019, 14 research studies assessed the relationship between youth sport specialization and injury outcomes, primarily overuse injury (Marshall SW, Herzog MM, Labella C, et al. Effects of youth sport specialization on injury and physical activity: A systematic review following the 2019 Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit. Unpublished manuscript in preparation). These associations between youth sport specialization and injury risk have been shown to persist even after controlling for training load (but only 3 of the 14 studies controlled for training load). The question of whether sport specialization may increase risk for overuse injury independent of training load (eg, volume and intensity of all organized training, practice, and competition sessions) remains a largely unexamined research topic. The lack of evidence raises concerns about the trend toward sport *specialization* at an increasingly early age.




Figure. Number of articles retrieved from PubMed using the term “sport specialization” by year of publication, 2010 to 2019.

Organizational Guidelines and Recommendations for Healthy and Safe Youth Sport Participation

Numerous medical organizations and sport governing bodies have published recommendations to promote healthy and safe youth sport participation, including guidelines addressing sport specialization (Herman DC, Nelson V, Montalvo A, et al. Current Health Organization Guidelines Relevant to Youth Sport Specialization: A Systematic Review following the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM) Collaborative Research Network’s 2019 Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit. Unpublished manuscript in preparation, Tenforde A, Montalvo A, et al. Current Organizational Recommendations Regarding Youth Sport Participation: A Systematic Synthesis Following the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM) Collaborative Research Network’s 2019 Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit. Unpublished manuscript in preparation.). Unfortunately, published recommendations tend to be based both on expert opinion and existing evidence (Table 2). Most medical organizations with published guidelines agree that specialization should be delayed until after puberty (late adolescence, ~15 or 16 years of age)²⁸ but the expert guidelines do not consistently define the appropriate age for specialization. Instead, the organizations promote sport sampling in the pre-pubertal developmental years, with the notion that children should not participate in multiple sports during the same season. The AAP, AMSSM, and AOSSM all include figure skating and gymnastics as potential exceptions to this guideline, but specialization research focused on these sports remains scarce.^{10–12} All published position statements from the medical organizations expressed concern regarding the potential psychosocial risks of specialization, including “burnout,” despite limited research evaluating potential associations. Organizations have identified additional focus areas for healthy and safe sport participation including appropriate approaches for psychological development and

physical development—including training load and the need for quality facilities and resources. Finally, the various guidelines make extensive use of medical terminology and are not always presented in a manner conducive to effective implementation by coaches and administrators.

Research Gaps and Limitations of Current Evidence

Prospective Research

We identified numerous research gaps during both the literature review process and at the research Summit. Most notably, we found no population-based prospective studies that follow youth throughout their organized sport career. To date, most study designs have been retrospective recall or cross-sectional (Table 2), limiting the ability of investigators to identify causal relationships between youth sport specialization and either positive or negative health and performance outcomes. Potential reasons for the lack of prospective studies on youth athletes include the intensive resources required to follow youth for most of their developmental years as well as the difficulty in prospectively operationalizing the multiple sport pathways and opportunities for youth athletes.

Sport-Specific Research

In addition, limited information is available regarding potential sport-specific factors that are predictive of negative or positive effects of youth sport specialization and whether these factors differ by sex. For example, the role of family and personal psychosocial mediators, such as parental versus youth desire and drive to focus on and participate competitively in one sport, remains relatively unexplored. Although data on such important mediators are critical to developing effective guidelines and implementing successful clinician-led interventions, we identified few studies addressing this topic.^{29–31} Similarly, the effects of specialization on outcomes of interest have been hypothesized to

TABLE 2. Summary of Systematic Reviews of Current Evidence Through 2018

Outcomes and Topics	Study Design			
	Cross-Sectional*	Prospective	Case-Control	Mixed†
Writing group 1: Impact of sport specialization on child and athlete performance and development				
Career performance	15	0	0	
Task performance	7	0	0	
Sport-specific skill performance	0	0	0	
Writing group 2: Impact of youth sport specialization on musculoskeletal injury Risk and early discontinuation of sport				
Injury	9	4	1	
Physical activity, sport participation	6	1	0	
Biomechanics	1	0	0	
Subclinical abnormalities identified via imaging	1	1	0	
Writing group 3: Organizational guidelines and recommendations for healthy and safe youth sport Participation				
Psychological development, approach				4
Physical development, load				8
Facilities and resources				6
Specialization				8

* Studies involving historical survey recall as well as studies assessing prevalent attributes in a cohort are classified as cross-sectional.

