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#### Abstract

The prevalence of multiple age-related cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors is high among individuals living in low- and middle-income countries. We described receipt of healthcare services for and management of hypertension and diabetes among individuals living with these conditions using individual-level data from 55 nationally representative pop-ulation-based surveys (2009-2019) with measured blood pressure (BP) and diabetes biomarker. We restricted our analysis to non-pregnant individuals aged 40-69 years and defined three mutually exclusive groups (i.e., hypertension only, diabetes only, and both hypertension-diabetes) to compare individuals living with concurrent hypertension and diabetes to individuals with each condition separately. We included 90,086 individuals who lived with hypertension only, 11,975 with diabetes only, and 16,228 with hypertension-diabetes. We estimated the percentage of individuals who were aware of their diagnosis, used pharmacological therapy, or achieved appropriate hypertension and diabetes management. A greater percentage of individuals with hypertension-diabetes were fully diagnosed (64.1\% [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 61.8-66.4]) than those with hypertension only (47.4\% [45.3-49.6]) or diabetes only (46.7\% [44.1-49.2]). Among the hypertension-diabetes group, pharmacological treatment was higher for individual conditions ( $38.3 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 34.8-41.8] using antihypertensive and $42.3 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 39.4-45.2] using glucose-lowering medications) than for both conditions jointly ( $24.6 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 22.1-27.2]$ ).The percentage of individuals achieving appropriate management was highest in the hypertension group (17.6\% [16.4-18.8]), followed by diabetes (13.3\% [10.7-15.8]) and hypertension-diabetes (6.6\% [5.4-7.8]) groups. Although health systems in LMICs are reaching a larger share of individuals living with both hypertension and diabetes than those living with just one of these conditions, only seven percent achieved both BP and blood glucose treatment targets. Implementation of cost-effective population-level interventions that shift clinical care paradigm from disease-specific to comprehensive CVD care are urgently needed for all three groups, especially for those with multiple CVD risk factors.


## Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including ischemic heart disease and stroke, are the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)
have higher burdens of CVD [1-3], largely due to growing populations and increasing life expectancy putting more people at risk of developing multiple risk factors [4]. Coexisting CVD risk factors (e.g., hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia) increase the complexity of symptom management needed to prevent further deterioration of quality of life and health [5, $6]$, contributing to increased morbidity and mortality $[7,8]$. The rising prevalence of individuals with multiple CVD risk factors creates a growing urgency for health systems in LMICs to provide comprehensive CVD care [9-11], especially to those at highest risk, to prevent cardio-vascular-related morbidity and mortality [12, 13].

The 75th World Health Assembly (2022) ratified global coverage targets for diabetes to prevent diabetes-related complications as part of high-level efforts to reach global sustainable development goals (SDG) target 3.4, which calls for a reduction in premature mortality from non-communicable diseases (NCDs) by a third by 2030 relative to 2015 levels, through diabetes prevention and management [14]. Global coverage targets were established for diabetes because it is a major obstacle to achieving SDG target 3.4 given that it is strongly associated with other CVD risk factors like hypertension and hyperlipidemia and is a leading cause of mortality [15-17]. The global diabetes coverage targets are that by $2030,80 \%$ of people living with diabetes are clinically diagnosed; and among them, $80 \%$ have good control of glycemia (hemoglobin $\mathrm{A}_{1 c}<8 \%$ ), and $80 \%$ have good control of blood pressure $(<140 / 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$, and $60 \%$ of those with diabetes aged 40 years or older are taking a statin; $100 \%$ of those with type 1 diabetes have access to affordable insulin and blood glucose selfmonitoring [14]. These targets highlight the increasing recognition that CVD risk factors including hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia should be managed concurrently. Additionally, the Assembly agreed on recommendations to increase health systems' capacity to deliver cost-effective population-wide interventions and monitor progress towards these targets.

Recent analyses of health system performance in LMICs have largely focused on a single CVD risk factor [18-24], and found that individuals' awareness of their condition, medication use, and achievement of recommended treatment goals (i.e. improved management of their condition) are suboptimal—approximately $10 \%$ of people with hypertension and $23 \%$ of those with diabetes achieved treatment goals, respectively [18, 19, 25]. Few studies have examined the receipt of healthcare services (e.g., diagnosis and treatment) and the management of conditions for CVD risk reduction of among those with multiple CVD risk factors in LMICs [26, 27].

