



# Mineral Composition of Red Clover under *Rhizobium* Inoculation and Lime Application in Acid Soil

Olivera STAJKOVIĆ-SRBINOVIĆ\*, Dušica DELIĆ, Nataša RASULIĆ, Dragan ČAKMAK, Djordje KUZMANOVIĆ, Biljana SIKIRIĆ

Institute of Soil Science, Teodora Drajzera 7, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia; oliverastajkovic@yahoo.com (\*corresponding author); vukmirdusica@yahoo.com; masulic@yahoo.com; dcakemak@yahoo.com; djorkuz@yahoo.com; biljana-s@sbb.rs

# Abstract

In the present study the effects of *Rhizobium* inoculation and lime application on the mineral composition (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B) of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.), in very acid soil were evaluated. Inoculation with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* significantly increased shoot dry weight (SDW) of red clover plants (three times greater), as well as N, Mg, Fe, Mn and Cu contents in plants compared to the control. Application of lime and *Rhizobium* together, depending on the lime rate (3, 6 or 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of lime) and the cut, increased SDW significantly, but decreased the contents of N, P, K, Mg, Mn, Zn and B in plants. Regardless of the changes, in all treatments in both cuts, contents of N, K, Ca, Mg, Mn and Zn in plants were among sufficiency levels (Mg content was elevated in the second cut), while Fe content was mainly high, as well as Cu (in the second cut). Contents of P and B in plants were somewhat lower than sufficiency levels, but above critical level. Therefore, red clover can be grown with satisfactory yield and mineral composition in acid soil with *Rhizobium* inoculation only, but the application of P and B fertilization is desirable.

Keywords: macronutrients, micronutrients, Trifolium pratense L., inoculation, yield increase

# Introduction

Red clover (Trifolium pratense L.) is one of the most important perennial forage legumes owing to its high biomass yield and quality of forage (Vasiljević et al., 2010). In addition, it can tolerate soils of poorer quality, slightly higher acidity and shallow soils. Red clover can establish a nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii and fix atmospheric nitrogen of the benefit to the plant in the wide range of 76-334 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> annually (Taylor and Quesenberry, 1996). For red clover, N values derived from the atmosphere went up above 90% of the total plant N (Warembourg et al., 1997; Thilakarathna et al., 2012). Consequently, red clover can satisfy most of its nitrogen needs and also contributes to the incorporation of N in soil, helping to reduce the application of mineral N fertilizers. Some studies indicated that rhizobia, besides  $N_{\rm 2}$  fixation, may have positive effect on certain macro and micronutrient contents in leguminous plants and soil and overall plant growth (Bambara and Ndakidemi, 2010; Ndakidemi et al., 2011). Rhizobial strains enhanced the uptake of many macro and micronutrients in peanut nodules and seeds (Howell, 1987), soybean (Tairo and Ndakidemi, 2014a,b) and common bean plants (Makoi et al., 2013; Ndakidemi et al., 2011). Inoculating chickpea with Mesorhizobium mediterraneum also increased the levels of K, Ca, Mg, P and N in plant parts (Peix et al., 2001). Belane *et al.* (2014) concluded that rhizobial strains possessing high nitrogen-fixing ability induce mineral accumulation in young cowpea plants (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Rhizobia strains may facilitate the availability and uptake of certain nutrients such as P, Fe, Zn (Jin *et al.*, 2006; Ndakidemi *et al.*, 2011). Mechanisms of rhizobial action include: production of organic acids which convert insoluble phosphorus compounds to more soluble forms, production of siderophores that chelate iron and make it available to the plant, and the production of phytohormones (auxin, etc.) which change root architecture and favour nutrient uptake (Antoun *et al.*, 1998). Therefore the use of rhizobia to increase soil fertility and improve growth and yield of important crops such as red clover could be an important alternative to chemical fertilizers in sustainable agriculture.

Besides nutrient availability, low soil pH is one of the most limiting factors for plant production. Acid soils take up around one third of the soil worldwide, while in Serbia, around 60% of arable land is of acid reaction (Sikiric *et al.*, 2011). Soil pH of 5.5 is considered the lower limit for most forage legumes. In acid soil, plant growth was limited due to toxic concentrations of H, Al, Mn and Fe and deficiency of N, P, Ca, Mg, B and Mo (Von Uexküll and Mutert, 1995). The sensitivity of legume to acid soil is also the result of soil pH influence on the rhizobial bacteria. However, some effective *R. trifolii* strains can tolerate quite low

Received: 02 July 2015. Received in revised form: 29 Nov. Accepted: 04 Dec 2015. Published online: 10 Dec 2015.

Table 1. Soil characteristics at the study site	Tał
---	-----

	•		
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
pH KCl	4.3	P mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	30.51
pH H <sub>2</sub> O	5.9	K mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	143.20
Corg %	2.12	Mg mg g <sup>-1</sup>	260
N <sub>tot</sub> %	0.143	Ca g kg <sup>-1</sup>	1.26
NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	5.25		
NO3 <sup>-</sup> -N mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	15.25		

soil pH (4.9) (Lindstrom and Millyniemi, 1987). Red clover grows well on moderately acid soils, but a pH above 6.0 and adequate Ca is required for maximum yields (Fairey, 1988). Therefore, to obtain high and quality red clover yield, the application of lime is desirable. In contrast, the application of lime causes the decrease of Al, Mn and Fe toxic concentrations, but can also result in the lack of certain micronutrients (Fageria *et al.*, 1995).