† Mixed = number of associations or organizations with published statements based on a combination of evidence-based findings and expert opinion.

differ depending on the sport. Again, few research studies have assessed the role of sport type on potential associations between youth sport specialization and health or performance outcomes including psychosocial measures and dropout from sport.

Performance and Sport-Specific Skill Research

Studies demonstrate that both youth who specialize early in a sport and those who participate in multiple sports through mid adolescence can successfully obtain elite performance in a wide variety of sports. Unfortunately, the constructs and rationale that would explain why both competing pathways (multisport participation vs single-sport specialization) can lead to elite success have yet to be elucidated. For example, athletes participating in multiple sports may simply have better physical conditioning and superior motor learning and neuromuscular integrative capacity relative to their specialized peers and thus do not need to focus on a single sport year-round to achieve performance success at the elite level. Alternatively, a child's natural athleticism may promote both multiple sport participation and long-term success as a result of early success in multiple sports. It may also be that youth who sample multiple sports have a greater opportunity to find the sport best suited for their individual potential and skillset. Available research has not demonstrated a benefit in fitness or gross motor ability outcomes for athletes who specialize compared to those who do not,^{32,33} but more research is needed for a consensus to be reached. It is possible that youth who are more proficient in fitness and coordination tests may be more likely to participate in a variety of sports at young ages. In addition, talented athletes may be attracted to and encouraged to participate in multiple sports for longer because they experience success in all these sports or because coaches may desire their contributions on numerous teams.

Team ball sports may also benefit from a crossover effect where training in one sport (eg, soccer) can improve general skills and performance in all team ball sports due to transfer of

skills such as pattern recognition and anticipation, decision-making, and movement adaptability and automaticity.³⁴ However, no longitudinal studies exist assessing the potential association between youth sport specialization and development of sport-specific skills. It remains unknown whether the widespread belief that specializing in a sport at a young age can lead to increased sport-specific skill in some sports is accurate, or conversely, whether sampling multiple sports leads to a crossover effect in skill development.

Need for Further Research

Study Design Considerations

Given these research gaps and limitations, there is a need for research assessing the sport selection process that longitudinally assesses factors influencing sport selection or specialization in a population of young athletes. Such studies should ideally span the maturation phase from the pre-adolescent phase to adulthood and include not only measurement of long-term athlete development and performance outcome measures, but also physical and mental health. There is also a need for prospective sport-specific studies, with measurable outcomes pertinent to those particular sports, that follow youth for the entirety of their athletic careers—including through young adulthood. Although such studies have been conducted in the general population [eg, the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health)³⁵], a similar approach in studying young athletes is lacking.

Role of Training Load

Future studies, particularly studies addressing injury risks, should also account for the complex interaction of an athlete's training load (eg, volume and intensity of all organized training, practice, and competition sessions) in combination with youth sport specialization. Accounting for training load is important when assessing whether youth sport specialization is an independent risk

factor for outcomes such as overuse injury. Only 3 studies to date have used analytical observational study designs when assessing injury risk and controlled for training load.^{3,36,37} Future studies need to build on this foundation and should ensure that both youth sport specialization and training load are independently assessed, to avoid confounding effects of specialization by changes in training load. This includes not just increases in sport-specific practice and competition, but also shifts to more repetitive training of specific tasks, such as repetitive overtraining of pitching by youth baseball players. The role of showcases (ie, events specifically designed to allow athletes to showcase their talent to coaches and recruiters) and private coaches in promoting potentially harmful repetitive training is largely understudied.

