



# DNA Barcoding of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) Cultivars in Duhok Province- Kurdistan Region/ Iraq Using 18S-28S rRNA and ITS Region

Dalal Y. Kh. SINJARE<sup>1\*</sup>, Jaladet M. S. JUBRAEL<sup>1</sup>, Abdulqader E. HUSSEIN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Scientific Research Center, College of Science, University of Duhok, Iraq <sup>2</sup>Biology, College of Science, University of Duhok, Iraq \* Corresponding author: Y. Kh. Dalal Sinjare e-mail: dalal.yousif@uod.ac

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

#### Abstract

Pomegranate is a tree species with a significant plant diversity, therefore molecular methods are necessary to define and verify approaches to recognize rapidly and correctly. This research looks at a genetic strategy for identifying pomegranate varieties in Duhok KRG. The approach is based on application of ITS region, PCR-RFLP, sequencing and SNP identification. For this study, 14 pomegranate accessions were taken from various regions, namely the Center of Duhok, Amedi, Akre, Zaxo, the South of Duhok, and Sulav. The PCR product of the 18S–28S rDNA intergenic spacer was 854bp, and the sequence analysis revealed a 99.94 percent similarity with other accession numbers in NCBI, demonstrating the use of the 18S–28S rDNA intergenic spacer for identifying and barcoding pomegranate cultivars. The PCR product of the ITS region was 700bp. This result was then employed for PCR-RFLP using two restriction enzymes namely RsaI GT/AC and HaeIII GG/CC which helped grouping as well as genetic similarities. This study Further involved sequencing examined genes were compared using the NCBI BLASTn tool and clustalo (Version 1.2.4) to determine gene location and SNP. According to this study, the result of PCR-RFLP revealed poor association between pomegranate physical morphology and genetic features, while SNP identification was identified between studied cultivars. Moreover, this result showed possible DNA barcoding of pomegranate cultivars under the study.

Keywords: Pomegranate; DNA barcoding; 18S-28S rDNA; ITS region; PCR- RFLP; Sequence and SNP

Received: 14 June 2023 Accepted: 12 October 2023 Published: 11 November 2023

DOI: 15835/buasvmcn-hort:2023.0007

© 2023 Authors. The papers published in this journal are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# INTRODUCTION

Pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) is an economically important fruit crop grown globally for its delectable fruits and health advantages. Yet, due to their significant physical and genetic variety, pomegranate identification is challenging (Holland et al., 2019). Molecular markers have been utilized in pomegranate and many other plants to study genetic diversity and cultivar identification. These markers enable study on the genetic makeup of individual plants and compare them to plants in the same population or variety (Hussein & Jubrael 2021). One of the most commonly used types of molecular markers for pomegranate research is simple sequence repeats (SSRs), which are short, repetitive DNA sequences found throughout the genome (Sinjare and Jubrael 2022; Hasnaoui et al., 2011). Other types of markers, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs), have also been used in pomegranate research (Sinjare and Jubrael 2020). In addition to cultivar identification, molecular markers have been used to study genetic diversity within pomegranate populations. This information can be used to develop conservation strategies and to identify plants with unique genetic traits that may be useful for breeding programs. DNA barcoding, a molecular identification tool based on short DNA sequences, has emerged as an effective method to distinguish different plant species and cultivars (Dong et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and 18S-28S rRNA gene (Figure 1) are two commonly used DNA barcodes for plants. The ITS region is a non-coding DNA sequence located between the 18S and 5.8S rRNA genes and is highly variable among species and cultivars, making it an ideal marker for inter and intra species identification and genetic diversity analysis (Chen et al., 2010; Tripathi et al., 2013). The 18S-28S rRNA gene, on the other hand, is a highly conserved coding sequence that has been used for phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic classification (Álvarez and Wendel, 2003).



Figure 1. The Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences diagram (Zhang et al., 2015)

PCR-RFLP is a PCR-based technique that combines PCR amplification of target DNA regions and restriction enzyme digestion of PCR products to produce unique DNA fragment patterns. PCR-RFLP also has been widely used for cultivar identification and genetic diversity analysis in pomegranate (Gharbi et al., 2017). Using specific restriction enzymes, PCR-RFLP can identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the amplified DNA fragments and provide valuable genetic information for cultivar identification and breeding programs. For the genetic characterization of pomegranate cultivars, PCR-RFLP analysis along with DNA barcoding utilizing the ITS region and 18s-28s rRNA is a powerful method. This technique may be applied to the investigation of genetic diversity and the identification of SNPs within cultivars, as well as the identification and categorization of cultivars (Riaz et al., 2019).

