Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qiaoyun Huang, Huazhong Agricultural University, China

REVIEWED BY Chen Cai, University of Science and Technology of China, China Shiyang Zhang, Wuhan University of Technology, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xuejiao Huang ⊠ hxuejiao0412@sina.com

RECEIVED 11 December 2023 ACCEPTED 29 January 2024 PUBLISHED 14 February 2024

#### CITATION

Fan W, Huang X, Xiong J and Wang S (2024) Salinity stress results in ammonium and nitrite accumulation during the elemental sulfur-driven autotrophic denitrification process. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1353965. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1353965

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Fan, Huang, Xiong and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Salinity stress results in ammonium and nitrite accumulation during the elemental sulfur-driven autotrophic denitrification process

Wenjie Fan<sup>1</sup>, Xuejiao Huang<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Jianhua Xiong<sup>1,3</sup> and Shuangfei Wang<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Guangxi University, Nanning, China, <sup>2</sup>Guangxi Key Laboratory of Agro-Environment and Agro-Product Safety, College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning, China, <sup>3</sup>Guangxi Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control and Ecological Restoration Technology, Guangxi Bossco Environmental Protection Technology Co., Ltd., Nanning, China

In this study, we investigated the effects of salinity on elemental sulfur-driven autotrophic denitrification (SAD) efficiency, and microbial communities. The results revealed that when the salinity was  $\leq 6 \text{ g/L}$ , the nitrate removal efficiency in SAD increased with the increasing salinity reaching 95.53% at 6 g/L salinity. Above this salt concentration, the performance of SAD gradually decreased, and the nitrate removal efficiency decreased to 33.63% at 25 g/L salinity. Approximately 5 mg/L of the hazardous nitrite was detectable at 15 g/L salinity, but decreased at 25 g/L salinity, accompanied by the generation of ammonium. When the salinity was  $\geq 15 \text{ g/L}$ , the abundance of the salt-tolerant microorganisms, *Thiobacillus* and *Sulfurimonas*, increased, while that of other microbial species decreased. This study provides support for the practical application of elemental sulfur-driven autotrophic denitrification in saline nitrate wastewater.

#### KEYWORDS

sulfur autotrophic denitrification, salinity, nitrite accumulation, ammonium accumulation, microbial communities

### **1** Introduction

As anthropological activity increases, an increasing amount of nitrogen-containing wastewater is discharged into the environment, causing eutrophication, which threatens ecosystems, physical health, and ultimately human survival (Jiang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2022). Nitrate-containing wastewater can cause the quality of water bodies to deteriorate thereby increasing the risk of methemoglobinemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and heart disease in humans (Nuhogl et al., 2002). Consequently, treating nitrate-containing wastewater to meet the emission standards of different industries is essential. In recent years, biological denitrification technology has been identified as an efficient and cost effective method for removing nitrate-nitrogen ( $NO_3^-$ -N) from water bodies (Li S. et al., 2020). Biological denitrification technology includes heterotrophic and autotrophic denitrification in accordance with differences in denitrifying microbial carbon sources (Ren et al., 2021). Heterotrophic denitrification (HD) technology has the advantage of

a rapid reaction rate and high denitrification efficiency. However, additional carbon is required during the HD process, and a lot of sludge and CO<sub>2</sub> are produced, which limits the achievement of carbon reduction and neutrality goals (Pang and Wang, 2021). Conversely, autotrophic denitrification, particularly sulfur autotrophic denitrification (SAD), does not require additional carbon sources. In addition, low amounts of sludge and CO<sub>2</sub> are produced during this process. Therefore, SAD gradually became a promising nitrate-reducing wastewater treatment method (Tian and Yu, 2020).

Salinity has various effects on SAD, which are driven by different reductants. Usually, most nitrate-containing wastewaters such as contaminated groundwater (Zhang et al., 2023), aquaculture wastewater (Ching and Redzwan, 2017), and agricultural production wastewater (Lefebvre and Moletta, 2006) contain high salt concentrations (0-50 g/L). Salinity affects microbial activity, thus influencing the  $NO_3^-$ -N removal efficiency (Navada et al., 2020; Li et al., 2023). Zhu et al. (2023) found that a salinity of 80 g/L significantly inhibited the growth of Sulfurimonas and Thiobacillus, thereby decreasing the effectiveness of using bacteria for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal in the SAD system, with thiosulfate as the reductant. Li et al. (2023) found that anammox and shortcut sulfur autotrophic denitrification (SSADN, with sulfide as the reductant) activity increases with increasing salinity when the salinity was <2%. When the salinity reached 5%, the nitrogen removal efficiency of this system decreased significantly, and the microbial structure and abundance changed noticeably. Shen et al. (2023) explored the effects of salinity on double short-cut sulfur autotrophic denitrification (DSSADN, using sulfide as the reductant). A salinity of 1.5% strongly stimulated NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal by microorganisms, but once the salinity reached 3% it had an inhibiting effect.

