



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Aalborg Universitet

Achieving universal access to next generation networks

Falch, Morten; Henten, Anders

Publication date:
2008

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Falch, M., & Henten, A. (2008). Achieving universal access to next generation networks. Paper presented at European Regional ITS Conference, Rom, Italy.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Achieving universal access to next generation networks

By Morten Falch and Anders Henten

(surname)@cmi.aau.dk

CMI, Aalborg University in Copenhagen

Lautrupvang 15

2750 Ballerup

Paper presented at

19th European Regional ITS Conference

Rome, Italy

18-20 September 2008

Achieving universal access to next generation networks

Morten Falch and Anders Henten

1. Introduction

The paper examines investment dimensions of next generation networks in a universal service perspective in a European context. The question is how new network infrastructures for getting access to communication, information and entertainment services in the present and future information society will be funded. In this vein, alternative funding mechanisms including private-public partnerships are discussed.

There are two different streams in the European discussions on building access to information society services. One stream is related to the Lisbon process, where broadband access plays a crucial role for the development of a European information society. The topics discussed here deal with the range of policy measures available for establishing broadband access infrastructures. The other stream is related to the universal service provisions in the European regulatory framework for communication networks and services. The present paper focuses mainly on the first of these streams, but also briefly touches on the possible extension of the universal service concept to broadband access.

The discussion on extending the scope of universal service can be seen as part of the wider interest in building broadband access infrastructures. However, the universal service discussion has its own agenda, and the paper, therefore, starts with a brief account of this discussion. In connection with this, the paper discusses the implications of Next Generation Networks (NGN) on the universal service concept. Thereafter, the paper turns to its main avenue looking at the range of policy measures available for promoting broadband access. This is followed by an overview of existing and upcoming access network technologies. Before concluding, there is a section on alternative funding mechanisms including a sub-section on the potentials of Private Public Partnerships (PPP) in providing funding for broadband access infrastructures.

2. *Universal service*

The existing European universal service legislation derives from the liberalisation of telecommunications in Europe in the 1990s. There was a concern that a liberalised market could result in under-served markets segments, if such segments were not sufficiently profitable. Obligations regarding minimum service levels were, therefore, put on (incumbent) operators. In the countries where universal telephone services had already been achieved under monopoly, this was, in a sense, a backward looking policy. The purpose was to secure what had already been obtained under monopoly. In the countries where universal service had not been achieved, the implications of the universal service policy were more forward looking.

Universal service provisions have traditionally been related to telephony, the voice service and the access network necessary for providing telephony services. However, it has all along been foreseen that universal service provisions also could be related to newer technology solutions. Mobile and broadband have been cases in point. Following the present EU regulatory framework from 2002, the scope of universal service should be examined every third year, and the first review of the scope of universal service was published in 2005 (COM(2005) 203). It was anticipated that the general review of the 2002 regulatory framework would be published in 2006 and that the scope of universal service would also be taken up in that context. The general review was, however, postponed and the general review including a proposal on universal service (COM(2007) 698 final) does not suggest any changes in the scope and concept of universal service but points at the coming 2008 consultation on this specific issue. The 2005 review is thus the latest existing EU review of the scope of universal service.

The existing directive addressing the issue of universal service (2002/22/EC) defines universal service as ‘the minimum set of services, of specified quality to which end-users have access, at an affordable price in the light of national conditions, without distorting competition’ (COM2005) 203). The scope of universal service (the minimum set of services) includes:

- a. Connection to the public telephone network at a fixed location
- b. Access to publicly available telephone services (COM(2005) 203, p. 3)

The universal service concept is based on the following criteria:

1. A minority of consumers would be excluded from society by not being able to afford specific services that are both available to and used by the majority, and
2. Inclusion of these services within the scope would convey a general net benefit to all consumers in case they are not provided to the public under normal commercial conditions (COM(2005) 203, p. 3-4)

Two service areas are examined in the Commission Communication (COM(2005) 203): Mobile communications and broadband Internet access. In both cases, the Commission concludes that they should not be included within the scope of universal services. In the case of mobile communications, the reason is that ‘the competitive provision of mobile communications has resulted in consumers already having affordable access to mobile communications’ (COM(2005) 203, p. 7). In the case of broadband, the reason is that diffusion is still too low to talk about a ‘majority of consumers’ having broadband. ‘Broadband has not yet become necessary for normal participation in society, such that lack of access implies social exclusion’ (COM(2005) 203, p. 8). In the first case, diffusion is already too wide to justify a market intervention by means of universal service provisions. In the second case, diffusion is too low. The question could be how wide the window between too low and too high diffusion is in the broadband area, justifying broadband Internet access being included under the universal service scope.

