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## Summary

The main object of study in this thesis is the space of broken (gradient) flow lines of a Morse-Smale function. Throughout we fix a Morse function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a closed $n$-manifold M and a Riemannian metric g on M such that the pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale. ${ }^{1}$
For critical points $p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ one defines the space of connecting orbits $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \subset \mathrm{M}$ as the transverse intersection of the unstable manifold $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ and the stable manifold $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$. The orbit space $\mathrm{M}(p, q)=\mathrm{W}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$ induced by the flow of the negative gradient $-\nabla f$ is called the moduli space of orbits; it lies at the foundation of modern Morse theory.
We start this thesis by recalling some background material from the theory of dynamical systems, such as the stable manifold theorem and various concepts related to it. We then use a dynamical system approach to present Morse theory. In particular we describe the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex using moduli spaces, which leads to the statement of the Morse homology theorem.
The space of broken flow lines ${ }^{2} \bar{M}(q, p)$ can be viewed as a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. It is a subspace of $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$, the space of continuous functions $[f(q), f(p)] \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ with the compact open topology, and defined by $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ iff $\mathrm{d} \beta / \mathrm{d} t=\nabla f(\beta) /|\nabla f(\beta)|^{2}$ on $\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Crit}(f)$ with boundary conditions $\beta(f(q))=q$ and $\beta(f(p))=p$.
Each element $\beta$ of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ has the important property of being height-parameterized, i.e. $f(\beta(t))=t$ for all $t \in[f(q), f(p)]$. This description of the space

[^0]of broken flow lines appeared in the preprint [CJS95], which is a followup to [Coh92].
In the first part of this thesis we prove that $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. More precisely we identify $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ with a subspace $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ of the compact metric space $\left(\mathcal{C M}, d_{H}\right)$, the set of nonempty closed subspaces of M with the Hausdorff distance $d_{H}$. The closure of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ is then a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. On the other hand, we may identify $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ with a subspace $M(q, p)$ of $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$ and take the closure (which is compact by the ArzelaAscoli theorem) of this space as a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. A standard result concerning the convergence of flow lines to broken ones enables us to show that the two compactifications of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ are the same and agree with $\bar{M}(q, p)$ (as topological spaces).
This compactification result also appeared in [CJS95], however our approach is not the one used in [CJS95]. In [CJS95] the compactification result rests upon a gluing construction of flow lines, whereas it does not in this thesis. ${ }^{3}$
Having given a careful description of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ we proceed by first investigating the connectivity of $\bar{M}(q, p)$. Secondly we address the question of whether or not the number of path components of $M(q, p)$ and its compactification $\bar{M}(q, p)$ are the same, i.e. does the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induce a bijection on $\pi_{0}$ ? This should be seen as the start of a project where we investigate whether the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a (weak) equivalence in homotopy or homology. Since $M(q, p) \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ can be given the structure of a smooth ( $\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}-1$ )-manifold it is clear that homology computations for $\bar{M}(q, p)$ becomes easier, in particular since duality theorems are at hand. ${ }^{4}$
To study the connectivity of $\bar{M}(q, p)$, we consider, for each $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ various restrictions $\beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ with $\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right] \subseteq[f(q), f(p)]$. In this way we obtain a family of (quotient) spaces where each element can be described by these restrictions, and a family of restriction maps between these spaces. Since these maps are closed surjections and the elements of the two families fit together in pullback diagrams, we can apply the Vietoris-Begle mapping theorem to conclude that the restriction maps induce isomorphisms in Čech cohomology in a certain range of degrees. In particular we are able to conclude that $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is connected if there are no critical points of index 1 (or $n-1$ ) and precisely one critical point

[^1]$q$ of index 0 and precisely one critical point $p$ of index $n$.
The next part of this thesis concerns the question of whether or not the inclusion $\iota: M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$, i.e. is the number of path components of $M(q, p)$ invariant under closure. The surjectivity of $\pi_{0}(\iota)$ is proven in the case where the Riemannian metric $g$ is compatible with the Morse charts. It is a consequence of a (new) gluing theorem for height-parameterized flow lines, which allows us to continuously deform any broken flow line into a height-parameterized flow line.
Concerning the injectivity of $\pi_{0}(\iota)$ we have so far only obtained partial results. We prove that if $f$ is self indexing with precisely one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$, and g is compatible with the Morse charts, then the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1} \cup B^{2}\right)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$, where $B^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denotes the subspace whose elements are flow lines which break precisely at one critical point (between $q$ and $p$ ) and $B^{2} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denotes the subspace whose elements are flow lines which break precisely at two critical points, say $a$ and $b$, with either $\lambda_{a}=1$ and $\lambda_{b}=2$, or $\lambda_{a}=n-2$ and $\lambda_{b}=n-1$. If $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3$ this implies that $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$. The basic idea of the proof is first to consider a map $\rho \in C\left(([0,1], 0,1),\left(\bar{M}(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \in M(q, p)$, such that $\operatorname{im}(\rho(s))$ contains at most two critical points (besides $q$ and $p$ ) for all $s \in[0,1]$. For $\tau \in] 0,1[$ we then consider the level $\tau$-map $s \mapsto \rho(s)(\tau) \in \mathrm{M}^{\tau}=\mathrm{M} \cap f^{-1}(\tau)$, and perturb it to a continuous map with image in $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$. It is then easy to show that this perturbation induces a map in $C\left(([0,1], 0,1),\left(M(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The perturbation relies on a technical construction which, loosely described, splits a neighborhood of the unstable manifold connected to a critical point of index $n-2$ (or 2 ) into a number of cone like sections.

Moreover, if the compatibility assumption on g is omitted then $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow$ $\left(M(q, p) \cup A^{1}\right)$ (and $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ if $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3$ ) induces an injection on $\pi_{0}$, where $A^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denotes the subspace whose elements are flow lines which break precisely at one critical point, say $a$, with either $\lambda_{a}=1$ or $\lambda_{a}=$ $n-1$.

We proceed in the final part of this thesis with an analysis of the number of path components $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ in the special case of an orientable three dimensional manifold, $f$ self indexing with precisely one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$, and g compatible with the Morse charts. The main result is an estimate of $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ which only depends on the number of critical points and the intersection numbers used to define the boundary operator from the Morse-

Smale-Witten chain complex. To obtain this estimate we define three graphs (one dimensional CW-complexes), each embedded at different level surfaces. Using Poincaré-Lefschetz duality we obtain an expression for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ in terms of these graphs. More precisely, let $\Gamma$ be the union of the stable and unstable spheres at level $\tau \in] 1,2\left[\right.$ and $i: \Gamma \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(\tau)$ the inclusion. If we consider $\Gamma$ as a graph the expression for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ is given in terms of the number of components of $\Gamma$, the number of vertices of $\Gamma$, and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(i)\right)$ where $\mathrm{H}_{*}$ denotes singular homology with real coefficients. The first two terms can be computed by means of the number of critical points and the intersection numbers. The only unknown term in the expression for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ is $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(i)\right)$. We show that $2 g-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{M})\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(i)\right) \leq 2 g$, where $g$ denotes the number of critical points of index one (or equivalently, of index two).
For a given Morse-Smale pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ on a closed $n$-manifold with g compatible with the Morse charts we prove, in the appendix of this thesis, that the closure of any unstable manifold is a prestratified space which is (A)-regular (that is, satisfies the Whitney condition (A)) at any noncritical point. This result is unrelated to the results obtained in the main text.

## Summary in Danish

Denne afhandling er centreret om et studie af rummet af brudne flow linjer hørende til en Morse-Smale funktion. Lad os i det følgende fastholde en Morse funktion $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ på en lukket $n$-mangfoldighed og en Riemannsk metrik g på M således at parret $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ er Morse-Smale. ${ }^{5}$
For kritiske punkter $p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ defineres rummet $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \subset \mathrm{M}$ af forbindende baner som det transverse snit af den ustabile mangfoldighed $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ og den stabile mangfoldighed $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$. Banerummet $\mathrm{M}(p, q)=\mathrm{W}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$ induceret af flowet hørende til den negative gradient $-\nabla f$, kaldes modulirummet af baner; det udgør en af hjørnestenene i moderne Morse teori.

Vi starter denne afhandling med at genkalde baggrundsmateriale fra teorien om dynamiske systemer, så som den stabile mangfoldigheds sætning, samt andre koncepter forbundet hertil. Vi giver herefter en præsentation af Morse teori via dynamiske systemer. Specielt beskrives Morse-Smale-Witten kædekomplekset ved hjælp af modulirum, hvilket leder til Morse homologi sætningen.
Rummet af brudne flow linjer ${ }^{6} \bar{M}(q, p)$ er en kompaktifisering af $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Det er et delrum af $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$, rummet af alle kontinuere funktioner $[f(q), f(p)]$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ med den kompakt åbne topologi, og defineret ved $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ hvis og kun hvis $\mathrm{d} \beta / \mathrm{d} t=\nabla f(\beta) /|\nabla f(\beta)|^{2}$ på $\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Crit}(f)$ med randbetingelser $\beta(f(q))=q$ og $\beta(f(p))=p$.
Ethvert element $\beta$ i $\bar{M}(q, p)$ har følgende vigtige egenskab $f(\beta(t))=t$ for alle $t \in[f(q), f(p)]$, og siges at være højde parametriseret. Denne beskrivelse af

[^2]rummet af brudne flow linjer optræder i preprintet [CJS95], som er en opfølgning til [Coh92].
I den første del af denne afhandling bevises det, at $\bar{M}(q, p)$ er en kompaktifisering af $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Mere præcist, $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ identificeres med et delrum $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ af det kompakte metriske rum $\left(\mathcal{C M}, d_{H}\right)$, bestående af ikke-tomme lukkede delmængder af M med Hausdorff afstanden $d_{H}$. Aflukningen af $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ er da en kompaktifisering af $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. På den anden side kan vi identificere $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ med et delrum $M(q, p)$ af $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$ og bruge aflukningen (som er kompakt pga. Arzela-Ascoli's sætning) af dette delrum som en kompaktifisering af $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Et standard resultat omhandlende konvergens af flow linjer til brudne flow linjer gør os da i stand til at vise at de to kompaktifiseringer af $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ er de samme og stemmer overens med $\bar{M}(q, p)$ (som topologiske rum).
Dette kompaktifiserings resultat nævnes også i [CJS95], men vores tilgang er ikke den brugt i [CJS95]. I [CJS95] afhænger kompaktifiseringen af et gluing resultat, dette er ikke tilfældet her. ${ }^{7}$
Efter at have givet en detaljeret beskrivelse af $\bar{M}(q, p)$ fortsætter vi med en undersøgelse af sammenhængsegenskaber ved $\bar{M}(q, p)$. Derudover adresseres spørgsmålet om hvorvidt antallet af sammenhængskomponenter for $M(q, p)$ og dens kompaktifisering $\bar{M}(q, p)$ er de samme, dvs. inducerer inklusionen $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ en bijektion på $\pi_{0}$ ? Dette skal ses som starten på et projekt hvor vi $\emptyset$ nsker at afgøre hvorvidt inklusionen $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ inducerer en (svag) ækvivalens i homotopi eller homologi. Eftersom man kan give $M(q, p) \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ strukturen af en glat $\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}-1\right)$-mangfoldighed, er det klart, at homologi beregninger af $\bar{M}(q, p)$ bliver lettere, specielt da dualitets sætninger nu kan anvendes. ${ }^{8}$
For at studere sammenhængsegenskaberne ved $\bar{M}(q, p)$ analyseres, for ethvert $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$, forskellige restriktioner $\beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ hvor $\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right] \subseteq[f(q), f(p)]$. På denne måde opnås en familie af (kvotient-) rum hvori ethvert element kan beskrives ved disse restriktioner, samt en familie af restriktions afbildninger mellem rummene. Da restriktions afbildningerne er lukkede surjektioner, og de to familier passer sammen i et pullback diagram, kan Vietoris-Begle's afbildnings sætning anvendes til at konkludere at restriktions afbildningerne inducerer isomorfier i Čech kohomologi indenfor et vist interval af grader. Specielt kan

[^3]vi konkludere at $\bar{M}(q, p)$ er sammenhængende hvis der ikke er nogle kritiske punkter med indeks 1 (eller $n-1$ ) samt præcist ét kritisk punkt $q$ af indeks 0 og præcist ét kritisk punkt $p$ af indeks $n$.
Den næste del af afhandlingen drejer sig om hvorvidt inklusionen $\iota: M(q, p) \hookrightarrow$ $\bar{M}(q, p)$ inducerer en bijektion på $\pi_{0}$, dvs. er antallet af kurvesammenhængskomponenter af $M(q, p)$ invariant under aflukning? Surjektiviteten af $\pi_{0}(\iota)$ bevises under antagelse af, at den Riemannske metrik g er kompatibel med Morse kortene. Dette er en konsekvens af en (ny) gluing sætning for højde parametriserede flow linjer, hvilken tillader os at deformere enhver bruden flow linje kontinuerligt til en højde parametriseret flow linje.
Angående injektiviteten af $\pi_{0}(\iota)$ har vi indtil videre kun opnåt delresultater. Vi beviser at hvis $f$ er selv indekserende med præcist ét minimum $q$ og præcist ét maksimum $p$, og g er kompatibel med Morse kortene, så inducerer inklusionen $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1} \cup B^{2}\right)$ en bijektion på $\pi_{0}$, hvor $B^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ betegner delrummet hvis elementer er de flow linjer som knækker præcist i ét kritisk punkt (mellem $q$ og $p$ ), og $B^{2} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ betegner delrummet hvis elementer er de flow linjer som knækker præcist i to kritiske punkter, f.eks. $a$ og $b$, hvor enten $\lambda_{a}=1$ og $\lambda_{b}=2$, eller $\lambda_{a}=n-2$ og $\lambda_{b}=n-1$. Hvis $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3$ medfører dette, at $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ inducerer en bijektion på $\pi_{0}$. Ideen bag beviset er først at betragte en afbildning $\rho \in C\left(([0,1], 0,1),\left(\bar{M}(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ hvor $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \in M(q, p) \operatorname{og} \operatorname{im}(\rho(s))$ h $\varnothing j$ st indeholder to kritiske punkter (udover $q$ og $p$ ) for alle $s \in[0,1]$. For $\tau \in] 0,1[$ betragtes da følgende niveau $\tau$-afbildning $s \mapsto \rho(s)(\tau) \in \mathrm{M}^{\tau}=\mathrm{M} \cap f^{-1}(\tau)$, som perturberes til en kontinuert afbildning med billede i $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$. Det er da ikke svært at vise at denne perturbation inducerer en afbildning i $C\left(([0,1], 0,1),\left(M(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Perturbationen afhænger af en teknisk konstruktion som, løst sagt, opsplitter en omegn af den ustabile mangfoldighed, hørende til et kritisk punkt af indeks $n-2$ (eller 2), op i et antal kegle lignende områder.
Hvis kompatibilitets betingelsen på $\mathbf{g}$ ikke medtages, inducerer $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow$ $\left(M(q, p) \cup A^{1}\right)(\mathrm{og} M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ hvis $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3)$ en injektion på $\pi_{0}$, hvor $A^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ betegner delrummet hvis elementer er de flow linjer som knækker præcist i et kritisk punkt, f.eks. $a$, hvor enten $\lambda_{a}=1$ eller $\lambda_{a}=n-1$.
I den sidste del af denne afhandling analyseres antallet af kurvesammenhængskomponenter $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ af $\bar{M}(q, p)$, i tilfældet af en orienterbar tre dimensional mangfoldighed, $f$ selv indekserende med præcist ét minimum $q$ og præcist ét maksimum $p$, og g er kompatibel med Morse kortene. Hovedresultatet er her et estimat for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ som kun afhænger af antallet af kritiske punkter og snittallene
hørende til rand operatoren fra Morse-Smale-Witten kædekomplekset. For at opnå dette estimat defineres først tre grafer (1-dimensionale CW-komplekser). Ved anvendelse af Poincaré-Lefschetz dualitet opnås et udtryk for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ givet ved disse grafer. Mere præcist, lad $\Gamma$ betegne foreningen af de ustabile og stabile cirkler på niveau $\tau \in] 1,2\left[\operatorname{og} \operatorname{lad} i: \Gamma \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(\tau)\right.$ betegne inklusionen. Hvis vi betragter $\Gamma$ som en graf, kan $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ udtrykkes ved antallet af sammenhængskomponenter af $\Gamma$, antallet af punkter i $\Gamma$, og $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(i)\right)$ hvor $H_{*}$ betegner singulær homologi med reelle koefficienter. De to første kan udregnes ved hjælp af antallet af kritiske punkter og snittallene. Den eneste ubekendte i udtrykket for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ er $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(i)\right)$. Vi viser at $2 g-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{M})\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}(i)\right) \leq 2 g$, hvor $g$ betegner antallet af kritiske punkter med indeks 1 (eller ækvivalent, med indeks 2).
For et givet Morse-Smale par ( $f, \mathrm{~g}$ ) på en lukket $n$-mangfoldighed hvor g er kompatibel med Morse kortene beviser vi, i appendikset til denne afhandling, at aflukningen af enhver ustabil mangfoldighed er et pre-stratificeret rum som er (A)-regulær (dvs. tilfredsstiller Whitney's betingelse (A)) i ethvert ikke kritisk punkt. Dette resultat er ikke relateret til resultaterne fra hovedteksten.

## Contents

1 Preliminaries ..... 1
1.1 Notes to the reader ..... 1
1.2 Dynamical systems ..... 2
1.3 Morse Theory ..... 5
1.3.1 Appendix ..... 10
2 The space of broken flow lines and related spaces ..... 13
2.1 The space of connecting orbits ..... 14
2.2 The moduli space of orbits ..... 15
2.3 Broken orbits and convergence ..... 16
2.4 The space of height-parameterized flow lines ..... 20
2.5 The space of broken flow lines ..... 28
2.5.1 Appendix ..... 30
3 Connectivity and the space of broken flow lines ..... 35
3.1 Assumptions ..... 36
3.2 Quotients of the space of broken flow lines ..... 36
3.3 Pullbacks and induced isomorphisms ..... 39
3.4 Appendix ..... 45
4 Path components and the space of broken flow lines ..... 49
4.1 A gluing construction ..... 50
4.1.1 Extension of the gluing map ..... 55
4.2 Surjectivity of $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ on $\pi_{0}$ ..... 56
4.3 On the injectivity of $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ on $\pi_{0}$ ..... 58
4.4 Extending injectivity ..... 64
5 Estimating the number of path components of the space of bro- ken flow lines ..... 73
5.1 Graphs on level surfaces ..... 74
5.2 Estimates of $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ ..... 76
5.2.1 ..... 77
5.2.2 ..... 79
5.3 Appendix ..... 82
5.3.1 ..... 82
5.3.2 ..... 82
6 Main results and future work ..... 85
6.1 Chapter 2 ..... 85
6.2 Chapter 3 ..... 86
6.3 Chapter 4 ..... 87
6.4 Chapter 5 ..... 87
A Appendix ..... 89
A. 1 Stratification ..... 89
A. 2 The main result ..... 90
A. 3 Future work ..... 93

## Chapter 1

## Preliminaries

In this chapter we present various elements from the theory of dynamical system and Morse theory. The reason being an attempt to make this work as self contained as possible. The reader familiar with basic concepts and results from these two fields should be able to skip this chapter, with the exception of section 1.1.

In section 1.2 we present some basic concepts and results from the theory of dynamical systems, the aim being to state the stable manifold theorem. The main reference for this section is [Irw01] and [PdM82]. Using notation and results from section 1.2 we give an account for present day Morse theory in section 1.3 ending with the Morse homology theorem. The main reference for this section being [BH04] and to some extent [Web06]. In appendix 1.3.1 we summarize some of the classical theorems of Morse theory.
Since this chapter only contains background material and to keep the text readable, we have decided to put most references in footnotes. Moreover and as said above the references [Irw01], [PdM82], [BH04] and [Web06] will be sufficient in most cases.

### 1.1 Notes to the reader

The following are assumed throughout the thesis unless otherwise stated. Manifolds are finite dimensional, $2^{\text {nd }}$ countable, and Hausdorff. Manifolds are always
connected and without boundary. In addition to the above it will be assumed in most of the text that manifolds are closed i.e. compact and without boundary. Objects and morphisms are in smooth categories whenever this makes sense.

The notation $\approx$ will always denote an isomorphism in the category under consideration, and $\simeq$ will denote a homotopy.

References are written as ([Irw01],Ch.4.II) meaning chapter 4.II of [Irw01], where Ch. can be replaced by App. or p. meaning appendix and page respectively.

### 1.2 Dynamical systems

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the abelian Lie group of real numbers. By a dynamical system on a manifold M we understand a group action $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} ;(t, m) \mapsto \varphi(t, m)=$ $t . m$, called a flow on M . Hence the theory of group actions applies. In the sequel fix a flow $\varphi$ on $M$.
The group action axioms imply that $\varphi_{t} \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ for the time $t$ map $m \mapsto$ $\varphi_{t}(m)=t . m$, therefore $\varphi$ or $\left\{\varphi_{t}\right\}$ are sometimes called a one parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $M$. The flow defines an equivalence relation on $M$ by $m \sim m^{\prime}$ iff there exist $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_{t}(m)=m^{\prime}$. The resulting quotient space $\mathrm{M} / \sim=\mathrm{M} / \mathbb{R}$ is called the orbit space and the equivalence class $[m] \in \mathrm{M} / \mathbb{R}$ the orbit through $m$, which basically is the same as the orbit $\mathbb{R} . m$ of $m$.
The partial map $t \mapsto \varphi_{m}(t)=t . m$ is called the flow line (or integral curve) at $m$, and $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \varphi_{m}(t)=\dot{\varphi}_{m}(t)$ the velocity at $\varphi_{m}(t)$ at time $t$. The flow generates a vector field $X^{\varphi}=X$ by $X(m)=\dot{\varphi}_{m}(0)$, sometimes called the velocity vector field of $\varphi$ or the infinitesimal generator of $\varphi$. Hence $X(m)=\dot{\varphi}_{m^{\prime}}(t)$ if $m=$ $\varphi_{m^{\prime}}(t)$ since the velocity at any point is independent of time by the group action axioms. Therefore $\varphi_{m}$ is an integral curve of $X\left(X\left(\varphi_{m}\right)=\dot{\varphi}_{m}\right)$ for all $m$. As a consequence of the Picard-Lindelöf theorem we have conversely that any $X \in \mathcal{T}$ M gives rise to a partial flow $\psi^{X}=\psi: D \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$, here $D \subset(\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M})$ an open neighborhood of $\{0\} \times \mathrm{M}$, such that for all $m \in \mathrm{M}, \psi_{m}: D_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ is the maximal integral curve of $X$ at $m$. Basically a partial flow is a "flow" which is not defined for all time but still fulfills the axioms of a group action whenever this makes sense. If $D=\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M}$ then $X$ is called complete. Moreover, if M is compact, then $X$ is complete and hence $\psi$ is a flow, sometimes called an integral flow. ${ }^{1}$

[^4]Let $\varphi$ be a flow with velocity vector field $X$, and let $\operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)=\{p \in \mathrm{M} \mid \mathbb{R} . p=p\}$ denote the set of fixed points of $\varphi$, which of course is equal to $\operatorname{Sing}(X)=$ $\{p \in \mathrm{M} \mid X(p)=0\}$, the set of singular points of $X$. Let $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$, then $d \varphi_{t}(p) \in G L\left(T_{p} \mathrm{M}\right)$ induces a smooth map $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times T_{p} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow T_{p} \mathrm{M} ;(t, u) \rightarrow$ $d \varphi_{t}(p) u$ which is linear in $u$, i.e. $\Phi_{t}$ is linear. Moreover, $\Phi$ is a flow ${ }^{2}$ and hence generates a (linear) vector field $H: T_{p} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow T_{p} \mathrm{M}$. From the theory of linear systems we know that $\Phi(t, u)=\exp (t H) u$. In fact, $H$ is the (Riemannian) Hessian $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ of $X$ at $p$, i.e. the vertical part of the tangent map of $X$ at $p$. For details regarding the Hessian see ([Irw01],p.111) or ([AM78],p.72), we merely remark that $T X: T \mathrm{M} \rightarrow T T \mathrm{M} ;(p, u) \mapsto(p, X(p), u, d X(p) u)$ and $T_{p} X: u \mapsto(u, d X(p) u)$, hence $\mathrm{H}_{p} X=d X(p) .^{3}$
Since $d \varphi_{t}(p) u=\exp \left(t \mathbf{H}_{p} X\right) u$, we have that $u$ is an eigenvector of $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$ of multiplicity $k$ iff $u$ is an eigenvector of $d \varphi_{t}(p)$ with eigenvalue $\exp (t \lambda)$ of multiplicity $k$. In particular, for $t>0$ we have that $\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{j}, v_{j}\right\}$, where $u_{j}+i v_{j}$ is the generalized eigenvector of $d \varphi_{t}(p)$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $a_{j}+i b_{j}$ with $a_{j}^{2}+b_{j}^{2}>1$, is precisely the generalized positive eigenspace $\operatorname{Eig}^{+} \mathrm{H}_{p} X$ of $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$, i.e $\operatorname{Eig}^{+} \mathrm{H}_{p} X=\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{j}, v_{j}\right\}$ where $u_{j}+i v_{j}$ is the generalized eigenvector of $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $a_{j}+i b_{j}$ with $a_{j}>0 .{ }^{4}$
A $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$ is called hyperbolic if $\sigma\left(d \varphi_{t}(p)\right) \cap \mathbb{S}^{1}=\emptyset$ for some (hence any) $t \neq 0$, where $\mathbb{S}^{1} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit circle and $\sigma\left(d \varphi_{t}(p)\right)$ denotes the spectrum of the linear automorphism $d \varphi_{t}(p) \in G L\left(T_{p} \mathrm{M}\right)$. Hence $p$ is a hyperbolic fixed point if $d \varphi_{t}(p)$ has no eigenvalues of modulus 1. Note that $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$ hyperbolic iff $p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(X=X^{\varphi}\right)$ hyperbolic, that is if $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ has no eigenvalues with real part zero. As a passing remark we note that hyperbolic singularities/fixed points are isolated if M is compact. Now an application of Hartman's theorem yields that a hyperbolic singularity $p$ of $X$ is flow equivalent to the zero of $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ i.e. there exists a homeomorphism $h: \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\prime}$ from an open neighborhood of $p$ to an open neighborhood of $0=h(p)$ and an increasing continuous homomorphism $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $f$ is multiplication by a positive constant) such that $h \circ \varphi=$ $\Phi \circ(f \times h)$ where $\varphi$ and $\Phi$ are the flows of $X$ and $\mathbf{H}_{p} X$ respectively. ${ }^{5}$
Let $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$ be hyperbolic. The spectral decomposition theorem applied to

[^5]the linear vector field $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ then yields a decomposition $T_{p} \mathrm{M}=\mathbb{E}_{p}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{p}^{s}$ into $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$ invariant subspaces. Note then that $\mathbb{E}_{p}^{u}=\operatorname{Eig}^{+} \mathrm{H}_{p} X\left(\right.$ and $\mathbb{E}_{p}^{s}=\operatorname{Eig}^{-} \mathrm{H}_{p} X$ ), so $\mathbb{E}_{p}^{u}$ may be characterized as $\{x \in \mathbb{E} \mid \varphi(t, x) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow-\infty\}$ or equivalently, for $t>0$ fixed and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as $\left\{x \in \mathbb{E} \mid\left(\varphi_{t}\right)^{-n} x \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty\right\}$. Now if we apply the spectral decomposition theorem to the linear automorphism $d \varphi_{t}(p)$ with $t>0$ fixed, the splitting of $T_{p} \mathrm{M}$ agrees with that induced by $\mathrm{H}_{p} X$, so $\mathbb{E}_{p}^{u}$ can also be described as the generalized eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalues of modulus greater than 1 . Moreover, there exists an (equivalent) norm $|\cdot|$ on $T_{p} \mathrm{M}$ given by $|x|=\max \left\{\left|x^{u}\right|,\left|x^{s}\right|\right\}$ with $x=x^{u}+x^{s}$ such that $\max \left\{\left|\left(d \varphi_{t}(p)^{u}\right)^{-1}\right|,\left|d \varphi_{t}(p)^{s}\right|\right\}<$ 1 , with $d \varphi_{t}(p)=d \varphi_{t}(p)^{u} \oplus d \varphi_{t}(p)^{s}$. Hence $d \varphi_{t}(p)^{u}$ is an expansion and $d \varphi_{t}(p)^{s}$ is an contraction w.r.t. $|\cdot| \cdot{ }^{6}$
For $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$ the unstable and stable set of $\varphi$ at $p$ are then defined as $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)=$ $\left\{m \in \mathrm{M} \mid \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi(t, m)=p\right\}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(p)=\left\{m \in \mathrm{M} \mid \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, m)=p\right\}$ respectively. The (un)stable manifold theorem we now present can be seen as a global version of the spectral decomposition theorem. For a proof, other versions and generalizations see either [Irw01], [PdM82], [AR67], [Jos02] or [BH04].

### 1.1 Theorem.

Let $p$ be a hyperbolic fixed point of a flow $\varphi$ on M and $T_{p} \mathrm{M}=\mathbb{E}_{p}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{p}^{s}$ the decomposition induced by $d \varphi_{t}(p)$ for fixed $t \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(p)$ are immersed submanifolds, tangent at $p$ to the (un)stable summands of $d \varphi_{t}(p)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(p)$ are the surjective images of injective immersions $\mathbb{E}_{p}^{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)$ and $\mathbb{E}_{p}^{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}^{s}(p)$ respectively.

We end this section by introducing the concept of a Liapunov function. As we shall see the existence of such a function will imply that the immersions above are embeddings.
A function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called positive definite at $p \in \mathrm{M}$ if $f$ has a strict local minimum at $p$ and $f(p)=0$. We define positive semi definite by excluding the word strict, and negative (semi) definite in the obvious way. Let $\varphi$ be a flow on M with $X=X^{\varphi}$. A function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Liapunov function for $X$ (or $\varphi$ ) at a singularity $p \in \mathrm{M}$ if $f$ is positive definite at $p$ and $L_{X} f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ;\left.m \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right|_{t=0} f\left(\varphi_{t}(m)\right)$ is negative semi definite at $p$. We have the following variation of the above definition. Let $\varphi$ be a flow on a compact manifold M with $X=X^{\varphi}$. A function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Liapunov function for $X$ (or $\varphi$ ) if $L_{X} f<0$ on $\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$ i.e. on the complement of the fixed points of $\varphi .^{7}$

[^6]
### 1.2 Theorem.

Let the assumptions be as in theorem 1.1. Furthermore assume that M is compact and that there exists a Liapunov function for $\varphi$. Then $\mathbb{E}^{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)$ and $\mathbb{E}^{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}^{s}(p)$ have continuous inverses, hence they are embeddings.

Proof:
Lemma 4.20 in [BH04] has the same conclusion but the assumptions are that $\varphi$ is the flow of minus the gradient of a Morse function (see section 1.3). However, the proof only uses the fact that flow lines can not start and end at the same fixed point. But this is also true in our case.

