

Boise State University

ScholarWorks

Kinesiology Faculty Publications and
Presentations

Department of Kinesiology

9-2023

Once You See It, You Can't Unsee It?: Racial Justice Activism and Articulations of Whiteness Among White Collegiate Athlete Activists

Yannick Kluch

Virginia Commonwealth University

Emma Calow

Bowling Green State University

Eric M. Martin

Boise State University

Travis R. Scheadler

Ohio State University

Andrew Mac Intosh

RISE

See next page for additional authors

Authors

Yannick Kluch, Emma Calow, Eric M. Martin, Travis R. Scheadler, Andrew Mac Intosh, and Shannon Jolly

1 **Once You See It, You Can't Unsee It? Racial Justice Activism and Articulations of**
2 **Whiteness Among White Collegiate Athlete Activists**

3 **Yannick Kluch**

4 Virginia Commonwealth University

5 **Emma Calow**

6 Bowling Green State University

7 **Eric M. Martin**

8 Boise State University

9 **Travis R. Sheadler**

10 Ohio State University

11 **Andrew Mac Intosh**

12 RISE

13 **Shannon Jolly**

14 University of Georgia

15 *Date of Submission: August 27, 2022*

16
17 **Abstract:**

18 The goal of this study was to examine how athletes holding privileged racial identities
19 understand their whiteness as they engage in racial justice activism. Drawing from twelve semi-
20 structured interviews with white collegiate athletes who have engaged in activism for racial
21 justice, we identified four higher-order themes which we situate within a broader discussion of
22 how each theme either reinforces or disrupts racial power: articulations of (1) racial
23 consciousness, (2) white privilege, (3) white empathy, and (4) white accountability. While the
24 *white accountability* theme has the potential to disrupt racial power due to its relying on rigorous
25 self-critique, the remaining themes pointed to limited understandings of the systemic nature of
26 racism, which can thus inadvertently (re)produce white supremacy even when engaging in
27 activism for racial justice. Limitations, implications, and future directions for research are

28 discussed to empower more white athletes to reflect critically on whiteness and facilitate
29 systemic change.

30 **Keywords:** *critical whiteness studies, social justice, diversity, equity, race, intercollegiate sport,*
31 *interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA)*

32 In the summer of 2020, the United States (U.S.) witnessed a rejuvenation of the Black
33 Lives Matter movement following the continued murders of unarmed Black Americans by law
34 enforcement within months of each other. As a result, conversations about police brutality,
35 whiteness, and institutional anti-Black racism coursed through academic and non-academic
36 spaces in the U.S. and across the (“Western”) world. Accordingly, the U.S. was forced to
37 confront its long, convoluted history with racial injustice and the legacies of systemic racism.
38 Because sport cannot be separated from the society in which it operates (Kaufman & Wolff,
39 2010), the rejuvenation of the Black Lives Matter movement also manifested in the institution of
40 sport. Indeed, athletes across leagues, sports, and sport organizations alike utilized their
41 platforms to call attention to the systemic injustices faced by Black Americans and other racially
42 minoritized populations, drawing from a rich legacy of athletes who have historically used their
43 involvement in sport to demonstrate solidarity for Black Americans and promote positive social
44 change (Zirin, 2021).

45 Athletes from minoritized groups are most likely to engage in activism (Mac Intosh et al.,
46 2020). Therefore, it is not surprising that most research on activism in sport has focused on the
47 experiences of Black athletes (Fuller & Agyemang, 2018), women (Cooky, 2017), LGBTQ+
48 individuals (Anderson et al., 2016), athletes with disabilities or impairments (Bundon & Hurd
49 Clarke, 2015), and individuals holding multiple of the aforementioned identities (Calow, 2021).
50 A significant gap in the scholarship, however, points to the experiences and perceptions of
51 collegiate athletes engaged in activism for racial justice who benefit from the racial hegemony:
52 white athletes. White people often inadvertently (re)produce structural racism on an everyday
53 basis and, as Leonardo (2004) has argued convincingly, “whites recreate their own racial
54 supremacy, despite good intentions” (p. 144). Therefore, the purpose of this exploratory study

55 was to examine how collegiate athlete activists who identify as white understand their whiteness
56 and negotiate their racial privilege while engaging in activism for racial justice. Such information
57 can be used to identify how white people can more effectively work towards progressive and
58 sustainable systemic social change for racial justice without recreating the issue they seek to
59 eradicate.

60 **Critical Whiteness Studies**

61 Informed by their work in legal studies during the 1970s and 1980s, Black scholars have
62 developed Critical Race Theory to call attention to how race has operated as a social construct
63 that serves the interest of white people while systematically disadvantaging other racial groups
64 (Bell, 1980; Crenshaw, 1988; Crenshaw et al., 1995). Critical Race Theory scholars challenge
65 the notion that racism solely manifests in individual acts of hatred (a common misperception
66 among the general public) and instead posit racism is structural and integrated into the cultural,
67 political, and social institutions to systemically benefit white people (Gilborn & Ladson-Billings,
68 2019). Informed by the groundbreaking work of critical race theorists and the pioneers paving
69 the way for the critical analysis of systemic racism over a century ago (see e.g., Du Bois, 1920),
70 *critical whiteness studies* emerged as a critique to studies within the field that reduced inquiry
71 into whiteness to developmental models of white identity and racial consciousness. In turn,
72 critics argued, the institutional and systemic nature of white domination was dismissed
73 (Thompson, 2003; Leonardo, 2004). With the goal of calling into question the systems that
74 reinforce white supremacy as ideology (Applebaum, 2016), critical whiteness scholars argue that
75 whiteness cannot be separated from structures of white supremacy and cannot “[forsake]
76 structural analysis for a focus on the individual” (Leonardo, 2004, p. 141).

77 Leonardo (2013), in particular, critiques how critical whiteness studies must move away
78 from looking at identity and privilege (i.e., micro level) as the center of analysis to
79 manifestations of whiteness as ideological tools reinforcing white hegemony (i.e., the macro
80 level). He writes:

81 ... the theme of privilege obscures the subject of domination, or the agent of actions,
82 because the situation is described as happening almost without the knowledge of whites.
83 ... The study of white privilege begins to take on an image of domination without agents.
84 It obfuscates the historical process of domination in exchange for a state of dominance *in*
85 *media res* ... removing the actions that make it clear who is doing what to whom. Instead
86 of emphasizing the process of appropriation, the discourse of privilege centers the
87 discussion on the advantages that whites receive. It mistakes the symptoms for causes.
88 (Leonardo, 2004, p. 138, emphasis in original).

89 As such, critical whiteness studies move beyond raising awareness of white privilege toward
90 dismantling the structural hierarchy reinforcing the hegemony of whiteness (Foste & Irwin,
91 2020).

92 A key concept in critical whiteness studies is the idea that white people are complicit in
93 keeping white supremacy intact (Applebaum, 2010; Foste & Irwin, 2020). Therefore, critical
94 whiteness scholars must pay close attention to the ways in which white people contribute to the
95 perpetuation of white dominance, despite good intentions or awareness of their white privilege
96 (Applebaum, 2010). For example, white people are socialized into misunderstanding how racism
97 operates on a structural level which works to reinforce racial power (Fields, 2001; Leonardo &
98 Manning, 2017). In addition, Foste and Irwin (2020) argue that the act of being aware of one's
99 whiteness in itself does not lead to structural change, but rather preserves whiteness. They have

100 posited that increased racial consciousness and understanding of racial privilege can deflect from
101 the rigorous self-critique needed to understand one's position within structures of white
102 supremacy (Foste & Irwin, 2020). Contextualization of white people's racial awareness through
103 the acknowledgment of structural components of whiteness is of utmost importance, so that "we
104 do not take white participants' narratives as the final source of authority; rather, speech acts
105 should be examined for their role in creating identity categories grounded in inequitable power
106 structures" (Foste & Irwin, 2020, p. 452).

107 **White Activists' Engagement in Racial Justice Activism**

108 It is not surprising then that for white activists' efforts to be effective, they need to have a
109 thorough understanding of their own role in upholding white supremacy and racial inequities, an
110 endeavor that sometimes can be challenging even for those white people committed to racial
111 justice (Eichstedt, 2001). Researchers looking at constructions of whiteness among those
112 attempting to disrupt the current system, such as white antiracist activists, found that well-
113 intentioned white people often inadvertently reinforce white supremacist ideologies. For
114 example, Hughey (2010; 2012) studied constructions of whiteness among white members of two
115 activist organizations at opposite ends of the political spectrum: a white nationalist organization
116 as well as a white antiracist organization. Hughey (2010) found striking similarities between the
117 two when it came to its members' constructions of whiteness, so much so that he concluded that
118 "white racial identities cannot be distilled into static political formations that are distinct and
119 separable; rather they share a common allegiance to dominant racial (and often racist) ideologies
120 that transcend differing belief systems" (p. 1306). Specifically, participants across both
121 organizations bought into essentialist views of whiteness (i.e., white people as being inherently
122 different from and superior to their racially minoritized peers) and engaged in forms of intra-

123 racial distinction through which they separated themselves from white peers deemed inadequate
124 in performing what they viewed as appropriate white identity (Hughey, 2010; 2012).