In addition, different types of influent have significant differences in the treatment of pollutants in saline wastewater. Current reactor inlet water types are divided into the sequential batch reactor (SBR) and continuous flow reactor (CFR). SBR has good biomass sedimentation and effective resistance to water and pollutant impacts (Yan et al., 2022), and has been widely used to treat NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N in saline wastewater (Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). However, compared to the CFR, the SBR has the disadvantage of a complex design, unstable effluent, and the need for regular maintenance (Corsino et al., 2016). For largescale treatment plants requiring a large amount of continuous wastewater, the CFR operation has higher treatment efficiency and better treatment consistency than the SBR. Zhu et al. (2022) compared the ability of the SBR and the CFR to treat high-salinity wastewater, and the results showed that the CFR exhibited better pollutant removal performance than the SBR. Compared to other reductants in the SAD process, the elemental sulfur-driven SAD process has exhibited low toxicity, low cost, stability, and it is widely used in practical wastewater treatment plants that rely on a continuous inflow (Li Y. et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2021). Nonetheless, little attention has been paid to the influence of salinity on the SAD process driven by S<sup>0</sup> continuous flow bioreactors.

In this study, elemental sulfur was used as the reductant in a SAD reactor. NaCl was added to study the effect of salinity on nitrogen transformation. The relationship between salinity and microbial community structure was also analyzed. The results provide a reference for the application of SAD in high-nitrate high-salinity wastewater.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Test equipment and operating process

The experimental setup consisted of a cylindrical Plexiglas denitrification bioreactor (outer diameter: 8.6 cm; inner diameter: 7.6 cm; total height: 100 cm; and volume: 4 L) (Figure 1). The reactor was filled with elemental sulfur and limestone particles in a 1:1 volume ratio, and the fillers were 2-3 mm in size. Elemental sulfur served as the reductant (electron donor) in the denitrification process, while limestone regulated the reactor's pH balance. The reactor was continuously fed with water, and wastewater was pumped into the device through a peristaltic pump from the bottom of the reactor. After the reaction was complete, the effluent flowed out near the top of the reactor. The up-flow water intake created anaerobic conditions for microorganismal growth, which helped gases to the escape of gases and ensured interaction between the microorganisms and wastewater. The reactor was wrapped in tinfoil to avoid photosynthetic bacterial propagation. The inoculated sludge (MLSS) was obtained from the anaerobic section-activated sludge of a sewage treatment plant in Nanning, Guangxi, China, with a ~3,000 mg MLSS/L concentration. The sludge inoculation ratio was 20%.

# 2.2 Artificial configuration of synthetic wastewater

The wastewater contained synthetic NO3-N derived from stepwise addition of 30  $\pm$  2 mg/L of NaNO<sub>3</sub> to the influent. Additionally, 210  $\pm$  2 mg/L of  $HCO_3^-$  was added to the influent to provide an inorganic source of carbon. When the SAD reactor operated stably, the volumetric load of nitrogen was controlled so that it remained unchanged, and the salinity of the wastewater was gradually increased from 0 to 25% (Tan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). The SAD reaction was maintained continuously throughout the experimental process. The hydraulic retention time (HRT) of the reactor was 4 h. Micronutrients were added to maintain microbial growth (1 mL/L), and the nutrient fluid (1 L) contained: 0.12 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>-7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.12 g MnCl<sub>2</sub>-4H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.15 g H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.03 g CuSO<sub>4</sub>-5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.15 g CoCl<sub>2</sub>-6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.06 g Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O, and 10 g EDTA (Chauhan et al., 2022). The pH was adjusted to 7.2-7.3 using sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid. All dosages were configured to the required concentration. To prevent temperature changes from affecting the system's denitrification, the reactor operated stably at room temperature (25  $\pm$  1°C).

#### 2.3 Water quality determination

The reactor effluent was collected every 24 h, and water samples were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. After centrifugation, the supernatant of



the water samples were taken for water quality analysis based on the guidelines provided by Eaton (2002) to determine the following water quality indicators. TN concentrations were determined using the alkaline potassium persulfate digestion–UV spectro-photometric method;  $NO_3^-$ -N using the hydrochloric acid photometry method; nitrite nitrogen ( $NO_2^-$ -N) using the N-(1naphthalene)-dia-minoethane spectrophotometry method; ammonium nitrogen ( $NH_4^+$ -N) using the indophenol blue method; and sulfate ( $SO_4^{2-}$ ) using the barium chromate photometric method; the inlet and outlet water pH was measured with a benchtop pH meter (S220 Micro, Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA); and salinity was measured using a salinometer (SSM-500, China).

The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N and TN removal efficiencies were calculated using the Equation 1:

$$R_{\rm V} = (S_1 - S_2)/S_1 \times 100\% \tag{1}$$

where  $R_V$  is the removal efficiency (%), and  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are the initial and final concentrations, respectively. Microsoft Excel 2020 and Origin 2021 were used for statistical and graphical analyses.

#### 2.4 EPS extraction and analysis

Biofilm-attached packing samples were collected from the reactors on days 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 to determine the extracellular polymer (EPS). Biofilms were collected using a previously described sampling method (Hao et al., 2022). EPS was extracted from the biofilm using a heating method (Li and Yang, 2007). The amount was calculated as follows: EPS = protein (PN) content + polysaccharide (PS) content. The PS and PN contents were

determined using the phenol–sulfuric acid and Lowry methods, respectively (Shi et al., 2020).