Conceptual and Operational Definition

Methodologic gaps and limitations were also identified during the literature review process. Several different definitions of sport specialization exist in the literature (Table 1), and these definitions lead to athletes being classified differently (and at times incorrectly) depending on the definition used.³⁸ A single validated, reliable definition and measure for youth sport specialization has yet to be established, and valid measures of health outcomes of interest (specifically overuse injury) do not exist. This hampers the ability of researchers to accurately measure the effects of youth sport specialization on short- and long-term athlete health and well-being.³⁹ This also greatly limits the ability to compare studies across populations and prevents effective pooling of data for possible meta-analyses. There is a pressing need for a validated, reliable, and consistent instrument for measuring youth sport specialization.

Implementation of Evidence

Finally, few studies have been conducted to assess the potential impact of interventions aimed at mitigating the negative effects of youth sport specialization. Evaluation of promising interventional approaches that apply evidence-based recommendations to quantifiable health outcomes is lacking. Developing evidence-based recommendations requires identification of appropriate efficacy measures and research assessing the best implementation strategies of the recommendations for a given sport. Dissemination and implementation (D&I) research is an emerging field of study that aims to assess adoption, implementation, and sustainability of scientific evidence in various community or clinical settings. Dissemination and implementation principles should be considered when developing and evaluating implementation strategies because research in this area is necessarily contextual and complex.

RESEARCH PRIORITIES

We propose the following 6 substantive and methodological research priorities based on the research limitations and gaps in knowledge (Table 3).

Conduct Methodological Studies to Develop Consistent, Valid, and Reliable Measures and Definitions of Youth Sport Specialization and Health Outcomes (eg, Overuse Injury)

Rationale

Methodological studies can help to improve metrics for the assessment of youth sport specialization and youth sport specialization-related outcomes. Given the numerous existing

TABLE 3. Youth Sport Specialization Research Priorities

Priority	Description
1	Conduct methodological studies to develop consistent, valid, and reliable measures and definitions of youth sport specialization and health outcomes (eg, overuse injury)
2	Conduct prospective sport-specific studies of child athletic development and performance that address the effect of youth sport specialization on achieved level of athletic performance and sport-specific skill development, while controlling for the role that training load plays as a potential confounding, mediating, or modifying factor
3	Measure the impact of youth sport specialization on psychosocial outcomes including burnout, self-confidence, quality of life, self-esteem, depression, and anxiety
4	Conduct prospective cohort assessments of youth sport participation pathways (specialization vs multisport developmental pathways) to attainment of elite status, discontinuation of sport, or both. Studies should be rigorous qualitative studies on reasons youth select different developmental pathways to elite athlete status
5	Study injury risk and other physical health outcomes (both positive and negative) of youth sport specialization, with emphasis on sex- and sport-specific prospective studies that measure both training load and sport specialization
6	Conduct dissemination and implementation research to assess the impact of current guidelines and strategies addressing youth sport specialization

definitions of youth sport specialization and documented limitations, there is a strong need for researchers to establish methods that provide valid, consistent, and reliable ways to measure youth sport specialization to quantify exposure. Ideally, these measures should be applied across both sexes, and a variety of sports, ages or age groups, and settings (eg, youth leagues or clubs, high school, collegiate, etc.); however, methodological studies are also needed to assess the need for incorporating sport-specific items for measuring youth early sport specialization that are unique to the demands of that sport. In addition, developing a standard definition and well-defined measurements of youth sport specialization and related outcomes will facilitate improved future research quality and the eventual synthesis of research by systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Consistent and reliable assessment of health outcomes commonly associated with youth sport specialization is similarly necessary. This priority is of primary importance so that future contributions to literature minimize the methodological limitations of current research.