We examined whether individuals with both hypertension and diabetes were more likely to receive healthcare services and appropriately manage their conditions than those with just one of these conditions using individual-level data across multiple countries to provide a benchmark for the global coverage targets for diabetes by 2030 and the promotion of comprehensive CVD care. We also assessed appropriate management of hyperlipidemia with lipid-lowering medications (statins) as part of diabetes management according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidance [28, 29].

## Methods

## Study design and participants

We analyzed pooled, cross-sectional survey data identified through the Global Health and Population Project on Access to Care for Cardiometabolic Disease (HPACC)'s search methodology [30] that: (1) were conducted in 2009 or later in an LMIC as classified by the World Bank in the survey year; (2) were nationally representative; (3) had individual-level data available; (4) contained physiological measures of blood pressure (BP) and either blood glucose
(BG) or hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c); and (6) had a response rate of $\geq 50 \%$. This resulted in 55 eligible surveys conducted during 2009-2019, most of which ( $n=47$ ) used the WHO recommended Stepwise Approach to Non-Communicable Disease (NCD) Risk Factor Surveillance (STEPS) instruments for population monitoring of NCD targets.

Our sample consisted of non-pregnant individuals 40-69 years to align with the STEPS surveys inclusion criteria, most of which set an age limit of 69, and CVD management recommendation by the WHO Package of Essential Noncommunicable (PEN) Disease Interventions for primary health care in low-resource settings [28]. The PEN outlines instructions for the assessment, diagnosis, treatment, and management of diabetes and hypertension, aligning with leading clinical guidelines and prevention recommendations [28, 31, 32].

## Outcomes and procedures

We defined three mutually-exclusive study groups-namely hypertension only, diabetes only, and both hypertension and diabetes (henceforth referred to as hypertension-diabetes)-to compare individuals with concurrent hypertension and diabetes to individuals with each of these conditions separately. Hypertension was defined as either: systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or diastolic $\mathrm{BP}(\mathrm{DBP}) \geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ as per survey biomarker measurement, self-reported antihypertensive medication use, or self-reported diagnosis by a clinician [28]. Diabetes was defined as either: fasting plasma glucose (FPG) $\geq 7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}(126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$, random plasma glucose $\geq 11.1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}(200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ or $\mathrm{HbAlc} \geq 6.5 \%$ as per survey biomarker measurement, selfreported glucose-lowering medication use, or self-reported diagnosis by a clinician [28]. S1S4 Tables provides further details the hypertension and diabetes definitions as well as the BP and diabetes biomarker measurements.

For each of these study groups, we derived four main outcomes based on the PEN recommendation and the global diabetes coverage targets: awareness of diagnosis, receipt of lifestyle counseling, receipt of pharmacological therapy, and achievement of appropriate hypertension and diabetes management (see Table 1 and S5 Table).

Awareness of diagnosis was defined by respondents reporting having been told by a doctor or healthcare worker that they have elevated BP or BG.

The lifestyle counseling outcome was defined as respondents reporting to being advised to (1) start or increase physical activity, (2) reduce salt intake, or (3) maintain a healthy body weight or lose weight during any visit to a doctor or healthcare worker in the past 12 months. Physical activity and weight loss were assessed for all study groups and salt reduction was examined among those with hypertension only or hypertension-diabetes.

The pharmacological therapy outcome was defined as self-reported use of antihypertensive, glucose-lowering, or cholesterol-lowering (statin) medications in the past two weeks, individually or in combination. We described statin use among the diabetes only and hypertensiondiabetes groups, since statins are recommended in individuals aged $\geq 40$ years with diabetes, regardless of lipid values, for primary prevention of CVD [28].

To assess appropriate hypertension and diabetes management, we applied the WHO PEN recommended treatment goals to the BP and diabetes biomarker measurements [33]. The BP treatment goal was set at SBP $<140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$. The diabetes treatment goal was based on HbAlc $<7.0 \%$ or FPG $<7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}(<126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ if HbAlc was not available. We used the PEN HbAlc definition $<7.0 \%$ rather than the global diabetes coverage target of $<8.0 \%$ because we included adults aged 40-69 years; a higher target is often chosen as a blanket metric when older adults are part of the denominator. Among the diabetes only and hyper-tension-diabetes groups, we defined an additional control indicator that combined the diabetes treatment goal defined above and the self-reported use of statins.