125 These findings support established research documenting many white activists' inability
126 to apply the critical consciousness needed to achieve antiracist outcomes to their own activist
127 practice (Case, 2012; Warren, 2010). For example, while expressions of systemic racism are
128 often fairly obvious for racially minoritized activists, such manifestations are harder to recognize
129 for white activist peers (Case, 2012). In their study of white activists' participation in efforts to
130 remove racist imagery and mascots from Major League Baseball's Cleveland franchise, Jacobs
131 and Taylor (2011) documented those white activists were at times hesitant to perform necessary
132 organizational tasks to counteract white guilt, which in turn affected the efficiency of the
133 antiracist endeavors. The white activists gave leadership roles to their racially minoritized peers
134 not because they bought into their leadership, but rather because they saw it as a strategy not to
135 engage in as much depth with the organization's work (Jacobs & Taylor, 2011). Set in a different
136 cultural context, Ebert and Pillay (2022) also identified guilt as a barrier to antiracist change;
137 they examined white people's racial justice activism in South Africa and found that activists
138 were not engaging on issues of race at all due to feelings of guilt over their racial privilege.

139 Because such behaviors can be harmful not only to activist efforts but also to the people
140 who would benefit from the activism, scholars have started to look at the impact white racial
141 justice activists can have on their racially minoritized peers engaging in activism. For example,
142 Gorski (2019a, 2019b) found a key contributor to the activist burnout of activists of color was
143 the behaviors of their white activist peers, many of whom "carried their privilege and entitlement
144 into racial justice movements" (Gorski & Erakat, 2019, p. 786). In doing so, they at times
145 perpetuated racist views, were lacking the action-oriented mindset to step up when needed, took

146 credit for their racially minoritized peers' work, and demonstrated defensiveness and white
147 fragility (Gorski & Erakat, 2019). Such tendencies can effectively undermine the efforts of
148 racially minoritized activists and the movements of which they are a part (Gorski, 2019a;
149 Jonsson, 2016; Mallett et al., 2008; Warren, 2010). Because these findings show that white
150 activism can be counterproductive to racial justice movements, yet athlete activism in the arena
151 of sport is becoming more common (Mac Intosh et al., 2020), it is important to better understand
152 the experiences – and potentially harmful behaviors – of white athlete activists committed to
153 racial justice who choose to use their involvement in sport for activist efforts.

154 **Race, Critical Whiteness Studies, and Activism in College Sport**

155 The racial hegemony caused by a system that protects and reinforces whiteness is also
156 reflected in the institution of sport (Hawkins et al., 2017). Although sociologists focused on the
157 study of race in sport initially solely examined the impact of the existence of racism in sport,
158 they more recently have extended their scope to “think of sport as a contested terrain: a space
159 where racial ideologies are circulated, imposed, resisted, changed, and altered” (Carrington,
160 2013, p. 389). Inquiries into the construction of race and the impact of systemic racism have
161 ranged from examining the persistence of whiteness in sport leadership (Bradbury, 2013),
162 socialization into racialized sport (Brooks & McKail, 2008), the intersections of racial and
163 athletic identities (Harrison et al., 2011), barriers facing racially minoritized individuals on
164 predominantly white college campuses (Bimper, 2017; Singer, 2005), experiences of racially
165 minoritized athletes at historically Black colleges and universities (Cooper, 2013), racist
166 stereotypes facing racially minoritized individuals (Sailes, 1993), and development of a sense of
167 belonging among racially minoritized individuals or lack thereof (Carter-Francique, 2018).

168 Researchers have also looked at whiteness in the context of college sport to examine the
169 various ways in which it serves as a site for the maintenance of white power (King, 2005). For
170 example, Vadeboncoeur and Bopp (2019) reviewed literature on white racial identity among
171 collegiate athletes and found that white athletes have high racial consciousness yet often fail to
172 understand how their whiteness affords them structural power. In a separate study,
173 Vadeboncoeur and Bopp (2020) provided further evidence that while white athletes were often
174 able to articulate how whiteness shaped a distinct racial identity and identify examples of overt
175 racism, they struggled to point to the structural nature of racism and the role whiteness played
176 within it. Drawing from critical whiteness studies specifically, Hextrum (2020) pointed to three
177 specific mechanisms that support the maintenance and prevent the disruption of white
178 dominance: racial segregation (i.e., “the creation of racially segregated sporting experiences,” p.
179 388), racial innocence (i.e., institutions creating white spaces that allow white athletes not to
180 think about their role in perpetuating racism), and racial protection (i.e., reinforcing white sports
181 as “*the* standard of athletic excellence,” p. 390, emphasis in original). These processes facilitate
182 and reinforce underdeveloped understandings of systemic racism among white athletes, thereby
183 “allow[ing] white athletes to dodge their role in racism and avoid racial justice responsibilities”
184 (Hextrum, 2020, p. 385).

185 It is not surprising, then, that research on activism has centered on racially minoritized
186 groups (e.g., Agyemang et al., 2010) – rightfully so, given the central role of Black athletes in
187 many activist movements (Edwards, 2017; Cooper et al., 2019). For decades, athletes –
188 particularly athletes with minoritized identities – have used their status within and platforms
189 provided by sport to speak out against racial injustice in the United States given their first-hand
190 experiences with systemic oppression and discrimination (Agyemang et al., 2020) and fighting

191 for the communities of which they are a part (e.g., Coombs & Cassilo, 2017). Edwards (2017)
192 has argued that athlete activist movements happen in waves, as they often correspond to social
193 movements happening in society at large. However, because sport is often perceived as an
194 apolitical space, backlash against athletes who engage in activism, particularly Black athletes,
195 can be intense (Frederick et al., 2017; Kaufman, 2008; Sanderson et al., 2016). As a result,
196 research on collegiate athlete activism often focuses on Black athletes' activism against racism
197 and their experiences with racism and backlash (Agyemang et al., 2010; Kaufman, 2008). For
198 instance, in 2015, Black football players at the University of Missouri peacefully protested
199 racially charged university-wide discrimination by refusing to play one of their games. Although
200 this protest led to tangible structural change (with the university president resigning), it also
201 shone a light on the integral and often invisible role and operationalization of whiteness in
202 college sport (Frederick et al., 2017; Gill et al., 2020).

203 The Mizzou protest attests to the power of collegiate athlete activists, which may be why
204 scholars have started to examine topics such as resource mobilization (Ferguson & Davis, 2019),
205 motivations for activism (Kluch, 2021), definitions of activism (Kluch, 2020), overall
206 experiences of activists (Fuller & Agyemang, 2018), and perceptions of activist efforts
207 (Frederick et al., 2017) at the collegiate level. These studies, though, almost exclusively focus on
208 collegiate athlete activists who identify as members of minoritized groups – such as Black
209 athletes. Even though white collegiate athletes have expressed positive views in support of
210 engaging in activism for social justice (Mac Intosh et al., 2020) and can utilize their racial power
211 to create systemic change (Jolly et al., 2021), no research to date has examined how whiteness
212 shapes white athlete activists' engagement in activism. Therefore, the following questions guided
213 this study:

214 RQ1: How do white collegiate athlete activists' perceptions of race and racism affect
215 their decision to engage in racial justice activism?

216 RQ2: How does whiteness affect white athletes' engagement in racial justice activism?

217 **Methodology**

218 This study draws from interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA), rooted
219 philosophically in Heideggerian hermeneutics (Benner, 1994; Diekelmann, 2001), to find
220 answers to the research questions above. A form of qualitative research tied to a constructionist
221 epistemological tradition, IPA allows researchers to document participants' perceptions of their
222 lived experiences in the broader cultural context they operate in – and then provide an in-depth
223 interpretation of those experiences (Patton, 2002; Smith, 2004). As Smith (2004) puts it, in IPA
224 the “participant is trying to make sense of their personal and social world; the researcher is trying
225 to make sense of the participant trying to make sense of their personal and social world” (p. 40).

226 IPA provides a particularly valuable tool to examine white activism for racial justice
227 because it does not only allow for the close examination of perceptions and interpretations of
228 participants' experience (i.e., participation in racial justice activism as a person with a privileged
229 racial identity), but also situating them within the larger social context that those experiences
230 emerge from (i.e., a society permeated by systemic racism). As such, “person and world are not
231 separate but instead are co-constituting and mutually disclosing” (Palmer et al., 2010, p. 99).
232 Moreover, IPA has been used by scholars to study whiteness in the context of intercollegiate
233 sport (see e.g., Vadeboncoeur & Bopp, 2020).

