

Checklist of Free-living Protozoa from Fresh water bodies of Pravara River at Ahmednagar Regions

Mustafa Mohammed Salem Ahmed Department of Zoology, Maulana Azad College of Arts Science & Commerce, Rouza Bagh Aurangabad -431001 (M.S.), India

Tarannum T. Shaikh 🖾 问

Department of Zoology, Maulana Azad College of Arts Science & Commerce, Rouza Bagh Aurangabad -431001 (M.S.), India

Suggested Citation

Ahmed, M.M.S. & Shaikh, T.T. (2024). Checklist of Free-living Protozoa from Fresh water bodies of Pravara River at Ahmednagar Regions. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences, 2*(1), 540-545. DOI: <u>10.59324/eitas.2024.2(1).47</u>

Abstract:

The current research work covers the checklist of free-living ciliated protozoa from freshwater bodies of Pravara River of Ahmednagar regions, Maharashtra (India). 54 samples were collected during one year from January to December 2021. A total of 14 species of ciliates were recorded. The identification has been made mainly on the basis of body shape, size, arrangements of cilia, and the structure of macro- and micronucleus. In this year, the maximum prevalence of ciliates was observed in class Oligohymenophorea, which constituted (75.92%) and class Heterotrichea, accounted for (48.14%) respectively of the total rate of the samples. Moreover, the

minimum prevalence of ciliates was observed in class Spirotichea, which constituted (22.22%), and the least percentage in class Prostomatea (i.e. 5.55%).

Keywords: Ciliated protozoa, Pravara River, Oligohymenophorea, Heterotrichea, Spirotichea Prostomatea.

Introduction

Protozoa are considered single-celled organisms, yet they possess all the characteristics common to living things. The protozoa in the freshwater environment are incredibly diverse in terms of both appearance and lifestyle, ranging in size. Although some are colonial or form loose aggregates, most live and function as separate cellular individuals. Most protozoa are chemo heterotrophs and some are heterotrophs. Freeliving protozoa are ubiquitous in natural freshwater bodies and unicellular heterotrophic eukaryotes, which feed mainly on bacteria. The water quality and temperature affects the abundance and richness of free-living protozoa. Protozoans are the ultimate decomposers; they play an important role in control of pollution and are useful in the treatment of polluted water bodies.

Lynn (2008) states that the Ciliate group of Protozoa is one of the most species-rich and can be found in a wide range of environments, including water and land. According to Foissner et al. (2008), there are estimated to be over tens of thousands of ciliate species, and over 80% of them are still unidentified, making them diverse species. Bindu L., et. al (2013) studied protozoan and zooplankton diversity in sewage systems of Kolkata. They discovered five species of zooplankton, three species of rhizopods under two genera, ten species of ciliates under ten

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, on the condition that users give exact credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if they made any changes.



genera, and ten families. These 13 species of protozoa comprised 7 orders and 13 families. Somasundaram, S. et. al., (2015) described and reported a few Spirotrich freshwater ciliate species From the Delhi region, namely Oxytricha Aponotohymena granulifera, sp., Paraurostyla coronata, Gastrostyla sp., Tetmemena sp., Laurentiella sp., Euplotes aediculatus, Aspidisca, Pseudourostyla cristata, and Urostyla sp. Pawar S. B. (2015) studied the occurrence of free living protozoa from the reservoir at Makani Dist. Osmanabad (M. S.) India. She collected a total of 127 samples during 24 months, out of which 78 were positive and the percentage of prevalence of protozoa was 61.04%. The maximum occurrence was in the month of November (91.66%) and the minimum was in the month of May (16.66%).

Materials and Methods

Freshwater samples were collected from different geographic locations of Nevasa, Toka Pravara River (19°37'36"N, 75°01'28"E) 807m. Pravara River selected as a freshwater body for the present investigation, is an important tributary of Godavari, rises on the eastern slopes of the Sahayadris between Kulang and Ratangad mountains in the Ahmednagar District of Maharashtra. For taxonomic identification of the ciliates were immediately observed on the day of collection, because as time goes, population is changing radically both in terms of number of individuals and species composition. Methyl cellulose has been found to have many advantages, as it arrests the movement, ciliates can be identified by their appearance. The species identification has been made mainly on the basis of arrangement of cilia, size and shape of body and structure of macro and micronucleus (T.T. Shaikh 2006). During a period of twelve months (January to December 2021) 14 species of ciliates were obtained from 54 samples from river water which collected monthly at varying intervals in plastic bottles and jars and brought to the laboratory. When ciliates are less abundant in the water sample, the population can be increased by raising them using Hay infusion, Wheat infusion and Rice infusion culture methods (T. T. Shaikh 2006).

Results

A total of 14 species of ciliates species from four classes were recorded from water sample of Pravara River of Ahmednagar regions. During the period of one year a total of 54 samples of fresh water bodies were examined. A total of 14 species of ciliate were observed, which were four species of Heterotrichedea, six species of Oligohymenophora, one species of Prostomatea, and three species of Spirotichea have been found from Nevasa, Toka, Pravara River.