3. Universal service in a Next Generation Network context

An important question regarding new network developments and the scope of universal services is concerned with the increasing importance of Internet Protocol (IP) and Next Generation Networks (NGN). Where the different services formerly would be offered on dedicated networks, NGN and the use of IP make it possible to deliver many different services on just one network or one service on different networks. Telephony services can be delivered on different networks and the requirements for having fixed telephony services is access itself, i.e. broadband access which makes it possible to use IP-based services. This radically changes the present scope of universal services from focusing on a set of services and their underlying dedicated networks towards focusing on the

access issue (COM(2005 203, p. 10). This brings the discussion on the scope of universal service back to the question of broadband access.

Incumbent operators in a number of European countries will in the coming 5-10 years be closing down their PTSN, and telephony will either be mobile or IP-based. If, in this situation, fixed access to telephony services is to be secured for all citizens, there will have to be universal service on access to broadband connections.

The NGN concept entails that there is a separation between the infrastructure and the services delivered via the infrastructure. This split is part of the technological concept, but may also be promoted via a regulatory separation between infrastructure and service provision. This means that technologically as well as with respect to regulation there is a trend towards a separation of infrastructure provision and service provision. The present conceptualisation and scope of universal service does not seem to fit this development well. The question is whether this will lead to an inclusion of broadband access into the universal service scope or whether it will lead to a reliance on the many other policy measures which can be used to enhance broadband access. The following section discusses these different measures.

The policy measures range from awareness raising and regulatory provisions to economic support of different kinds to the demand or supply side. More specifically, it can be the building of public research & education networks, unbundling of broadband access, tax incentives for broadband take-up, and financial support for setting up broadband access infrastructures. Mostly, however, this is not conceptualised as universal service policies. The universal service concept is still reserved for the traditional imposition of obligations on operators. Nevertheless, we are dealing with different kinds of universal services policies – or at policy initiatives to broaden access to broadband.

4. Available policy measures

Lately, an increasing number of publications have been issued discussing the many diverse factors - including policy factors - affecting broadband development. The point of departure is the observation that the penetration of broadband varies to a great extent even between countries with similar GDP per capita. Examples of such publications are ‘Global broadband battles – Why the US

and Europe lag while Asia leads’, edited by Martin Fransman (2006) and reports from the EU project BREAD on broadband development for all, for instance, ‘Broadband in Europe for all: A multidisciplinary approach’ by Peter van Daele et al. (2005).

In the following, six different kinds of policy measures are listed – with the ‘lightest’ forms of intervention first and the ‘strongest’ at the end:

- Strengthening and harmonisation of the internal uses of ICT (information and communication technology) networks and services in public institutions.
- Developing public communication networks and services relating to citizens and business enterprises – with influences on the take-up and forms of communication used in the society at large.
- Facilitation of the development of communication networks and services. This may include increasing transparency in the markets by way of public information on qualities and prices of communication networks and services, and it may include the setting up by public agencies of forums for discussions on, e.g., interconnection and frequency issues among the competing operators and the public authorities.
- Regulation proper, setting the ‘rules of the game’ in the markets, and the enforcement of such rules.
- Support for the demand for communication networks and services, which may be based on either the direct demand from public institutions or support for the demand from private citizens and business enterprises. Included in this category can also be mentioned education initiatives enhancing the competences of people in using ICT networks and services.
- Support for the supply of ICT networks and services, which may involve public funds going into network and service deployment, but which may also be of a more indirect character involving public research and development and public education of people whose labour power will be used in ICT business enterprises.

The two first mentioned points are related to e-government initiatives. They concern the use of ICTs internally in public organisations and public sector organisations’ communications with citizens and

companies. The activities of public administrations in these fields will indirectly lead to the promotion of the use of ICTs on a broader scale in society.

The two following points are connected to the ICT sectors themselves – facilitating their modes of functioning and setting and enforcing the regulatory frameworks. Facilitation as well as regulation can have decisive influences on the industrial development. The whole liberalisation process in telecommunications has definitely had a great influence on the development of this sector.