Conduct Prospective Sport-Specific Studies of Child Athletic Development and Performance that Address the Effect of Youth Sport Specialization on Achieved Level of Athletic Performance and Sport-Specific Skill Development, While Controlling for the Role that Training Load Plays as a Potential Confounding, Mediating, or Modifying Factor

Rationale

Prospective, sport-specific research is needed to better understand the associations between youth sport specialization and performance outcomes while eliminating recall bias. Although it has been established that youth sport specialization is not required to achieve elite status as an adult, the role of youth sport specialization in increasing an athlete's long-term athletic potential through enhanced skill development is unknown. In certain sports (eg, gymnastics, diving, figure skating, etc.), some

data suggest (based on small sample sizes and retrospective studies) early, focused sport-specific skill-development might influence success at the elite level for a select group of athletes who can avoid injury and the psychosocial pressure related to performance outcomes. Unlike many youth sports, peak performance in these “artistic” sports arguably occurs before completion of puberty; thus, starting at a young age may be necessary because success is dependent on perfecting highly technical skills through continuous repetition. However, more research is needed to tease out the unique role of specialization for these sports compared to other youth sports where peak performance occurs later. Moreover, the role of training load (eg, volume and intensity of all organized training, practice, and competition sessions) in the relationship between youth sport specialization and performance has not been elucidated; recall bias is a limitation in retrospective study designs. Knowledge of appropriate training load is essential to fully understand the potential benefits and risks of youth sport specialization on skill development and continued participation in sports and physical activity. These findings may be especially important for those sports where peak performance is thought to occur at a young age.

Measure the Impact of Youth Sport Specialization on Psychosocial Outcomes Including Burnout, Self-Confidence, Quality of Life, Self-Esteem, Depression, and Anxiety

Rationale

Prior position statements have suggested that youth sport specialization in childhood may lead to increased stress and burnout. Current evidence is limited to observational cross-sectional studies with small sample sizes. Despite the widespread concern about psychological impacts of sport specialization on youth athletes, there is a need for larger prospective studies to better understand the relationship between specialization with both positive and negative psychosocial outcomes. In particular, there are little data exploring long-term psychological or health consequences of youth sport specialization.⁴⁰ Future studies should also address potential sex-specific differences when assessing these relationships. Importantly, methodological research is needed for the development of a validated tool(s) to measure psychological health in youth athletes. Recently, the usefulness and validity of a widely used tool for measuring athlete burnout has been questioned due to inconsistent psychometric properties.⁴¹

Conduct Prospective Cohort Assessments of Youth Sport Participation Pathways (Specialization vs Multisport Developmental Pathways) to Attainment of Elite Status, Discontinuation of Sport, or Both. Studies Should Be Rigorous Mixed-Method Studies on Reasons Youth Select Different Developmental Pathways to Elite Athlete Status

Rationale

Prospective research on youth athlete development pathways is necessary to better understand if success in achieving elite status is more likely by (1) multisport athletes because they are gifted with better overall athletic skills or because they are exposed to a variety of sports and movement patterns or (2) youth sport specialization for a specific subset of youth, especially youth who show a strong propensity and desire to participate in one sport. Priority should be placed on the

design and implementation of sport-specific prospective cohort studies assessing multiple outcomes including lifelong physical activity, enjoyment of sport, development of sport skills, and eventual career performance outcomes (see Research Priority #1) among specialized and nonspecialized athletes. Using mixed-method approaches will provide additional and needed insight into reasons for participation in the different athlete development pathways and the role of each pathway in discontinuing sport, premature or otherwise.

Study Injury Risk and Other Physical Health Outcomes (Both Positive and Negative) of Youth Sport Specialization, With Emphasis on Sex- and Sport-Specific Prospective Studies that Measure Both Training Load and Sport Specialization

Rationale

Several studies have established an association between youth sport specialization and musculoskeletal injury, especially overuse injury. Many of these studies have been limited in their ability to establish causality between sport specialization and injury risk due to their descriptive (eg, cross-sectional or historical survey recall) designs (Table 2), and lack of adequate power to evaluate potential sex and sport differences. In addition, very few studies consider the role of training load in these associations. Future research needs to tease out the independent contributions of youth sport specialization and training load on injury risk. Other health outcomes of interest include emotional well-being, sleep, cardiovascular health, bone density, and risk of illness.