1.Class Spirotichea Bütschli, 1889
Order Euplotida Small & Lynn, 1985
Family Aspidiscidae Ehrenberg, 1830
Genus Aspidisca Ehrenberg, 1830
Aspidisca costata Dujardin 1841
Family Euplotidae Ehrenberg, 1838
Genus Euplotes Ehrenberg, 1830
Euplotes aediculatus Pierson, 1943
Euplotes eurystomus Wrześniowski, 187

2.Class Heterotrichea Stein, 1859
Order Heterotrichida Stein, 1859
Family Spirostomidae Stein, 1867
Genus Spirostomum Ehrenberg, 1833
Spirostomum ambigum
Spirostomum teres Claparéde and Lachmann, 1858
Spirostomum minus Roux, 1901
Family Stentoridae Carus, 1863
Genus Stentor Oken, 1815
Stentor coeruleusEhrenberg, 1830

Class Prostomatea Schewiakoff, 1896
 Order Prorodontida Corliss, 1974
 Family Colepidae Ehrenberg, 1838
 Genus *Coleps* Nitzsch, 1817

Coleps hirtus O . F Muller 1786	Paramecium multimicronuleatum Powers and Mitchell, 1910				
4. Class Oligohymenophorea, de Puytorac et. al 1974	Paramecium caudatum Ehrenberg, 1833				
	Order: Hymenostomatida, Delage and Herouard				
Order Peniculida Fauré-Fremiet in Corliss, 1956	1896 <i>Colpidium coploda</i> Losana 1829				
Family Parameciidae Dujardin, 1840					
Genus Paramecium O. F. Müller, 1773					
Paramecium aurelia Kang et al., 1962	Order Sessilida Kahl, 1933				
Paramerium hursaria Ehrenherg 1831	Family Vorticellidae Ehrenberg, 1838				
i www.www.initencerg, 1001	Vorticella campanula Ehrenberg, 1831				

Months	No. of	Ciliphora			
	Samples	Heterotrichea	Oligohymenophorea	Prostomatea	Spirotichea Bütschli,
	examined	Stein, 1859	de Puytorac	Schewiakoff,	1889
			et al., 1974	1896	
January	5	1	5	0	1
February	4	3	4	0	0
March	5	2	6	1	2
April	4	2	2	0	1
May	5	1	1	0	3
June	2	1	1	0	0
July	5	3	3	1	0
August	4	2	2	0	1
September	5	4	5	0	0
October	6	4	5	1	1
November	4	1	3	0	1
December	5	2	4	0	2
Total	54	26	41	3	12

Table.1 Checklist of Ciliates from Fresh Water



Figure 1. Checklist of Ciliates from Fresh Water

542

The results revealed that class **Oligohymenophorea,** were more abundant in January, February, March, September, October, November, and December. The class **Prostomatea,** were less abundant in January, February, April, May, June, August, September, November, and December. The class **Spirotichea,** were found abundantly in May.

Ciliphora	Total of Samples examined/ 54	Percentage of prevalence
Heterotrichea Stein, 1859	26	48.14%
Oligohymenophoreade Puytorac et al., 1974	41	75.92%
Prostomatea Schewiakoff, 1896	3	5.55%
Spirotichea Bütschli, 1889	12	22.22%



Figure 2. Percentage of Prevalence of Ciliates

In this year, the maximum prevalence of ciliates was observed in class Oligohymenophorea, (75.92%) which constituted and class accounted Heterotrichea. for (48.14%)respectively of the total rate of the samples. Moreover, the minimum prevalence of ciliates was observed in class Spirotichea, which constituted (22.22%), and the least percentage in class Prostomatea (i.e. 5.55%) as shown in (Table 1 and 2).

The structure, density, and distribution of ciliates, which are primarily found in free-living form in a variety of aquatic habitats (Foissner, 2006; Foissner et al., 2008), reflect the biotic, physical, and chemical aspects of the environment in which they live (Zhou et al., 2008; Almeida et al., 2009; Bagatini et al., 2013; Nawrot & Mieczan, 2014). According to Santaferrara and Alder (2009), the density and

biomass of ciliates are distributed both spatially and seasonally, and they typically correspond with changes in the production of phytoplankton and bacteria. There were some studies done in free living protozoa from freshwater with the aim to survey ciliated protozoa species. Sripoorna, et. al., (2015) studied the diversity of freshwater Spirotrich ciliate fauna from Okhla Bird Sanctuary, Delhi. They reported a total of 12 species belonging to 10 different genera. Taís Rondello Bonatti1, et.al. (2016) worked on a survey of the ciliated protozoa.

Conclusion

The relative proportions of all four classes of Ciliophora are varied in different site and also in different season. Fluctuations of the population

543

in ciliated protozoa have been seen at the three sampling stations is due to hydro biological conditions and the effect of physical-chemical properties of water bodies.