Although there are some researches showing the potential of *Rhizobium* inoculation to increase red clover yield in acid soils, little is known about *Rhizobium* effect on the nutrient accumulation in red clover plants. Therefore, the aim of the this work was to evaluate the effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation and lime on yield and macro and micronutrient contents (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B) of red clover grown in an acid soil.

## Materials and Methods

#### Soil and experiment design

To set up the experiment, top soil layer (30 cm) of an acid Pseudogley soil (FAO, 1998) (44°41'38"N and 19°39'10"E) from Varna village (near Valjevo, Serbia), with the following chemical characteristics (Table 1) was used. Each pot was filled with 2 kg of air-dried soil and 20 seeds of red clover were planted, and after two weeks thinned to 10 plants. The experiment was observed for a year, with 6 different treatments and one control with three repetitions: inoculation (*R. leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* strain 459), inoculation and addition of 3, 6 or 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of CaCO<sub>3</sub> and NPK<sub>1/2</sub> fertilization, inoculation (NPK), control  $\emptyset$  (no inoculation and no fertilization).

In early spring of 2013, NPK mineral fertilization in amount of N 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was performed, or a half of this amount (NPK<sub>1/2</sub>) in lime treatments. A month before the experiment was set up, 2 g pot<sup>-1</sup> zeolite (clinoptilolite) (equivalent to 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or 2, 4, 6 g pot<sup>-1</sup> of CaCO<sub>3</sub> (equivalent to 3, 6 or 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of CaCO<sub>3</sub> respectively) were added, mixed thoroughly and watered regularly. Zeolite used had following chemical composition: 63.60% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 11.81% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 1.74% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 7.35% CaO, 0.68% MgO, 0.40% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 4.40% Na<sub>2</sub>O, 0.169% K<sub>2</sub>O.

#### Soil and plant samples analyses

Plant samples were taken and analysed in two cuts, 9 and 15 weeks after the experiment establishment, while soil samples were analysed 15 weeks after the experiment establishment.

Soil pH was determined with a glass electrode pH meter in 1N KCl and in H<sub>2</sub>O (in ratio soil: KCl or H<sub>2</sub>O 1:2.5). Available N forms in the soil (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) were determined by steam distillation method described by Bremner (1965). Available P and K in soil were determined by the AL-method of Egner-Riehm (Egner *et al.*, 1960). Soil Ca and Mg were extracted by ammonium acetate and determined with a SensAA Dual atomic adsorption spectrophotometer (Dandenong, Australia). Soil organic C and N were determined with a elemental CNS analyser, Vario model EL III (Hanau, Germany). Available forms of Mn, Fe, Zn and Cu in soils were extracted by DTPA (Soltanpour *et al.*, 1996) and determined by plasma emission spectrometry ICAP 6300 ICP (Cambridge, UK). The B contents in soil and plant was determined by colorimetry (curcumin method) after dry digestion (Dible *et al.*, 1954).

For the determination of the plant K, P, Mg, Ca, Mn, Fe, Zn and Cu, leaves were burned to ash at 550°C and acid digestion with HCl was performed according to Chapman and Pratt (1961). Phosphorus was measured by the colorimetric ammonium vanadate method and K by flame photometry (Egner *et al.*, 1960). Ca and Mg contents in plant samples were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy after Chapman and Pratt (1961). Total plant N was determined with elemental CNS analyzer. Mn, Fe, Zn and Cu contents in plants were determined by ICAP 6300 ICP.

#### Statistical analysis

The effect of the treatments was evaluated using analysis of variance (SPSS 16.0 program, 2007), and significant differences between means were tested by Duncan's multiple range test.

# Results

# Changes in soil properties

Rhizobium inoculation did not significantly change soil pH(H<sub>2</sub>O) or content of available macronutrients P, K, Ca and Mg in soil (Table 2). The application of lime increased soil pH significantly and the optimal soil pH range of 6-6.5 for red clover cultivation (Fairey, 1988) was reached with 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of lime. Soil was at the medium level of total N supply in all treatments and there were no differences between treatments. In lime treatments NH4<sup>+</sup> increased (1/2 NPK was added in these treatments), while NO3concentration decreased in all treatments (except NPK) (Table 2). Lime application increased initially very low soil P content to the medium, but did not change medium content of available K in soil. After liming, the Ca content in soil increased from medium to high, while high available Mg content decreased significantly only with 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of lime (Mg content still remained high). In the treatment with zeolite, pH, K and Ca contents in soil increased significantly, while Mg content decreased.

In this research, high content of micronutrients Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn (medium) in control soil did not change significantly under *Rhizobium* inoculation, while low B content increased to the medium level. Lime application decreased Fe, Mn, Cu (except 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), Zn and B contents in soil, but Fe and Cu contents were still high. In the treatment with zeolite, Fe, Mn, and Zn decreased in soil, while B increased.

