Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE Yidan Tang ☑ yidantang@qq.com Weiyi Zhang ☑ zhangweiyi@wchscu.cn Tao Zhu ☑ xwtao.zhu@foxmail.com

RECEIVED 14 January 2024 ACCEPTED 30 January 2024 PUBLISHED 16 February 2024

CITATION

Tang Y, Xu X, Zhang S, Kong W, Zhang W and Zhu T (2024) Corrigendum: Genetic liability for diet-derived circulating antioxidants, oxidative stress, and risk of osteoarthritis: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1370331. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1370331

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Tang, Xu, Zhang, Kong, Zhang and Zhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Genetic liability for diet-derived circulating antioxidants, oxidative stress, and risk of osteoarthritis: a Mendelian randomization study

Yidan Tang^{1,2*}, Xiaolin Xu^{1,2}, Shuangyi Zhang^{1,2}, Weishuang Kong³, Weiyi Zhang^{1,2*} and Tao Zhu^{1,2*}

¹Department of Anesthesiology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ²Laboratory of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, National-Local Joint Engineering Research Centre of Translational Medicine of Anesthesiology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³Department of Surgery, Xuanwei Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xuanwei, China

KEYWORDS

antioxidant, oxidative stress, osteoarthritis, causal effect, Mendelian

A corrigendum on

Genetic liability for diet-derived circulating antioxidants, oxidative stress, and risk of osteoarthritis: a Mendelian randomization study

by Tang, Y., Xu, X., Zhang, S., Kong, W., Zhang, W., and Zhu, T. (2023). *Front. Nutr.* 10:1233086. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1233086

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 1 as published. The sample size for the Zengini et al. study was incorrectly written as "77,052 cases and 378,169 controls". The correct sample size is "30,727 cases and 297,191 controls" has been written incorrectly. The corrected Figure 1 and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

