Journal of Advanced Zoology

ISSN: 0253-7214

Volume ***45*** *Issue* ***01 Year 2024*** *Page* ***257:264***

The Importance of Preventive Medicine in Family Practice: A Review of Current Guidelines and Recommendations

Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy[1]*, Alzahrani, Mohammed Sadan M[2], Alharbi, Meshal Subah A[3], Alasiri, Reham Mubarak A[4], Alharbi, Mohammed Falah[5], Khalid Abdullah Alzahrani[6], Alahmadi, Waleed Talal B[7], Alahdali, Lama Surur H.[8], Alruwaili, Wurud Saud B.[9], Asseel Abdulrahman A Al Saqqaf[10], Lamiaa Abdulrahman Y Alqahtani[11], Alalwani, Waad Yatim[12], Saad Rashed S Aljameely[13], Alqarni, Bayan Ali A[14], Eman Mohamed Hasan Ali[15]

*[1]*Assistant Consultant FM, National Guard Hospital, King Abdulaziz Medical City, SCOHS, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Email: Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com*
[2]Ibn Sina National Collage, KSA. Email: M.alazdi@icloud.com
[3]King Salman hospital, KSA. Email: Alharbi.meshal@hotmail.com
[4]King Abdullah Medical Complex (KAMC)Jeddah, KSA. Email: Realasiri02@gmail.com
[5]Buraidah Central Hospital, KSA. Email: Muhammedfalah7@gmail.com
[6]Health control center at King Abdulaziz International Airport, KSA.
[7]Alrayan medical colleges, KSA. Email: alahmade1998@gmail.com
[8]Umm Al-Qura University, KSA. Email: Lamaalahdal@gmail.com
[9]King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital in Al-Jouf, KSA. Email: dr.wsruwaili@gmail.com
[10]Alrayan medical college, KSA. Email: Asoole1419@gmail.com
[11]King abdulaziz university, KSA. Email: lamiaa2020q@gmail.com
[12]King Abdulaziz university, KSA. Email: Waadaljuhani11@gmail.com
[13]King Khalid general hospital, KSA. Email: Charisma6009@gmail.com
[14]Primary Health Centre, KSA. Email: Baalalqarni@moh.gov.sa
[15]General physician Alsalam Hospital, Bahrain. Email: 16122241@rcsi.com

***Corresponding Author:** - Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy*
Assistant Consultant FM, National Guard Hospital, King Abdulaziz Medical City, SCOHS, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Email: Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com

Abstract

Prevention is seen as a critical topic in family practice. Primordial prevention, primary prevention, secondary prevention, tertiary prevention, and quaternary prevention are all part of this strategy to disease prevention. To avoid the formation and development of risk factors, primary prevention focuses on addressing the fundamental causes and social determinants of disease. Primary prevention is the practice of preventing illnesses before they arise via the use of treatments such as immunizations and health education. Secondary prevention focuses on illness identification and intervention as early as possible to avoid disease development. Tertiary prevention addresses illness outcomes by restoring health and offering rehabilitation. Finally, quaternary prevention seeks to safeguard patients against needless medical treatments and the harm caused by over-medicating. Risks frequently rise in tandem with frailty and comorbidities. In contrast, advantages frequently drop as life expectancy increases. Preventive management strategies should consider the patient's

viewpoint and be mutually agreed upon. Healthcare providers must prioritize the deployment of preventive care services, even when clinical treatments are required, in order to overcome preventive care hurdles. Healthcare practitioners may play a critical role in illness prevention and contribute to family well-being by investing in preventive care and executing these measures.

Keywords: Prevention, Healthcare, Family Practice, Preventive Services.

Introduction:

Despite recent increases in worldwide life expectancy, millions of avoidable morbidity and death cases are reported each year. Acute and chronic conditions, including non-communicable illnesses, injuries, and maternal, perinatal, and nutrient-related issues, all have a role in the loss of life or decreased life expectancy among people who are impacted [1]. All of these illnesses and health conditions, or at least their precursors or consequences, are avoidable. The top avoidable causes of mortality include chronic non-communicable illnesses such cancer, chronic respiratory diseases, diabetes, cerebrovascular disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and accidental injuries. These illnesses are mostly impacted by people's lives and behaviors, and their negative repercussions are effectively addressed through preventive [2].