The two last points, support for demand and supply, are often connected with the term industrial policy. Public support for the demand side can be important for industrial development and is seen as more acceptable than support for the supply side. State initiatives in the field can be of different kinds. State procurement in itself is important, as state organisations often are large customer, and as goods and services based on new technologies can be helped to grow in the market by means of initial fostering. But the state can also help specific sectors by promoting private demand, either by creating a fertile environment for industries, which are large users of specific goods or services, or by helping residential demand.

Support for the supply side includes direct economic support, which has often been seen as the essence of traditional industrial policies. This kind of industrial policy measure has lost importance in the EU and elsewhere during the past couple of decades. It is, generally, neither favoured by the individual member states nor the EU as such, as it is seen as distorting to the competitive environment. However, when dealing with less profitable, e.g. rural, areas, there is support for public funding at the EU level as well as the national level. In a Communication from the European Commission, public funding including funding from the EU Structural Funds and the Rural Development Fund is explained and recommended as one of the ‘available instruments’ (COM(2006) 129 final).

There are, however, other kinds of policies supporting the supply side of industries which are not only generally accepted but also considered as strongly recommendable, as they are more certain to contribute to technology developments. This applies to R&D initiatives as well as educational

initiatives, which in both cases can be of a general nature, not discriminating between different industrial areas, but can also favour specific sectors of the economy, which most often has been the case with publicly funded R&D. It also applies to initiatives promoting industrial development by way of supporting the development of innovative milieus, for instance in the form of science parks, intelligent cities, etc.

5. Existing and upcoming network infrastructure technologies

The penetration of broadband services in the EU was by end 2006 15.7 per 100 inhabitants. There is, however, a substantial variation among countries. The penetration ranges from 33% in Netherlands and Denmark to 3.3% in Greece and Slovakia (Reding, 2007). There is, furthermore, a substantial gap between penetration in rural and urban areas. According to a study by IDATE, the penetration in rural areas is just over 50% of that in urban areas (Montagne, 2007). One reason for this is better coverage and lower prices in urban areas. Increasing use of alternative networks will help increase rural coverage and closing the gap between rural and urban areas.

Access to next generation networks can be offered by use of a wide range of different network access technologies each having their own specific comparative advantages with respect to technical capabilities and economic costs. This variety in technologies is closely related to the variety in network providers and in funding mechanisms. While telecommunication operators tend to prefer technologies reusing existing network infrastructures, other operators pay attention to scalability and demand for long term investments. The techno-economic and market characteristics of the various infrastructures are summarized in table 1.

Table 1: Techno-economic characteristics of infrastructures

	xDSL	Cable modem	Fiber	PLC	Mobile	Wireless	Satellite
Availability	High	Varies (mainly in cities)	Low	Low	High	Medium/low	High
Ownership	Incumbent Telco	Telcos/cable operators/municipalities	Telcos/power companies/municipalities	Power companies	Telcos	Often SMEs	Satellite operators
Capacity	Medium/low	Medium	High	Low	Low	Medium/high	High
Costs drivers	Distance/connections	Distance/connections	Distance/connections	Distance	Area/capacity	Area/capacity	Capacity
Lifetime	Very long	Long	Long	Short	Short	Short	Medium
Fixed/variable costs	High fixed costs	High fixed costs	High fixed costs	Low fixed costs	Medium fixed costs	Medium/low fixed costs	High fixed costs
Economies of density	High	High	High	Medium/high	Low/medium	Low/medium	Low

Upgrade of existing based telecom network (xDSL)

The major advantage of xDSL is that it is offered by use of the existing copper based access network, which is widely available. xDSL is the most widespread access technology for broadband access as 62% of all broadband connections use xDSL (end 2007) (OECD). Presently, 90% of the population within the EU lives in areas covered by xDSL services. In rural areas, the coverage is around 70% (EC, 2007). In areas with xDSL coverage, use of existing infrastructure facilities is still very competitive in providing most types of services. However, in areas not covered by copper-based networks, use of other network technologies is likely to offer a cost efficient alternative.

Cable modem

Cable modem offers an attractive alternative to xDSL in areas covered by cable TV. 35% of the EU population lives in areas where it is possible to use cable modem. However, in rural areas only 10% has this opportunity (EC, 2007). The availability of cable TV varies from country to country. In some countries, like the Netherlands and Belgium, this service is available to 80-90% of the households. In other countries, this service is only available in major housing complexes, while other countries are virtually uncovered.

