#### FINAL REPORT

## High School Coastal Monitoring Program, Year 2: A Pilot Project in Education, Public Awareness, and Coastal Management

Ball High School, Galveston, Texas, 1998/1999

James C. Gibeaut, Roberto Gutierrez, Ade Agbe\*

#### \*Ball High School 4115 Avenue O Galveston, Texas 77550

A Report of the Texas Coastal Coordination Council pursuant to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Award No. NA770Z0202

Funding for the Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program is provided by the Texas Coastal Coordination Council, Conoco, and the Exxon Foundation



Bureau of Economic Geology Noel Tyler, Director The University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78713-8924

August 1999

# CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
	a ante e catelo e
PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	2
Goals	2
Methods	3
Training	4
Data Management, Data Analysis, and Dissemination of Information	5
STUDENT, TEACHER, AND SCIENTIST INTERACTIONS	5
EFFECTS ON SCIENCE CURRICULUM	7
EFFECTS ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, COASTAL MANAGEMENT, AND	Q
Scientific Results of 1998/1999 Studies	8 9
RECOMMENDATIONS	10
APPENDIX A: GRAPHS OF BEACH PROFILES	12
APPENDIX B: GRAPHS OF BEACH VOLUME, SHORELINE, AND VEGETATIO	ON
LINE CHANGE	16
APPENDIX C: STUDENT-COLLECTED DATA	17

#### INTRODUCTION

The Texas Coastal Monitoring Program engages people who live along the coast in the study of their natural environment. High school students, teachers, and scientists work together to gain a better understanding of dune and beach dynamics on the Texas coast. Scientists from The University of Texas at Austin (UT) provide the tools and training needed for scientific investigation. Students and teachers learn how to measure the topography, map the vegetation line and shoreline, and observe weather and wave conditions. By participating in an actual research project, the students obtain an enhanced science education. Public awareness of coastal processes and the Texas Coastal Management Program is heightened through this program. The students' efforts also provide coastal communities with valuable data on their changing shoreline.

This report describes the program and our experiences during the second year at Ball High School on Galveston Island, Texas (Fig. 1). Discussions of the data collected by the students and recommendations for future high school projects are also included. A manual with detailed field procedures, field forms, classroom exercises, and teaching materials was prepared during the first year and revised during the second year. A fullcolor poster describing the project was also developed during the first year and revised during the second year. A major addition to the program this year is the web site (http://www.utexas.edu/research/beg/thscmp/index.html).



Figure 1. Study area.

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

#### Goals

The coastal monitoring program has three major goals:

#### (1) Provide high school students with an inquiry-based learning experience.

Students make several field trips to their study sites during the school year. Working in teams, they conduct topographic surveys (beach profiles) of the foredune and beach, map the vegetation line and shoreline, collect sediment samples, and observe weather and wave conditions. Back in the classroom, students analyze their data and look for relationships among the observed phenomena. UT scientists provide background information and guide inquiries about the data, but students are encouraged to form their own hypotheses and to test them. Through their collaboration with working scientists on an actual research project, the students gain an enhanced science education.

#### (2) Increase public awareness and understanding of coastal processes and hazards.

We expect that the participating students will discuss the program with their parents, classmates, and neighbors, further expanding the reach of the program. We expect the program to attract media attention as well. A World Wide Web site (http://www.utexas.edu/research/beg/thscmp/index.html) containing the latest information is central to the community outreach portion of the project. Coastal residents may wish to view the effects of a storm that strikes the upper coast. They will be able to do so by accessing the Texas Coastal Monitoring Program web site to view maps, graphs, and photographs collected by Ball High School. Curiosity may drive this inquiry at first, but eventually there is an increased awareness and appreciation of coastal processes and how future storms could affect one's community.

(3) Obtain a better understanding of the relationship between coastal processes, beach morphology, and shoreline change and make data and findings available for solving coastal management problems.

The Bureau of Economic Geology (Bureau) at UT has conducted a 30-year research program to monitor shorelines and investigate coastal processes. An important part of this program is the repeated mapping of the shoreline and measurement of beach profiles. Over time, these data are used to determine the rate of shoreline change. A problem we face is the limited temporal resolution in our shoreline data. The beach is a dynamic environment where significant changes in shape and sand volume can occur over periods of days or even hours. Tides, storms, and seasonal wind patterns cause large, periodic or quasi-periodic changes in the shape of the beach. If coastal data are not collected often enough, periodic variations in beach morphology could be misinterpreted as secular changes. The High School Coastal Monitoring Program helps address this problem by providing scientific data at key locations along the Texas coast. These data are integrated into the ongoing coastal research program at the Bureau and are made available to other researchers and coastal managers.

#### Methods

The central element in the high school monitoring program is at least three class field trips during the academic year. During each trip, students visit several locations and apply scientific procedures to measure beach morphology and make observations on beach, weather, and wave conditions. These procedures were developed during the program's pilot year (1997/98) and are presented in detail in a manual that also includes field forms. Following is a general discussion of the field measurements.

#### (1) Beach profile

Students use a pair of Emery rods, a metric tape, and a hand level to accurately survey a shore-normal beach profile from the foredunes to the waterline. The students begin the profile at a presurveyed datum stake so that they can compare each new profile with earlier profiles. Consistently oriented photographs are taken with a digital

camera. The beach profiles provide detailed data on the volume of sand and the shape of the beach.

#### (2) Shoreline mapping

Using a differential Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver, students walk along the vegetation line and shoreline mapping these features for display on Geographic Information System software. The GPS mapping provides measurements of the rate of shoreline change.

#### (3) Sediment sampling

Students take sediment samples along the beach profile at the foredune crest, berm top, and beach face. They then sieve the samples, weigh the grain-size fractions, and inspect the grains using a microscope. These samples show the dependence of sand characteristics on the various processes acting on the beach.

#### (4) Beach processes

Students measure wind speed and direction, estimate the width of the surf zone, and observe the breaker type. They note the wave direction, height, and period and estimate the longshore current speed and direction using a float, stop watch, and tape measure. From these measurements, students can infer relationships between physical processes and beach changes in time and space. Students also learn to obtain weather and oceanographic data from resources on the Internet.

#### Training

UT scientists provide the teachers with all the training, information, field forms, and equipment needed to conduct the field and lab measurements. During the school year, UT scientists accompany the students on at least one of the field trips and make at least two classroom visits. The classroom visits provide students with even more insight into conducting scientific research. The scientists discuss with the students general and theoretical issues regarding scientific research, as well as specific techniques and issues related to coastal research. The visits also provide the scientists with an opportunity to ensure the quality of the data.