The primary goals of this work were to analyze DNA barcoding utilizing the 18S–28S rDNA intergenic spacer and ITS region, and apply PCR-RFLP to pomegranate in Duhok province- Kurdistan region/ Iraq.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Collecting Plant Samples**

Leaf samples collected from 14 *Punica granatum* (pomegranate) cultivars used in this study were collected from different region of Duhok (Table 1; Figure 2) and brought to the laboratory and prepared for genomic DNA isolation. The reasons for selecting those cultivars from the mentioned areas were due to their specificity, local preferences and their large cultivation. In addition, to the fact that genetic diversity was sought in this work, the ecological patterns in this region are mountainous, in most of Kurdistan, the climate is semi-arid continental: very hot and dry in summer, and cold and wet in winter with an average tempreture of 2- 45 degrees Celsius. The main difference between these areas is the high altitude.

| no. | Cultivar name    | Area of collection |
|-----|------------------|--------------------|
| 1   | Soormiz          | Amedi              |
| 2   | Rash dindik heer | Amedi              |
| 3   | Radisho          | Duhok              |
| 4   | zeena var. nana  | Duhok              |
| 5   | Rawa             | Duhok              |
| 6   | Sin aljamel      | South of Duhok     |
| 7   | Slami            | South of Duhok     |
| 8   | Kapepan          | Akre               |
| 9   | Arbeli 1         | Sulav- Bebade      |
| 10  | Babe haji        | Sulav- Bebade      |
| 11  | Mrad             | Duhok - Bu2        |
| 12  | Armesht          | Zaxo - Bu5         |
| 13  | var. nana 1      | Duhok - Am(U)      |
| 14  | var. nana 2      | Duhok - Mo (V)     |

| Table 1. | . Cultivars | used in | this | study |
|----------|-------------|---------|------|-------|
|----------|-------------|---------|------|-------|



Figure 2. Geographic locations of the different pomegranate populations in the Duhok province

# Genomic DNA isolation and PCR amplification:

The genomic DNA was extracted using the CTAB methods described by Weigand et al., (1993), with minor changes by Jubrael et al. (2005) and, Hussein & Jubrael (2021).

For amplification of both region using two set of primers (Table 2), A 20 $\mu$ l PCR reaction mixture was prepared containing 2  $\mu$ l of DNA (25-50 ng), 2  $\mu$ l (10 pmol) of each forward and reverse primers and 10  $\mu$ l of (Go Taq G2 green) (Promega, USA) along with 4  $\mu$ l of double deionized sterile water. The following settings were used to conduct the PCR amplification in an ABI Applied Biosystems PCR System 2720 thermal cycler. For ITS region the optimized thermocycler conditions for the reaction were initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. While for 18S–28S rDNA intergenic spacer an Initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 min, 40 cycles consisting of 94°C for 20 sec (denaturation), 50°C for 30 sec(annealing), 72 °C for 1 min (primer extension) and final extension of 72°C for 5 min.

| Table 2. The | e primers | used in | this | study |
|--------------|-----------|---------|------|-------|
|--------------|-----------|---------|------|-------|

| primer name                       | sequence of Forward primer | sequence of Reverse primer | amplicon<br>size bp. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 18S–28S rDNA<br>intergenic spacer | 5'TTGTACACACCGCCCGTCGC3'   | 5'AGTTTCTTTTCCTCCGCTTA3'   | 854                  |
| ITS region                        | 5'TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC3'   | 5'TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG3'    | 700                  |

#### PCR-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP)

All PCR product of ITS region (gene) were further used for PCR- RFLP analyses. Enzymes that generated smaller and lower-molecular-weight fragments were chosen. Using these criteria, the enzymes RsaI GT/AC and HaeIII GG/CC (Jena bioscience, Germany) were selected to digest the amplified 700bp amplicon. Individual digestion conditions were performed in a final volume of 50 µl, as described by the manufacturer, 5µl of 10x universal buffer, 1µg of PCR product, 10 units of enzyme then the reaction was made up to 50µl with PCR grade water, the mixture then incubate at 37 °C for 2 to 4 hours. Then the product of digested results was analyzed using 3% agarose gel electrophoresis.