In particular in high density areas, cable networks can offer a cost efficient alternative to xDSL services. In 2007, cable provided 29% of all broadband access connections (OECD). The coverage of cable networks is much lower than that of telecom networks. Therefore, the market share is higher than for xDSL in those areas where this technology is available.

Optical fibre networks

Optical fibre networks have replaced copper cables in large parts of the core network, but up to now optical fibres are not widely available in the local loop. Optical fibres are currently not more expensive than copper cables, but as with copper cables, laying the fibres underground constitutes a substantial part of the costs. Therefore, replacement of copper based cables with fibres is a costly affair. In addition to this, the end equipment converting optical signal to electrical signals and visa versa is also expensive. So far (June 2007), fibre access is widely used in Korea (9.2 per 100 inhabitants), Japan (7.6), Sweden (4.6) and Denmark (2.9) (OECD).

Deployment costs including components, civil works and installation have in Europe decreased from 1250-1500€per connection in 2001 to 600-800€in 2006 (Grooten, 2006). The major cost component is civil works related to deployment of fibres. These costs can be reduced substantially if duct capacity is available beforehand.

Power line communication

Power lines are even more widespread than telecom lines. The potential for using power lines for telecom access is, therefore, enormous. More than 100 trials have been implemented in at least 40 different countries within the past decade. In spite of this, the penetration of this technology for use as for provision of local loop is still very limited. One of the problems has been high costs of equipment. Power line communication is, presently, mainly used for in-house cabling and may, in this case, become a cost effective alternative to other wired solutions.

Mobile networks

Wireless networks include a wide range of technologies in the access as well as the core network. Wireless cellular networks supporting mobile communication are the most widespread. Mobile networks have become widely available all over the world. The number of mobile subscribers has passed the number of fixed network subscriber, and in some countries they have become even more available than fixed telecom facilities.

The capacity of 2G networks are too limited to provide an alternative to the fixed networks as an infrastructure for provision of most types of data services. 3G networks are able to provide some data services but the capacity is still lower than what can be offered by use of xDSL, cable or optical fibres. Establishment of 3G networks is also more costly than establishment of 2G networks, in particular in low density areas, as maximum cell size is much smaller than for 2G services. In high density areas, however, the costs per bit may in some cases even be lower than in 2G networks.

The cost factors depend rather weakly on the basic type of radio technology employed (Giles, 2004). The costs of establishing a new network are considerable lower than for a fixed network, as the costly last mile can be completely bypassed. In particular 2G networks have proved to be a cost effective viable alternative for provision of telephony services. Compared to a fixed network, the investment costs constitute a much lower share of the overall costs. Still a major share of the investments costs are in the access network as the site build out constitutes 30-50% of the investments costs.

Other wireless networks

Other wireless networks include a wide range of technologies in the access as well as the core network. The wireless access technologies include:

- WiFi / WiMAX
- Wireless Local Loop, e.g. Fixed Wireless Access

- Terrestrial broadcasting networks

So far wireless networks play a limited role for the total penetration. Only in the Czech Republic is access via other wireless networks widespread: one third of all broadband connections are provided by a wireless connection. In Ireland and Slovakia, 15-17% is provided in this way. In all other EU countries, the figures are below 3%. (OECD).

WiFi is mainly used for provision of Internet access and is available from hot spots installed at public places. WiFi is also used as a substitute for internal cabling at customers' premises. This includes provision of access to neighbourhood networks operated by local communities. Such networks provide Internet access to residents in an apartment complex or in a neighbourhood through a combination of WiFi and a centralized data connection using the fixed network or FWA.

A WiFi network can be set up at very limited costs and demands no long term investments. WiFi is often seen as an alternative to 3G as it offers higher bandwidth at lower costs. At present the limited range of coverage up to 300 meters implies that WiFi cannot be used as a substitute for the entire local loop in rural areas. However, the WiMAX standard provides similar functionality as WiFi but with a much higher range (up to 50 km).