#### Data Management, Data Analysis, and Dissemination of Information

The World Wide Web is central to the dissemination of data collected for this program. A web site, which resides on a UT server, was implemented toward the end of the 1998/1999 school year. The web site provides all the information needed to begin a beach monitoring program, as well as curriculum materials for high school teachers. Each school in the program has an area on the web site to post its data and observations, including photos taken by an electronic camera. UT scientists manage the data in an electronic data base and make it available to the public. UT scientists also evaluate the data in light of coastal management problems.

#### STUDENT, TEACHER, AND SCIENTIST INTERACTIONS

UT scientists, Drs. Gibeaut and Gutierrez, worked with Ms. Cain and Dr. Agbe of Ball High School in developing and conducting the project. Ms. Cain is the head of the Science Department at Ball High School and Dr. Agbe is the Marine Science teacher. UT scientists worked directly with one of Dr. Agbe's Aquatic Sciences classes, which had 18 students in the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grades. This class was deemed an "enhanced" class. The class did not carry an official "honors" or "advanced placement" designation, but the students chose this particular class to receive enhanced instruction.

Because this was the second year of the project at Ball High and Dr. Agbe was involved in the first year, less time was required for equipment set-up and teacher training. On October 6, 1998, Dr. Gibeaut presented a lecture introducing the program to the students. On October 22, Drs. Gibeaut and Gutierrez conducted field training for the students and teacher, and the students made a full set of beach measurements at two locations, one at Galveston Island State Park and another on the north end of Follets Island. The students made two more field trips to these locations during the academic year, one on December 3, 1998, and the last one on March 2, 1999. Dr. Gibeaut accompanied the class on these trips. Other instructional stops, such as on the west end of the Galveston Seawall and critically eroding subdivisions, were made during the field trips. In addition to the beach monitoring program trips, on December 7 and 9, Dr. Gibeaut and Ms. Amy Neuenschwander (UT) presented lectures and conducted a field

trip on applying remote-sensing techniques to environmental analysis. During and after field trips and during lectures, UT scientists discussed careers in science and university life with students. These visits by UT scientists, then, served not only to enhance scientific instruction at Ball High, but also to give students insight into science as a career.

During the field trips, the students were divided into two teams. One team measured the profile and took sediment samples while the other team collected data on the weather and waves and conducted a GPS survey of the shoreline and vegetation line. Team members had specific tasks, and students took turns performing them. After each team completed its tasks at the first location, the teams switched roles so that everyone would have an opportunity to conduct all measurements.

Dividing students into two five- to seven-member teams, one that conducts the profile and sediment sampling and the other that measures the processes and the shoreline, works well. Each team finishes at about the same time, although for short profiles, the profiling team may finish early. In this case, an extra task can be assigned to the profiling team. It is important to assign each student a job to keep him or her focused and interested. Time for a little fun should also be allowed. People normally think of the beach as a place of recreation, and participation in this project should not change that. In fact, it is hoped that program participants will enjoy going to the beach even more because of their newly acquired knowledge and observation skills.

It was originally planned that the students would measure four profiles on each field trip. Although it may be possible to visit four locations and return by the end of the school day (2:30), it is clear that this is too much work for the students. Little time would be allowed for lunch, and the quality of the data and learning experience for the students would suffer. Furthermore, managing and analyzing data from four profiles would require more time in the classroom than is available. It was therefore decided to measure two locations during each trip. Doing so allows ample time for careful data collection and gets the students back to school about 1 hour before the end of the day. During this hour, equipment and samples are stored, and data are filed or transferred to the computer.

#### EFFECTS ON SCIENCE CURRICULUM

The Texas High School Coastal Monitoring Program addresses several requirements of Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) for science. The program was relevant in the following 1998/1999 Texas high school courses: (1) Environmental Systems; (2) Aquatic Science; and (3) Geology, Meteorology, and Oceanography. TEKS related to applying scientific methods in field and laboratory investigations in these courses are well covered in the Coastal Monitoring Program. Specific requirements, such as (1) collecting data and making measurements with precision, (2) analyzing data using mathematical methods, (3) evaluating data and identifying trends, and (4) planning and implementing investigative procedures, are an excellent fit with the program. TEKS that require students to use critical thinking and scientific problem solving to make informed decisions are also well served. Teachers and scientists can use the program to illustrate to students the role science could, should, or does play in developing public policy. A case study of a local erosion problem could be used to illustrate.

Interviews with the students at the end of the school year revealed that the students

- were pleased with the independent work and critical thinking the project promoted,
- (2) felt that they could accommodate three field trips per year without letting their other academic work suffer,
- (3) were very pleased with the web site, which was unveiled at the end of the year, and would like to use the Internet for further learning,
- (4) would like to use computer techniques for profile analysis instead of manual plotting,
- (5) seemed to be especially interested in the Global Positioning System receiver and would like more instruction on and access to this instrument, and
- (6) thought sand-size analysis techniques in the lab were tedious and difficult with the sieving equipment provided.

With the advent of the web site, students next year will gain more experience on the Web. We will implement data entry and plotting through the web site, thus addressing points 3 and 4. As for point 5, we intend to provide more formal instruction on the Global Positioning System, possibly including a lab exercise independent of the beach measurements. This exercise would also include the basics of map making and incorporating GPS data into mapping software. The low-cost sieving equipment apparently hinders the sand-size-analysis exercise. We are considering seeking funds for more sophisticated mechanical sieving equipment and possibly installing a settling tube. We are also considering reducing the number of sand samples acquired and analyzed by the students.

Probably because of the field trips, some animosity was reported among the students in classes not chosen to participate in the beach-monitoring program. The Galveston Independent School District would like to see the program expanded to all environmental-system classes, and we will work with the science teacher next year to see how we can include more students in the program.

## EFFECTS ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, COASTAL MANAGEMENT, AND PUBLIC AWARENESS

During the 1998/1999 academic year, Ball High School students measured a profile at a location in Galveston Island State Park (BEG02, Fig. 1) three times. They also measured a profile on Follets Island to the southwest of Galveston Island (BEG08, Fig. 1) three times. Ball High School students had measured these same locations the previous year, and the Bureau had conducted quarterly surveys at these locations from 1983 through 1985 after Hurricane Alicia. Since 1985, however, the beaches had been surveyed on an irregular schedule about once per year and only when specific projects were funded to do so or when Bureau personnel were in the area conducting other work. The High School Beach Monitoring Program helps ensure that the time series at these key locations are continued. The profiles and process data that the students collected have been incorporated into the beach-profile data base at the Bureau, and scientists are using these data to investigate beach erosion patterns in the area. Although it will take time to incorporate the data into products that support coastal management, it is clear that the data will be useful in explaining beach cycles and defining short-term versus long-term trends. Defining these trends is important for making decisions regarding coastal development and beach nourishment. The program has increased public awareness through the students, but to date, the increase is mostly confined to the students' friends and families. The web site will be instrumental in extending the reach of the program to the public. During this second year, we implemented the web site, and we will expand and improve it next year. The program has also attracted the attention of the Texas Education Administration, and they will be filming students measuring the beach in the fall of 1999, further increasing public awareness of coastal processes.

