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#### Abstract

In Ars Comb. 84 (2007), 85-96, Pedersen and Vestergaard posed the problem of determining a lower bound for the number of independent sets in a tree of fixed order and diameter $d$. Asymptotically, we give here a complete solution for trees of diameter $d \leq 5$. The lower bound is $5^{n / 3}$ and we give the structure of the extremal trees. A generalization to connected graphs is stated.


## 1 Introduction

Half a century ago authors counted maximal independent sets in a graph $([7,8])$ and the first results on the number of independent subsets of a graph
appeared in $[11,2,3]$, here $i(G)$ was called the Fibonacci number of $G$. In chemical literature $i(G)$ is called the Merrifield-Simmons index. It is treated in a monograph ( $[6]$ ) and in a wealth of later papers ( $[1,17,16,15,14,13$, 12]).

In [10] several upper and lower bounds for $i(G)$ were presented in terms of order, size or independence number and also bounds for $i(G)$ in trees and in unicyclic graphs were obtained.

Denoting $n$-order trees with diameter $d$ by $T(n, d)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(T(n, d)) \leq \operatorname{fib}(d)+2^{n-d} \operatorname{fib}(d+1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[9, Th. 3.1], [5, Th. 1].
Formula (1) gives a tight upper bound for the number of independent sets in a tree in terms of its diameter and order, in [9] we also determined the trees for which that upper bound is attained. In the same paper we posed the problem of determining the corresponding lower bound in terms of diameter and order, and asked for a characterization of the trees for which the lower bound is attained. This is for sufficiently large orders done here for diameters four and five. Asymptotically the number of independent sets in $n$-order trees of diameter five turns out to be $5^{n / 3}$ (Corollary 3 ). The results for diameter three and four are also given in a recent paper [4].

## 2 Notation

All graphs will be assumed simple and finite. A vertex of degree one is called a leaf and its unique neighbour is called a stem. In a graph $G$ the set of vertices which are neigbours to a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $N_{G}(v)$ and by $N(v)$ if the graph $G$ is obvious from context. The set of vertices consisting of the vertex $v$ and all its neighbours is denoted by $N[v]$, i.e. $N[v]=\{v\} \cup N(v)$. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$, then $N(S)$ denotes the set of vertices in $V(G)$ having a neighbour in $S$ and $N[S]=S \cup N(S)$. For a set $S$ of vertices, $S \subseteq V(G)$ we let $G-S$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting from $G$ all vertices of $S$ and all edges incident with a vertex of $S$.

Given a graph $G$, a subset $S$ of $V(G)$ is said to be independent, if no two vertices of $S$ are adjacent in $G$, in particular, the empty set is considered to be an independent set of any graph. The number of independent sets in a graph $G$ is denoted by $i(G)$.

We shall often consider some tree $T$ of a given diameter $d$ and order $n$ such that $i(T)$ is minimum. By this we mean that $T$ is a tree of diameter $d$ and order $n$ such that no other tree $T^{\prime}$ of diameter $d$ and order $n$ contains fewer independent sets than $T$ does.

## 3 Helpful results

In this section we state some basic observations and results, which will be helpful for characterizing trees of a given diameter and order which contain the fewest possible number of independent sets.

Observation 1. Let $G$ be a graph and let $v \in V(G)$ and $e=u z \in E(G)$. Then
(i) $\quad i(G)=i(G-v)+i(G-N[v])$
(ii) $\quad i(G-e)=i(G)+i(G-N[\{u, z\}])$
(iii) $\quad i(G)=i(G-\{u, z\})+i(G-N[u])+i(G-N[z])$

Observation 2. If $H$ is a induced subgraph of $G$ then $i(H) \leq i(G)$ and equality holds if and only if $G \cong H$. If $H$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$ then $i(H) \geq i(G)$ and equality holds if and only if $H \cong G$.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a graph containing two leaves $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ such that $d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \leq 3$ and let $s_{i}$ denote the stem adjacent to $l_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. If $G^{\prime}:=G-s_{2} l_{2}+l_{1} l_{2}$ then $i\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq i(G)$ and if equality holds then either
(i) $d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=2, s_{1}=s_{2}$ and $N_{G}\left(s_{1}\right)=\left\{l_{1}, l_{2}\right\}$, i.e., in $G$ the three vertices $s_{1}, l_{1}, l_{2}$ span a $P_{3}$ as a component or
(ii) $d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=3, s_{1} \neq s_{2}$ and $N_{G}\left(s_{2}\right)=\left\{s_{1}, l_{2}\right\}$.