#### 2.5 Scanning electron microscope observations

Biofilm-attached packing samples were collected from the reactors on days 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 and then fixed by adding a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution (Petcharat and Benjakul, 2018). The processed samples were rinsed three times with phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), followed by stepwise dehydration in ethanol (30–50–70–80–90–95%) for 15 min each. Finally, they were rinsed twice for 20 min with 100% ethanol. After vacuum freeze-drying, gold was sprayed on the samples and they were viewed using a biological scanning electron microscope (SEM; Hitachi SU8010, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) (Huang et al., 2022).

#### 2.6 Microbial community analysis

Biofilm samples were collected on days 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75, respectively, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for 16S rRNA determination. Genomic DNA was extracted from the biological samples using a DNA extraction kit (E.Z.N.A.<sup>®</sup> Soil DNA Kit, Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, USA). Meiji Biomedical Technology Co. (Shanghai, China) performed the polymerase chain reaction amplification of the16S rRNA gene, Illumina MiSeq sequencing, and data analytics. The amplification primers were bacterial 338F (5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') for the V3–V4 regions (Xu et al., 2016). The specific analyses were conducted online on the website Majorbio I-Sanger platform (www.i-Sanger.com).

### **3** Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Startup of the SAD system

At the beginning of the reactor start-up, the effluent concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub>-N decreased gradually. After 20 days of reactor operation, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal efficiency increased to 90%, and no NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was detected (Figure 2). These results indicated that the reactor had successfully started (Shen et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2023). Previous research has demonstrated that  $SO_4^{2-}$  production confirms the presence and degree of SAD (Chen et al., 2018; Li et al., 2022). According to Equation (2), for every 1 mg/L of  $NO_3^-$ -N consumed, 7.83 mg/L of  $SO_4^{2-}$  is produced (Capua et al., 2019). Using the calculations, the theoretical  $SO_4^{2-}$  yield should be 214 mg/L when 27.3 mg/L of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N is removed in the SAD system. The observed effluent concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  was 219 mg/L (Figure 3A). This result demonstrated that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal in the system was mainly via sulfur autotrophic denitrification. Owing to the addition of limestone to neutralize the H<sup>+</sup> produced in the SAD process (Guo et al., 2022), the pH value of the effluent decreased slightly but stabilized at approximately pH 6.7 (Figure 3B). The reactions were as follows.

$$S^{0} + 0.88NO_{3}^{-} + 0.34H_{2}O + 0.38HCO_{3}^{-} + 0.02CO_{2} + 0.08NH_{4}^{+}$$
  

$$\rightarrow 0.08C_{5}H_{7}O_{2}N + 0.82H^{+} + 0.44N_{2} + SO_{4}^{2-}$$
(2)

After the reactor was stabilized, the  $NO_3^-$ -N removal efficiency remained >90%, corresponding to a TN removal efficiency of 90.5%. This left almost undetectable  $NO_2^-$ -N and  $NH_4^+$ -N in the effluent (Figure 4). This illustrated that the microorganisms in the SAD system could efficiently remove  $NO_3^-$ -N through to almost complete denitrification (Wang S. et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2022).

# 3.2 Effect of salinity on nitrogen and sulfur transformations in SAD system

As the salinity increased from 0 to 6 g/L, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N conversion capability increased continuously (Figure 4). The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and TN removal efficiencies increased to 95.5 and 95.3%, respectively. Moreover, the effluent NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentrations also remained undetectable. This phenomenon indicated that the low salinity (2 and 6 g/L) stimulation could enhance NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal. Ding et al. (2023) also reported that 7 g/L salinity could increase the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal efficiency (from 54.1 ± 12.5% to 74.4 ± 11.53%) in wetlands. This might be because appropriate amounts of salt can promote the enzymatic reactions of the microorganism, maintain their membrane balance, and regulate their cellular osmotic pressure (Bassin et al., 2017). The effluent pH was maintained at ~6.6–6.8, and the effluent SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration increased gradually as the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal efficiency increased (Figure 3).

When the salinity increased to 15 g/L, the concentration of  $NO_3^-$ -N in the effluent of the system began to increase gradually and stabilized at 5.5 mg/L (Figure 4). The  $NO_3^-$ -N removal efficiency initially declined to 66.8% and then increased to 81.7% after the reactor had been running for 15 days. The effluent  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentration dropped to 150 mg/L, then increased to ~175 mg/L

(Figure 3A). The effluent pH maintained at  $\sim$ 6.6–6.8 (Figure 3B). Therefore, a salinity of 15 g/L would not have an inhibitory effect on functional microorganisms in the SAD system. The reactor would recover once these microorganisms adapted to the high-salt environment (Zhu et al., 2023). The effluent  $NO_2^-$ -N concentration increased to 10 mg/L then decreased and remained at 4 mg/L during this process (Figure 4). Similarly, Shen et al. (2023) found that gradually increasing salinity to 1.5% promoted NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N accumulation in the SAD system, probably because the nitrite reductase was sensitive to the increase in salinity. When the salinity continued to increase to 25 g/L, the concentration of  $NO_3^-$ -N in the effluent continually increased to  $\sim$ 20 mg/L. The corresponding NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N and TN removal efficiencies decreased to 33.6 and 28.3%, respectively (Figure 4). The effluent  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentration dropped to 53 mg/L (Figure 3A). This indicated that a salinity of 25 g/L would significantly inhibit the function of microorganisms in the SAD system. The NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration in the effluent dropped to 0.1 mg/L, and the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentration increased to 1 mg/L (Figure 4). This might be because the high salinity stress stimulates dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) (Giblin et al., 2010). The effluent pH therefore increased to 6.8-7.0 (Figure 3B).