# Yield of red clover

Inoculation with *Rhizobium* increased shoot dry weight (SDW), of red clover plants in both cuts in respect to the control  $\emptyset$  (Table 4). In the second cut, SDW was three times greater compared to the control and did not differ from the treatments with 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of lime, nor with zeolite, but was higher than in NPK treatment. All lime rates together with inoculation increased SDW in both cuts due to an immediate rise in pH of very acid soil (Table 2), with no extra effect of the highest lime rate 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> on SDW increase or soil pH. The maximum yield in the first cut was obtained with the application of 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup> lime, while in the second cut it was achieved with 6 t h<sup>-1</sup> lime.

5	5	6

Table 2. Effects of inocu		

pН	pН	Ν	$\mathrm{NH}_{4^+}$	NO3 <sup>-</sup>	Р	K	Ca	Mg
(KCl)	$(H_2O)$	%		mg	kg <sup>-1</sup>		g kg <sup>-1</sup>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
4.53 <sup>d</sup>	5.10 <sup>d</sup>	0.18	15.7°	110.8 <sup>b</sup>	22.1 <sup>cd</sup>	105.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.50°	261ª
5.92 <sup>b</sup>	6.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.18	18.0 <sup>abc</sup>	118.4 <sup>ab</sup>	39.8ª	121.4 <sup>b</sup>	3.37 <sup>c</sup>	249 <sup>ab</sup>
6.67ª	6.95ª	0.18	18.1 <sup>ab</sup>	105.0 <sup>b</sup>	42.9ª	114.9 <sup>b</sup>	4.23 <sup>b</sup>	242 <sup>ab</sup>
6.76 <sup>a</sup>	6.94ª	0.18	19.2ª	100.3 <sup>b</sup>	42.9 <sup>a</sup>	117.0 <sup>b</sup>	4.69ª	224 <sup>bc</sup>
4.87 <sup>c</sup>	5.35°	0.18	16.2 <sup>bc</sup>	57.75°	22.5 <sup>cd</sup>	404.5ª	2.87 <sup>d</sup>	205°
4.42 <sup>de</sup>	4.95°	0.20	19.2ª	140.0ª	31.7 <sup>b</sup>	117.0 <sup>b</sup>	2.40°	272ª
4.38°	5.10 <sup>d</sup>	0.19	16.3 <sup>bc</sup>	144.1ª	20.7 <sup>d</sup>	114.3 <sup>b</sup>	2.44°	277ª
0.11	0.11	0.13ns	2.5	14.0	4.90	15.63	0.32	25.4
	(KCl) 4.53 <sup>d</sup> 5.92 <sup>b</sup> 6.67 <sup>a</sup> 6.76 <sup>a</sup> 4.87 <sup>c</sup> 4.42 <sup>dc</sup> 4.38 <sup>c</sup> 0.11	$\begin{array}{c c} ({\rm KCl}) & ({\rm H}_2{\rm O}) \\ \hline 4.53^d & 5.10^d \\ \hline 5.92^b & 6.25^b \\ \hline 6.67^a & 6.95^a \\ \hline 6.76^a & 6.94^a \\ \hline 4.87^c & 5.35^c \\ \hline 4.42^{de} & 4.95^e \\ \hline 4.38^e & 5.10^d \\ \hline 0.11 & 0.11 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 3. Effects of inoculation and lime on micronutrient contents in soil

Treatment	Fe	Mn	Cu	Zn	В
Treatment			mg kg <sup>-1</sup>		
Rhizobium	139.47ª	25.79 <sup>b</sup>	2.89 <sup>c</sup>	2.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.51ª
Rhizobium 3 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	84.82°	13.31 <sup>d</sup>	2.05 <sup>d</sup>	1.68 <sup>d</sup>	0.45 <sup>bc</sup>
Rhizobium 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	71.91°	9.71 <sup>d</sup>	1.80°	1.30°	$0.37^{d}$
Rhizobium 9 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	101.29°	$9.87^{d}$	2.01 <sup>de</sup>	1.11 <sup>c</sup>	0.32°
Rhizobium Zeolite	122.06 <sup>b</sup>	22.04 <sup>c</sup>	2.13 <sup>d</sup>	2.15°	0.46 <sup>b</sup>
NPK	151.55ª	30.89ª	3.67ª	3.31ª	0.44 <sup>bc</sup>
Ø	142.92ª	26.31 <sup>b</sup>	2.46°	2.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.40 <sup>cd</sup>
LSD	15.07	3.64	0.247	0.369	0.046

 $\partial$  - control (no inoculation and no fertilization); values followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Duncan test, P< 0.05)

Table 4. Effects of inoculation and	line a sur de sur durant	(CDW)		-l	a(0)/afdensieles)
1 able 4. Effects of moculation and	III HE OH SHOOT GIV W	Veigne (SL) w / a	and macronutrient contents in red	CIOVER DIALIC	s (%) of arv weight)