Conduct Dissemination and Implementation Research to Assess the Impact of Current Guidelines and Strategies Addressing Youth Sport Specialization

Rationale

Although numerous guidelines exist, many rely heavily on expert opinion because of the absence of evidence-based guidance. Current evidence-based guidelines are not being effectively communicated to key stakeholders including young athletes, parents, coaches, and sport administrators. With the expansion of evidence-based guidelines, research focusing on the development, dissemination, adoption, and implementation of strategies to address youth sport specialization and athlete development are necessary to effectively translate research findings into the community. Research should be conducted regarding the efficacy of evidence-based recommendations and how best to implement these recommendations into a community setting. Effective D&I research will include all relevant stakeholders at all stages of the research process and should investigate potential social, economic, and geopolitical contexts and complexities. Finally, D&I research on guidelines and strategies addressing youth sport specialization should be conducted using appropriate D&I methodology and frameworks.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Research related to youth sport specialization continues to grow as the trend toward early specialization increases in youth sport. Youth sports is now a \$15.3 billion industry in the United States,⁴² fueled by organizations that do not always align with the long-term health and development of participating youth.

Youth sport is rife with organizations that fail to address the barriers preventing participation by many young athletes. Despite the increase in research on youth sport specialization over the past decade, this is still a relatively young area of study, and knowledge and beliefs about the potential impact of youth sport specialization among parents, coaches, and athletes is mixed.^{29,30,43} Current evidence suffers from numerous methodological limitations, and the research field needs direction. Research questions and designs used to study this topic must become more focused and sophisticated to better understand both the risks and benefits associated with youth sport specialization in its specific context. To enhance the quality and rigor of methodological research in this field, researchers should adhere to guidelines proposed by the EQUATOR network⁴⁴ for various study designs as well as pertinent consensus statements on methodological considerations unique to sports medicine.⁴⁵ In order for professional medical organizations to provide guidelines that are appropriate and effective, evidence supporting the merit and need for such policies is essential. Moreover, research is necessary to accurately define the public health concerns associated with youth sport specialization and participation more broadly, and to explore if the numerous noted short- and long-term benefits of youth sport persist in the context of early specialization.

The research priorities identified in this research agenda are not mutually exclusive. Multiple priorities can and should be addressed in a single study. Research studies are needed at all stages of this translational research topic. Methodological improvements to measures of youth sport specialization and related outcomes are essential so that the collective body of evidence can be compared uniformly. Prospective, sport-specific studies are needed so researchers can more fully understand the merits and risks of differing pathways of youth sport participation. Finally, the effectiveness of existing and future guidelines and recommendations for healthy and safe youth sport participation must be continuously examined and rigorously assessed.

There were some limitations in the development of this research agenda and key research priorities. Although we considered international research articles in our synthesis of evidence, we did not include international experts in this process primarily due to resource constraints. This resulted in a research agenda that is focused primarily on youth sport specialization research needs in the United States. We also did not register our systematic reviews on the PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews. Moreover, in organizing our writing groups and Summit panel, we attempted to maintain sex balance, include stakeholders from multiple areas of youth sport, and ensure representation of underrepresented minorities; however, we did not fully achieve this parity due to conflicting schedules and limited individuals with known expertise in certain areas of youth sport specialization. This may have resulted in the development of research priorities that are insufficient for all key stakeholders. Finally, we achieved consensus on key research priorities among all members of the planning committee; however, a more rigorous approach would have been to conduct a formal Delphi process involving key stakeholders and international leaders in the process.