Table 1. Definition of outcomes and the study groups among whom they were recommended.

| Outcome | Study group ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Hypertension Only | Diabetes Only | HypertensionDiabetes |
| Diagnosis |  |  |  |
| Awareness of condition | X | X | X |
| Individuals self-reporting to have ever been told by a doctor or other health worker that they have raised blood pressure [hypertension] or raised blood glucose [diabetes] |  |  |  |
| Treatment: lifestyle counseling |  |  |  |
| Counseled to exercise | X | X | X |
| Counseled to maintain a healthy body weight or lose weight | X | X | X |
| Counseled to reduce salt intake | X |  | X |
| Treatment: pharmacological therapy use ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |
| Antihypertensive | X |  | X |
| Glucose-lowering |  | X | X |
| Oral glucose-lowering medication or insulin |  |  |  |
| Antihypertensive \& Glucose lowering |  |  | X |
| Cholesterol-lowering (statin) |  | X | X |
| Management targets |  |  |  |
| Blood pressure (BP) | X |  | X |
| Individuals with systolic $B P<140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ \& diastolic $B P<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ |  |  |  |
| Blood glucose (BG) |  | X | X |
| Individuals with HbA1c $<7.0 \%$ or $F P G<7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}(<126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ if $\mathrm{HbA1c}$ not available |  |  |  |
| BP \& BG |  |  | X |
| BG \& statin use |  | X | X |
| BP, BG, \& statin use |  |  | X |

Abbreviations: FPG, fasting plasma glucose; $\mathrm{HbA1c}$, hemoglobin A1c; $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, milligram/deciliter; mmHg , millimeter of mercury; mmol/L, millimole/liter.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All indicators were assessed in the 55 countries included in this analysis, except for the lifestyle counseling indicators (33-34 countries) and indicators that include status use (34 countries).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Individuals with hypertension only were those with blood pressure $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or self-reported antihypertensive medication use or self-reported diagnosis by a clinician, without diabetes. Individuals with diabetes only were those with a FPG of $7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}(126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ or above, random plasma glucose $11.1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}(200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ or above, an HbAlc measurement of $6.5 \%$ or above or self-reporting using glucose-lowering medications or self-reported diagnosis by a clinician, without hypertension. Individuals with hypertension and diabetes (hypertension-diabetes) were those with concurrent hypertension and diabetes, and they were our primary study population.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003019.t001

## Statistical analysis

For each group of interest, we estimated the percentage of individuals who received healthcare services and achieved condition management overall and stratified by survey implementationyear groups (2009-2014 and 2015-2019) and World Bank income group. We combined lowincome ( $n=11$ ) and lower-middle-income $(n=26)$ countries because of data sparsity and reported stratified results as low/lower-income countries (L-MICs) and upper-middle-income countries (UMICs).

In all analyses, we accounted for the complex survey design by adjusting for stratification and clustering at the primary sampling unit (PSU) using the 'srvyr' R package [34]. Additionally, we used sampling weights adjusting for selection probability, nonresponse, and differences between the sample and target population. The main interest of our analysis is at the health system level; therefore, we rescaled survey weights to ensure equal contribution of each survey. If survey weights were missing but biomarker information was available, the countryaverage weight was assigned. For all other data, we did not replace or impute missing values.

All models also include countries as indicator variables. We included only individuals with all relevant covariate and indicator data for each outcome analysis. Analyses were done in R version 4.1.2 [35].

## Exploratory analysis

In addition to the risk factor approach (hypertension and diabetes), we also considered the total risk approach, the preferred strategy [36] to identify those at high risk of debilitating (e.g., heart attacks, strokes, etc.,) and fatal CVD outcomes. The total risk approach considers several risk factors including age, biological sex, body mass index (BMI), tobacco use, diabetes diagnosis, BP, and blood cholesterol to calculate a 10-year CVD risk score [37]. We estimated the percentage of individuals who received healthcare services and achieved appreciate management goals in the hypertension-diabetes group among those with 10-year CVD risk score $<10 \%$ and $\geq 10 \%$. We used the 2019 WHO office-based risk equations to estimate 10 -year CVD risk [37].

## Ethics

The institutional review board at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill approved this study as exempt because of the use of de-identified data (Exemption \# 21-2860).