### 1.3 Morse Theory

In this section we apply the notions from dynamical systems to the concept of a Morse function. Throughout let M denote a connected closed manifold modelled on $\mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathbb{E}$. Whenever possible we let $\psi: \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow U$ denote a chart with $x=\psi(m), \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{M}, U \subseteq \mathbb{E}$, and if this chart is around a point $p \in \mathrm{M}$ we sometimes set $\psi(p)=0$.
Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and $\mathrm{g}=\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ denote a Riemannian metric on M . The gradient (vector field), $\nabla f=\operatorname{grad} f \in \mathcal{T}$ M, of $f$ is then defined by $\langle X \mid \nabla f\rangle=$ $d f(X)=X(f)$ where $X \in \mathcal{T} \mathrm{M}$. It is complete since M is compact.
Now let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ denote the flow corresponding to the negative gradient $-\nabla f$, and $\operatorname{Crit}(f)=\{m \in \mathrm{M} \mid d f(m)=0\}$ denote the set of critical points of $f$. It is easy to see that $f$ is a Liapunov function for $-\nabla f$ and, since g is positive definite, $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ iff it is a singularity of $-\nabla f$, hence $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ iff $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(\varphi)$. A critical point $p$ is called non-degenerate iff $p$ is a hyperbolic fixed point of $\varphi$. Hence, $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ is non-degenerate iff $p$ is a hyperbolic singularity of $-\nabla f$. In this case, Hartman's theorem implies that the hyperbolic singularity $p$ of $-\nabla f$ is flow equivalent to the zero of the Hessian $\mathrm{H}_{p}(-\nabla f)=-\mathrm{H}_{p} \nabla f$. For $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ non-degenerate the index $\lambda_{p}$ of $p$ is defined as $\lambda_{p}=\operatorname{dimW}^{u}(p)=$ $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{p}^{u}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Eig}^{-} \mathbf{H}_{p} \nabla f\right)$, hence $\operatorname{dimW}^{s}(p)=n-\lambda_{p}$ and by theorem 1.2 the unstable and stable manifolds are embedded open disks, therefore contractible hence orientable. ${ }^{8}$
Let us look at the situation locally. In a chart $\psi$ let $\left\{\partial_{i}(x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\right\}$ denote a

[^7]basis for $T_{x} U=\mathbb{E}$, and $\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right]=\left[\mathrm{g}_{i j}\right]^{-1}=\left[\mathrm{g}\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)\right]^{-1}$. By abuse of notation we write $\nabla f$ for the principal part of $\psi_{*}(\nabla f)$ the local representative of $\nabla f$, and $\varphi$ for $\psi \circ \varphi_{t} \circ \psi^{-1}$ the local representative of $\varphi$. We then have local formulas $\nabla f=$ $\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right] \mathbf{D}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{D} \nabla f=\mathbf{D}\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right] \mathbf{D}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)+\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right] \mathbf{D}^{2}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)$. If $\psi$ is a chart around $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ then $\mathbf{D} \nabla f(0)=\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}(0)\right] \mathbf{D}^{2}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)(0)$ is a symmetric matrix, hence diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. So $\lambda_{p}$ is the number of negative eigenvalues counted with multiplicity of the Hessian $-\mathrm{H}_{p} \nabla f=-\mathbf{D} \nabla f(0)$, and $p$ is non-degenerate iff $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{D}^{2}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)(0)\right) \neq 0$ since $\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right]$ is positive definite. ${ }^{9}$ Since $\lambda_{p}=\operatorname{dimW}^{u}(p)$, the local description of $\lambda_{p}$ is of course independent of the choice of chart. As a consequence of Sylvester's law of inertia, this can also be seen directly since a change of coordinates does not alter the signs of the eigenvalues of $-\mathrm{H}_{p} \nabla f$ (see e.g. ([HJ85],p.224)). Moreover, since $\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right]$ is positive definite we may choose a basis (i.e. a coordinate change) such $\left[\mathrm{g}^{i j}\right]=1$, hence $\lambda_{p}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathbf{D}^{2}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)(0)$ the local Hessian of $f$ at $p .{ }^{10}$
1.3 Remark: Note that globally $\mathbf{D}^{2}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)(0)$ correspond the symmetric bilinear function $\mathbf{H}_{p} f: T_{p} \mathrm{M}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ;(v, w) \mapsto v_{p}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}(f)\right)=v\left(w^{\prime}(f)\right)$ where $v^{\prime}, w^{\prime} \in$ $\mathcal{T}$ M are vector field extensions of $v, w$. Moreover, $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ is non-degenerate iff $\mathrm{H}_{p} f$ is non-degenerate, i.e. $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Null}\left(T_{p} \mathrm{M}\right)\right)=0$ where $\operatorname{Null}\left(T_{p} \mathrm{M}\right)=\{v \in$ $\left.T_{p} \mathrm{M} \mid \mathrm{H}_{p} f(v, w)=0 \forall w\right\}$, and $\lambda_{p}$ can equivalently be described as the maximal dimension of a subspace of $T_{p} \mathrm{M}$ on which the quadratic function $\mathrm{H}_{p} f(v)=$ $\mathrm{H}_{p} f(v, v)$ is negative definite $\left(\mathrm{H}_{p} f(v)<0, \forall v \in T_{p} M-\{0\}\right)$. This is the classical way of introducing the notion of a non-degenerate critical point.

The function $f$ is called Morse (or a Morse function) if all its critical points are non-degenerate. Let $\operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)=\left\{p \in \operatorname{Crit} f \mid \lambda_{p}=i\right\}$. In particular, $\operatorname{Crit}(f)$ is finite and closed if $f$ is Morse, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \varphi(t, m) \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ for all $m \in \mathrm{M}$. The existence of Morse functions is guaranteed since the set of $C^{r}$ Morse functions is open and dense in $C^{r}(\mathrm{M}, \mathbb{R})$ for $2 \leq r \leq \infty .^{11}$

### 1.4 Theorem. (Morse Lemma)

Let $f \in C^{r+2}(\mathrm{M}, \mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ with M a connected $n$-manifold. Then

[^8]$p \in \operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)$ non-degenerate iff there exists a $C^{r}$ (Morse) chart $\psi$ such that
$$
f \circ \psi^{-1}(x)=f(p)-\sum_{j=1}^{i} x_{j}^{2}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} x_{j}^{2}
$$

For a traditional proof based on the diagonalization of symmetric matrices see ([Hir94],Ch.6) or ([Mil63],§2). For a proof based on the Moser path method see ([BH04],Ch.3.1), ([Jos02],Ch.6.3) or ([Lan99],Ch.VII.5).
Note that, in general, we can not compute an explicit formula for $\nabla f$ from the Morse lemma, due to $g$. A gradient vector field $\nabla f$, with $f$ Morse, is in standard form near a hyperbolic singularity $p$ if there exists a chart $\psi$ such that $\nabla f=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}\left(f \circ \psi^{-1}\right)$ in $U$. Moreover, g is compatible with the Morse charts if $\nabla f$ is in standard form near all $p$. Using a bump function one can, however, always pull back the standard metric on $\mathbb{E}$, to modify g , such that $\mathrm{g}^{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ on a small neighborhood of $p$. Hence g can always be modified to be compatible with the Morse charts for $f .{ }^{12}$
1.5 Remark: Before we proceed to the Morse-Smale property we remark that there is yet another (equivalent) way of introducing the notion of a Morse function.
Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and $Z^{*} \subset T^{*} \mathrm{M}$ denote the zero section of the cotangent bundle. Then $p \in \mathrm{M}$ is called critical if $d f(p) \cap Z_{p}^{*} \neq \emptyset$ and nondegenerate if $d f \pitchfork_{p} Z^{*}$, i.e. critical if $d f$ intersects $Z^{*}$ over $p$ and non-degenerate if this intersection is transversal. The function $f$ is called Morse if $d f \pitchfork Z^{*}$, i.e. if all critical points are non-degenerate.
Since transversality is a generic property, one usually use the above view point when proving generic properties of the space of Morse functions. Moreover, the notion of hyperbolic can be described purely by means of transversality. ${ }^{13}$

A Morse function $f$ (or the pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ ) is Morse-Smale if $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ for all critical points $p$ and $q$. Note that this depends on both $f$ and g. ${ }^{14}$ We remark that the spaces $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ and $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ (to be defined below) are described in more detail in chapter 2 , for now we only need these spaces to define the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex.

[^9]In the sequel we fix a Morse-Smale function $f$. By transversality $\mathrm{W}(p, q)=$ $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ is an embedded submanifold of dimension $\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}$, and we obtain the following disjoint decompositions of M (as a set)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\bigcup_{p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)} \mathrm{W}(p, q)=\bigcup_{p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)} \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)=\bigcup_{p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)} \mathrm{W}^{s}(p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since all spaces are flow invariant (for the last two we of course only need the Morse property). Moreover, as a consequence of the $\lambda$-lemma we have

1) $(\operatorname{Crit}(f), \leq)$ is a poset, with $p \geq q$ iff $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \neq \emptyset(p$ is succeeded by $q)$.
2) $\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)}=\bigcup_{p \geq q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{W}^{s}(p)}=\bigcup_{q \geq p} \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)$.
3) $\overline{\mathrm{W}(p, q)}=\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)} \cap \overline{\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)}=\bigcup_{p \geq p^{\prime} \geq q^{\prime} \geq q} \mathrm{~W}\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$.

Note that 3) together with the fact that $f$ is Liapunov implies that there are only finitely many flow lines from $p$ to $q$ if $\operatorname{dimW}(p, q)=1$, that is if the relative index $\mu(p, q)=\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}$ is equal to one. On $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ the flow $\varphi$ is a free and proper $\mathbb{R}$-action, hence the orbit space $\mathrm{M}(p, q)=\mathrm{W}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth $\left(\lambda_{p}-\right.$ $\lambda_{q}-1$ )-manifold. In particular, $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ corresponds to the finite number of flow lines connecting $p$ with $q$ if $\mu(p, q)=1$. We remark that $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is in fact diffeomorphic to the transverse intersection $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}=\mathrm{W}(p, q) \pitchfork f^{-1}(t)$ with $t \in[f(q), f(p)]$ a regular value, and that $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ may be replaced by $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$ throughout the sequel. ${ }^{15}$
For each pair $p, q$ of critical points with relative index one we recall how to define the boundary operator of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex by assigning a $\pm 1$ to each of the finitely many elements of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. To do so we first indicate how orientations on $\mathrm{W}^{u}(q)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ induce an orientation on $\mathrm{W}(p, q)=\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ whenever this intersection is non empty.
Let $x \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)$ and choose a linear subspace $V$ in $T_{x} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)$ such that $T_{x} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)=$ $T_{x} \mathrm{~W}(p, q) \oplus V$. Then $V$ is a direct summand of $T_{x} \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)$ in $T_{x} \mathrm{M}$, and one can prove that $d \varphi_{t}(x) V \rightarrow T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ where the convergence is in the Grassmann bundle of $\lambda_{q}$-planes in $T \mathrm{M}$. Now fix an orientation on $\mathrm{W}^{u}(q)$, then for $t$ large $d \varphi_{t}(x) V$, and therefore also $V$, has an orientation induced by the orientation on $T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)$. For a fixed orientation on $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ we orient $T_{x} \mathrm{~W}(p, q)$ by demanding that $T_{x} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)=T_{x} \mathrm{~W}(p, q) \oplus V$ is a oriented sum, this does not depend on the choice of $V .{ }^{16}$

[^10]Fix an arbitrary orientation on each unstable manifold and let $\mu(p, q)=1$. We then associate to each $\mathbb{R} . x \in \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ a number $n(x)=+1$ if the orientation of the connected component of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ corresponding to $\mathbb{R} . x$ agree with the orientation given by $-\nabla f(x)$, and $n(x)=-1$ if not. Let $C_{i}(f, \mathbb{Z})$ be the free abelian group on $\operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)$ and define the homomorphism $\partial: C_{i}(f, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(f, \mathbb{Z})$ on a generator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \mapsto \sum_{\substack{q \in \operatorname{Crit}_{i-1}(f) \\ x \in \mathrm{M}(p, q)}} n(x) q=\sum_{\substack{q \in \operatorname{Crit}_{i-1}(f)}} n(p, q) q, \quad n(p, q)=\sum_{x \in \mathrm{M}(p, q)} n(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and extend by linearity. We remark that $n(p, q)$ also can be defined as the intersection number of the unstable sphere $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \pitchfork f^{-1}(t)$ and the stable sphere $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q) \pitchfork f^{-1}(t)$ in $f^{-1}(t)$. The pair $\left(C_{*}(f, \mathbb{Z}), \partial\right)$ is called the Morse-SmaleWitten chain complex, and we have the following classical result:

### 1.6 Theorem. (Morse Homology Theorem)

The Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex is a chain complex whose homology is isomorphic to the singular homology $H(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

By the Universal Coefficient Theorem, $\mathbb{Z}$ can be replaced by any commutative ring with unit.

There are several ways to prove theorem 1.6. To prove that $\left(C_{*}(f, \mathbb{Z}), \partial\right)$ is in fact a chain complex one can use techniques inspired by Floer. This approach has two main parts (1) a compactness result for $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ and (2) a gluing result. The compactness result describe "the ends" of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ as broken orbits. We give a detailed description of this concept in section 2.3, for now just think of a broken orbit as an element in the product $\mathrm{M}\left(p, a_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{M}\left(a_{k}, q\right)$ or as a "concatenation" of flow lines. In the case $\mu(p, q)=2$ each connected component of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is diffeomorphic to either $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ or $] 0,1[$, since $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is a one dimensional manifold without boundary. The compactness result then implies that to each end of $] 0,1[$ there correspond a unique pair $(x, y) \in \mathrm{M}(p, a) \times \mathrm{M}(a, q)$. Moreover, the gluing result implies that every pair $(u, v)$ correspond precisely to one end of a component of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Since each component has two (or no) ends we may summarize the above as "pairs $(x, y)$ of orbits come in pairs". This observation together with an orientation argument implies that $\partial^{2}=0$. For details we refer to [Web06], [Sch93] and ([Jos02],Ch.6).
1.7 Remark: In the case of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ coefficients we let $n(x)=1$, hence there are
no orientation issues. Moreover, using (1.2) and rewriting we have

$$
\partial^{2} p=\sum_{\substack{q \in \operatorname{Critit}_{p}-2(f) \\(x, y) \in \mathrm{M}(p, a) \times \mathrm{M}(a, q)}} n(x) n(y) q
$$

For fixed $q \in \operatorname{Crit}_{\lambda_{p}-2}(f)$ we know by the above that each coefficient is even, hence $0 \bmod 2$. We can illustrate the above by

where the square represent a component of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$.

Finally for the isomorphism part of theorem 1.6 there are again several approaches. For a complete proof using the Conley index see ([BH04],Ch.7), and for a complete proof using methods from Floer homology see ([Sch93],Ch.4) or ([Jos02],Ch.6).

### 1.3.1 Appendix

For completeness we list below some of the main theorems of Morse theory. Fix a Morse function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a connected $n$-manifold M with $\partial \mathrm{M}=\emptyset$, and let $S^{I}=S \cap f^{-1}(I)$ for any subset $S \subseteq \mathrm{M}$ and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $\left.\left.I=\right]-\infty, t\right]$ we also write $S^{\leq t}$ for $S^{I}$
The classical approach to Morse theory can very briefly be summarized in the following three results ${ }^{17}$

### 1.8 Theorem.

Assume $M^{[a, b]}$ is compact and $\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap \mathrm{M}^{[a, b]}=\emptyset$. Then $\mathrm{M} \leq a \approx \mathrm{M} \leq b, \mathrm{M} \leq a$ is

[^11]a deformation retract of $\mathrm{M}^{\leq b}$, and there exists a diffeomorphism such that

commutes.
The retract and diffeomorphisms above are all defined via the flow of $\beta \nabla f /|\nabla f|^{2}$ with $\beta$ a bump function. We remark that the vector field $\nabla f /|\nabla f|^{2}$ will play a central role in the forthcoming chapters.

### 1.9 Theorem.

Assume $M^{[a, b]}$ compact and $p=\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap \mathrm{M}^{[a, b]}$ with $p \in \operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)$ non-degenerate. Then

$$
\mathrm{M}^{\leq b} \simeq \mathrm{M}^{\leq a} \cup_{\varphi} e^{i} \quad \text { with } e^{i} \text { an } i \text {-cell and } \varphi \text { an attaching map }
$$

and $\mathbf{M}{ }^{\leq a} \cup_{\varphi} e^{i}$ is a deformation retract of $\mathbf{M} \leq b$.
The above two theorems are the cornerstone in the following theorem which is the classical equivalent of theorem 1.6.

### 1.10 Theorem.

Assume that $\mathrm{M}^{t}$ compact for all $t$. Then $\mathrm{M} \simeq X$ for a CW-complex $X$ with one cell of dimension $\lambda_{p}$ for every $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$.

The above theorems can also be formulated in terms of handlebodies, we briefly recall this approach. ${ }^{18}$ In a Morse chart $(U, \psi)$ around $p \in \operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)$ write $f(x, y)=f(p)-|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}$. A $\left(n\right.$-dimensional) $i$-handle for $p$ is the set $H_{\varepsilon}(p)=$ $\left\{\left.(x, y) \in U\left||y|^{2} \leq \varepsilon\right.\right.$ and $\left.| x\right|^{2} \leq\left|y^{2}\right|+\varepsilon\right\}$. Let $f(p)=c$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(p)=\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \cap \mathrm{M}^{[c-\varepsilon, c+\varepsilon]} \approx \mathbb{D}^{i} \quad(\text { the core of } H(\varepsilon)) \\
& \mathrm{W}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(p)=\mathrm{W}^{s}(p) \cap \mathrm{M}^{[c-\varepsilon, c+\varepsilon]} \approx \mathbb{D}^{n-i} \quad(\text { the co-core of } H(\varepsilon)) \\
& H_{\varepsilon}(p) \approx \mathbb{D}^{i} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The (closed) disk $\mathbb{D}^{i}$ corresponds to the $i$-cell appearing in theorem 1.10. The following classical results are versions of theorem 1.9 and 1.10 which keep track of the topological/differentiable structure.

[^12]
### 1.11 Theorem.

With notation as above, we have the following homeomorphism
$\mathrm{M}^{\leq c+\varepsilon} \approx \mathrm{M}^{\leq c-\varepsilon} \cup_{\varphi} H_{\varepsilon}(p) \quad$ with $\varphi: \partial \mathbb{D}^{i} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-i} \rightarrow \partial \mathrm{M}^{\leq c-\varepsilon}$ an attaching map and $\mathrm{M} \leq c-\varepsilon \cup_{\varphi} H_{\varepsilon}(p)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathrm{M} \leq c+\varepsilon$.

### 1.12 Theorem. (Handle decomposition theorem)

With notation as above, we have the following homeomorphism $\mathrm{M} \approx \mathcal{H}$ for a handlebody

$$
\mathcal{H}=\bigcup_{\varphi_{p}} H_{\varepsilon}(p) \approx \bigcup_{\varphi_{p}} \mathbb{D}^{\lambda_{p}} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-\lambda_{p}}
$$

The homeomorphisms and attaching maps above are determined by the flow of a gradient-like vector field (for $f$ ). Moreover, if one "rounds the corners" ${ }^{19}$ of $\mathrm{M}{ }^{\leq c-\varepsilon} \cup_{\varphi} H_{\varepsilon}(p)$ then one can replace homeomorphism with diffeomorphism in the above results.
1.13 Remark: We recall the definition of a gradient-like vector fields. A gradient-like vector field for a Morse function $f$ is an $X \in \mathcal{T}$ M such that $X(f)>0$ away from all $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$, and $X$ is in standard form near all $p$, that is for each $p$ there is a chart such that locally $f$ has the form given by the Morse lemma and $X$ is the gradient of $f$ with respect to the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The existence of gradient-like vector fields are guaranteed since any Morse function give rise to such a vector field. ${ }^{20}$

[^13]
## Chapter 2

## The space of broken flow lines and related spaces

The main objective of this chapter is to study the topology and the relationship between the following four spaces: the space of connecting orbits, the moduli space of orbits, the space of height-parameterized flow lines and the space of broken flow lines. The first is a submanifold of $M$, the second a quotient space with the structure of a manifold, and the last two are function spaces. Notation and various relationships are summarized in the diagram and the table on page 25.

In this chapter we fix a Morse function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a closed $n$-manifold M and a Riemannian metric g on M such that the pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale. For details and notation we refer the reader to chapter 1 and references therein.

In section 2.1 we introduce the space of connecting orbits as a submanifold of M , and study its topological structure. In section 2.2 we define the moduli space of orbits via an $\mathbb{R}$-action on the space of connecting orbits. Moreover, relations between the moduli space of orbits and spaces derived from the space of connecting orbits are studied.
In section 2.3 we introduce the notion of a broken orbit and the concept of being compact up to broken orbits. This enables us to state a compactness theorem for the moduli space of orbits. We end the section with a remark concerning other versions of the compactness theorem, and with a comment regarding the
convergence of an orbit to a broken orbit which leads to a compactification of the moduli space of orbits. More precisely, it is shown that the moduli space can be embedded as a subspace, with compact closure, of a space having the Hausdorff topology.
In section 2.4 we define the space of height-parameterized flow lines by reparameterizing the flow lines of $-\nabla f$. We end by exploring the relationship between the space of height-parameterized flow lines and the previously defined spaces. In particular, it is shown that the space of height-parameterized flow lines has compact closure with respect to the compact open topology, that the space of height-parameterized flow lines is homeomorphic to the moduli space with the Hausdorff topology, and that this homeomorphism extends to the closures of these spaces in their respective topologies.
In section 2.5 we define the space of broken flow lines and study its topology together with its relations to the previously defined spaces. In particular, we show that the space of broken flow lines is compact and that the space of heightparameterized flow lines is open and dense in the space of broken flow lines.
Appendix 2.5.1 concerns the construction of a compact space which in the literature is described as a compactification of the moduli space of orbits into a smooth manifold with corners.

### 2.1 The space of connecting orbits

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} ;(t, m) \mapsto \varphi(t, m)=t . m$ denote the flow of the complete vector field $-\nabla f$ and $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{M})$ be the space of continuous functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ with the $c$-topology (compact-open topology). Since (M, $d$ ), with $d$ the Riemannian distance function, is a (complete) metric space the notion of sequential convergence in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{M})$ is equivalent to the notion of uniform convergence on every compact subset, and by continuity of $\varphi$ the map $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{M}) ; m \mapsto \varphi_{m}(t \mapsto t . m)$ is continuous. ${ }^{1}$

Let $p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$, the space of connecting orbits from $p$ to $q$ is the transversal intersection $\mathrm{W}(p, q)=\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(q) \subset \mathrm{M}$, where $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ denote the unstable (resp. stable) manifolds at $p$ (resp. $q$ ) w.r.t. $-\nabla f$ (or equivalently w.r.t. $\varphi_{t}$ for $t>0$ fixed). Hence $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is an embedded $\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}\right)$-submanifold of M without boundary, and consists of those $m \in \mathrm{M}$ for which $t . m \rightarrow q$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $t . m \rightarrow p$ for $t \rightarrow-\infty$, so $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is flow invariant. Moreover, if

[^14]$\mathrm{W}(p, q) \neq \emptyset$ then either $p \neq q$ and $\lambda_{p}>\lambda_{q}$ or $p=q$, in particular $p, q \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)$ iff $p=q$ in which case $\mathrm{W}(p, p)=\{p\}$.
For the height function on $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ we have $\mathrm{W}(N, S) \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ where $N$ and $S$ denote the north and south pole respectively. In particular, $\mathrm{W}(N, S)$ is (path) connected for $n>1$. However, in general, $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is not path connected, basically because neither of the two critical points lie in the manifold of connecting orbits. But since $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is a manifold it is locally path connected, hence each connected component of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is path connected.
If $\operatorname{codim}(\mathrm{W}(p, q))>0$, then $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ has measure zero. Hence $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is dense in M . If $\operatorname{codimW}(p, q)=0$ then $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is open in M , indeed in this case $\lambda_{p}=n$ and $\lambda_{q}=0$ so $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ are open in M by invariance of domain.

### 2.2 The moduli space of orbits

We now turn our attention to the moduli space of orbits. The flow $\varphi$ induces an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbf{W}(p, q)$ since $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is flow invariant. Let $(t, m) \mapsto t . m$ denote the $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ induced by $\varphi$ and $\pi: \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$ the open quotient map. This action is free (if $\mu(p, q) \geq 1$ which we assume) since $f$ is Liapunov, and proper since if $m_{i} \rightarrow m$ and $t_{i} . m_{i} \rightarrow m^{\prime}$ both converges in $\mathbf{W}(p, q)$ then $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ must contain a bounded subsequence $\left\{t_{i_{j}}\right\}$ since $\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap \mathrm{W}(p, q)=\emptyset .{ }^{2}$ So $\left\{t_{i_{j}}\right\}$ contains a convergent subsequence by the theorem of Bolzano-Weierstrass. Hence we give the orbit space $\mathrm{W}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$ the unique smooth structure of a $\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p}-1\right)$-manifold (without boundary) such that $\pi$ is a smooth submersion. The quotient space $\mathrm{M}(p, q)=\mathrm{W}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$ is called the moduli space of orbits from $p$ to $q$. ${ }^{3}$

The relation between the space of connecting orbits and the moduli space of orbits can now be studied. In the sequel let $t \in[f(q), f(p)]$ be any regular value for $f$.
Consider the transversal intersection $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}=\mathrm{W}(p, q) \pitchfork f^{-1}(t)$, an embedded $\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}-1\right)$-submanifold of M . It follows that the free and proper $\mathbb{R}$-action $(u, m) \mapsto u . m$ on $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$, induced by $\varphi$, induces a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{W}(p, q)^{t} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{~W}(p, q) ;(s, m) \mapsto \varphi(s, m)$. Moreover, this diffeomorphism is

[^15]$\mathbb{R}$-equivariant w.r.t the smooth, free and proper $\mathbb{R}$-actions $(u, s, m) \mapsto u .(s, m)=$ $(u+s, m)$ and $(u, m) \mapsto u . m$, and it follows that it induces a homeomorphism $\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}\right) / \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ on the corresponding orbit spaces. As above give $\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}\right) / \mathbb{R}$ the unique smooth structure of a $\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p}-1\right)$-manifold such that the open quotient map $\pi^{\prime}$ is a smooth submersion, then the induced homeomorphism becomes a diffeomorphism. Moreover, the smooth projection $\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{t}$ is a homotopy equivalence with homotopy inverse $m \mapsto\left(t^{\prime}, m\right)$ for any fixed $t^{\prime}$ (and homotopy $(u, m, s) \mapsto\left(s\left(u-t^{\prime}\right)+t^{\prime}, m\right)$ ), and since the projection is constant on each fiber of the surjective submersion $\pi^{\prime}$, it induces a unique smooth map $\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}\right) / \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$ which can be seen to be a diffeomorphism. In summary we have the following commutative diagram ${ }^{4}$


The identification $W(p, q)^{t} \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ given by $m \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R} . m$ will be used frequently throughout. That is, a class $\mathbb{R} . m^{\prime}=\left[m^{\prime}\right]=\pi\left(m^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ will be identified with the representative $m=\pi^{-1}\left(\left[m^{\prime}\right]\right) \cap f^{-1}(t)=\pi^{-1}\left(\left[m^{\prime}\right]\right)^{t} \in W(p, q)^{t}$.

### 2.3 Broken orbits and convergence

In the sequel we will introduce the notion of being compact up to broken orbits, which allows us to describe the limit of any sequence in the moduli space. To do so we need some terminology, see also figure 2.1. Let $\boldsymbol{b}=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{l}\right\}$ denote a finite sequence with $l \geq 1$ in the poset $(\operatorname{Crit}(f), \leq)$. Then $\boldsymbol{b}$ is called a chain if $b_{i} \leq b_{i+1}$, and an inverse chain if $b_{i} \geq b_{i+1}$. In any case we let $b_{0}=\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b})$, $b_{l}=\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$ and $l(\boldsymbol{b})=l$ which is called the source, the target, and the order of $\boldsymbol{b}$, respectively. ${ }^{5}$ A chain $\boldsymbol{b}$ of order $l$ is called strict if $b_{i}<b_{i+1}$, trivial if $l=1$ and degenerate if equality occurs i.e. $b_{i}=b_{i+1}$. By replacing $<$ with $>$

[^16]in the strict condition, the above terminology applies to inverse chains as well. Note that an (inverse) chain would be strict if the word sequence was replaced by subset. Moreover, we let $\mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})=\prod_{j=1}^{l} \mathrm{M}\left(b_{j-1}, b_{j}\right)$ and write $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$ with $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ and $x_{j} \in \mathrm{M}\left(b_{j-1}, b_{j}\right)$ a connecting orbit from $b_{j-1}$ to $b_{j}$. The element $\bar{x}$ is called a broken orbit (from sou $(\boldsymbol{b})$ to $\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$ of order $l=l(\boldsymbol{b})$ ).
Now let $U_{\varepsilon}(S)$ denote the open $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of a subset $S \subset \mathrm{M}$ with respect to the Riemannian distance $d$, i.e. $U_{\varepsilon}(S)=\left\{m \in \mathrm{M} \mid \varepsilon>d(m, S)=\inf _{s \in S} d(m, s)\right\}$ or equivalently $U_{\varepsilon}(S)=\bigcup_{s \in S} \mathrm{~B}_{\varepsilon}(s)$ with $\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(s)=\{m \in \mathrm{M} \mid d(s, m)<\varepsilon\}$. A subset $K \subseteq \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is called compact up to broken orbits of order $l$ if for every sequence $\left\{m_{k}\right\} \subseteq K$ there exists a subsequence $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$, a strict inverse chain $\boldsymbol{b}$ of order $l^{\prime} \leq l$ with $p=\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b})$ and $q=\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$, and a broken orbit $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathbb{R} . m_{n} \subset U_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R} . x_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{R} . x_{l^{\prime}}\right)$ whenever $n \geq N$. In this situation we write $m_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and say that $m_{n}$ converges to the broken orbit $\bar{x}$ of order $l^{\prime}$.


Figure 2.1: A sequence of orbits converging to a broken orbit of order $l^{\prime}$, where the shaded area represents an $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of the broken orbit.

### 2.1 Theorem.

The moduli space of connecting orbits $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is compact up to broken orbits of order at most $\mu(p, q)=\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}$.

In ([Web06],Ch.3.2) the above compactness theorem is stated (and proven) with $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ replaced by $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$, but as we have seen $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Note that if $\mu(p, q)=1$ the compactness theorem says that $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is compact.
2.2 Remark: In [AB95] the orbit space $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is defined as above, but there $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is identified with "a set of flow lines". The explanation regarding this set and the identification is unfortunately nowhere to be found. Presumably this "set of flow lines" is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}(p, q)$ denote the set of all parameterized flow lines from $p$ to $q$ i.e. $\mathcal{M}(p, q)=\left\{\varphi_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)}$. Since $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(p, q) ; m \mapsto \varphi_{m}$ is a bijective correspondence, with inverse $\gamma \mapsto$ $\gamma(0)$, we may give $\mathcal{M}(p, q)$ the manifold structure of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$. In this setting the smooth, free and proper $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ induces a smooth, free and proper $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\mathcal{M}(p, q)$ by $(t, \gamma) \mapsto t . \gamma=\gamma(t+\cdot)$. As above we get a diffeomorphism on the orbit spaces $\mathrm{M}(p, q) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{M}(p, q) / \mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R} . m \mapsto \mathbb{R} . \varphi_{m}$, hence the "flow model" of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ could be $\mathcal{M}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$. With this in mind one could consult ([AB95],Ch.2) for a proof of the compactness theorem. For other versions of theorem 2.1 see ([Sch93],Ch.2.4.2) or ([Jos02],Ch.6.4).
Note that if we only needed a topological "flow model" of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ one could view $\mathcal{M}(p, q)$ as a subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{M})$ with the $c$-topology. Indeed this model (of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ ) is homeomorphic to the above differentiable model, and we may proceed as above to obtain a homeomorphism $\mathrm{M}(p, q) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}(p, q) / \mathbb{R}$.
2.3 Remark: We will now discuss the above convergence with respect to Hausdorff topology. Let $\mathcal{C M}$ denote the set of nonempty closed (hence compact) subspaces of M. It is well known (see e.g. ([AT04],Ch.4.4), ([BBI01],Ch.7.3) or ([BH99],Ch.I.5)) that $\left(\mathcal{C M}, d_{H}\right)$ is a compact (since M is compact) metric space with $d_{H}$ the Hausdorff distance, i.e. $d_{H}(A, B)=\inf \left\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mid A \subset\right.$ $U_{\varepsilon}(B)$ and $\left.B \subset U_{\varepsilon}(A)\right\}$ or equivalently $d_{H}(A, B)=\sup \left\{\sup _{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup _{b \in B}\right.$ $d(b, A)\}$. Note that $d(A, B)<k$ iff $A \subset U_{k}(B)$ and $B \subset U_{k}(A)$.
For $p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and each $m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{t}$ let $l(m)=\mathbb{R} . m \cup\{p, q\}$, and define the subspace $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q) \subset \mathcal{C M}$ by $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)=\left\{l \in \mathcal{C M} \mid l=l(m), m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{t}\right\}$. Note that with the identification $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q) ; m \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R} . m$ the sets $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$ and $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ are equal (in Set), in fact this is true even as spaces i.e. the correspondence $m \rightarrow l(m)$ defines a homeomorphism. To show this recall that (lemma
5.32 in ([BH99],p.71)); $l_{n} \rightarrow l$ in $\mathcal{C M}$ iff (1) for all $x \in l$ there exists a sequence $x_{n} \in l_{n}$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in M , and (2) every sequence $x_{n} \in l_{n}$ has a convergent subsequence whose limit point is an element of $l$.
Now let $l_{n}=l\left(m_{n}\right), l=l(m)$ and assume that $m_{n} \rightarrow m$ in $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$. By (1) and (2) above we will show that $l_{n} \rightarrow l$, hence $m \mapsto l(m)$ is continuous. If $x \in l-\{p, q\}$, then there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x=$ s.m so $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ where $x_{n}=s . m_{n} \in l_{n}$. If $x=p$ (resp. $x=q$ ) let $x_{n}=p$ (resp. $x_{n}=q$ ), this proves (1) above. Moreover, let $x_{n} \in l_{n}$ be any sequence. Since $M$ is compact this sequence has a convergent subsequence, also denoted $x_{n}$. For each $n$ there exists $s_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_{n}=s_{n} . m_{n}$ with either $s_{n} \rightarrow s$ implying that $x_{n} \rightarrow s . m \in l$ or $s_{n} \rightarrow \pm \infty$ implying that $x_{n}$ converges to $p$ or $q$ according to the sign of $\infty$ (note that this is the only way $s_{n}$ can diverge since $x_{n}$ is convergent and $m_{n}$ converges to an non critical point). This proves (2) above so the map $\mathrm{M}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q) ; m \mapsto l(m)$ is continuous. To prove that the inverse $l=l(m) \mapsto m$ is continuous assume that $l_{n} \rightarrow l$. Then there exists $m_{n}, m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{t}$ such that $l_{n}=l\left(m_{n}\right)$ and $l=l(m)$ so we have to prove that $m_{n} \rightarrow m$. By (1) above there exists a sequence $x_{n} \rightarrow m$ in M , but since $x_{n} \in l_{n} \subset \mathrm{~W}(p, q) \cup\{p, q\}$ and $m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{t}$ is not a critical point we may assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathrm{W}(p, q)$. It follows that $\pi\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi(m)$ where $\pi$ is the quotient map $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Hence $m_{n} \rightarrow m$ by the identification $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q) ; m \leftrightarrow \pi(m)=[m]$.
By a broken trajectory from $b_{0}$ to $b_{k}$ of order $k$ we understand an element $l \in \mathcal{C M}$ of the form $\left\{b_{0}\right\} \cup \mathbb{R} . x_{1} \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\} \cup \mathbb{R} . x_{2} \cup \cdots\left\{b_{k-1}\right\} \cup \mathbb{R} . x_{k} \cup\left\{b_{k}\right\}$ where $\boldsymbol{b}=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ is a strict inverse chain and $\bar{x}=\left(\left[x_{1}\right],\left[x_{2}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{k}\right]\right) \in \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$ a broken orbit.