Proof. Observe that $G-l_{2} s_{2}=G^{\prime}-l_{1} l_{2}$ so by Observation 1(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(G) & =i\left(G-s_{2} l_{2}\right)-i\left(G-N\left[s_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =i\left(G^{\prime}-l_{1} l_{2}\right)-i\left(G-N\left[s_{2}\right]\right) \\
i\left(G^{\prime}\right) & =i\left(G^{\prime}-l_{1} l_{2}\right)-i\left(G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left[l_{1}, l_{2}\right]\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
i(G)-i\left(G^{\prime}\right) & =i\left(G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left[\left\{l_{1}, l_{2}\right\}\right]\right)-i\left(G-N_{G}\left[\left\{l_{2}, s_{2}\right\}\right]\right)  \tag{2}\\
& =i\left(G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left[l_{1}\right]\right)-i\left(G-N_{G}\left[s_{2}\right]\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G-N_{G}\left[s_{2}\right] \cong\left(G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left[l_{1}\right]\right)-N_{G^{\prime}}\left(s_{2}\right)$ the graph $G-N_{G}\left[s_{2}\right]$ is an induced subgraph of $G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left[l_{1}\right]$. Therefore $i\left(G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left[l_{1}\right]\right)-i(G-$ $\left.N_{G}\left[s_{2}\right]\right) \geq 0$ and hence we have that $i(G) \geq i\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. If $i(G)=i\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ then $i\left(G^{\prime}-N_{G^{\prime}}\left[l_{1}\right]\right)-i\left(G-N_{G}\left[s_{2}\right]\right)=0$ and for $d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=2$, i.e., $s_{1}=s_{2}$, we have $N_{G}\left(s_{1}\right)=\left\{l_{1}, l_{2}\right\}$ while for $d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=3$, i.e., $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$, we have that $N_{G}\left(s_{2}\right)=\left\{s_{1}, l_{2}\right\}$. This proves Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Let $T$ be a tree of diameter $d \geq 4$ and order $n$ such that $i(T)$ is minimum. Then no vertex in $T$ is adjacent to more than two leaves, and if a vertex is adjacent to two leaves then it is penultimate (adjacent to an end) on a diametrical path of $G$.
Proof. Assume that a vertex $v$ is adjacent to two leaves $l_{1}, l_{2}$. By Lemma 1 it follows that $v$ is the second vertex on a diametrical path $v_{1}, v_{2}=$ $v, v_{3}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{d+1}$. Otherwise the graph $G^{\prime}=G-v l_{2}+l_{1} l_{2}$ would have $i\left(G^{\prime}\right)<i(G)$ and the diameter of $G^{\prime}$ would not be larger than that of $G$. So only the penultimate vertex of a diametrical path can support multiple leaves. We shall prove that $v$ can support at most two leaves. Let $L:=\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right\}, k \geq 3$, be the leaves adjacent to $v$ and consider the tree $T^{\prime}:=T-\left\{l_{1} v, l_{2} v\right\}+\left\{v_{3} l_{1}, l_{1} l_{2}\right\}$. Let $C$ be the component of $T-v_{2} v_{3}$ containing $v_{3}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(T) & =i\left(C-v_{3}\right)\left(2^{|L|}+1\right)+i\left(C-N\left[v_{3}\right]\right) 2^{|L|} \\
& >3 i\left(C-v_{3}\right)\left(2^{|L|-2}+1\right)+i\left(C-N\left[v_{3}\right]\right) 2^{|L|-1}=i\left(T^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T^{\prime}$ is a tree with diameter $d$ and order $n$, we have a contradiction with the minimality of $i(T)$. Thus, $v$ is not adjacent to more than two leaves.

Lemma 3. Let $H$ be a graph with a vertex $v$. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}, k \geq 7$, be copies of $K_{2}$ and let $v_{i} \in G_{i}$. If $G=H \cup G_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G_{k}+\left\{v v_{1}, \ldots, v v_{k}\right\}$ and $G^{\prime}=H \cup G_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G_{k-1}+\{x, y\}+\left\{v v_{1}, \ldots, v v_{k-1}, v_{1} x, v_{2} y\right\}$, then $i\left(G^{\prime}\right)<i(G)$.
Proof. By considering $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ we observe that $i(G)=3^{k} i(H-v)+2^{k} i(H-$ $N[v])$ and $i\left(G^{\prime}\right)=25 \cdot 3^{k-3} i(H-v)+2^{k+1} i(H-N[v])$. Thus, since $k \geq 7$, we obtain
$i(G)-i\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2 \cdot 3^{k-3} i(H-v)-2^{k} i(H-N[v]) \geq\left(2 \cdot 3^{k-3}-2^{k}\right) i(H-N[v])>0$.