The true goal of preventative medicine is to promote illness aversion. To achieve such prevention, more effort must be put into keeping individuals healthy rather than less work being put into curing them once they are ill. In spite of the fact that the world depends on healthy people, the majority of people find it challenging to appreciate the need of preventative medicine since the healthy are not particularly concerned with their health or aware of the need to remain disease-free [2].

There is relatively little research on prevention and the family, and it is still in its infancy when it comes to studies on the benefits of the family-centered medical approach. An effective preventative approach must be acceptable, produce more benefit than damage, and target a specific illness or condition that is prevalent or severe enough to merit intervention. therapy given later in the course of the ailment should not be as successful as prevention or early therapy. Resources must be made available to carry out the maneuver, which should be simple and inexpensive [3].Because preventive care is an essential part of family medicine. Family doctors are in a special position to evaluate patients' overall health condition, provide screenings, and provide advice and guidance to help people avoid developing diseases [1]. However, international studies have consistently found gaps between evidence-based guidelines and general practitioners' practices in providing preventative care [4].

The Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey, conducted in 2004, studied the experiences of thousands of individuals in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States, and discovered a general lack of emphasis on preventative care [4].

Overall, there were little health promotion activities provided, and the majority of lifestyle counseling was targeted at individuals with chronic illnesses who had already suffered the negative effects of hazardous behavior. Similar shortcomings have been identified in the private health-care industry. A local audit of the anticipatory care procedure at a for-profit outpatient clinic revealed that even with interventions, the majority of preventive categories, such as immunization, cancer screening, and senior functional assessment, did not achieve the desired requirements [5].

The majority of research examining the delivery and efficacy of preventive care in family medicine have concentrated on barriers and facilitators, such as the characteristics of doctors, patient characteristics, and the function of public policy. In summary, General Participants state that they believe it is their responsibility to provide preventative care, but they frequently find it challenging to do so, primarily because of a lack of time or a sense of ineffectiveness [6]. There are little accounts of contemporary preventive care practices by General Participants, aside than these research on whether they can or cannot provide preventative care. Furthermore, it appears that rather than being really proactive preventive actions, General Participants' use of preventive care is more frequently associated with the treatment of acute or persistent clinical disorders. To put it another way, primary prevention or health promotion appears to have been adopted only to a limited level [7].

For many chronic diseases, there are clinical preventive methods that can be used. These methods include preventing disease from starting in the first place (primary prevention), spotting it early and treating it (secondary prevention), and managing it to stop or slow its progression (tertiary prevention). These therapies,

when coupled with a change in lifestyle, can significantly lower the prevalence of chronic illness, as well as the disability and mortality brought on by chronic disease [8]. Despite the economic and human costs associated with chronic illnesses, the availability of evidence-based techniques to prevent or treat them, and the efficacy of preventative initiatives, clinical preventive services are significantly underutilized [8]. However, McWhinney's principles focusing on the implementation of the principles into modern family medicine conditions [9].

Standard Preventive Measures

Preventive efforts have been divided into three broad categories: primary, secondary, and tertiary. The goal of primary preventive actions is to prevent illnesses or bad occurrences from occurring. These activities, which may be divided into two broad categories and mostly depend on healthy lives, are best understood when they are used on a population basis. Health promotion actions that attempt to improve the general public's health and well-being make up the initial part of primary prevention. Examples include health education, promoting a healthy diet, encouraging physical exercise, etc [10].

The second part involves taking specific precautions (such as immunizations, the use of iron-fortified foods, the administration of prophylactic medications, the use of personal protective equipment, etc.) that are directed at preventing the occurrence of a particular disease or health event within a given area or population. The goal of secondary prevention is to identify a disease or illness when it is asymptomatic and stop it from progressing to become symptomatic. Early diagnosis and treatment protect health since most diseases may be healed without residual pathologies and patients can recover to full health quickly. This level of prophylaxis also attempts to restrict the transmission of sickness to other people and to minimize the projected handicap to avoid future inactivity and reliance [10]. Secondary prevention is demonstrated by screening for illnesses in a community or doing periodic health checkups on an individual basis. Cancer therapy is a famous and distinguishing example in this setting. Early cancer identification has significant advantages since it permits prompt intervention, broadens treatment options, improves survival rates, lowers the severity and expense of therapy, boosts quality of life, allows for individualized care, and raises the possibility of a cure. These benefits highlight the value of routine exams, knowledge of cancer symptoms, and fast medical intervention for any alarming signs or symptoms in clinical healthcare practice [11].