We will now examine the cluster points of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$. Let $l_{n}$ be a sequence in $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$; by theorem 2.1 we have that for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a subsequence $l_{n(i)}$ of $l_{n}$ and some broken trajectory $l$ from $p$ to $q$ of order at most $\mu(p, q)$ such that $l_{n(i)} \subset U_{\varepsilon}(l)$ for $n(i) \geq N$. To show that $l_{n(i)}$ converges to $l$ in $\mathcal{C M}$ we need to show that $l \subset U_{\varepsilon}\left(l_{n(i)}\right)$ for $n(i)$ large, or equivalently (1) above, since $l_{n(i)} \subset U_{\varepsilon}(l)=\bigcup_{x \in l} \mathrm{~B}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ implies that for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x_{n(i)} \in l_{n(i)}$ there exists $x \in l$ with $x_{n(i)} \in \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ whenever $n(i) \geq N$ i.e (2) holds. Therefore let $x \in l, f(x)=t$ and define the sequence $x_{n(i)} \in l_{n(i)}$ by $x_{n(i)}=l_{n(i)} \cap f^{-1}(t)$. By (2) above $x_{n(i)} \rightarrow x^{\prime}$ but $f\left(x_{n(i)}\right)=t$ so $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=t=f(x)$ implying that $x^{\prime}=x$. Hence $l_{n(i)} \rightarrow l$ so any sequence in $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ has a convergent subsequence (which we already knew since $\mathcal{C M}$ is compact) with cluster point a broken trajectory from $p$ to $q$ of order at most $\mu(p, q)$. So the closure $\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)}$, which is compact, consists of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$
and all such broken trajectories. It follows that $\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)}$ is a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$, so in summary we have proven:

### 2.4 Claim.

With notation as above. The maps $W(p, q)^{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q) ; m \mapsto l(m)$ and $\mathrm{M}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q) ;[m] \mapsto l(m)$ are both homeomorphisms. Moreover, the closure $\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)}$, which is compact, is a compactification of both $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$ and $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$.

The above should be compared to appendix 2.5.1. Moreover the approach in this paragraph can also be found in ([Abb],Ch.1.6) or [Hur00].

### 2.4 The space of height-parameterized flow lines

We now proceed to the function spaces mentioned in the introduction of this chapter. Unless otherwise stated all sets of maps will have the $c$-topology, and we refer to ([Bre93],Ch.VII.2) or ([Dug66],Ch.XII) for standard results in this realm ${ }^{6}$. We start by reparameterizing the flow lines $\varphi_{m}$ in the following way. For $m \in \tilde{\mathrm{M}}=\mathbf{M}-\operatorname{Crit}(f)$, with say $m \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)$, define the (strictly decreasing) diffeomorphism $\left.h_{m}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\right] f(q), f(p)\left[\right.$ by $t \mapsto f\left(\varphi_{m}(t)\right)$ and the "reverse heightparameterization" of $\varphi_{m}$ by $\left.\tilde{\eta}_{m}:\right] f(q), f(p)\left[\rightarrow \mathrm{M} ; t \mapsto \tilde{\eta}_{m}(t)=\varphi_{m}\left(h_{m}^{-1}(t)\right)\right.$, so $f \circ \tilde{\eta}_{m}=\mathbf{1}$ where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity. Note that $h_{m}$ depends continuously on $m \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)$ since $m \mapsto \varphi_{m}$ and left composition with $f\left(\varphi_{m} \mapsto f \circ \varphi_{m}\right)$ are continuous. To see this for $m \mapsto \tilde{\eta}_{m}$ we need:

### 2.5 Claim.

Let $A \subset C(X, Y) \times C(Y, X)$ be the subspace $\{(g, k) \mid g \circ k=\mathbf{1}$ and $k \circ g=\mathbf{1}\}$, $A_{1}=\operatorname{pr}_{1}(A) \subset C(X, Y)$ and $A_{2}=\operatorname{pr}_{2}(A) \subset C(Y, X)$. Then $\iota: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2} ; g \mapsto$ $g^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism (in the $c$-topology).

Proof:
Since the diagram


[^17]commutes and $\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ (resp. $\mathrm{pr}_{2}$ ) is an identification map it follows that $\iota$ (resp. the inverse $A_{2} \rightarrow A_{1} ; k \mapsto k^{-1}$ of $\left.\iota\right)$ is continuous, hence proving the claim.
Hence $\tilde{\eta}_{m}$ depends continuously on $m \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)$ since the maps $h_{m} \mapsto h_{m}^{-1}$ and $\left(\varphi_{m}, h_{m}^{-1}\right) \mapsto \varphi_{m} \circ h_{m}^{-1}$ are continuous. Now define the map
$$
\tilde{\eta}:] f(q), f(p)\left[\times \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(p, q) ;(t, m) \mapsto \tilde{\eta}(t, m)=\tilde{\eta}_{m}(t)\right.
$$
which is continuous since (1) the map $m \mapsto \tilde{\eta}_{m}$ is continuous by the above, and (2) the map $t \mapsto \tilde{\eta}_{m}(t)$ is (smooth hence) continuous for each $m \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)$. Since this construction applies for any $p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ (with $p \neq q$ and $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \neq \emptyset$ ) and the domains of the various maps are disjoint (as subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M}$ ) we let, by abuse of notation, $\tilde{\eta}$ denote the union of all such maps i.e.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}: \tilde{D} & \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{M}} ;(t, m) \mapsto \tilde{\eta}(t, m)=\tilde{\eta}_{m}(t) \\
\tilde{D} & =\{(t, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{\mathrm{M}} \mid m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q) \text { and } t \in] f(q), f(p)[ \} \\
& \quad \text { with }(f(m), m) \in \tilde{D} \text { for all } m \in \tilde{\mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Now let $X$ be the vector field $X=\nabla f /|\nabla f|^{2} \in \mathcal{T} \tilde{\mathrm{M}}$ then it is easy to see that $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \tilde{\eta}_{m}=X\left(\tilde{\eta}_{m}\right)$ for all $m \in \tilde{\mathrm{M}}$, hence $\tilde{\eta}_{m}$ is an integral curve of $X$ for each $m \in \tilde{\mathrm{M}}$. Note however that $\tilde{\eta}(t+s, m) \neq \tilde{\eta}(t, \tilde{\eta}(s, m))$ since both points lie on the orbit $l=\mathbb{R} . m$ but $\tilde{\eta}(t+s, m)=l^{t+s}$ and $\tilde{\eta}(t, \tilde{\eta}(s, m))=l^{t}$. In fact $\tilde{\eta}(t, \cdot): l=\mathbb{R} . m \mapsto l^{t}$ so it is constant on orbits of $\varphi$ (see also remark 2.6, claim 2.9, the paragraph above this claim, and remark remark 2.10).

Moreover, since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \varphi_{m}(t) \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ each $\tilde{\eta}_{m}$ may be extended to a continuous curve $\eta_{m}:[f(q), f(p)] \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ with $\eta_{m}(f(q))=q$ and $\eta_{m}(f(p))=p$. So we obtain a continuous map $\eta:[f(q), f(p)] \times \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M} ;(t, m) \mapsto \eta(t, m)$ with $\eta=\tilde{\eta}$ on $\operatorname{dom}(\tilde{\eta})$. If $p=q$ we define $\eta$ to be the trivial continuous map $[f(p)] \times\{p\} \rightarrow\{p\}$, and as above we let, again by abuse of notation, $\eta$ denote the union of all such maps i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta: D & \rightarrow \mathrm{M} ;(t, m) \mapsto \eta(t, m)=\eta_{m}(t) \\
D & =\{(t, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M} \mid m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q) \text { and } t \in[f(q), f(p)]\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\eta=\tilde{\eta}$ on $\tilde{D}$. The curves $t \mapsto \eta_{m}(t)$ are called height-parameterized flow lines, and when $m$ is of no concern we sometimes write $\gamma$ (or simply $\eta$ ) for these curves.
2.6 Remark: The $\eta_{m}$ 's are "reverse height-parameterizations" of the $\varphi_{m}$ 's, i.e. they start at $q=\eta(f(q))$ and end at $p=\eta(f(p))$. This is a reflection of the fact
that these flow lines are "height-parameterized" flow lines with respect to $-f$ i.e. for $\nabla f_{\text {d }}$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that the integral $\xi$ of $X$ is given by $D^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{M}} ;(t, m) \mapsto \xi(t, m)=\tilde{\eta}(t+f(m), m)$ where $D^{\prime}=\{(t, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{M} \mid$ $m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)$ and $t \in] f(q)-f(m), f(p)-f(m)[ \}$. Hence $\xi$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ are related by $\xi=\tilde{\eta} \circ\left(\alpha, \operatorname{pr}_{2}\right)$ where $\alpha$ is the continuous map $D^{\prime} \rightarrow[f(q), f(p)] ;(t, m) \rightarrow s=$ $\operatorname{pr}_{1}(t, m)+f\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}(t, m)\right)=t+f(m) .{ }^{7}$

Let $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$ have the $c$-topology, and note that it is second countable and regular. ${ }^{8}$ We are now in a position to describe the remaining two (function) spaces. With notation as above let $M(q, p)$ be the subspace of $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$ defined by

$$
M(q, p)=\left\{\eta_{m} \in C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M}) \mid m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)\right\}
$$

which of course is second countable and regular. Elements of this space are curves $\eta_{m}$ starting at $q$ and ending at $p$ with $\operatorname{im}\left(\eta_{m}\right)-\{q, p\}=l=\operatorname{im}\left(\varphi_{m^{\prime}}\right)$ for $m^{\prime} \in l$, but $\eta_{m}$ and $\varphi_{m^{\prime}}$ run through $l$ in opposite directions as noted in remark 2.6 above. The space $M(q, p)$ is called the space of height-parameterized flow lines from $q$ to $p$, and elements are called either height-parameterized flow lines, $M$-maps or by abuse of language flow lines. It might be confusing that the elements of $M(q, p)$ and $\left\{\varphi_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)}$ have opposite orientation. This could be fixed if we from the start had considered the gradient $\nabla f$ instead of $-\nabla f$, but in that case the dimension of the unstable and stable manifold would be interchanged which is in conflict with standard Morse theory. Another way of dealing with the above problem is to define the elements of $M(q, p)$ as curves $t \mapsto \eta_{m}(f(p)+f(q)-t)$, i.e. they run through the trajectories of $\left\{\eta_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)}$ in the same direction as $\left\{\varphi_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)}$, but then $f(q)$ (resp. $f(p)$ ) is mapped to $p$ (resp $q$ ), which is confusing. Moreover, the question of which parameterization (or dynamical system) we consider is more a technical detail than a real issue, hence we are content with the above setup. ${ }^{9}$
2.7 Remark: Let $J=[f(q), f(p)]$ and note that the $c$-topology on $C(J, \mathrm{M})$ (and hence on $M(q, p)$ ) is induced by the uniform metric $d_{\infty}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\sup _{t \in J}$ $d\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)$ with $d$ the Riemannian distance, see e.g. ([Bre93],Ch.VII.2). In particular $M(q, p)$ is perfectly normal and paracompact (see ([Dug66],p.186)).
Moreover $M(q, p)$ is in fact a subspace of $C^{\prime \infty}(J, \mathrm{M}) \subset C(J, \mathrm{M})$ the space of

[^18]piecewise smooth curves (or even more precisely, a subspace of $\Omega(\mathrm{M}, q, p) \subset$ $C^{\prime \infty}(J, \mathrm{M})$ the space of piecewise smooth curves from $q$ to $\left.p\right)$.
In the following three paragraphs we will mention some facts related to the above spaces. This is for comparison only and is somewhat out of context, so if the reader desires these paragraphs can be skipped. For details we refer to ([Kah80],Ch.7), ([Kli95],Ch.2.3) or ([Jos98],Ch.5.4). Also see ([Mil63],§16+§17).
Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote a compact interval, $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $|\cdot|$ the induced norm. (1) the completion of the (real) vector space $C^{\prime \infty}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ w.r.t. the norm $|c|_{\infty}=\sup _{t}|c(t)|$ is $C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, hence $\left(C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\cdot|_{\infty}\right)$ is a Banach space. Since there exists curves in $C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which are nowhere differentiable, the length $L(c)=\int_{I}|\dot{c}(t)| d t$ and energy $2 E(c)=\int_{I}\langle\dot{c}(t) \mid \dot{c}(t)\rangle d t=\int_{I}|\dot{c}(t)|^{2} d t$ functionals do not posses extensions (even as set maps) to the completion $\left(C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\cdot|_{\infty}\right)$ of $\left(C^{\prime \infty}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\cdot|_{\infty}\right)$. (2) therefore let $|\cdot|_{1}$ be the $H^{1,2_{-}}$ norm induced by the inner product $\langle c \mid u\rangle_{1}=\langle c \mid u\rangle_{0}+\langle\dot{c} \mid \dot{u}\rangle_{0}$ where $\langle c \mid u\rangle_{0}=$ $\int_{I}\langle c(t) \mid u(t)\rangle d t$ (also an inner product), and $H^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=H^{1,2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the completion of $C^{\prime \infty}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ w.r.t. $|\cdot|_{1}$. Hence $\left(H^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_{1}\right)$ is a Hilbert space. We then have that $|c|_{\infty} \leq|c|_{1}$ i.e. the inclusion $H^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is continuous, and there exists continuous extensions of the length $L$ and energy $E$ to $H^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
The above can be "extended" from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to a complete smooth manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, g=$ $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle, \nabla, d$ ) where $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ is a Riemannian metric (with $|\cdot|$ the induced norm), $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita derivation and $d$ is the Riemannian distance. Let $C^{\prime \infty}(I, \mathrm{M})$ be the set of piecewise smooth curves and $H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M})$ the set of curves $c$ such that $\psi \circ c \in H^{1}\left(I^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ where $\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \psi\right)$ is a chart and $I^{\prime}=c^{-1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $(C(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau)$ be the space of continuous curves with the compact open topology $\tau$. The topology $\tau_{\infty}$ on the (complete) metric space ( $\left.C(I, \mathrm{M}), d_{\infty}\right)$, where $d_{\infty}(c, u)=\sup _{t} d(c(t), u(t))$, agrees with $\tau$ and we have the following. There are (set) inclusions $C^{\prime \infty}(I, \mathrm{M}) \hookrightarrow H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M}) \hookrightarrow C(I, \mathrm{M})$ and $C^{\prime \infty}(I, \mathrm{M})$ is a dense subspace of $\left(C(I, \mathrm{M}), d_{\infty}\right)$ (hence also of $\left.H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M})\right)$. Now as set maps the length $L(c)=\int_{I}|\dot{c}(t)| d t$ and energy $2 E(c)=\int_{I}|\dot{c}(t)|^{2} d t$ are well defined on $H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M})$, but $\tau_{\infty}$ is not fine enough to yield continuity of these maps. Guided by (2) above we therefore proceed by letting $C^{\prime \infty}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right)$ denote the vector space of piecewise smooth vector fields along $c \in C^{\prime \infty}(I, \mathrm{M})$ and for $\xi, \rho \in C^{\prime \infty}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right)$ define the norm $|\xi|_{\infty}=\sup _{t}|\xi(t)|$ and inner product $\langle\xi \mid \rho\rangle_{1}=\langle\xi \mid \rho\rangle_{0}+\langle\nabla \xi \mid \nabla \rho\rangle_{0}$ with $\langle\xi \mid \rho\rangle_{0}=\int_{I}\langle\xi(t) \mid \rho(t)\rangle d t$ (also an inner product). The completion of $C^{\prime \infty}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right)$ w.r.t. $\quad|\cdot|_{\infty}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.|\cdot|_{1}=\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_{1}\right)$ is denoted $C\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right)$ (resp. $H^{1}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right)$ ) and we have toplinear isomorphisms $\left(C\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right),|\cdot|_{\infty}\right) \approx\left(C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\cdot|_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(H^{1}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right),|\cdot|_{1}\right) \approx\left(H^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\cdot|_{1}\right)$. Moreover, $|\xi|_{\infty} \leq|\xi|_{1}$ i.e. the inclusion
$H^{1}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right) \hookrightarrow C\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right)$ is continuous.

### 2.8 Theorem.

(1) $C^{\prime \infty}(I, \mathrm{M})$ can be given the structure of a smooth Banach manifold modeled on $\left(C\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right),|\cdot|_{\infty}\right)$. Moreover, the manifold topology agrees with $\tau_{\infty}=\tau$. ([Kah80],Ch. 7 Theorem 7.2)
(2) $H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M})$ can be given the structure of a smooth Hilbert manifold modeled on $\left(H^{1}\left(c^{*} T \mathrm{M}\right),|\cdot|_{1}\right)=T_{c} H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M})$, which has a Riemannian metric that coincides with $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_{1}$. Moreover, $d_{1}(c, u)=d_{\infty}(c, u)+\left(\int_{I}(|\dot{c}(t)|-|\dot{u}(t)|)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the distance on $H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M})$ derived from $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_{1}$ which generates a topology $\tau_{1}$ that agrees with the manifold topology. ([Kli95],Ch.2.3 Theorem 2.3.12(19))

Since $d_{\infty} \leq d_{1}$ (or $\left.|\cdot|_{\infty} \leq|\cdot|_{1}\right)$ we have that $\tau_{\infty} \subset \tau_{1}$ i.e. $\tau_{1}$ is finer than $\tau_{\infty}$ so the inclusion $\left(H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau_{\infty}\right)$ is continuous, and any continuous map on ( $\left.H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau_{\infty}\right)$ is also continuous on $\left(H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau_{1}\right)$. Moreover, the length $L$ and energy $E$ are continuous on $\left(H^{1}(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau_{1}\right)$ ([Jos98],Ch.5.4 Lemma 5.4.1), and the inclusion $\left(\Omega(\mathrm{M}, q, p), \tau_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\Omega(\mathrm{M}, q, p), \tau_{\infty}\right)$ is a homotopy equivalence ([Mil63], $\S 17$ Theorem 17.1). Since $\left(\Omega(\mathrm{M}, q, p), \tau_{\infty}\right)$ has the $c$-topology, theorem 3 in [Mil59] applies (with $\mathbf{A}=(\mathrm{M}, q, p)$ and $\mathbf{C}=(I=$ $[a, b], a, b))$ so $\left(\Omega(\mathrm{M}, q, p), \tau_{\infty}\right)$, and hence $\left(\Omega(\mathrm{M}, q, p), \tau_{1}\right)$, has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. In contrast $\left(C(I, \mathrm{M}), \tau_{\infty}\right)$ is an absolute neighborhood retract ([Kur35],p.284) hence has the homotopy type of a finite CW-complex ([Mil59],Theorem 1(d)). See also ([Bor67],Ch.IV.5) and [Jac52].

We now turn to the study of the relationship between the moduli space and the space of height-parameterized flow lines. As noted above, if $m \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)$ and $m^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Orbit}\left(\varphi_{m}\right)$ then $\eta_{m}=\eta_{m^{\prime}}$, hence $M(q, p)$ could just as well be defined as $M(q, p)=\left\{\eta_{m} \in C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M}) \mid m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{t}\right\}$, reflecting the fact that there is a kind of quotient structure on $M(q, p)$. Indeed we have that;

### 2.9 Claim.

The evaluation map $e_{t}: M(q, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \approx \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ at $\left.t \in\right] f(q), f(p)[$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof:
Let $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \rightarrow M(q, p) ; m \mapsto \eta_{m}$ be the induced partial map of $\eta$ and note that $\eta_{m}(t)=m$ since $t=f(m)$. It follows that this map is the inverse of $e_{t}$, hence proving the claim since both maps are continuous. ${ }^{10}$

[^19]2.10 Remark: In this remark we prove that $\eta:] f(q), f(p)\left[\times \mathrm{W}(q, p)^{t} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is smooth. Define $\theta: \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow] f(q), f(p)[\times \mathrm{M}(q, p)$ by $\theta=(f, \pi)$. Hence $\theta$ is smooth and the diagram

commutes, with $\psi$ the diffeomorphism $m \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R} . m$. Since $\pi$ is a submersion and $\nabla f \neq 0$ on $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ we may apply the inverse function theorem to conclude that $\theta^{-1}$ exists and is smooth. Hence $\eta$ is smooth, since it factors through smooth maps by the above diagram.

Because of the claim above $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ is sometimes referred to as the moduli space of flow lines. Moreover, it follows that the evaluation map $e:] f(q), f(p)[\times$ $M(q, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is a homeomorphism since it factors homeomorphically through $\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$. To summarize we extend 2.1 to the commutative diagram

where $\cong$ denotes a homeomorphism, $\approx$ a diffeomorphism, and

| Space | Name | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ | The space of connecting orbits. | Section 2.1 |
| $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$ |  | Section 2.1 |
| $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ | The moduli space of orbits. | Section 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ |  | Remark 2.3 |
| $M(q, p)$ | The space of height-parameterized flow lines. | Section 2.4 |
| $\mathcal{M}(p, q)$ |  | Remark 2.2 |

Note then that $M(q, p)$ (and $\mathcal{M}(p, q))$ can be given the structure of a smooth $\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}-1\right)$-manifold, however we do not need this. Moreover, by remark 2.3 we see that $\left(\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)}, \gamma \mapsto \mathbb{R} . \gamma(t) \cup\{p, q\}\right)$ is a compactification of $M(q, p)$.

### 2.11 Claim.

The closure $\overline{M(q, p)}$, in $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$, of the space of height-parameterized flow lines is compact.

Proof:
The claim will be a consequence of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem (see e.g. ([Dug66],Ch.XII.6)). Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $t \in] f(q), f(p)[$ a regular value. For each $\eta \in M(q, p)$ let $\left.t_{\eta} \in\right] f(q), f(p)\left[\right.$ be such that $t_{\eta}<t, \eta\left(t_{\eta}\right) \in \partial \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(\eta(t))$ and $\left.\left.\eta(] t_{\eta}, t\right]\right) \subset \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(\eta(t))$, where $\mathrm{B}_{r}(c)$ is the open ball of radius $r$ and center $c$ with respect to the Riemannian distance $d$. Now consider the set $S=\left\{t_{\eta}\right\}_{\eta \in M(q, p)}$, it is clear that $\alpha^{\prime}=\sup S \leq t$. Assume that $\alpha^{\prime}=t$. Since $t$ is a regular value, $|\nabla f|$ is bounded below away from zero. Hence for all $\delta>0$ there exists a $t_{\eta}$ such that $d\left(\eta\left(t_{\eta}\right), \eta(t)\right) \leq L\left(\eta, t_{\eta}, t\right) \leq \delta$ with $L$ the length functional on $\left[t_{\eta}, t\right]$. In particular we may choose $\delta<\varepsilon$ which is impossible by definition of $d$, hence $\alpha^{\prime}<t$. It follows that $\left.\left.\eta(] \alpha, t\right]\right) \subset \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(\eta(t))$ for all $\eta \in M(q, p)$ and all $\alpha \in] \alpha^{\prime}, t\left[\right.$. Now by arguments similar to the above we obtain a $\omega^{\prime}>t$ such that $\eta\left(\left[t, \omega[) \subset \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(\eta(t))\right.\right.$ for all $\eta \in M(q, p)$ and all $\left.\omega \in\right] t, \omega^{\prime}[$. Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary we conclude that for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an open neighborhood $U_{t}$ of $t$ such that $\eta\left(U_{t}\right) \subset \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(\eta(t))$ all $\eta \in M(q, p)$, hence $M(q, p)$ is equicontinuous at $t$.

If $t$ is a critical value we need the following estimate for $|\nabla f|$ which apply in neighborhoods of the critical points on $f^{-1}(t)$.
We estimate $|\nabla f|$ near a critical point $b$. Let $(\psi, U)$ be a Morse chart around $b$. We identify $U$ with $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s}$ having coordinates $z=(x, y)$. By abuse of notation we write $f$ for the local representative $f \circ \psi^{-1}$. Moreover we let $\psi(q)=0$ and $f(0)=0$ hence $f(x, y)=-|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}$ by the Morse lemma. Now let $z=z(t)$ denote a height-parameterized flow line, i.e. $f(z)=t$, and $A(z): T_{z} U \rightarrow T_{z} U^{*}$ the linear isomorphism induced by the Riemannian metric g. We then have

$$
|\mathrm{D} f(z)|=2 \sqrt{|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}} \geq 2 \sqrt{\left|-|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}\right|}=2 \sqrt{|t|}
$$

and

$$
|\nabla f(z)| \geq|A(z)|^{-1}|\mathrm{D} f(z)| \geq M^{-1}|\mathrm{D} f(z)| \quad \text { with } M=\max _{z}|A(z)| \geq 0
$$

Hence $L(z, t, 0)=L(z, 0, t) \leq M \sqrt{|t|}$, with $L$ the length functional on $[t, 0]$ and $[0, t]$, respectively.
It now follows that $M(q, p)$ is equicontinuous. Since if $t$ is a critical value we may apply the first part of the proof away from the critical points on $f^{-1}(t)$, and use the second part in neighborhoods of the critical points on $f^{-1}(t) .{ }^{11}$
To fulfill the hypothesis of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem we need to show that the closure of $\{\eta(t) \mid \eta \in M(q, p)\}$ is compact for each $t \in[f(q), f(p)]$. But this is clear since M is compact, so $M(q, p)$ is contained in a compact subset, hence the claim follows.
Let $\beta$ be a cluster point of $M(q, p)$ not in $M(q, p)$, hence there exists a sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\} \subset M(q, p)$ such that $\eta_{n} \rightarrow \beta$. It is clear that $\beta$ is height-parameterized so let $t_{0}=f(q), t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}=f(p)$ be the points in $[f(q), f(p)]$ such that $\beta\left(t_{i}\right) \in$ Crit $(f)$. If necessary rearrange the $t_{i}$ 's such that $t_{i}<t_{i+1}$ and let $\left.I_{i}=\right] t_{i-1}, t_{i}[$. Let $X=\nabla f /|\nabla f|^{2}$, since composition is continuous we see that $\lim \dot{\eta}_{n}=X(\beta)$ on each $I_{i}$, and by theorem 2.12 below it follows that $\dot{\beta}=\lim \dot{\eta}_{n}$ on each $I_{i}$. Hence $\operatorname{im}(\beta)$ is a broken trajectory from $q$ to $p$ of order $k$ as defined in remark 2.3 .

We have used the following theorem, a proof of which can be found in ([Apo74],Ch.9.10) (theorem 9.13)

### 2.12 Theorem.

Assume that each term of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a real-valued function having a finite derivative at each point of an open interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Assume that for at least one point $x_{0} \in I$ the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ converges. Assume further that there exists a function $g$ such that $f_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow g$ uniformly on $I$. Then:
a) There exists a function $f$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $I$.
b) For each $x \in I$ the derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ exists and equals $g(x)$.

How is the compactification $\left(\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)}, \eta \mapsto \mathbb{R} . \eta(t) \cup\{p, q\}\right)$ of $M(q, p)$ related to the closure $\overline{M(q, p)}$ ? Let $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q) \rightarrow M(q, p) ; l(m) \mapsto \eta_{m}$, where $l(m)=\mathbb{R} . m \cup$ $\{p, q\}=\operatorname{im}\left(\eta_{m}\right)$, be the homeomorphism which factors through $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t}$ via the homeomorphisms $l(m) \mapsto m \mapsto \eta_{m}$ (the inverse being $\left.\left.\eta_{m} \mapsto \mathbb{R} . \eta_{m}(t) \cup\{p, q\}\right)\right)$. By the above the inverse extends naturally to a continuous map $\overline{M(p, q)} \rightarrow$ $\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)}$. This map is surjective since if $l$ is a cluster point of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ not

[^20]in $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ there exists a sequence $\left\{l(m)_{n}\right\} \subset \mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)$ such that $l(m)_{n} \rightarrow l$. Hence there is a sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\} \subset M(q, p)$ such that $\eta_{n} \rightarrow \beta$ (possibly for a subsequence) with $\beta$ a cluster point of $M(q, p)$ not in $M(q, p)$, so $\beta \mapsto l$ proving surjectivity. Moreover, injectivity of this map is also easily proven (the inverse being the extension of $\left.l(m) \mapsto \eta_{m}\right)$, hence we have proven that:

### 2.13 Claim.

The homeomorphism $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q) \rightarrow M(q, p)$ extends to a homeomorphism on the closures (i.e. the completions) $\overline{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(q, p)} \rightarrow \overline{M(q, p)}$.

In other words the above says that compactification (of $M(q, p) \approx \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \approx$ $\left.\mathrm{M}(p, q) \approx \mathrm{M}^{\prime}(p, q)\right)$ w.r.t. Hausdorff topology is homeomorphic to compactification w.r.t $c$-topology. Moreover, it is important to note that the closure of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{t} \subset \mathrm{M}$ is not homeomorphic to the compactification above.

### 2.5 The space of broken flow lines

Let $p, q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ with $\mu(p, q) \geq 0, \tilde{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and $X=\nabla f /|\nabla f|^{2}$. The last (function) space to be defined is

$$
\bar{M}(q, p)=\{\beta \in C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M}) \mid \dot{\beta}=X(\beta) \text { on } \tilde{\mathrm{M}}, \beta(f(q))=q, \beta(f(p))=p\}
$$

which is is second countable, perfectly normal and paracompact (see remark 2.7). Elements of this space are curves $\beta$ starting at $q$ and ending at $p$ with $\operatorname{im}(\beta)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \operatorname{im}\left(\eta_{m_{i}}\right)$ for some $\eta_{m_{i}} \in M\left(b_{i-1}, b_{i}\right)$ with $b_{0}=q$ and $b_{l}=p$. The space $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is called the space of broken flow lines from $q$ to $p$, and elements are called either broken flow lines (even though some are non broken), piecewise flow lines, $\bar{M}$-maps or by abuse of language flow lines. Note that if $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ then $\beta(f(p))=p$, if $q \neq p$ and $\mu(p, q)=0$ then $\bar{M}(q, p)=\emptyset$, and $\bar{M}(q, q)$ has one element the trivial curve $f(q) \mapsto q$.

### 2.14 Claim.

The space of broken flow lines from $q$ to $p$ is compact.
Proof:
We show that $\bar{M}(q, p)=\overline{M(q, p)}$ which is enough since both spaces carry the subspace topology w.r.t. $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$. Obviously $M(q, p)$ is a subset of both so such elements are of no concern. Now let $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ so $\operatorname{im}(\beta)$ is a
broken trajectory, hence $\beta$ is a cluster point of $M(q, p)$ (by claim 2.13) and therefore $\beta \in \overline{M(q, p)}$. Conversely, let $\beta \in \overline{M(q, p)}$ then, by the arguments above claim 2.13, $\beta$ is height-parameterized and $\dot{\beta}=X(\beta)$ on $\tilde{\mathrm{M}}$.
It follows from the proof and the above that the space of broken flow lines $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is a compactification of the space of height-parameterized flow lines $M(p, q)$.

### 2.15 Claim.

The space of height-parameterized flow lines is open in the space of broken flow lines, so $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ is an open embedding. Moreover, the two spaces are equal if the relative index is one or if $\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap f^{-1}(] f(q), f(p)[)=\emptyset$.