# 3.3 Microbial community changes under different salinities

To further explore the influence of salinity on the performance of the SAD system, SEM and microbial community analysis were performed on the filler samples collected at the reactor startup (0 g/L salinity), 2, 6, 15, and 25 g/L salinity levels, respectively.

Figures 5a-e shows the structures and microbial morphologies of the filler samples at the different salinities. At 0 g/L salinity, short bacilli and cocci dominated the bacterial morphology (Figure 5a), and their size and morphology were consistent with those of thiobacillus azotizans, reported in an earlier study (Guo et al., 2022). When the salinity increased to 2 and 6 g/L, the numbers of short bacilli and spherical bacteria on the filler increased significantly and were attached to the entire surface of the filler (Figures 5b, c). This result indicated that low salinity could stimulate the growth of microorganisms in the system, and promote the removal efficiency of NO<sub>3</sub>-N (Figure 4). However, at salinities of 15 and 25 g/L, the number of microorganisms attached to the filler decreased significantly and were dominated by short bacilli (Figures 5d, e). Similarly, the Chao index increased in low-salinity wastewater (2 and 6 g/L), but significantly decreased in high-salinity wastewater (15 and 25 g/L) compared with non-saline water (Table 1). This indicates that appropriate salinity increases the bacterial community richness in sewage. In contrast, high salinity can kill salt-intolerant microorganisms, thereby reducing community richness (Zhu et al., 2023). The Shannon index decreased and the Simpson index increased as the salinity increased (Table 1). This indicated that some microorganisms in the SAD system could not adapt to the high-salinity environment; however, some salt-tolerant microorganisms continued to increase (He et al., 2020).

The dominant phyla in the salt-free SAD system were Proteobacteria (58.2%), Campilobacterota (20.0%), Bacteroidota



(10.1%), and Verrucomicrobiota (6.5%) (Figure 6). The relative abundances of Bacteroidota and Verrucomicrobiota decreased significantly with the increase in salinity. However, the abundances of Proteobacteria increased in 0-15 g/L salinity, and then decreased at 25 g/L salinity, indicating initial significant stimulation and subsequent inhibition owing to salt stress (Ding et al., 2023; Shen et al., 2023). In contrast, the abundances of Campilobacterota decreased gradually with the increasing salinity but increased at 25 g/L salinity, indicating that some of the microorganisms in this phyla are salt-tolerant, and they adapt to salinity stress over time (Ohore et al., 2022).

At the genus level, the SOB-related genera Thiobacillus (21.1%), Sulfurimonas (16.4%), and Thiomonas (10.5%), which are commonly present in the SAD system (Blázquez et al., 2019; Polizzi et al., 2022; Yuan et al., 2022; Shen et al., 2023), were detected (Figure 7). Thiobacillus was dominant because the SAD system was driven by sulfur (S<sup>0</sup>) (Polizzi et al., 2022), and its abundance increased significantly from 21.1 to 71.1% when the salinity increased from 0 to 15 g/L. However, high salinity (25 g/L) inhibited the growth of Thiobacillus with a slight reduction in its abundance. Sulfurimonas could barely grow efficiently with sufficient S<sup>2-</sup> (Polizzi et al., 2022), and was therefore less abundant than the genus Thiobacillus in the S<sup>0</sup>driven SAD system. Moreover, the abundance of Sulfurimonas decreased gradually with increasing salinity but increased at 25 g/L salinity, which was similar to the variation trend of the abundance of Proteobacteria (Figure 6). Sulfurimonas belongs to Proteobacteria, and is widely distributed in global deep-sea hydrothermal environments (Wang S. S. et al., 2021). Therefore, the genus *Sulfurimonas* in the phylum Proteobacteria might be a salt-tolerance microorganism in the SAD system. *Thiomonas* was highly abundant in 0 and 15 g/L salinity (10.5 and 10.2%, respectively) but decreased to 0.81% in 25 g/L salinity (Figure 7), indicating that it was intolerant to high-salinity environments.