Tertiary prevention seeks to mitigate the negative implications of a pre-existing clinical condition and restore function through mental, bodily, and social restoration and rehabilitation. This degree of preventive enhances quality of life by lowering impairment, minimizing or postponing complications, and restoring function [12]. Some instances of tertiary prevention , Disease Management Activities as the finest examples are disease management activities for chronic illnesses such as hypertension, diabetes, asthma, or heart disease. These efforts, which include lifestyle adjustments, medication management, and frequent check-ups, are carried out by providing information, self-care practices, and regular monitoring to aid individuals in appropriately treating their disease and preventing future repercussions. Rehabilitation activities or Rehabilitation treatments are critical in assisting persons who have had a stroke or a serious accident in recovering lost functions and abilities. These therapies may include speech therapy to improve communication skills, occupational therapy to regain independence in everyday tasks, and physical therapy to regain mobility. Pain management tactics are tertiary preventative approaches for those suffering from chronic pain illnesses such as arthritis or cancer. The fundamental objective of comprehensive pain management techniques is to reduce pain and improve the overall quality of life for individuals who suffer from it [13].

Additional Preventive Measures

In addition to the conventional primary, secondary, and tertiary levels, the notion of prevention has recently developed to include two more levels: primordial prevention and quaternary prevention [10].

Primordial prevention is concerned with addressing the underlying causes and social determinants of illness in order to avoid the formation and development of risk factors in a certain population group in the first place. It is based on "as early as possible" intervention to build healthy settings, improve health fairness, and nurture health and well-being policies. Here are a few instances of primordial prevention: promoting healthy lifestyles, making socioeconomic interventions, and tackling social determinants of health such disparity in income, lack of access to education, and others. Implementing policies and programs that aim to minimize socioeconomic gaps and create equitable opportunities for all persons, which can have a significant influence on general health and well-being. Activities related to school-based health, such as the implementation of thorough health education programs [11].

The final, but not least, stage of prevention is known as "quaternary prevention," and it focuses on iatrogenic sickness or diseases that emerge as a result of medical intervention. Its goal is to protect patients from needless or excessive medical procedures, as well as the harm caused by over-medicalization, such as in patients with chronic diseases or medically unexplained symptoms [14]. The following instances demonstrate the breadth of quaternary prevention: Preventing over-diagnosis Healthcare practitioners use caution while weighing the risks and advantages of diagnostic testing in order to avoid diagnostic deviation (false positive and false negative). This method protects patients from the physical, psychological, and financial costs associated with unnecessary medical procedures. Quaternary prevention emphasizes the need of minimizing excessive or unnecessary therapies that provide minimal or no benefits to patients. This is avoiding aggressive procedures, surgeries, or drugs when their efficacy is dubious or the potential damage outweighs the potential benefits. Empowering Patient Education: Enabling patients to make educated decisions by providing them with accurate and unbiased information about their health concerns, available treatment alternatives, and potential hazards. Patient education enables people to actively participate in their healthcare decisions, minimizing the chance of unneeded or inappropriate interventions. Maintaining Continuity of Care: Maintaining continuity of care and scheduling regular follow-up consultations will help minimize unnecessary hospitalizations, emergency department visits, and interventions. Chronic disease monitoring and management are critical in reducing needless therapy escalation and supporting patient-centered care. Following Ethical Guidelines: Creating and following ethical principles helps healthcare practitioners navigate complicated circumstances and make judgments that prioritize patients' well-being. These principles give a framework for determining the appropriateness of actions, especially when possible damage surpasses potential benefits [14].