References

Almeida, V. L., Dantas, E. W., Melo-Júnior, M., Bittencourt-Oliveira, M. C., & Moura, A. N. (2009). Zooplanktonic community of six reservoirs in northeast Brazil. *Brazilian journal of biology* = *Revista brasleira de biologia*, 69(1), 57–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s1519-</u> 69842009000100007

Bagatini, I.L., Spínola, A.L.G., Peres, B.M., Mansano, A.S., Rodrigues, M.A.A., Batalha, M.A., Lucca, J.V., Godinho, M.J.L., Tundisi, T.M. & Seleghim, M.H.R. (2013).Protozooplâncton e suas relações com as condições ambientais em 13 corpos d'água da bacia do Rio Mogi-Guaçu - SP, Brasil. Biota 152-163. Neotropica, 13(4),http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032013000400016

Balaji, P.S. (2015). Studies of the Prevalence of Free-living Protozoa from Reservoir at Makni, Dist. Osmanabad (MS), India. *Science Park Research Journal, 2*(33), 3.

Bonatti, T., Cristina, I., & Siqueira-Castro, I., Maura, R. & Franco, B. (2016). Checklist of ciliated protozoa from surface water and sediment samples of Atibaia River, Campinas, São Paulo (Southeast Brazil). Revista Brasileira de Zoociências, 17(1), 63 - 76.

Borror, A.C., & Hill, B.F. (1995). The Order Euplotida (Ciliophora): Taxonomy, with Division of Euplotes into Several Genera. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 42, 457-466. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-</u> 7408.1995.tb05891.x

Dey, P., Nissa, S. & Ahmed, S.R.K. (2021). Comparative Analysis of Protozoan Diversity in Deepor Beel According to Disturbance Gradients. *ResearchGate*. Foissner, W. (2006). Biogeography and dispersal of micro-organisms: a review emphasizing protists. *Acta Protozoologica, 45*, 111-136.

Foissner, W., & Berger, H. (1996). A userfriendly guide to the ciliates (Protozoa, Ciliophora) commonly used by hydrobiologists as bioindicators in rivers, lakes, and waste waters, with notes on their ecology. *Freshwater Biology*, *35*, 375-482. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2427.1996.TB01775.X</u>

Foissner, W., Chao, A., & Katz, L.A. (2008). Diversity and geographic distribution of ciliates (Protista: Ciliophora). *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *17*, 345-363. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-007-9254-7</u>

Foissner, W., Quintela-Alonso, P., & Al-Rasheid, K. (2008). Soil Ciliates from Saudi Arabia, Including Descriptions of Two New Genera and Six New Species. *Acta protozoologica*, 47(4), 317–352.

Hartmut, B. (1972). *Ciliated Protozoa: An Illustrated Guide to The Species Used as Biological Indicators in Freshwater Biology*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

Jagtap, M.N., Dama, L.B., Shaik, K.A. & Shaik, H.G. (2012). Isolation of Phytoplankton's from Three Urban Lakes of Solapur City, Maharashtra State, India. *DAV International Journal of Science*, *1*(2), 68-71.

Kudo, R.R. (1931). *Handbook of Protozoology*, Baltimore, Maryland.

Kudo, R.R. (1977). *Protozoology*. U.S.A: Charles C Thomas.

Lynn, D.H. (2008). The ciliated protozoa: Characterization, classification, and guide to the literature. New York: Springer.

Nawrot, D. & Mieczan, T. (2014). Ciliates versus other components of the microbial loop in the psammolittoral zone: Horizontal distribution. *Turkish journal of zoology, 38,* 168-178. https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1304-22

Reid, P. & John, A. (2007). Resting Cysts in the Ciliate Class Polyhymenophorea: Phylogenetic Implications. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 30,

544

710-713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-</u> 7408.1983.tb05348.x

Shaikh, T.T. (2006). *Studies on some free living and Parasitic Ciiliates.* Ph. D thesis of. Dr. B. A. M. University Aurangabad. Retrieved from <u>http://hdl.handle.net/10603/121738</u>

Somasundaram, S., Abraham, J.S., Gupta, R., Makhija, S. & Toteja, R. (2015) Diverse freshwater Spirotrich ciliate fauna from Okhla Bird Sanctuary, Delhi, India. *Global Journal for Research Analysis, 4,* 37–41. Tuffrau, M., Fryd-Versavel, G. & Tuffrau, H. (1981). La Reorganisation Infraciliaire au Cours de la Conjugaison chez Stylonychia Mytilus. *Protistologica, 18*(3), 387-396.

Zhou, K., Xu, M., Liu, B., & Cao, H. (2008). Characteristics of microfauna and their relationships with the performance of an activated sludge plant in China. *Journal of environmental sciences (China)*, 20(4), 482–486. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1001-0742(08)62083-</u> <u>5</u>