	SDW		Ν		Р		К		Ca		Mg	
Treatment	mg plant <sup>-1</sup>							%				
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Rhizobium	83.4 <sup>cd</sup>	392.8 <sup>b</sup>	4.48 <sup>a</sup>	3.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>bc</sup>	3.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.38°	1.85 <sup>bc</sup>	1.84ª	0.66ª	0.72ª
<i>Rhizobium</i> 3 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	245.5ª	416.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.81°	3.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.24ª	0.17 <sup>d</sup>	2.67°	2.15 <sup>cd</sup>	1.68 <sup>d</sup>	1.85ª	0.52 <sup>cd</sup>	0.64 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	225.2 <sup>ab</sup>	515.0ª	3.50 <sup>b</sup>	3.08 <sup>b</sup>	0.23ª	0.17 <sup>d</sup>	2.63 <sup>c</sup>	1.82 <sup>d</sup>	1.64 <sup>d</sup>	1.94ª	0.58 <sup>bc</sup>	0.67 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Rhizobium</i> 9 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	199.9 <sup>b</sup>	462.7 <sup>ab</sup>	3.68 <sup>b</sup>	2.67°	0.20ª	0.19 <sup>cd</sup>	2.64 <sup>c</sup>	1.82 <sup>d</sup>	1.76 <sup>cd</sup>	1.88ª	0.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.70ª
Rhizobium Zeolite	196.6 <sup>b</sup>	417.0 <sup>b</sup>	3.12 <sup>bc</sup>	3.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.20 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 <sup>cd</sup>	2.92 <sup>bc</sup>	2.92 <sup>ab</sup>	1.46°	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.38°	0.39 <sup>d</sup>
NPK	91.8°	259.7°	3.47 <sup>b</sup>	4.20ª	0.19ª	0.26 <sup>ab</sup>	3.62ª	3.08ª	1.91 <sup>b</sup>	1.86ª	0.51 <sup>d</sup>	0.62 <sup>c</sup>
Ø	47.3 <sup>d</sup>	139.0 <sup>d</sup>	3.63 <sup>b</sup>	4.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.22ª	$0.27^{a}$	3.13 <sup>b</sup>	2.59 <sup>bc</sup>	2.05ª	1.77ª	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	0.70ª
LSD	37.29	65.96	0.39	0.41	0.03	0.04	0.20	0.42	0.12	0.23	0.06	0.03

Ø-control (no inoculation and no fertilization); values followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Duncan test, P<0.05); 1<sup>st</sup> cut, 2<sup>nd</sup> cut

#### Mineral composition of red clover plants

The content of all macro and micronutrients (% of dry weight and mg kg<sup>1</sup>, respectively) varied significantly between treatments, and it was either decreased or increased in respect to control (Table 4 and 5). In contrast to nutrient contents, the total nutrient uptake of all macro and micronutrients (mg plant<sup>1</sup>, data not shown) in all treatments was significantly increased in respect to control as the result of high shoot dry weight increase.

# Macronutrient contents in red clover plants

In all treatments in both cuts, macronutrient contents, N, P, K, Ca and Mg in red clover plants (Table 4), were above the critical level for macronutrient deficiency (Fairey, 1988), and mainly between adequate ranges for red clover (Bergmann, 1992), except low P content and elevated Mg content in some treatments.

Nitrogen content in plants varied between 2.67 and 4.48% of dry matter and it was in the range (23.4-47.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dry matter) published by Spedding and Diekmahns (1972). In the first cut, the highest N content in plants was in the treatment with *Rhizobium* (4.48%), due to nitrogen fixation, while in the second

cut it was in NPK treatment (4.2%), as a result of N mineral fertilization (Table 4). Nitrogen content in plants was in negative correlation with SDW in both cuts (r = -0.610; r = -0.887). In all treatments in the second cut (except NPK), N content was lower compared to the control. However, these treatments showed higher SDW and total N uptake in plants (mg plant<sup>-1</sup>) was always higher in respect to control, indicating N<sub>2</sub> fixation in inoculated plants.

According to Bergmann (1992), P content in red clover of 0.17-0.27% was low (0.3-0.6% adequate range), but among or close to the values given by Fairey (1988) (0.2-0.4%), and above the critical level of 0.15%. Phosphorus content in plants in the first cut decreased in the *Rhizobium* treatment compared to control, while in the second cut, P content significantly decreased in all treatments (except in NPK treatment), regardless of the P increase in the soil in lime treatments (Table 2).

Content of K in plants was optimal (1.8-3%; Bergmann, 1992) except in the first cut in plants with NPK fertilization (3.6%) and control (3.1), where it was high. In the treatments with *Rhizobium* and zeolite + *Rhizobium*, there were no changes in K content in plants despite the K increase in soil. Lime

Table 5. Effects of inocu			

Treatment	Fe		Mn		Cu		Zn		В	
1 reatment	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 st	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Rhizobium	855ª	1250ª	107ª	123ª	30.06ª	15.52 <sup>bc</sup>	68.92ª	68.86ª	25.60ª	18.03
<i>Rhizobium</i> 3 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	249 <sup>c</sup>	965 <sup>b</sup>	83 <sup>bc</sup>	92 <sup>b</sup>	14.74 <sup>bc</sup>	16.67 <sup>bc</sup>	61.60 <sup>ab</sup>	68.62ª	24.93ª	20.54
<i>Rhizobium</i> 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	366 <sup>b</sup>	338 <sup>d</sup>	73 <sup>d</sup>	62 <sup>d</sup>	14.19 <sup>bc</sup>	13.85°	56.20 <sup>b</sup>	56.48 <sup>b</sup>	20.82 <sup>b</sup>	18.12
<i>Rhizobium</i> 9 t ha <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> NPK <sub>1/2</sub>	255°	754 <sup>c</sup>	75 <sup>d</sup>	69 <sup>cd</sup>	14.27 <sup>bc</sup>	17.61 <sup>ab</sup>	56.88 <sup>b</sup>	64.00ª	21.18 <sup>b</sup>	20.53
Rhizobium Zeolite	230 <sup>c</sup>	919 <sup>b</sup>	76 <sup>cd</sup>	81 <sup>bc</sup>	12.82 <sup>bc</sup>	16.01 <sup>bc</sup>	55.72 <sup>b</sup>	64.70ª	20.48 <sup>b</sup>	17.06
NPK	197°	312 <sup>d</sup>	89 <sup>b</sup>	94 <sup>b</sup>	12.24 <sup>c</sup>	20.04 <sup>a</sup>	62.02 <sup>ab</sup>	70.00ª	24.50ª	20.05
Ø	354 <sup>b</sup>	364 <sup>d</sup>	100ª	86 <sup>b</sup>	15.92 <sup>b</sup>	16.61 <sup>bc</sup>	69.34ª	69.30ª	25.45ª	20.76
	70	101	7.4	12.4	2.08	2.64	8.48	5.67	1.53	2.61ns