Proof:
Since $\operatorname{im}(\beta) \subset \bar{W}(p, q)$ for any $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ we see that the topology on $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is the subspace topology coming from $C([f(q), f(p)], \overline{\mathrm{W}(p, q)})$. Moreover, $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ is open in $\overline{\mathrm{W}(p, q)}$ since any submanifold is locally closed and therefore also open in its closure. ${ }^{12}$ Now let $\gamma \in M(q, p)$ and $m=\gamma(t)$, then $m \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)$ so let $U$ be an open neighborhood of $m$ in $\overline{\mathrm{W}(p, q)}$. The subbasis element $(\{t\}, U)=\{\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p) \mid \beta(\{t\}) \subset U\}$ is then an open neighborhood of $\gamma$ in $\bar{M}(q, p)$, hence $\beta \in M(q, p)$ for all $\beta \in(\{t\}, U)$ thus proving the first statement.
Now the second statement follows by the formula on page 8 for $\overline{\mathrm{W}(p, q)}$, and the last since $f$ is Liapunov.
The above proof could be simplified since $M(q, p)$ is the complement of the closed set $\cup_{i} e_{f\left(a_{i}\right)}^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)$ where $\left\{a_{i}\right\}=\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap f^{-1}(] f(q), f(p)[)$. However we prefer the above method since it describes the topology of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ in a more precise manner. Moreover, by modifying the above proof slightly it is easy to see that $M(q, p)$ is not open in $C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M})$.
We now define a (concatenation) map which in some sense corresponds to the gluing map of theorem 2.16 below with the fixed parameter 0 . Let $\boldsymbol{b}$ be any chain and $\bar{M}(\boldsymbol{b})=\prod_{i=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{b})} \bar{M}\left(b_{i-1}, b_{i}\right)$. If $\boldsymbol{b}$ has order at most two we define the function \#: $\bar{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \rightarrow \bar{M}(\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b}), \operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b}))$ by letting $\#=\mathbf{1}$ if $l(\boldsymbol{b})=1$ or if $\boldsymbol{b}=\{q, b, p\}$ say, by $\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \#\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)=\beta \# \beta^{\prime}$ where

$$
\beta \# \beta^{\prime}(t)= \begin{cases}\beta(t) & f(q) \leq t \leq f(b)  \tag{2.3}\\ \beta^{\prime}(t) & f(b) \leq t \leq f(p)\end{cases}
$$

[^21]By adjusting the proof of continuity of the concatenation map ([Dug66],p.377) one sees that \# is continuous ${ }^{13}$, hence for any chain $\boldsymbol{b}$ there is a continuous map

$$
\bar{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \rightarrow \bar{M}(\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b}), \operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})) ;\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{l(\boldsymbol{b})}\right) \mapsto \beta_{1} \# \beta_{2} \# \cdots \# \beta_{l(\boldsymbol{b})}
$$

which we by abuse of notation also denote \#.
By definition of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ we thus have that if $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ then either it is a height-parameterized flow line $\beta=\eta_{m} \in M(q, p)$ or there exists a strict chain $\boldsymbol{b}$ with $q=\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b})$ and $p=\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$, and a sequence $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{l(\boldsymbol{b})}$ with $m_{i} \in$ $\mathrm{W}\left(b_{i}, b_{i-1}\right)$ (or equivalently $\left.m_{i} \in \mathrm{~W}\left(b_{i}, b_{i-1}\right)^{t_{i}} \approx \mathrm{M}\left(b_{i}, b_{i-1}\right)\right)$ such that $\beta=$ $\#\left(\eta_{m_{1}}, \eta_{m_{2}}, \ldots, \eta_{m_{l(b)}}\right)$ for $\left(\eta_{m_{1}}, \eta_{m_{2}}, \ldots, \eta_{m_{l(b)}}\right) \in M(\boldsymbol{b})$ i.e. $\beta$ is the concatenation of height-parameterized flow lines. Note that there are infinitely many chains corresponding to $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$, namely one strict chain and infinitely many degenerated chains, that is chains which contains more than one copy of the same element. To avoid this, a chain is henceforth considered to be strict if it occurs in connection with the map \#.
The forthcoming chapters are devoted to a more detailed analysis of the space of broken flow lines. More precisely we study the connectivity of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ and the relation between the path components of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ and $M(q, p)$.

### 2.5.1 Appendix

In this appendix we will comment on a construction of a compact space which in the literature is describe as a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ into a smooth manifold with corners. This compactification consists of two results, the first one being the compactness statement of theorem 2.1 and the second result describes how one can glue orbits from lower dimensional moduli spaces together to form an orbit in a higher dimensional moduli space. Geometrically this corresponds to gluing flow lines together to form another flow line.

### 2.16 Theorem.

Let $\boldsymbol{b}$ be a strict inverse chain of order $l$ with $p=\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b})$ and $q=\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an embedding

$$
\left.G_{\boldsymbol{b}}=G: \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{l}\right] 0, \varepsilon[\rightarrow \mathrm{M}(p, q) ;(\bar{m}, \bar{t}) \mapsto G(\bar{m}, \bar{t})
$$

[^22]mapping $\operatorname{dom}(G)$ diffeomorphically onto an open set in $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Moreover, $G(\bar{m}, \bar{t}) \rightarrow \bar{x}$ for $\bar{t} \rightarrow 0$, and if $m_{n} \in \mathrm{M}(p, q)$ converges to a broken orbit of order $l(\boldsymbol{b})$ then $m_{n} \in \operatorname{im}\left(G_{\boldsymbol{b}}\right)$ for large $n$.

The map $G$ is called the gluing map and usually written as $G(\bar{m}, \bar{t})=m_{1} \#_{t_{2}} \cdots$ $\#_{t_{l}} m_{l}$. The statement of theorem 2.16 can be found in ([AB95],Ch.2). However, the proof in ([AB95],Ch.A.2) only shows that theorem 2.16 is true in the case of a simple gluing map i.e. $l(\boldsymbol{b})=2$. Furthermore, there is no explanation regarding the construction of a "general" gluing map as above. Presumably a general gluing map is defined recursively by means of simple gluing maps, but this immediately raises the question of associativity $\left(m_{1} \#_{t_{2}} m_{2}\right) \#_{t_{3}} m_{3} \stackrel{?}{=} m_{1} \#_{t_{2}}\left(m_{2} \#_{t_{3}} m_{3}\right)$ which seems to be highly non trivial. In ([Sch93],Ch.2.5) the problem of associativity is mentioned. Moreover in [Coh92] and [CJS95] the question of associativity is addressed but not proven. When $l(\boldsymbol{b})=2$ other versions of the gluing theorem can be found in ([Sch93],Ch.2.5) or ([Web06],Ch.3.3).
We can now depict the statement of ([AB95],Ch.2) that $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}=\mathrm{M}(p, q) \cup \bigcup_{b} \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{b})}[0, \varepsilon[$ which is a smooth manifold with corners. Here the union is taken over all nontrivial strict inverse chains $\boldsymbol{b}$ with $p=\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b})$ and $q=\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$. In ([AB95],Ch.2) it is claimed that the compactification follows from theorem 2.1 and 2.16, however there is no description of the manifold structure or even the topological structure of $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$. In [Coh92] and [CJS95] one can find a discussion regarding the topology of $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$, where the latter describe the compactification as $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}=\mathrm{M}(p, q) \cup \bigcup_{b} \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$. It should be noted that a complete proof of the compactification statement in the realm of Floer theory can be found in ([BC03],App.A).

In the sequel we present two constructions which might give a clue as to what topology $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$ should have. The first construction is based on the categorical pushout construction (see e.g. ([Bor94],Ch.2.5) or ([ML98],Ch.III.3)), which should be compared to the general method of pasting topological spaces together as found in ([Bou98],Ch.I.2.5). The second construction is a somewhat naive construction. Even though we do not prove it, it seems to be true that these two constructions are topologically equivalent.
Let $L$ be the set of all nontrivial $(l(\boldsymbol{b}) \geq 2)$ strict inverse chains $\boldsymbol{b}$ with $p=\operatorname{sou}(\boldsymbol{b})$ and $q=\operatorname{tar}(\boldsymbol{b})$. For convenience set $M=\mathrm{M}(p, q)$, and for each $\boldsymbol{b} \in L$ define the product space $\overline{X(\boldsymbol{b})}=\mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{b})}[0, \varepsilon[$ and the subspaces $X(\boldsymbol{b}) \subset \overline{X(\boldsymbol{b})}$ by $\left.X(\boldsymbol{b})=\mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{b})}\right] 0, \varepsilon[$.

By means of general nonsense we now proceed with the first construction. For each $\boldsymbol{b} \in L$ we have, in the category Top, a pushout diagram

which, by means of the right vertical maps, induces the pushout graph (with $l=\# L$ )

with $a_{i 0}=M, a_{i 1}=P\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}\right)$ and $a_{i j}=a_{i(j-1)} *_{a_{(i+1)(j-2)}} a_{(i+1)(j-1)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \# L$ and $2 \leq j \leq \# L$.
Note that $X$ is topologically independent of how the elements of $L$ are indexed. Indeed, by consider the pushout diagram

we see that $a_{13}$ is the pushout of the pair $(f, h)$ but so is $P^{\prime}$, hence $a_{i 3} \cong P^{\prime}$. We conclude that if the maps $M \rightarrow a_{i 1}$ and $M \rightarrow a_{(i+1) 1}$ in diagram (2.4)
are interchanged we obtain a pushout homeomorphic to $X$. By recursion this clearly generalizes to any permutation of the index set. It now follows that (with $l=\# L)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =a_{1 l} \\
& =a_{1(l-1)} *_{a_{2(l-2)}} a_{2(l-1)} \\
& =a_{1(l-2)} *_{a_{2(l-3)}} a_{2(l-2)} *_{a_{2(l-2)}} a_{2(l-2)} *_{a_{3(l-3)}} a_{3(l-2)} \\
& =a_{1(l-2)} *_{a_{2(l-3)}} a_{2(l-2)} *_{a_{3(l-3)}} a_{3(l-2)}=\cdots=a_{11} *_{a_{20}} a_{21} *_{a_{30}} \cdots *_{a_{l 0}} a_{l 1} \\
& =P\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right) *_{M} P\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right) *_{M} \cdots *_{M} P\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{l}\right) \\
& =M *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right)} \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right)} *_{M} M *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right)} \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right)} *_{M} \cdots *_{M} M *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{l}\right)} \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{l}\right)} \\
& =M *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right)} \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right)} *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{2}}\right)} \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right)} *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right)} \cdots *_{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{l}\right)} \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{l}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is $X$ is formed by pasting the $\overline{X(\boldsymbol{b})}$ 's to $M$ along the $X(\boldsymbol{b})$ 's. The equalities above follow by either definition or associativity of the pushout. That is, if C is a category with pushouts then $\left(A *_{B} C\right) *_{D} E=A *_{B}\left(C *_{D} E\right)$ since the pushout diagram

shows that $P_{1} *_{D} E=P_{1} *_{C} P_{2}=A *_{B} P_{2}$.
The (quotient) space $X$ is not quite the topological model of $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$ we are looking for, as the next example will show. Let $\boldsymbol{b}=\left\{p, b_{1}, b_{2}, q\right\}, \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}=\left\{p, b_{2}, q\right\}$ and consider $G: X(\boldsymbol{b}) \rightarrow M ;\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \mapsto m_{1} \#_{t_{2}} m_{2} \#_{t_{3}} m_{3}$. The subspace $\left.\mathrm{M}\left(p, b_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(b_{2}, q\right) \times\right] 0, \varepsilon[\times\{0\}$ of $\overline{X(\boldsymbol{b})}$ is not identified with $\mathrm{M}\left(p, b_{2}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(b_{2}, q\right) \times\{0\} \subset \overline{X\left(\boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}\right)}$ via the above construction. As a consequence we have that $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; t_{2}, 0\right) \neq m_{1} \#_{t_{2}} m_{2} \#_{0} m_{3}=\left(m_{1} \#_{t_{2}} m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$ and these two points of $X$ can not be separated by open sets, hence $X \notin$ Haus i.e. $X$ is not an object of the category Haus. To solve this problem we use the functor $H$ : Top $\rightarrow$ Haus which is left adjoint to the inclusion functor, see ([ML98],Ch.V.9). This is in fact how one creates pushouts in Haus. Moreover, the functor $H$ (obtained by the adjoint functor theorem) or more precise $H X$ can be described as "the largest Hausdorff quotient" of $X$.
It seems reasonable to conjecture that the inclusion $\iota: M \hookrightarrow H X$ is an embedding, that $H X$ is a compact Hausdorff space (as a consequence of theorem 2.1)
and that $\iota(M) \subset H X$ is dense (by the description of a neighborhood in $H X$ of a broken orbit, via the gluing maps). Hence ( $H X, \iota$ ) is a compactification of $M=\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Since the above construction is fairly obvious when given the gluing data we speculate that the underlying topology on $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$ could in fact be that of $H X$.
Before proceeding to the second construction note that as a set $H X$ can be write as $H X=\mathrm{M}(p, q) \cup \bigcup_{b \in L} \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$ where we use the identification $\mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \approx \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b}) \times$ $\{0\}_{i=2}^{l(\boldsymbol{b})}$, and $\bigcup_{b \in L^{k}} \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$ where $L^{k}=\{\boldsymbol{b} \in L \mid l(\boldsymbol{b})=k\}$ is a "codimensional $k-1$ strata" for $H X$.

Now the second construction is simply to consider $Y \in$ Set the object in the category Set defined by $Y=\mathrm{M}(p, q) \cup \bigcup_{b \in L} \mathrm{M}(\boldsymbol{b})$, and give $Y$ the final topology with respect to the family $\left\{G_{\boldsymbol{b}}: \overline{X(\boldsymbol{b})} \rightarrow Y\right\}_{\boldsymbol{b} \in L}$ of "extended" gluing maps. Each $G_{b}$ then becomes an embedding, and again it seems reasonable to conjecture that $Y$ is a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$.

It is important to note that both constructions above relies crucially on theorem 2.16 i.e. the existence of gluing maps with more than one parameter. Moreover, the discussion above should be compared to the last paragraph of remark 2.3.

## Chapter 3

## Connectivity and the space of broken flow lines

In this chapter we investigate the connectivity of the space of broken flow lines by means of its (co)homology. To do so we introduce equivalence relations on the space of broken flow lines which enable us to make a local analysis of this space. Together with a pullback construction (derived from the equivalence relations) this local analysis yields an "inductive" procedure to analyse the (co)homology of the space of broken flow lines.
As in chapter 2 we fix a Morse function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a closed $n$-manifold M and a Riemannian metric g on M such that the pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale.
In section 3.1 we setup some assumptions and notation which applies to the rest of this chapter. In section 3.2 we define equivalence relations on the space of broken flow lines and investigate the resulting quotient spaces. In section 3.3 we construct pullbacks of the quotient spaces defined in section 3.2. Moreover, by means of the Vietoris-Begle mapping theorem we show that, in certain dimensions, these pullbacks induce isomorphisms in Čech cohomology. In particular, when there is exactly one critical point of index 0 and one critical point of index $n$ these isomorphisms can be used to show that the space of broken flow lines is connected if there are no critical points of index 1 or $n-1$.

### 3.1 Assumptions

In the rest of this chapter the following will be assumed. Let Crit $(f)=\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ where $i=0,1, \ldots, w=\# \operatorname{Crit}(f)-1$. We choose the indexing such that $\lambda_{a_{0}}=0$, $\lambda_{a_{w}}=n$ and assume that $\# \operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)=1$ for $i=0, n$, where we usually prefer to write $q$ (resp. $p$ ) for $a_{0}$ (resp. $a_{w}$ ). Moreover, we assume that $f\left(a_{i}\right) \neq f\left(a_{j}\right)$ for all $i \neq j$, and let $\tau_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ denote a scalar such that $f\left(a_{i}\right)<\tau_{i}<f\left(a_{i+1}\right)$. In summary we have
$f\left(q=a_{0}\right)<\tau_{0}<f\left(a_{1}\right)<\tau_{1}<f\left(a_{2}\right)<\tau_{2}<\cdots<f\left(a_{w-1}\right)<\tau_{w-1}<f\left(p=a_{w}\right)$

When we write $\mathrm{W}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)^{t}$ it will be understood that $t$ is chosen appropriately, i.e. $t=\tau_{l}$ a regular value with $i \leq l \leq j$. The same applies for $\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(a_{i}\right)^{t}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}\left(a_{i}\right)^{t}$ with the obvious changes.
We comment on the above assumptions. First, by ([Mat02],Ch.3.3) one can perturb any Morse function such that the assumption $f\left(a_{i}\right) \neq f\left(a_{j}\right)$ for all $i \neq j$ holds. Moreover, as we shall see at the end of this chapter this assumption is in fact redundant and only included for simplicity. Secondly, the assumption $\# \operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)=1$ for $i=0, n$ can also be obtained for any Morse function by a perturbation (see the proof of theorem 3.35 in [Mat02]).
3.1 Remark: When restricting to $\overline{\mathrm{W}(p, q)}$, most of the results in this chapter generalizes to the case of $\# \operatorname{Crit}_{i}(f)>1$ for $i=0, n$. This fact will not be used, hence we leave this generalization to the reader.

### 3.2 Quotients of the space of broken flow lines

We begin this section by constructing various quotient spaces of $\bar{M}(q, p)$. For $i \leq j$ we define the (equivalence) relation $\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} \subset \bar{M}(q, p) \times \bar{M}(q, p)$ by $\beta \sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}$ $\beta^{\prime}$ iff $\beta(t)=\beta^{\prime}(t)$ for all $t \in\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$. Since $f\left(a_{i}\right)<\tau_{i}<f\left(a_{i+1}\right)$ and $f\left(a_{j}\right)<$ $\tau_{j}<f\left(a_{j+1}\right)$, it is trivial to check that;

### 3.2 Claim.

For any pair $\left(\tau_{i}^{\prime}, \tau_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left.\tau_{i}^{\prime} \in\right] f\left(a_{i}\right), f\left(a_{i+1}\right)\left[\right.$ and $\left.\tau_{j}^{\prime} \in\right] f\left(a_{j}\right), f\left(a_{j+1}\right)[$ we have $\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}=\sim_{\tau_{i}^{\prime}, \tau_{j}^{\prime}}$.

Furthermore, it follows that

$$
\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} \cap \sim_{\tau_{k}, \tau_{l}}= \begin{cases}\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{l}} & \text { if } i \leq k \leq j \leq l  \tag{3.2}\\ \sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} & \text { if } i \leq k \leq l \leq j\end{cases}
$$

and in particular $\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} \subset \sim_{\tau_{k}, \tau_{l}}$ if $i \leq k \leq l \leq j$. Hence from (3.2) we immediately obtain that;

### 3.3 Claim.

For $i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq i_{3} \leq i_{4}$ the diagram (3.3) is a pullback diagram in Set, the category of sets.


With the relation above we define the quotient space $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)=\bar{M}(q, p) / \sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}$, and note that $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)=\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}^{\prime}, \tau_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ for any pair $\left(\tau_{i}^{\prime}, \tau_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ as in claim 3.2. By claim 2.14 it follows that this quotient space is compact, and as we shall see below (claim 3.5) this space is in fact compact Hausdorff.

### 3.4 Claim.

The inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces an embedding $M(q, p) / \sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} \hookrightarrow$ $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$.

Proof:
If $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in M(q, p)$, then $\beta(t)=\beta^{\prime}(t)$ for all $t \in\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ iff $\beta(t)=\beta^{\prime}(t)$ for some $t \in\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$. Thus, $M(q, p) / \sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} \approx M(q, p)$. The claim now follows by considering the diagram

where $\iota^{\prime}$ is the unique continuous map such that $\pi^{\prime} \circ \iota=\iota^{\prime} \circ \pi$ (since $\pi^{\prime} \circ \iota$ is constant on the fibers of $\pi$ i.e. $\pi^{\prime} \circ \iota$ respects the equivalence relation $\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}$, ([Lee00],Ch.3.3)).

It follows from the above that $\iota^{\prime}$ is in fact an open embedding. Indeed, let $O \subset M(q, p)$ be open, then $\iota^{\prime}(O)=\pi^{\prime} \circ \iota(O)$ is open iff $\pi^{\prime-1}\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ \iota(O)\right)$ is open. But by the above diagram, $\pi^{\prime-1}\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ \iota(O)\right)=\iota(O)$, which is open by claim 2.15.

### 3.5 Claim.

The map $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right], \mathrm{M}\right) ;[\beta] \mapsto \beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ is a homeomorphism onto its image i.e. an embedding. Hence $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ is a compact Hausdorff space. ${ }^{1}$

Proof:
The composition $\bar{M}(q, p) \hookrightarrow C([f(q), f(p)], \mathrm{M}) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} C\left(\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right], \mathrm{M}\right)$, where res denotes the restriction map, factors through $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ as


Now $\beta \mapsto \beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ is continuous since res is continuous ([Bre93],Ch.VII.2). Hence by the defining property of the quotient/identification map $\pi$, ([Bre93],Ch.I.13) we see that that $[\beta] \mapsto \beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ is continuous. Moreover, $[\beta] \mapsto \beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ is injective because $\sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}$ identifies maps that agree on $\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$, i.e. differences outside of $\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ are ignored in $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$. This proves the claim since $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ is compact and $C\left(\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right], \mathrm{M}\right)$ is Hausdorff, ([Lee00],Ch.4.2).
Thus the above claim gives us a model for the topology on $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$, which is more comprehensible than the original one. Moreover, the identification $[\beta] \leftrightarrow$ $\beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ will be used throughout the rest of this chapter.
3.6 Remark: Let $[\beta] \in \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$ and write a representative $\beta \in[\beta]$ as $\beta=$ $\eta_{m_{1}} \# \cdots \# \eta_{m_{l(b)}}$. It follows that; 1) if $l(\boldsymbol{b})=l=1$, the only representative of the class $[\beta]$ is the non broken flow line $\beta=\eta_{m}$. 2) if $l>1$ then, with say $\tau_{i} \in\left[f\left(b_{v-1}\right), f\left(b_{v}\right)\right]$ and $\tau_{j} \in\left[f\left(b_{u-1}\right), f\left(b_{u}\right)\right]$ for $1 \leq v<u \leq l-1$, the class $[\beta]$ is uniquely determined by $\eta_{m_{v}} \# \cdots \# \eta_{m_{u}}$.
As a consequence of the above we sometimes write $[\beta]=\left[\bullet \eta_{m_{v}} \# \cdots \# \eta_{m_{u}} \bullet\right]$. Note that 1) and 2) above reflects the statements of claim 3.4 and 3.5 , respectively.

[^23]Moreover, note that if $\beta \sim_{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}} \beta^{\prime}$, with $\boldsymbol{b}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}$ ) the chain connected to $\beta$ (resp. $\beta^{\prime}$ ), then $\boldsymbol{b} \cap \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and we may write $\beta=\beta_{1} \# \alpha \# \beta_{2}$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\beta_{1}^{\prime} \# \alpha \# \beta_{2}^{\prime}$, where the chain connected to $\alpha$ is $\boldsymbol{b} \cap \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}$.

Now consider the (non-injective) evaluation map (at $\left.\tau_{i}\right) \bar{M}(q, p) \xrightarrow{e_{\tau_{i}}} f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$. This is clearly continuous, and it respects the relation $\sim_{\tau_{k}, \tau_{j}}$ (for $k \leq i \leq j$ ). Hence there is an induced (continuous) map on the quotients. In light of claim 3.5 we also denote the induced map by $e_{\tau_{i}}$ and call it the evaluation map (at $\left.\tau_{i}\right)$.

### 3.7 Claim.

The evaluation map (at $\left.\tau_{i}\right) \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{e_{\tau_{i}}} f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ is a homeomorphism.
Proof:
We see that $e_{\tau_{i}}$ is a continuous bijection between a compact space and a Hausdorff space.

### 3.3 Pullbacks and induced isomorphisms

We start by defining maps between the various quotient spaces of section 3.2. If $i \leq k \leq l \leq j$ let $\Pi: \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right) \rightarrow \bar{M}\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{l}\right)$ be the map defined by $\beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right] \mapsto$ $\beta \mid\left[\tau_{k}, \tau_{l}\right]$. As a passing remark we note that $\Pi$ would not be well defined if $j<k$.

### 3.8 Claim.

The map $\Pi$ is a closed continuous surjection. Moreover, for $\tau_{i_{j}} \leq \tau_{i_{j+1}}$ the following diagram commutes

where all the maps are defined as $\Pi$ above.
Proof:
It is clear that both the diagram (3.4) commutes and $\Pi$ is a continuous surjection. Moreover, $\Pi$ is closed since it is a map between compact spaces.

Hence, this says that $\Pi$ is a closed identification map. Now as hinted by claim 3.3 we may turn diagram (3.4) into a pullback diagram by making some restrictions on the $\tau_{i_{j}}$ 's.

### 3.9 Claim.

For $\tau_{i_{j}} \leq \tau_{i_{j+1}}$, diagram (3.5) represents a pullback diagram in CompHaus, the category of compact Hausdorff spaces.


Proof:
Diagram (3.5) commutes by claim 3.8. Now let

$$
P=\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{1}}, \tau_{i_{3}}\right) \times_{\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{2}}, \tau_{i_{3}}\right)} \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{2}}, \tau_{i_{4}}\right)
$$

denote the pullback of $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{1}}, \tau_{i_{3}}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{2}}, \tau_{i_{3}}\right) \longleftarrow \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{2}}, \tau_{i_{4}}\right)$. It is easy to see that the map $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i_{1}}, \tau_{i_{4}}\right) \rightarrow P ; \beta \mid\left[\tau_{i_{1}}, \tau_{i_{4}}\right] \rightarrow\left(\beta\left|\left[\tau_{i_{1}}, \tau_{i_{3}}\right], \beta\right|\left[\tau_{i_{2}}, \tau_{i_{4}}\right]\right)$ is a continuous bijection. Hence the claim follows.
Now consider the following special case of diagram (3.5)


We wish to apply the Vietoris-Begle mapping theorem to the map $\Pi$ (and $\Pi^{\prime}$ ) in diagram 3.6.

### 3.10 Theorem. (Vietoris-Begle mapping theorem)

Let $P: Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed continuous surjection between paracompact Hausdorff spaces and $G$ a module. Assume that there is an $l \geq 0$ such that the reduced Čech cohomology group $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{k}\left(P^{-1}(x) ; G\right)=0$ for all $x \in X$ and for $k<l$. Then $P^{*}: \breve{\mathrm{H}}^{k}(X ; G) \rightarrow \breve{\mathrm{H}}^{k}(Y ; G)$ is an isomorphism for $k<l$ and a monomorphism for $k=l$. ([Spa81],p.344(334))

In [Spa81] the above theorem is stated for Alexander cohomology $\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*}$, but since $X$ (and $Y$ ) are paracompact Hausdorff spaces we have $\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(X)=\check{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(X)$ by corollary 8 in ([Spa81],p.334). By abuse of notation we let $\mathrm{H}^{*}=\check{H}^{*}$ in the sequel.

### 3.11 Claim.

The fibers of $\Pi$ in diagram (3.6) are

$$
\Pi^{-1}(m)= \begin{cases}\text { a point } & \text { if } m \in f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)-\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{i-1}} \\ \mathbb{S}^{n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1} & \text { if } m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{i-1}}\end{cases}
$$

where we have used the identification $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i-1}\right) \approx f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)$ of claim 3.7.
Proof:
With the above identification $\Pi$ is simply the evaluation map at $\tau_{i-1}$. Let $m \in f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)-\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{i-1}}$ and assume that $\beta\left|\left[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right], \beta^{\prime}\right|\left[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right] \in \Pi^{-1}(m)$, i.e. $\beta\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)=\beta^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)$. But then $\beta\left|\left[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right]=\beta^{\prime}\right|\left[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right]$ since $a_{i} \notin \boldsymbol{b} \cap \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{b}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}$ ) represent the chain connected to $\beta$ (resp. $\beta^{\prime}$ ).
If $m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{i-1}}$ then $\Pi^{-1}(m)=\left\{\beta\left|\left[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right] \in \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right)\right| a_{i} \in \operatorname{im}(\beta)\right.$ and $\left.\beta\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)=m\right\}$ which is compact. Now the map $\Pi^{-1}(m) \rightarrow\{m\} \times \mathrm{W}^{s}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{i}}$; $\beta \mid\left[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right] \mapsto\left(\beta\left(\tau_{i-1}\right), \beta\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right)$ is easily seen to be a continuous bijection, and hence a homeomorphism. This proves the claim since $\{m\} \times \mathrm{W}^{s}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{i}} \approx \mathbb{S}^{n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1}$.

The Vietoris-Begle mapping theorem now yields that $\Pi^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i-1}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right)\right)$ is an isomorphism for $k<n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1$ and a monomorphism for $k=n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1$. Now by the pullback property of diagram (3.6) we will see, in the following remark on abstract nonsense, that the fibers of $\Pi$ and $\bar{\Pi}$ are in fact identical.
3.12 Remark: Let C be a category with pullbacks. The fiber of a morphism $f: A \rightarrow C$ over a morphism $g: E \rightarrow C$ is by definition the pullback $E \times_{C} A$. Note that if $\mathrm{C}=$ Set, $g$ is the inclusion, and $E$ a subset of $C$, the above definition agrees with the set theoretic notion of $f^{-1}(E)$, the inverse image of $E$ under $f$.
Now given the pullback diagram


We claim that the fiber over $g: E \rightarrow C$ of $f$ is the fiber over $E \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$ of $f^{\prime}$. This follows by considering the pullback diagram

and noting that $E \times_{D} B \approx E \times_{C} A$ since both squares are pullback diagrams ([Bor94],Ch.2.5).

It now follows that $\bar{\Pi}^{-1}([\beta])=\Pi^{-1}\left(\Pi^{\prime}([\beta])\right)$. Hence the fiber of $\bar{\Pi}$ is either a point or the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1}$, so $\bar{\Pi}^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-2}, \tau_{i-1}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-2}, \tau_{i}\right)\right)$ is an isomorphism for $k<n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1$ and a monomorphism for $k=n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1$. Similar arguments shows that the pair of maps $\left(\Pi^{\prime}, \overline{\Pi^{\prime}}\right)$ also induce isomorphisms in Čech cohomology for $k<\lambda_{a_{i-1}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=\lambda_{a_{i-1}}-1$. In summary, we have the following commutative diagram

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms for $k<n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=n-\lambda_{a_{i}}-1$, and the horizontal maps are isomorphisms for $k<\lambda_{a_{i-1}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=\lambda_{a_{i-1}}-1$.
We proceed by showing how $\overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p)$ (or rather $\mathrm{H}^{k}(\overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p))$ ) fits into the above construction. First consider the following commutative diagram induced by the diagram on spaces

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-2}, \tau_{i+1}\right)\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i+1}\right)\right) \longleftarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{i+1}\right)\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-2}, \tau_{i}\right)\right) \longleftarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i}\right)\right) \longleftarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)\right) \\
\uparrow \\
\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-2}, \tau_{i-1}\right)\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i-1}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The lower square and the right square in the above diagram are of the same type as diagram 3.7. Moreover, the (upper) left square comes from a pullback diagram (claim 3.9), so as above we conclude that the horizontal (resp.
vertical) map into $H^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i-2}, \tau_{i+1}\right)\right)$ is a isomorphisms for $k<\lambda_{a_{i-1}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=\lambda_{a_{i-1}}-1$ (resp. a isomorphisms for $k<\lambda_{a_{i+1}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=\lambda_{a_{i+1}}-1$ ).
Now if we proceed by expanding diagram 3.8 we obtain the following commutative diagram induced by the diagram on spaces

where $\mathrm{H}_{i, j}^{k}=\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)\right)$ and we note that $\mathrm{H}_{0, w-1}^{k}=\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\bar{M}\left(\tau_{0}, \tau_{w-1}\right)\right)=$ $\mathrm{H}^{k}(\bar{M}(q, p))$. Moreover, all the horizontal maps in the $j$ 'th column $(j=1,2, \ldots$, $w-2, w-1$ and starting from the left) are isomorphisms for $k<\lambda_{a_{j}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=\lambda_{a_{j}}-1$, and all the vertical maps in the $j$ 'th row $(j=1,2, \ldots, w-2, w-1$ and starting from the lower left) are isomorphisms for $k<n-\lambda_{a_{j}}-1$ and monomorphisms for $k=n-\lambda_{a_{j}}-1$. In particular we see that, for $k=0$ all the maps in diagram 3.9 are isomorphisms onto there image, since $1 \leq \lambda_{a_{j}} \leq n-1$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, w-2, w-1$.

Using the identification $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{i}\right) \approx f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ of claim 3.7 we immediately obtain the following lemma.

### 3.13 Lemma.

Let $J=\{1,2, \ldots, w-1\}$ and $J^{\prime}=\{i+1, i+2, \ldots, i+l\}$, then

1) $\mathrm{H}^{k}(\bar{M}(q, p)) \approx \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{w-1}\right)\right)$ if $0 \leq k \leq m-2$, where $m=\min _{j \in J}\left\{\lambda_{a_{j}}\right\}$.
2) $\mathrm{H}^{k}(\bar{M}(q, p)) \approx \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)$ if $0 \leq k \leq n-2-M$, where $M=\max _{j \in J}\left\{\lambda_{a_{j}}\right\}$.
3) $\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right) \approx \mathrm{H}^{k}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i+l}\right)\right)$ if $0 \leq k \leq \min _{j \in J^{\prime}}\left\{\lambda_{a_{j}}-2, n-2-\lambda_{a_{j}}\right\}$.

In particular $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\bar{M}(q, p)) \approx \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)$ for all $j \in J$ if $\lambda_{a_{j}} \neq 1, n-1$ for all $j \in J$.
3.14 Remark: Note that the lemma is an empty statement if $n=\operatorname{dim}(M) \leq 2$, that (1) is an empty statement if $m=1$, that (2) is an empty statement if $M=n-1$, and that (3) is an empty statement if $\lambda_{a_{j}}=1, n-1$.

We can now say a bit about the connectivity of $\bar{M}(q, p)$. More precisely we obtain a necessary condition for $\bar{M}(q, p)$ to be connected.

### 3.15 Corollary.

The space of broken flow lines is connected if there exists no critical point of index 1 (or $n-1$ ). Moreover, the number of path components of a level surface remains constant when passing a critical level surface if the index of the corresponding critical point is different from 1 and $n-1$.