Prevention at the Family System Level

Medalie [15] has characterized the necessity for a family physician to deal with sickness and its context at several levels of complexity, including the person, the family as a unit, and the community. The family's open systems concept allows for fresh insights into sickness in the context of the family. Instead of linear causation by a single or a series of actors, systems theory deals with the interplay of interlocking variables, with the mind and body as a unit, and with the individual as part of the family total. A kid with streptococcal pharyngitis, for example, is recognized not just as a member of a family exposed to the streptococcus (in whom throat swabs are recommended), but also as a likely indication of family stress [16]. The kid may even be viewed as the family's 'entry ticket' to the doctor's office, with the expectation that the family will receive assistance with the stress it is experiencing. Streptococcal infections are four times more likely in the two weeks following intense family stress than in the two weeks preceding it, according to ***Meyer and Haggerty*** [17], and are more common in dysfunctional homes.

This validates family physicians' typical observation that children from troubled households are visited in the clinic for mild illnesses more frequently. Prevention of these disorders may entail assessing and treating a more serious family problem [16].

Family therapy is very effective in alleviating children's problems. Asthmatic children improve and steroids are discontinued; diabetic children improve and hospital admissions are decreased from an average of seven per year to 0.2 hospitalizations per year; and anorectic children regained their former weight [16].

Lifestyle changes are an apparent site for prevention in the family. Diet, exercise, cigarette, and alcohol consumption are all deeply influenced by the family unit and family habits from previous generations. Family dysfunction has a significant impact on lifestyle disorders; ***Medalie et al***. [18] found that a lack of family support is as powerful a factor in the development of angina as cholesterol or hypertension, and even more powerful than cigarette smoking.

Current Use of Preventive Measures in Healthcare Practice

Despite widespread recognition of the cost-effectiveness of preventive measures in healthcare practice, a significant portion of healthcare resources and attention are still directed towards disease management, and only a small percentage of individuals receive all recommended preventive services [19]. The dominant approach to treating people' ailments focuses on resolving their current health challenges, with a major emphasis on finding cures. However, as the limitations of curative medicine become clearer, there is a rising appreciation for the value of disease prevention. Globally, healthcare systems are under growing strain, resulting in inadequate performance and inequities in care. These factors include an increase in the prevalence of chronic illnesses, rising healthcare expenses, and the difficulties of healthcare delivery [20].

It is critical to look at the issues that prevent a complete healthcare system from being delivered consistently. Because of major health concerns, incorporating preventive levels into healthcare practice has specific challenges in industrialized nations. In many nations, age-related care and non-communicable illnesses such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer are severe health burdens. Regular tests, health education, and lifestyle adjustments are crucial to reducing the occurrence and impact of these diseases [19].

Aside from the previously listed health issues, industrialized nations confront the difficulty of cross-border migration, including refugees and economic migrants, as a load on their healthcare systems. Migration, both voluntary and coerced, may place enormous burden on destination nations' healthcare resources and preventative healthcare programs. These people frequently arrive with special health needs, such as infectious infections, mental health issues, and chronic ailments [21]. However, hurdles to integrating prevention into healthcare access might arise as a consequence of variables such as a lack of public health infrastructure, limited healthcare resources, or disparities in healthcare access. Despite these challenges, industrialized nations often have well-established healthcare systems and more financial resources, allowing them to commit resources to preventative interventions. Despite these challenges, industrialized nations often have well-established healthcare systems and more financial resources, allowing them to commit resources to preventative interventions [22].

In contrast, poor nations confront a unique set of obstacles when it comes to preventive healthcare due to their unique health environment, which includes limited healthcare infrastructure, insufficient financial resources, and resource limits. These countries frequently face high rates of communicable illnesses such as TB, malaria, HIV/AIDS, neglected tropical diseases, and insufficient access to good sanitation and hygiene services. The emphasis in these nations is typically on acute treatment and treating current health issues, making it more difficult to allocate resources and prioritize preventative healthcare services [23].