234 **Participants**

235 Studies utilizing IPA usually draw from a smaller number of participants in order to
236 understand “each participant's experience sufficiently to enable comparison with the experiences

237 of other persons in the study” (Porter & Cohen, 2012, p. 189). Recommendations for sample
238 sizes for studies utilizing IPA range from as few as two to three participants (Dukes, 1984) to up
239 to twelve participants (Ray, 1994), with a robust body of scholarship recommending between
240 eight and twelve participants (Corbin & Strauss, 2015; Parse, 1990; Ray, 1994; Smith et al.,
241 2009). For the present study, twelve participants were recruited using criterion-based purposeful
242 sampling (Patton, 2002). Participants had to self-identify as white, be active collegiate athletes at
243 an NCAA Division I, II, or III institution, and self-identify as an activist for racial justice.
244 Because there is no one way to do activism, participants’ involvement in activism ranged from
245 activism on social media platforms (i.e., posts on Instagram and/or Twitter), individual physical
246 actions at sporting competitions (i.e., kneeling during the national anthem), or engaging one’s
247 community in social justice related initiatives (i.e., student-led organizations and panels).¹

248 Our research team utilized a variety of strategies to recruit participants. As researchers
249 and practitioners in sport, we used our professional networks to recruit participants as a first
250 strategy to identify potential participants. Each author reached out to athletic directors,
251 administrators, professors, and/or coaches to identify potential participants and invite them to be
252 a part of the study. It is important to note here that we reached out to individuals with whom we
253 had built rapport through previous collaboration, as it was important to us that these individuals
254 were able to identify potential participants whose activism may not be viewed favorably by the
255 institutions that employ them. As an additional recruitment strategy, some of us used personal
256 connections to students. For example, the lead author led a webinar focusing on social justice in
257 sport in October 2020 which several collegiate athletes who were engaging in racial justice

¹ We realize that self-identifying as an activist does not speak to the impact of participants’ activism; that is, even though they may identify as activists for racial justice, this study cannot speak to the impact participants’ activism had. For example, scholars have pointed to the fact that social media activism, sometimes classified as *slacktivism*, can be performative rather than substantive in nature (see e.g., Linder et al., 2016).

258 activism attended. The lead author was then able to reconnect with those athletes to ask if they
259 would be willing to participate in the study. As a third recruitment strategy, research team
260 members also screened online and social media for news coverage of athlete activism and
261 reached out to collegiate athletes who were active on their respective social media accounts
262 about racial justice. For our final recruitment strategy, we relied on snowball sampling (Merriam
263 & Tisdell, 2016) to allow participants to suggest additional participants fitting the criteria of the
264 study. Potential participants were messaged directly to explain the purpose of the study. Once
265 approval was given for participation, a member of the research team contacted the participants to
266 arrange a suitable day and time for the interview.

267 Out of the twelve white collegiate athlete activists in the sample, five identified as men
268 and seven identified as women. The participants competed in a diverse range of sports, including
269 cross country/track and field ($n = 4$), American football ($n = 2$), gymnastics ($n = 1$), softball ($n =$
270 1), rowing, ($n = 1$), crew ($n = 1$) and swimming ($n = 1$). At the time of the data collection, two
271 participants were first-year students, four were juniors, five were seniors, and one was a graduate
272 student. To maintain confidentiality and protect the participants, pseudonyms were used and any
273 other personal identifiers from the transcripts were omitted.

274 **Procedure**

275 This study utilized semi-structured interviewing to understand white collegiate athletes'
276 perceptions and experiences engaging in racial justice activism. Interviews are a commonly used
277 method in IPA as they allow researchers glimpses into participants' experiences (Porter &
278 Cohen, 2012). After securing IRB approval for the study, the researchers started to schedule
279 interviews with participants who had expressed interest in the study.² Interviews were scheduled

² While the data presented in this manuscript is focused on whiteness as it relates to racial justice activism, the data were collected as part of a larger phenomenological study looking at the experiences of white collegiate athlete

280 at a time convenient for the participants and were conducted via video conferencing software
281 (i.e., Zoom). Utilizing a semi-structured interview guide as the research instrument, the
282 interviews covered a variety of topics related to whiteness, white racial identity, and racial justice
283 activism to get a comprehensive understanding of participants' understanding of whiteness and
284 how it informs their activism. Specifically, the interview guide comprised sections on
285 participants identities (e.g. "When and how have you learned what it means to be white in the
286 United States?"), views on racial and social justice (e.g., "How would you define racial
287 justice?"), personal background and experiences with activism (e.g., "What role has your
288 education played in your activism?"), motivations for activism ("When and why did you decide
289 to become an activist for racial justice?"), and challenges faced in activism (e.g., "Can you give
290 me an example where someone has responded negatively to your activism?"). The duration of
291 the interviews ranged from 43 minutes to 93 minutes, averaging at 63 minutes. Following the
292 completion of each interview, the research team member who conducted the interview submitted
293 the video recorded interview to a professional transcription service, and each transcript was
294 reviewed for accuracy.

295 Once the transcripts were checked for accuracy, the research team proceeded to analyze
296 the data in an approach combining inductive and deductive coding. In the first round of data
297 analysis, the data was approached inductively utilizing open coding, axial coding, and eventually
298 selective coding (Strauss & Corbin, 1990). This approach allowed the research team to group the

activists for racial justice. In its standards for reporting qualitative research, Levitt et al. (2018) underline that the American Psychological Association acknowledges "qualitative studies often legitimately need to be divided into multiple manuscripts because of journal article page limitations, but each manuscript should have a separate focus" (p. 34). Per these guidelines, we made sure that each manuscript has a distinct focus and presents a unique subset of the data, as evidenced by the different research questions provided in each manuscript. For example, while the present manuscript covers two research questions related to perceptions of race and racism, participants' decision to engage in racial justice activism, and the impact of whiteness on white athletes' engagement in racial justice activism, a second manuscript utilizes separate data from the overall sample to examine participants' perceptions of challenges to and facilitators of racial justice activism.

299 raw data and identify broader categories. In this first round of coding, each member of the
300 research team open-coded one of the interview transcripts by assigning a descriptive code to each
301 segment (e.g., a sentence or series of sentences) of the data (Strauss & Corbin, 1990).
302 Throughout the open coding of the data, the research team met every other week to discuss their
303 open codes, discrepancies in coding, and the emergence of categories among the open codes.
304 This process laid the groundwork for the next stage in the coding process: axial coding. During
305 the axial coding stage, the research team followed Strauss and Corbin's (1990) approach where
306 "data [were] put back together in new ways after open coding, by making connections between
307 categories" (p. 96). Drawing these connections between the categories allowed for the
308 organization of the data into coherent clusters that would inform the selective coding process. In
309 the final stage of coding, the selective coding (Corbin & Strauss, 2008), we connected categories
310 from the axial coding stage into higher-order themes that speak to the constructions of whiteness
311 among participants. After the inductive round of coding, the following emerging themes were
312 identified: (1) developing racial consciousness, (2) negotiating manifestations of white privilege,
313 (3) navigating racial justice activism, and (4) strengthening empathy. As is common with IPA
314 research, the inductive approach allowed us to look at the data without a particular framework in
315 mind; as such, the framework and themes mapped out in the review of literature were bracketed
316 during the inductive coding process (Porter & Cohen, 2012).

317 In addition, a second round of coding was conducted after the first round of the peer
318 review process for the manuscript. During the peer review process, reviewers suggested the
319 author team situate their findings more within a critical whiteness studies framework. This
320 suggestion led to the research team reanalyzing the coded data deductively using critical
321 whiteness studies as their guiding framework. This allowed for a more nuanced analysis of how

322 the participants' experiences and perceptions either challenged or reinforced racial power. The
323 revised themes identified in this deductive round of coding are presented in the results section.
324 For example, the initial theme "Developing Racial Consciousness" was recoded to "Articulations
325 of Racial Consciousness" (see results).

326 The concept of saturation is to be handled with caution in phenomenological research
327 because the methodology is rooted in the assumption that "there is no end to the new data that
328 can be obtained or to the new insights that can emerge from extant data" (Porter & Cohen, 2012,
329 p. 188). Instead, the data presented "reflects the understanding of the researcher at the time" (p.
330 188). For this study, the research team agreed we can answer the research questions adequately
331 after the completion of the twelfth interview. This decision was made for the following reasons.
332 First, after twelve interviews, participant transcripts continued to reflect similar ideas and
333 redundant codes and themes manifested. Second, scholars have argued that research studies
334 utilizing phenomenology can be saturated with as little as three participants (Dukes, 1984), so
335 twelve participants constitute a sample that is on the larger end of the spectrum in
336 phenomenological research (Parse, 1990; Ray, 1994; Smith et al., 2009). Third, other studies on
337 similar topics achieved saturation with a similar number of participants. For example,
338 Vadeboncoeur and Bopp's (2020) sample included seven white athletes when they examined
339 their construction of whiteness in college sport via IPA. In addition, the number of participants is
340 close to that of other studies investigating whiteness in higher education (see e.g., four
341 participants in Newton & Cooper, 2021), white racial justice activists (see e.g., Jacobs & Taylor,
342 2011), as well as activism in the context of sport (see e.g., ten participants in Fuller &
343 Agyemang, 2018; ten participants in Kaufman, 2008; ten participants in Schmidt et al., 2020;

344 twelve participants in Lee & Cunningham, 2019), further reinforcing our confidence in the
345 collected data.