In addition, the abundance of other autotrophic denitrifying bacteria, such as Ferritropicum and Cloacibacterium, had the ability to remove NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N from the system (Li Y. et al., 2020; Chauhan et al., 2022) also changed with the increase of salinity. The abundance of Ferritropicum increased from 0.31 to 4.47% when the salinity increased from 0-6 g/L, but decreased when the salinity was  $\geq$ 15 g/L. Song et al. (2020) also found that low salinity (20‰) can promote the growth of Ferritropicum. Furthermore, the abundance of Cloacibacterium remained unchanged when the salinity increased from 0 to 6 g/L but decreased significantly when the salinity reached 15 and 25 g/L. Moreover, a few heterotrophic bacteria unclassified\_f\_Rhodocyclaceae (4.53%), *unclassified\_f\_Xanthomonadaceae* (5.01%), Cloacibacterium (3.56%), and Simplicispira (0.31%) were detected in the SAD system (Figure 7), and have been identified as denitrifying bacteria in prior studies (Fitzgerald et al., 2015; Si et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023). The abundance of these three genera in the SAD system when the salinity was  $\leq 6$  g/L was higher than that when the salinity was  $\geq 15$  g/L. These results indicated that the heterotrophic bacteria in the SAD system were salt-intolerant. Unclassified\_f\_Rhodocyclaceae and unclassified\_f\_Xanthomonadaceae are dominant bacterial species



that use  $NO_2^-$ -N as an electron acceptor and can be used to reduce  $NO_2^-$ -N, with a higher affinity for  $NO_2^-$ -N (Hou et al., 2022). When the salinity exceeds 15 g/L, the significant decrease in their abundance may be the reason for the inability to reduce  $NO_2^-$ -N, which resulted in a large  $NO_2^-$ -N accumulation (Figure 4). Low salt conditions stimulated the growth of some autotrophic and heterotrophic bacteria, resulting in the coexistence of these

bacteria in the system. The co-participation of autotrophic and heterotrophic bacteria at low salinity ( $\leq 6$  g/L) promotes the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N removal efficiency.

When the salinity was  $\geq$ 15 g/L, *Thiobacillus* and *Sulfurimonas* that closely related to the DNRA process (Robertson et al., 2016; Lai et al., 2020) occupied a dominant position in SAD (Figure 4). Usually, a correlation between the ammonium flux and salinity





strengthens with increasing salinity, but high salinity leads to an inhibition of the denitrification process and enhancement of the DNRA process (Giblin et al., 2010). In this study, high salinity (25

g/L) inhibited denitrification in the SAD system, but *Thiobacillus* and *Sulfurimonas* could reduce  $NO_3^-$ -N to  $NH_4^+$ -N via the DNRA pathway, resulting in the accumulation of  $NH_4^+$ -N (Figure 4).

Sample	Ace	Chao	Coverage	Shannon	Simpson
Ι	468.518	462.264	0.998	4.287	0.093
II	456.281	475.031	0.998	3.951	0.124
III	450.447	468.501	0.998	3.529	0.283
IV	360.575	351.088	0.998	2.634	0.472
V	264.529	251.667	0.998	2.235	0.823

TABLE 1 Bacterial community diversity and richness of filter samples.



# 3.4 Effects of salinity on the EPS content and composition

EPS is a self-protective layer of microorganisms, helping to maintain bacterial growth and reactor stability when environmental pressure changes (Han et al., 2020). In this study, we found that *Thiobacillus* and *Sulfurimonas* were the main salt-tolerant microorganisms in the SAD system. Therefore, the EPS was further analyzed to explore why these two genera could tolerate high salinity.

The results showed that the EPS content gradually increased with increasing salinity (Figure 8), consistent with the phenomenon observed by Song et al. (2016). PN is usually considered a hydrophobic substance crucial for resisting external osmotic pressures and improving sludge performance (Han et al., 2018). Previous studies found that microorganisms in the system secrete more PN under salinity stress (Lin et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2022). This is because the amino and carboxyl groups in PN increase the hydrophobicity of the cell surface, which helps to maintain the structure and stability of the biofilm (Wang et al., 2013; He et al., 2019). In this study, the PN content increased from

35.5 to 79.3 mg/g SS, and the PS content from 18.6 to 30.8 mg/g SS with the contentious increase in salinity (Figure 8). Furthermore, the PN/PS ratio consistency increased from 1.91 in non-saline to 2.57 at 25.0 g/L salinity conditions, suggesting that the PN content exceeded the PS content. Therefore, possibly the dominant strains in the SAD system resisted the high salinity stress by releasing PN.

### **4** Conclusions

Salinities of 2 and 6 g/L promoted the  $NO_3^-$ -N removal efficiency of the elemental sulfur-driven SAD system, which increased from 91.1 to 94.8% and 95.5%, respectively. However, when the salinity increased to 15 and 25 g/L, the  $NO_3^-$ -N removal efficiency decreased significantly to 81.7 and 33.7%, respectively. This was accompanied by accumulation of nitrite and ammonium. *Thiobacillus* and *Sulfurimonas* play important roles when the system is in a high salt environment and they release EPS, particularly PN, to resist the salinity.





## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov; SAMN39477880-SAMN39477884.

## Author contributions

WF: Data curation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing—original draft. XH: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing—review & editing. JX: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing—review & editing. SW: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing—review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This project was funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2022M710850), Technological Innovation Team for Efficient Management of Mariculture Tailwater in Beibu Gulf (Guike AD23026330), and Research on Key Technology of Core-shell Composite Polymer Porous Filter Ball and Demonstration of Engineering Application (Guike AB23026119).