## 4 Trees of diameter three

For trees of diameter three the problem is straightforward. For completeness we describe the trees $T$ of diameter three for which $i(T)$ is minimum.

Proposition 1. Given any fixed $n \geq 4$, let $T$ denote a tree of diameter three and order $n$ for which the number of independent sets is minimum. Let $P_{4}: x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ denote a diametrical path of $T$. Then

$$
\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=\left\{\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil\right\}
$$

## 5 Trees of diameter four

Let $G_{2 k+2}, k \geq 2$, be the graph obtained from $K_{1, k+1}$ by subdividing $k$ of its edges. Consider a tree $T$ with diameter 4 and order $n$ such that $i(T)$ is minimum. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{5}$ be a diametrical path in $T$. If $n \geq 7$ it follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that $T \cong G_{n}$ or that each component of $T-v_{3}$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$ or $P_{3}$. If $T \not \not G_{n}$ then let $s(T)$ and $t(T)$ denote the number of components from $T-v_{3}$ isomorphic to $K_{2}$ and $P_{3}$, respectively. Then $n=1+2 s+3 t$ and $i(T)=2^{s(T)} 4^{t(T)}+3^{s(T)} 5^{t(T)}$.

Theorem 1. Let $T_{n}$ be a tree of diameter four and order $n$ for which the parameter $i\left(T_{n}\right)$ attains its minimum value and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{5}$ be a diametrical path in $T_{n}$. Then $T_{5}=P_{5}, T_{6}=G_{6}$ and if $n \geq 7$ then each component of $T_{n}-v_{3}$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$ or $P_{3}$ and

- $s\left(T_{n}\right)$ is as indicated in the following table when $7 \leq n \leq 25$.
- $s\left(T_{n}\right) \in\{0,1,2\}$ and $s\left(T_{n}\right) \equiv 2 n+1(\bmod 3)$ for $n \geq 26$.

| n | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $s\left(T_{n}\right)$ | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| n | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| $s\left(T_{n}\right)$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |

Proof. The theorem is easily verified for $n \leq 6$. Thus, we may assume $n \geq 7$. By considering $G_{n}$ (if $n$ is even) it easily follows that the graph $T^{\prime}$ obtained from $G_{n}$ by removing the leaf adjacent to the center vertex and attaching a second leaf to another stem satisfies $i\left(T^{\prime}\right)<i\left(G_{n}\right)$. Thus we may assume that $T_{n} \not \approx G_{n}$ and only $s\left(T_{n}\right)$ has to be determined.

Now consider trees $T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ with the same structure as $T$, i.e., having diameter four and such that all components obtained by deletion of the central vertex are $K_{2}$ 's or $P_{3}$ 's. Assume further that that $s\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=s\left(T^{\prime}\right)-3 \geq 0$ and $t\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=t\left(T^{\prime}\right)+2$. If $s^{\prime}:=s\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $t^{\prime}:=t\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ then

$$
i\left(T^{\prime}\right)-i\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{2}{27} 3^{s^{\prime}} 5^{t^{\prime}}-2^{s^{\prime}} 4^{t^{\prime}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
i\left(T^{\prime}\right)-i\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{s^{\prime}}\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{t^{\prime}} \geq \frac{27}{2} \Leftrightarrow s^{\prime} \log 3 / 2+t^{\prime} \log 5 / 4 \geq \log 27 / 2
$$

Since $n^{\prime}:=\left|V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|=1+2 s^{\prime}+3 t^{\prime}$ we may obtain that $i\left(T^{\prime}\right)-i\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 0$ if and only if $s^{\prime} \geq a-b n^{\prime}$ for real numbers $a$ and $b, a=\frac{\log (27 / 2)+(1 / 3) \log (5 / 4)}{\log (3 / 2)-(2 / 3) \log (5 / 4)}$, $b=\frac{(1 / 3) \log (5 / 4)}{\log (3 / 2)-(2 / 3) \log (5 / 4)},(a \approx 10,429$ and $b \approx 0,2898)$.