#### Scientific Results of 1998/1999 Studies

Tropical Storm Frances struck the southeast Texas coast September 7 through 13, 1998, and caused extensive beach and dune erosion and damage to structures. The storm surge peaked at only 1.4 m above mean sea level, but extreme water levels (> .78 m) lasted for 64 hours. Although peak wave height was 4.09 m during the storm, extreme wave heights (>2.30 m) lasted for 73 hours. Beach-profile data collected by the students, along with data collected by the Bureau, quantify the storm erosion and initial poststorm recovery at BEG-02 and BEG-08 (see Appendices A and B for profile and volume plots).

The beaches at Galveston Island State Park (BEG-02, Fig. 1) lost 40 m<sup>3</sup> of sand per meter of shoreline during Frances. Before the storm, this beach had a prominent foredune and a smaller incipient foredune seaward of the foredune. These dunes were completely removed with a portion of the sand deposited landward (see profiles in Appendix A). The shoreline and vegetation line retreated landward 20 m during the storm. Recovery of the beach proceeded quickly, however, with a steady return of sand over the winter. By March 2, the beach had regained 92 percent of the volume eroded by Frances (see graphs in Appendix B). The shoreline also advanced steadily and regained its prestorm position over the winter. Also over the winter, however, the vegetation line moved only 6 m seaward and this advance was aided by a human-made artificial foredune that consists of

washover sand bulldozed from the picnic area. The bulldozed washover sand also contributed to the volume recovery of the beach/dune system.

At BEG-08 on Follets Island (Fig. 1), Frances eroded 33 m<sup>3</sup>/m of sand. The foredune was removed, leaving a former secondary dune as the foredune (see profiles in Appendix A). Only a small amount of washover sand was deposited through low areas in the former secondary dune. The shoreline retreated 23 m, and the vegetation line retreated 21 m. As at the state park, this beach began recovering soon after the storm, with one-half of the sand eroded returning by October 22, 6 weeks later (see graphs in Appendix B). By the end of the winter, the beach contained the same amount of sand as before the storm. The shoreline position began advancing seaward after the storm and by March had regained its prestorm position.

Even though most of the sand removed by Frances returned to the beaches during the following winter, the shapes of the beaches have not recovered. Dune formation and seaward advance of the vegetation line may take several years, and in some areas, the vegetation line may never return to its prestorm position before long-term erosion begins again. People are forming an artificial foredune at BEG-02, whereas the BEG-08 beach is natural. The human manipulation will have a significant impact on the beach recovery, and continued monitoring of BEG-02 and BEG-08 will provide insight into the processes of natural and enhanced poststorm beach recovery.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

We consider the second year of the coastal monitoring program an overall success and offer the following recommendations for continuance and expansion of the program.

(1) Emphasize to the students that they are working on a real research project and are collecting scientifically valid data that will eventually appear in a scientific publication. This is a major point that makes this program different from most other field trips or laboratory exercises. Students' not being asked to conduct experiments that have no real consequence seems to make a difference to many students, and it probably improves the quality of the data.

- (2) Clearly tell the students about the specific scientific problems being addressed, but also emphasize that what they are gaining in experience is not just how to measure beaches but how to conduct scientific field research in general. The students are also learning a different way to view their surroundings.
- (3) Survey a reasonable number of beaches, which in most cases means two. The program goals of scientific research and science education could be at odds with one another. From a purely scientific point of view, it would be desirable to acquire as many data as possible. That approach, however, would not allow time for discussions on the beach that are not directly related to the measurements. It would also hinder the development of observation skills and keep the students from enjoying their work.
- (4) The number of official field trips depends on the class, but a maximum of four trips is reasonable. Some students might be encouraged to make additional trips on weekends or after school. Interested students should be encouraged to use the program in a science fair project.
- (5) When adding additional schools to the program, a 2- to 3-day seminar before the school year begins and including all the teachers is desirable. Instruction would be more efficient, and teachers and scientists would benefit by exchanging ideas.
- (6) A web site adds an important dimension to the project, especially when multiple schools are participating. A web site at which students can exchange observations with other schools in Texas will increase the educational value of the program by allowing students to observe differences in the processes acting along the coast. A web site would also introduce the Internet to students and illustrate how it can be used to conduct research. Furthermore, the Internet is important in increasing public awareness of coastal processes.

### APPENDIX A: GRAPHS OF BEACH PROFILES









## APPENDIX B: GRAPHS OF BEACH VOLUME, SHORELINE, AND VEGETATION LINE CHANGE

Profile data were entered into the public domain software package called "Beach Morphology and Analysis Package" (BMAP). BMAP Version 2, developed by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, is commonly used by coastal engineers and scientists for beachprofile analysis. Beach-volume calculations and profile plots were created using BMAP. Students plotted their data and made volume calculations as class exercises, but UT scientists generated the tables and graphs presented here.



# APPENDIX C: STUDENT-COLLECTED DATA

entral profile Emery beach profile Page \_\_\_\_\_ of 3 **EMERY BEACH PROFILE** Profile Name Beg 02 Date (yr/mo/dy) <u>Gq/3</u> 2 \_\_\_\_ Start Time \_\_\_\_\_ 00 Back rod person Keysta Palin Back rod assistant Ann Holes Front rod person Paniella Lla Front rod assistant Cry WIMMULY Data recorder With Raha Observer/sampler Clinton Mach Datum description Corner of concrete clab, U.K. condition -unchanged **Profile Azimuth** (Magnetic degrees) **Sketch/Notes** vegiline discereo Lerm Hence fence line 4/ Christmas tree Icuer bern ishard and disected u/ rills u/ 10-15 cm relief crossing/ sume situation @BEG98 cartier today Point # dx (cm) notes (for points at front rod and area between rods) dz (cm) 0 0 Top of datum point. 2 0 Ground surface below/above datum point 0 Fence 122 4 2 +75 9 6 Crest Ame  $\supset$ 8 8 O()7 9 -19 10

o P

		EME	RY BEACH PROFILE
Profil	e Name <u>BEG</u>	<u>م ال</u> Dat	e (yr/mo/dy) 99 32 Start Time 11:00
Point a	$\frac{dx}{dx}$	$\frac{dz (cm)}{7}$	notes (for points at front rod and area between rods)
<u></u>	-38	<u> </u>	
12	555		
15_	375	-2	
14	580	4	
15	1770		
<u></u>	1-3-40	4-7	
14		<u> </u>	$1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1$
$\frac{10}{\alpha}$	1 <u>as</u>		
	1.500		O an in Co and
$\frac{d}{d}$	1117	- 21	1500°CA US
	4110	-17	
77	174	-12-	
<del>~</del> /	570	<u>'\/)</u>	
<del></del>			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		4.1	

a an Singa Sing Singa Sing Singa Sing Singa Sing Singa Singa

•

стана. 1. с. м.