#### **Capillary Standard Sequencing**

The PCR products were sent to Macrogen Inc (Seoul, South Korea http:// dna.macrogen.com) for bi-directional Sanger sequencing using the same primers used for PCR. The sequencing was conducted for both PCR results of ITS gene and 18s – 28s nuclear DNA, Following the manufacturer's instructions, the illustra GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit from (GE healthcare) was used to purify the PCR amplification product. The purified product was

submitted to Macrogen, a public biotechnology company in South Korea, for sanger sequencing per the business's instructions. Using Big Dye chemistry in conjunction with capillary electrophoresis on an ABI 3730xl.

#### Data analysis

Based on the electrophoresis results, DNA fragments were scored as present (1) or absent (0) in allele size. Genetic diversity was analyzed based on these scores. To verify the potential of the selected markers and discriminate them among the cultivars analyzed, as well as evaluate genetic diversity, various parameters were measured.

POPGENE 4.2 software (Rousset, 2008) and CERVUS v.3.0.3 software 2006 (Marshal et al., 1998) were used to calculate the number of observed alleles per locus (Na), major allele frequency, observed heterozygosity (Ho), and expected heterozygosity (He). To evaluate the informativeness of the polymorphism information content (PIC) was calculated based on the allele frequencies of all the varieties analyzed (Weir, 1990). The NTSYS-PC (Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System) software version 2.1. (Rohlf, 1998) was used for clustering and construction of dendrogram. The data were analyzed using the Similarity for Qualitative Data routine to generate genetic similarity index (Nei and Li, 1979) with the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA).

### Sequencing data analysis (Phylogenetic analysis)

The assembly and analysis of sequencing data was conducted using BioEdit version 7.0.5.3 (Hall, T.A. 1999. Nucl. Acids. Symp. 41:95-98.). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis application for Windows 95/98/NT. The sequencing findings were examined online using the BLAST tool on the NCBI nucleic acid database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Multiple NCBI alignment and clustalo (Version 1.2.4) was used to align the sequenced segments of the gene with comparable sequences and additional sequences of other species within the same genus. The Neighbor-Joining (NJ) statistical approach was used to undertake phylogenetic tree analysis.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In this study, 14 pomegranate cultivars were identified and categorized using the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and 18s-28s rRNA markers. The 18s-28s rRNA showed less variability than the ITS region, which was effectively amplified and sequenced for the studied cultivars. DNA barcoding has been used widely in plant biology to resolve phylogenies of related taxa. DNA barcoding has been suggested as the method of choice for species differentiation purposes of pomegranate.

The 18S–28S rDNA intergenic spacer showing (854 bp) Figure 3, while for ITS region was invariant in length for pomegranate genotypes (700 bp) Figure 4.



Figure 3. The18S-28S rDNA intergenic spacer for 14 samples of pomegranate



Figure 4. ITS region of 14 samples of pomegranate

The results of restriction enzymes digestion using Rsa I and Hae III to digest 700bp fragment of ITS region obtained across all cultivars of pomegranate are shown in Figure 5 and 6, and Table 3.

The PCR-RFLP profiles revealed different banding patterns, all samples produced relatively the same banding pattern except cultivar no. 9, 10, and 12. This result could therefore identify polymorphism between studied samples. Meanwhile the most informative results were obtained using Rsa I enzyme which revealed clear and reproducible DNA bands ranging between 440bp to 135bp across tested cultivars.



Figure 5. Rsal digestion for 14 pomegranate RFLP result with ITS region pcr product



Figure 6. HaeIII digestion for 14 pomegranate RFLP result with ITS region pcr product

| sample no. | Rsal          | HaeIII   |
|------------|---------------|----------|
| 1          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 2          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 3          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 4          | 440           | 280      |
| 5          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 6          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 7          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 8          | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 9          | 440, 300, 135 | 600, 280 |
| 10         | 440, 300, 135 | 600, 280 |
| 11         | 440, 190, 135 | 280, 170 |
| 12         | 190, 135      | 170      |
| 13         | 440, 135      | 280      |
| 14         | 440, 135      | 280      |

Table 3. fragment result from both restriction enzyme RsaI and HaeIII

The PCR-RFLP results obtained in this study were used to construct a dendrogram, which demonstrated the distinction between most of pomegranate samples. The genetic similarity coefficient ranged from 0.45 to 1.00 similarity, the dendrogram revealed (4) clusters according to the statistical analysis performed using version 2.02

of NTSYS software. The most distinct cluster was cluster 1 which involved most of the samples as 1,2,3,5,13 and 14 showing 100% similarity. This cluster also contained sample no.4 but with similarity of 89%. While cluster 2 involve cultivar 6, 7, 8 and 11 showing similarity of 100% and 77% respectively. Meanwhile, clusters 3 and 4 involved cultivar 9, 10 and 12 showing low similarity with other clusters reveal 55% and 44% respectively as shown in (Figure 7 and Table 4).