## Results

Of the 55 included countries, 37 were L-MICs and 19 UMICs (Table 2) and the average response rate was $86.7 \%$. The pooled sample included 280,783 non-pregnant individuals. Of those, 90,086 ( $38.8 \%$ of the population-weighted sample) lived with hypertension only, 11,975 ( $4.9 \%$ ) lived with diabetes only, and 16,228 ( $9.3 \%$ ) lived with hypertension-diabetes (Table 3). The hypertension-diabetes group had the highest weighted percentage of individuals who were aged $60-69$ years ( $30.1 \%$ ), women ( $57.3 \%$ ), and had a BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $47.3 \%$ ); however, they also had the lowest weighted percentage of individuals who were current tobacco smokers (15.3\%). The share of missing values for each characteristic and outcome are provided by country in S6 and S7 Tables, respectively.

In Fig 1 we show the percentage of individuals who received healthcare services and achieved appropriate management across the three study groups in the pooled country sample. Among the hypertension-diabetes group, $64.1 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 61.8-66.4) were diagnosed with both conditions, which was more than sixteen percentage points higher than those diagnosed in the hypertension only ( $47.4 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 45.3-49.6]) and diabetes only ( $46.7 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 44.1-49.2]) groups (Fig 1A). Across the three lifestyle counseling indicators, the hypertension-diabetes group reported a higher uptake of lifestyle counseling than the hypertension only and diabetes only groups. For example, more than half of those in the hypertension-diabetes group (55.8\% [ $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 52.1-59.5]$ ) reported receiving exercise counseling compared to $39.7 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 38.3-41.0]) and $42.3 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 38.9-45.7) of those in the hypertension only and diabetes only groups, respectively (Fig 1B).

Medication use was low in all three groups with 20-30\% taking treatment, and this was no different across groups (Fig 1C). When disaggregating the combined pharmacological therapy indicator in the hypertension-diabetes group, no individual condition was more likely to be treated (38.3\% [95\% CI: 34.8-41.8] using antihypertensive and 42.3\% [95\% CI: 39.4-45.2] using glucose-lowering medications); however, the treatment usage was higher for each of the individual conditions than both conditions ( $24.6 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 22.1-27.2]). Statin use was $9.5 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 8.3-10.7$ ) in the hypertension-diabetes group, which was more than double that of the statin use in the diabetes only group ( $4.6 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 3.5-5.6]).

Table 2. Survey characteristics.