Despite their differences, developed and developing nations face comparable challenges, such as socioeconomic gaps such as income disparity, insufficient education, and uneven access to healthcare. Socioeconomic barriers restrict marginalized groups in wealthy nations from getting preventative care. In contrast, underdeveloped nations have insufficient healthcare facilities and minimal financial resources. Addressing these disparities and providing fair access to prevention programs are critical for improving global healthcare outcomes, emphasizing the complexity and diversity of preventive care services throughout the world [13].

Furthermore, various research have discovered other factors and barriers that contribute to the global underutilization of preventive interventions. These are some examples: Time Limits and Workload: Healthcare practitioners frequently encounter time restrictions and severe workloads, which can make it difficult to prioritize preventative care within restricted appointment hours. Change resistance, skepticism about the efficacy of preventive therapies, and conflicting objectives may all impede the incorporation of preventive practices into normal healthcare. Healthcare practitioners' lack of awareness and expertise about the need of preventative interventions might stymie their implementation [24]. According to ***Geoffrey Rose***, the prevention paradox refers to the phenomena in which preventative strategies that provide considerable health advantages at the population level may give fewer benefits when applied to individuals. This paradox develops as a result of differences in risk factors and health outcomes between individuals and populations. While targeting high-risk individuals can be helpful, the bulk of cases frequently include those who have had less exposure to risk factors [22].

According to healthcare industry experts, stakeholders in the healthcare system are typically aware of suggested preventive care services and understand the benefits of illness prevention for both patients and the larger healthcare system. But rather than a lack of knowledge, the underutilization of preventative services is mostly due to the practical difficulties mentioned above [19].

A thorough and coordinated strategy is required to successfully overcome these hurdles. This strategy involves a number of tactics, including increasing awareness, facilitating a change in attitudes and beliefs among healthcare professionals and patients, boosting care coordination, and expanding access to preventive services. Every healthcare profession has a unique role to play in clinical preventive and public health. When the contributions of different health professionals are examined, certain underlying patterns emerge. To overcome these impediments and prioritize the incorporation of preventative interventions in healthcare practice, policymakers, healthcare organizations, and communities must collaborate. Furthermore, even when pure clinical intervention is necessary, it is critical to administer preventative care services thoroughly, incorporating coordination across medical specialties [20].

It should be noted, however, that upstream measures, such as primordial and primary prevention, are frequently more cost-effective and efficient. These preventive strategies have the potential to lower morbidity, disability, and death rates dramatically, making them vital components of healthcare practice [25].

Current guidelines

Time is of the essence in family medicine. Family doctors need to make choices fast while maintaining a scientific perspective and interacting with patients to find solutions that work for everyone. We frequently employ a set of standardized methods called a "mind-line" that facilitates a routine [26]. We also run into circumstances every day for which we have no mind-line and must consult an advice source. Front-line family doctors are unable to evaluate the main research for every circumstance; instead, we must use information that has been compiled into easily searchable form by others [27]. Many clinical decisions can be influenced by recommendations from practice guidelines.

Guidelines are statements that have been deliberately created to aid practitioners and patients in making judgments regarding suitable health care options for specific clinical settings. On any particular issue, there may be multiple guidelines with widely different suggestions, despite the fact that they are sometimes apparently based on the same research. How is this possible? And how do we go about selecting the "right" rules for our practice? To make an informed decision, it is necessary to understand the process of producing guidelines; ***Schünemann et al*** [28] define its components. For example, the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC) method is outlined:. Topic choice, What has previously been done?, Scoping exercise Topic improvement: Create a scope and a PICO strategy. Determine the consequences and risks. Make a list of questions. Protocol creation: Rank the benefits and drawbacks. Define your questions. Protocol draught, The protocol is peer reviewed (by external experts). Complete the protocol: PROSPERO protocol registration Protocol should be posted online. Systematic examination: Conduct an evaluation (using an Evidence Review and Synthesis Centre), Create a draught of the systematic review, The working group and external experts provide feedback on the systematic review. Assign evidence scores as follows: Assign evidence GRADE grades, Solicit feedback from the task force and outside specialists. React to remarks. Guidelines in draught form: Guidelines are peer reviewed; respond to comments and make changes as needed. Report for publishing completed. Tools for knowledge translation have been created. Submissions for publication: The journal conducts editorial and peer review. Respond to feedback and submit the final version. Publication. The CTFPHC is an organization of volunteer primary care practitioners and critical evaluation specialists. They do not have specialized knowledge in each issue on which they work, but operate as a "jury" to evaluate expert testimony. The CTFPHC develops a question and then hires an Evidence evaluation and Synthesis Centre to perform a systematic evaluation with the assistance of clinical professionals. When making recommendations, the CTFPHC takes this review into account. External opinions are provided by peers and professionals at various stages. It is a human process with flaws in judgment, but having a structured procedure with checks and balances that is exposed to external scrutiny lessens the possibility of human mistake [29].