	· ·	Shorel	ine and p	rocesses Page 1 of 3
<u>Wind</u> , V	Waves, and Littoral	Drift Curre	nt	
Brogla Nama BEG M.	aa /	13/07-	C44 7	-10-5- IIV
Frome Name_1500100 D	$\frac{1}{1}$	(ard) D	_ Start I	limer <u>so</u>
Observers $\#1_{U}/V \#1_{U}/W \#2_{U}$	101111111 #3 <u>14</u>	LATANK	Record	er: <u>[ll_14]</u>
	· · ·			
WIND				
Direction (pointing into wind)	Sustained wind	speed	Wi	nd gust speed
omagnetic	<u> </u>	km/hour	2	km/hour
		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
WAVES	Observer #1	Observ	e <b>r</b> #2	Observer #3
Direction (pointing into waves)	157 °magnetic	157 °m	agnetic	145°magnetic
Breaker height: estimated for seaward-most breakers.	cm	2.7.1	cm	cm
Period: # seconds for 10 waves to pass stationary point divided by 10. 55	55 seconds	<u>5.5</u> s	econds	<u> </u>
Surf zone width: distance from waterline to seaward most breakers.	400 meters	400	meters	<u>450</u> meters
Number of longshore bars			<u>)                                    </u>	2
Wave breaker type (check one):	□ plunging	🕅 🕅	ling	□ surging
			-	
LITTORAL DRIFT CURRENT	Trial #1	Trial	#2	Trial #3
Distance float thrown offshore	<u>30</u> meters	_30_	meters	<u>35</u> meters
Distance float moves along shore in 50 seconds	18,75meters	19.25	meters	<u>19.3</u> meters
Littoral drift speed (cm/sec) = twice the drift distance (m)	cm/sec	c	m/sec	cm/sec
Littoral drift direction:	KIN MAR	₩N □S	- 	XN DS
direction in which float moved	KE □W	KE □V	<b>V</b> an e	ν́Ε □W

• • • \* \* \*

----------------

<b></b> )	Beach Orientation	n. Beach Shan	e and GPS Survey		2 01 5		
<b>7</b>	Bilico			11.17			
rofile Name	DEELUZ Date (y	vr/mo/dy) <u>4</u> 4	$\frac{10502}{105}$ Star	t Time $11.15$			
PS equipm	ent		Recorder:	elly U.			
<u>PS Survey:</u> et-dry sand	Walk along vegetation li line 100m on either side	ine and of profile	Fo	redunes	·.··		
tart time (lc	(a)    : 300		AVegetation line	T		. · · · ·	
			BF	100 m			
Julo	r (degrees, decimal minut		Water line		·		
14 511	<u>39 lat. <u>37 N</u> 11.00</u>	<u>     long.</u>	water mit				
End Point	(degrees, decimal minute	s):					
14° 57.12	<u>-0 lat. 21 11.59:</u>	o_long.					
nd time (lo	$:al) \_ 11 \cdot L [Qry]$						
HORELIN	and FOREDUNE	• •					• .
JRIENTAT	<b>ON</b>	to north	to sou	th			
oredune tre	nd	COOL 4B mag	netic   <u>227</u> °ma	gnetic	· · · · · ·		
horeline tre	nd	<u>5</u> °mag	netic <u>232</u> °ma	gnetic			
				• *			
EACH CUS	PS (if present)	lower set	upper set				
EACH CUS	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters	lower set	upper set		•		
EACH CUS umber of be vation cha	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters nge across beach cusp	lower set <u>9</u> 5 cm	upper set				
EACH CUS tumber of b yvation cha	PS (if present) each cusps in 50 meters nge across beach cusp	lower set  cm	upper set 8 15 cm				
EACH CUS tumber of b yvation cha	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters nge across beach cusp	lower set <u>9</u> <u>5</u> cm	upper set 8 15 cm				•
EACH CUS tumber of b evation cha	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters inge across beach cusp	lower set <u>9</u> <u>5</u> cm	upper set 8 15 cm	50 m			· · ·
EACH CUS tumber of b evation cha	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters inge across beach cusp	lower set  cm	upper set <u>B</u> <u>15</u> cm	50 m			
EACH CUS	PS (if present) each cusps in 50 meters inge across beach cusp	lower set  cm	upper set <u>B</u> <u>15</u> cm Dusevesemion	50 m Read Custs			
EACH CU	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters nge across beach cusp	lower set <u>9</u> <u>5</u> cm	upper set 8 15 cm Durchrossenion	50 m Beach Cusps			
EACH CU	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters nge across beach cusp	lower set 	upper set 8 15 cm Duretresention	50 m Beach Cusps			
EACH CU	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters inge across beach cusp	lower set <u>9</u> <u>5</u> cm	upper set 8 15 cm Duretresension	50 m Beach Cusps			
EACH CU	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters inge across beach cusp	lower set <u>9</u> <u>5</u> cm	upper set 8 15 cm Duret cesension	50 m Beach Currs			
EACH CU	PS (if present) ach cusps in 50 meters inge across beach cusp	lower set 9 5 cm	upper set	50 m Beach Cusps			

KEOUX 10100 - Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_ entral profiledula JCG **Emery Beach Profile** Profile Name BEG 02 Date (yr/mo/dy) 98/12/3 Start Time 8.25 q M Back rod person Richardo R. Back rod assistant Cody W. Front rod person Rugan H. \_\_\_\_\_ Front rod assistant AS9 Data recorder (unitney M. Observer/sampler Priscilla L Datum description top Corner of Concrete Sketch/Notes veggie Dune 15 met as 20% mone veggie Dune 15 met as 20% mone Dry Line back Wet Berm Mid Berm Lower Berm 250 t Profile Azimuth\_\_\_\_\_ (Magnetic degrees) artificial Dune Point Zero we were present. During Spring low tide dz (cm) notes (for points at front rod and area between rods) Point # dx (cm) 0 Top of datum point. 0 2 0 Ground surface below/above datum point