| Geographic region and country | Income group | Year | Response rate, (\%) | N, after exclusion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Female, (\%) | Median age, years (IQR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East, South, and Southeast Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangladesh | L-MIC | 2018 | 83.3 | 3,235 | 48.3 | 49 (44-56) |
| Bhutan | L-MIC | 2014 | 96.9 | 1,307 | 57.6 | 50 (45-57) |
| Cambodia | L-MIC | 2010 | 96.3 | 3,077 | 65.4 | 50 (45-56) |
| India | L-MIC | 2015-16 | 97.6 | 175,222 | 82.6 | 45 (42-47) |
| Indonesia | L-MIC | 2014 | 90.5 | 2,719 | 57.8 | 56 (47-61) |
| Laos | L-MIC | 2013 | 99.2 | 1,162 | 58.0 | 49 (44-55) |
| Myanmar | L-MIC | 2014 | 94.0 | 5,219 | 65.5 | 51 (45-57) |
| Nepal | L-MIC | 2019 | 86.4 | 2,468 | 58.8 | 51 (45-60) |
| Sri Lanka | L-MIC | 2014 | 72.0 | 2,586 | 59.8 | 53 (46-60) |
| Timor-Leste | L-MIC | 2014 | 96.3 | 1,211 | 52.8 | 51 (44-61) |
| Vietnam | L-MIC | 2015 | 97.4 | 1,842 | 56.9 | 52 (45-59) |
| Europe and Central Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Azerbaijan | UMIC | 2017 | 97.3 | 1,694 | 60.0 | 55 (48-60) |
| Belarus | UMIC | 2016 | 87.1 | 3,288 | 59.7 | 54 (47-61) |
| Georgia | L-MIC | 2016 | 75.7 | 2,297 | 73.0 | 56 (49-63) |
| Kyrgyzstan | L-MIC | 2013 | 100.0 | 1,556 | 63.2 | 51 (46-57) |
| Moldova | L-MIC | 2013 | 83.5 | 2,404 | 63.9 | 55 (49-62) |
| Mongolia | L-MIC | 2013 | 97.4 | 971 | 57.4 | 49 (44-54) |
| Romania | UMIC | 2015-16 | 69.1 | 1,017 | 53.5 | 54 (46-62) |
| Tajikistan | L-MIC | 2016 | 94.0 | 1,258 | 56.8 | 50 (45-57) |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chile | UMIC | 2009-10 | 85.0 | 2,345 | 60.2 | 53 (46-60) |
| Costa Rica | UMIC | 2010 | 87.8 | 1,452 | 75.1 | 52 (46-60) |
| Ecuador | UMIC | 2018 | 69.4 | 2,040 | 56.9 | 52 (46-60) |
| Guyana | UMIC | 2016 | 77.0 | 442 | 61.1 | 52 (46-59) |
| Mexico | UMIC | 2009-12 | 90.0 | 4,868 | 54.9 | 54 (48-60) |
| St. Vincent \& the Grenadines | UMIC | 2013 | 67.8 | 594 | 57.4 | 52 (46-59) |
| Middle East and North Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria | UMIC | 2016 | 93.8 | 3,131 | 54.2 | 50 (44-58) |
| Iran | UMIC | 2016 | 98.4 | 10,309 | 54.1 | 52 (45-59) |
| Iraq | UMIC | 2015 | 98.8 | 1,730 | 58.7 | 50 (44-59) |
| Lebanon | UMIC | 2017 | 65.9 | 790 | 62.7 | 52 (47-58) |
| Morocco | L-MIC | 2017 | 89.0 | 2,484 | 62.9 | 52 (46-60) |
| Oceania |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kiribati | L-MIC | 2015 | 55.0 | 528 | 54.5 | 50 (45-57) |
| Marshall Islands | UMIC | 2017 | 92.3 | 1,146 | 50.0 | 50 (44-57) |
| Samoa | L-MIC | 2013 | 64.0 | 702 | 62.0 | 50 (45-56) |
| Solomon Islands | L-MIC | 2015 | 58.4 | 824 | 50.2 | 50 (45-58) |
| Tuvalu | UMIC | 2015 | 76.0 | 545 | 55.6 | 54 (47-59) |
| Vanuatu | L-MIC | 2011 | 94.0 | 2,262 | 47.1 | 50 (44-56) |
| Sub-Saharan Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benin | L-MIC | 2015 | 98.6 | 1,978 | 48.5 | 50 (44-56) |
| Botswana | UMIC | 2014 | 63.0 | 1,280 | 70.7 | 51 (45-58) |
| Burkina Faso | L-MIC | 2013 | 99.1 | 1,697 | 48.0 | 49 (44-55) |
| Comoros | L-MIC | 2011 | 96.5 | 1,233 | 72.2 | 50 (44-57) |
| Eritrea | L-MIC | 2010 | 97.0 | 2,933 | 65.5 | 51 (45-60) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (Continued) |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Geographic region and country | Income group | Year | Response rate, (\%) | N, after exclusion $^{\text {a }}$ | Female, (\%) | Median age, years (IQR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eswatini | L-MIC | 2014 | 76.0 | 1,025 | 68.3 | 52 (45-60) |
| Kenya | L-MIC | 2015 | 93.0 | 1,601 | 59.3 | 51 (44-59) |
| Lesotho | L-MIC | 2012 | 80.0 | 1,116 | 67.7 | 52 (46-59) |
| Liberia | L-MIC | 2011 | 87.1 | 534 | 50.2 | 48 (43-55) |
| Namibia | UMIC | 2013 | 95.8 | 2,464 | 58.4 | 49 (44-55) |
| Rwanda | L-MIC | 2012 | 99.8 | 2,270 | 64.4 | 49 (44-56) |
| São Tomé and Principe | L-MIC | 2009 | 95.0 | 897 | 61.9 | 49 (44-55) |
| Seychelles | UMIC | 2013 | 73.0 | 851 | 56.2 | 52 (46-57) |
| Sudan | L-MIC | 2016 | 95.0 | 2,909 | 57.9 | 50 (45-58) |
| Tanzania | L-MIC | 2012 | 94.7 | 2,499 | 50.5 | 49 (44-56) |
| Togo | L-MIC | 2010 | 91.0 | 1,170 | 48.2 | 48 (44-55) |
| Uganda | L-MIC | 2014 | 92.2 | 1,185 | 60.3 | 50 (44-57) |
| Zambia | L-MIC | 2017 | 74.0 | 1,280 | 63.5 | 50 (44-59) |
| Zanzibar | L-MIC | 2011 | 91.0 | 1,136 | 58.5 | 49 (45-55) |
| Global |  | 2009-19 | 86.7 | 280,783 | 73.6 | 45 (42-49) |

Abbreviation: L-MIC: Low/lower-income countries; UMIC: Upper-middle-income countries.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Individuals aged 25-69 years old with non-missing diabetes biomarkers and blood pressure measurements who reported not being pregnant were included.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003019.t002

The percentage of individuals who achieved appropriate management targets was lower among the hypertension-diabetes group ( $6.6 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 5.4-7.8]) than the hypertension only (17.6\% [95\% CI: 16.4-18.8]) and diabetes only (13.3\% [95\% CI: 10.7-15.8]) groups (Fig 1D).