Supporting guideline implementation

Understanding the trade-off between a service's possible advantages and drawbacks is a difficult task. This may be a challenging and time-consuming process for the busy primary care practitioner who not only has to comprehend the evidence but also needs to properly convey information to patients so that they can make a decision that is consistent with their preferences and beliefs. Thus, another significant change in how the task force operates reflects our understanding that simply publishing guidelines is insufficient, and that guidelines should be accompanied by evidence-informed knowledge translation strategies that assist primary care practitioners in using recommendations at the point of care. As a result, the present task force places a high priority on knowledge translation and assessment initiatives [30].

Conclusion

Access to preventative health services is linked to better health outcomes and lower health-care expenditures. Preventive healthcare practice entails a comprehensive strategy to preserving and enhancing health, minimizing risk factors, and extending beyond individual healthcare visits throughout the health continuum. It includes efforts at all stages of an illness or health event, from vulnerability to recovery, regardless of whether individuals or families are involved. Guidelines are typically most effective when they address contentious problems, confirming that there is uncertainty about what to do and assisting us in explaining this to our patients. Recognizing the need for preventative healthcare services in family practice and investing in their implementation is critical.

References

1. Raheem YA. Prevention in Healthcare Practice: An issue with Rising Importance. AL-Kindy College Medical Journal. 2019;15(2):1-3.
2. Murray CJ, Aravkin AY, Zheng P, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi-Kangevari M, Abd-Allah F, Abdelalim A, Abdollahi M, Abdollahpour I, Abegaz KH. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The lancet. 2020 Oct 17;396(10258):1223-49.
3. Berg AO, Allan JD. Introducing the third US preventive services task force. American journal of preventive medicine. 2001 Apr 1;20(3):3-4.
4. Schoen C, Osborn R, Huynh PT, Doty M, Davis K, Zapert K, Peugh J. Primary Care And Health System Performance: Adults' Experiences In Five Countries: Differing performance levels among countries highlight the potential for improvement and cross-national learning. Health Affairs. 2004;23(Suppl1):W4-487.
5. Tam DY, Lo YY, Tsui W. Knowledge, practices and expectations of preventive care: a qualitative study of patients attending government general outpatient clinics in Hong Kong. BMC Family Practice. 2018 Dec;19:1-8.
6. Collet TH, Salamin S, Zimmerli L, Kerr EA, Clair C, Picard-Kossovsky M, Vittinghoff E, Battegay E, Gaspoz JM, Cornuz J, Rodondi N. The quality of primary care in a country with universal health care coverage. Journal of general internal medicine. 2011 Jul;26:724-30.
7. Patel A, Schofield GM, Kolt GS, Keogh JW. General practitioners' views and experiences of counselling for physical activity through the New Zealand Green Prescription program. BMC family practice. 2011 Dec;12:1-8.
8. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. The power of prevention. Chronic disease . . . the public health challenge of the 21st century. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services; 2009. https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/pdf/2009-Power-of-Prevention.pdf. Accessed November 3, 2018.
9. Freeman TR. McWhinney's textbook of family medicine. Oxford University Press; 2016 Feb 5.
10. Kuldeep PM, Choudhary P. A STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF STRUCTURED TEACHING PROGRAMME ON KNOWLEDGE REGARDING PRIMARY PREVENTION OF CEREBRO-VASCULAR ACCIDENTS AMONG NURSING STUDENTS OF THE SELECTED NURSING COLLEGE AT JAIPUR. EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD). 2023 Aug 24;8(8):135-9.
11. Ali A, Katz DL. Disease prevention and health promotion: how integrative medicine fits. American journal of preventive medicine. 2015 Nov 1;49(5):S230-40.
12. Kroeber ES, Adam L, Addissie A, Bauer A, Frese T, Kantelhardt EJ, Unverzagt S. Protocol for a systematic review on tertiary prevention interventions for patients with stroke in African countries. BMJ open. 2020 Sep 1;10(9):e038459.
13. Martins C, Godycki-Cwirko M, Heleno B, Brodersen J. Quaternary prevention: reviewing the concept: Quaternary prevention aims to protect patients from medical harm. European Journal of General Practice. 2018 Jan 1;24(1):106-11.
14. Kuehlein T, Sghedoni D, Visentin G, Gérvas J, Jamoulle M. Quaternary prevention: a task of the general practitioner. Primary Care. 2010;18.
15. Phillips WR, Haynes DG. The domain of family practice: scope, role, and function. FAMILY MEDICINE-KANSAS CITY-. 2001 Apr 1;33(4):273-7.
16. Huber CH. Balancing Family Health and Illness. The Family Journal. 1993 Jan;1(1):69-71.
17. Sher TG, Baucom DH. Mending a broken heart: A couples approach to cardiac risk reduction. Applied and Preventive Psychology. 2001 Mar 1;10(2):125-33.
18. Medalie JH, Goldbourt U. Angina pectoris among 10,000 men: II. Psychosocial and other risk factors as evidenced by a multivariate analysis of a five year incidence study. The American journal of medicine. 1976 May 31;60(6):910-21.
19. Levine S, Malone E, Lekiachvili A, Briss P. Health care industry insights: why the use of preventive services is still low. Preventing chronic disease. 2019;16.
20. White F. Primary health care and public health: foundations of universal health systems. Medical Principles and Practice. 2015 Jan 9;24(2):103-16.