### **Conflict of interest**

XH, JX, and SW were employed by Guangxi Bossco Environmental Protection Technology Co., Ltd.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### References

Bassin, J. P., Pronk, M., Muyzer, G., Kleerebezem, R., Dezotti, M., and Van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2017). Effect of elevated salt concentrations on the aerobic granular sludge process: linking microbial activity with microbial community structure. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 7942–7953. doi: 10.1128/AEM.05016-11

Blázquez, E., Baeza, J. A., Gabriel, D., and Guisasola, A. (2019). Treatment of real flue gas desulfurization wastewater in an autotrophic biocathode in view of elemental sulfur recovery: microbial communities involved. *Sci. Total Environ.* 657, 945–952. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.037

Capua, F., Pirozzi, F., Lens, P., and Esposito, G. (2019). Electron donors for autotrophic denitrification. *Chem. Eng. J.* 362, 922–937. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2019.01.069

Chauhan, S., Sharma, V., Varjani, S., Sindhu, R., and Bhargava, P. C. (2022). Mitigation of tannery effluent with simultaneous generation of bioenergy using dual chambered microbial fuel cell. *Bioresour. Technol.* 351:127084. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127084

Chen, D., Wang, H., Yang, K., and Ma, F. (2018). Performance and microbial communities in a combined bioelectrochemical and sulfur autotrophic denitrification system at low temperature. *Chemosphere* 193, 337–342. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.017

Ching, Y. C., and Redzwan, G. (2017). Biological treatment of fish processing saline wastewater for reuse as liquid fertilizer. *Sustainability* 9:1062. doi: 10.3390/su9071062

Corsino, S. F., Campo, R., Di Bella, G., Torregrossa, M., and Viviani, G. (2016). Study of aerobic granular sludge stability in a continuous-flow membrane bioreactor. *Bioresour. Technol.* 200, 1055–1059. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.10.065

Ding, Y., Wang, Y., Gu, X., Peng, Y., Sun, S., and He, S. (2023). Salinity effect on denitrification efficiency with reed biomass addition in salt marsh wetlands. *Bioresour. Technol.* 371, 128597. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2023.128597

Eaton, A. D. (2002). "State environmental protection administration of China," in *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. Beijing: Environmental Science Press, 254–279.

Fitzgerald, C. M., Camejo, P., Oshlag, J. Z., and Noguera, D. R. (2015). Ammoniaoxidizing microbial communities in reactors with efficient nitrification at low-dissolved oxygen. *Water Res.* 70, 38–51. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.041

Giblin, A. E., Weston, N. B., Banta, G. T., Tucker, J., and Hopkinson, C. S. (2010). The effects of salinity on nitrogen losses from an oligohaline estuarine sediment. *Estuar. Coasts* 33, 1054–1068. doi: 10.1007/s12237-010-9280-7

Guo, G., Li, Z., Chen, L., and Ling, Q. (2022). Advances in elemental sulfur-driven bioprocesses for wastewater treatment: from metabolic study to application. *Water Res.* 213:118143. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2022.118143

Han, F., Ye, W., Wei, D., Xu, W., Du, B., and Wei, Q. (2018). Simultaneous nitrification-denitrification and membrane fouling alleviation in a submerged biofilm membrane bioreactor with coupling of sponge and biodegradable PBS carrier. *Bioresour. Technol.* 270, 156–165. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.026

Han, F., Zhang, M., Shang, H., Liu, Z., and Zhou, W. (2020). Microbial community succession, species interactions and metabolic pathways of sulfur-based autotrophic denitrification system in organic-limited nitrate wastewater. *Bioresour. Technol.* 315:123826. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123826

Hao, W., Li, Q., Liu, P., Han, J., Duan, R., and Liang, P. (2022). A new inoculation method of sulfur autotrophic denitrification reactor for accelerated start-up and better low-temperature adaption. *Sci. Total Environ.* 823:153657. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153657

He, Q., Chen, L., Zhang, S., Chen, R., and Wang, H. (2019). Hydrodynamic shear force shaped the microbial community and function in the aerobic granular sequencing batch reactors for low carbon to nitrogen (C/N) municipal wastewater treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 271, 48–58. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.102

He, Q., Wang, H., Chen, L., Gao, S., Zhang, W., Song, J., et al. (2020). Robustness of an aerobic granular sludge sequencing batch reactor for low strength and salinity wastewater treatment at ambient to winter temperatures. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 384:121454. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121454

Hou, R., Yuan, R., Chen, R., Zhou, B., and Chen, H. (2022). Metagenomic analysis of denitrifying phosphorus removal in SBR system: comparison of nitrate and nitrite as electron acceptors. *Chem. Eng. J.* 446:137225. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2022.137225

Huang, J. L., Jiang, D. H., Wang, M. S., and Huang, X. J. (2022). Highly selenite-tolerant strain *Proteus mirabilis* QZB-2 rapidly reduces selenite to selenium nanoparticles in the cell membrane. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:862130. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.862130

Jiang, M., Zheng, X., and Chen, Y. (2020). Enhancement of denitrification performance with reduction of nitrite accumulation and N<sub>2</sub>O emission by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 in microbial denitrifying process. *Water Res.* 169:115242. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.115242