<u> </u>		$\mathbf{V}$	
3	141	G	Junestits forming
4	140	*21	
5	310	+122	June gest
6	130	-102	
7	160	-12	visietation line,
8	390	-20	Introl of Junes Sample taken
9	420	-4	
10	432	- 4	Sumple Inkan TP

		I	mery Beach Profile
[		<u>&amp;</u>	
Prof	file Name <u>SEU</u>	<u>102</u> Dat	te (yr/mo/dy) <u>48/12/3</u> Start Time <u>8/25</u>
Poin	nt # dx (cm)	dz (cm)	notes (for points at front rod and area between rods)
	385	-3	wet lory line
12	- 358	-5	berny crest
13	380	-10	
14	296	-12	
15	351	-9	
16	365	-5	
	373	-6	lower permi
18	470	-11	2nd bern crest
119	512	-10	
10	1 432		
21	302	-16	La la fara con da dakan
42	351	-9	hutor due
d		Q	Naly ange
· · · · ·			
		1	•
e la compañía			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
l	I		<u>1</u>

Ĩ

**)** []

		Shoreline and p	rocesses Page 1 of 3				
Wind, V	Wind, Waves, and Littoral Drift Current						
Profile Nom BC972 De	rofile Norm BC9T2 Date (yr/mo/dy) 98.12-03 Start Time 8.3C						
Observers #1 1445 #21	Archael #3 Ke	My Record	er: Marisa				
WIND							
Direction (pointing into wind)	Sustained wind	speed Wi	nd gust speed				
°magnetic	_ 11 mar les. k	m/hour 15	km/hour				
WAVES	Observer #1	Observer #2	Observer #3				
Direction (pointing into waves)	<u>150</u> °magnetic	1555°magnetic	145° magnetic				
Breaker height: estimated for seaward-most breakers.	<u></u> cm	<u>25</u> cm	<u>35</u> cm				
Period: # seconds for 10 waves to pass stationary point divided by 10.	$\underline{4}, \underline{5}_{seconds}$	5.4 seconds	seconds				
Surf zone width: distance from waterline to seaward most breakers.	<u>150</u> meters	<u>200</u> meters					
Number of longshore bars		$\overline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$	_2				
Wave breaker type (check one):	🗆 plunging	🖾 spilling	□ surging				
LITTORAL DRIFT CURRENT	Trial #1	Trial #2	Trial #3				
Distance float thrown offshore	<u>30</u> meters	30 meters	meters				
Distance float moves along shore in 50 seconds $\cdot$	<u>10.5</u> meters	<u>6.4</u> meters	meters				
Littoral drift speed (cm/sec) = twice the drift distance (m)	cm/sec	cm/sec	cm/sec				
Littoral drift direction:							
direction in which float moved	DE ØW	DE EW	$\Box \mathbf{E} \Box \mathbf{W}$				

Profile Name BEGS2 Date (	vr/mo/dv) 98	=/12/03 Start	rime 0830	
GPS equipment		Recorder: MA	arisq	
<u>GPS Survey:</u> Walk along vegetation vet-dry sand line 100m on either side vhile recording the GPS track. Start time (local) <u><u>\$</u>.50</u>	line and of profile	AVegesation line B.	idunes	~
A. Start Point (degrees, decimal minu	tes):	L. Store	line (Wet-Dry sand line)	n de la composition Notae de la composition de la compositio
0310266 Jat. 323, 1053	<sup>7</sup> long.	Water line	1 the second	
S. EIIG HOIIIT (degrees, decimal minut	es):			
	long,			
$-A \cdot C = C$	•			
		<del>1. second and the second and the</del>	<b></b>	
SHORELINE and FOREDUNE DRIENTATION	to north	to south		
Foredune trend	52 °mag	netic 232° mag	netic	
Shoreline trend	50 °mag	netic 23 <sup>2</sup> mag	netic	
			ne se	
BEACH CUSPS (if present)	lower set	upper set		
Number of beach cusps in 50 meters				
Elevation change across beach cusp	cm	cm		
		14 14	50 × ×	
		retation	C.sts	
		Ounelvee	Seach C.	
		and the second	And the second second second	

Emery beach profile Page \_\_\_\_\_ of **EMERY BEACH PROFILE** Profile Name <u>BEG-02</u> Date (yr/mo/dy) <u>98/10/22</u> \_\_\_\_ Start Time 8.52 Back rod person RILLAVAD CINYA Back rod assistant COdy Winneyer Front rod person John Austria Front rod assistant Whin Hughe Data recorder MUMMM Mill Observer/sampler MCK Datum description Corner of concret Slap, The left theing South Profile Azimuth 139 (Magnetic degrees) te Past curver Sketch/Notes artificial dure Top with slope e sample taken Beach Anna tak notes (for points at front rod and area between rods) Point # dx (cm) dz (cm) Top of datum point. 0 0 2 ·•• 0 Ground surface below/above datum point ()3 +1 116 4 745 +82 Sand sample artifical dune crest 5 83 +72 6 130 -1,8 base of artifical dune, regitation line as 7 150 -92 8 332 -13 9 246 sand sample berm top - 5 10 273 -10

1. compo - 1.

**EMERY BEACH PROFILE** 

Emery beach profile Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_

Start Time <u>6:52</u>

Profile Name <u>BEG-02</u> Date (yr/mo/dy) <u>98/10/22</u>

M. althi

Point #	dx (cm)	dz (cm)	notes (for points at front rod and area between rods)
[]	293	-5	
12	359	-3	
13	350	-5	wet/dry line
14	381	-9	• )
15	321.5	-7	
16	240	ار رکد	
14	207	ŝ	Azerm Crest
18	425	-18	Sand Sample - Ber - 02 - B.F. 98/10/22
[ġ	420	~ \4	Water Line 9:55
	*		
2	Han an a		
		an a	
	•		
		ana Ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	
		n gran de la composition de la composit La composition de la c	and the second
	ta da ante da compositiona de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la compos	3	
t sparte		ang sa tana sa	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- m	and a second second Second second
		an a	

	Shoreline and processes Page 1 of 3						
	Dichdo Wind, V	Vaves, and Littoral Drift Curre	ent				
Profi Obse	ile Name <u>BG2</u> Michael Villere A rvers #1 <u>2ddu Aerus</u> #2 N	ate (yr/mo/dy) <u>98-10-22</u> riscilla Leel Asa Hrachwing latt Randail #3 Krysta Brun	2-Start Time <u>8 534</u> Recorder: <i>Eddyo</i> America				
WIN	D						
Dir	ection (pointing into wind)	Sustained wind speed	Wind gust speed				
10, 14	<u>19 25</u> °magnetic	8,10,11 mph the /hour	16 mph hour				