Ø-Control (no inoculation and no fertilization); ns – statistically not significant; values followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (P<0.05); 1<sup>st</sup> cut; 2<sup>nd</sup> cut

application in both cuts decreased K content in plants, while K content in plants significantly increased after NPK fertilization.

In all treatments Ca content was optimal, between 1-2% comparing to Bergmann (1992) findings. In the first cut in all treatments, including lime treatments, Ca content significantly decreased compared to the control, while there were no differences in the second cut.

Higher content of Mg in both cuts, considering adequate range being between 0.25-0.60% (Bergmann, 1992), in all treatments (except zeolite) was detected (Table 4). In the first cut, in the treatments with *Rhizobium* as well as with lime, Mg content significantly increased compared to control. However, Mg content was lower in lime treatments in respect to *Rhizobium* alone. In treatment with zeolite + *Rhizobium*, Ca and Mg contents were significantly lower compared to other treatments.

In general, the macronutrient contents did not follow the increase in SDW (plant growth) in most of the treatments. Moreover, there were negative correlations between contents of some macronutrients and SDW in one or both cuts: N and K in both cuts, P and Ca in the first cuts (data not shown).

#### Micronutrient contents in red clover plants

The high Fe contents in plants, above 250 mg kg<sup>1</sup>, or even toxic, >500 mg kg<sup>1</sup>, (Bergmann, 1992), were obtained mainly in the second cut in all treatments (Table 5). The most significant changes in Fe content were under *Rhizobium* inoculation, where Fe content increased 2.4 to 3.4 fold in respect to control in two cuts. In the treatments with lime and *Rhizobium*, Fe content was reduced compared to *Rhizobium*, but not completely and without a clear lime rate effect, in contrast to Fe content reduction in soil.

In all treatments in both cuts, Mn (except in *Rhizobium* treatment), and Zn contents in plants were among adequate ranges (35-100; 25-70 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively, Bergmann, 1992), while Cu content was elevated in the second cut (7-15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Bergmann, 1992) (Table 5). The B content was above the critical level (15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Fairey, 1988) in all treatments, and in the first cut at sufficient level (20-60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Neubert *et al.*, 1970), but in the second cut it was somewhat lower. *Rhizobium* treatment increased Mn and Cu contents (in the first cut), but did not influence Zn and B contents in plants, compared to the control. Depending on the cut and the lime rate applied, in some treatments with lime and *Rhizobium* together, a decrease was noted in Mn, Cu, Zn and B contents (Table 5).

#### Discussion

Soil microorganisms are very important in the biogeochemical cycles of inorganic and organic nutrients in the soil and in the maintenance of soil health and quality (Jeffries et al., 2003). It has been reported that plant growth promoting rhizobacteria including rhizobia can influence the chemistry of soil nutrients in many ways and enhance nutrient uptake by plants (Dey et al., 2004; Saharan and Nehra, 2001). Rhizobium inoculation increased the soil pH and the availability of Ca and Na (but not K and P) and micronutrients (Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn) in the rhizosphere of common bean (Bambara and Ndakidemi, 2010). In the presented study Rhizobium inoculation did not change soil pH or content of available macro and micronutrients, except the B content in the red clover rhizosphere. These differences can be the result of a quite higher initial soil pH (6.2 in CaCl<sub>2</sub>) in the previous study, compared to our research ( $pH_{KCl}$  4.38 or  $pH_{H2O}$  5.1). The changes in soil nutrient contents after liming were similar to the results published (Sikiric et al., 2011; Bailey, 1995; Dugalić et al., 2006).

Increased dry matter of red clover by *Rhizobium* inoculation and/or lime application obtained in this study corresponded to the previous researches (Jarak *et al.*, 2006; Stevović *et al.*, 2007). The positive effects of liming usually occur through amelioration of Al and Mn toxicity and/or alleviation of Ca deficiency (Haynes and Naidu, 1998). Lime-induced positive response in dry matter yield was greater and more immediate at sites where pH was below 5.3 (Fystro and Bakken, 2005) which was also the case in our study. Although higher yield was obtained with the application of 6 t h<sup>-1</sup> lime and *Rhizobium*, it is cheaper to use inoculation only with satisfactory yield, particularly since the good lime effects fade in the second and third year after its application (Stevović *et al.*, 2007).