Figure 7. Clustering data of pomegranate cultivars



| 1.0000000 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 |           |           |           |           |           |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 |           |           |           |           |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 1.0000000 |           |           |           |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 0.8888889 | 1.0000000 |           |           |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.7777778 | 0.8888889 | 1.0000000 |           |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.7777778 | 0.8888889 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 |           |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.7777778 | 0.8888889 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 |            |            |           |           |                |       |
| 0.5555556 | 0.5555556 | 0.5555556 | 0.444444  | 0.5555556 | 0.6666667 | 0.6666667 | 0.6666667 | 1.0000000  |            |           |           |                |       |
| 0.5555556 | 0.5555556 | 0.5555556 | 0.444444  | 0.5555556 | 0.6666667 | 0.6666667 | 0.6666667 | 1.0000000  | 1.0000000  |           |           |                |       |
| 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.7777778 | 0.8888889 | 0.7777778 | 0.7777778 | 0.7777778 | 0.444444   | 0.444444   | 1.0000000 |           |                |       |
| 0.5555556 | 0.5555556 | 0.5555556 | 0.444444  | 0.5555556 | 0.444444  | 0.4444444 | 0.4444444 | 0.11111111 | 0.11111111 | 0.6666667 | 1.0000000 |                |       |
| 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 0.8888889 | 1.0000000 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.8888889 | 0.5555556  | 0.5555556  | 0.8888889 | 0.5555556 | 1.0000000      |       |
| 1 0000000 | 1 0000000 | 1 0000000 | 0 8888889 | 1 0000000 | 0 8888889 | 0 8888889 | 0 8888889 | 05555556   | 0 5555556  | 0 8888889 | 05555556  | 1 0000000 1 00 | 00000 |

The mean observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosity frequencies was 0.607 and 0.68, respectively. Given that (He) at a locus increases with increasing (Na) and equalizing allele frequencies, the criteria for low (He) and low (Na) were met for most loci (Alamuti et al., 2012). The PIC value ranged from 0.286 to 0.510 with an average of 0.398 as shown in Table 5.

| Loci<br>(Restriction<br>enzyme) | Polymorphism<br>information<br>content<br>(PIC) | Allele no.<br>(Na) | Observed<br>heterozygosity<br>(Ho) | P- value | Expected<br>heterozygosity<br>(He) |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| HaeIII                          | 0.286   | 3                  | 0.214                              | 0.041    | 0.320                              |
| Rsal                            | 0.510   | 4                  | 1.000                              | 0.461    | 0.616                              |
| Mean                            | 0.398   | 3.5                | 0.60                               | 0.251    | 0.468                              |

Table 5. Data analysis of genetic diversity using popgen and CERVUS program

To assess the heterogeneity in length and/or in nucleotide sequence within the ITS regions in pomegranate, the ITS regions and 18S-28S rRNA were successfully amplified and aligned with reference sequences MT007597.1 of complete pomegranate ITS regions in NCBI data base. The result showed 100% query cover and 99.95% identity of homogenous in length and structure. The full length of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region reveal a number of SNP with reference data in the NCBI data base apparently showing base substitution upon direct sequencing using ITS-1 and ITS-4 universal primers in three cultivars.

Upon multiple sequence alignment of submitted ITS regions of pomegranate sequences with accession number

(0Q704339.1, 0Q704338.1, 0Q704337.1), it could detect SNPs at number of sites within cultivar Zina however other cultivar showed high similarity with reference used for alignment (Figure 8 and 9).