15

WAVES	Observer #1	Observer #2	Observer #3
Direction (pointing into waves)	124 °magnetic	<u>122</u> °magnetic	<u>120</u> °magnetic
Breaker height: estimated for seaward-most breakers.	<u>To</u> cm	<u>75</u> cm	<u>_50</u> cm
Period: # seconds for 10 waves to pass stationary point divided by 10.	_4seconds		_5seconds
Surf zone width: distance from waterline to seaward most breakers.	<u>250</u> meters	<u>300</u> meters	<u>_240</u> meters
Number of longshore bars	4	3	2
Wave breaker type (check one):	plunging	🕅 spilling	□ surging
LITTORAL DRIFT CURRENT	<i>Trial #1</i>	Trial #2	Trial #3
Distance float thrown offshore	50 meters	<u>25</u> meters	<u>_40</u> meters
Distance float moves along		<u></u>	17.2 meters

Z10, 200

	(17-203) 1993. 	「教育教徒行動」でなった。	
LITTORAL DRIFT CURRENT	Trial #1	Trial #2	Trial #3
Distance float thrown offshore	50 meters	25 meters	<u>40</u> meters
Distance float moves along shore in 50 seconds	MO meters	<u>_20.7</u> meters	<u>17.2</u> meters
Littoral drift speed (cm/sec) = twice the drift distance (m)	cm/sec	cm/sec	cm/sec
Littoral drift direction: direction in which float moved			

 $\Box \mathbf{E}$ 

 $\Box W$ 

□w

 $\Box \mathbf{\bar{E}}$ Age .  $\Box \mathbf{E}$ 

 $\Box W$ 

	(yt/mo/dy) 1000 Start Time 150
GPS equipment <u>Gav man</u>	Recorder: dau de
<u>GPS Survey:</u> Walk along vegetation wet-dry sand line 100m on either side while recording the GPS track. Start time (local) $10, 16$	I line and le of profile B. 100 m
A. Start Point (degrees, decimal minu 0310259 UTM 323100	utes): <u>53</u> UTM Water line Water line
B. End Point (degrees, decimal minut	Ites): Ulong.
SHORELINE and FOREDUNE ORIENTATION	to north to south
Foredune trend	<u>60</u> °magnetic <u>240</u> °magnetic
Shoreline trend	<u>58</u> °magnetic <u>120</u> °magnetic
BEACH CUSPS (if present)	lower set upper set
Number of beach cusps in 50 meters	2112
Elevation change across beach even	cm cm
Litration change actoss beach cusp	
zacrauya change across beach cusp	Dure vereining Son Curry

)

11.11111111111

entered probledute Emery beach profile Page\_ of **EMERY BEACH PROFILE** Profile Name BEG - DI Date (yr/mo/dy) 79 3/2 Start Time 0900Back rod person Krysta Back rod assistant Ryan Front rod person Lta \_\_\_\_\_\_ Front rod assistant \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Data recorder ale Baken Observer/sampler Clinton Datum description \_ <u>anth where</u> (H-68-TX Profile Azimuth 146 (Magnetic degrees) Vegetation "fingers" still Sketch/Notes in ant on upper heach f-1( nd deposition has begun to Firgers" however, Cusps ~ 25 meter & and 4 reliferarepresent. Profile vent down trough, 1 . Point # notes (for points at front rod and area between rods) dx (cm) dz (cm) Top of datum point. 1-66-1X 1 0 0 2 0 1 6 Ground surface below/above datum point 3 - 3 56 4 đ 12 5 6 7 8 Dune heat 3 9 10



	Beach Orientatio	on, Beach Shaj	be and GPS Surv	ey, C	,900	
Profile N	ame <u>BEG 08</u> Date (	yr/mo/dy) <u>9</u> (	1/03/02 st	art Time _	am	
GPS equ	inment		Recorder:	RELIVIC	OVPI	
010 - 44					<u> </u>	
GPS Sur	vev: Walk along vegetation l	ine and			•	
wet-dry s	and line 100m on either side	of profile		Foredunes	· · ·	
while rec	ording the GPS track.		AVecenation line	$\sim$	~~	- -
Start tim	$e (local) \underline{9.21} (MV)$	· · · ·	B	100 m		
A. Start ]	Point (degrees, decimal minu	tes):	M	Socie line I Wet-Dry sa	Ad line)	
290	5 1105min (15° 8.933	MIN	Water li	net		: •
Ng man ing	And the second of the second of	iung.	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		in the second se	e and and a second
B. End P へのの り	pint (degrees, decimal minute	es):	<pre></pre>	an manana di sang di sa di sa	and a second sec	•
<u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u>	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$	long.			n an gana a bha	
End time	(local) <u>1.90</u>	na in ann an an an an	<ul> <li>Construction of the gradient spectra structure</li> </ul>		i sars,≱	2 <u>1</u>
l i posto e	wannen ohne alehen I. (o.	e de la composición de	4 19 2 24			
SHOREI	INE and FOREDUNE	and an	an an an ann an Anna a Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna Anna	n an		
ORIENI	ATION	to nort	h to so	outh		
Foredun	e trend	147 °ma	metic 229 °m	nagnetic		
Shoreling	trend	55 °ma	metic 235°m		ana an tao 1945. An	
tine by			<u> </u>	<u>mgnette</u>	n an an Arana an Anna An Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna	
DEACH	CUISDS (if procent)	lowor cot	uppor sot	ور الدار ومعروف محموق العم	ali e constructive para a constructive de la constr	
	CUSPS (II present)	lower set	upper set	an a		•
Number	of beach cusps in 50 meters	10	A	ž. Nast		
Flovation	change across beach cusp	<u> </u>	<u>d</u> cm			a da Cara
				• A get of the second	n air ann ann an air	~
	and a second	n - Carlon -	rander and a hour and an experiment against an experiment. I feature and the second se			
Lievation Librarius Sugartis	Coust vitro et decenar i de la constante de la La constante de la constante de		C. S. meet	6011-1-		
	louis dan di ciang g			som -	S. 2.	
	Coust discourt discovery and the second s Court of the second s		Liesesic	a sona Cust		
			Therefreesesic	a son		
			Durenveseeric	a sona Beach Custe		
			Durerveseeric	a sona Bean cust		
			Durenvesetein	a tom Besch Cust		