As previously mentioned, *Rhizobium* inoculation increased nutrient uptake (P, K, Mg, S, Na, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn and B) in numerous leguminous species; peanut, common bean, cowpea, chickpea and soybean (Howell 1987; Peix *et al.*, 2001; Makoi *et al.*, 2013; Ndakidemi *et al.*, 2011; Belane *et al.*, 2014; Tairo and Ndakidemi, 2014a). In these studies the total nutrient uptake in plants (mg plant<sup>-1</sup>) were mainly considered, but in the study by Belane *et al.* (2014) the nutrient concentrations were also increased after inoculation. In our study, the total nutrient uptake of all macro and microelements was also higher in all treatments in respect to control.

On the other hand, the inoculation with *Rhizobium* significantly increased contents of only two macronutrients in the first cut, N and Mg (compared to the control); contents of K and Ca did not change under inoculation, while P content even decreased in both cuts. Application of lime and *Rhizobium* together decreased the contents of P, K and Mg in plants (in respect to control or *Rhizobium* alone).

The increased N content in plants (% of dry weight) in the first cut is the result of  $N_2$  fixation, and the total N content in plants (mg plant<sup>-1</sup>) of all treatments in both cuts was higher compared to control. Increased plant growth and high dry matter production (3 fold higher over control) caused the dilution effect of N and its decrease in plants of the treatments with higher SDW (Jarrell and Beverly, 1981; Timmer, 1991). Consequently, N content is negatively correlated with SDW, which was also found for some other nutrients in this and previous studies (Timmer, 1991; Imo, 2012).

After liming, the available P content in soil increased, since the increase in pH influenced the release of one part of bound P from Al- and Fe-phosphate in acid soils (Dugalić *et al.*, 2006; Sikiric *et al.*, 2011). Despite this increase, the P content in plants in the second cut decreased. Fageria *et al.* (1995) reported that increasing levels of lime tended to reduce P uptake, while lower P content in leaves could be caused by the antagonism between P and Ca (Jaravan and Poldma, 2004). In addition, as ryegrass developed and dry mass accumulation increased, phosphorus tissue content decreased due to the dilution effect (Mazza *et al.*, 2012). Therefore the P fertilization should be considered in red clover cultivation in very acid soils.

Lime application in both cuts, decreased K content in plants, possibly due to the antagonism in Ca and K uptake from soil solution (Jakobsen, 1993; Weis, 2009) and significant increase in SDW.

The unexpected decrease in Ca in the first cut in lime treatments was probably influenced by the short period to the first cut, and very rapid plant growth, together with relatively slow Ca uptake and transport (Reid and Horvath, 1980; Sonneveld and Voogt, 2009). The lack of differences in Ca concentration in plants between limed and unlimed plots was also noticed in some researches (Fystro and Bakken, 2005; Viade *et al.*, 2011).

Higher contents of Mg in both cuts could be the result of high Mg content in soil and the tendency of red clover to uptake Mg easily (Loide, 2004). In the first cut, in the treatments with *Rhizobium* as well as with lime, Mg content significantly increased compared to control. However, Mg content was lower in lime treatments in respect to *Rhizobium* alone, which could be the result of the antagonism between Ca and Mg (Jakobsen, 1993).

In treatment with zeolite + *Rhizobium*, Ca and Mg contents were significantly lower compared to other treatments, possibly due to basic zeolite cations (Ca, Mg, Na and K) and the antagonistic relationship in Ca/K and Mg/K uptake, since high K content in soil was detected.

*Rhizobium* treatment increased Fe, Mn and Cu contents (in the first cut), but did not influence Zn and B contents in plants, compared to the control. The most visible increase was in Fe content. Significant increase of Fe after *Rhizobium* inoculation in common bean and red clover was detected in previous studies and it is considered that microbes may favour plant Fe acquisition by producing siderophores and auxins (Jin *et al.*, 2006; Ndakidemi *et al.*, 2011). Siderophores are chelators with a high affinity for Fe<sup>3+</sup>, and many Fe<sup>3+</sup>-siderophore chelates are source of available Fe for plants, while auxin generation triggers the enhancement of Fe uptake capacity in plants, by enhancing root acquisition area and the activity of ferric chelate reductase (Jin *et al.*, 2006; Jin *et al.*, 2014). The *R. leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* strain 459 used in this study apart from nitrogen-fixing

ability, showed significant auxin production, as well as phosphate solubilization in *in vitro* conditions, however it is difficult to connect these traits with the changes induced in red clover nutrient contents without additional researches. In our study, in the treatments with lime and *Rhizobium*, Fe content was reduced compared to *Rhizobium*, but not completely and without clear lime rate effect, in contrast to Fe content reduction in soil. In previous studies, a correlation between overall Fe content in plants and Fe concentration in the soil was not established (DeKock, 1981).

Depending on the cut and the lime rate applied, in some treatments with lime and *Rhizobium* together, a decrease was noted in Mn, Cu, Zn and B contents. This decrease in micronutrient contents (Mn, Cu, Zn and B), in plants after liming, is in agreement with previous reports (Fageria *et al.*, 1995; Lalljee and Facknath, 2001). The decrease in micronutrient contents was the consequence of pH increase after liming or zeolite application, which decreases solubility of micronutrients in soil and the antagonism between particular ions (Ca and Mn) (Fageria *et al.*, 1995).