| OQ704339.1 arbili 1 sulav<br>OQ704337.1: radisho<br>MT007597.1: Reference | CCCGGCCGCCGGGATGGCGGGGGGCGCGCTTCGTCGCCTTTCCCGTCCCGGTCGTACAGTG<br>CCCGGCCGCCGGGATGGCGGGGGGCGCGCTTCGTCGCCTTTCCCGTCCCGGTCGTACAGTG<br>CCCGGCCGCCGGGATGGCGGGGGGCGCGCCTTCGTCGCCTTTCCCGTCCCGGTCGTACAGTG | 72<br>109<br>109 |
|---|--|------------------|
| 0Q704338.1 zina   | CCCGGCCGCCGGGATGGCGGGGGGGCGCGCTTCGTCGCCTTTCCCGTCCCGGTCGTACAGTG<br>***********************************  | 119              |
| 0Q704339.1 arbili 1 sula  | V TAAAACCCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCCGC  | 132              |
| 0Q704337.1: radisho   | TAAAACCCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCGCCTG  | 169              |
| MT007597.1: Reference   | TAAAACCCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCCGC  | 169              |
| 0Q704338.1 zina   | TAAAACCCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCCGC  | 179              |
|   | *************************  |                  |
| 00704339.1 arbili 1 sula  |  | 192              |
| 00704337.1: radisho   | AGGGACCGTGCAGTCTCGTGCGTAACCACAACGACTCTCGGCAACGGATATCTCGGCTCT   | 229              |
| MT007597.1: Reference   | AGGGACCGTGCAGTCTCGTGCGTAACCACAACGACTCTCGGCAACGGATATCTCGGCTCT   | 229              |
| 0Q704338.1 zina   | AGGGACCGTGCAGTCTCGTGCGTAACCACAACGACTTTATGCAACGGATATCTCGGCTCT   | 239              |
|   | ***************************************  |                  |
| 00704339.1 arbili 1 sula  |  | 252              |
| 0Q704337.1: radisho   | CGCATCGATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATGCGATACTTGGTGTGAATTGCAGAATCCCGTGAA   | 289              |
| MT007597.1: Reference   | CGCAT <mark>C</mark> GATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATGCGATACTTGGTGTGAATTGCAGAATCCCGTGAA  | 289              |
| 0Q704338.1 zina   | CGCAT <mark>G</mark> GATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATGCGATAC <mark>G</mark> TGGTGTGAATT <mark>C</mark> CAGAATCCCGTGAA  | 299              |
|   | ***** <mark>_</mark> F*******************************  |                  |
| 0Q704339.1 arbili 1 sula  | v CCATCGAGTCCTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCT   | 312              |
| 0Q704337.1: radisho   | CCATCGAGTCCTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCT   | 349              |
| MT007597.1: Reference   | CCATCGAGTCCTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCT   | 349              |
| 0Q704338.1 zina   | CCATCGAGTCTTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCT<br>******************************   | 359              |
|   |  |                  |
| OQ704339.1 arbili 1 sula  | V GGGCGTCACGCATCGCGTCGCCCCAAAACCTCCACGCCCTCGTCATCCATC  | 372              |
| 0Q704337.1: radisho   | GGGCGTCACGCATCGCGTCGCCCCAAAACCTCCACGCCCTCGTCATCCATC  | 409              |
| MT007597.1: Reference   | GGGCGTCACGCATCGCGTCGCCCCAAAACCTCCACGCCCTCGTCATCCATC  | 409              |
| 0Q704338.1 zina   | GGGCGTCACGCATCGCGTCGCCCCAAAACCTTCACGCCCTCGTCATCCATC  | 419              |
|   | ***************************************  |                  |

Figure 8. showing SNPs within used pomegranate cultivars and reference using ITS region.



Figure 9. SNPs clustering and similarity analysis of ITS region

Further the 18S-28S rRNA PCR product were aligned with reference sequences JX911348.1 showing 99% query cover and 99.58 identity, these sequences were deposited and published in the GenBank database with the accession numbers (OQ720931.1, OQ720932.1). The SNP also reveals with this region which exhibited INDELS at two places and SNPs at two places in term of replacement a single nucleotide as shown in (Figure 10 and 11).

The ITS region was shown to be significantly varied among the cultivars. In addition to the successful amplification and sequencing of the ITS region, the study also used the 700 bp PCR product of both universal primer ITS1/ ITS4 of the ITS region for PCR-RFLP analysis, using HaeIII and RsAI restriction enzymes. As a result of the research, each cultivar was shown to have a unique pattern of restriction fragments that allowed for reliable identification and differentiation.