We recognize the current funding landscape presents many challenges that make it difficult to address some of the longer-term research priorities. To that end, it is vital that multiple stakeholders, including funders, are knowledgeable of the current and future public health implications associated with the current youth sport environment and find ways to work together to

achieve these priorities. The National Youth Sport Strategy,²⁷ an important first step in promoting a centralized vision for change in youth sport, is geared toward policy makers and key decision-makers in youth sport to help ensure all youth have an opportunity to participate safely and reap the numerous benefits of sport. Among other benefits, physical activity is associated with improved cardiovascular health and body composition in adults, thus establishing a physically active lifestyle through sport in youth may be vital in promoting long-term health outcomes in adulthood.⁴⁶ The research community has an important complementary role in advancing the strategy's central aim of increasing youth sport participation opportunities—especially in communities with fewer resources. Due to the limitations in the available research, it is difficult to provide appropriate evidence-based guidelines and recommendations that can be easily translated to sports administrators, parents, coaches, youth organizations, and athletes about safe and healthy youth sport participation. Rigorous high-quality research not only provides the necessary foundation for promoting meaningful and healthy youth sport participation, it is essential for informing youth sport leaders on appropriate sport participation patterns that encourage lifelong physical activity and well-being. As the evidence regarding short- and long-term effects of youth sport specialization continues to grow, a similar commitment to rigorously studying and understanding the best approaches for D&I must occur. The inclusion of parents, athletes, researchers, physicians, community leaders, and policy-makers in this complex and challenging process will be instrumental to creating lasting and meaningful change for safe and healthy participation in youth sport.

CONCLUSION

The research priorities identified in this research agenda aim to improve the safety and long-term health impacts of youth sports participation and represent vital areas of focus for investigators in sports medicine as well as public and private funders in the United States. Research findings resulting from sustained progress on these priorities can contribute to high-quality evidence-based guidelines for youth sport participation that promote long-term health and development of young athletes. It is now important to extend these research recommendations globally, involving patient and public representatives, and international stakeholders, and to consider and plan for the complexities of implementation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Development of this research agenda was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS) of the National Institutes of Health under award number 1R13AR074878. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Murray R, Ramstetter C. The crucial role of recess in school. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131:183–188.
2. Yogman M, Garner A, Hutchinson J, et al. The Power of Play: a pediatric role in enhancing development in young children. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142:e20182058.
3. Jayanthi NA, LaBella CR, Fischer D, et al. Sports-specialized intensive training and the risk of injury in young athletes: a clinical case-control study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43:794–801.