#### Conclusions

Inoculation of red clover with *Rhizobium* increased plant growth in very acid soil, but lime application together with *Rhizobium* provided higher shoot dry weight. The uptake of all nutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B) in plants increased with *Rhizobium* and lime applications, while the contents of particular macro and micronutrients depended on the treatment applied, and were mainly in the adequate ranges for red clover. The P content in plants remained low, despite its increase in soil after lime application. In addition, content of B in plants was somewhat lower, although it was the only nutrient increased in soil by *Rhizobium* inoculation. In contrast, *Rhizobium* inoculation induced the biggest increase in Fe content, which was increased few times. To achieve proper growth and acceptable mineral composition of red clover under acid soils rhizobial inoculation may be useful.

# Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, Project TR37006.

#### References

- Antoun H, Beauchamp CJ, Goussard N, Chabot R, Lalande R (1998). Potential of *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* species as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on non-legumes: Effects on radishes (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Plant and Soil 204:57-67.
- Bailey JS (1995). Liming and nitrogen efficiency: Some effects of increased calcium supply and increased soil pH on nitrogen recovery by perennial ryegrass. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 26:1233-1246.
- Bambara S, Ndakidemi PA (2010). Changes in selected soil chemical properties in the rhizosphere of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. supplied with *Rhizobium* inoculants, molybdenum and lime. Scientific Research and Essays 5:679-684.

- Belane AK, Pule-Meulenberg F, Makhubedu TI, Dakora FD (2014). Nitrogen fixation and symbiosis-induced accumulation of mineral nutrients by cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Crop and Pasture Science 65:250-258.
- Bergmann W (1992). Nutritional disorders of plants development, visual and analytical diagnosis. Gustav Fischer Vela Jena, Stuttgart, New York.
- Bremner JM (1965). Inorganic forms of nitrogen. In: Black CA, Evans DD, Ensminger LE, White JL, Clark FE (Eds). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Madison ASA and SSSA.
- Chapman HD, Pratt PF (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plants and Water. Berkeley, University of California.
- De Kock PC (1981). Iron nutrition under conditions of stress. Journal of Plant Nutrition 3:513-521.
- Dey R, Pal KK, Bhatt DM, Chauhan SM (2004). Growth promotion and yield enhancement of peanut (*Aradnis hypogaea* L.) by application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiological Research 159:371-394.
- Dible WT, Truog E, Berger KC (1954). Boron determination in soils and plants: Simplified curcumin procedure. Analytical Chemistry 26:418-421.
- Dugalić G, Katić S, Vasiljević S, Gajić B, Lazarević B, Đalović I (2006). Effects of liming on changes in pseudogley agrochemical properties and alfalfa yield. Acta Biologica Yugoslavica Zemljište i biljka 55:61-66.
- Egnér H, Riehm H, Domingo WR (1960). Untersuchungen über die chemische Bodenanalyse als Grundlage für die Beurteilung des Nährstoffzustandes der Böden. II. Chemische Extraktionsmethoden zur Phosphor- und Kaliumbestimmung [Studies concerning the chemical analysis of soils as background for soil nutrient assessment. II Chemical extracting methods to determinate the phosphorous and potassium content of soil] Kungliga Lantbrukshögskolans Annaler 26:199-215.
- Fageria NK, Zimmermann FJP, Baligar VC (1995). Lime and phosphorus interactions on growth and nutrient uptake by upland rice, wheat, common bean, and corn in an oxisol. Journal of Plant Nutrition 18:2519-2532.
- Fairey DT (1988). Red clover. Agriculture, Canada, Publication 1614/E. Research Station, Beaverlodge, Alberta.
- Fystro G, Bakken AK (2005). Soil reaction, yields and herbage element content as affected by lime applied on established leys in a multi-site field trial. The Journal of Agricultural Science 143:407-420.
- Haynes RJ, Naidu R (1998). Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical condition: A review. Nutrient Cyclingin Agroecosystems 51:123-137.
- Howell RK (1987). *Rhizobium* induced mineral uptake in peanut tissues. Journal of Plant Nutrition 6:1297-1305.
- Imo M (2012). Analysis of Nutritional Interactions in Cropping Systems. In: Sharma P (Ed). Crop Production Technologies. ISBN: 978-953-307-787-1, Retrieved 2015 November 28 from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/crop-production-

technologies/analysis-of-nutritional-interactions-in-croppingsystems.

Jakobsen ST (1993). Interaction between plant nutrients: III. Antagonism between potassium, magnesium and calcium. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B – Soil and Plant Science 43:1-5.