Proof:
The rank of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p))$ can be interpreted as the number of components of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p)$ (see ([Spa81],Ch.6.4)). Hence we obtain the first assertion by lemma 3.13(1), since $f^{-1}\left(\tau_{w-1}\right) \approx \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Moreover, since $f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ is an ENR (Euclidean Neighborhood Retract, see ([Dol80],Ch.IV.8)) for any $i$, the Čech cohomology coincides with ordinary singular cohomology (see e.g. proposition 6.12 in ([Dol80],Ch.IV.8)). Hence we may interpret the rank of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right)$ as the number of path components of $f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ (see ([Spa81],Ch.5.4)). By lemma 3.13(3), this proves the last part of the corollary
To this end we indicate that the assumption $f\left(a_{i}\right) \neq f\left(a_{j}\right)$ from section 3.1 is redundant. Assume that on the level surface corresponding to $a_{i}$ there are $k$
critical points $a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i k}$. In this case only claim 3.11 needs to be changed, all other results holds without any changes to statements and proofs. The correct conclusion of claim 3.11 in this case is

$$
\Pi^{-1}(m)= \begin{cases}\text { a point } & \text { if } m \in f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i-1}\right)-\bigcup_{j} \mathrm{~W}^{u}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{\tau_{i-1}} \\ \mathbb{S}^{n-\lambda_{a_{i j}}-1} & \text { if } m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{\tau_{i-1}}\end{cases}
$$

and the proof holds readily. We summarize in the following theorem.

### 3.16 Theorem.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse-Smale function with only one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$, and let $\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}$ be regular values such that $f(q)<\tau_{i} \leq \tau_{j}<f(p)$. With $m=\min _{a \neq q}\left\{\lambda_{a} \mid f(a)<\tau_{i}\right\}$ and $M=\max _{a \neq p}\left\{\lambda_{a} \mid f(a)>\tau_{j}\right\}$, the restriction map $\bar{M}(q, p) \rightarrow \bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right) ; \beta \mapsto \beta \mid\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]$ induces an isomorphism in Čech cohomology in degree $<\min \{m-1, n-1-M\}$ and a monomorphism in degree $\min \{m-1, n-1-M\}$. In particular $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is connected if there are no critical points of index 1 (or $n-1$ ).

In ([Mat02],p.90) a Morse function $f: \mathbb{C P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constructed, with $n+1$ critical points of index $0,2, \ldots, 2 n$. Hence $\overline{\mathbb{C P}^{n}}(q, p)$ is connected with respect to this Morse function.

### 3.4 Appendix

Recall that for $k=0$ all the maps in diagram 3.9 are isomorphisms onto there images. Hence $\max _{\tau}\left\{\operatorname{Rank}\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(f^{-1}(\tau)\right)\right)\right\} \leq \operatorname{Rank}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}(\overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p))\right)$, and by corollary 3.15 this lower bound only depends on the critical points of index 1 and $n-1$. In this appendix we will elaborate a bit more on how the path components of level surfaces changes when passing a critical point of index 1 or $n-1$. The following is implicit in the literature on handle body's. Moreover, we make no assumptions on the critical points of the Morse function.
Assume that $\lambda_{a_{i}}=1, \lambda_{a_{j}}=n-1$ and for $k=i, j$ let $W_{k}=f^{-1}\left(\left[\tau_{k-1}, \tau_{k}\right]\right)$, $V_{k}=\mathrm{M}^{\tau_{k-1}}$ and $V_{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{M}^{\tau_{k}}$. The triple ( $W_{k}, V_{k}, V_{k}^{\prime}$ ) is then an elementary cobordism in the sense of ([Mil65],p.28) since $f$ is a Morse function on the manifold triad ( $W_{k}, V_{k}, V_{k}^{\prime}$ ) with exactly one critical point (see ([Mil65],p.2,8)). By lemma 3.2 in [Mil65] there exists a gradient like vector field for $f$, so we may apply corollary 3.15 (of theorem 3.14) in [Mil65] to conclude that $\mathrm{H}_{*}\left(W_{k}, V_{k}\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ (generated by $\left.\left[\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(a_{k}\right)\right]\right)$ in dimension $\lambda_{a_{k}}$ and zero otherwise. Moreover,
with minor modifications (replace $D_{L}$ by $D_{R}$ ) the proof of theorem 3.14 also yields a dual corollary; $\mathrm{H}_{*}\left(W_{k}, V_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ (generated by [ $\left.\mathrm{W}^{s}\left(a_{k}\right)\right]$ ) in dimension $n-\lambda_{a_{k}}$ and zero otherwise. Now assume that $n=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})>2$, then we obtain the following two exact sequences based on the long exact sequence of the pairs $\left(W_{k}, V_{k}\right)$ and $\left(W_{k}, V_{k}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(V_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(W_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i}} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(V_{j}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(W_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{j}} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{j}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{j}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \longrightarrow{ }^{\longrightarrow} \longrightarrow{ }^{2} \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

where the isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(W_{i}\right) \approx \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(W_{j}\right) \approx \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{j}\right)$ are due to the assumption $n>2$. Since $H_{0}(\cdot)$ is free we see that $\alpha_{k}$ is either 0 or surjective, hence

$$
\beta_{0}\left(V_{k}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\beta_{0}\left(V_{k}\right) & \text { if } \alpha_{k} \text { surjective } \\ \beta_{0}\left(V_{k}\right) \pm 1 & \text { if } \alpha_{k}=0 \text { with - if } k=i \text { and with }+ \text { if } k=j\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta_{l}(X)=\operatorname{Rank}\left(\mathrm{H}_{l}(X)\right)$ the $l$ 'th Betti number of $X$. It then follows that the number of path components of a level surfaces either remains constant or reduces (resp. increases) by one when passing a critical point of index 1 (resp. $n-1)$. Hence $1 \leq \max _{\tau}\left\{\operatorname{Rank}\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(f^{-1}(\tau)\right)\right)\right\} \leq 1+\# \operatorname{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$.
It follows that in the case of one minimum and one maximum, all $\alpha_{j}$ as above are surjective if all the critical points of index 1 lie below the critical points of index $n-1$, in which case we also have that all $\alpha_{i}$ 's as above are surjective. This is e.g. the case for $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ;\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right) \mapsto \sum c_{i} x_{i}^{2} /|x|^{2}$ where $c_{i}<c_{i+1}$ (see [Mat02]). Moreover, since the above method generalizes to the case of more than one critical point ([Mil65],p.35) we have

### 3.17 Corollary.

If $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a self indexing Morse function with one minimum and one maximum and $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}>2$ then $\beta_{0}\left(f^{-1}(t)\right)=1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now if $\alpha_{j}=0$ for some $\alpha_{j}$ (and critical point $a_{j}$ as above) then it must be the case that there exists a critical point $a_{i}$ with $\lambda_{a_{i}}=1$ such that $f\left(a_{j}\right)<f\left(a_{i}\right)$ i.e. $a_{i}$ lies above $a_{j}$, hence $\mathrm{W}\left(a_{j}, a_{i}\right)=\emptyset$, and $\alpha_{i}=0$. The above is e.g. the case when there exists an elementary cobordism $W_{j}$ as above which is simply connected.

As a passing remark note that we could obtain the conclusion of corollary 3.15 by the above arguments since, in these cases, $\mathbb{Z}$ is replaced by 0 in the above diagrams.

We could now proceed by investigating when (and under what conditions) the inclusions, inducing $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$, are zero or surjective. However, we choose to change the above approach slightly and follow ([Bro72],Ch.IV). Let ( $W_{i}, V_{i}, V_{i}^{\prime}$ ) be the elementary cobordism above. We may describe $V_{i}^{\prime}$ as being the result of doing surgery (of type ( $1, n-1$ )) on $V_{i}$ as follows. Let $E=\mathbb{S}^{0} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$ and $\varphi: E \rightarrow$ $V_{i}$ the characteristic embedding ([Mil65],p.28) i.e. a smooth embedding into the interior of $V_{i}$, then $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $\left(V_{i}-\operatorname{int} \varphi(E)\right) \cup_{\mathbb{S}^{0} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\left(\mathbb{D}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right)$ where int denote the interior (compare ([Mil65],p.31)).
3.18 Remark: A cobordism between $V_{i}$ and $V_{i}^{\prime}$ can be obtained as $W=\left(V_{i} \times\right.$ $[0,1]) \cup_{\mathbb{S}^{0} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-1}}\left(\mathbb{D}^{1} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-1}\right)$ with $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^{0} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$ identified with $(\varphi(x, y), 1)$. Note that $W$ is the result of attaching a 1 -handle to $V_{i} \times[0,1]$ (see appendix 1.3.1, ([Bro72],p.83) and compare ([Mil65],p.31)).

Now let $M_{0}=V_{i}-\operatorname{int} \varphi(E)$ then by ([Bro72],p.98) we have
$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{y \cdot} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(M_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0$
where $z \mapsto y \cdot z$ is the map induced by the intersection product, $y=\psi_{*}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)$, $\psi: E^{\prime}=\mathbb{D}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \rightarrow V_{i}^{\prime}$ the natural (smooth) embedding, $\mu^{\prime}=i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left[\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right]\right), i:$ $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \hookrightarrow E^{\prime}=\mathbb{D}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ the inclusion, and $\left[\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right] \in H_{n-s}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right)$ the fundamental class. Note that if we consider $E^{\prime}$ as a 1-disc bundle over $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ then $\mu^{\prime}=$ $\tau \cap\left[E^{\prime}\right]$ with $\tau=D_{E^{\prime}}\left(i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left[\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right]\right)\right)$ the Thom class and where $D_{E^{\prime}}: \mathrm{H}_{n-2}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\prime}, \partial E^{\prime}\right)$ is the inverse to $\cap\left[E^{\prime}\right]$ the Poincaré map.
We want to replace $\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(M_{0}\right)$ with $\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}\right)$ in the above sequence. This is possible since $\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}, M_{0}\right) \approx \mathrm{H}_{0}(E, \partial E) \approx 0$ where the first isomorphism is excision and the second is by the long exact sequence for $(E, \partial E)$. Hence $\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(M_{0}\right) \approx \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}\right)$ by the long exact sequence for $\left(V_{i}, M_{0}\right)$, so
$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{y \cdot} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0$
is exact and $z \mapsto y \cdot z$ is either zero or surjective. Moreover, arguments similar to the above apply to $\left(W_{j}, V_{j}, V_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and we obtain an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(M_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(V_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{x \cdot} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{j}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(V_{j}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $x=\varphi_{*}(\mu), \varphi: \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{D}^{1} \rightarrow V_{j}$ is the characteristic embedding and $\mu=i_{*}\left(\left[\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right]\right)$ is as above. Hence we obtain the same conclusion as above, that
the number of path components of a level surfaces either remains constant or reduces (resp. increases) by one when passing a critical point of index 1 (resp. $n-1$ ). But we now know a bit more about the maps determining this behavior. We end this appendix with a list of some special cases.

1) If there are no critical points of index $n-1$ below $V_{j}$ then it is connected. So $\mathrm{H}_{n-2}\left(V_{j}\right)$ has no torsion (corollary VI.7.13 in [Bre93]) since all level surfaces are orientable. Hence $x=0$ iff $z \mapsto x \cdot z$ is zero (lemma 4.35 in [Mat02]).
2) Let $\mathbb{S}=\varphi\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right)$ (identifying $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \approx \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times\{*\}$ ) and assume that $\mathbb{S} \subset V_{j}$ bounds $\mathbb{D} \subset V_{j}$ an embedded copy of $\mathbb{D}^{n-1}$. It is clear that there exists a singular $n-2$ simplex $c: \Delta^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ (collapsing $\partial \Delta^{n-2}$ to a point), and a singular $n-1$ simplex $b: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $c=\partial b$. Hence $x=\varphi_{*}\left(\left[\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right]\right)=0$ (using that $i: \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{D}^{1}$ induces an isomorphism in homology).
3) Let $S$ be a closed 1-manifold (i.e. a finite union of circles) embedded in $V_{j}$ intersecting $\mathbb{S}$ transversely in a finite number of points, then $[\mathbb{S}] \cdot[S]=\langle\mathbb{S}\rangle \cdot\langle S\rangle$ where the right hand side is the intersection number (see ([Mat02],p.159)). So if there exists $S$ such that $S \cap \mathbb{S}=\{*\}$ then $z \mapsto x \cdot z$ is surjective.
4) Let the critical points be arranged as in (3.1). If $\lambda_{a_{1}}=n-1$ then $z \mapsto x \cdot z$ is zero since $V_{j} \approx \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
5) Let the critical points be arranged as in (3.1). Let $a_{i}$ be the first critical point of index $n-1$. If there are no critical points of index 2 below $a_{i}$ the map $z \mapsto x \cdot z$ is zero since all level surfaces below $a_{i}$ are simply connected. This follows by a simple computation using the long exact sequences of pairs ( $W_{i}, V_{i}$ ) and ( $\left.W_{i}, V_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.

## Chapter 4

## Path components and the space of broken flow lines

In this chapter we address the question of whether or not compactifying the space of height-parameterized flow lines alters the number of path components of this space.
As always we fix a Morse function $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a closed $n$-manifold M and a Riemannian metric g on M such that the pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale. Through the course of this chapter additional assumptions on $f$ and g will be made. These are as follows: $f$ must be self indexing with only one minimum and one maximum, and g must be compatible with the Morse charts (we refer the reader to the last part of section 3.1 and remark 4.5 for comments on these assumptions).
In section 4.1 we construct a gluing procedure for height-parameterized flow lines. This is a local construction that relies on data contained in the normal bundle $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right)$. In section 4.2 we use the gluing procedure to show that the inclusion of the space of height- parameterized flow lines into the space of broken flow lines induces a surjection on $\pi_{0}$ (the 0 'th homotopy group) i.e. no path component of the space of broken flow lines consists only of broken flow lines.

In section 4.3 we show that adding flow lines which only break once to the space of height-parameterized flow lines does not alter the number of path components
of this space (for a precise statement see lemma 4.11). Moreover, in the case of $\operatorname{dim}(M)=3$ we show that the inclusion of the space of height-parameterized flow lines into the space of broken flow lines induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$, hence compactifying the space of height-parameterized flow lines does not alter the number of path components. In section 4.4 we extend the result of section 4.3 to include flow lines which break at critical points of either index $n-1$ and $n-2$, or index 2 and 1 (for a precise statement see lemma 4.14).

### 4.1 A gluing construction

The aim of this section is to construct a gluing map. This construction will rely on the following observation regarding the normal bundle $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right)$.

### 4.1 Claim.

We have $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau} \times \mathbb{E}_{q}^{u}$. That is, the $\left(\lambda_{p}-1\right)$-dimensional normal bundle of $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ in $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}$ is trivial with fiber the unstable summand $\mathbb{E}_{q}^{u}$ in $T_{q} \mathrm{M}=\mathbb{E}_{q}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{q}^{s}$.

## Proof:

The statement will follow from standard transversality arguments. Let $A$ and $B$ be submanifolds of $M$, we will show that $T_{C} M \approx \nu(C, A) \oplus T C \oplus \nu(C, B)$ whenever $C=A \pitchfork B$ i.e. $A$ and $B$ are transverse. First

$$
C=A \pitchfork B \Leftrightarrow 0 \rightarrow T C \xrightarrow{i} T_{C} A \oplus T_{C} B \xrightarrow{j} T_{C} M \rightarrow 0 \text { is exact }
$$

where $i: z \mapsto(z, z)$ and $j:(x, y) \mapsto x-y$. Now with the identification $T_{C} A \oplus T_{C} B=T C \oplus \nu(C, A) \oplus T C \oplus \nu(C, B): \quad(x, y)=\left(x_{c}, x_{a} ; y_{c}, y_{b}\right)$ we have, for $i$, a splitting map $s:\left(x_{c}, x_{a} ; y_{c}, y_{b}\right) \mapsto x_{c}$ (one could also choose $y_{c}$ instead of $x_{c}$ ). Hence ${ }^{1}$ there exists an idempotent endomorphism $\varphi=i \circ s$ : $\left(x_{c}, x_{a} ; y_{c}, y_{b}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{c}, 0 ; x_{c}, 0\right)$ such that $\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)=\operatorname{im}(1-\varphi), \operatorname{im}(\varphi)=\operatorname{im}(i)$ and $T_{C} A \oplus T_{C} B=\operatorname{im}(\mathbf{1}-\varphi) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\varphi)=\operatorname{ker}(\varphi) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\varphi)$. Since $\operatorname{im}(i)=\operatorname{ker}(j)$ this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{C} A \oplus T_{C} B=\operatorname{ker}(\varphi) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\varphi) \stackrel{j \oplus s}{\approx} T_{C} M \oplus T C \\
& \left(x_{c}, x_{a} ; y_{c}, y_{b}\right)=\left(0, x_{a} ; y_{c}, y_{b}: x_{c}, 0 ; x_{c}, 0\right) \approx\left(x_{a}-y: x_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T_{C} M \approx \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)=\nu(C, A) \oplus T C \oplus \nu(C, B)$ and so $T_{C} M \approx \nu(C, A) \oplus T_{C} B$ which implies $\nu(C, A) \approx_{C} \nu(B, M)$ where $\approx_{C}$ denote isomorphism over $C$.

[^24]Now with $A=\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}, B=\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}$ and $M=\mathrm{M}^{\tau}$ we have $C=\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ and $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \approx_{C} \nu\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{M}^{\tau}\right)$ Moreover, with $A=\mathrm{M}^{\tau}, B=\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ and $M=\mathrm{M}$ we have $C=\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}$ and $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{M}^{\tau}\right) \approx_{C} \nu\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right)$. Since $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(b), \mathrm{M}\right)$ is an $\lambda_{b}$-dimensional vector bundle over $\mathrm{W}^{s}(b)$ which is contractible we have, with $C=\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ and $C^{\prime}=\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) & \approx_{C} \nu\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{M}^{\tau}\right) \\
& \approx_{C^{\prime}} \nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}^{s}(q) \times \nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right)_{x} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(b), \mathrm{M}\right)_{x}$ is the fiber over $x \in \mathrm{~W}^{s}(b)$. Note that (4.1) implies that

$$
\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau} \times \nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right)_{x}
$$

with $x \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}$. Moreover, by theorem 1.1 and since $T_{\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)} \mathrm{M} \approx \nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right) \oplus$ $T \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{q}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{q}^{s}=T_{q} \mathrm{M} \approx \nu_{q}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right) \oplus T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q) \approx \nu_{q}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right) \oplus \mathbb{E}_{q}^{s}
$$

with all isomorphisms in Vect the category of vector spaces. So by identifying each fiber of $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{s}(q), \mathrm{M}\right)$ with $\mathbb{E}_{q}^{u}$ we have $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau} \times \mathbb{E}_{q}^{u}$, proving the claim.
We now begin the construction of a continuous (gluing) map $[0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \bar{M}(p, q)$; $s \mapsto G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)$, with $\eta_{1} \in M(q, a)$ and $\eta_{2} \in M(a, p)$ fixed, such that $G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)$ $\in M(p, q)$ for $s \neq 0$, and $G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; 0\right)=\eta_{1} \# \eta_{2} \in \bar{M}(p, q)$. That is, the gluing map associates to each strictly positive (gluing) parameter a non broken flow line and at zero gives the broken flow line $\eta_{1} \# \eta_{2}$.


Figure 4.1: An illustration of the gluing procedure, where - denote the critical points $q, a$ and $p$ listed from below, - denote orbits, and the dotted arrow indicate the increase in $s \in[0, \varepsilon]$.

Let $\nu^{S}\left(\mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right)$ denote the unit sphere bundle in $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right)$ that is $\nu^{S}\left(\mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{u}$. Now for $m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ let $\exp _{m}$ : $\bar{T}_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}$ denote the exponential map at $m$, where $\bar{T}_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} \subseteq$ $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}$ denotes the domain of $\exp _{m}$, with equality if there are no critical points between $p$ and $q$.
For $\varepsilon=\iota(m)>0$, where $\iota(m)$ denote the injectivity radius ([Kli95],p.131) wrt. $\exp _{m}$, and $I=[0, \varepsilon]$, we then define a continuous map $\operatorname{Exp}_{m}$ by the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{m}^{S}\left(\mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \times I \rightarrow \nu_{m}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_{m} \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} \xrightarrow{\exp _{m}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} \\
& (m ; y, s) \mapsto(m ; s y) \mapsto \exp _{m}(s y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $s \neq 0$ the map $\operatorname{Exp}_{m}$ defines a diffeomorphism onto $\operatorname{im}\left(\exp _{m}\right)-$ $\{m\}$ and the curve $I \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} ; s \mapsto \operatorname{Exp}_{m}(x, s)$ is a geodesic.
Let $\left(U_{q}, \psi\right)$ be a Morse chart around $q$ and assume that the Riemannian metric g is compatible with $\left(U_{q}, \psi\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{im}(\psi)=\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}_{q}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{q}^{s}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi_{t} \circ \psi^{-1}(x, y)=$ $\left(x e^{2 t}, y e^{-2 t}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{E}^{\tau}=\mathbb{E} \cap \psi\left(f^{-1}(\tau)\right)$ and choose $\tau$ and $\kappa$ such that $\left(\mathbb{E}_{q}^{s}\right)^{\tau}=$ $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{s}$ and $\left(\mathbb{E}_{q}^{u}\right)^{\kappa}=\mathbb{S}_{q}^{u}$. By direct calculations it is then easily verified that the involution

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: \mathbb{E}^{\tau}-\left(\mathbb{S}_{q}^{s}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{E}^{\kappa}-\left(\mathbb{S}_{q}^{u}\right) ;(x, y) \mapsto(|y| x /|x|,|x| y /|y|) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the property that $(x, y)$ and $F(x, y)$ lie on the same local flow line.
Fix $\left(m^{0} ; x^{0}\right)=\left(m^{0} ; x_{u}^{0}, 0\right) \in \nu^{S}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q)^{\tau}, \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right)$, let $\psi\left(m^{0}\right)=y^{0}=\left(0, y_{s}^{0}\right)$ and note that $\left|y^{0}\right|=1$ since $\psi\left(\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_{q}^{s}$. With $\left.\left.I^{\prime}=\right] 0, \varepsilon\right]$ we then define the following local curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\tau} ; s \mapsto \psi\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, s\right)\right)=(x(s), y(s)) \\
I^{\prime} & \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\kappa} ; s \mapsto(u(s), v(s))
\end{aligned} \quad=F\left(\psi\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, s\right)\right)\right) .
$$

Observe that

$$
(\dot{x}(0), \dot{y}(0))=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{s=0} ^{\psi\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, s\right)\right)=x^{0}=\left(x_{u}^{0}, 0\right), ~}
$$

and for $s \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x(s), y(s)) & \rightarrow(x(0), y(0))=\left(0, y_{s}^{0}\right) \\
v(s) & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad|y(s)| \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $u_{1}(s)=x(s) /|x(s)| \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{u}^{0} \in \mathbb{S}_{q}^{u}$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ since $u_{1}(s) \in \mathbb{S}_{q}^{u}$ for all $s \in I$, hence

$$
(u(s), v(s)) \rightarrow \mathrm{x}^{0}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{u}^{0}, 0\right) \quad \text { for } \quad s \rightarrow 0
$$

and so we extend $s \mapsto(u(s), v(s))$ to a continuous map on $I$.

### 4.2 Claim.

With notation as above we have $\mathrm{x}^{0}=x^{0}$.

## Proof:

We just calculate the right-hand derivative

$$
\dot{x}^{+}(0) /\left|\dot{x}^{+}(0)\right|=\frac{\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} x(s) / s}{\left|\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} x(s) / s\right|}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{x(s) / s}{|x(s) / s|}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} x(s) /|x(s)|=\mathrm{x}_{u}^{0}
$$

But the right and left-hand derivative are the same since $s \mapsto x(s)$ is smooth (meaning, by definition, that this map extends to a smooth map on $]-\delta, \varepsilon+\delta[$ for some $\delta>0$ ). Hence $\mathbf{x}_{u}^{0}=\dot{x}(0) /|\dot{x}(0)|=x_{u}^{0} /\left|x_{u}^{0}\right|=x_{u}^{0}$, proving the claim.
We can now prove our version of the gluing lemma, we refer to figure 4.2 for an illustration of the proof.

### 4.3 Lemma.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) such that $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale and g is compatible with the Morse charts. Given $p, a, q \in$ Crit $(f)$ with $\lambda_{p}>\lambda_{a}>\lambda_{q}=0$, and let $\eta_{1} \in M(q, a)$ and $\eta_{2} \in M(a, p)$. For $\varepsilon>0$ small there exists a continuous map $[0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p) ; s \mapsto G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)=\eta_{1} \#{ }_{s} \eta_{2}$ such that $\eta_{1} \#{ }_{0} \eta_{2}=\eta_{1} \# \eta_{2}$ and $\eta_{1} \#{ }_{s} \eta_{2} \in M(q, p)$ for $s>0$.

Proof:
With notation as above let $\eta_{2}(\tau)=m^{0} \in \mathbf{W}(p, a)^{\tau}, \eta_{1}(\kappa)=m^{1} \in \mathrm{~W}(a, q)^{\kappa}$, $\psi\left(m^{1}\right)=x^{0}$ and $\eta:[f(q), f(p)] \times \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be the continuous "flow" map defined below claim 2.5.

By claim (4.2) we have $\psi^{-1}(u(0), v(0))=m^{1} \in \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)^{\kappa}$. Now $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\kappa}$ is open in $\mathrm{M}^{\kappa}$ because $\lambda_{q}=0$, hence $\operatorname{im}\left(\psi^{-1}(u(s), v(s))\right) \subset \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\kappa}$ for all $s \in[0, \varepsilon]$ where $\varepsilon$ is chosen smaller that $\iota\left(m^{0}\right)$ if necessary. From the above we then conclude that

1) $\psi^{-1}\left(F\left(\psi\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, t\right)\right)\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, t\right)$ belong to the same flow line for each $t \in I^{\prime}$
2) For $t \in I^{\prime}$, both $\psi^{-1}\left(F\left(\psi\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, t\right)\right)\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, t\right)$ belong to $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$.
3) $\psi^{-1}\left(F\left(\psi\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, 0\right)\right)\right)\right)=m^{1} \in \mathrm{~W}(a, q)$ and $\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, 0\right)=m^{0} \in$ $\mathrm{W}(p, a)$.

Now let $\eta:[f(q), f(p)] \times \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be the continuous "flow" map defined below claim 2.5. Then by (1) and (2) above we have a well defined map
$] 0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow M(q, p) ; s \mapsto G(s)=G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)= \begin{cases}t \mapsto \eta_{g\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{m 0}\left(x^{0}, s\right)\right)}(t) & t \in J(q, a) \\ t \mapsto \eta_{\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, s\right)}(t) & t \in J(a, p)\end{cases}$
with $J(q, a)=[f(q), f(a)], J(a, p)=[f(a), f(p)]$ and $g=\psi^{-1} \circ F \circ \psi$. Moreover, it is clear that $G$ is continuous and by (3) above that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} G(s)=\eta_{m^{1}} \# \eta_{m^{0}}=$ $\eta_{1} \# \eta_{2}$. Hence we may extend $G$ to a continuous map $[0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ which is the required map.


Figure 4.2: The gluing construction of lemma 4.3.
Note that the gluing map $G$ is a continuous curve with no self intersections, hence injective. Moreover, since $[0, \varepsilon]$ is compact and $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is Hausdorff we have the following.

### 4.4 Corollary.

The gluing map is an embedding.
4.5 Remark: Note that we can not talk about $G$ as a $C^{r}(r>0)$ map since $\bar{M}(q, p)$ does not carry any $C^{r}$ structure. ${ }^{2}$ However if we restrict $G$ to $\left.] 0, \varepsilon\right]$ then $\operatorname{im}(G) \subset M(q, p)$, and so using the evaluation map $e_{\tau}$ say to identify $M(q, p)$ with $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ (according to claim 2.9) we see that $G(s)=\operatorname{Exp}_{m^{0}}\left(x^{0}, s\right)$. Hence $G \mid] 0, \varepsilon]$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image in this case.
Moreover, the compatibility condition on g in lemma 4.3 is not severe, since any metric can be modified to be compatible with the Morse charts (see page 7).
Finally, the gluing map $G$ above should be compared to the gluing constructions mentioned at the beginning of appendix 2.5.1.

### 4.1.1 Extension of the gluing map

In this subsection we show how one may extend the gluing map $G$ from lemma 4.3 to a (continuous) map $M(q, a) \times D \rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$, where $D \subseteq M(a, p) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty[$. This result is not used elsewhere.
Let $\bar{T} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} \subset T \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}$ be the domain of exp, and consider the homeomorphism

$$
M(q, a) \times M(a, p) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{W}(p, a)^{\tau} \times \mathrm{W}(a, q)^{\kappa} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{W}(p, a)^{\tau} \times \mathbb{S}_{a, q}^{u}, \quad \mathbb{S}_{a, q}^{u} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{a}^{u}
$$

where the first map is $\left(e_{\tau} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{2}, e_{\kappa} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{1}\right)$ and the second map is $\mathbf{1} \times \psi$ with $\psi\left(\mathrm{W}(a, q)^{\kappa}\right)=\mathbb{S}_{a, q}^{u}$. Now with $D=\left\{(\eta, s) \in M(a, p) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \mid s \in\left[0, \iota\left(e_{\tau}(\eta)\right)\right]\right\}^{3}$ the following composition is well defined

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Exp}: M(q, a) \times D \rightarrow \nu^{S}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, a)^{\tau}, \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \\
&\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, s\right) \mapsto\left(e^{u} \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau} \xrightarrow{\exp } \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\mapsto \operatorname{lixp}_{e_{\tau}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}\right), \psi\left(e_{\kappa}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right), s\right) \mapsto\left(e_{\kappa}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right) s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the identification $\nu^{S}\left(\mathrm{~W}(p, a)^{\tau}, \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\tau}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}(p, a)^{\tau} \times \mathbb{S}_{a}^{u}$ of claim 4.1. It is clear that Exp is continuous. Moreover, by replacing the constants $x^{0}=\psi\left(\eta_{1}(\kappa)\right)$ and $m^{0}=\eta_{2}(\tau)$ in the above construction of $G$, with

[^25]the continuous functions $\eta_{1} \mapsto x^{0}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\psi \circ e_{\kappa}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ and $\eta_{2} \mapsto m^{0}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=e_{\tau}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ respectively, one sees that the map
\[

M(q, a) \times D \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}(q, p) ;\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right) \mapsto $$
\begin{cases}t \mapsto \eta_{g\left(\operatorname{Exp}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)\right)}(t) & t \in[f(q), f(a)] \\ t \mapsto \eta_{\operatorname{Exp}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)}(t) & t \in[f(a), f(p)]\end{cases}
$$
\]

with $g=\psi^{-1} \circ F \circ \psi$, is well defined and continuous (after extension to $s=0$, as above). By abuse of notation we denote this map by $G$ and write $G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)=$ $\eta_{1} \#_{s} \eta_{2}$. As above we have that $G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; 0\right)$ is the height-parameterized flow line $\eta_{e_{\kappa}\left(\eta_{1}\right)} \# \eta_{e_{\tau}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}=\eta_{1} \# \eta_{2}$, and for $s \neq 0$ that $G\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)$ is the heightparameterized flow line through $\operatorname{Exp}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right) \in \mathbf{W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ (or equivalently, through $\left.g\left(\operatorname{Exp}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} ; s\right)\right) \in \mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\kappa}\right)$
As a passing remark we note that if the injectivity radius $\iota\left(\mathrm{W}(p, a)^{\tau}\right)$ is positive and $s \neq 0$ then $G$ is a homeomorphism onto its image.

### 4.2 Surjectivity of $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ on $\pi_{0}$

In this section we show, by means of the gluing map, how one may continuously deform any broken flow line into a height-parametrized flow line. As a corollary of this construction we show that the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a surjection on $\pi_{0}$ (the 0 'th homotopy group), hence we obtain an upper bound for the number of path components of $\bar{M}(q, p)$.

### 4.6 Theorem.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a Riemannian manifold $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g})$ such that $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale and g is compatible with the Morse charts. If $\beta=$ $\eta_{1} \# \cdots \# \eta_{k} \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ with $\lambda_{q}=0$ and $\lambda_{p}=n$, then there exists $\eta \in M(q, p)$, an $\varepsilon>0$ and a path $p \in C(([0, \varepsilon], 0, \varepsilon),(\bar{M}(q, p), \beta, \eta))$. Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{b}(s)$ denotes the (strict) chain connected to $p(s)$ then $s \mapsto l(\boldsymbol{b}(s))$ is a decreasing function from $k$ to 1 .

Proof:
Let $\boldsymbol{b}(0)=\left\{q=b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_{k}=p\right\}$ denote the chain connected to $\beta$, and $p_{1}:\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}[\rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)\right.$ be the path defined as the composition of the continuous maps $\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}\left[\rightarrow \bar{M}\left(q, b_{2}\right) ; s \mapsto \eta_{1} \#{ }_{s} \eta_{2}\right.\right.$, and $\bar{M}\left(q, b_{2}\right) \rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p) ; \alpha \mapsto$ $\alpha \# \eta_{3} \# \cdots \# \eta_{k}$ the partial map of the concatenation map $\bar{M}\left(q, b_{2}\right) \times \bar{M}\left(b_{2}, p\right) \rightarrow$ $\bar{M}(q, p) ;(\alpha, \nu) \mapsto \alpha \# \nu$. Hence $p_{1}$ is continuous, $p_{1}(s)=\eta_{1} \#{ }_{s} \eta_{2} \# \eta_{3} \# \cdots \# \eta_{k}$ and $p_{1}(0)=\beta$.