Table 3. Individual characteristics of overall sample ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

|  | Total Pooled Sample |  | Hypertension Only |  | Diabetes Only |  | Hypertension-Diabetes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | Unweighted, N | Weighted, \% | Unweighted, $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted, \% | Unweighted, N | Weighted, \% | Unweighted, N | Weighted, \% |
| Overall ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 280,783 |  | 89,906 |  | 11,958 |  | 16,210 |  |
| Female | 205,591 | 52.8 | 64,655 | 54.1 | 7,979 | 53.2 | 10,908 | 57.5 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 211,925 | 48.0 | 60,933 | 41.0 | 8,171 | 45.3 | 7,979 | 28.6 |
| 50-59 | 45,559 | 33.9 | 17,867 | 36.8 | 2,557 | 36.6 | 4,579 | 41.4 |
| 60-69 | 23,300 | 18.1 | 11,106 | 22.2 | 1,230 | 18.1 | 3,652 | 30.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No schooling | 107,461 | 22.2 | 30,657 | 21.4 | 3,348 | 15.3 | 3,905 | 16.0 |
| Primary | 66,361 | 36.9 | 22,563 | 36.7 | 3,189 | 37.1 | 4,606 | 35.9 |
| Secondary or higher | 105,962 | 40.9 | 36,338 | 41.9 | 5,337 | 47.6 | 7,552 | 48.1 |
| $B M I\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<18.5$ | 31,744 | 6.6 | 6,426 | 4.5 | 811 | 3.6 | 355 | 1.3 |
| $18.5-<25.0$ | 140,762 | 40.3 | 39,084 | 34.7 | 4,798 | 31.6 | 4,226 | 19.8 |
| $25.0-<30.0$ | 69,850 | 28.8 | 27,025 | 30.9 | 3,864 | 33.5 | 5,742 | 31.3 |
| $\geq 30.0$ | 36,962 | 24.3 | 16,875 | 29.9 | 2,353 | 31.3 | 5,684 | 47.6 |
| Current tobacco smoker | 62,926 | 20.3 | 18,517 | 18.0 | 2,509 | 21.1 | 2,555 | 15.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Individuals aged -69 years old with non-missing diabetes biomarkers and blood pressure measurements who reported not being pregnant were included. Proportions are calculated using weights provided by the individual surveys, readjusted such that each country is weighted equally.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Among the total pooled sample, 89,906 ( $38.9 \%$ of the population-weighted sample) lived with hypertension only, 11,958 ( $4.9 \%$ ) lived with diabetes only, and 16,210 ( $9.4 \%$ ) lived with both hypertension and diabetes (hypertension-diabetes).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003019.t003


Fig 1. (A) The percentage of individuals who were aware of their condition (diagnosed), (B) received lifestyle counseling, (C) used pharmacological therapy and (D) achieved treatment control targets for cardiovascular disease risk reduction among those with hypertension only, diabetes only, and hypertensiondiabetes in 55 low- and middle-income countries. Abbreviations: BG, blood glucose; BP, blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; meds, medications.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003019.g001
When accounting for statin use as part of appropriate management in the 34 countries where these data were available, a substantially smaller share of the hypertension-diabetes ( $1.6 \%[95 \%$ CI: 1.1-2.0]) and diabetes only ( $1.4 \%$ ([95\% CI: 0.8-1.9]) groups achieved targets (Fig 1D).

When stratified by World Bank income group, the percentage of individuals who received healthcare services and achieved appropriate management targets was higher in upper-mid-dle-income than low- or lower-middle-income countries for all three groups (Fig 2). We did not observe statistically significant differences in outcomes (diagnosis, treatment, and appropriate management) between surveys conducted during 2009-2014 and 2015-2019 (S9 Table).