4. Jayanthi N, Pinkham C, Dugas L, et al. Sports specialization in young athletes: evidence-based recommendations. *Sports Health*. 2013;5:251–257.
5. Malina RM. Early sport specialization: roots, effectiveness, risks. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2010;9:364–371.
6. Myer GD, Jayanthi N, Difiore JP, et al. Sport specialization, part 1: does early sports specialization increase negative outcomes and reduce the opportunity for success in young athletes? *Sports Health*. 2015;7:437–442.
7. Bell DR, Post EG, Biese K, et al. Sport specialization and risk of overuse injuries: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142:e20180657.
8. Buckley PS, Bishop M, Kane P, et al. Early single-sport specialization: a survey of 3090 high school, collegiate, and professional athletes. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2017;5:2325967117703944.
9. Kliethermes SA, Nagle K, Cote J, et al. Impact of youth sports specialisation on career and task-specific athletic performance: a systematic review following the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM) Collaborative Research Network's 2019 Youth Early Sport Specialisation Summit. *Br J Sports Med*. 2020;54:221–230.
10. Hume PA, Hopkins WG, Robinson DM, et al. Predictors of attainment in rhythmic sportive gymnastics. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 1993;33:367–377.
11. Law MP, Côté J, Ericsson KA. Characteristics of expert development in rhythmic gymnastics: a retrospective study. *Int J Sport Exerc Psychol*. 2007;5:82–103.
12. Starkes JL, Deakin JM, Allard F, et al. Deliberate practice in sports: what is it anyway. In: Ericsson A, ed. *The Road to Excellence: The Acquisition of Expert Performance in the Arts and Sciences, Sports, and Games*. New York, NY: Psychology Press; 1996:81–106.
13. Brenner JS. Overuse injuries, overtraining, and burnout in child and adolescent athletes. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119:1242–1245.
14. DiFiori JP, Benjamin HJ, Brenner J, et al. Overuse injuries and burnout in youth sports: a position statement from the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2014;24:3–20.
15. Bergeron MF, Mountjoy M, Armstrong N, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement on youth athletic development. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49:843–851.
16. LaPrade RF, Agel J, Baker J, et al. AOSSM early sport specialization consensus statement. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2016;4:2325967116644241.
17. Valovich McLeod TC, Decoster LC, Loud KJ, et al. National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement: prevention of pediatric overuse injuries. *J Athl Train*. 2011;46:206–220.
18. The Aspen Institute. *State of Play: Trends and Developments in Youth Sports*. Washington, DC: The Aspen Institute; 2019. Available at: https://assets.aspeninstitute.org/content/uploads/2019/10/2019_SOP_National_Final.pdf. Accessed May 10, 2020.
19. Kjønniksen L, Anderssen N, Wold B. Organized youth sport as a predictor of physical activity in adulthood. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2009;19:646–654.
20. Telama R, Yang X, Hirvensalo M, et al. Participation in organized youth sport as a predictor of adult physical activity: a 21-year longitudinal study. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*. 2006;18:76–88.
21. The Aspen Institute. *Survey: Kids Quit Most Sports by Age 11*. The Aspen Institute. Available at: <https://www.aspenprojectplay.org/national-youth-sport-survey/1>. Accessed May 8, 2020.
22. Sabo D, Veliz Pt. Part VI: entry into sports, dropping out of sports. In: *Go Out and Play: Youth Sports in America*. East Meadow, NY: Women's Sports Foundation; 2008:119–135. Available at: <https://www.womenssportsfoundation.org>. Accessed May 11, 2020.
23. Zarrett N, Veliz PT, Sabo D. *Keeping Girls in the Game: Factors that Influence Sport Participation*. New York, NY: Women's Sports Foundation; 2020. Available at: <https://www.womenssportsfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Keeping-Girls-in-the-Game-FINAL-web.pdf>. Accessed May 11, 2020.
24. Witt PA, Dangi TB. Why children/youth drop out of sports. *J Park Recr Admin*. 2018;36:191–199.
25. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. *Healthy People 2020 [Internet]*. Washington, DC: National Center for Health Statistics; 2010. Available at: <https://www.healthypeople.gov/>. Accessed April 16, 2020.
26. Bell DR, DiStefano L, Pandya NK, et al. The public health consequences of sport specialization. *J Athl Train*. 2019;54:1013–1020.
27. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *National Youth Sports Strategy*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2019. Available at: <https://health.gov/>. Accessed April 16, 2020.
28. Jayanthi NA, Post EG, Laury TC, et al. Health consequences of youth sport specialization. *J Athl Train*. 2019;54:1040–1049.
29. Bell DR, Post EG, Trigsted SM, et al. Parents' awareness and perceptions of sport specialization and injury prevention recommendations. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2018. doi:10.1097/JSM.0000000000000648.