346 **Trustworthiness**

347 The research team utilized a series of strategies to strengthen the trustworthiness of the
348 study – a key component for quality control in qualitative research (Korstjens & Moser, 2018).
349 To ensure credibility of our findings, we aimed for prolonged engagement (Korstjens & Moser,
350 2018) with the research participants, exemplified by the length of interviews (with most lasting
351 over an hour). In addition, team members reviewed participants’ educational institutions, athletic
352 programs, and their campus communities to get a strong sense of the context in which the
353 participants operated, as well as to build rapport with participants during the interviews. Given
354 one of our recruitment strategies was to rely on personal networks, some of the participants had
355 established relationships with their respective interviewer prior to the interview, which further
356 strengthened the rapport between researcher and participant. Second, the fact that researchers
357 were part of a larger research team helped build investigator triangulation (Tracy, 2010). The
358 research team met on a biweekly basis throughout the duration of the study to provide a space for
359 continued discussion of and reflection on the research at all stages – from conceptualization to
360 the writing of the research reports. Such continued collaboration is particularly encouraged for
361 studies utilizing IPA given the approach’s interpretative nature (Porter & Cohen, 2012). Third,
362 keeping an audit trail allowed us to increase the dependability of the research, which is why we
363 were as transparent as possible in describing each stage of the project and kept records of each
364 component throughout the course of the study (Korstjens & Moser, 2018).

365 Fourth and finally, given IPA relies on our interpretations of participants’ (perceptions of
366 their) experiences, a continuous process of reflexivity strengthened the trustworthiness of the

367 project as it allowed us to reflect on the biases our positionalities as researchers brought to the
368 study. Our team consisted of six researchers with various academic backgrounds, identities, and
369 entry points to this topic. The first author identifies as a bisexual/queer, white, cisgender man
370 who is able-bodied. He has been trained in cultural studies, sociology, and communication
371 studies and his work mostly draws from interpretive or critical epistemological frameworks to
372 study topics like barriers to social justice in sport. The second author is a former elite athlete who
373 identifies as a straight, white, abled-bodied, cisgender woman. She has been trained in English
374 studies, sport studies, and cultural studies. Her work is grounded in critical epistemological
375 frameworks, specifically feminist cultural studies, to study women in sport and social justice
376 in/through sport. The third author identifies as a straight, white, cisgender man. He has been
377 trained in sport and exercise psychology. The fourth author identifies as a white, cisgender gay
378 man with backgrounds in sport psychology and social work. His work focuses on engaging
379 athletes, as well as LGBTQ+ people outside sport, in advocacy and community spaces. The fifth
380 author identifies as a Black, abled-bodied, straight, cisgender man. He has been trained in
381 sociology, applied psychology, and sport psychology. His work focuses on topics like coach and
382 athlete leadership development and athlete activism. The sixth author is a former collegiate
383 athlete who identifies as a Black, cisgender, gay man. His work focuses on identifying/
384 transforming societal barriers that prohibit access, equity, and inclusion for racial and gendered
385 minorities in and beyond sport.

386 Our positionality statement shows that our research team brought together individuals
387 with racial privilege (i.e., white scholars) and those lacking such privilege (i.e., Black scholars).
388 Following the promising practices for interdisciplinary research groups mapped out by Krane
389 and colleagues (2012), we thrived to create a team culture that was non-hierarchical, engaged in

390 a continuous process of questioning our methods and experiences working on the study, and
391 incorporated reflexivity at all stages in the research process. Because our research focused on
392 whiteness and attention to scholars' unique position in relation to the group being studied
393 (Berger, 2015), engaging in continued individual and collective reflexivity was of utmost
394 importance to us. In fact, we utilized both individual (e.g., reflexive diaries, interview field
395 notes) and collective (e.g., research team meetings) tools for reflexivity over the course of the
396 study – from its conceptualization to the write-up of the manuscripts for publication.³ This
397 process of continued reflexivity, including on how whiteness has shaped the study and its
398 publication(s), allowed our team to become what Krane et al. (2012) call a “productive,
399 interdisciplinary entity” (p. 250).

400 **Results and Discussion**

401 We identified four higher-order themes in the data, which we situate within a broader
402 discussion of how each theme either reinforces or disrupts racial power. The first two themes
403 focused on (1) articulations of racial consciousness and (2) articulations of white privilege. As
404 such, both themes reinforced limited understandings of the systemic nature of white racial
405 domination, thereby problematizing white athlete activists' conceptualizations of racism and
406 whiteness. A third theme, (3) articulations of white empathy, provides insights into how white
407 athlete activists utilize empathy in racial justice activism. While such empathy can lead to a more
408 rigorous understanding of systemic minoritization, the participants also centered whiteness in
409 developing empathetic qualities. Finally, participants shared elements of rigorous self-critique

³ We recognize that the first four authors of this specific manuscript identify as white, while the final two authors identify as Black, prompting the question as to what degree the order of authors reproduces racial power and reinforces whiteness as the unmarked norm. Rooted in our research team's commitment to equity, we divided our team into smaller sets of lead scholars on each manuscript coming from the present study. This way, we made sure that authorship was equitable across the manuscripts, and author(s) with a later rank in the authorship order are listed higher on order manuscripts coming from the research team.

410 needed to dismantle white supremacy (Leonardo, 2004), which is captured in the final theme of
411 (4) articulations of white accountability. Together, the four themes provide a first exploratory
412 insight into the complex ways in which white collegiate athlete activists perceive race and
413 whiteness while engaging in racial justice activism.⁴

414 **Reinforcing Racial Power: Articulations of Racial Consciousness**

415 One of the key critiques of critical whiteness studies has been that traditional studies of
416 whiteness reduced the concept to racial consciousness and identity development, hence taking
417 away from whiteness as structural racial power by focusing on the individual (Leonardo, 2004).
418 This focus on the individual rather than the systemic nature of whiteness was also expressed by
419 the participants in the present study, reinforcing findings from the literature that have
420 documented a lack of critical consciousness among some white activists despite their
421 commitment to racial justice (Case, 2012; Warren, 2010; Jacobs & Taylor, 2011). When asked
422 about how whiteness informs their activism, participants frequently pointed to processes of racial
423 consciousness as a motivation to engage in activism. Some participants, especially the seven
424 competing in sports such as basketball, football, and track and field, specifically pointed to racial
425 diversity in their sports as catalysts for racial consciousness development. For instance, Logan (a
426 senior on the men’s football team at a Division I institution in the Northeast) captured this idea
427 best when stating he had been “exposed to people from different backgrounds from the time that
428 I was four years old and could pick up a basketball.” The idea that sport exposed them to racial
429 diversity which helped them develop racial consciousness was shared by Brynlee, a junior on the
430 women’s basketball team at a Division I school in the West, who explained that “just being

⁴ Throughout the results section, we use the phrasing “articulations of ...” to underline that this data represents participants’ perspectives and, therefore, center white perceptions of whiteness. They focus on intent rather than impact (see recommendations for future studies in conclusion), which is why we use the wording of “articulations of ...” to decenter whiteness as an unquestioned norm.

431 around basketball, I was around a lot of Black people ... so I obviously could tell that there was a
432 big difference.”

433 In addition to their sports experience, participants also explained that time in college was
434 crucial to their racial consciousness, because “there’s lots more racial diversity on campus,” as
435 Ava (a Division III athlete on the women’s cross country/track and field team at a Midwestern
436 institution) pointed out. Other factors in college that informed participants’ racial consciousness
437 include the physical community in which the school was located (e.g., Abby: “When I moved
438 downtown, I became more aware of my whiteness. I became more aware of the comments
439 people would make of why I couldn’t go to certain parts of town”) or the lack of racial diversity
440 in their sport compared to campus (Patrick: “I’m part of the men’s rowing team here and we’re
441 ... all white”). Zachary (a graduate student men’s football player at a Division I school in the
442 South), for instance, disclosed that “I’ve never really sat down and thought ‘I’m a white man.’”
443 Participants also reflected on how white privilege manifested itself in their upbringing. For
444 example, participants pointed to the fact that they grew up in predominantly white, wealthy
445 suburbs and had educational experiences that were largely devoid of experiences associated with
446 racial stress. Other participants, such as Quinn (a senior on a Division I women’s crew team at an
447 institution in the Northeast), recounted educational experiences outside of college that informed
448 their racial consciousness. Quinn shared the story of a trip to South Africa with her mother,
449 saying the trip was “when I kind of realized how much injustice there was and how something
450 like identity, something that you can’t control like race, can just be this huge factor of where
451 you’re going to be in life.”