Wireless Local Loop is a fixed wireless service, where the copper based local loop is replaced by a wireless connection. In this way, new entrants can bypass the part of the incumbent's network facilities, which is most costly and, therefore, the most difficult part of the network to establish for a new entrant. Wireless local loop has so far mainly been used in two particular cases:

- 1) In areas beyond the reach of the copper based network
- 2) For high speed data connections (FWA)

The first case relates primarily to rural areas in developing countries. Here WLL is a cost effective alternative to extension of the wired network. One the advantages of WLL is that it can be used to cover an entire area. This is in contrast to a fixed network connection. It may be economically feasible to extend network facilities to connect a small village, but this will still leave the surrounding habitations unconnected.

In the second case, WLL is used to deliver a higher capacity than it is possible to deliver via an ordinary telephone line. In a number of countries a number of licenses for FWA have been issued in order both to improve coverage of high speed services and to increase competition on the high speed service market.

Terrestrial broadcasting networks are designed to carry broadcasting signals only, but the digitalisation of broadcasting signals opens up for an integration with other digital services. Digital broadcasting networks may, therefore, in some cases prove to be an alternative to other telecom infrastructures. How this opportunity will affect the market structure remains to be seen.

Satellite

Satellite networks are particularly cost effective for broadcasting and for transmission over long distances in remote areas. A major advantage is that use of satellite enables a complete bypass of regional and even national network facilities. The major cost component is the satellite itself. The major cost driver is, therefore, traffic volume, while distance does not affect costs. Satellite is cost-effective in low demand areas without a reliable communication infrastructure. Such areas are mainly found in developing countries. In Europe use of satellite for two way communication is less relevant.

6. Alternative funding mechanisms

The traditional way of funding universal service in disadvantaged areas has been through some kind of cross subsidisation funded by service provision in other areas. Funding has often been channelled through a universal service fund supporting service provision offered by rural operators. Sometimes universal service is secured by appointing one or more operators (usually incumbent operators), which are requested to offer a number of basic services on certain specified conditions.

As discussed in section two, it has been considered to include broadband services in the definition of universal services. There are, however, other ways of funding universal broadband access. As noted in section five, the emergence of new access technologies, which can be developed

independently of the service layer, facilitates a development, where parts of the infrastructure is provided by other actors than the traditional telecom operators.

Alternative funding mechanisms include, therefore, other types of funding than those coming from traditional telecommunication operators or a universal service fund financed by contributions from telecommunication operators. Alternative funding mechanisms include:

- 1) Public funding programmes and investments
- 2) Investments by private companies
- 3) Funding through non-profit organisations
- 4) Public Private Partnerships (PPP)

Communication networks are of crucial importance for economic development. There is, consequently, a clear rationale for promoting access to Next Generation Networks through various public programmes. Public programmes involve stimulation of demand and supply as well as regulatory measures ensuring a more well-functioning and competitive market. Of these various instruments, stimulation of the supply side through public funding of infrastructure investments is clearly the most controversial.

Nevertheless, public funding is used in most parts of the world. In United States, the Government, among others, has introduced a 'Federal Rural Broadband Access Loan and Loan Guaranty Program', and several regional programmes involving public funding exist (Falch, 2008).

Australia spends more than 4 billion AUD on regional telecommunications funding. In April 2007, a broadband guarantee offering access to affordable metro-comparable broadband services in remaining broadband black spots (Australian Government, 2007) was established.

Public finding is also used within the EU. The European Commission includes both state aid and EU funding provided by structural funds and the Rural Development Fund as available instruments for closing the broadband gap within regions in Europe (COM(2006) 129 final).

The EU Commission is highly concerned with whether public funding will distort competition. The Commission has, therefore, been reluctant to allow direct funding of infrastructure development. Until recently this was mainly done in relation to the establishment of high speed research and education networks connecting universities and other research institutions. Use of funds allocated for regional and rural development for funding of network infrastructure was not included in eEurope 2000-2002 and the eEurope 2005 plans, but was included in the i2010 plan.

Funding of research and education networks helps universal access to NGN in two ways. First, it stimulates supply and demand in general through the promotion of use for research and education purposes. Second, research and education networks include public institutions in rural areas such as public school and libraries. This improves public access to NGN facilities for citizens or small businesses without their own connection.