It follows that if $k$ is the largest integer such that $k \leq a-b n$ and $n=1+2 k+3 t$ for some integer $t \geq 0$ then $s\left(T_{n}\right)=k$ if and only if $k \geq 0$. Using these observations, it is straightforward to derive the values of $s\left(T_{n}\right)$ for $n \leq 25$. For $n \geq 26$ the inequality $s^{\prime} \leq a-b n$ implies that $s^{\prime}<3$ and therefore $s\left(T_{n}\right) \leq 2$. By the equation $n=1+2 s+3 t$ we obtain that $2 s\left(T_{n}\right) \equiv n-1(\bmod 3)$ and the statement is obtained since this implies that $s\left(T_{n}\right) \equiv-2 s\left(T_{n}\right) \equiv 1-n \equiv 2 n+1(\bmod 3)$.

## 6 Trees of diameter five

In order to describe trees of diameter five with minimum number of independent sets we introduce the following terminology.

Let $T$ denote a tree of diameter five with a diametrical path $P_{6}: x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}$. If there is exactly one leaf attached to $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, then we refer to $T$ as a center-leaf tree, and if there is no leaf attached to $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, then we refer to $T$ as a center-leaf-free tree.

Let $T$ denote a center-leaf-free tree. If every component of $T-\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a $K_{1,1}$ then $T$ is referred to as a center-leaf-free $K_{1,1}$-tree. If every component of $T-\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a $K_{1,2}$, then $T$ is referred to as a center-leaf-free $K_{1,2}$-tree. If every component of $T-\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a $K_{1,1}$ or a $K_{1,2}$, then $T$ is referred to as a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree.

### 6.1 Some lemmas concerning trees of diameter five

In the following we prove some results needed for the characterization of trees of diameter five with minimum number of independent sets.

Lemma 4. Let $T$ be a tree of diameter five for which $i(T)$ is minimum, and let $P_{6}: x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}$ denote a diametrical path of $T$. Then
(1) The neighbourhood $N\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ contains at most one leaf,
(2) if there is a leaf $l$ attached to either $x_{2}$ or $x_{3}$, then every component of $T-\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, l\right\}$ is a $K_{1,1}$, and
(3) if neither $x_{2}$ nor $x_{3}$ has a leaf attached, then every component of $T-\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a $K_{1,1}$ or a $K_{1,2}$.

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 1, while statement (3) follows from Lemma 2. To prove statement (2), we may assume that a leaf $l$ is adjacent to $x_{2}$. From Lemma 1 it follows that all vertices from $N\left(x_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3}\right\}$ have degree at most two in $T$. Thus we may assume that a vertex $y \in N\left(x_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ has degree at least three in $T$. By Lemma $2 y$ has degree exactly three.

Let $l^{\prime}, x$ be a the two leaves adjacent to $y$ and consider the tree $T^{\prime}:=T-y l^{\prime}+l l^{\prime}$. Observe that an independent set $S$ in $T^{\prime}$ is independent in $T$ unless $\left\{l^{\prime}, y\right\} \subseteq S$. An independent set in $T$ containing both $l$ and $l^{\prime}$ is not independent in $T^{\prime}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(T)=i\left(T^{\prime}\right)-i\left(T^{\prime}-N_{T^{\prime}}\left[l^{\prime}, y\right]\right)+i\left(T-N_{T}\left[l, l^{\prime}\right]\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One component of $T^{\prime}-N_{T^{\prime}}\left[l^{\prime}, y\right]$ is $A$, the subdivided star with center $x_{2}$ and the other components are a collection $B$ of $K_{1,1}$ 's and $K_{1,2}$ 's, each joined by a (deleted) edge to $x_{3}$. Compare this to $T-N_{T}\left[\left\{l, l^{\prime}\right\}\right]$ which as components has $A-x_{2}$ and the isolated vertex $x$ in one group (corresponding to $A$ ) and one further component $B \cup\left\{x_{3}\right\}$. We see by Observation 2 that $i\left(T^{\prime}-N_{T^{\prime}}\left[l^{\prime}, y\right]\right)<i\left(T-N_{T}\left[l, l^{\prime}\right]\right)$. Thus (3) implies $i(T)>i\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ which contradicts the choice of $T$.