452 Quinn’s statement illustrates some of the problems in the participants’ turn to activism
453 due to increased racial consciousness; that is, they often work to *reinforce* whiteness rather than

454 disrupt it – a finding that supports non-sport literature examining the impact of white activists in
455 racial justice activism (Hughey, 2010; Hughey, 2012; Jonsson, 2016; Mallett et al., 2008;
456 Warren, 2010). When racism is defined as *natural* rather than *human made* (“something that you
457 can’t control like race,” according to Quinn), they reinforce white hegemony (Leonardo, 2004;
458 McDonald, 2005). As such, participants’ articulations of racial consciousness align with recent
459 research showing that white athletes, while being aware of their whiteness, struggle to identify
460 ways in which that whiteness provides them with structural power (Vadeboncoeur & Bopp,
461 2020; Vadeboncoeur & Bopp, 2019). Their articulations of racial consciousness also provided
462 further evidence for two of mechanisms Hextrum (2020) identified as reinforcing and
463 maintaining white dominance; namely, the facilitation of sport experiences along racial lines
464 (evidenced by participants’ emphasis on increased racial diversity at college), and the creation of
465 environments of racial innocence allowing the white athletes not to question the role they play in
466 perpetuating racism. Participants’ desire to seek exposure to racial diversity, in particular, can
467 reflect colonizing logics as they are exploiting the presence of racially minoritized peers for
468 personal gain (Chen & Mason, 2019). This became particularly evident when athletes such as
469 Zachary and Finley actively tried to engage in conversation with their peers to “relate to them
470 more.” They sought out Black peers to help educate them on the impact of racism – which, as
471 Olivia pointed out, “[has] really opened [my] eyes.” This places burden on the back of Black
472 athletes rather than seeking other sources of knowledge on their own (e.g., reading educational
473 resources or participating in racial awareness workshops). Such colonizing behaviors are in line
474 with Hughey’s (2010) observations of antiracist white activists, who often “use social
475 relationships with people of colour” as “a ‘remedy’ to a negative and empty whiteness” (p.
476 1299).

477 **Reinforcing Racial Power: Articulations of White Privilege**

478 In addition to naming increased racial consciousness as a motive for engaging in
479 activism, participants also articulated how their whiteness gave them privilege compared to their
480 peers who did not identify as white. This further problematizes participants' understanding of
481 racism since "the theme of privilege obscures the subject of domination" (Leonardo, 2004, p.
482 138). Olivia, a junior gymnast on the women's gymnastics team at a Division I institution in the
483 South, best captured this sentiment when she stated whiteness meant "just being born with
484 privilege ... an unearned privilege that so many people don't really recognize that they have ...
485 [it doesn't mean] that they can't still support other races, other minorities and stuff like that."
486 Similar to Olivia, Finley (a first-year athlete on the women's cross-country/track and field at a
487 Division III institution in the Midwest) explained that whiteness meant having privilege in a
488 system where white people "have been set up to have all of the things that I need: a good
489 education, ... a safe home, and a safe neighborhood ... I need to be aware of those who do have
490 a different situation." Ava added that white privilege meant whiteness is "something that we sort
491 of expect and not necessarily realize that it is a privilege until we see others that don't have it."

492 A second sub-theme that emerged from descriptions of white privilege was that of
493 moments of heightened racial privilege, referring to the socio-cultural climate in which the
494 athlete activists operated that made them hyper-aware of their privilege. Scholars have long
495 argued that increased momentum in social movements have led to athletes' engagement in
496 activism (Edwards, 2017; Kaufman, 2008; Agyemang et al., 2010; Cooper et al., 2019). Notably,
497 these moments of heightened racial consciousness led to reflections on white privilege, which
498 often led a sense of urgency among the participants, as Summer (women's cross country/track
499 and field, Division III, junior, Northeast) captured aptly when saying: "It was never something I

500 thought about, but then once you see it, you can't unsee it ... I just want to talk about it. I want
501 other people to see it." Among the moments of heightened racial consciousness referenced by
502 participants was the 2020 presidential election, the COVID-19 pandemic and its intersection with
503 the Black Lives Matter movement, along with the unwarranted violent behavior by police
504 gaining national news coverage. For example, Zachary said the murder of Ahmaud Arbery made
505 him realize what his Black teammates were going through. He then "started thinking more and
506 more...[and] realized this has to change and I need to step up and be an ally." Similarly, for
507 Patrick (Division I, men's crew, senior, Northeast) "the spark was, as for everyone else in this
508 country, the deaths of George Floyd and Breonna Taylor." Logan also shared an example where
509 a teammate reached out to the white people on the team telling them that "your silence has been
510 deafening," which led him to reflect on their racial privilege.

511 Similar to articulations of racial consciousness, these activists' speaking to white
512 privilege "centers the discussion on the advantages that white receives [and] it mistakes the
513 symptoms for causes" (Leonardo, 2004, p. 138). Scholars have argued that white people are
514 often socialized into misunderstanding the systemic nature of racism by assigning the concept to
515 the personal/individual level rather than looking at how racism and white supremacy are woven
516 into the fabric of every aspect of society (Fields, 2001; Leonardo & Manning, 2017). Despite
517 good intentions, such misunderstandings can then reinforce racial power (Hughey, 2010; Hughey
518 2012; Case, 2012): in other words, just being aware of one's white privilege does not lead to
519 structural change (Foste & Irwin, 2020). By focusing on articulations of white privilege, the
520 white athlete activists in this study may inadvertently (re)produce structural white supremacy
521 because their focus on *their* advantages – rather than why and where those advantages are

522 coming from – can prevent the rigorous level of self-critique needed to grasp one’s role in white
523 supremacy (Foste & Irwin, 2020).

524 **Reinforcing or Challenging Racial Order? Articulations of White Empathy**

525 A third theme identified in the data is what we call *white empathy*. In this case,
526 participants articulated various ways in which they empathized with minoritized peers, racially
527 or otherwise. Indeed, participants discussed the ways in which their engagement in activism for
528 racial justice as white collegiate athletes relied on their ability to empathize with individuals who
529 hold different identities than them – a sentiment that was particularly strong if a loved one or
530 family member had experienced discrimination. For example, Ethan talked about his siblings,
531 who are members of the LGBTQ+ community and whom had faced discrimination first-hand. He
532 explained: “I’ve never been exposed to [that] before [so] that was an incredibly eye-opening
533 thing and it was something that taught me to just be inclusive and supportive of everyone around
534 me no matter what their scenario is.” Quinn explained how growing up with two moms who “are
535 big advocates for gender and sexual orientation advocacy” influenced her political sentiments,
536 saying LGBTQ+ advocacy has “been instilled in [her] personally.” Logan, whose father is white
537 and mother is Black, recalled a time his father experienced derogatory comments “where he got a
538 voice message that was essentially a death threat calling him an n-word lover ... he’s always
539 been somebody that has promoted to me the importance of diversity and empathy, so I would say
540 that had a huge role in [my activism].”

541 In addition to speaking on how significant others in their life have suffered from
542 discrimination, participants also shared accounts of their ability to empathize with their racially
543 minoritized peers due to their own experiences with discrimination based on identities they held
544 beyond their racial identity – such as those rooted in sexual orientation, gender, national origin,

545 and being an athlete. For example, Olivia pointed out the discrimination she faces as a gay
546 woman when “[people said that I] was going to hell for being gay or that love was made for a
547 man and a woman,” which made her become “just miserable [and] not being [her] true self.” As
548 she put it, “being a part of a [minoritized] group helps to understand what a different minority
549 group might go through.” Echoing Olivia, Abby (Division I, softball, junior, South) noted that
550 understanding more about her identity as a queer person enabled her to “see the world in a new
551 lens.” In so doing, she felt she “had to break open that very close-minded, white, conservative
552 culture that [she] grew up in.”

553 When it comes to gender, participants recounted experiences related to gender inequities
554 they had experienced. For example, Brynlee revealed that their women’s basketball games were
555 not promoted nearly as much as those of the men’s team, because, when it came to their
556 institution, “they just don’t care ... they scheduled a tailgate during our game, before the men’s
557 game right outside the gym.” Likewise, Ava pointed to her experiences as a woman high jumper
558 in college and the lack of attention she was often given compared to her counterparts on the
559 men’s team:

560 There are times in sports when I feel that I’m not getting the treatment or the attention I
561 should be. High jump is my main event, and I am good at it and a lot of times, we also
562 have a really good male who jumps...I feel that my time is being wasted because [the
563 coaches] are more involved in the male jumper whereas they could be teaching me ... I
564 deserve the same amount of treatment and time being put into.

565 Much like Ava and Brynlee, other participants recalled moments in their lives where they did not
566 feel like they were part of the dominant group. Given that research has shown that holding at
567 least one minoritized identity makes athletes more likely to engage in activism (Mac Intosh et al.,

568 2020), this finding was not surprising – despite the participants occupying whiteness which
569 granted them privilege when it came to their racial identity.

570 Scholars are torn on the role of emotions and empathy, or what some call affective or
571 feeling-based knowledge (e.g., Collins, 1993; Jacobs & Taylor, 2011; O'Brien, 2001; Perry &
572 Shotwell, 2009), in racial justice activism by white people. Some scholars argue that affective
573 knowledge generally, and empathy specifically, are critical elements in antiracist efforts because
574 it makes white people more likely to engage in antiracist efforts in the first place (O'Brien,
575 2001). Such empathy also can potentially enable white activists to take the perspectives of their
576 racially minoritized peers, which can lead to feelings of white guilt that serves as inspiration to
577 engage in collective action benefitting oppressed groups (Mallett et al., 2008).