In our exploratory analysis conducted among the hypertension-diabetes group, most (71.5\% [95\% CI: 69.5, 73.4]) had an estimated predicted CVD risk score $\geq 10 \%$ (S8 Table). There were no differences in diagnosis awareness and receipt of lifestyle counseling outcomes between those with a CVD risk score $<10 \%$ versus $\geq 10 \%$ (Fig 3). Those with CVD risk score $\geq 10 \%$ ( $24.4 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 21.7-27.1]) were slightly more likely to report using antihypertensive and glucose-lowering medications than those with CVD risk score < $10 \%$ ( $18.4 \%[95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 15.1-21.6]). However, those with CVD risk score $\geq 10 \%$ ( $4.7 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 3.4-5.9]) were less


Fig 2. (A) The percentage of individuals who were aware of their condition (diagnosed), (B) received lifestyle counseling, (C) used pharmacological therapy, and (D) achieved treatment control targets for cardiovascular disease risk reduction among those with hypertension only, diabetes only, and hypertensiondiabetes in 55 low- and middle-income countries, by World Bank income group. Abbreviations: BG, blood glucose; BP, blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; meds, medications; L-MIC, low/lower middle-income countries; UMIC, upper-middle-income countries.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003019.g002
likely to achieve the appropriate hypertension and diabetes management targets than those with CVD risk score $<10 \%$ ( $13.8 \%$ [ $95 \%$ CI: 9.1-18.5]).

## Discussion

Our study of nationally representative, individual-level data from 55 LMICs shows that health systems in LMICs are reaching a larger share of people living with both hypertension and dia-betes-those with highest risk of poor CVD-related health outcomes-compared to those with either hypertension or diabetes alone. However, we also found substantial unmet need for all forms of clinical care in people with both risk factors. Only one in four people with both conditions used medications to address these risk factors. Additionally, less than $10 \%$ achieved both BP and BG management targets, and this figure dropped to $1.6 \%$ after accounting for statin use, which was low overall ( $5 \%$ in the diabetes-only and $10 \%$ in the hypertension-diabetes group). Finally, fewer than one in five people in each of the three study groups achieved appropriate risk factor management targets. Findings suggest an urgent need to implement costeffective population-level interventions that shift the clinical care paradigm from disease-specific care to comprehensive CVD care for all three groups, especially for those with multiple CVD risk factors [38].

## A. Diagnosis



## C. Pharmacological therapy



## B. Lifestyle counseling


D. Appropriate management


Fig 3. (A) The percentage of individuals who were aware of their condition (diagnosed), (B) received lifestyle counseling, (C) used pharmacological therapy, and (D) achieved treatment control targets for cardiovascular disease risk reduction among those with hypertension-diabetes 10-year predicted CVD risk score $<10 \%$ versus $\geq 10 \%$ in 55 low- and middle-income countries ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Abbreviations: BG, blood glucose; BP, blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; meds, medications. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ We used 2019 WHO office-based risk equations to estimate 10-year CVD risk [37].
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003019.g003
Evidence from LMICs suggests that individuals with multiple conditions, including hypertension and diabetes, have more interactions with the health system [13,39]. The coexistence of these conditions exacerbates poorer health status, requiring individuals to seek both primary and secondary preventative care, while simultaneously providing the health system with more opportunities to diagnose and deliver care [6]. Previous studies in LMICs have quantified the number of interactions and cost of healthcare utilization among those with multiple CVD risk factors [ $13,38,40$ ]. Our study expands upon this literature by showing that the share of people achieving appropriate BP and BG management targets is low in individuals with hypertension only, diabetes only, and hypertension-diabetes, despite greater receipt of healthcare services among those with both conditions.

Among the hypertension-diabetes group, a greater proportion reported receiving lifestyle counseling than pharmacological therapies (e.g., increase exercise: $56 \%$ versus concurrent antihypertensive and glucose-lowering medication use: $25 \%$ ). This may be because of reduced access to pharmacological therapies or low health literacy. Additionally, those reporting receipt of lifestyle counseling may be a younger, leaner population in whom HbAlc (or equivalent FPG) is $<8 \%$ or BP $<150 / 95 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and their clinicians are willing to counsel on lifestyle
change as recommended by country-specific and leading international guidelines [28,33] for risk factor management [41]. Translating this evidence into health policies and clinical practice to achieve health gains is particularly challenging in LMICs because of resource constraints, prioritization, and complex societal factors such as the increasing availability and consumption of inexpensive processed foods [42-44].