Lemma 4 states that given an integer $n \geq 6$, the minimum value of $i(T)$ over all trees of order $n$ and diameter five is attained for a center-leaf $K_{1,1}$-tree or a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree. Given any center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}$ - $K_{1,2}$-tree $T$, we let $p(T)$ and $q(T)$ denote the number of $K_{1,1}$ 's attached to $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, respectively, and let $r(T)$ and $s(T)$ denote the number of $K_{1,2}$ 's attached, by their center vertex, to $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, respectively. Whenever the context is clear we will simply write $p, q, r$ and $s$ for $p(T), q(T), r(T)$ and $s(T)$. For the number of independent sets in a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree $T$ we can use Observation 1(iii) to obtain Proposition 2 below.

Proposition 2. The number of independent sets in any center-leaf-free mixed-$K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree $T$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{p+q} 5^{r+s}+2^{p} 3^{q} 4^{r} 5^{s}+2^{q} 3^{p} 5^{r} 4^{s} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $T$ be a tree of diameter five for which $i(T)$ is minimum. If there is a leaf attached to $x_{2}$ or $x_{3}$, then $n(T) \leq 27$.

Proof. Suppose there is a leaf attached to $x_{2}$ or $x_{3}$. Then it follows from Lemma 4, that $T$ is a center-leaf $K_{1,1}$-tree. If $p$ and $q$ denote the number of $K_{2}$ 's attached to $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, respectively, then $p, q \leq 6$, according to Lemma 3. Since $n(T)=3+2(p+q)$, the desired bound on $n(T)$ follows.

Corollary 1. If $n \geq 28$, then a tree $T$ of diameter five and order $n$ for which $i(T)$ is minimum is a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree.

Proof. As noted above in Lemma 4, the tree $T$ is either a center-leaf $K_{1,1}$-tree or a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree. Since $n \geq 28$, the claim follows from Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. Let $T$ be a tree of diameter five for which $i(T)$ is minimum, and let $P_{6}: x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}$ denote a diametrical path of $T$. Let $r$ and $s$ denote the number of $K_{1,2}$ 's attached by their center vertex to $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, respectively. By symmetry, we may assume $r=s+c$ for some non-negative integer $c$. If $c \geq 1$ then $p \leq q$ and given values of $p$ and $q$ we have that $c$ is the largest possible integer such that

$$
c \leq\left\lfloor\frac{\log (5 / 4)+(q-p) \log (3 / 2)}{\log (5 / 4)}\right\rfloor
$$

Proof. Suppose that $c \geq 1$. Let $T^{\prime}$ denote the center-leaf-free mixed $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree with $p\left(T^{\prime}\right)=p, q\left(T^{\prime}\right)=q, r\left(T^{\prime}\right)=r-1=s+c-1$ and $s\left(T^{\prime}\right)=s+1$. According to Observation 1(iii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(T) & =3^{p+q} 5^{r+s}+2^{p} 3^{q} 4^{r} 5^{s}+2^{q} 3^{p} 5^{r} 4^{s} \quad \text { and } \\
i\left(T^{\prime}\right) & =3^{p+q} 5^{r+s}+2^{p} 3^{q} 4^{r-1} 5^{s+1}+2^{q} 3^{p} 5^{r-1} 4^{s+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $i(T)-i\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{5} 2^{q} 3^{p} 5^{r} 4^{s}-\frac{1}{4} 2^{p} 3^{q} 4^{r} 5^{s}$. Now consider the logarithm of the ratio of these two terms
$\log \left(\frac{\frac{1}{5} 2^{q} 3^{p} 5^{r} 4^{s}}{\frac{1}{4} 2^{p} 3^{q} 4^{r} 5^{s}}\right)=\log \left(\frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{q-p}\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{c}\right)=\log \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)+(q-p) \log \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)+c \log \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)$.
This term is at most zero since by hypothesis $i(T) \leq i\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that $p \leq q$ and since $i(T)$ is minimum and we by hypothesis create a tree $T^{\prime}$ with larger $i\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ each time we move a $K_{1,2}$-component attached to $x_{2}$ over to $x_{3}$. Therefore we want $c$ to be the largest possible integer such that

$$
c \leq \frac{\log (5 / 4)+(q-p) \log (3 / 2)}{\log (5 / 4)}
$$

Analogously to Lemma 3 we can obtain Lemma 7.
Lemma 7. Let $H$ be a graph with a vertex $v$. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{9}$ be copies of $K_{1,2}$ and let $v_{i}$ be the center vertex of $G_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 9$. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ be copies of $K_{1,1}$ and let $f_{i}$ be a vertex in $F_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. If $G=H \cup G_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G_{7} \cup F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup F_{3}+$ $\left\{v v_{1}, \ldots, v v_{7}, v f_{1}, v f_{2}, v f_{3}\right\}$ and $G^{\prime}=H \cup G_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G_{9}+\left\{v v_{1}, \ldots, v v_{7}, v v_{8}, v v_{9}\right\}$, then $i\left(G^{\prime}\right)<i(G)$.