In order to avoid distortion of competition, the Commission reviews national project for state funding of broadband infrastructure. Infrastructure support must be given to bridge the digital divide. Support should, therefore, be limited to areas where it is not commercially viable for private sector operators to establish adequate facilities. The Commission distinguishes in this context between white, grey and black areas (Papadias, Riedl et al., 2006). White areas are sparsely populated rural zones, where no broadband access except via satellite or leased lines is available. In such areas, state aid is in general allowed. Grey areas are areas, where broadband is already provided. Here, permission for state aid demands a more detailed assessment. Finally, black areas are those, where at least two competing infrastructures exist, and where there will be a high risk for market distortion if state funding is allowed. In such areas state aid is generally not allowed.

An example of a white area is in rural Greece. In mountainous and sparsely populated areas in Greece, broadband access at an affordable rate will be difficult to provide without substantial external funding. State aid is, therefore, central in the Greek broadband strategy. Another example is laying of an optical fibre network and establishment of Rural Internet Access Points in Lithuania.

An example of a grey area project, which has been approved, is the establishment of an optical fibre infrastructure in urban areas in Ireland. The infrastructure will be used for providing wholesale

communication services to all potential retail telecom areas. The network infrastructure will remain in public ownership and will, subsequently, not distort competition.

The emergence of NGN networks has made it viable for new entrants to establish their own communication infrastructures providing Internet access and other communication services directly to end customers. In particular two types of NGN networks are can be expected to play a role in the future communication infrastructure:

- 1) Optical fibre networks established by operators of other types of network infrastructures, e.g. electricity companies.
- 2) Wireless networks

The entrance of public utility companies on the telecommunication market is not a new phenomenon. Railway companies, for instance, played an important role in creating competition in the market for distance communication facilities in the early days of liberalisation (Falch, 1996).

The entrance of public utility companies as providers of local access came, however, first at a later stage. The opportunity to combine investments in optical fibre networks with the laying of electricity cables or to use existing duct facilities became more profitable with the emergence of triple play. In Denmark, where the number of fibre access in private homes (FTTH) has doubled within the past six months in 2007 (ITST, 2008), it is expected that 60% of all homes are covered within 5 years. Electricity companies are the major actors. One example is NESA/DONG, which provides electricity to around 500,000 homes in the capital region. NESA/DONG acts as a pure infrastructure provider providing the basic infrastructure for a number of service providers offering Internet access, TV broadcast, video on demand or IP-telephony (Tadayoni & Sigurdsson, 2007). Similar business models are applied by other electricity companies, e.g. Reykjavik Energy in Iceland and Mäler Energy in Sweden (Tadayoni & Sigurdsson, 2005).

Use of wireless technologies for broadband access is less widespread and growth has been disappointing the last couple of years. One problem is that although there are clear cost advantages in network facilities, spectrum costs can be a problem. Although the potential for using wireless solutions is highest in rural areas, private companies providing wireless solutions, such as the small

Danish company Danske Telecom, have so far focused on urban areas, where customers are more concentrated.

Decreasing investment costs has in combination with the lack of interest of established telecommunication operators in providing competitive services led to the establishment of a number of community networks. Such networks are established by local grass-root organisations in a local housing area or in a rural area, where demand aggregation has made it possible to provide an attractive alternative to the established operators. DjurslandS.net in Denmark is such an organisation. It is based in an area, where 25% of the population at that point in time lived outside the reach of xDSL services. Therefore a wireless network was established on the initiative of the local community. DjurslandS.net managed in 2002 to obtain a grant from the EU and became the largest non-commercial wireless network in Europe (Tadayoni & Sigurdsson, 2007).

7. PPP

Public-private partnerships can be seen as one of the ways of attracting funding for the extension of communications infrastructures. PPP can be defined as ‘a cooperative venture between the public and private sectors, built on the expertise of each partner, that best meets clearly defined public needs through the appropriate allocation of resources, risks and awards’ (CCPPP).

Public-private partnership (PPP) involves the cooperation of two parties, the public sector and the private sector, and either refers to private sector entities carrying out assignments on behalf of public sector entities or to fulfil public policy goals or public sector activities helping private sector entities. Lately, discussions on PPP in the communications infrastructure areas have often dealt with the public sector helping in building communications infrastructures where the returns on investment are considered to be too low for private operators. Traditionally, however, the PPP term has been used to denote that private sector entities, at different levels and scales, take care of activities traditionally performed by public sector entities. Where many discussions on PPP, therefore, presently focus on market failures and the need for the public sector to rectify such failures, PPP can just as well be concerned with public policy failures.