Lai, J., Cheng, M., Huang, R., Yu, G., Chong, Y., Li, Y., et al. (2020). Mechanism of ammonium sharp increase during sediments odor control by calcium nitrate addition and an alternative control approach by subsurface injection. *Environ. Res.* 190:109979. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.109979

Lefebvre, O., and Moletta, R. (2006). Treatment of organic pollution in industrial saline wastewater: a literature review. *Water Res.* 40, 3671–3682. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2006.08.027

Li, S., Zhang, H., Huang, T., and Ma, B. (2020). Aerobic denitrifying bacterial communities drive nitrate removal: performance, metabolic activity, dynamics and interactions of core species. *Bioresour. Technol.* 316:123922. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123922

Li, X., Yuan, Y., Dang, P., Li, B. L., Huang, Y., Li, W., et al. (2023). Effect of salinity stress on nitrogen and sulfur removal performance of short-cut sulfur autotrophic denitrification and anammox coupling system. *Sci. Total Environ.* 878:162982. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162982

Li, X. Y., and Yang, S. F. (2007). Influence of loosely bound extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) on the flocculation, sedimentation and dewaterability of activated sludge. *Water Res.* 41, 1022–1030. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2006.06.037

Li, Y., Liu, L., and Wang, H. (2022). Mixotrophic denitrification for enhancing nitrogen removal of municipal tailwater: contribution of heterotrophic/sulfur autotrophic denitrification and bacterial community. *Sci. Total Environ.* 814:151940. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151940

Li, Y., Wang, Y., Wan, D., Li, B., Zhang, P., and Wang, H. (2020). Pilot-scale application of sulfur-limestone autotrophic denitrification biofilter for municipal

tailwater treatment: performance and microbial community structure. *Bioresour. Technol.* 300:122682. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122682

Liang, B., Kang, F., Yao, S., Zhang, K., Wang, Y., Chang, M., et al. (2022). Exploration and verification of the feasibility of the sulfur-based autotrophic denitrification integrated biomass-based heterotrophic denitrification systems for wastewater treatment: from feasibility to application. *Chemosphere* 287:131998. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131998

Lin, Q., Luo, A., Wang, Y., Liang, Z., Zhang, Y., and Yu, C. (2022). Deciphering autotrophic and heterotrophic partial nitrification under salinity stress. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 174:105472. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2022.105472

Navada, S., Vadstein, O., Gaumet, F., Tveten, A.-K., Spanu, C., Mikkelsen, O., et al. (2020). Biofilms remember: osmotic stress priming as a microbial management strategy for improving salinity acclimation in nitrifying biofilms. *Water Res.* 176:115732. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2020.115732

Nuhogl, A., Pekdemir, T., Yildiz, E., and Keskinler, B. (2002). Drinking water denitrification by a membrane bio-reactor. *Water Res.* 36, 1155–1166. doi: 10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00344-X

Ohore, O. E., Wei, Y., Wang, J., Wang, Y., Ifon, B. E., Liu, W., et al. (2022). Vertical characterisation of phylogenetic divergence of microbial community structures, interaction, and sustainability in estuary and marine ecosystems. *Sci. Total Environ.* 851(Pt 2):158369. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158369

Pang, Y., and Wang, J. (2021). Various electron donors for biological nitrate removal: a review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 794:148699. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148699

Petcharat, T., and Benjakul, S. (2018). Effect of gellan incorporation on gel properties of bigeye snapper surimi. *Food Hydrocoll.* 77, 746–753. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.11.016

Polizzi, C., Gabriel, D., and Munz, G. (2022). Successful sulphide-driven partial denitrification: Efficiency, stability and resilience in SRT-controlled conditions. *Chemosphere* 295:133936. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.133936

Ren, J., Bai, X., Liu, Y., and Huang, X. (2021). Simultaneous nitrification and aerobic denitrification by a novel isolated Ochrobactrum anthropi HND19. *Bioresour. Technol.* 340:125582. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125582

Robertson, E. K., Roberts, K. L., Burdorf, L. D. W., Cook, P., and Thamdrup, B. (2016). Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium coupled to Fe(II) oxidation in sediments of a periodically hypoxic estuary. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 61, 365–381. doi: 10.1002/lno.10220

Shen, Z., Xie, L., Lyu, C., Xu, P., Yuan, Y., Li, X., et al. (2023). Effects of salinity on nitrite and elemental sulfur accumulation in a double shortcut sulfur autotrophic denitrification process. *Bioresour. Technol.* 369:128432. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2022.128432

Shi, J., Xu, C., Han, Y., and Han, H. (2020). Enhanced anaerobic degradation of nitrogen heterocyclic compounds with methanol, sodium citrate, chlorella, spirulina, and carboxymethylcellulose as co-metabolic substances. J. Hazard. Mater. 384:121496. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121496

Si, Z., Song, X., Wang, Y., Cao, X., Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., et al. (2021). Natural pyrite improves nitrate removal in constructed wetlands and makes wetland a sink for phosphorus in cold climates. *J. Clean. Prod.* 280:124304. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124304

Song, W., Ji, J., and IOP Publishing (2020). "Research on the effects of salinity on the microbial community of novel constructed wetland," in 2020 6th International Conference on Energy Materials and Environment Engineering, Vol, 508 (Tlanjin).