Now fix $\left.s_{1} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{1}\left[\right.$ and let $\boldsymbol{b}\left(s_{1}\right)=\left\{q=b_{0}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_{k}=p\right\}$ denote the chain connected to $p_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)$. Proceeding as above we obtain a continuous path $p_{2}:\left[0, \varepsilon_{2}\left[\rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p) ; s \mapsto \eta_{1} \#_{s_{1}} \eta_{2} \# s \eta_{3} \# \cdots \# \eta_{k}\right.\right.$ with $p_{2}(0)=p_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)$.

If we proceed $k-1$ times we obtain, for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, continuous paths $p_{i}:\left[0, \varepsilon_{i}\left[\rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)\right.\right.$ such that $p_{i+1}(0)=p_{i}\left(s_{i}\right), p_{1}(0)=\beta$ and $p_{k-1}(s) \in$ $M(q, p)$ for $s>0$. Let $\varepsilon=\min \left\{s_{i}\right\}$, we may then assume that $\operatorname{dom}\left(p_{i}\right)=[0, \varepsilon]$ for all $i$. Moreover, by replacing each $s_{i}$ by $\varepsilon$ in the above we may define $p:[0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ by $p=p_{1} \# p_{2} \# \cdots \# p_{k-1}$ i.e.
$p(s)=p_{i}((k-1) s-(i-1) \varepsilon)=\eta_{1} \#_{\varepsilon} \eta_{2} \# \varepsilon \cdots \#_{\varepsilon} \eta_{i} \#(k-1) s-(i-1) \varepsilon \eta_{i+1} \# \cdots \# \eta_{k}$ on $[(i-1) \varepsilon /(k-1)$, $i \varepsilon /(k-1)]$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$. Moreover, by the above construction $l(\boldsymbol{b}(s))$ is $k$ for $s=0$ and $k-i$ on $](i-1) \varepsilon /(k-1), i \varepsilon /(k-1)]$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, hence proving the theorem.
We make the following observation regarding the construction of the path $p$. Let notation be as above and consider (as always w.r.t. the uniform metric $d_{\infty}$ ) the open $r$-ball $\mathrm{B}_{r}(\beta)$ in $\bar{M}(q, p)$ centered at $\beta$. Since the function $s \mapsto d_{\infty}(\beta, p(s))$ is continuous (mapping 0 to 0 ) we see from the above construction of $p$ that, given $r>0$ we may assume that $p(s) \in \mathrm{B}_{r}(\beta)$ for all $s \in[0, \varepsilon]$ by choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small.

Let $\tau \in] f(q), f(p)[$ and $\# S$ denote the number of path components of the space $S$. We then note that $\# \mathrm{~W}(p, q)=\# \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}=\# M(q, p)$ since $\mathrm{W}(p, q) \simeq$ $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau} \approx M(q, p)$ (see above diagram (2.2)) so their homology agrees. In particular $\# \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ does not depend on $\tau$. Moreover, by theorem 4.6 above, we may connect any broken flow line to a non broken flow line by a continuous path. Hence we conclude that there are no path component of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ consisting only of broken flow lines.
Now let $W \subset \mathrm{~W}(p, q)^{\tau}$ denote a path component and $x, y \in W$, hence there exists a path $\rho \in C(([0,1], 0,1),(W, x, y))$. Let $\eta:[f(q), f(p)] \times \mathrm{W}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be the continuous "flow" map defined below claim 2.5, then $[0,1] \rightarrow M(q, p) \subset$ $\bar{M}(q, p) ; s \mapsto \eta_{\rho(s)}$ defines a continuous map. Hence each path component of $M(q, p)$ is contained in a path component of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ i.e.

### 4.7 Corollary.

The inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a surjection on $\pi_{0}$. So $\# \bar{M}(q, p) \leq$ $\# M(q, p)$ in particular.
4.8 Remark: Note that in theory it could be that \#M( $q, p)$ is infinite. However we believe that this is not the case. Moreover, if $\operatorname{dim}(M)=2($ or $=3)$ then
$\# M(q, p)$ is finite by the proof of the Morse homology theorem (resp. by (5.3) of chapter 5).

The obvious question is now whether or not path components of $M(q, p)$ remain disjoint when $M(q, p)$ is included in $\bar{M}(q, p)$ i.e. can it happen that two (or more) path components of $M(q, p)$ are merged under the inclusion, by means of broken flow lines, to form only one path component in $\bar{M}(q, p)$. In the next two sections we give a partial answer to this question.

### 4.3 On the injectivity of $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ on $\pi_{0}$

In this section we show that the number of path components remains constant when we add to $M(q, p)$ the set of broken flow lines which only break once (for a precise statement see lemma 4.11). Moreover, in the three dimensional case $(\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3)$ we show that the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$, hence $\# \bar{M}(q, p)=\# M(q, p)$ in this case. The results/techniques of this section are also used in section 4.4.

We assume that $f$ is self indexing with one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$. Throughout let $I=[0,1], J=[f(q), f(p)], b$ denote a critical point of index $n-1$, and $\rho \in C\left((I, 0,1),\left(\bar{M}(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \in M(q, p)$. Finally, for fixed $\tau \in J$ we let $p_{\tau}: I \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\tau}$ denote the map $s \mapsto p_{\tau}(s)=\rho(s)(\tau)$ and call this the induced level $\tau$-map.
Let W denote one of the (un)stable manifolds. Since W is contractible (being diffeomorphic to an open disk) we conclude that any vector bundle over W is trivial (see ([Hus75],p.29) or ([Hir94],p.97)) and orientable (see ([Hir94],p.104)). As in section 4.1 let $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(b), \mathrm{M}\right) \approx \mathrm{W}^{u}(b) \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the orientable one dimensional normal bundle of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ in M , and $T_{b} \subset \nu\left(\mathrm{~W}^{u}(b), \mathrm{M}\right)$ a normal tubular neighborhood of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ which we (as usual) identify with an open neighborhood of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ in M .
Since $\nu\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(b), \mathrm{M}\right)$ is orientable we say that $(x, u) \in T_{b}$ is on the positive side of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ if $u>0$, i.e. the normal coordinate is strictly positive.
Fix $\tau \in J$ and let $\left.I^{\prime}=\right] s_{1}, s_{2}[$ be an open connected interval such that $p(s)=$ $p_{\tau}(s) \in T_{b}$ for all $s \in I^{\prime}$ and some $b$. We assume that $I^{\prime}$ is maximal (wrt. $p$ ) in the sense that there exists no other open connected interval $I^{\prime \prime}$ such that $I^{\prime} \subset I^{\prime \prime}$ and $p(s) \in T_{b}$ for all $s \in I^{\prime \prime}$. On $I^{\prime}$ we write $p(s)=(x(s), u(s))$.


Figure 4.3: The image of $s \mapsto p(s)$ on a neighborhood of $I^{\prime}$.

Now assume that $p$ crosses $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ i.e. there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ and a closed interval $I^{\prime \prime}=\left[s_{1}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right] \subset I^{\prime}$ such that $u=0$ on $I^{\prime \prime}, u>0$ on $] s_{1}^{\prime}-\varepsilon, s_{1}^{\prime}[$ and $u<0$ on $] s_{2}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}+\varepsilon\left[\right.$. Note then that $\rho(s, t)=\rho(s)(t) \in \mathbf{W}^{u}(b) \subset T_{b}$ for $s \in I^{\prime \prime}$ and $t \in$ $[\tau, f(b)]$, since $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ is flow invariant. Now fix $\tau^{\prime}=f(b)+\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\rho(s, t) \in T_{b}$ for $t \in J^{\prime}=\left[\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right], s \in I^{\prime \prime}$ and write $\rho(s, t)=(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ for $t \in J^{\prime}$ and $s \in I^{\prime \prime}$. Then clearly $u=0$ on $I^{\prime \prime} \times[\tau, f(b)]$ and $u$ is either strictly positive or strictly negative on $\left.\left.I^{\prime \prime} \times\right] f(b), \tau^{\prime}\right]$, say strictly positive. ${ }^{4}$ Since $\rho$ is continuous $d_{\infty}\left(\rho\left(s^{\prime}\right), \rho(s)\right)<\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $s$ close to $s^{\prime}$, hence $\rho(s, t) \in T_{b}$ for $t \in J^{\prime}$ and $s$ close to $s^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime}$. In particular this implies that for fixed $\left.\left.t^{\prime} \in\right] f(b), \tau^{\prime}\right]$ the normal coordinate $u\left(s^{\prime}, t\right)$ is strictly positive for all $s$ close to $s_{2}^{\prime}$. But by our assumption $u(s, \tau)=u(s)<0$ for all $s$ close to $s_{2}^{\prime}$ with $s>s_{2}^{\prime}$, hence $u\left(s^{\prime}, t\right)<0$ for all $s$ close to $s_{2}^{\prime}$ with $s>s_{2}^{\prime}$, since flow lines $t \mapsto \rho(s)(t)$ do not cross $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$. This is a contradiction and so we conclude that; $p$ can not cross $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$. The above argument also shows that: If $u(s)=0$ for some $s \in I^{\prime}$ and $u(s, t)>0$ (resp. $u(s, t)<0)$ for $\left.t \in] f(b), \tau^{\prime}\right]$ then $u \geq 0$ (resp. $u \leq 0$ ) on $I^{\prime}$. And if $u \neq 0$ on $I^{\prime}$ then either $u>0$ or $u<0$ on $I^{\prime}$. Finally note that the above applies equally well in the case where $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ is replaced by $\mathrm{W}^{s}(a)$ with $\lambda_{a}=1$.

### 4.9 Lemma.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self indexing Morse-Smale function with only one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$, and let $B^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denote the subspace of all flow lines $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ for which $\boldsymbol{b}(\beta)=\{q, c, p\}$ and $\lambda_{c}$ is either $n-1$ or 1 . Then the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1}\right)$ induces an injection on $\pi_{0}$. Moreover, the inclusion induces a bijection if the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts.

The assumption on $\lambda_{c}$ may be removed if one adds the condition that the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts, see lemma 4.11.

[^26]
## Proof:

The idea of the proof is as follows. Assume that $\boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s)) \subset \operatorname{Crit}_{n-1}(f) \cup\{p, q\}$ for any $s \in I$. We will show that it is possible to perturb the level $\tau$-map $(\tau \in] 0,1[)$ to a continuous map whose trajectory is contained in $M(q, p)$. The (right) perturbation relies on the following observation concerning $T_{b}$.
For each $x \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b)$ there exists an open neighborhood $U_{x}$ in M of $x$ such that $U_{x} \cap\left(\cup_{b^{\prime} \neq b} \mathbf{W}^{u}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right)=\emptyset$, since $\mathbf{W}^{u}(b) \not \subset \cup_{b^{\prime} \neq b} \partial \mathbf{W}^{u}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover the subspace $\cup_{b} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b) \cup \mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \subset \mathrm{M}$ is open since it is the complement of the closed set $\overline{\cup_{c: \lambda_{c}<n-1} \mathbf{W}^{u}(c)}=\cup_{c: \lambda_{c}<n-1} \overline{W^{u}(c)}$. We choose each $U_{x}$ such that $U_{x} \subset \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b) \cup$ $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$, and if necessary we shrink $T_{b}$ such that $T_{b} \subseteq \cup_{x} U_{x}$. Hence $T_{b} \cap T_{b^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ iff $b \neq b^{\prime}$ and $m \in T_{b}$ implies that $m \in \mathbf{W}^{u}(b) \cup \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$.
Let $T=\cup_{b} T_{b}$, fix $\left.\tau \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$, let $p=p_{\tau}$ and note that $\mathrm{M}^{\tau}=\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\tau}$ since $f$ is self indexing with one minimum $q$. Now $O=\{s \in I \mid p(s) \in T\}$ is open hence $O=\cup I_{i}$ where each $I_{i}$ is an open connected interval. We assume that each $I_{i}$ is maximal wrt. $p$ and write $p(s)=(x(s), u(s))$ on each $I_{i}$.
Let $C=\left\{s \in I \mid p(s) \in \cup_{b} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b)\right\}$ which is closed (therefore compact), since $\boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s)) \subset \operatorname{Crit}_{n-1}(f) \cup\{p, q\}$ for any $s \in I$. Hence we may assume that $O$ is a finite union since it covers $C$.
Fix some $\left.I_{i}=\right] s_{1}, s_{2}\left[\right.$ and let $I^{\prime}=I_{i} \cap C$ (which is closed but not necessarily connected) i.e. $u \mid I^{\prime}=0$. From the above we then have that the normal coordinate $u$ of $p$ is either $>0$ or $<0$ in a neighborhood of both $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, say $>0$. Let $s_{1}^{\prime}$ and $s_{2}^{\prime}$ denote the endpoints $\left(s_{1}^{\prime} \leq s_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ of $I^{\prime}$ and choose $\varepsilon>0$ small. Let $s^{1}<s_{1}^{\prime}$ and $s^{2}>s_{2}^{\prime}$ be such that $u\left(s^{i}\right)=\varepsilon$ for $i=1,2$ and replace the curve segment $p\left(\left[s^{1}, s^{2}\right]\right)$ by (the image of) the curve $c:\left[s^{1}, s^{2}\right] \rightarrow T, s \mapsto(x(s), \varepsilon)$.
To be more precise regarding the choices of $\varepsilon$ : For each $s \in I^{\prime}$ the exists an $\varepsilon_{s}>0$ such that $\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon_{s}}((x(s), 0)) \subset T \cap \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)$. Now the union of such balls is an open cover of the compact subspace ( $x\left(I^{\prime}\right), 0$ ), hence letting $\varepsilon=\min _{s}\left\{\varepsilon_{s}\right\}$ we conclude that $\varepsilon>0$ and $\operatorname{im}(c) \subset T$. Note that by construction of $T_{b}$ (hence of $T$ ) we have in fact that $\operatorname{im}(c) \subset \mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \cap \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$.
We now proceed as above for each $I_{i}$. So in conclusion we have constructed a continuous curve $p^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ from $\eta(\tau)$ to $\eta^{\prime}(\tau)$ which avoids $\cup_{c \neq p} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(c)$ i.e $\operatorname{im}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathrm{W}(p, q)$. Hence if we define $\rho^{\prime}: I \rightarrow M(q, p) ; t \rightarrow \eta_{p^{\prime}(t)}$ then $\rho^{\prime} \in C\left((I, 0,1),\left(M(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ so $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are in the same path component of $\bar{M}(q, p)$. This proves the first part of the lemma, and the second part follows from corollary 4.7.

As a consequence of the above lemma we have the following result which will be used in chapter 5 .

### 4.10 Proposition.

Let $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3$, and $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self indexing Morse-Smale function with only one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$. Then the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow$ $\bar{M}(q, p)$ induces an injection on $\pi_{0}$. Moreover, the inclusion induces a bijection if the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts, so $\# \bar{M}(q, p)=$ $\# M(q, p)$.

The second half of the above proposition is an immediate consequence of lemma 4.14 in section 4.4.

Proof:
Let $\tau \in] 1,2[$, and $p(s)=\rho(s)(\tau)$. The idea of the proof is to perturb $p$ such that it induces a continuous curve with values in $M(q, p) \cup B^{1}$, where $B^{1}$ is as in lemma 4.9.

First we make the follow observation. If $\rho(s)=\rho\left(s^{\prime}\right)=\beta$ for $s<s^{\prime}$ then we redefine $\rho$ on $\left[s, s^{\prime}\right]$ to be the constant map $s \mapsto \beta$. Applying this construction for every intersection we obtain a loop free path, and by corollary 3.11 in [RF06] we may reparameterize to obtain an embedding of $I$ in $\bar{M}(q, p)$. It is then clear that we may assume wlog. that $\rho$ is injective.
Now let $s^{\prime}$ be such that $\boldsymbol{b}\left(\rho\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)=\{q, a, b, p\}$, with $\lambda_{a}=1$ and $\lambda_{b}=2$. Then $p\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{W}(b, a)^{\tau}$ and by transversality (see ([Kos93],p.62)) there is a chart $U \subset$ $\mathrm{M}^{\tau}$ around $p\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ such that $U \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $U \cap \mathrm{~W}^{u}(a)^{\tau} \approx \mathbb{R} \times\{0\}$ and $U \cap$ $\mathrm{W}^{s}(a)^{\tau} \approx\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$. Note that we may choose $U$ such that the only (un)stable manifolds (besides $\mathrm{W}(p, q)$ of course) which intersects $U$ non trivially is $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(a)$.
By the above there exists an interval $I^{\prime}$ around $s^{\prime}$ such that $p(s)=(u(s), v(s))$ is in only one of the four quadrants, say the second quadrant i.e. $u(s) \leq 0$ and $v(s) \geq 0$ (see figure 4.4 below). Note that $0=(u(s), v(s))$ only at $s^{\prime}$ since $\rho$ is injective (in fact $s^{\prime}$ is the only parameter with the above properties). Choose $s_{1}<s^{\prime}<s_{2}$ and let $x_{1}=p\left(s_{1}\right)$ and $x_{2}=p\left(s_{2}\right)$. Now redefine $p$ as follows; let $l:\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\top}$ be the linear map from $x_{1}$ to $x_{2}$ i.e. $s \mapsto$ $\left.x_{2}\left(s_{1}-s\right) /\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)+x_{1}\left(s-s_{2}\right) /\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right)$, and redefine $p$ as $p=l$ on $\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$ and otherwise unchanged.


Figure 4.4: The image of $s \mapsto p(s)$ in $U$ near a point in the transverse intersection $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(a) \pitchfork f^{-1}(\tau)$.
It is clear that $p$ is continuous and we claim that $p$ induces a curve in $\bar{M}(q, p)$. This is clearly true if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)$, hence assume that $x_{1} \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b)$, say (otherwise $x_{1} \in \mathbf{W}^{s}(a)$ by the choice of $\left.U\right)$. Now $\rho\left(s_{1}\right)=\beta \# \beta_{+}$where $\beta \in M(q, b)$ with $\beta(\tau)=x_{1}$, and $\beta_{+}$is one of the two elements of $M(b, p)=\left\{\beta_{+}, \beta_{-}\right\}$. Note that $\beta_{+}$is determined uniquely by which side of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ the point $x_{2}$ is on. We show $\lim _{s \rightarrow s_{1}} \eta_{p(s)}=\rho\left(s_{1}\right)$ in $\bar{M}(q, p)$. It is obvious that the limit exists (call it $\left.\beta^{\prime}\right)$ and that $\beta^{\prime}=\beta \# \beta_{ \pm}$, where $\beta_{ \pm}$denotes either $\beta_{+}$or $\beta_{-}$. But $\eta_{p(s)}(\tau)$ and $x_{2}$ are on the same side of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$, hence by the above $\beta_{ \pm}=\beta_{+}$. If necessary we apply similar arguments at $x_{2}$ to obtain a curve $\rho^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ defined by $\rho^{\prime}(s)=\eta_{p(s)}$ on $\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$ and $\rho^{\prime}=\rho$ otherwise.
Note that there are at most $4 \sum_{(b, a)} \# \mathrm{M}(b, a)$ such $s^{\prime}$, hence we proceed as above for each such parameter to obtain a curve, also denoted $\rho^{\prime}$. Now $l\left(\boldsymbol{b}\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)\right) \leq 2$ by construction hence we may apply (the proof of) lemma 4.3 to conclude that $C\left((I, 0,1),\left(M(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. So the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces an injection on $\pi_{0}$, and by corollary 4.7 it is a bijection if the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts.
We now return to the general case of injectivity, and show that the assumption from lemma 4.9 on the index may be removed, if the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts.
As above let $\rho \in C\left((I, 0,1),\left(\bar{M}(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and assume that $\# \boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s)) \leq 2$. Now fix $c \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)-\{q, p\}$ for which $c \in \boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s))$ for some $s \in I$. Let $U_{c} \subset \mathrm{M}$ be a Morse chart at $c$ and choose $\tau, \kappa$ such that $\mathrm{W}^{u}(c)^{\kappa} \cap U_{c}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(c)^{\tau} \cap U_{c}$ both are non empty. The set $I_{c}=\left\{s \in I \mid \rho(s)(\kappa) \in \mathrm{W}^{u}(c)\right\}$ is clearly closed (hence compact) and $O_{c}=\left\{s \in I \mid \rho(s)(\kappa) \in U_{c}\right\}$ is an open cover of $I_{c}$, so we may assume that $O_{c}=\cup I_{i}$ for some finite union of open connected intervals.
In the sequel we make a purely local construction, hence we identify $U_{c}$ with $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s}$. Moreover, we let $c=0, f(0)=0, \tau=1$ and $\kappa=-1$. As in the construction of the gluing map we have the level surfaces $\mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\kappa}$, on which
we use the following coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\tau}: & \mathbb{E}^{u} \times \mathbb{S}^{s} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{E}^{\tau} \\
& (x, v) \mapsto\left(x, v \sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}\right), \quad \psi_{\tau}^{-1}:(x, y) \mapsto\left(x, \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}}\right)=\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\kappa}: & \mathbb{S}^{u} \times \mathbb{E}^{s} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{E}^{\kappa} \\
& (u, y) \mapsto\left(u \sqrt{1+|y|^{2}}, y\right), \quad \psi_{\kappa}^{-1}:(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+|y|^{2}}}, y\right)=\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the "flow map" $F$ (defined by (4.2)) in these coordinates is $\mathbb{E}^{\tau}-\mathbb{S}^{s} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{E}^{\kappa}-\mathbb{S}^{u} ;(x, v) \mapsto(x /|x|,|x| v)$, or in polar coordinates $F:(r u, v) \mapsto$ $(u, r v)$. Note that we need to assume here that the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts.
Now fix some $I^{\prime}=I_{i}$ from above and write $\rho(s)(\tau)=(x(s), v(s))$ and $\rho(s)(\kappa)=$ $(u(s), y(s))$ on $I^{\prime}$. Note that $I_{c}=\left\{s \in I \mid \rho(s)(\tau) \in \mathbf{W}^{s}(c)\right\}$, hence $x(s)=$ $0=y(s)$ only on the closed set $I_{c}^{\prime}=I^{\prime} \cap I_{c}$. So on $I^{\prime}-I_{c}^{\prime}$ we may use polar coordinates $x(s)=r_{\tau}(s) u_{\tau}(s)$ and $y(s)=r_{\kappa}(s) v_{\kappa}(s)$. But $\left(u(s), r_{\kappa}(s) v_{\kappa}(s)\right)=$ $(u(s), y(s))=F(x(s), v(s))=F\left(r_{\tau}(s) u_{\tau}(s), v(s)\right)=\left(u_{\tau}(s), r_{\tau}(s) v(s)\right)$, hence $u(s)=u_{\tau}(s), v(s)=v_{\kappa}(s)$ and $r_{\tau}(s)=r_{\kappa}(s)$ on $I^{\prime}-I_{c}^{\prime}$. It follows that we may write $\rho(s)(\tau)=(r(s) u(s), v(s))$ and $\rho(s)(\kappa)=(u(s), r(s) v(s))$ on $I^{\prime}$, where $r$ is defined as $r_{\tau}=r_{\kappa}$ on $I^{\prime}-I_{c}^{\prime}$ and $r=0$ on $I_{c}^{\prime}$. It is then clear that $r$ is continuous.
Let $I^{\prime \prime}=\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$ be such that $I_{c}^{\prime} \subset I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$, and note that $\rho\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)(\kappa) \subset \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ in particular. ${ }^{5}$ Since $\mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ is open (in M and therefore also in $\mathbb{E}$ ) we have that for each $s \in I^{\prime \prime}$ there exists a $\delta_{s}>0$ such that $\mathrm{B}_{\delta_{s}}(\rho(s)(\kappa)) \subset \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$. In particular, $\cup_{s \in I^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{B}_{\delta_{s}}(\rho(s)(\kappa))$ is an open cover of the compact set $\rho\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)(\kappa)$ and so $\delta^{\kappa}=\inf _{s}\left\{\delta_{s}\right\}>0$. Now apply similar arguments in the case $\rho\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)(\tau) \subset \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ to obtain a $\delta^{\tau}>0$ and set $\delta=\min \left\{\delta^{\kappa}, \delta^{\tau}\right\}$.
Let $p: I^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ be the (continuous) curve defined by $p(s)=(\max \{\delta / 2, r(s)\} u(s)$, $v(s))$. Note that we may assume that $p\left(s_{i}\right)=\rho\left(s_{i}\right)(\tau)(i=1,2)$ by choosing $\delta$ smaller if necessary. By construction we then have $|p(s)-\rho(s)(\tau)| \leq \delta / 2$ and $|F(p(s))-\rho(s)(\kappa)| \leq \delta / 2$, hence $p(s) \in \mathrm{W}(p, q)$ for all $s \in I^{\prime \prime}$. We can now redefine $\rho$ as the (continuous) curve $I \rightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ which is $\rho$ on $I-I^{\prime \prime}$ and $\eta_{\psi \circ p}$ on $I^{\prime \prime}$, where $\psi$ is the Morse chart $\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\approx} U_{c}$.

[^27]As above we proceed for each $I_{i}$, and then repeat for each $c \in \cup_{s} \boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s))$. In the end this construction yields an element of $C\left((I, 0,1),\left(M(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence we have proven.

### 4.11 Lemma.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self indexing Morse function on a Riemannian manifold $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g})$ such that $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale and g is compatible with the Morse charts. Assume that $f$ only has one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$, and let $B^{1} \subset$ $\bar{M}(q, p)$ denote the subspace of all flow lines $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ for which $\boldsymbol{b}(\beta)=$ $\{q, c, p\}$. Then the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1}\right)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$. In particular, $\# \pi_{0}(M(q, p))=\# \pi_{0}\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1}\right)$ i.e. the number of path components $\# \pi_{0}(M(q, p))$ remains constant if we add to $M(q, p)$ the subspace $B^{1}$.

### 4.4 Extending injectivity

In this section we show (see lemma 4.14) an extension of the result of lemma 4.11. Notation will be as above. We assume throughout that (as above) $f$ is a self indexing Morse-Smale function with only one minimum/maximum, and that the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts.
To produce the generalization of lemma 4.11 we need the following technical lemma. This should be seen as a generalization of the technique used to prove the injectivity result in the three dimensional case (proposition 4.10).

### 4.12 Lemma.

Let $b \in \operatorname{Crit}_{n-2} f$ and choose $\delta>0$ small such that $\kappa=f(b)-\delta$ is a regular value. Moreover, let $k$ denote the (finite) number of flow lines from $a$ to $b$ where $a$ runs over all critical points of index $n-1$, i.e. $k=\sum_{a \in C_{r i t f}: \lambda_{a}=n-1} \# \mathrm{M}(a, b)$. There exists a two dimensional $\varepsilon$-disk bundle $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$ over $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa}$ in $f^{-1}(\kappa)$ such that each fiber $\{u\} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$ is separated into $k$ sections by (the image of) $k$ smooth curves which all depend smoothly on the base point. Moreover, the curves are all of the form

$$
\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\times \mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0) ;(t, u) \mapsto(u, t v(t, u)) \quad|v(t, u)|=1\right.\right.
$$

with $(u, t v(t, u)) \in \mathrm{W}^{u}(a)^{\kappa}$ for all $t \neq 0$ when $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$ is identified with an open neighborhood of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa}$ in $f^{-1}(\kappa)$.


Figure 4.5: A graphic interpretation of the construction in the proof of lemma 4.12 , with $k=2$. Note that $\mathbb{S}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is only partially illustrated.

Proof:
We recommend consulting figure 4.5 for a graphic interpretation of the following construction.

The proof consists of a local construction, so let $(\psi, U)$ be a Morse chart around b. We identify $U$ with $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s}$ having coordinates $(x, y)$, hence $(x, y)=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and we sometimes write $x \in \mathbb{E}^{u}$ instead of $(x, 0)$ (similarly for $y \in \mathbb{E}^{s}$ ). By abuse of notation we write $f$ and $\varphi$ for the local representatives $f \circ \psi^{-1}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi_{t} \circ \psi^{-1}$ respectively. Moreover we let $\psi(q)=0$ and $f(0)=0$ hence $f(x, y)=-|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}$ by the Morse lemma, and $\varphi_{t}(x, y)=\left(x e^{2 t}, y e^{-2 t}\right)$ since g is compatible with $U$.

For the moment let us assume that $\operatorname{Crit}_{n-1}(f)=\{a\}$. Let $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)$ denote the local representatives of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)$, i.e. $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a) \approx U \cap \mathrm{~W}^{u}(a)\left(\mathbb{E}^{u}\right.$ and $\mathbb{E}^{s}$ are the local representatives of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(b)$ respectively). If necessary we change coordinates such that $\kappa=-1$, and let $\tau=1$. We may (and will) assume that both $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are regular values. Finally let $\mathbb{E}^{\tau}=f^{-1}(\tau)$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\kappa}=f^{-1}(\kappa)$.

Now $\mathbf{W}(a, b)=\mathbf{W}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbf{W}^{s}(b)$ consists of finitely many orbits since $\mu(a, b)=$ 1. Hence $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{S}^{s}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{s}=\mathbb{E}^{s} \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{E}^{s}$, consists of finitely many points, say $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{E}^{s}$ (or equivalently $\left.\left\{\left(0, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(0, y_{k}\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{E}\right)$.
Let $\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}} \subset \mathbb{E}$ denote the affine linear subspace at $x_{0} \in \mathbb{E}^{u}$ parallel to $\mathbb{E}^{s}$ i.e. $\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{E} \mid x=x_{0}\right\}$. Note that $\mathbb{E}_{0}=\mathbb{E}^{s}$. Since transversality is an open condition it follows that $\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}} \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a) \pitchfork\left(\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}} \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}\right)$, for $\left|x_{0}\right|$ small. Let $\mathbb{S}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}$ denote the sphere centered at $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ of radius $r^{2}=\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}+1$, then $\mathbb{S}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}} \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{S}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ consists of finitely many points $\left\{\left(x_{0}, y_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(x_{0}, y_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right\}$ with $\left(0, y_{i}(0)\right)=\left(0, y_{i}\right)$. The notation $y_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is simply to indicate that the point depends on $x_{0}$. As we shall see now this dependence is smooth.
Let $\varepsilon>0$ be small, by varying $x_{0}$ in the open $\varepsilon$-ball $\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(0) \subset \mathbb{E}^{u}$ we obtain $k$ functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(0) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(0) \times \mathbb{E}^{s} ; x \mapsto\left(x, y_{i}(x)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by specifying the image of $x$ by the $i^{\prime}$ th map as the $i^{\prime}$ th element in

$$
\mathbf{W}_{l o c}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{|x|^{2}+1}}(x)=\left\{\left(x, y_{1}(x)\right), \ldots,\left(x, y_{k}(x)\right)\right\}
$$

Assertion: For each $i$ the function $y_{i}$ of (4.3) is smooth, and $\left(x, y_{i}(x)\right) \in$ $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ for all $x \in \mathrm{~B}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(0)$.
Proof: The last part of the assertion is clear by construction. Smoothness will be a consequence of transversality and the implicit function theorem. Write $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)^{\tau}=\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ and identify $\mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ with $\mathbb{E}^{u} \times \mathbb{S}^{s}$ using the coordinate transformation $\psi_{\tau}^{-1}$ on page 63. By abuse of notation we write $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)^{\tau}$ for $\psi_{\tau}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)^{\tau}\right)$. Let $(x, v)$ denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{E}^{u} \times \mathbb{S}^{s}$ with $\left(0, v_{i}\right)$ representing $\left(0, y_{i}\right)$, hence $\left(0, v_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)^{\tau} \pitchfork \mathbb{S}^{s}$.
Now around each $\left(0, v_{i}\right)$ there exists, by transversality, ${ }^{6}$ a chart $U_{i} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times$ $\mathbb{R} ;(x, v) \mapsto(\nu, \sigma), U_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{E}^{u} \times \mathbb{S}^{s}$, in which $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)^{\tau} \cap U_{i}$ is represented by coordinates of the form $(\nu, 0)$ and $\left(\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{s}\right) \cap U_{i}$ is represented by coordinates of the form $(0, \sigma)$, i.e. we have the following commutative diagram


[^28]Let $\theta_{n-1}: U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the $(n-1)$ 'th coordinate function of $\theta$. Then $\theta_{n-1}\left(0, v_{i}\right)=0$ since $\left(0, v_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(a)^{\tau} \pitchfork \mathbb{S}^{s}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \theta_{n-1}\left(0, v_{i}\right) \neq 0$ by the (left) diagram. Hence may apply the implicit function theorem to obtain a smooth map $v_{i}(x)=v$ defined for $|x|$ small, such that $\theta_{n-1}\left(x, v_{i}(x)\right)=0$ (hence $\left(x, v_{i}(x)\right) \in \mathrm{W}_{l o c}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}$ by the (right) diagram). This completes the proof of the assertion.
It is clear that $\mathrm{W}(a, b)$ locally separates $\mathbb{E}^{s}-\{0\}$ into $k$ cone like sections. We will transfer this structure to a level below $b$.
We transport each of the $k$ orbits corresponding to $x \mapsto\left(x, y_{i}(x)\right)$ to the level surface $\mathbb{E}^{\kappa}$ as follows. Let us use polar coordinates to denote points in $\mathbb{E}-\{0\}$ i.e. $(t u, s v)$ where $u \in \mathbb{S}^{u}$ and $v \in \mathbb{S}^{s}$ are unit vectors in $\mathbb{E}^{u}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{s}$ respectively, and $t, s \in] 0, \infty[$. The maps of (4.3) can then be written as

$$
\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\times \mathbb{S}^{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(0) \times \mathbb{E}^{s} ;(t, u) \mapsto\left(t u, s v_{i}(t u)\right), \quad\left(t u, s v_{i}(t u)\right) \in \mathrm{W}_{l o c}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\tau}\right.\right.
$$

since $1=-t^{2}+s^{2}$, hence $s=s(t)=\sqrt{1+t^{2}}$. Note that we have included 0 in the domain since $\left(t u, s(t) v_{i}(t u)\right) \rightarrow\left(0, v_{i}(0)\right)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$. Now using the "flow map" defined by (4.2) we obtain, for each $i$, a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\times \mathbb{S}^{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{l o c}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\kappa} ;(t, u) \mapsto\left(s(t) u, t v_{i}(t u)\right)\right.\right. \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for fixed $u$ we obtain $k$ curves

$$
\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\rightarrow \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\kappa} ; t \mapsto\left(s(t) u, t v_{i}(t u)\right)\right.\right.
$$

Now using the coordinate transformation $\psi_{\kappa}^{-1}$ on page 63 we identify $\mathbb{E}^{\kappa}$ with $\mathbb{S}^{u} \times \mathbb{E}^{s}$. Note that $\mathbb{S}^{u}$ is fixed under this transformation. Moreover we consider $\mathbb{S}^{u} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(0) \subset \mathbb{S}^{u} \times \mathbb{E}^{s}$ as a two dimensional $\varepsilon$-disk bundle over $\mathbb{S}^{u}$. Since $\mathbb{S}^{u}=$ $\mathbb{E}^{u} \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\kappa} \approx \mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa}$, this gives the disk bundle of the lemma (with $\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)=$ $\left.\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(0)\right)$. Moreover, the maps of (4.4) are transformed to

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\times \mathbb{S}^{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{u} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(0) ;(t, u) \mapsto\right.\right.} & \left(u, t v_{i}(t u)\right) \\
& \left(u, t v_{i}(t u)\right) \in \psi_{\kappa}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{W}_{l o c}^{u}(a) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{\kappa}\right), t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence to each point $u \in \mathbb{S}^{u}$ in the base space there corresponds $k$ smooth curves in the fiber $\{u\} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(0)$. These depend smoothly on $u$, and their images seperate each fiber into $k$ cone like sections. Finally, let Crit $_{n-1}(f)=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}$. Since all the unstable manifold corresponding to the $a_{i}$ 's are disjoint it follows that we may generalize the above to all $k=\sum k_{i}$ curves. This completes the proof.