Proof. $i(G)-i\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2 \cdot 5^{7} \cdot i(H-v)-2^{17} i(H-N[v])>0$ because $i(H-v) \geq$ $i(H-N[v])$ and $5^{7}>2^{16}$.

From Lemma 7 we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2. Let $T$ be a tree of diameter five for which $i(T)$ is minimum. If $n \geq 88$ then $p \leq 2, q \leq 2$ and $|r-s| \leq 4$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 we can choose notation such that $r \geq s$ and $p \leq q$. By Lemma 3 we have that $p \leq q \leq 6$. Assume that $q \geq 3$. Then Lemma 7 implies that $s \leq 6$ and from Lemma 6 we get $c \leq \frac{\log (5 / 4)+6 \log (3 / 2)}{\log (5 / 4)} \simeq 11,9$, i.e., $c \leq 11$, so that $r \leq 17$. Either $r \leq 6$ and $p \leq 6$ or $7 \leq r \leq 17$ and $p \leq 2$. Both cases imply that $n \leq 87$. This contradiction proves that $q \leq 2$. Again, Lemma 6 gives that $c \leq \frac{\log (5 / 4)+2 \log (3 / 2)}{\log (5 / 4)} \simeq 4,6$ such that $c \leq 4$.

### 6.2 Main result for trees of diameter five

By using the results from Section 6.1 we obtain the main results for trees of diameter five.

Theorem 2. For any $n \geq 28$, a tree $T$ of diameter five and order $n$ for which $i(T)$ is minimum is a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree with $r(T)=s(T)+c$ and $q(T)=p(T)+d$ for non-negative integers $c$ and $d$. Moreover, $c \leq 11$ and $p(T), q(T) \leq 6$. If $n \geq 88$ then $c \leq 4$ and $p(T), q(T) \leq 2$.

Proof. The proof relies on the results of Section 6.1. According to Corollary 1, the tree $T$ as described in the theorem is a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree. The bounds on the parameters $p(T), q(T), r(T), s(T)$ follow from Lemma 3, Lemma 6 and Corollary 2.

If $T_{n}$ is a tree of diameter five and order $n$ for which $i\left(T_{n}\right)$ is minimum, then it follows from the above theorem that as $n$ increases the tree $T_{n}$ will be an increasingly 'well-balanced' center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree, that is, the ratio of $r\left(T_{n}\right)$ and $s\left(T_{n}\right)$ will tend to one, and the ratio of $\left(p\left(T_{n}\right)+q\left(T_{n}\right)\right) / n$ will be small.

Lemma 8. There is an integer $n^{\prime}$ such that if $T_{n}$ is a tree of diameter five and order $n \geq n^{\prime}$ for which $i\left(T_{n}\right)$ is minimum then $p\left(T_{n}\right)+q\left(T_{n}\right) \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $T^{n}$ be any center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1}-K_{1,2}$-tree of order $n$ such that $p\left(T^{n}\right)+q\left(T^{n}\right) \geq 3$ and $p\left(T^{n}\right), q\left(T^{n}\right) \leq 6$. Consider a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1^{-}}$ $K_{1,2}$-tree $T_{2}^{n}$ of order $n$ with $p\left(T_{2}^{n}\right)+q\left(T_{2}^{n}\right)=p\left(T^{n}\right)+q\left(T^{n}\right)-3$ and $r\left(T_{2}^{n}\right)+s\left(T_{2}^{n}\right)=$ $r\left(T^{n}\right)+s\left(T^{n}\right)+2$. From equation (4) it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i\left(T^{n}\right)}{3^{p\left(T^{n}\right)+q\left(T^{n}\right)} 5^{r\left(T^{n}\right)+s\left(T^{n}\right)}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i\left(T_{2}^{n}\right)}{3^{p\left(T^{n}\right)+q\left(T^{n}\right)} 5^{r\left(T^{n}\right)+s\left(T^{n}\right)}}=\frac{25}{27}
$$

Thus there must exist an integer $n^{\prime}$ such that $i\left(T^{n}\right)>i\left(T_{2}^{n}\right)$ when $n \geq n^{\prime}$.This implies that $T_{n}$ can not be the graph $T^{n}$ for $n \geq n^{\prime}$ and the statement follows.