578 However, other scholars have been cautious when it comes to the role of such emotions
579 in nurturing white people's activist efforts. For example, feelings of white guilt can lead to
580 defensiveness, which in turn can counteract antiracist praxis (Warren, 2010), serve as a barrier to
581 effective communication (Iyer et al., 2003), and lead to them closing themselves off to the
582 racially minoritized communities they intend to support (Jacobs & Taylor, 2011). These
583 behaviors can actively harm racially minoritized populations; for instance, they can contribute to
584 activist burnout of racially minoritized activists (Gorski & Erakat, 2019) and thereby undermine
585 their activist efforts (Gorski, 2019a; Jonsson, 2016; Mallett et al., 2008).

586 It is important to note here that, while holding a minoritized identity seemingly
587 strengthened participants' ability to empathize with racially minoritized peers, such articulations
588 did not come without problems. In fact, the participants' descriptions of empathy inadvertently
589 center whiteness – especially since they rarely spoke to concepts such as intersectionality which
590 looks at the interaction of multiple axes of oppression (Harris & Patton, 2019). While research

591 on activism among racially minoritized athletes often captures participants' centering of
592 intersectionality (e.g., Calow, 2021; Davis Brooks & Knox, 2022), the white college athletes in
593 this study rarely spoke to intersecting axes of oppression, hence articulating minoritization
594 through the lens of whiteness.

595 **Challenging Racial Order: Articulations of White Accountability**

596 A final theme was that of *white accountability*. This theme, we believe, has the most
597 potential to challenge and disrupt white supremacy since it points to a more rigorous level of
598 self-critique, including acknowledging one's own role in upholding white supremacy. White
599 antiracist activism can be effective when white activists acknowledge "white racism and their
600 individual, unavoidable roles in perpetuating racial inequalities" (Jacobs & Taylor, 2011, p. 689).
601 Put more succinctly, Eichstedt (2001) argues that such activists must "embrace the oppressor
602 label at the same time that they challenge oppressor identity and behavior" (p. 460). Similar to
603 non-sport white athlete activists (Eichstedt, 2001; Frankenberg, 1993; O'Brien, 2001), this
604 study's participants' awareness of how whiteness manifested itself throughout their lives led to a
605 desire to utilize their position in the racial order for racial justice action. For example, Olivia
606 explained:

607 One of the things that I read that really stood out to me was if you're not willing to
608 recognize your privilege and stand up in a way then you're a part of the problem. And if
609 you're not able to take advantage of your privilege ... to use your voice and create
610 change then you're not going to be part of the solution.

611 For Ethan, a Division III senior on the swim and dive team at a school in the Northeast, starting
612 to create change meant engaging other white people in racial justice work, a sentiment that was
613 shared by other participants whose activism included counteracting feelings of white fragility

614 (DiAngelo, 2011) among white people. Finley explained that white people often feel
615 “demonized” when racial justice is brought up which leads them to “shut down and put up walls”
616 (interestingly, this is behavior can be seen in white people across ideological orientations,
617 including those committed to racial justice; see Hughey, 2010). The collegiate athletes in this
618 study who were aware of their role in upholding the racial order often pushed back against these
619 metaphorical walls to, as Summer pointed out, counteract white fragility, make whiteness visible
620 (Applebaum, 2016), and “make people have uncomfortable conversations that they want to
621 ignore.”

622 Since the institutional power of whiteness in college sport (and in larger society) is left
623 largely unquestioned (Frederick et al., 2017; Gill et al., 2020), participants stressed the
624 importance of holding other white people accountable for their actions and attitudes towards
625 race, even if it meant disrupting the status quo. As Finley explained, “you don’t have to allow ...
626 what you think other people are thinking of you to stop you from doing what you know is right.”
627 Participants described different ways in which they engaged other white people, specifically their
628 family members, in conversations about whiteness. For example, Summer explained that she
629 often uses examples of gender inequities in college sport as an entry point into conversations
630 about systemic racism with her family and friends.

631 Holding fellow white people accountable also meant challenging the colorblind mentality
632 and avoiding the white savior trope that white activists sometime inadvertently feed into (Duvall
633 & Guschwan, 2013; Mkwesha & Huber, 2021). For example, Summer stated “if you don’t
634 actually look at race, then you’re just ignoring the patterns of issues and you can’t fix a problem
635 without identifying it first.” This shows the significance of everyday conversation as action
636 towards systemic change in which white collegiate athletes “can also use our privilege to

637 continue to speak up and continue to be those advocates and show our activism outwardly,” as
638 Ava put it. Further, participants detailed a certain willingness to be “comfortable in being
639 uncomfortable” when having conversations and engaging in activism for racial justice. For
640 example, Quinn urged that “you will have to be uncomfortable and you will have to put yourself
641 out there, and you will have to have this fear of making mistakes, because you are going to make
642 mistakes ... all you can do is [do] your best.” It is this sense of vulnerability that is vital to
643 driving change, as Summer explained: “If you don’t make people uncomfortable to see an issue,
644 it’s never really going to change.”

645 It is important to note here that while participants were aware of the need to be
646 uncomfortable, they also recognized that, compared to their Black peers, there was less risk for
647 them when engaging in activism. As Ethan put it, he hasn’t “experienced discrimination, ... [I
648 can] be as loud as I want and nobody’s going to ... come after me for it.” Participants frequently
649 discussed the consequences of engaging in activism for racial justice. Research shows the
650 subsequent backlash athletes who hold marginalized identities experience, which can include
651 loss of fans and endorsements, sanctions from sports governance organizations, or racist abuse
652 (e.g., Agyemang et al., 2010; Kaufman, 2008). The participants in this study, all of whom hold
653 racially privileged identities, experienced much less violent backlash which reflects the
654 perceived nonthreatening persona their whiteness provides them. For example, Hudson described
655 feeling “a little bit judged” when he engaged in activism for racial justice but he didn’t “really
656 care because I believe in what I do.” Other participants described fallouts with family, friends, or
657 teammates. As such, our study shows the consequences for white collegiate athletes are far less
658 demoralizing and destructive than that for their racially minoritized peers. More white collegiate

659 athletes, therefore, should engage in activism for racial justice given the power they hold in
660 promoting systemic institutional change without facing major repercussions.

661 **Conclusion, Implications & Future Research**

662 The goal of this study was to understand how collegiate athletes holding privileged racial
663 identities – white athletes – understand their whiteness as they navigate activism for racial
664 justice. Because most research on race and activism to date has focused on Black athletes, the
665 present study adds to literature on activist efforts by examining how white collegiate athletes’
666 whiteness affects their engagement in racial justice activism. Drawing from literature on athlete
667 activism and informed by critical whiteness studies, our research revealed white collegiate
668 athletes invested in racial justice have the potential to disrupt white supremacy when centering
669 rigorous self-critique. However, participants often relied on limited understandings of racism. As
670 a result, they, as white people in sport, inadvertently perpetuate white racial domination. We also
671 found that empathy plays a crucial role in white athletes’ activism for racial justice; yet, such
672 empathy must *decenter* whiteness in order to actively disrupt white supremacy. More
673 promisingly, participants’ engagement in activism was a way to hold their white peers
674 accountable and encourage fellow white people to be more comfortable with the discomfort of
675 thinking critically and talking openly about their whiteness. Both of these practices are crucial to
676 disrupt a system rooted in whiteness.

677 Given white athletes’ power in engaging other white people to contribute to systemic
678 change, our study has some implications and recommendations for research and praxis. First, our
679 research focused on the perspectives of white athletes engaging in activism for racial justice but
680 did not account for how their Black peers perceived such activism. For example, it is possible
681 that while white athletes perceive their activism to be impactful, such activism may be perceived

682 differently by peers holding minoritized racial identities. In this study, several participants noted
683 that their racial consciousness-raising improved their relationships with Black friends and
684 teammates, yet we cannot confirm a similar experience from their friends' and teammates'
685 perspectives. Future studies, therefore, should examine the impact white athletes' activist efforts
686 have on the communities they are aiming to help (e.g., their Black teammates).

687 In addition, participants spoke of the importance of disrupting the systemic issues that
688 provide white people with advantages in the first place, showing how crucial it is for whiteness
689 to be addressed among those occupying it. Athletics administrators should, therefore, create
690 programming that not only engages white athletes on their racial identities, but also maps
691 specific ways in which white athletes can disrupt the status quo. Existing programming on race
692 and racial justice should specifically integrate content on systemic racism and white supremacy.
693 Second, activism is often led by those experiencing and confronted with discrimination.
694 Systemic change, however, often relies on buy-in from privileged groups. Administrators must
695 provide resources for white athletes to learn how they can become effective allies for racial and
696 social justice (e.g., by connecting them with offices on campus). Third and finally, our
697 participants spoke extensively to the role whiteness played in their activist efforts, yet our study
698 was exploratory in nature, thus further systematic inquiries are needed to understand how
699 athletes can disrupt whiteness. In addition, little research has been done on the challenges white
700 athletes face in activist efforts. Future research must look more closely at the barriers to white
701 athlete activism so that white athletes can more strategically and effectively utilize their position
702 to facilitate systemic change and, without inadvertently reinforcing systemic injustice, be on the
703 frontlines leading for change.