Note that with some obvious changes the lemma applies in the case of index one and two, i.e. $\lambda_{b}=2$ and $\lambda_{a}=1$. Moreover it follows (by the last part of the lemma and since $f$ is self indexing) that each point in the interior of a section belongs to $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)^{\kappa}$.
Now since the curves depend smoothly on the base point, the sections of each fiber vary smoothly, hence the disk bundle is separated into $k$ cone sections bounded on each side by (the image) of one of the maps $(t, u) \mapsto\left(u, t v_{i}(u t)\right)$, see figure 4.6. More precisely, choose an orientation of $\mathrm{W}^{s}(b)^{\tau} \approx \mathbb{S}^{s}$ and index the $k$ points $\cup_{a} \mathrm{~W}(a, b)^{\tau}$ according to this orientation, where one of the points is chosen as the start point. We then define a cone section of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$ to be the subset of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$, bounded by the images of the $i^{\prime}$ th and $(i+1)^{\prime}$ th maps (if $i=k$ then $i+1=1$ ). The maps $(t, u) \mapsto\left(u, t v_{i}(u t)\right)$ will henceforth be called boundary maps.


Figure 4.6: A (simplified) part of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$, with $k=3$.
Let $\rho$ be as in the last section. We assume throughout that $l(\boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s))) \leq 4$ and if $c \in \boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s))-\{q, p\}$ then $\lambda_{c}$ is either $n-1$ or $n-2$. Moreover, we identify the disk bundle from the lemma with an open neighborhood of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa}$ in $f^{-1}(\kappa)$. Now let $S \subset I$ be a maximal (open) connected interval such that the level curve $p(s)=\rho(s)(\kappa)$ is contained in the disk bundle $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$.
With the above conventions we have (note the resemblance with the fact that a level curve can not cross $\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)$, see the beginning of section 4.3 for details).

### 4.13 Claim.

For all $s \in S$ and some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the image of the level curve $s \mapsto p(s)$ is contained in the subset of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$ bounded by the images of the $i^{\prime}$ th and $(i+1)$ 'th boundary maps.

## Proof:

In the following we will use notation and terminology as in the proof of lemma 4.12. Let $\operatorname{Crit}_{n-1}(f)=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}, \cup_{i} \mathrm{~W}\left(a_{i}, b\right)^{\tau}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\}, I_{j}$ denote the (closed) segment on the circle $\mathrm{W}^{s}(b)^{\tau} \approx \mathbb{S}^{s}$ from $m_{j}$ to $m_{j+1}($ with $k+1=1$ ), $B_{j}$ the image of the $j^{\prime}$ th cone map, and $C_{j}$ the cone section bounded by $B_{j}$ and $B_{j+1}$.
Assume that the claim is false. Since each point in the boundary of a cone section belongs to either an unstable manifolds corresponding to critical points of index $n-1$ or the zero section of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\kappa} \times \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$, we conclude, by the beginning of section 4.3, that $s \mapsto p(s)$ must cross the zero section when going from one cone section (say $C_{j}$ ) to another (say $C_{j^{\prime}}, j^{\prime} \neq j$ ).
Let $S^{\prime} \subset S$ be a maximal (closed) connected interval such that $p(s)=(u(s), 0)$ on $S^{\prime}$, and $N$ an open neighborhood of $S^{\prime}$ with $S^{\prime} \subset N \subset S$. We let $L$ and $R$ denote the open intervals corresponding to the left and right part of $N-S^{\prime}$. Note that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in precisely one $I_{i}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$.

1) Assume that $p(s) \in B_{j}$ for $s \in L$ and $p(s) \in B_{j^{\prime}}$ for $s \in R$. Note that $j^{\prime} \neq j \pm 1, j$. The first assumption implies that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in either $I_{j}$ or $I_{j-1}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$, whereas the second assumption implies that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in either $I_{j^{\prime}}$ or $I_{j^{\prime}-1}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction since neither of these intervals agree.
2) Assume that $p(s)$ is in the interior of $C_{j}$ (resp. $C_{j^{\prime}}$ ) for $s \in L$ (resp. $s \in R$ ). The first assumption implies that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in $I_{j}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$, and the second that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in $I_{j^{\prime}}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$. Again this is a contradiction since $I_{j} \neq I_{j^{\prime}}$.
3) Assume that $p(s) \in B_{j}$ for $s \in L$ and $p(s)$ is in the interior of $C_{j^{\prime}}$ for $s \in R$. Note that $j^{\prime} \neq j-1, j$. The first assumption implies that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in either $I_{j}$ or $I_{j-1}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$, whereas the second implies that $\rho(s)(\tau)$ is contained in $I_{j^{\prime}}$ for $s \in S^{\prime}$. As above this is a contradiction. Note that the symmetric version of this case also yields a contradiction
This completes the proof, since the behavior of the level curve is described by one of the above case.
In the case of the claim we say that the level curve $s \mapsto p(s)$ is contained in one
cone section for all $s \in S$. Strictly speaking this convention is ambiguous since a boundary is shared by two (neighboring) cone sections.
The idea is now to use the above results and, for each $b$, to look at the behavior of $p(s)$ as it enters and leaves the disk bundle and then perturb it to a map with chain length two or three. Lemma 4.11 will then imply the desired result above. Note the resemblance with the proof strategy of lemma 4.11.
Before we begin the proof of the main lemma of this chapter we present the following figures, illustrating some possible behaviors of $\rho$ on $S$ (or sub intervals of $S$ ).


Figure 4.7: The progress of $\rho$ on $J \subseteq S$, where • denote the critical points $q$, $b, a$ and $p$ listed from below. Hence $p(s)=\rho(s)(\kappa)$ enters and leaves the zero section of the disk bundle through the interior of a section.


Figure 4.8: The progress of $\rho$ on $J \subseteq S$, where • denote the critical points $q$, $b, a$ and $p$ listed from below. Hence $p(s)=\rho(s)(\kappa)$ enters and leaves the zero section of the disk bundle through the boundary of a section.


Figure 4.9: The progress of $\rho$ on $J \subset S$, where • denote the critical points $q$, $b, a$ and $p$ listed from below. Hence $\rho(s)(\kappa)$ is in the zero section of the disk bundle for all $s \in J$.

### 4.14 Lemma.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self indexing Morse function on a Riemannian manifold $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g})$ such that $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale and g is compatible with the Morse charts. Let $B^{1}$ be as in lemma 4.11. Assume that $f$ only has one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$, and let $B^{2} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denote the subspace of all flow lines $\beta \in \bar{M}(q, p)$ for which $\boldsymbol{b}(\beta)=\{q, b, a, p\}$ with either $\lambda_{b}=n-2$ and $\lambda_{a}=n-1$, or $\lambda_{b}=2$ and $\lambda_{a}=1$. Then the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1} \cup B^{2}\right)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$. In particular, $\# \pi_{0}(M(q, p))=\# \pi_{0}\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1} \cup B^{2}\right)$ i.e. the number of path components $\# \pi_{0}(M(q, p))$ remains constant if we add to $M(q, p)$ the subspaces $B^{1}$ and $B^{2}$.

Proof:
We proceed by using notation and terminology as in the proof of lemma 4.12 and claim 4.13. Moreover, since the strategy of this proof resembles that of lemma 4.9, we leave some technical details to the reader.
Let $\rho \in C\left((I, 0,1),\left(\bar{M}(q, p), \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right.$, with $I=[0,1]$ and $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \in M(q, p)$, such that $\rho(s) \in B^{1} \cup B^{2} \cup M(q, p)$ for all $s \in I$.
For $s^{\prime} \in I$ with $\boldsymbol{b}\left(\rho\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)=\{q, b, a, p\}, \lambda_{b}=n-2, \lambda_{a}=n-1$, let $\left.S=\right] s_{1}, s_{2}[$ be a maximal sub-interval of $I$ such that $p(s)=\rho(s)(\kappa)$ is in the $\varepsilon$-disk bundle of lemma 4.11, and such that $s^{\prime} \in S$, i.e. $p(s)$ belongs to the zero section for at least one point in $S$. Moreover, we choose $\varepsilon$ such that $\boldsymbol{b}(\rho(s)) \cap\left(\operatorname{Crit}_{2}(f) \cup \operatorname{Crit}_{1}(f)\right)=\emptyset$ for all $s \in S$, hence $p(s) \in \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)^{\kappa}$ for all $s \in S$.
Now for each $s \in S$ choose an open neighborhood $U_{p(s)} \subset \mathbf{W}^{s}(q)^{\kappa}$ in $\mathbf{M}^{\kappa}$ of $p(s)$, and let $U=\cup_{s} U_{p(s)}$. We may assume that $U$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-disk bundle, since the bundle is open in $\mathrm{M}^{\kappa}$.
By claim 4.13, $p(s)$ is contained in precisely one cone section, say, $C_{i}$ with boundary $B_{i}$ and $B_{i+1}$. Note that $V=U \cap\left[C_{i}-\left(B_{i} \cup B_{i+1}\right)\right]$ is contained in $\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\kappa}$, and that it is path connected.

1) Assume that $s \mapsto p(s)$ enters and leaves $C_{i}$ at points in $V$. Then there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\rho\left(s_{j}-(-1)^{j} \delta\right) \in M(q, p)$, for $j=1,2$. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ denote the points on these flow lines corresponding to the level $\kappa$. Since $m, m^{\prime} \in V$ we may redefine $\rho$ on $\left[s_{1}+\delta, s_{2}-\delta\right]$ such that it becomes a curve in $M(q, p)$.
2) Assume that $s \mapsto p(s)$ enters $C_{i}$ through $B_{i}$ and leaves $C_{i}$ through $B_{i+1}$, and let $\delta>0$ be such that $p\left(s^{1}\right) \in B_{i}$ and $p\left(s^{2}\right) \in B_{i+1}$, with $s^{j}=s_{j}-(-1)^{j} \delta$. Now using the gluing map $G$ of lemma 4.3 we continuously deform $\rho\left(s^{1}\right)$ and $\rho\left(s^{2}\right)$ to non-broken flow lines. Note then that $G\left(\rho\left(s^{j}\right) ; u\right)(\kappa)$ is in the interior of $C_{i}$ for $u>0$, since otherwise this would violate claim 4.13. Setting $G\left(\rho\left(s^{j}\right) ; u_{0}\right)(\kappa)=$
$m_{j}$ for some fixed $u_{0}>0$, we may use case 1 above to redefine $\rho$ on $S$ such that it becomes a curve with chain length at most three.
Since the symmetric cases $-p(s)$ enters (resp. leaves) through the boundary and leaves (resp. enters) through the interior- and the cases $-p(s)$ enter and leave through $B_{i}$ (resp. $B_{i+1}$ )- is proven similar to the above, we conclude that $\rho$ may be redefined on $S$ such that it becomes a curve in $\bar{M}(q, p)$ with chain length at most three. With similar arguments we obtain the same conclusion in the case $\lambda_{b}=2$ and $\lambda_{a}=1$.
By lemma 4.11 we are done if it can be proven that the family consisting of subintervals of $I$ defined as $S$ above, is finite. This technical argument is similar to that given at the beginning of the proof of lemma 4.9.

## Chapter 5

## Estimating the number of path components of the space of broken flow lines

In this chapter we show how to estimate the number of path components of the space of broken flow lines. Moreover, the estimate can be computed explicitly (i.e. as a number) if the Morse data is known a priori (by the Morse data we mean all critical points and intersection numbers).
Throughout this chapter M denotes an orientable closed 3-manifold and $f$ : $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes a self indexing Morse-Smale function with only one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$. We will assume that the Riemannian metric is compatible with the Morse charts. ${ }^{1}$ For a space $S$ we write $\# S$ for the number of path components (or the number of elements if $S$ is a finite set), and let $\boldsymbol{a}=\operatorname{Crit}_{1}(f)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}=\operatorname{Crit}_{2}(f)$
In section 5.1 we define three graphs, each embedded on different level surfaces, and discuss some basic properties of these graphs. The graphs will play an important role in section 5.2 where we show how to estimate $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$, the number of path components of $\bar{M}(q, p)$.
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### 5.1 Graphs on level surfaces

We start this section by seperating (according to dimension) the various moduli spaces into three different sets.
First assume that there are no one dimensional moduli spaces homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ and let the edge set $E$ be the (disjoint) union of $E^{1}=\cup_{a \in a} \pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(p, a))$ and $E^{2}=\cup_{b \in b} \pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(b, q))$. Hence an element $e \in E$, called an edge, is a path component of a one dimensional moduli space, i.e. homeomorphic to the open interval $] 0,1[$.
The vertex set $V$ is the (disjoint) union of $V^{u}=\cup_{b \in b} \pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(p, b))$, $V^{m}=$ $\cup_{(b, a) \in \boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{a}} \pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(b, a))$ and $V^{l}=\cup_{a \in \boldsymbol{a}} \pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(a, q))$. Hence an element $v \in V$, called a vertex, is a path component of a zero dimensional moduli space, i.e. homeomorphic to a point. Note that for $V^{u}$ and $V^{l}$ the use of $\pi_{0}$ is in fact redundant since $\mathrm{M}(p, b)$ and $\mathrm{M}(a, q)$ are discrete two point spaces.
Finally we let $F=\pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(p, q))$ be the face set, hence an element of $F$, called a face, is a path component of the two dimensional moduli space $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$.
5.1 Remark: If there where a one dimensional moduli space, say $\mathbf{M}(b, q)$, homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ then we could add $\pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(b, q)-*)$ to $E$ and add the point $*$ to $V$. However, we choose to assume throughout that there are no such moduli spaces, simply because it will have no effect on the computations in this chapter.

Moreover, the boundary of $e \in E$ in the sense of theorem 2.1 consists of $(v, u)$ and $\left(v^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ both pairs in $V \times V$ with $(v, u) \neq\left(v^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ (see figure 5.1 below).

We can now define the following three graphs: The (upper) graph $H$ with vertex set $V(H)=V^{u}$ and edge set $E(H)=E^{1}$, the (middle) graph $\Gamma$ with vertex set $V(\Gamma)=V^{m}$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)=E$, and the (lower) graph $G$ with vertex set $V(G)=V^{l}$ and edge set $E(G)=E^{2}$.
5.2 Remark: The graphs above are in general not simple graphs, e.g. if $e \in E$ with say $e \in E^{1}$ and boundary $\left(v, u^{\prime}\right),(v, u)$ then $e$ is a simple edge (with vertices $u^{\prime}$ and $u$ ) in $\Gamma$, and a pseudo edge (with vertex $v$ ) in $H$ (see the right side of figure 5.1 below). Moreover, if $e^{\prime} \in E^{2}$ has boundary $\left(u^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right),(u, w)$ then $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ are parallel edges in $\Gamma$.
Moreover, note that ${ }^{2} \# \boldsymbol{a}=\# \boldsymbol{b}$. This number will be denoted by $g$ in the sequel.
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Figure 5.1:

The terms upper, middle and lower are due to the following. For $i=1,2,3$ let $\left.\tau_{i} \in\right] i-1, i\left[\right.$, then $f^{-1}\left(\tau_{i}\right) \approx \mathbb{S}^{2}$ for $i=1,3$, and $f^{-1}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \approx \Sigma^{g}$ the orientable closed surface of genus $g$ (see ([Mat02],p.173)). Now by identifying $\mathrm{M}(p, a)$ with $\mathrm{W}(p, a)^{\tau_{3}}$ (for each $a$ ), and $\mathrm{M}(p, b)$ with $\mathrm{W}(p, b)^{\tau_{3}}$ (for each $b$ ) we may consider the upper graph $H$ as a subset of $\mathbb{S}^{2} \approx f^{-1}\left(\tau_{3}\right)$. With similar identifications we also have $\Gamma \subset \Sigma^{g}$ and $G \subset \mathbb{S}^{2}$. Moreover, let $F_{i}, i=1,2,3$, denote the face set of $G, \Gamma$ and $H$ respectively. With the above identifications we then have
$F_{1}=\mathbb{S}^{2}-G=\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau_{1}}, \quad F_{2}=\Sigma^{g}-\Gamma=\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau_{2}}, \quad F_{3}=\mathbb{S}^{2}-H=\mathrm{W}(p, q)^{\tau_{3}}$
Hence $F \approx F_{i}$ by claim 2.9. In particular, the number of faces corresponding to each graph is the same and equals $\# F=\# \mathrm{M}(q, p)=\# M(q, p)=\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ where the last equality is by proposition 4.10 .
5.3 Remark: Let $S$ denote any of the above surfaces and $K \subset S$ the corresponding graph. With the relative topology on $K$ it is clear that $V(K) \cup E(K)$ constitute a CW-decomposition of $K$ (see ([Dol80],p.89)), hence $K$ can be considered as a one dimensional CW-complex.

The following result summaries some simple properties of the above defined graphs.

### 5.4 Claim.

Let $S$ denote any of the above surfaces and $K \subset S$ the corresponding graph.

1) The graph $K$ is planar.
2) If $K=G, H$ then $2 g=\# V(K)$.
3) $\# V(\Gamma)=\# E(G)=\# E(H)=\# E(\Gamma) / 2$.
4) For $v \in V(\Gamma)$ the $\operatorname{valence} \operatorname{val}(v)$ is equal to 4 , hence the number of edges connected to $v$ is either 2,3 or 4 .
5) If $K=G, H$ and $v \in V(K)$ then $\operatorname{val}(v)=\# \cup \mathrm{M}(b, a)$ where the union is taken over all $b \in b$ if $v \in \mathrm{M}(a, q) \subset V(G)$ and over all $a \in \boldsymbol{a}$ if $v \in \mathrm{M}(p, b) \subset V(H)$.

## Proof:

Ad 1): Since $K$ can be described as $\cup_{\mathrm{W}} S \cap \mathrm{~W}$ where W run over all components of $\partial \mathrm{W}(p, q)$. Ad 2): Since $2=\# \mathrm{M}(a, q)=\# \mathrm{M}(p, b)$ and $g=\# \boldsymbol{a}=\# \boldsymbol{b}$. Ad
3) For $K=G$ and $b \in \boldsymbol{b}$ let $U_{b}=\mathbb{E}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s}$ be a Morse chart and $\mathbb{S}^{1} \subset \mathbb{E}^{u}$. We may consider $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ as a graph with vertex set $V_{b}=\cup_{a} \mathrm{M}(b, a)$ and edge set $E_{b}=\pi_{0}(\mathrm{M}(b, q))$. Then $0=\chi\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)=\# V_{b}-\# E_{b}$ hence $\# V(\Gamma)=\sum_{b} \# V_{b}=$ $\sum_{b} \# E_{b}=\# E(G)$. Almost identical arguments show that $\# V(\Gamma)=\# E(H)$. Moreover $\# E(\Gamma)=\# E(G)+\# E(H)=2 \# V(\Gamma)$. Ad 4): Let $v \in V(\Gamma)$ with $v \in \mathrm{M}(b, a)$, say. Since $v$ is one of the points in the (transverse) intersection $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(a) \pitchfork \Sigma^{g}$, there is a chart $U_{v}=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ on $\Sigma^{g}$ such that locally $\mathrm{W}^{u}(b)^{\tau}=\mathbb{R} \times\{0\}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{s}(a)^{\tau}=\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $X_{ \pm}$and $Y_{ \pm}$denote the strictly positive/negative first and second axises, respectively. By choosing $U_{v}$ such that $U_{v} \cap V(\Gamma)=\{v\}$, we see that each of the four sets $X_{ \pm}$and $Y_{ \pm}$represent part of an edge in $E(\Gamma)$. Hence $\operatorname{val}(v) \geq 4$, but equality clearly holds. Ad 5): For $K=G$ and $v \in \mathrm{M}(a, q)$ each pair $(v, u)$, with $u \in \mathrm{M}(b, a)$, is in the boundary of an unique edge in $E^{2}$, hence $\operatorname{val}(v)=\#\{(v, u) \mid u \in \cup \mathrm{M}(b, a)\}=\# \cup \mathrm{M}(b, a)$. The case $K=H$ is similar.
5.5 Remark: Note that the method of proof in Ad 5) applies in Ad 4) as well. Moreover, Ad 3) follows from Ad 5) since

$$
2 \# E(K)=\sum_{v \in V(K)} \operatorname{val}(v)=\sum_{b, a} \# \mathrm{M}(b, a)=2 \# V(\Gamma)
$$

where the first equality follows from Euler's theorem (see e.g. ([GT87],p.4)).

### 5.2 Estimates of $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$

In the following two subsections we estimate the number $\# F=\# \bar{M}(q, p)$. As above we let $S$ denote the surface $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ or $\Sigma^{g}$, and $K \subset S$ the corresponding graph.

### 5.2.1

In this subsection we estimate the number $\beta_{0}(F)=\# F$ by means of the upper/lower graph. Let $H(\cdot)=H(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z})$ denote singular (co)homology and $\chi(\cdot)$ the Euler characteristic.

Since $S$ is a compact orientable manifold and $K \subset S$ is closed we may apply the Poincare-Lefschetz duality diagram from ([Bre93],p.352) to obtain the following diagram with exact rows ${ }^{3}$


Starting from the left: 0 because ([Bre93],p.346), the next two are clear, the fourth stands for the cases $S=\mathbb{S}^{2}, \Sigma^{g}$, the fifth follows from $\chi(K)=\beta_{0}(K)$ $\beta_{1}(K)$, and the last two are clear.
With $K=G$, $H$ we have from the above diagram that $\mathrm{H}_{0}(F)=\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}(K)-\chi(K)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ hence

$$
\# F=\beta_{0}(F)=\beta_{0}(K)-\chi(K)+1=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{1}(K)+1  \tag{5.1}\\
\beta_{0}(K)-\# V(K)+\# E(K)+1 \\
\beta_{0}(K)-2 g+\# V(\Gamma)+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the second equality (in the bracket) follows from $\beta_{0}(K)-\beta_{1}(K)=\chi(K)=$ $\# V(K)-\# E(K)$, and the last equality follows from 2) and 3) of claim 5.4.
5.6 Remark: The above equation for $\# F$ could also be deduced from the formula for $\chi(K)$ and the polyhedral formula $1=\# V(K)-\# E(K)+\# F(K)-$ $\beta_{0}(K)$ which holds for any planar graph $K$ on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$.

The question now is whether we can compute the entries in the formulas for $\# F$ given by (5.1). Let us start with the special case where each face is simply connected.
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### 5.7 Claim.

Each face is simply connected iff $K=G$ or $K=H$ is connected.
Proof:
By $([\operatorname{Bre} 93], \mathrm{p} .346(7.13)) \mathrm{H}_{1}(F)$ is free, hence by the above diagram $\mathrm{H}_{1}(F) \oplus \mathbb{Z}=$ $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}(K)}$ with $K=G$ or $K=H$.
Hence in this case $\# F=2-2 g+\# V(\Gamma)$. Moreover, the computation of $\# V(\Gamma)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \# M\left(b_{i}, a_{j}\right)$ is given in terms of the boundary operator $\partial$ from Morse Homology. Recall from section 1.3 that in general

$$
\partial c=\sum_{\substack{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Cit}_{\lambda_{c}-1}(f) \\ x \in \mathrm{M}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)}} n(x) c^{\prime}=\sum_{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Crit}_{\lambda_{c}-1}(f)} n\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime}
$$

where $n\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{x \in \mathrm{M}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)} n(x)$ with $n(x)= \pm 1$ denotes the intersection number of the stable and unstable spheres. So if we define

$$
\bar{\partial} c=\sum_{\substack{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Crit}_{\lambda_{c}-1}(f) \\ x \in M\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)}}|n(x)|=\sum_{\substack{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Crit}_{\lambda_{c}-1}(f)}} \# \mathrm{M}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

we may compute $\# V(\Gamma)$ by

$$
\bar{\partial} \sum_{i} b_{i}=\sum_{i} \bar{\partial} b_{i}=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \# \mathrm{M}\left(b_{i}, a_{j}\right)=\# V(\Gamma)
$$

That is, given the data from Morse homology, $\# F=\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ can be computed in the special case where each face is simply connected.
In general we have to compute $\beta_{0}(K)$ because $\# F=\beta_{0}(K)-2 g+\# V(\Gamma)+1$. Unfortunately the Morse data does not contain enough information for this computation to be carried out, but in section 5.2 .2 we will show how one can estimate \#F using the Morse data.
5.8 Remark: If we in addition to the Morse data know the explicit vertices corresponding to each edge we may in fact compute $\beta_{0}(K)$ as follows. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $K$ by adding one point to every parallel edge and two points to every pseudo edges in $K$. This will not effect the formula for $\# F$, and $K^{\prime}$ is then a simple graph with $\beta_{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{0}(K)$. Now let $D$ denote the incidence matrix of $K^{\prime}$. By ([Big93],p.24) we then have $\operatorname{Rank}(D)=\# V(K)-\beta_{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, so $\beta_{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ can be computed in this case.

We end this section with a minor result concerning the connectivity of $\Gamma$.

### 5.9 Claim.

If $K=G$ or $K=H$ is connected, then $\Gamma$ is connected.
Proof:
Assume that $K=G$ or $K=H$ is connected, then by the Poincare-Lefschetz duality diagram

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2 g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}(\Gamma)-\chi(\Gamma)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{0}(F)=\mathbb{Z}^{1-\chi(K)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

and so $1-\chi(K)=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)-\chi(\Gamma)-2 g$. But $1-\chi(K)=1-\# V(K)+\# E(K)=$ $1-2 g+\# V(\Gamma)$ and $\beta_{0}(\Gamma)-\chi(\Gamma)-2 g=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g$, hence

$$
1-2 g+\# V(\Gamma)=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta_{0}(\Gamma)=1
$$

hence proving the claim.

### 5.2.2

In this subsection we show how to estimate the number $\beta_{0}(F)=\# F$ by means of the middle graph. In this section all (co)homology groups are real vector spaces i.e. the coefficient group is $\mathbb{R}$. Now the duality diagram of the last section gives the exact sequence (with $\Sigma^{g}=\Sigma$ )

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $i: \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ is the inclusion. Hence we have the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow 0$ which splits since we are dealing with finite ${ }^{4}$

[^32]dimensional vector spaces, so $\# F=\beta_{0}(F)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right)\right)+1$. Moreover, by a standard duality argument ${ }^{5} \operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right) \approx \operatorname{ker}\left(i_{*}\right)$, where $i_{*}: \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$, hence
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\# F & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(i_{*}\right)\right)+1=\beta_{1}(\Gamma)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right)+1 \\
& =\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right)+1 \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\beta_{0}(\Gamma)-\beta_{1}(\Gamma)=\chi(\Gamma)=$ $\# V(\Gamma)-\# E(\Gamma)$ and claim 5.4. We claim that each term in the formula (5.2) for $\# F$ can be computed using the Morse data (recall that we have already dealt with the case $\# V(\Gamma))$.
For $\beta_{0}(\Gamma)$ : Write $\mathbb{S}_{i}^{s}=\mathrm{W}^{s}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\tau_{2}}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{i}^{u}=\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(b_{i}\right)^{\tau_{2}}$ for each $a_{i} \in \boldsymbol{a}$ and $b_{i} \in \boldsymbol{b}$, respectively, and note that $\Gamma=\left(\cup_{i} \mathbb{S}_{i}^{s}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{i} \mathbb{S}_{i}^{u}\right)$. Now define the bipartite graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as follows. Let each (un)stable sphere correspond to a vertex and let there be an edge between two vertices if the corresponding stable and unstable spheres intersects (see figure 5.2 below). Note then that the existence of an edge is


Figure 5.2: The graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
equivalent to the existence of a one dimensional flow line, so this information is contained in the Morse data. Hence we can construct $\Gamma^{\prime}$ explicitly and therefore also calculate $\beta_{0}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$, but $\beta_{0}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)$ clearly.
For $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right)$ : Let notation be as in the above paragraph, and let $s_{i}=i_{*}\left[\mathbb{S}_{i}^{s}\right] \in$ $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$ where $\left[\mathbb{S}_{i}^{s}\right]$ denotes the image of the fundamental class $\left[\mathbb{S}^{1}\right] \in \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ under the diffeomorphism $\mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{i}^{s} \subset \Gamma$. It is standard ${ }^{6}$ that half the generators of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$ can be chosen as $\left\{s_{i}\right\}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{g}\right\}$, hence $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ generates a subspace of $\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)$ of dimension $g$.
Now let $I: \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the intersection form, this is a bilinear form which is antisymmetric and non-degenerate since we are in the middle

[^33]dimension, ([Mat02],p.163). With respect to $I$ we may therefore form the dual basis $\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}=\left\{s_{1}^{*}, s_{2}^{*}, \ldots, s_{g}^{*}\right\}$ of $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$, hence $\left\{s_{i}, s_{i}^{*}\right\}=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{g}, s_{1}^{*}, \ldots, s_{g}^{*}\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$ under the following identification. First complete $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ to a basis $\left\{s_{i}, s_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$ and use the coordinate isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma) \approx \mathbb{R}^{2 g}$ taking $s_{i}$ (resp. $s_{i}^{\prime}$ ) to $e_{i}$ (resp. $e_{i+g}$ ), where $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2 g}\right\}$ denotes the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{2 g}$. Next, form the (external) direct sum $V \oplus V^{*}$, with $V=\operatorname{span}\left\{s_{i}\right\}$, $V^{*}=\operatorname{span}\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}$, and use a coordinate isomorphism $V \oplus V^{*} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2 g}$ similar to the above.
5.10 Remark: Note that $\left(H_{1}(\Sigma), I\right)$ is in fact a symplectic vector space, and that $V=\operatorname{span}\left\{s_{i}\right\} \subset \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$ is a Lagrangian subspace. Hence we could have used a standard result from linear symplectic geometry to conclude that $\left\{s_{i}, s_{i}^{*}\right\}$ is a (symplectic) basis for $H_{1}(\Sigma)$, see e.g. ([MS98],Ch.2.1)

Now let $u_{i}=i_{*}\left[\mathbb{S}_{i}^{u}\right] \in \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Sigma)$ then $u_{i}=\sum_{j} \alpha_{i j} s_{j}+\sum_{j} \beta_{i j} s_{j}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{span}\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{g}\right.$, $\left.u_{1}, \ldots, u_{g}\right\}$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)$. Therefore

$$
g+\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{i}\right\}\right)=g+\operatorname{Rank}\left(\left[\beta_{i j}\right]\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right) \leq 2 g
$$

But the matrix $\left[\beta_{i j}\right]$ is know explicitly since $\beta_{i j}=I\left(u_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ and $I\left(u_{i}, s_{j}\right)=$ $\sum_{x \in \mathrm{M}\left(b_{i}, a_{j}\right)} n(x)$. That is $\left[\beta_{i j}\right]$ is the matrix of the boundary operator $\partial_{2}$ : $C_{2}(f, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{1}(f, \mathbb{R})$ from Morse homology, hence $\operatorname{Rank}\left(\left[\beta_{i j}\right]\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2}\right)\right)$. Moreover, since M is assumed to be orientable and $f$ only has one minimum and one maximum we see that $\partial_{1}=0$ (and for that matter $\partial_{3}=0$ ). So $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2}\right)\right)=g-\beta_{1}(\mathrm{M})$, since the canonical projection

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{1}\right) / \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{M})
$$

is a linear surjection with kernel $\operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2}\right)$. In summary we have therefore proven

### 5.11 Lemma.

Let $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M})=3$ and $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self indexing Morse-Smale function with only one minimum $q$ and one maximum $p$. The following estimate for $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$, the number of path components of the space of broken flow lines, holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g+1 \leq \# \bar{M}(q, p) \leq \beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g+\beta_{1}(\mathrm{M})+1 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\# \bar{M}(q, p)=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g+1$ if M is simply connected.
Moreover, by combining (5.1) and (5.3) we have the following corollary

### 5.12 Corollary.

For $K=G, H$ it holds that $\beta_{0}(\Gamma) \leq \beta_{0}(K) \leq \beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\beta_{1}(\mathrm{M})$. In particular, $\beta_{0}(\Gamma)=\beta_{0}(K)$ if M is simply connected.