Song, X., McDonald, J., Price, W. E., Khan, S. J., Hai, F. I., Ngo, H. H., et al. (2016). Effects of salinity build-up on the performance of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor regarding basic water quality parameters and removal of trace organic contaminants. *Bioresour. Technol.* 216, 399–405. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.075

Sun, Z., Li, Y., Li, M., Wang, N., Liu, J., Guo, H., et al. (2022). Steel pickling rinse wastewater treatment by two-stage MABR system: reactor performance, extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) and microbial community. *Chemosphere* 299:134402. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134402

Tan, X., Acquah, I., Liu, H., Li, W., and Tan, S. (2019). A critical review on saline wastewater treatment by membrane bioreactor (MBR) from a microbial perspective. *Chemosphere* 220, 1150–1162. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.027

Tian, T., and Yu, H. Q. (2020). Denitrification with non-organic electron donor for treating low C/N ratio wastewaters. *Bioresour. Technol.* 299:122686. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122686

Wang, J., Zhou, J., Wang, Y., Wen, Y., He, L., and He, Q. (2020). Efficient nitrogen removal in a modified sequencing batch biofilm reactor treating hypersaline mustard tuber wastewater: the potential multiple pathways and key microorganisms. *Water Res.* 177:115734. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2020.115734

Wang, S., Cheng, H., Zhang, H., Su, S., and Sun, Y. (2021). Sulfur autotrophic denitrification filter and heterotrophic denitrification filter: comparison on denitrification performance, hydrodynamic characteristics and operating cost. *Environ. Res.* 197:111029. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.111029

Wang, S. S., Jiang, L. J., Hu, Q. T., Cui, L., Zhu, B. T., Fu, X. T., et al. (2021). Characterization of Sulfurimonas hydrogeniphila sp. nov., a novel bacterium predominant in deep-sea hydrothermal vents and comparative genomic analyses of the genus *Sulfurimonas. Front. Microbiol.* 12:626705. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.626705

Wang, Z., Gao, M., Wang, Z., She, Z., Chang, Q., Sun, C., et al. (2013). Effect of salinity on extracellular polymeric substances of activated sludge from an anoxic-aerobic sequencing batch reactor. *Chemosphere* 93, 2789–2795. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.09.038

Xu, N., Tan, G., Wang, H., and Gai, X. (2016). Effect of biochar additions to soil on nitrogen leaching, microbial biomass and bacterial community structure. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 74, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2016.02.004

Xu, S., Zhang, F., Jiang, Y., and Zhang, K. (2022). Characterization of a new heterotrophic nitrification bacterium *Pseudomonas* sp. strain JQ170 and functional identification of nap gene in nitrite production. *Sci. Total Environ.* 806:150556. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150556

Yan, L., Zheng, Y., Chen, W., Liu, S., Yin, M., Jiang, J., et al. (2022). Step feed mode synergistic mixed carbon source to improve sequencing batch reactor simultaneous nitrification and denitrification efficiency of domestic wastewater treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 358:127440. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127440

Yuan, Z., Chen, Y., Zhang, M., Qin, Y., Zhang, M., Mao, P., et al. (2022). Efficient nitrite accumulation and elemental sulfur recovery in partial sulfide autotrophic denitrification system: insights of seeding sludge, S/N ratio and flocculation strategy. *Chemosphere* 288 (Pt 2):132388. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132388

Zhang, C., Gao, F., Wu, Y., Xu, G., Liu, H., Zhang, H., et al. (2022). Small-sized salt-tolerant denitrifying and phosphorus removal aerobic granular sludge cultivated with mariculture waste solids to treat synthetic mariculture wastewater. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 181:108396. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2022.108396

Zhang, M., Wang, Z. J., Huang, J. C., Sun, S., Cui, X., Zhou, W., et al. (2021). Salinity-driven nitrogen removal and its quantitative molecular mechanisms in artificial tidal wetlands. *Water Res.* 202:117446. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2021. 117446

Zhang, Y., Hou, K., Qian, H., Gao, Y., Fang, Y., Tang, S., et al. (2023). Naturalhuman driving factors of groundwater salinization in a long-term irrigation area. *Environ. Res.* 220:115178. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2022.115178

Zhou, Y., Chen, F., Chen, N., Peng, T., Dong, S., and Feng, C. (2021). Denitrification performance and mechanism of biofilter constructed with sulfur autotrophic denitrification composite filler in engineering application. *Bioresour. Technol.* 340:125699. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125699

Zhu, J., You, H., Li, Z., Xie, B., Chen, H., Ding, Y., et al. (2022). Comparison on the photogranules formation and microbial community shift between the batch and continuous-flow mode for the high saline wastewater treatment. *Chem. Eng. J.* 446:137284. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2022.137284

Zhu, Z., Zhou, H., Zou, J., and Wang, J. (2023). Effect of salinity on the denitrification of the sulfur-based autotrophic denitrification system. *Water Cycle* 4, 95–103. doi: 10.1016/j.watcyc.2023.05.001