References

- 704
705 Agyemang, K., Singer, J. N., & DeLorme, J. (2010). An exploratory study of Black male college
706 athletes' perceptions on race and athlete activism. *International Review for the Sociology*
707 *of Sport*, 45, 419-435.
- 708 Agyemang, K. J. A., Singer, K. N., & Weems, A. J. (2020). 'Agitate! Agitate! Agitate!': Sport as
709 a site for political activism and social change. *Organization*, 1-17.
- 710 Applebaum, B. (2010). *Being white, being good: White complicity, white moral responsibility,*
711 *and social justice pedagogy*. Lexington Books.
- 712 Applebaum, B. (2016). Critical Whiteness Studies. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education.
713 [https://oxfordre.com/education/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.001.0001/acrefore](https://oxfordre.com/education/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.001.0001/acrefore-9780190264093-e-5)
714 [-9780190264093-e-5](https://oxfordre.com/education/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.001.0001/acrefore-9780190264093-e-5).
- 715 Bell, D. A. (1980). Brown v. Board of Education and the interest-convergence dilemma.
716 *Harvard Law Review*, 93(3), 518-533.
- 717 Benner, P. (1994). *Interpretive phenomenology*. Sage.
- 718 Berger, R. (2015). Now I see it, now I don't: Researcher's position and reflexivity in qualitative
719 research. *Qualitative Research*, 15(2), 219-234. DOI: 10.1177/1468794112468475
- 720 Bimper, A. (2017). Mentorship of Black student-athletes at a predominately White American
721 university: Critical race theory perspective on student-athlete development. *Sport,*
722 *Education and Society*, 22, 175-193. doi:10.1080/13573322.2015.1022524
- 723 Bradbury, S. (2013). Institutional racism, whiteness and the under-representation of minorities in
724 leadership positions in football in Europe. *Soccer & Society*, 14(3), 296-314.
- 725 Brooks, S. N., & McKail, M. A. (2008). A theory of the preferred worker: A structural
726 explanation for Black male dominance in basketball. *Critical Sociology*, 34(3), 369-387.

- 727 Bundon, A., & Hurd Clarke, L. (2015). Honey or vinegar? Athletes with disabilities discuss
728 strategies for advocacy within the Paralympic movement. *Journal of Sport & Social*
729 *Issues*, 39, 351-370. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193723514557823>.
- 730 Calow, E. (2021). ‘Well, what was the message you got?’: the discursive power of Naomi Osaka
731 and her peaceful protest at the 2020 U.S. Open. *European Journal for Sport and Society*,
732 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16138171.2021.2001171>
- 733 Carrington, B. (2013). The critical sociology of race and sport: The first fifty years. *Annual*
734 *Review of Sociology*, 39, 379-398.
- 735 Carrington, B. (2011). ‘What I said was racist – but I’m not a racist’: Anti-racism and the White
736 sports/media complex. In J. Long & K. Spracklen (Eds.) *Sport and challenges to racism*
737 (pp. 83-99). Palgrave Macmillan.
- 738 Carter-Francique, A. (2018). Is excellence inclusive? The benefits of fostering Black female
739 college athlete’s sense of belonging. *Journal of Higher Education & Innovation*, 3, 48-
740 73.
- 741 Case, K.A. (2012). Discovering the privilege of whiteness: White women’s reflections on anti-
742 racist identity and ally behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 68(1): 78-96.
743 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2011.01737.x>
- 744 Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- 745 Charmaz, K., & Bryant, A. (2010). Grounded theory. In P. Peterson, E. Baker, & B. McGraw
746 (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of education* (pp. 406-412). Elsevier.
- 747 Chen, C., & Mason, D. S. (2019). Making settler colonialism visible in sport management.
748 *Journal of Sport Management*, 33(5), 379-392.

- 749 Coakley, J. (2011). Ideology doesn't just happen: Sports and neoliberalism. *Curitiba*, 1(1), 67-
750 84.
- 751 Collins, P. H. (1993). Toward a new vision: Race, class, and gender as categories of analysis and
752 connection. *Race, Sex, and Class*, 1(1), 25-45. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41680038>
- 753 Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2015). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and principles for*
754 *developing grounded theory*. Sage.
- 755 Cooky, C. (2017). Women, sports, and activism. In H. J. McCammon, V. Taylor, J. Reger, & R.
756 L. Einwohner (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of U.S. women's social movement activism*
757 (pp. 602–620). Oxford University Press.
- 758 Coombs, D. S., & Cassilo, D. (2017). Athletes and/or activists: LeBron James and Black Lives
759 Matter. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 41(5), 425–444.
- 760 Cooper, J. N. (2013). A culture of collective uplift: The influence of a historically Black
761 college/university on Black male student athletes' experience. *Journal of Issues in*
762 *Intercollegiate Athletics*, 6, 306-331.
- 763 Cooper, J. N., Macaulay, C., & Rodriguez, S. H. (2019). Race and resistance: A typology of
764 African American sport activism. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 54(2),
765 151-181.
- 766 Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2008). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for*
767 *developing grounded theory*. Sage.
- 768 Crenshaw, K. (1988). Race, reform and retrenchment: Transformation and legitimation in anti-
769 discrimination law. *Harvard Law Review*, 101(7), 1331-1387.
- 770 Crenshaw, K., Gotanda, N., Peller, G., & Thomas, K. (1995). *Critical Race Theory: The key*
771 *writings that formed the movement*. The New Press.

- 772 Davis Brooks, D., & Knox, R. (2022). I would not trade it for the world: Black women student-
773 athletes, activism, and allyship in 2020-2021. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*
774 (published online ahead of print 2022).
775 [https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/jcsp/aop/article-10.1123-jcsp.2021-](https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/jcsp/aop/article-10.1123-jcsp.2021-0063/article-10.1123-jcsp.2021-0063.xml)
776 [0063/article-10.1123-jcsp.2021-0063.xml](https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/jcsp/aop/article-10.1123-jcsp.2021-0063/article-10.1123-jcsp.2021-0063.xml)
- 777 DiAngelo, R. (2011). White fragility. *International Journal of Critical Pedagogy*, 3(3), 54-70.
- 778 Diekelmann, N. (2001). Narrative pedagogy: Heideggerian hermeneutical analyses of lived
779 experiences of students, teacher, and clinicians. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 23(3), 53-71.
- 780 Du Bois, W. E. B. (1920). *Darkwater: Voices from Within the Veil*. Harcourt Brace.
- 781 Dukes, S. (1984). Phenomenological methodology in the human sciences. *Journal of Religion*
782 *and Health*, 23, 197-203. doi:10.1007/BF00990785
- 783 Duvall, S., & Guschwan, M. C. (2013). Commodifying global activism and racial unity during
784 the 2010 FIFA World Cup. *Communication, Culture & Critique*, 6(2), 298-317. [https://doi-](https://doi-org.proxy.library.vcu.edu/10.1111/cccr.12014)
785 [org.proxy.library.vcu.edu/10.1111/cccr.12014](https://doi-org.proxy.library.vcu.edu/10.1111/cccr.12014)
- 786 Ebert, S., & Pillay, K. (2022). “Swimming upstream”: White privilege and racial justice activism
787 in education in South Africa. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1),
788 DOI:10.1080/23311886.2022.2040128
- 789 Edwards, H. (2017). *The revolt of the black athlete*. University of Illinois Press.
- 790 Eichstedt, J. (2001). Problematic white identities and a search for racial justice. *Sociological*
791 *Forum*, 16(3), 445-470.
- 792 Ferguson, T. L., & Davis, C. H. (2019). Labor, resources, and interest convergence in the
793 organized resistance of Black male student-athletes. In D. Morgan & C. H. F. Davis, III,
794 (Eds.), *Student activism, politics, and campus climate in higher education* (pp. 77-96).

- 795 Routledge.
- 796 Fields, B. (2001). Whiteness, racism, and identity. *International Labor and Working Class*
797 *History, 60*, 48-56.
- 798 Foste, Z., & Irwin, L. (2020). Applying critical whiteness studies in college student development
799 theory and research. *Journal of College Student Development, 61*(4), 439-455.
- 800 Frankenberg, R. (1993). *White women, race matters: The social construction of whiteness*.
801 University of Minnesota Press.
- 802 Frederick, E., Sanderson, J., & Schlereth, N. (2017). Kick these kids off the team and take away
803 their scholarships: Facebook and perceptions of athlete activism at the University of
804 Missouri. *Journal of Issues in Intercollegiate Athletics, 10*, 17-34.
- 805 Fuller, R., & Agyemang, K. (2018). An examination of activism and NCAA Division III Black
806 male athletes. *International Journal of Sport Management, 19*, 186–206.
- 807 Gill, E., Clark, L., & Logan, A. (2020). Freedom for first downs: Interest convergence and the
808 Missouri Black student boycott. *The Journal of Negro Education, 89*(3), 342-359.
- 809 Gorski, P. C. (2019a). Fighting racism, battling burnout: causes of activist burnout in US racial
810 justice activists, *Ethnic and Racial Studies, 42*(5), 667-687.
811 DOI: 10.1080/01419870.2018.1439981
- 812 Gorski, P. C. (2019b). Racial battle fatigue and activist burnout in racial justice activists of color
813 at predominately White colleges and universities. *Race Ethnicity and Education, 22*(1), 1-
814 20. DOI: 10.1080/13613324.2018.1497966
- 815 Gorski, P. C., & Erakat, N. (2019). Racism, whiteness, and burnout in antiracism movements:
816 How white racial justice activists elevate burnout in racial justice activists of color in the
817 United States. *Ethnicities, 19*(5), 784-808. DOI: 10.1177/1468796819833871