This pattern related with sequence alignment and indicated the existence of a number of SNPs at the restriction sites and either within studied cultivars. This result is reliable with previous studies that used PCR-RFLP to identify pomegranate cultivars (Gharbi et al., 2017; Hajimehdipoor et al., 2015).

| RawaD     | 00720932.1 | GACCTGCGCGGCAGAACGACCCGAGAACCAGCCGCTCCAAATGGGCCGGGGTCCCCCGGC   | 165 |
|-----------|------------|--|-----|
| Reference | JX911348.1 | GACCTGCGCGGCAGAACGACCCGAGAACCAGCCGCTCCAAATGGGCCGGGGTCCCCCGGC   | 240 |
| Radisho   | 00720931.1 | GACCTGCGCGGCAGAACGACCCGAGAACCAGCCGCTCCAAATGGGCCGGGGTCCCCCGGC<br>********************                 | 188 |
|           | 00720932.1 | CGCCGGGACGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG  | 225 |
|           | JX911348.1 | CGCCGGGATGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCGCTTCGTCGCCGTCCCGGTCGTACAGTATAAAAC                                    | 300 |
|           | 0Q720931.1 | CGCCGGGATGGCGGGGGGCGCGCTTCGTCGCCTTTCCCGTCCCGGTCGTACAGTGTAAAAC  | 248 |
|           | 00720932.1 | CCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCCGC  | 285 |
|           | JX911348.1 | CCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCCGC  | 360 |
|           | 00720931.1 | CCCGGCGCGGAAGGCGCCAAGGATCAGAAACAGGAGAGGCACGCCGCCGCCCGC   | 308 |
|           | 00720932.1 | CGTGCAGTCTCGTGCGTAACCACAACGACTCTCGGCAACGGATATCTCGGCTCTCGCATC   | 345 |
|           | JX911348.1 | CGTGCAGTCTCGTGCGTAACCACAACGACTCTCGGCAACGGATATCTCGGCTCTCGCATC   | 420 |
|           | 00720931.1 | CGTGCAGTCTCGTGCGTAACCACAACGACTCTCGGCAACGGATATCTCGGCTCTCGCATC<br>***********************************  | 368 |
|           | 00720932.1 | GATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATGCGATACTTGGTGTGAATTGCAGAATCCCGTGAACCATCG   | 405 |
|           | JX911348.1 | GATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATGCGATACTTGGTGTGAATTGCAGAATCCCGTGAACCATCG   | 480 |
|           | 0Q720931.1 | GATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATGCGATACTTGGTGTGAATTGCAGAATCCCGTGAACCATCG<br>************************************ | 428 |
|           | 00720932.1 | AGTCCTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCTGGGCGT   | 465 |
|           | JX911348.1 | AGTCCTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCTGGGCGT   | 540 |
|           | 00720931.1 | AGTCCTTGAACGCAAGTTGCGCCCGAAGCCATCCGGCCGAGGGCACGTCTGCCTGGGCGT<br>************************             | 488 |
|           | 0Q720932.1 |  | 525 |
|           | JX911348.1 | CACGCATCGCGTCGCCCCAAAACCTCCACGCCCTCGTCATCCATC  | 600 |
|           | 00720931.1 | CACGCATCGCGTCGCCCCAAAACCTCCACGCCCTCGTCATCCATC  | 548 |
|           | 00720932.1 | GGGGGGGTGCGTGGCCGCTATGGGCGCGGAAGTTGGCCTCCCGTGGCACACCGCTGCGGC   | 585 |
|           | JX911348.1 | GGGGGGGTGCGTGGCCGCTATGGGCGCGGAAGTTGGCCTCCCGTGGCACACCGCTGCGGC   | 660 |
|           | 00720931.1 | GGGGGGGTGCGTGGCCGCTATGGGCGCGGAAGTTGGCCTCCCGTGGCACACCGCTGCGGC<br>*****************************        | 608 |
|           | 00720932.1 | TGGCCCAAAAAGGAGCACGGGAGCGACGCGCCGCGCGCGC   | 645 |
|           | JX911348.1 | TGGCCCAAAAAGGAGCACGGGAGCGACGCGCGCGCGCGCG   | 720 |
|           | 0Q720931.1 | TGGCCCAAAAAGGAGCACGGGGAGCGACGCGCCCCGCGGCG  | 668 |
|           | 00720932.1 | GCCCCTCGGGCATCCGTCCGGAGCCGTCCCTTCCGCGTGCTCGGTGGCCTTCCATCCA   | 705 |
|           | JX911348.1 | GCCCCTCGGGCATCCGTCCGGAGCCGTCCCTTCCGCGTGCTCGGTGGCCTTCCATCCA   | 780 |
|           | 00720931.1 | GCCCCTCGGGCATCCGTCCGGAGCCGTCCCTTCCGCGTTGCTCGGTGGCCTTCCATCC   | 726 |