Reduced availability and affordability of pharmacological therapies for CVD risk management and care in LMICs, especially when multiple treatments are required, are key contributors to the low proportion of medication use among those with multiple CVD risk factors [45, 46]. Given the effectiveness of pharmacological therapies including antihypertensive, glucoselowering, and statin medications on CVD-related outcomes, this lack of access is consequential and associated with a higher risk of adverse health outcomes, including stroke and mortality in LMICs. Among individuals with hypertension-diabetes, medication use for one condition was higher than for both conditions. This could be driven by differential availability and affordability of antihypertensive and glucose-lowering medications [45, 46] or clinical prioritization due to symptomatic severity of one condition over the other [47] when resources are limited. Here, we found greater use of pharmacological therapy for one condition in individuals with hyper-tension-diabetes compared to those who had either condition alone, which suggests that people with both conditions are interacting with the health system and provided more opportunities to receive needed care. Approaches to improve access to and use of pharmacological therapies among those with multiple CVD risk factors in LMICs, including the procurement of quality-assured medications that are affordable to the greater public, should be considered and implemented [45].

Our finding that less than $10 \%$ of individuals with hypertension-diabetes achieved BP and BG management targets reflects the reality that the coexistence of these CVD risk factors dramatically increases the complexity of managing individuals' symptoms to prevent further deterioration of health and quality of life [5, 6]. However, we found that more individuals in the hypertension-diabetes group achieved control of at least one target than those in either the hypertension only or diabetes only groups. When comparing the achievement of the two targets, we noted that achieving the BG target (diabetes only: $13 \%$ and hypertension-diabetes: 20\%) was less common than achieving the BP target (hypertension only: $18 \%$ and hyperten-sion-diabetes: $25 \%$ ). A recent study conducted in the UK concurs with our finding that the coexistence of multiple CVD risk factors was associated with a higher probability of achieving appropriate management [48] while others have found that individuals with hypertension-diabetes were less likely to achieve BP control [49, 50]. Nevertheless, the levels of risk factor management in all three groups in our study were lackluster, suggesting an urgent need for improvements in CVD care in LMICs.

Our study has limitations. First, our definitions of hypertension and diabetes were limited to a single time point or measurement which might have resulted in the misclassification of our three study groups. In clinical practice, hypertension management is based on BP measured during multiple consecutive healthcare visits. However, we used three BP measurements from a single occasion to diagnose hypertension [51-53], and higher thresholds for hypertension definition compared to current guidelines that use a $\mathrm{BP}>130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$. For diabetes, most surveys collected a single capillary glucose measurement, which other studies have found to under- or over-diagnose diabetes [54]. However, biological measurements captured on a single time point are commonly used in high-quality population-based surveys to estimate disease prevalence and evaluate health system performance [55]. Additionally, we included reported diagnosis awareness and medication use in our definition to identify individuals with BP and diabetes biomarker measurements below the diagnostic thresholds who may have benefited from lifestyle counseling and pharmacological therapies and, therefore, would not be
detected based on biological measurements alone. Second, we used self-reported information to define our diagnosis awareness, lifestyle counseling, and pharmacological therapy outcomes which might lead to differential misclassification of our outcomes due to recall bias. It should be noted that prior studies have found high accuracy of self-reported CVD histories and medications [56, 57]. Third, we used data collected from surveys conducted in different countries over ten years. Although most surveys ( $84 \%$ ) used the WHO STEPS questionnaire and similar approaches to measure BP and diabetes biomarkers, differences in the translation and phrasing of questionnaires and implementation of biological measurement procedures might have contributed to variations in our estimates. Finally, the 55 LMICs included in this study may not represent all LMICs globally and encompass a heterogeneous group of countries with different health systems. However, including them together in analyses allows us to better understand the state of CVD care for individuals at the highest risk of developing CVD-related disability and mortality in low-resource settings.

In conclusion, we found that individuals with concurrent hypertension and diabetes were more likely to have been diagnosed and treated for CVD risk factors than individuals with only one of these conditions. However, using the recently adopted global coverage targets for diabetes by 2030 as a benchmark [58], there remains a substantial gap in appropriate CVD risk factor management as less than one in ten people with concurrent risk factors achieved both BP and BG targets, and even fewer achieved these metrics along with statin use. To achieve these targets, policy efforts and investments should increase health systems' capacity to deliver cost-effective population-level interventions and shift the clinical care paradigm from diseasespecific care to comprehensive care.
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