30. Brooks MA, Post EG, Trigsted SM, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs of youth club athletes toward sport specialization and sport participation. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2018;6:2325967118769836.
31. Patel T, Jayanthi N. Health-related quality of life of specialized versus multi-sport young athletes: a qualitative evaluation. *J Clin Sport Psychol*. 2018;12:448–466.
32. DiStefano LJ, Beltz EM, Root HJ, et al. Sport sampling is associated with improved landing technique in youth athletes. *Sports Health*. 2018;10:160–168.
33. Fransen J, Pion J, Vandendriessche J, et al. Differences in physical fitness and gross motor coordination in boys aged 6–12 years specializing in one versus sampling more than one sport. *J Sports Sci*. 2012;30:379–386.
34. Abernethy B, Baker J, Côté J. Transfer of pattern recall skills may contribute to the development of sport expertise. *Appl Cogn Psychol*. 2005;19:705–718.
35. Harris KM, Udry JR. National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), 1994–2008 [Public Use]. Carolina Population Center. University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill [distributor], Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor]. 2018-08-06. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR21600.v21>.
36. McGuine TA, Post EG, Hetzel SJ, et al. A prospective study on the effect of sport specialization on lower extremity injury rates in high school athletes. *Am J Sports Med*. 2017;45:2706–2712.
37. Post EG, Trigsted SM, Riekens JW, et al. The association of sport specialization and training volume with injury history in youth athletes. *Am J Sports Med*. 2017;45:1405–1412.
38. Miller M, Malekian S, Burgess J, et al. Evaluating a commonly used tool for measuring sport specialization in young athletes. *J Athl Train*. 2019;54:1083–1088.
39. Jayanthi N, Kliethermes SA, Cote J. Youth sport specialisation: the need for an evidence-based definition. *Br J Sports Med*. 2020;54:196–197.
40. Brenner JS, LaBotz M, Sugimoto D, et al. The psychosocial implications of sport specialization in pediatric athletes. *J Athl Train*. 2019;54:1021–1029.
41. Gerber M, Gustafsson H, Seelig H, et al. Usefulness of the Athlete Burnout Questionnaire (ABQ) as a screening tool for the detection of clinically relevant burnout symptoms among young elite athletes. *Psychol Sport Exerc*. 2018;39:104–113.
42. Gregory S. How kids' sports became a \$15 billion industry. *Time Mag*. 2017. Available at: <https://time.com/4913687/how-kids-sports-became-15-billion-industry/>.
43. Post EG, Trigsted SM, Schaefer DA, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs of youth sports coaches regarding sport volume recommendations and sport specialization. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2020;34:2911–2919.
44. The Equator Network. *Enhancing the Quality and Transparency of Health Research*. The Equator Network. Available at: <https://www.equator-network.org/>. Accessed May 8, 2020.
45. Bahr R, Clarsen B, Derman W, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement: methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport 2020 (including STROBE Extension for Sport Injury and Illness Surveillance (STROBE-SIIS)). *Br J Sports Med*. 2020;54:372–389.
46. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2018. Available at: <https://health.gov>.
47. Beese ME, Joy E, Switzler CL, et al. Landing Error Scoring System differences between single-sport and multi-sport female high school-aged athletes. *J Athl Train*. 2015;50:806–811.
48. Coutinho P, Mesquita I, Fonseca AM, et al. Expertise development in volleyball: the role of early sport activities and players' age and height. *Kinesiology*. 2015;47:215–225.
49. Güllich A. Many roads lead to Rome—developmental paths to Olympic gold in men's field hockey. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2014;14:763–771.
50. Black S, Black K, Dhawan A, et al. Pediatric sports specialization in elite ice hockey players. *Sports Health*. 2018;11:64–68.
51. Bell DR, Lang PJ, McLeod TCV, et al. Sport specialization is associated with injury history in youth soccer athletes. *Athl Trng Sports Hlth Care*. 2018;10:241–246.

52. Bell DR, Post EG, Trigsted SM, et al. Prevalence of sport specialization in high school athletics: a 1-year observational study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44:1469–1474.
53. Post EG, Bell DR, Trigsted SM, et al. Association of competition volume, club sports, and sport specialization with sex and lower extremity injury history in high school athletes. *Sports Health.* 2017;9: 518–523.
54. Jayanthi N, Pinkham C, Durazo-Arivu R, et al. The risks of sports specialization and rapid growth in young athletes. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2011; 21:157.
55. Miller MM, Trapp JL, Post EG, et al. The effects of specialization and sex on anterior Y-balance performance in high school athletes. *Sports Health.* 2017;9:375–382.