By using the result from Lemma 8 we can obtain the following characterization of $T_{n}$ when $n \geq n^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3. There is an integer $n^{\prime}$ such that if $T_{n}$ is a tree of diameter five and order $n \geq n^{\prime}$ for which $i\left(T_{n}\right)$ is minimum. Then $T_{n}$ is a center-leaf-free mixed- $K_{1,1^{-}}$ $K_{1,2}$-tree and $p, q, r$ and $s$ is as indicated in the following table (it is assumed that $c:=r-s \geq 0$ and $p \leq q)$ :

| $\mathrm{n} \bmod 6$ | q | p | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Proof. Let $n^{\prime}$ be the integer from Lemma 8 and consider $T_{n}$ when $n \geq n^{\prime}$. Then $p+q \leq 2$ and Lemma 6 implies that $c \leq 1$ if $p=q, c \in\{1,2\}$ if $q-p=1$ and $c \in\{3,4\}$ if $q-p=2$. By considering cases depending on $n \bmod 6$ it can be observed that this determines the parameters $p, q$ and $c$ when $n \bmod 3 \neq 0$. Further in the case $n \bmod 6=0$ either $p=1, q=1$ and $c=0$ or $p=0, q=2$ and $c=4$ and in the case $n \bmod 6=3$ either $p=1, q=1$ and $c=1$ or $p=0, q=2$ and $c=3$. In both cases we only have to compare the number of independent sets in the two trees that might be isomorphic to $T_{n}$ and the result is as indicated in the table.

It can be shown that the integer $n^{\prime}$ from Lemma 8 and Theorem 3 can be chosen to be smaller than one hundred.

From Theorem 3 we immediately obtain
Corollary 3. Asymptotically the minimum number of independent sets in $n$-order trees of diameter five is $5^{n / 3}$.

## 7 The lower bound of $i$ on graphs of fixed order and diameter

The following theorem gives an optimal bound for $i$ for connected graphs of fixed order and diameter. The graph obtained by attaching a path $P$ to a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ is the graph $P \cup G+u v$ where $u$ is a vertex of $P$ with minimum degree. The Fibonacci numbers $f i b(0), f i b(1), \ldots$ is defined by the equations $f i b(0):=0$, $f i b(1):=1$ and $f i b(n):=f i b(n-1)+f i b(n-2)$ for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 4. If $G$ is a connected graph of order $n$ and diameter $d \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f i b(d+1)+(n-d) f i b(d) \leq i(G) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where equality occurs if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to the graph obtained from $K_{n-d+2}$ by removing an edge $w v$ and attaching a path $P_{d-2}$ at $v$ (if $d \geq 3$ ).

Proof. If $G \cong P_{d+1}$ then the statement is true for $G$ since $i(G)=f i b(d+3)=$ $2 f i b(d+1)+(n-d) f i b(d)$. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ and diameter $d, G \not \approx P_{d+1}$. Assume that the statement is true for each graph of order less than $n$. Consider a diametrical path $P: v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d+1}$ in $G$. Since $G \not \equiv P_{d+1}$ there must be a vertex $u \notin V(P)$ such that $G-u$ is connected and since $P$ is a diametrical path $u$ can at most be adjacent to three vertices of $P$. Thus $G-u$ is a graph with diameter at least $d$ and $G-N[u]$ has at least $d-2$ vertices. By assumption we have that $i(G-u) \geq 2 f i b(d+1)+(n-1-d) f i b(d)$ and Observation 2 implies that $i(G-N[u]) \geq i\left(P_{d-2}\right)=f i b(d)$. If equality holds in both inequalities then $G-N[u] \cong P_{d-2}$ and $G-u$ has diameter $d$ and can be constructed as one of the graphs described in the statement. Thus if equality holds in both inequalities $G$ must be one of the graphs described in the statement. By applying Observation 1 we obtain that

$$
i(G)=i(G-u)+i(G-N[u]) \geq 2 f i b(d+1)+(n-d) f i b(d)
$$

and equality occurs if and only if $G$ is one of the graphs described in the statement.
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