			Shorel	ine and p	rocesses Page 1 of 3	
		vaves, and Littoral J		<u>ነበር</u>  ጉ	$\Lambda$	]
Profile	Name <u>8108</u> D	ate (yr/mo/dy) <u>5/</u>	2/40	1 Start 1	Fime <u>9:00</u>	
Observ	ers #1_1/1C//2C/V_#2[	OVY TY OY M#3 101	<u>nn A .</u>	Record	er: <u> {C  YC</u>	
	RICAROR.		· · ·		•	_
WIND	WSW				•	]
Direc	tion (pointing into wind)	Sustained wind	speed	Wi	ind gust speed	
WIEU	101823magnetic	<u>  14</u> ı	km/hour	<u> </u>	km/hour	2
			:			_ •
WAVE	S extend the	Observer #1	Observ	er #2	Observer #3	
Directi	on (pointing into waves)	<u>152</u> °magnetic	156°m	agnetic	55° magnetic	
Breake seawar	r height: estimated for d-most breakers.	cm 2F+		cm 2 f +	cm  12f+	
Period: to pass by 10.	# seconds for 10 waves stationary point divided リワノレの レロノレ	U.5 seconds	U.5 s	econds	seconds	
Surf zo waterli breake	one width: distance from ne to seaward most rs.	500_meters	400	meters	<u> </u>	
Numbe	er of longshore bars	3	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Wave b	oreaker type (check one):	⊯ plunging	□ spil	ling	□ surging	
LITTO CURR	PRAL DRIFT ENT	Trial #1	Trial	#2	Trial #3	
Distanc	ce float thrown offshore	<u></u>	35	meters	<u>35</u> meters	30m
Distand shore in	ce float moves along n 50 seconds	<u>_21.2</u> meters	<u> </u>	meters	U. 05 meters	7.7 n
Littora twice tl	l drift speed (cm/sec) = ne drift distance (m)	cm/sec	C	m/sec	cm/sec	
Littora directio	l drift direction: on in which float moved	⊠n ⊡s Xe ⊡w	XQN □S NE □V	v V	An □s Ae_□w	NE
					체리시키	



# 123-1907300

Point #	dx (cm)	dz (cm)	notes (for points at front rod and area between rods)
1	0	0	Top of datum point.
2	0	-7	Ground surface below/above datum point
3	175	-8	debriline
4	190	-15	ditch
5	185	42	back of dune
6	157	IT	hillonbackside of dune
7	198	51	phside of bigger hill
8	138	28	top of dune
9	195	-28	tep frontside of durc
10	178	-27	frontside of dyne

		E	mery Beach Profile
Profil	e Name <u>BEC</u>	<u>108</u> Dat	e (yr/mo/dy) 12/3/98 Start Time 0:20
Point #	t dx (cm)	dz (cm)	notes (for points at front rod and area between rods)
11	83	-10	frentside of dune
12	280	-23	aunetropat
13	280	-15	
14	310	-8	STArt of frontside of dune
157	440	-19	×× 2/
16	420	-	bermtop
(7)	490	-5	vegetation (in e
18	A40		flat bermtop
19	1410	-2	
20	404	-9	upper beach crest
21	305	-10	
22	330	-11	
23	360		
24	35	-0	
25	1250	-5	
26	1445		shoreine
27	2.55		
28	347	-5	and the second
29	495	-4	
30	280	-0	what is
51	275	-12	Water fine
32	- 29 4		
			na de la construcción de la constru Construcción de la construcción de l
		in a second	
	1		

₹,

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of\_

	<u>Wind, V</u>	Vaves, and Littoral D	Drift Current	10000001 480 1 01 0
Profile N	ame <u>BEG_08_</u> D	ate (yr/mo/dy98/1-	2 <u>3</u> Start '	Гіте <u>10:20</u> ал
Observer	s #1 Kyan H. #2 K	Wardor #3/44	ntney-M. Record	ler: Priscillig L
, 1				
WIND				
Directio	on (pointing into wind)	Sustained wind	speed W	ind gust speed
150	°magnetic	k	cm/hour	2 km/hour
WAVES		Observer #1	Observer #2	Observer #3
Direction	n (pointing into waves)	135 °magnetic	40 °magnetic	<u>136</u> °magnetic
Breaker seaward-	height: estimated for most breakers.	<u></u> cm	_ <u></u> cm	<u>25</u> cm
Period: # to pass st by 10.	seconds for 10 waves ationary point divided	<u> </u>	<u>3-5</u> seconds	<u></u>
Surf zone waterline breakers	e width: distance from to seaward most	<u>70</u> meters	<u>79</u> meters	<u> </u>
Number	of longshore bars		<u>4</u>	3
Wave br	eaker type (check one):	🗆 plunging	⊠ spilling	□ surging
		n na standard and an	Marunshi	J
LITTOR CURREN	AL DRIFT NT	Trial #1	Trial #2	Trial #3
Distance	float thrown offshore	_25_ meters	<u>_30</u> meters	meters
Distance shore in	float moves along ( 50 seconds -	D <u>_H</u> _meters		<u>3.9</u> meters
Littoral twice the	drift speed (cm/sec) = drift distance (m)	cm/sec	cm/sec	cml/sec
Littoral	drift direction:	⊠Ń □S		
direction	in which float moved	DE EW		$\Box \mathbf{E} \ \Box \mathbf{W}$
		NW	New	NW
	an a			

ġ.

	Beach Orientation, Beach Shape and GPS Survey,	· · ·
Profile N:	ameBEG 08 Date (yr/mo/dy) 98 12 3 Start Time 10:48	
GPS equi	ipment Ourtney Mize Recorder: Priscilla Leal	
GPS Surv wet-dry s while rec Start time A. Start I 36 B. End Po End time	<u>vey:</u> Walk along vegetation line and sand line 100m on either side of profile ording the GPS track. e (local) $10.59$ Point (degrees, decimal minutes): long. long. long. long.	
SHOREI ORIENT	LINE and FOREDUNE ATION to north to south	
Foredune	e trend <u>50</u> °magnetic 230 °magnetic	
Shoreline	e trend <u>45</u> °magnetic <u>230</u> °magnetic	
BEACH	CUSPS (if present) lower set	
Number	of beach cusps in 50 meters $45m$ $45m$ $-\lambda$	i de de
Elevatior	r change across beach cusp <u>2</u> cm <u>6</u> cm	
		: 

SES E

Dunelvegeration each Cusps



))