21. Suphanchaimat R, Kantamaturapoj K, Putthasri W, Prakongsai P. Challenges in the provision of healthcare services for migrants: a systematic review through providers' lens. BMC health services research. 2015 Jun;15:1-4.
22. Arpey NC, Gaglioti AH, Rosenbaum ME. How socioeconomic status affects patient perceptions of health care: a qualitative study. Journal of primary care & community health. 2017 Jul;8(3):169-75.
23. Shahzad M, Upshur R, Donnelly P, Bharmal A, Wei X, Feng P, Brown AD. A population-based approach to integrated healthcare delivery: a scoping review of clinical care and public health collaboration. BMC Public Health. 2019 Dec;19(1):1-5.
24. Smith HJ, Salisbury-Afshar E, Carr B, Zaza S. American College of Preventive Medicine statement on prioritizing prevention in opioid research. AMA Journal of Ethics. 2020 Aug 1;22(8):687-94.
25. Fowler T, Garr D, Mager ND, Stanley J. Enhancing primary care and preventive services through Interprofessional practice and education. Israel Journal of Health Policy Research. 2020 Dec;9:1-5.
26. Wieringa S, Greenhalgh T. 10 years of mindlines: a systematic review and commentary. Implementation Science. 2015 Dec;10:1-1.
27. Pluye P, Grad R, Barlow J. Look it Up!: What Patients, Doctors, Nurses, and Pharmacists Need to Know about the Internet and Primary Health Care. McGill-Queen's Press-MQUP; 2017 Oct 12.
28. Schünemann HJ, Wiercioch W, Etxeandia I, Falavigna M, Santesso N, Mustafa R, Ventresca M, Brignardello-Petersen R, Laisaar KT, Kowalski S, Baldeh T. Guidelines 2.0: systematic development of a comprehensive checklist for a successful guideline enterprise. Cmaj. 2014 Feb 18;186(3):E123-42.
29. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Procedure manual. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care; 2014. Available from: https:// canadiantaskforce.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/procedural-manual-en_2014_ Archived.pdf. Accessed 2018 Feb 28.
30. Thombs BD, Lewin G, Tonelli M. Implementing preventive health care recommendations in family medicine: Introducing a series from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Canadian Family Physician. 2017 Jul 1;63(7):504-5.