Figure 10. showing SNPs within used pomegranate cultivars and reference using 18S-28S rRNA.

|  | Accession no. similarity  | Sequence name                 |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
|  | OQ720932.1 0.00979021<br>JX911348.1 0.00413223<br>OQ720931.1 0.00413223 | RawaD<br>Reference<br>Radisho |

Figure 11. SNPs clustering and similarity analysis of 18S-28S rRNA

For plant breeding, conservation, and production, cultivar identification and categorization are crucial. One of the most used nuclear markers for phylogenetic reconstructions at the species level or lower is the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region or a portion of it. Recently, the ITS region has been promoted as a possible barcode for animals, protists, and potentially even plants (Moniz & Kaczmarska 2010; Yao et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Schoch et al., 2012). The broad availability of reference sequence libraries is essential for the successful application of DNA barcoding in species identification.

The use of DNA barcoding and PCR-RFLP in pomegranate cultivar identification and genetic diversity analysis has important implications for breeding programs and quality control in the pomegranate industry. The ability to accurately identify and distinguish cultivars can facilitate the development of improved varieties and ensure the authenticity and quality of pomegranate products in the market (Martinez et al., 2006). The ITS length variants and polymorphism have been reported in several plant species (Mir et al., 2010; Raturi et al., 2012). Saini et al., 2008 reported heterogeneity in nuclear rDNA ITS region in Vigna radiata which did not cause any phylogenetic errors at species level. Based on both markers, phylogenetic analysis revealed a clear division of the cultivars into four primary clusters, with certain sub clusters suggesting genetic relatedness between particular cultivars. The results also revealed a significant level of genetic variety within the cultivars, indicating their future breeding and enhancement potential. The successful use of the ITS region and 18s-28s rRNA markers for the identification and classification of pomegranate cultivars in this study highlights the usefulness of these markers in genetic analysis of plants. The high variability of the ITS region makes it a valuable tool for differentiating closely related cultivars, while the less variable 18s-28s rRNA can be used for phylogenetic analysis and identifying the evolutionary relationships between cultivars.

# CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the use of ITS region and 18S-28S rRNA gene as DNA barcodes and PCR-RFLP as a genetic diversity analysis tool have shown great potential for identifying and characterizing pomegranate cultivars. These techniques could facilitate the development of improved pomegranate varieties and ensure the authenticity and quality of pomegranate products in the market.

**Author Contributions:** J.M.S.J. Conceived and editing the research; D. Y. Kh. S. collected the data, did the practical method section and wrote the research. A. E. H. performed the analysis of data.

**Funding Source:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the scientific research center at the University of Duhok for offering all needed equipment and facilities to complete this research. Special thanks to plant molecular biology laboratory staff for technical support through research conducting. This work would not have been possible without their support provided by all of the staff members.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Álvarez IJ, Wendel JF. Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution. 2003 Dec 1;29(3):417-34.
- 2. Chen S, Yao H, Han J, Liu C, Song J, Shi L, Zhu Y, Ma X, Gao T, Pang X, Luo K. Validation of the ITS2 region as a novel DNA barcode for identifying medicinal plant species. PloS one. 2010 Jan 7;5(1):e8613.
- 3. China Plant BOL Group 1, Li DZ, Gao LM, Li HT, Wang H, Ge XJ, Liu JQ, Chen ZD, Zhou SL, Chen SL, Yang JB. Comparative analysis of a large dataset indicates that internal transcribed spacer (ITS) should be incorporated into the core barcode for seed plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2011 Dec 6;108(49):19641-6.
- 4. Dong W, Xu C, Li C, Sun J, Zuo Y, Shi S, Cheng T, Guo J, Zhou S. ycf1, the most promising plastid DNA barcode of land plants. Scientific reports. 2015 Feb 12;5(1):8348.
- 5. Gharbi, M, Benahmed A, & Msallem M. Genetic diversity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cultivars using PCR-based molecular markers. Genetics and Molecular Research. 2017; 16(2).
- 6. Hajimehdipoor H, Esmaeili S, Shekarchi M, & Ajani Y. DNA barcoding and genetic diversity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) using nuclear ITS and chloroplast trnL-trnF sequences. Journal of Medicinal Plants

Research. 2015; 9(4), 120-127.