### 5.3 Appendix

In this appendix we prove (for completeness) some standard facts stated in the main text.

### 5.3.1

We prove that $\# \boldsymbol{a}=\# \boldsymbol{b}$. Let $C=\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ denote the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex. By ([Spa81],p.172) we have $\chi(C)=\chi(\mathrm{H}(C))$ (with $\mathrm{H}(C)=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$ of course), thus

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Rank}\left(C_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{3}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Rank}\left(\mathrm{H}_{i}(C)\right)
$$

But the left hand side is equal to $\operatorname{Rank}\left(C_{2}\right)-\operatorname{Rank}\left(C_{1}\right)=\# \boldsymbol{b}-\# \boldsymbol{a}$ since there is only one minimum/maximum, and the right hand side is zero by duality of Betti numbers (see e.g. ([Mat02],p.158)).

### 5.3.2

We prove that $\operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right) \approx \operatorname{ker}\left(i_{*}\right)$ by the universal coefficient theorem (UCT) ([Bre93],p.282). Let $\left(i_{*}\right)^{*}$ denote the dual map (wrt. $\left.\operatorname{hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{R})\right)$ of $i_{*}$. By the UCT we have the commutative diagram

and by applying the hom functor $\operatorname{hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$ to this diagram we obtain the commutative diagram

where the right square arises from the fact that we are dealing with finite dimensional vector spaces. Hence $\operatorname{ker}\left(\left(i^{*}\right)^{*}\right) \approx \operatorname{ker}\left(i_{*}\right)$.
Now $H^{1}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{1}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, where $\pi$ is the quotient map. Hence $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Gamma)^{*} \xrightarrow{\left(i^{*}\right)^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Sigma)^{*}$ is exact since hom $(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$ is right exact. Therefore $\operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right)^{*} \approx \operatorname{im}\left(\pi^{*}\right) \approx \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(i^{*}\right)^{*}\right)$ and so $\operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right) \approx$ $\operatorname{coker}\left(i^{*}\right)^{*} \approx \operatorname{ker}\left(i_{*}\right)$.

## Chapter 6

## Main results and future work

In this chapter we give a brief description of the main results of each chapter, together with suggestions on what might be the focus of future work. We refer to section A. 3 regarding future work related to the result of appendix A.

### 6.1 Chapter 2

For a given Morse-Smale pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ on a closed manifold, it was shown that the moduli space $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ (of orbits from $p \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ to $q \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ ) can be embedded as a subspace, with compact closure, of a space having the Hausdorff topology, i.e. the closure $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$ is a compactification of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ with respect to Hausdorff topology. Moreover, the space of height-parameterized flow lines $M(q, p)$ (from $q$ to $p$ ) has compact closure with respect to the compact open topology, and there exists a homeomorphism between $M(q, p)$ and $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$ which extends to the closures of these spaces in their respective topologies. So the closures $\overline{M(q, p)}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{M}(p, q)}$ are homeomorphic compactifications of both $M(q, p)$ and $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$. Finally it was shown that the space of broken flow lines $\bar{M}(q, p)$ (from $q$ to $p$ ) is compact with respect to the compact open topology, and contains $M(q, p)$ as an open and dense subspace. In particular, $\bar{M}(q, p)$ can be considered as a compactification of both $M(q, p)$ and $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$.
Even though $\bar{M}(q, p)$ has some nice properties, e.g. it is a compact metric space, we do not know whether $\bar{M}(q, p)$ has a fundamental property such as being
an ENR (Euclidean Neighborhood Retract). Hence a more detailed study of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ could be the focus of future work. Moreover, it seems that there is a widespread agreement that $\mathrm{M}(q, p)$ has a compactification as a compact smooth manifold with corners (see e.g. ([AB95],p.130) or ([Hut02],p.10), and compare with section 2.5.1). Unfortunately, I have not been able to find any proof of this. On the basis of the above I speculate that the following conjecture holds

### 6.1 Conjecture.

The space of broken flow lines has the structure of a smooth manifold with corners.

Certainly this conjecture could form the basis for future work. Note that if the conjecture holds then $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is an ENR, so in chapter 3 we may use ordinary singular cohomology instead of Čech cohomology, and therefore replace "connected" with "path connected" in corollary 3.15 . Moreover, the surjectivity result of section 4.2 (corollary 4.7) would be obvious since $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is locally path connected. Note also the important fact that duality theorems are at hand.
Besides the above mentioned references I believe that [Lat94],[Lau04], [Sch99], [Lu04], [BC03] and [BC06] could be helpful in an attempt to prove this conjecture.

Finally, it could also be interesting to see how perturbations of $f$ and g would affect $\bar{M}(q, p)$.

### 6.2 Chapter 3

For a given Morse-Smale pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ on a closed $n$-manifold with $f$ having precisely one critical point $q$ of index 0 and one critical point $p$ of index $n$, it was shown that the space of broken flow lines $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is connected if $f$ has no critical points of index 1 or $n-1$.

The above result says nothing when there are critical points of index 1 or $n-1$. Hence this situation could be the focus of future work. At present the methods used in this chapter do not seem sufficient. I speculate that a Mayer-Vietoris like argument would be helpful. More precisely, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence could provide some information (regarding $\mathrm{H}_{0}(\bar{M}(q, p))$ at least), if one could find a suitable open neighborhood of the subspace of $\bar{M}(q, p)$ consisting of flow lines with chain length at least three (that is, of $\bar{M}(q, p)-M(q, p))$. If one could prove that $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is a (B)-prestratification (i.e. a Whitney prestratification) with
subsets of $\bar{M}(q, p)-M(q, p)$ as strata, then the system of tubular neighborhoods described in ([Mat73],Ch.II.6) might be a candidate for such a neighborhood.

### 6.3 Chapter 4

For a given Morse-Smale pair $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ on a closed $n$-manifold with g compatible with the Morse charts, and $f$ having precisely one critical point $q$ of index 0 and one critical point $p$ of index $n$, it was shown that the inclusion of the space of height-parameterized flow lines $M(q, p)$ into the space of broken flow lines $\bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a surjection on $\pi_{0}$. This was a consequence of a gluing procedure for height-parameterized flow lines. If in addition $f$ is self indexing, then the inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup B^{1} \cup B^{2}\right)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$, where $B^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denotes the subspace whose elements have chain length three and $B^{2} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denotes the subspace whose elements have chain length four, say $\{q, a, b, p\}$, with either $\lambda_{a}=1$ and $\lambda_{b}=2$, or $\lambda_{a}=n-2$ and $\lambda_{b}=$ $n-1$. If the assumption on g is omitted then $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow\left(M(q, p) \cup A^{1}\right)$ (and $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ if $n=3)$ induces an injection on $\pi_{0}$, where $A^{1} \subset \bar{M}(q, p)$ denotes the subspace whose elements have chain length three, say $\{q, a, p\}$, with either $\lambda_{a}=1$ or $\lambda_{a}=n-1$.
It is clear that the following conjecture should be the aim of future work.

### 6.2 Conjecture.

The inclusion $M(q, p) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}(q, p)$ induces a bijection on $\pi_{0}$.

Note that this will be a step towards determining $\mathrm{H}_{0}(\bar{M}(q, p))$. I believe that a proof of this conjecture is within reach if one can generalize the "cone construction" in lemma 4.12. In this direction I think that the appendix (by Laudenbach) in [BZ92] could be helpful.

### 6.4 Chapter 5

For a given Morse-Smale pair ( $f, \mathrm{~g}$ ) on an orientable closed 3-manifold with g compatible with the Morse charts, and $f$ self indexing with precisely one critical point $q$ of index 0 and one critical point $p$ of index $n$, it was shown that the number of path components of the space of broken flow lines $\# \bar{M}(q, p)$ can be
estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g+1 \leq \# \bar{M}(q, p) \leq \beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g+\beta_{1}(\mathrm{M})+1 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\# \operatorname{Crit}_{1}(f)=\# \operatorname{Crit}_{2}(f), \beta_{i}$ denotes the $i$ 'th Betti number, and $V(\Gamma)$ denotes the set of 0 -cells of the one dimensional CW-complex $\Gamma$ defined by

$$
\Gamma=\left[\cup_{i}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}\left(a_{i}\right) \pitchfork f^{-1}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)\right] \cup\left[\cup_{i}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{u}\left(b_{i}\right) \pitchfork f^{-1}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)\right]
$$

with $\operatorname{Crit}_{1}(f)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{g}\right\}, \operatorname{Crit}_{2}(f)=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{g}\right\}$ and $\left.\tau_{2} \in\right] 1,2[$. So

$$
\# \bar{M}(q, p)=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-2 g+1
$$

if M is simply connected. Moreover, each term in the formula (6.1) can be computed explicitly (i.e. as a number) if all critical points and intersection numbers are known a priori.

Regarding future work, recall that the estimate (6.1) was due to
$\# \bar{M}(q, p)=\beta_{0}(\Gamma)+\# V(\Gamma)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right)+1$ and $2 g-\beta_{1}(\mathrm{M}) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right) \leq 2 g$ where $i_{*}: \mathrm{H}_{1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(f^{-1}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)$ and $i$ is the inclusion. Hence to improve the estimate (6.1) one could try to improve the estimate for $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(i_{*}\right)\right)$. For this [GRS03] might be a useful reference.

## Appendix A

In this appendix we prove that the closure of any unstable manifold is a prestratified space which is (A)-regular at any noncritical point. This appendix is unrelated to the results obtained in the main text.
I have benefited greatly from discussions with Dr. Lukáš Vokřínek, regarding the results obtained here.

Throughout this appendix let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a closed $n$-manifold M and g a compatible Riemannian metric on M such that $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale.

## A. 1 Stratification

Let $X$ be a $2^{\text {nd }}$ countable paracompact $T_{2}$-space. A prestratification ${ }^{1}$ of $X$ is a pair $(X, \mathcal{P})$ where $\mathcal{P}$ is a partition of $X$ into subsets, called strata. For a prestratification $(X, \mathcal{P})$ we say that $X$ is a prestratified space (with respect to $\mathcal{P})$. When the space $X$ is understood we simply write $\mathcal{P}$ for the prestratification. A prestratification is usually assumed to satisfy the following three conditions

P1) Each stratum $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}$ is locally closed.
P2) $\mathcal{P}$ is locally finite.
P3) Let $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\mathcal{V} \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. In this case we write $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{U}$.

[^34]A prestratification $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is called a decomposition of $X$ with pieces $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}$ if it satisfies the above conditions and each stratum is a manifold (in the induced topology). ${ }^{2}$

Now consider the triple $(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, x)$, where U and V (with $x \in \mathrm{~V}$ ) are submanifolds of a manifold X , and recall that the Whitney condition ( A ) on ( $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, x$ ) is: ${ }^{3}$

Whitney's condition (A): If $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \subset \mathrm{U}$ with $x_{i} \rightarrow x$ and $T_{x_{i}} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow T$, then $T_{x} \vee \subseteq T$.
Here the convergence $T_{x_{i}} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow T$ is in the Grassmannian of $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})$-dimensional subspaces of $T \mathrm{X}$. In the above case we say that $(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, x)$ is $(\mathrm{A})$-regular at $x$, and (A)-regular if it is (A)-regular at $x$ for all $x \in \mathrm{~V}$. We are now able to define the notation of an (A)-prestratification.
Let X be a manifold with $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{X}$. A prestratification $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}\right)$ is said to be an (A)-prestratification if $\mathcal{P}$ is a decomposition (of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ), and ( $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}$ ) is (A)-regular for every $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\mathrm{V} \leq \mathrm{U}$. In this case we say that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is an (A)-prestratified space.

## A. 2 The main result

Recall from section 1.3 that $(\operatorname{Crit}(f), \geq)$ is a poset with $a \geq b$ iff $\mathrm{W}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}=\bigcup_{a \geq b} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a consequence of the $\lambda$-lemma. The main result of this appendix is then;

## A. 1 Lemma.

Let $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a closed $n$-manifold M and g a Riemannian metric on M such that $(f, \mathrm{~g})$ is Morse-Smale and g is compatible with the Morse charts. Consider the prestratification $\left(\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}, \mathcal{P}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}=\{\mathrm{W}(b)\}_{b \in \operatorname{Crit}(f): a \geq b} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q)\right)$ be any pair with $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{u}(q) \leq \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$. The triple $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q), m\right)$ is (A)-regular for any $m \neq q$.

[^35]The proof of this lemma will consists of the following three claims. ${ }^{4}$

## A. 2 Claim.

The pair $\left(\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}, \mathcal{P}\right)$ is a decomposition.

## Proof:

By the Morse-Smale condition each stratum is a submanifold of $M$, so they lie locally closed in M and therefore also in $\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}$ (of course in the induced topology) hence P1). Condition P2) follows from (A.2), since M is compact, and P3) is a consequence of (A.1). This proves the claim.
Now let $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q)\right)$ be as in lemma A.1, hence $p \geq q$ so $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$. We will prove that $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q), m\right)$ is (A)-regular at $m \neq q$. Therefore let $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ with $m_{i} \rightarrow m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)$ and $T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T$, hence we need to prove that $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$. We proceed by showing that lemma A. 1 is true whenever $m \neq q$ is contained in a Morse chart.

## A. 3 Claim.

If $m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)-\{q\}$ be contained in a Morse chart around $q$, then $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$.
Figure 4.5 on page 65 can to some extent be helpful in visualizing the following construction.
Proof:
Let $(\psi, U)$ be a Morse chart around $q$ and assume that $m \in U-\{q\}$. We may work locally, hence we identify $U$ with $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s}$ having coordinates $(x, y)$, and by abuse of notation write $f$ and $\varphi$ for the local representatives $f \circ \psi^{-1}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi_{t} \circ \psi^{-1}$ respectively. Moreover we let $\psi(q)=0$ and $f(0)=0$ hence $f(x, y)=-|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}$ by the Morse lemma, and $\varphi_{t}(x, y)=\left(x e^{2 t}, y e^{-2 t}\right)$ since g is compatible with $U$.
Let $\mathrm{W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)$ denote the local representatives of $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$, i.e. $\mathrm{W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \approx U \cap \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)$, and $z_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \rightarrow z=\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{E}^{u}$ be the sequence corresponding to $m_{i} \rightarrow m$. We thus have to show that

$$
T_{z} \mathbb{E}^{u} \subset T=\lim _{z_{i} \rightarrow z} T_{z_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) .
$$

Let $(u, 0) \in T_{z} \mathbb{E}^{u}$ be any tangent vector. In the sequel we will construct a sequence of tangent vectors in $T_{z_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$ converging to ( $u, 0$ ) implying that

[^36]$T_{z} \mathbb{E}^{u} \subset T$, thus proving the claim. This is done by induction on the relative index $\mu(p, q)=\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{q}$. Hence assume that $\mu(p, q)=1$.
Let $t_{i}=1 / 2 \ln \left|y_{i}\right|$ and consider the sequence $w_{i}=\varphi_{t_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)=\left(x_{i}\left|y_{i}\right|, y_{i} /\left|y_{i}\right|\right)$ in $\mathrm{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$. Since $x_{i}\left|y_{i}\right| \rightarrow 0$ and $y_{i} /\left|y_{i}\right| \in \mathbb{S}^{s}$, we have $w_{i} \rightarrow w=\left(0, y^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}^{s}$, by transition to a subsequence. Moreover, $w \notin\left(\overline{\mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)}-\mathrm{W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)\right)$ since $\mu(p, q)=1$ and $w \in \mathbb{S}^{s}$, hence $w \in \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{s}$ and $T_{w_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T_{w} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)$. It follows that
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{u} \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s} & \approx \nu_{w}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{s}, \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)\right) \oplus T_{w}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{s}\right) \oplus \nu_{w}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{s}, \mathbb{E}^{s}\right) \\
& \approx \nu_{w}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \pitchfork \mathbb{E}^{s}, \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)\right) \oplus \mathbb{E}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

hence $(u, v) \in T_{w} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$ for some $v \in \mathbb{E}^{s}$. Let $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ denote a sequence with $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \in T_{w_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$ and $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$. Now using the linear isomor$\operatorname{phism} \mathrm{D} \varphi_{-t_{i}}\left(w_{i}\right): T_{w_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T_{z_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}(p)$, we have $\mathrm{D} \varphi_{-t_{i}}\left(w_{i}\right)\left(\left|y_{i}\right| u_{i},\left|y_{i}\right| v_{i}\right)=$ $\left(u_{i},\left|y_{i}\right|^{2} v_{i}\right)$ by direct calculations. Hence $\left(u_{i},\left|y_{i}\right|^{2} v_{i}\right) \rightarrow(u, 0)$ proving the basis step in the induction.
By induction hypothesis assume that the pair $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{W}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is (A)-regular for $\mu\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)<i$.
Now consider the pair $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q)\right)$ with $\mu(p, q)=i$. We then repeat the above argument and arrive at the sequence $w_{i}=\left(x_{i}\left|y_{i}\right|, y_{i} /\left|y_{i}\right|\right) \in \mathbf{W}_{l o c}^{u}(p) \rightarrow$ $w=\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)$ with $y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}^{s}$. If $w \in \mathbf{W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$ we are done, if not then certainly $w \in$ $\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\mathrm{W}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \subset \overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)}=\bigcup_{p \geq b} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(b)$, in particular $w \in \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \pitchfork$ $\mathbb{E}^{s}$. Therefore

1) $\mu\left(p, q^{\prime}\right)<i$, hence $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is (A)-regular
2) $(u, v) \in T_{w} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$

So there is a sequence $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ with $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \in T_{w_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$, since $(u, v) \in$ $T_{w} \mathrm{~W}_{l o c}^{u}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \subset \lim _{w_{i} \rightarrow w} T_{w_{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$. It now follows that $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q)\right)$ is (A)regular at $m$ by repeating the last part of the above argument.
We now show that claim A. 3 is all we need if $m \neq q$.

## A. 4 Claim.

Let $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ with $m_{i} \rightarrow m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)$ and $\varphi$ be the flow corresponding to $-\nabla f$.

1) If $T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T$ and $t_{i} \rightarrow t$ then $d \varphi_{t_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right) T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow d \varphi_{t}(m) T$.
2) If $d \varphi_{t}(m) T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq d \varphi_{t}(m) T$ then $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$.

Proof:
ad 1): This is a local problem, hence let $U_{m}$ be a chart around $m$ and $T U_{m} \approx$ $U_{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the local trivialization corresponding to $U_{m}$. The above assumption then becomes $\left(m_{i}, \mathbb{F}_{i}\right) \rightarrow(m, \mathbb{F})$ where $\mathbb{F}_{i}, \mathbb{F} \in G\left(n, \lambda_{p}\right)$ are the local representatives of $T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)$ and $T$, respectively, and $G\left(n, \lambda_{p}\right)$ denotes the Grassmannian of $\lambda_{p}$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Now let $U_{z}$ be a chart around $z=\varphi_{t}(m)$ and $T U_{z} \approx U_{z} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the local trivialization. We then have to show that $\left(z_{i}, d \varphi_{t_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right) \mathbb{F}_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(z, d \varphi_{t}(m) \mathbb{F}\right)$, where $z_{i}=\varphi_{t_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right)$.
Since $\varphi$ is smooth $z_{i}=\varphi_{t_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right) \rightarrow z=\varphi_{t}(m)$. Moreover, $d \varphi_{t_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right) \rightarrow d \varphi_{t}(m)$ in $G L(n)$ since $d \varphi_{t_{i}}: U_{m} \rightarrow G L(n)$ for each $t_{i}$. Hence $d \varphi_{t_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right) \mathbb{F}_{i} \rightarrow d \varphi_{t}(x) \mathbb{F}$, since the natural action $G L(n) \times G\left(n, \lambda_{p}\right) \rightarrow G\left(n, \lambda_{p}\right) ;(A, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow A \mathbb{E}$ is smooth (see e.g. ([Lee03],p.234)).
ad 2): This is clear since $d \varphi_{t}(m): T_{m} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{z} \mathrm{M}$ is a linear isomorphism.
We can now prove lemma A.1.
Proof:
The partition $\mathcal{P}$ is a decomposition of $\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}$ by claim A.2.
Let $\left(\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q)\right)$ be any pair with $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p), \mathrm{W}^{u}(q) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{u}(q) \leq \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$. Assume that $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ with $m_{i} \rightarrow m \in \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)-\{q\}$ and $T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T$, hence we need to prove that $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$.
If $m$ is contained in a Morse chart we may apply claim A.3. If not choose $t$ such that $z=\varphi(t, m) \in \mathrm{W}^{u}(q)$ is in a Morse chart around $q$. Let $z_{i}=$ $\varphi_{t}\left(m_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ and apply part one of claim A. 4 to conclude that $T_{z_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p)=$ $d \varphi_{t}\left(m_{i}\right) T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow d \varphi_{t}(m) T$, and claim A. 3 to conclude $d \varphi_{t}(m) T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)=$ $T_{z} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq d \varphi_{t}(m) T$. Hence $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$ by part two of claim A.4.

## A. 3 Future work

The above should be seen as the start of a project whose goal is to determine whether or not $\left(\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}, \mathcal{P}\right)$ is a $(\mathrm{B})$-prestratification (i.e. a Whitney prestratification, see [Mat73] or [Pflo1] for details). Of course this requires that ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}, \mathcal{P}\right)$ is an (A)-prestratification i.e. one needs to prove the following conjecture.

## A. 5 Conjecture.

If $m_{i}$ is a sequence in $\mathrm{W}^{u}(p)$ converging to $q$ and $T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T$, then $T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq$ $T$.

To this end we prove the following special case.

## A. 6 Claim.

Let $m \in \mathrm{~W}(p, q)$. If $m_{i}$ is a sequence in the orbit of $\varphi_{m}$ converging to $q$ and $T_{m_{i}} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(p) \rightarrow T$, then $T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$.

Proof:
Let $V$ be a direct summand of $T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)$ in $T_{m} \mathrm{M}$ i.e $T_{m} \mathrm{M}=V \oplus T_{m} \mathrm{~W}^{s}(q)$. In [Abb] it is proven that $d \varphi_{t}(m) V \rightarrow T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{m} \mathrm{M} & =\nu_{m}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q), \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)\right) \oplus T_{m} \mathbf{W}(p, q) \oplus \nu_{m}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q), \mathbf{W}^{s}(q)\right) \\
& =\nu_{m}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q), \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)\right) \oplus T_{m} \mathbf{W}^{s}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\nu_{m}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q), \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)\right) \subset T_{m} \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)$. Hence

$$
d \varphi_{t}(m) \nu_{m}\left(\mathbf{W}(p, q), \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)\right) \subset d \varphi_{t}(m) T_{m} \mathbf{W}^{u}(p)
$$

and therefore $T_{q} \mathrm{~W}^{u}(q) \subseteq T$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumptions such as $f$ is self indexing and/or has only one minimum/maximum, g is compatible with the Morse charts, and M orientable will be applied when necessary.
    ${ }^{2}$ The order of the pair $(q, p)$ in $\bar{M}(q, p)$ is to indicate that elements of this space start at $q$ and ends at $p$, whereas elements of $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$, when identified with flow lines, does the opposite. See also remark 2.6 and below this.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ It should be mentioned that the aim of [CJS95] (and [Coh92]) are not the compactification result as such, but to recover the topology of M from the classifying space of a topological category C , with $\mathrm{Obj}=\operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and $\operatorname{Mor}(q, p)=\bar{M}(q, p)$.
    ${ }^{4}$ See also section 6.1 in chapter 6 .

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Antagelser så som $f$ er selv indekserende og/eller har kun et minimum/maksimum, g er kompatibel med Morse kortene, og M er orienterbar vil blive anvendt om nødvendigt.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ordenen af parret $(q, p)$ i $\bar{M}(q, p)$ indikerer at elementer i dette rum starter i $q$ og slutter i $p$, hvorimod elementer i $\mathrm{M}(p, q)$, når identificeret med flow linjer, opføre sig modsat. Se også remark 2.6 og teksten under denne.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ Det skal nævnes at målet med [CJS95] (og [Coh92]) ikke er kompaktifiserings resultatet som sådan, men at rekonstruere topologien på $M$ fra det klassificerende rum hørende til en topologisk kategori C , hvor $\mathrm{Obj}=\operatorname{Crit}(f)$ og $\operatorname{Mor}(q, p)=\bar{M}(q, p)$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Se også afsnit 6.1 i kapitel 6.1.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The above can be found in ([Irw01],p.39,60-75) and ([AM78],Ch.2.1).

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ With $c$ a smooth curve on M such that $c(0)=p$ and $\dot{c}(0)=u$ we have $\Phi(0, u)=$ $d \varphi_{0} u=\partial_{s=0} \varphi_{0}(c(s))=\partial_{s=0} c(s)=u$ and $\Phi(t+\tau, u)=\partial_{s=0} \varphi_{t}\left(\varphi_{\tau}(c(s))\right)=\partial \varphi_{t}(p) d \varphi_{\tau}(p) u=$ $\Phi(t, \Phi(\tau, u))$.
    ${ }^{3}$ With notation as above we have $H v=\partial_{t=0} \Phi(t, u)=\partial_{t=0} d \varphi(t, u)=\partial_{t=0} \partial_{s=0} \varphi(t, c(s))=$ $\partial_{s=0} \partial_{t=0} \varphi(t, c(s))=\partial_{s=0} X(c(s))=d X(p) u$, hence $H=d X(p)$.
    ${ }^{4}$ See ([PdM82],Ch.2.2) or ([Irw01],Ch.4) for details.
    ${ }^{5}$ For details see ([PdM82],Ch.2.3(2.4)) and ([Irw01],Ch.5.III).

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ The above can be found in ([Irw01],Ch.6.2) and ([PdM82],Ch.2.6).
    ${ }^{7}$ See ([Irw01],App.5) and ([Shu87],Ch.3). One can also compare with ([Fra79],p.200),

[^7]:    ([Fra82],p.8) or [Mey68].
    ${ }^{8}$ See ([Hir94],p.104). This can be compared to ([Web06],Ch.2.2)

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ For the local computations see ([BH04],Ch.4.1). One can compare this with ([Jos02],p.139+289).
    ${ }^{10}$ See ([Gre67],Ch.IX.2) and compare with ([Kos93],Ch.IV.4).
    ${ }^{11}$ For the first part see ([BH04],Ch.3.2), ([Jos02],Ch.6.4) or ([Web06],Ch.2.1). For the second part see ([Hir94],p.147) and compare with ([BH04],p.50) and ([Mat02],p.47).

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ See ([BH04],Ch.6.4) and [Wis05].
    ${ }^{13}$ See ([Kos93],Ch.IV.3) for the first part, and ([PdM82],Ch.2.3) for the last part.
    ${ }^{14}$ For genericity results related to a Morse-Smale pair see ([BH04],p.160-164), ([Sch93],Ch.2.3) and ([Web06],Ch.3.1). For comparison see [Sma61], [Pal68] or [PS70].

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ See section 2.2 and ([BH04],Ch.6) for details. On can also compare with ([Jos02],Ch.6.5).
    ${ }^{16}$ For details see [Abb]. This can be compared to ([GRS03],p.7) and ([Sal90],p.117).

[^11]:    ${ }^{17}$ for proofs see e.g. ([BH04],Ch.3.2-3.3), ([Mil63],§3) or ([Hir94],Ch.6.2-6.3).

[^12]:    ${ }^{18}$ For details see e.g. ([Mat02],Ch.3), ([Fra82],p.7-11) or [Mil65].

[^13]:    ${ }^{19}$ Or "straightening angles", see ([Con79],Ch.I.3)
    ${ }^{20}$ For details and more information see ([Mil65],p.20), ([Mat02],Ch.2), [Sma61], [Fra79] or [Fra82].

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Regarding the $c$-topology see e.g. ([Dug66],Ch.XII).

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ More precisely, for $m^{\prime}$ and each $a \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ choose open neighborhoods $U_{m^{\prime}}$ and $U_{a}$ such that $U_{m^{\prime}} \cap U=\emptyset$, with $U=\cup_{a} U_{a}$. Now if $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ contains no bounded subsequence then $\left\{t_{i} . m_{i}\right\} \subset U$ for $i \geq N$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts $t_{i} . m_{i} \rightarrow m^{\prime}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ For results and definitions see ([Lee03],p.216-223).

[^16]:    ${ }^{4}$ See ([Let03],Ch.3.2) and ([Lee03],p.170) for details. One can also compare with ([Sch93],Ch.2.4.1).
    ${ }^{5}$ Note that, for a chain the terminology source and target are "backwards" when compared to the orientation of the flow lines of $-\nabla f$. However, the terminology is in agreement with the orientation of the flow lines of $\nabla f$ (and $\nabla f /|\nabla f|^{2}$ ), and such flow lines will be used throughout (see also remark 2.6).

[^17]:    ${ }^{6}$ For comparison see ([Irw01],App.B.II) or ([Hir94],Ch.2).

[^18]:    ${ }^{7}$ See ([Let03],p.15-16).
    ${ }^{8}$ See ([Dug66],p.258.265)
    ${ }^{9}$ One can compare with ([Sch93],Ch.2.4.1).

[^19]:    ${ }^{10}$ This result can properly be extended to the smooth case, see ([Irw01],App.B.II) or ([AR67],Ch.2).

[^20]:    ${ }^{11}$ Note that if $t=f(p)$ (resp. $\left.t=f(q)\right)$ then $\left.] \alpha^{\prime}, t\right]$ (resp. $\left[t, \omega^{\prime}[\right.$ ) is an open neighborhood of $t$ in $[f(q), f(p)]$.

[^21]:    ${ }^{12}$ See ([Lan99],Ch.II.2) and ([Bou98],Ch.I.3.3)

[^22]:    ${ }^{13}$ See ([Let03],Ch.2.2)

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ The last part can also be proven directly. The compactness is trivial, and the Hausdorff property follows since we may separate $\beta\left(\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]\right)$ and $\beta^{\prime}\left(\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right]\right)$ in M if $\beta \neq \beta^{\prime}$ in $\bar{M}\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)$.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ See ([Bre93],p.179-180)

[^25]:    ${ }^{2}$ If this property is wanted one has to proceed along the lines mentioned in remark 2.7.
    ${ }^{3}$ Just as a passing remark, recall that $\iota$ the injectivity radius is a continuous function ([Kli95],p.131).

[^26]:    ${ }^{4}$ In the case strictly negative the following argument has to be applied to a neighborhood of $s_{1}^{\prime}$ instead of $s_{2}^{\prime}$.

[^27]:    ${ }^{5} \rho(I)(\kappa) \subset \mathrm{W}^{s}(q)$ by assumption.

[^28]:    ${ }^{6}$ See e.g. ([Kos93],p.62) or ([Bre93],p.84)

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ This assumption is included since we wish to use proposition 4.10.

[^30]:    ${ }^{2}$ See 5.3.1 in the appendix of this chapter.

[^31]:    ${ }^{3}$ In ([Bre93],p.352) the first row of the diagram is given in terms of Čech cohomology. But $S$ and $K$ are both ENR's, hence Čech cohomology coincides with ordinary cohomology ([Dol80],p.285).

[^32]:    ${ }^{4}$ The (co)homology groups of $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ are finitely generated since $\Gamma$ is a finite CW-complex and $\Sigma$ is a compact manifold ([Bre93],p.538).

[^33]:    ${ }^{5}$ See 5.3.2 in the appendix of this chapter.
    ${ }^{6}$ See e.g. ([Hat02],p.205)

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ See ([Mat73],p.199)

[^35]:    ${ }^{2}$ See ([Pfl01],p.15)
    ${ }^{3}$ See ([Mat73],p.203) or ([Pfl01],p.36)

[^36]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that $\left(\overline{\mathrm{W}^{u}(a)}, \mathcal{P}\right)$ is an $(\mathrm{A})$-prestratification if $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{M}) \leq 2$, by dimensional reasons.