In our context, however, there is focus on the contributions that public sector investments can make to the extension of communications infrastructures in areas which are considered to be too little profitable for private investors. The reason is that while telecommunications has increasingly become privatised with the telecom reform since the late 1980s, there are still strong public interests in the extension of communications infrastructures as these infrastructures constitute a communication backbone in societies. To the extent that private capital does not invest sufficiently to cover these communication needs, public funding may be necessary to ‘fill the gap’.

This may apply in geographically peripheral or poor areas, i.e. in areas where private operators may not be able to make a profitable business. It can also be that it is politically decided to build out infrastructures and service provisions at a faster pace than is considered commercially profitable. This actually happens in a number of economically developed countries in the deployment of broadband infrastructures. With the great emphasis that many economically developed countries put on broadband development, initiatives are taken to put public funding into the building up of broadband infrastructures. These infrastructures may eventually be taken over by private operators when they are able to run a profitable business. These cases, therefore, illustrate the opposite development of traditional PPP arrangements, where private businesses build infrastructures that later on are taken over by public sector entities.

Furthermore, instead of limiting the partnership arrangements solely to the public sector and private companies, there should be room for other individual and collective actors, including civil society/non-governmental organizations. Consequently, it would be a multi-stakeholder arrangement, also called Multi-Stakeholder Partnership (MSP), which would not only centre on the private/public dichotomy. This extension of the sphere of cooperation would be in line with transcending the simplistic distinction between market failures and policy failures and to take a more holistic view on the system failures and the possible remedies including MSP arrangements.

Relatively little has been written using the PPP perspective on finding alternative funding mechanisms for extension of the infrastructure to areas which are considered of low profitability. The reason is the abovementioned, that traditional discussions on PPP have had the opposite direction, finding private capital to fund public investments. Lately, however, a few texts have appeared. This applies for instance to papers by Christoph Latteman et al. In such a paper, examples from Sweden,

Great Britain and France are discussed (Latteman, 2006). However, cases in all European countries can be found. The conclusion in one of these papers is that the success rate of these projects increases if they do not only include push strategies but also include pull strategies. The pull strategy would entail focusing also on making services available that will lead people to take up broadband connections. This is fully in line with what has been presented in the present paper where the different policy measures available have been described. A coordinated policy approach encompassing a larger array of policy initiatives (supply as well as demand side oriented) will have a greater chance of success than a policy of merely putting public money into broadband extensions per se.

8. *Conclusion*

The question raised in the paper is how new network infrastructures for getting access to communication, information and entertainment services in the present and future information society will be funded. Two different avenues in the European discussions on this topic are examined. The first avenue is based on the universal service concept and its possible extension from telephony and PSTN to broadband access. The second avenue is based on alternative funding mechanisms and the wider range of available policy measures which can be taken into consideration. Both of these avenues can be seen as policies and measures to establish universal service – although it is only the traditional universal service conception, which is normally considered as such.

When looking first at the possibility to extend the traditional universal service concept to encompass broadband access, two issues are relevant. The first issue is whether broadband can live up to the criteria for imposing universal service policies on operators in the field. The second issue is concerned with the applicability of the universal service concept to the upcoming Next Generation Network infrastructures of communication networks. The basic criterion for imposing universal service requirements is that the network technology is used by a majority of the population and that a universal service effort is necessary to reach the remaining minorities of the population. As stated in the 2005 universal service review, mobile communications do not live up to this criterion as mobile has already reached approx. 100% penetration in most European countries. Broadband, on the other hand was too little diffused in 2005 to be a technology that would exclude

people if they did not have access. However, since 2005 broadband access has reached much higher rates of penetration. In the countries with the highest penetration – around 35% of the population – the household reach is more than 75% and it could be argued that broadband access, therefore, should be made subject to universal service rules. However, the differences between countries are vast and there are also large discrepancies between urban and rural areas.

The other issue is how the universal service concept fits an NGN environment. With NGN, there is a separation between the infrastructural level and the service and application level. If users have access, they have access to many different services. Universal service will, therefore, have to shift from a specific set of services to access pure and simple.

The question is whether this will be implemented. The issue is that access can be delivered by means of many different technology solutions as described in the paper. This also means there is a greater degree of competition in the provision of broadband access than in the provision of PSTN access. The two things together, many different technology solutions and a greater amount of competitors, will be unlikely to lead to a situation where universal service requirements are imposed. The wide diffusion and de facto universal service will, therefore, have to rely on other measures: alternative funding mechanisms and a wider range of policy measures to expand broadband take-up.