- 7. Hasnaoui N, Buonamici A, Sebastiani F, Mars M, Zhang D, Vendramin GG. Molecular genetic diversity of *Punica granatum* L.(pomegranate) as revealed by microsatellite DNA markers (SSR). Gene. 2012 Feb 1;493(1):105-12.
- 8. Holland TM, Agarwal A, Wang Y, et al. Pomegranate extract and its bioactive polyphenols attenuate inflammation and angiogenesis in prostate cancer models in vitro and in vivo. J Nutr Biochem. 2019;63:112-120.
- 9. Hussein AE, Jubrael JM. AFLP marker in genetic diversityassessment of fig (Ficus carica L.) populations in Kurdistan region–Iraq. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science. 2021;52(4):859-67.
- 10. Jubrael JM, Udupa SM, Baum M. Assessment of AFLP-based genetic relationships among date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) varieties of Iraq. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 2005 May 1;130(3):442-7.
- 11. Martinez JJ, Melgarejo P, Hernández FA, Salazar DM, Martinez R. Seed characterisation of five new pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) varieties. Scientia Horticulturae. 2006 Nov 8;110(3):241-6.
- 12. Marshall TC, Slate JB, Kruuk LE, Pemberton JM. Statistical confidence for likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. Molecular ecology. 1998 May;7(5):639-55.
- 13. Mir BA, Koul S, Kumar A, Koul MK, Soodan AS, Raina SN. Intraspecific variation in the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of rDNA in Withania somnifera (Linn.) Dunal. Indian J Biotechnol. 2010; 9:325–328
- 14. Moniz MB, Kaczmarska I. Barcoding of diatoms: nuclear encoded ITS revisited. Protist. 2010 Jan 1;161(1):7-34.
- 15. Nei M, Li WH. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1979 Oct;76(10):5269-73.
- 16. Raturi A, Singh SK, Sharma V, Pathak R. Molecular characterization of Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek genotypes based on nuclear ribosomal DNA and RAPD polymorphism. Molecular biology reports. 2012 Mar;39:2455-65.
- 17. Riaz A, Younas M, Rasheed A, et al. SNP-based molecular diversity assessment and cultivar identification of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.). 3 Biotech. 2019;9(8):311.
- 18. Rohlf FJ. Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System, Version 2.0 (Exeter Software, New York), 1998.
- 19. Rousset F. Genepop'007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. Mol. Ecol. Resources 2008; 8: 103-106.
- 20. Saini A, Reddy SK, Jawali N. Intra-individual and intra-species heterogeneity in nuclear rDNA ITS region of Vigna species from subgenus Ceratotropis. Genetics Research. 2008 Aug;90(4):299-316.
- 21. Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, Chen W, Fungal Barcoding Consortium, Fungal Barcoding Consortium Author List, Bolchacova E, Voigt K. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. Proceedings of the national academy of Sciences. 2012 Apr 17;109(16):6241-6.
- 22. Sinjare DY, Jubrael JM. Novel development and analysis of multiplex microsatellite markers sets in pomegranate. InAIP Conference Proceedings 2022 Nov 17 (Vol. 2660, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
- 23. Sinjare DY, Jubrael JM. AFLP markers for genetic diversity evaluation of pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) in Duhok province, Kurdistan region–Iraq. Bulletin UASVM Horticulture. 2020;77:2.
- 24. Tripathi AM, Tyagi A, Kumar A, Singh A, Singh S, Chaudhary LB, Roy S. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and trnhH-psbA are suitable candidate loci for DNA barcoding of tropical tree species of India. PloS one. 2013 Feb 27;8(2):e57934.
- 25. Wang XC, Liu C, Huang L, Bengtsson-Palme J, Chen H, Zhang JH, Cai D, Li JQ. ITS 1: a DNA barcode better than ITS 2 in eukaryotes?. Molecular ecology resources. 2015 May;15(3):573-86.
- 26. Weigand F, Baum and Udupa S. DNA Molecular Marker Techniques. Technical Manual No.20. International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA). Aleppo, Syria. 1993.
- 27. Weir BS. Genetic data analysis. Methods for discrete genetic data. Sinauer Associates, Suderland. 1990; P. 445.
- 28. Yao H, Song J, Liu C, Luo K, Han J, Li Y, Pang X, Xu H, Zhu Y, Xiao P, Chen S. Use of ITS2 region as the universal DNA barcode for plants and animals. PloS one. 2010 Oct 1;5(10):e13102.
- 29. Zhang W, Yuan Y, Yang S, Huang J, Huang L. ITS2 secondary structure improves discrimination between medicinal "Mu Tong" species when using DNA barcoding. PLoS One. 2015 Jul 1;10(7):e0131185.