# Emery beach profile Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u> EMERY BEACH PROFILE

Profile	Name <u>BEG</u>	<u>08</u> Dat	te (yr/mo/dy) 98-10-22_Start Time 11:04 am
	•		
Point #	dx (cm)	dz (cm)	notes (for points at front rod and area between mdg)
11	270	-15	Front Ode of toredunt
12	250	-12	Front-side of foregune (scale led argue
12	2.75	- 18	Front-side of fore allos (scalle vet arace
14	385	-10	Front side of threatments allowed avaces
15	245	-11	Front Sila of Gradual (crafferrad arms)
110	295	-11	Front Side of foredune (hallocad acard)
11	747		Frank Side A fored use (State and a see
18	787	-4	the marker lis a (contained grass)
19	370	- 2	Franking Tan - Annala REC BE TR
20	336	20	DA AND THAT TA
21	28/)	-6	
72	330		Dr Martin Der Lung
731	281	-7	
24	221	-10	
25	385	-7	Min Frest
24	300	-10 minut	on the hear face
27	270	-14	In the brack for PRAMDLE BELLANS E
28	415	-12	On the place FACE INVILLA LINE 112:47
29			
30			
4			
32	Server - Constant	and company of the second s	
33	اليغي وليكان أن الأحمام المعامين . ا	a san an a	Laware control and the process of the
31	i en com construction and construction	ر مرد به دی از قریب مرد مرد دود. در مرد به دی از قریب مرد دود.	
35		na yana na	
30			Construction of the second
31	and the state of the state		
38	el constant (jours inter		
39	and a second	ار بور بارس بوس بود بولید و با معدود مدینوس	

Profile Name_ <u>BEGOB</u> D	ate (yr/mo/dy) <u> </u>	10/00	_Start I	ime <u>11:09 a.m</u> .
Observers #1 <u>9/69 Bok-</u> #2_	Ricardo Rivera #3 Cou	THON MIZE	Record	er: John Austria
Cody Widneyer, Ryan	<u>Hughes</u>			V
Direction (pointing into wind)	Sustained wind	speed	Wi	nd gust speed
25,44 °magnetic	14,12,15	m/hour	84,3	5 km/hour
29,26,40				/
WAVES	Observer #1	Observe	er #2	Observer #3
Direction (pointing into waves)	120 °magnetic	100°ma	gnetic	104 °magnetic
Breaker height: estimated for seaward-most breakers.	<u>.70</u> ¥m	<u>    1                                </u>	_Xm	<u>1.3 x</u> m
Period: # seconds for 10 waves to pass stationary point divided by 10.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	econds	<u>-3,4</u> seconds
Surf zone width: distance from waterline to seaward most breakers.	<u>450</u> _meters	475	meters	<u>4-6</u> meters
Number of longshore bars	4 .	4		73
Wave breaker type (check one):	plunging	🖬 spil	ling	□ surging
		Gittle	plunge	C
LITTORAL DRIFT CURRENT	Trial #1	Trial	#2	Trial #3
Distance float thrown offshore	meters	40	meters	meters
Distance float moves along shore in 50 seconds	<u>374</u> meters	45.9	meters	meters
Littoral drift speed (cm/sec) = twice the drift distance (m)	<u>54</u> cm/sec	<u>90</u> c	m/sec	<u>40</u> cm/sec
Littoral drift direction: lirection in which float moved	ON ES DE EW		Ŷ	on es de ew

î pi s

Profile Name BECO 8 Date	te (vr/mo/dv) 98/10/08 Start Time 11099
GPS equipment $GARM/N$	Recorder: Ann Austria
GPS Survey: Walk along vegetatio	on line and
wet-dry sand line 100m on either si while recording the GPS track.	ide of profile
Start time (local)/7//7	B. 100 m
A. Start Point (degrees, decimal min	nutes):
0290939 lat. 30158	S4/ Jong. Water line
B. End Point (degrees, decimal min	nutes):
$_{0090776}$ lat. $_{301573}$	balong.
End time (local)	
SHORELINE and FOREDUNE	
	가슴에 있는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 해야 한다. 이렇게 있는 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 것 같이 것 같이 것을 것 같이 같이 것 같이 것을 것 같이 것 같이
ORIENTATION	to north to south
ORIENTATION Foredune trend	to north to south SO omagnetic 837 omagnetic
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend	to north     to south
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend	to north     to south
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present)	to north     to south       30     °magnetic       50     °magnetic       50     °magnetic       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1       1     1
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter	to north to south <u>30</u> °magnetic <u>837</u> °magnetic <u>50</u> °magnetic <u>850</u> °magnetic <u>10wer set upper set</u> <u>10wer set upper set</u>
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp	to north       to south $\mathfrak{D}$ °magnetic $\mathfrak{A37}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ °magnetic $\mathfrak{D}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ °magnetic $\mathfrak{D}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ °magnetic $\mathfrak{D}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ °magnetic $\mathfrak{D}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ °magnetic
ORIENTATION         Foredune trend         Shoreline trend         BEACH CUSPS (if present)         Number of beach cusps in 50 meter         Elevation change across beach cusp         Weth My Line in	to north     to south       Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     I
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp Wet My Line :	to north       to south $30$ °magnetic $337$ °magnetic $50$ °magnetic $350$ °magnetic $17$ cm $0$ cm
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp Web My Line : O29 0754 Dar. 321	to north to south $30^{\circ} \text{magnetic}$ $337^{\circ} \text{magnetic}$ $50^{\circ} \text{magnetic}$ $50^{\circ} \text{magnetic}$ 15786  bbg, 5786  bbg,
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp Web My Line : O290734 Dav. 321 17:72 +101	to north to south <u>So magnetic</u> <u>BS magnetic</u> <u>50 magnetic</u> <u>magnetic</u> <u>50 magnetic</u> <u>magnetic</u> <u>150 magnetic</u> <u>magnetic</u> <u>15786 Wg</u>
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp Wet My Line : O29 0754 Dat. 321 17:73 HM	to north to south <u>90 °magnetic 237 °magnetic</u> <u>50 °magnetic 250 °magnetic</u> <u>50 °magnetic 250 °magnetic</u> <u>150 °magnetic 250 °magnetic</u> <u>17 cm 6 cm</u>
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp Wet My Line 1 O29 0754 Par. 321 17: 73 HM	to north     to south       30     °magnetic     37     °magnetic       50     °magnetic     35     °magnetic       50     °magnetic     35     °magnetic       10     °magnetic     100     °magnetic       10     17     magnetic     100       15786     100     100     100
ORIENTATION Foredune trend Shoreline trend BEACH CUSPS (if present) Number of beach cusps in 50 meter Elevation change across beach cusp Wet My Line : 0290754 Dat. 321 17:73 HM2 17:27 HM2	to north to south <u>So magnetic 237 magnetic</u> <u>50 magnetic 250 magnetic</u> <u>150 magnetic 250 magnetic</u> <u>15786 Magnetic 6 magnetic</u> <u>15786 Magnetic 6 magnetic</u> <u>15786 Magnetic 6 magnetic</u> <u>15786 Magnetic 6 magnetic</u>