In the paper, different available policy measures are listed, among them public investments in infrastructure build-out. Although public economic support for extending the infrastructure is not among the most highly prioritised means in a European context, it is a means which can be used and is used in cases, where private investors do not see a sufficient profitability, for instance in remote and rural areas. Also a combination of public and private initiatives is seen. Generally, public-private partnerships (PPP) are concerned with private initiatives in public policy areas, but in the context of extending broadband access, the issue is the involvement of public funds in an area which, since liberalisation, has been a private investment area.

Other alternative funding mechanisms are also discussed in the paper. In addition to the investments of traditional telecommunications operators and public funding, there are investments by non-traditional operators, for instance utility companies (mostly in fibre), and by non-profit

organisations. The range of many different investment sources takes the attention away from traditional universal service funding mechanisms and leaves the extension of broadband access to the competitive forces of the market and public investments where the market operators do not see a sufficient return on investment.

9. References

Australian Government (2007). Regional Telecommunications Funding Australian Government Department of Communications Information Technology and the Arts.

Canadian Council for Public-Private Partnerships (CCPPP):
http://www.pppcouncil.ca/aboutPPP_definition.asp.

Commission of the European Communities: (2006) Bridging the broadband gap, Brussels, 20.3.2006, COM(2006) 129 final.

Daele, P. van et al (2005). Broadband in Europe for all: A multidisciplinary approach, BREAD.

Directive 2002/22/EC on universal service and users' rights relating to electronic communications networks.

European Commission (2005). On the review of the scope of universal service in accordance with article 15 of Directive 2002/22/EC, COM(2005) 203, 24.5.2005.

European Commission (2007). Bridging the Broadband Gap, Benchmarking broadband Europe. 14-15 May 2007

Falch, M. (1996). Electricity Companies and Railway Networks as Newcomers in Telecommunications. Towards Competition in Network Industries Telecommunications, Energy and Transportation in Europe and Russia, Potsdam, Springer-Verlag.

[Falch, M. \(2008\). Adoption of Broadband Services : The Role of National Policies.](#) / I: Handbook of Research on Global Diffusion of Broadband Data Transmission. red. / Yogesh K. Dwivedi ; Anastasia Papazafeiropoulou ; Jyoti Choudrie. Bd. 2 Hershey PA, USA : Information Science Reference / IGI-Global, 2008. s. 671-688

Fransman, M. (ed.). (2006). Global broadband battles – Why the US and Europe lag while Asia leads, Stanford University Press, 2006.

Giles, T. et al.:(2004). Cost Drivers and Deployment Scenarios for Future Broadband Wireless Networks – Key research problems and directions for research Proceedings IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, SpringDate: May 2004.
http://www.s3.kth.se/radio/Publication/Pub2004/TimGiles2004_1.pdf.

ITST (2008), *Telecom statistics – second half of 2007* National IT and Telecom Agency, Denmark

Grooten, A. (2006). Reducing CAPEX and OPEX in FTTH networks.. Europe at the speed of Light, Vienna 25-26 January.

Latteman, V. et al (2006). eServices as Pull Strategies within Public Private Partnerships – Evidence from Case Studies’, University of Potsdam.

Montagne, R. (2007) Benchmarking the Broadband Gap. IDATE, Brussels 14 May 2007.

OECD Broadband Portal <http://www.oecd.org>

Papadias, L., A. Riedl, et al. (2006). "Public funding for broadband networks - recent developments." Competition Policy Newsletter Autumn 2006(3).

Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Directive 2002/22/EC on universal service and users’ rights relating to electronic communications networks, Directive 2002/58/EC concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector and Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 on consumer protection cooperation, COM(2007) 698 final, 3.11.2007.

Reding, V. (2007). 12th Implementation Report – the EU Telecom Sector Before the Reform. 29 March 2007.

Tadayoni, R. and H. Sigurdsson (2007). "Development of alternative broadband infrastructures - case studies from Denmark." Telematics and Informatics(Forthcoming).

Tadayoni, R. and H. Sigurdson (2005). Tadayoni, R. and H. Sigurdsson (2007). . CICT working papers no. 102. Lyngby, DTU.