- 818 Harris, J. C., & Patton, L. D. (2019). Un/doing intersectionality through higher education
819 research. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 90(3), 347-372.
820 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2018.1536936>
- 821 Harrison, L., Sailes, G., Rotich, W. K., & Bimper, A. Y. (2011). Living the dream or awakening
822 from the nightmare: Race and athletic identity. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 14, 91-103.
- 823 Hawkins, B., Carter-Francique, A., & Cooper, J. (2017). Introduction. In A. R. Carter-Francique,
824 J. Cooper & B. J. Hawkins (Eds.), *Critical race theory: Black athletic sporting*
825 *experiences in the United States*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- 826 Hextrum, K. (2020). Segregation, innocence, and protection: The institutional conditions that
827 maintain whiteness in college sports. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 13(4),
828 384-95.
- 829 Hughey, M. W. (2010). The (dis)similarities of white racial identities: the conceptual framework
830 of “hegemonic whiteness.” *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 33(8), 1289–1309.
831 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870903125069>
- 832 Hughey, M. W. (2012). Color capital, white debt, and the paradox of strong white racial
833 identities. *Du Bois Review*, 9(1), 169-200.
834 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742058X11000506>
- 835 Iyer, A., Leach, C. W., & Crosby, F. J. White guilt and racial compensation: the benefits and
836 limits of self-focus. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29(1),117-129.
837 doi: 10.1177/0146167202238377.
- 838 Jacobs, M. R., & Taylor, T. (2011). Challenges of multiracial antiracist activism: Racial
839 consciousness and Chief Wahoo. *Critical Sociology*, 38(5), 687-706.
840 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920511407357>

- 841 Jolly, S., Cooper, J., & Kluch, Y. (2021). Allyship as activism: Advancing social change in
842 global sport through transformational allyship. *European Journal for Sport and Society*,
843 *18*(3), 229-245.
- 844 Jonsson, T. (2016). The narrative reproduction of white feminist racism. *Feminist Review*,
845 *113*(1): 50-67. <https://doi.org/10.1057/fr.2016.2>
- 846 Kaufman, P. (2008). Boo's, bans, and other backlash: The consequences of being an activist
847 athlete. *Humanity & Society*, *32*, 215-237.
- 848 Kaufman, P., & Wolff, E. A. (2010). Playing and protesting: Sport as a vehicle for social change.
849 *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, *34*(2), 154-175.
- 850 King, C. R. (2005). Cautionary notes on whiteness and sport studies. *Sociology of Sport Journal*,
851 *22*, 397-408.
- 852 Kluch, Y. (2020). "My story is my activism!": (Re-)definitions of social justice activism among
853 collegiate athlete activists. *Communication & Sport*, *8*(4-5), 566-590.
- 854 Kluch, Y. (2021). "It's our duty to utilize the platform that we have": Motivations for activism
855 among U.S. collegiate athletes. In R. Magrath (Eds), *Athlete activism: Contemporary*
856 *perspectives* (pp. xx-xx). Routledge.
- 857 Korstjens, I., & Moser, A. (2018). Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 4:
858 trustworthiness and publishing. *European Journal of General Practice*, *24*(1), 120-124.
- 859 Krane, V., Ross, S. R., Barak, K. S., Rowse, J. L., & Lucas-Carr, C. B. (2012). Unpacking our
860 academic suitcases: The inner workings of our feminist research group. *Quest*, *64*(4),
861 249-267. DOI:10.1080/00336297.2012.706882
- 862 Leonardo, Z. (2004). The color of supremacy: Beyond the discourse of 'white privilege.'
863 *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, *36*(2), 137-152.

- 864 Leonardo, Z., (2013). *Race frameworks: A multidimensional theory of racism and education*.
865 Teachers College Press.
- 866 Leonardo, Z., & Manning, L. (2017). White historical activity theory: Toward a critical
867 understanding of white zones of proximal development. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*,
868 20, 15-29.
- 869 Lee, W., & Cunningham, G. B. (2019). Moving Toward Understanding Social Justice in Sport
870 Organizations: A Study of Engagement in Social Justice Advocacy in Sport
871 Organizations. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 43(3), 245–263.
872 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193723519832469>
- 873 Levitt, H. M., Bamberg, M., Creswell, J. W., Frost, D. M., Josselson, R., & Suárez-Orozco, C.
874 (2018). Journal article reporting standards for qualitative primary, qualitative meta-
875 analytic, and mixed methods research in psychology: The APA Publications and
876 Communications Board task force report. *American Psychologist*, 73(1), 26-46.
877 <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000151>
- 878 Mallett, R. K., Huntsinger, J. R., Sinclair, S., & Swim, J. K. (2008). Seeing through their eyes:
879 When majority group members take collective action on behalf of an outgroup. *Group*
880 *Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 11(4), 451-470.
881 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430208095400>
- 882 Mac Intosh, A., Martin, E., & Kluch, Y. (2020). To act or not to act? Student-athlete perceptions
883 of social justice activism. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 1-8.
- 884 McDonald, M. G. (2005). Mapping whiteness and sport: An introduction. *Sociology of Sport*, 22,
885 245-255.

- 886 Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and*
887 *Implementation* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- 888 Mkwesha, F., & Huber, S. (2021). Rethinking design: A dialogue on anti-racism and art activism
889 from a decolonial perspective. In S. Keskinen, P., Stoltz, & D. Mulinari (Eds.),
890 *Feminisms in the Nordic Region* (pp. 223-245). Palgrave Macmillan.
- 891 Newton, A. C. I., & Cooper, J. N. (2021). "It doesn't bother me ... I'm here for a reason": A
892 qualitative examination of white college athletes' experiences at a historically black
893 college/university (HBCU). *Whiteness and Education*, 1-21.
- 894 O'Brien, E. (2001). *Whites confront racism: Antiracists and their paths to action*. Rowman and
895 Littlefield.
- 896 Palmer, M., Larkin, M., de Visser, R., & Fadden, G. (2010). Developing an interpretative
897 phenomenological approach to focus group data. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*,
898 7(2), 99-121.
- 899 Parse, R. R. (1990). Parse's research methodology with an illustration of the lived experience of
900 hope. *Nursing Science Quarterly*, 3, 9-17. doi:10.1177/089431849000300106
- 901 Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods* (3rd Ed.). Sage.
- 902 Perry, P., and Shotwell, A. (2009). Relational understanding and white antiracist praxis.
903 *Sociological Theory*, 27(1), 33-50.
- 904 Porter, E. J., & Cohen, M.Z. (2012). Phenomenology. In A. A. Trainor, & E. Graue (Eds.),
905 *Reviewing qualitative research in the social sciences: A guide for researchers and*
906 *reviewers* (pp. 180-196). Taylor & Francis.

- 907 Ray, M. A. (1994). The richness of phenomenology: Philosophic, theoretic and methodologic
908 concerns. In J. M. Morse (Ed.), *Critical issues in qualitative research methods* (pp. 117-
909 133). Sage.
- 910 Sailes, G. A. (1993). An investigation of campus stereotypes: The myth of Black athletic
911 superiority and the dumb Jock stereotype. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 10, 88-97.
- 912 Sanderson, J., Frederick, E., & Stock, M. (2016). When athlete activism clashes with Group
913 values: Social identity threat management via social media. *Mass Communication and*
914 *Society*, 19, 301-322.
- 915 Schmidt, S. H., Hancock, M. H., Frederick, E. L., Hums, M. A., & Alagaraja, M. (2020).
916 Examining Athlete Ally through resource mobilization theory. *Journal of Sport and*
917 *Social Issues*, 44(3), 214-243. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193723520910815>
- 918 Smith, J. A. (2004). Reflecting on the development of interpretative phenomenological analysis
919 and its contribution to qualitative research in psychology. *Qualitative Research in*
920 *Psychology*, 1(1), 39-54.
- 921 Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis:*
922 *Theory, method and research*. Sage.
- 923 Strauss, A. M., & Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research*. Sage.
- 924 Thompson, A. (2003). Tiffany, friend of People of Color: White investments in antiracism.
925 *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 16, 7-29.
- 926 Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight “big-tent” criteria for excellent qualitative
927 research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837-851.
- 928 Vadeboncoeur, J. D., & Bopp, T. (2019). Applying white dialectics: Exploring whiteness and
929 racial identity among white college athletes. *Quest*, 71(1), 1-20.

- 930 Vadeboncoeur, J. D., & Bopp, T. (2020). [Self]Perceptions of whiteness: An interpretive
931 phenomenological analysis of white college athletes. *Journal of Issues in Intercollegiate*
932 *Athletics*, 13, 119-142.
- 933 Warren, M. (2010). *Fire in the Heart: How White Activists Embrace Racial Justice*. Oxford
934 University Press.
- 935 Zirin, D. (2021). *The Kaepernick effect: Taking a knee, changing the world*. The New Press.