- Jarak M, Djukic D, Colo J (2006). The effect of bacterization and liming in the production of red clover. Grassland Science in Europe 11:291-293.
- Jaravan M, Poldma P (2004). Content of plant nutrients in vegetables depending on various lime materials used for neutralising bog peat. Agronomy Research 2:39-48.
- Jarrell WM, Beverly RB (1981). The dilution effect in plant nutrition studies. Advances in Agronomy 34:197-224.
- Jeffries P, Gianinazzi S, Perotto S, Turnau K, Barea JM (2003). The contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in sustainable maintenance of plant health and soil fertility. Biology and Fertility of Soils 37:1-16.
- Jin CW, Ye YQ, Zheng SJ (2014). An underground tale: contribution of microbial activity to plant iron acquisition via ecological processes. Annals of Botany 113:7-18.
- Jin CW, He YF, Tang CX, Wu P, Zheng SJ (2006). Mechanisms of microbially enhanced Fe acquisition in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). Plant, Cell and Environment 29:888-897.
- Makoi JH, Bambara S, Ndakidemi PA (2013). Rhizobium inoculation and the supply of molybdenum and lime affect the uptake of macroelements in common bean (*P. vulgaris* L.) plants. Australian Journal of Crop Science 7:784-793.
- Mazza LM, Motta ACV, Moraes AV, Fabiane MA, Fernando P, Oliveira RD (2012). Forage yield and quality on soil subjected to phosphorus rates in subtropical grassland of Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 41:1100-1109.
- Lalljee B, Facknath S (2001). Effect of lime on nutrient content of soils, yield and nutrient content of potato and infestation by leafminers. In: Lalouette JA, Bachraz DY (Eds). Proceedings of The fifth annual meeting of agricultural scientists, Food and Agricultural Research Council 2001 Reduit, Mauritius pp 139-147.
- Lindstrom K, Myllyniemi H (1987). Sensitivity of red clover rhizobia to soil acidity factors in pure culture and in symbiosis. Plant and Soil 98:353-362.
- Loide V (2004). About the effect of the contents and ratios of soils available calcium, potassium magnesium in liming of acid soils. Agronomy Research 2:71-82.
- Neubert P, Wrazidlo W, Vielemeyer HP, Hundt I, Gollmick Fr, Bergmann W (1970). Tables of Plant Analysis. Institute of Plant Nutrition, Jena.
- Ndakidemi PA, Bambara S, Makoi JHJR (2011). Micronutrient uptake in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as affected by Rhizobium inoculation, and the supply of molybdenum and lime. Plant OMICS 4:40-52.
- Peix A, Rivas-Boyero AA, Mateos PF, Rodriguez-Barrueco C, Martínez-Molina E, Velazquez E (2001). Growth promotion of chickpea and barley by a phosphate solubilizing strain of *Mesorhizobium mediterraneum* under growth chamber conditions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 33:103-110.
- Reid RL, Horvath DS (1980). Soil chemistry and mineral problems in farm livestock: a review. Animal Feed Science and Technology 15:95-167.
- Saharan B, Nehra V (2001). Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: A Critical Review. Life Science and Medicine Research 21:1-30.
- Sikiric B, Cakmak D, Saljnikov E, Mrvic V, Jakovljevic M, Stajkovic O, Bogdanovic D (2011). Optimization of macroelement contents in raspberry leaves by liming in an extremely acid soil. Spanish Journal of

Agricultural Research 9:329-337.

- Soltanpour PN, Johnson GW, Workman SM, Jones JB Jr, Miller RO (1996). Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. In: Sparks DL (Ed). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3. Madison, ASA and SSSA pp 91-139.
- Sonneveld C, Voogt W (2009). Plant Nutrition of Greenhouse Crops. Springer Netherlands.
- Spedding CRW, Diekmahns EC (1972). Grasses and Legumes in British Agriculture. Bulletin No 49, Commonwealth Bureau of Pastures and Field Crops, Farnham Royal.
- Stevović V, Đukić D, Đurović D, Mandić L (2007). Uticaj inokulacije semena i kalcifikacije zemljišta na prinos i kvalitet krme lucerke i crvene deteline [Influence of seed inoculation and liming on forage yield and quality of alfalfa and red clover]. Zbornik radova Instituta za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo Novi Sad 44:253-260.
- Tairo EV, Ndakidemi PA (2014a). Macronutrients Uptake in Soybean as Affected by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* Inoculation and Phosphorus (P) Supplements. American Journal of Plant Sciences 5:488-496.
- Tairo EV, Ndakidemi PA (2014b). Micronutrients uptake in soybean (*Glycine max* L) as affected by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculation and phosphorus (P) supplements. World Journal of Soil and Crop Sciences Research 1:1-9.
- Taylor NL, Quesenberry KH (1996). Red clover science. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

- Timmer VR (1991). Interpretation of seedling analysis and visual symptoms. In: Van den Driessche R (Ed). Mineral Nutrition of Conifer Seedlings. CRC Press, Florida pp 113-134.
- Thilakarathna RMMS, Papadopoulos YA, Rodd AV, Gunawardena AN, Fillmore SAE, Prithiviraj B (2012). Characterizing nitrogen transfer from red clover populations to companion bluegrass under field conditions. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 92: 1163-1173.
- Vasiljević S, Mihailović V, Katić S, Mikić A, Karagić Đ (2010) Potencijal rodnosti sorti crvene deteline (*Trifolium pratense* L.) [Potential for yield in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) varieties.]. Ratarstvo i povrtarstvo 47:217-223.
- Viade A, Fernandez-Marcos ML, Hernandez-Nistal J, Alvarez E (2011). Effect of particle size of limestone on Ca, Mg and K contents in soil and in sward plants. Scientia Agricola 68:200-208.
- Von Uexküll HR, Mutert E (1995). Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. Plant and Soil 171:1-15.
- Warembourg FR, Lafont F, Fernandez MP (1997). Economy of symbiotically fixed nitrogen in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). Annals of Botany 80:515-523.
- Weis W, Gruber A, Huber C, Göttlein A (2009). Element contents and storage in the above ground biomass of limed and unlimed Norway spruce trees at Höglwald. European Journal of Forest Research 128:437-445.